

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Cagelike Diamondoid Nitrogen at High Pressures

Xiaoli Wang, Yanchao Wang, Maosheng Miao, Xin Zhong, Jian Lv, Tian Cui, Jianfu Li, Li Chen, Chris J. Pickard, and Yanming Ma

Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 175502 — Published 24 October 2012

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.175502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.175502)

Cage-like Diamondoid Nitrogen at High Pressures

Xiaoli Wang^{1,2}, Yanchao Wang³, Maosheng Miao^{4,2}, Xin Zhong³, Jian Lv³, Tian Cui³, Jianfu Li^{1*}, Li Chen¹,
Chris J. Pickard⁵, and Yanming Ma^{3,2†}

¹*Institute of Condensed Matter Physics, Linyi University, Linyi 276005, P. R. China*

²*Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing, 100084, P. R. China*

³*State Key Lab of Superhard Materials, Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, P. R. China*

⁴*Materials Research Lab, University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93110, USA*

⁵*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E
6BT, United Kingdom*

Under high pressure, triply-bonded molecular nitrogen dissociates into singly-bonded polymeric nitrogen, a potential high-energy-density material. The discovery of stable high-pressure forms of polymeric nitrogen is of great interest. We report the striking stabilization of cage-like diamondoid nitrogen at high pressures predicted by first-principles structural searches. The diamondoid structure of polymeric nitrogen has not been seen in any other elements, and it adopts a highly symmetric body-centered cubic structure with lattice sites occupied by diamondoids, each of which consists of ten nitrogen atoms, forming a N_{10} tetracyclic cage. Diamondoid nitrogen possesses a wide energy gap and is energetically most stable among all known polymeric structures above 263 GPa, a pressure that is accessible to high pressure experiment. Our findings represent a significant step toward the understanding of the behavior of solid nitrogen at extreme conditions.

PACS number(s): 61.50.Ah, 61.50.Ks, 62.50.-p

Nitrogen exists abundantly in nature and stabilizes in the form of triply bonded diatomic molecules under ambient conditions. The nature of nitrogen is dramatically changed when subject to high pressure. The extremely strong triple $N\equiv N$ bond dissociates into three weaker single N-N bonds at a modest pressure >150 GPa [1-3]. In contrast, the molecular dissociation for oxygen is shown to happen at 1920 GPa [4-5] and for hydrogen at 500 GPa [6], even though these molecules have much weaker intra-molecular bonds. Because of the exceedingly large difference in energy between the single N-N and triple $N\equiv N$ bonds, singly-bonded polymeric nitrogen has the potential to become an excellent high-energy-density material for energy storage, propellants, and explosives. The search for polymeric forms of nitrogen upon compression has therefore attracted great attention.

The early attempts [7-8] to describe singly-bonded polymeric structures of nitrogen focused on the simple cubic, black phosphorus (BP) and A7 (α -arsenic) structures, based on the known structures in group VA. Significant progress was made in 1992 by Mailhot *et al.* [9] who predicted the *cubic gauche* (*cg*) structure. This remarkable *cg* structure was later found to be more energetically stable than all the previously proposed polymeric structures. Subsequently, numerous low-energy theoretical high-pressure structures of polymeric nitrogen were proposed (e.g., *Cmcm* chain [10], chaired web [11], N_2 - N_6 [10], cis-trans chain [12], layered boat, eight-member rings, layered *Pba2* [13], and the helical tunnel $P2_12_12_1$ structures [13, 14]). It

was established that the zero-temperature phase diagram of polymeric nitrogen at high pressures follows the transition sequence of *cg* \rightarrow *Pba2* (188–320 GPa) \rightarrow $P2_12_12_1$ (>320 GPa).

A great amount of experimental effort has been employed in synthesizing polymeric nitrogen, and evidence for non-molecular phases of nitrogen at high pressures has been reported by several groups [1-2, 15]. However, most samples are amorphous and likely to be mixtures of small clusters of non-molecular phases. In 2004, the first crystalline form of singly-bonded polymeric nitrogen was synthesized by Eremets *et al* at high pressures (about 115 GPa) and high temperatures (above 2000 K) [16]. The obtained polymeric single crystal phase was found to be the long-sought *cg* structure, a finding that was confirmed by later independent experiments [17]. These experimental achievements greatly motivate the investigation of other crystalline forms of polymeric nitrogen under higher pressures.

In this letter, we report an unexpected high-pressure stabilization of cage-like diamondoid nitrogen above 263 GPa, predicted jointly by particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm [18, 19] and *ab initio* random structure searching method (AIRSS) [20, 21]. The prediction of the diamondoid structure rules out completely the previously proposed helical tunnel phase [13, 14] and narrowing substantially the stable pressure range of the *Pba2* phase. This is the first time that an element heavier than C is found to be stable in a cage-like diamondoid structure. Our findings provide a significant advance in the understanding of the behavior of solid nitrogen and

other nitrogen-related materials under extreme conditions.




FIG. 1. (a) Top view of N₁₀-cage structure. (b) Side view of one N₁₀ cage to compare with the diamondoid. (c) Side view of cubic diamond structure. N₁₀ cage can be derived by cutting out the eight green vertex atoms of cubic diamond. (d) The calculated ELF isosurface within one N₁₀ cage at ELF = 0.83.

The CALYPSO structure searching approach [18, 19] enables the global minimization of energy surfaces via *ab initio* total-energy calculations and the PSO algorithm. This method has been successful in correctly predicting high pressure structures for various systems [4, 22-23]. The underlying *ab initio* structural relaxations and electronic band structure calculations were performed in the frame work of density functional theory within generalized gradient approximation using Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functionals [24], as implemented in the VASP code [25]. Projector augmented wave [26] potentials are used to describe the ionic potentials. The cutoff energy (700 eV) for the expansion of the wave function into plane waves and Monkhorst-Pack [27] *k* meshes were chosen to ensure that all the enthalpy calculations are well converged to better than 1 meV/atom. The AIRSS structural search method has been described in detail in Refs. 24 and 25. An ensemble of structures is chosen by first generating random unit cell translation vectors and renormalizing the resulting cell volumes to lie within some reasonable range. The atoms, or structural units, are then placed at random positions, possibly constrained by symmetry, and the cell shapes and atomic positions are relaxed at a fixed pressure to a minimum in the enthalpy. The structural optimizations and energy calculations for various structures have been performed by using CASTEP code [28].

CALYPSO structure predictions were performed for simulation cells containing up to 80 N atoms at a

pressure range from 100 to 800 GPa. Besides the earlier predicted *cg*, *Pba2*, *P2₁2₁2₁* and *P-421/m* structures, our structural searches identified a highly symmetric body-centered cubic (*bcc*) structure (20 atoms/cell, space group *I*-43m) as depicted in Fig. 1 (a). This cubic structure has a lattice parameter of $a = 4.287$ Å with N atoms sitting at two inequivalent crystallographic sites 12e ($x, 0, 0$) and 8c (y, y, y) with $x = 0.3532$ and $y = 0.6745$ at 300 GPa (3.939 Å³/atom). Inspection reveals that the structure consists of identical N₁₀ cages at the *bcc* sites, each of which contains 10 N atoms forming a tetracyclic cage (Fig. 1b) and is covalently bonded with its six next-nearest neighboring N₁₀ cages, i.e., bonding between center cages or between vertex cages. There is no covalent bonding between the nearest neighboring cages [29], i.e., no bonding exists between center and vertex cages. Within each cage, there are 6 “bridge” N atoms (shaded in gray) and 4 “cage” N atoms (shaded in brown) as displayed in Fig. 1a. Although all the N




FIG. 2. Enthalpy curves (relative to *Pba2* structure) of various polymeric structures as a function of pressure.

atoms form three single N-N bonds with their neighbors, “bridge” and “cage” N atoms are distinct. Each “cage” N bonds equally with three “bridge” N atoms, forming a triangular pyramid with “cage” N sitting at the apex. In contrast, each “bridge” N bonds with two “cage” N atoms and one “bridge” N, forming a trigonal plane and the “bridge” N sits at the center. Analysis of electron localization functions (ELF) (Fig. 1d) and partial density of states (Fig. 3b, c) suggests that the “cage” N atoms are *sp*³ hybridized with lone pair lobes pointing opposite to the pyramid, whereas the “bridge” N atoms are in *sp*² hybridization with lone pair lobes (*p_z*) at both sides of the triangular plane. As shown in Fig. 1(d), the lone pairs are arranged in a way that their lobes avoid each other by pointing to the perpendicular directions at neighboring N atoms. Following the prediction of the N₁₀ structure by CALYPSO, an independent structural search at 300 GPa was performed using the AIRSS method, with no prior knowledge of the structure beyond the number of atoms required to describe it. The N₁₀ structure was

found and confirmed to be the most stable. Six new metastable structures were also identified [29] and included in the enthalpy curves (Fig. 2). Further attempts on structural design by using different combinations of N_{10} units and applying symmetry did not yield any better structures.




FIG. 3. Electronic properties of N_{10} -cage structure at 300 GPa. (a) Band structure; (b) and (c) partial densities of states (PDOS) of bridge and cage N, respectively; (d) Band gaps calculated as a function of pressures for N_{10} -cage, cg and $Pba2$ structures; (e) Atomic volume as a function of pressure for cg , $Pba2$ and N_{10} -cage structures.

The enthalpies of the N_{10} -cage structure together with other known and our newly predicted structures are plotted as a function of pressure in Fig. 2. It shows clearly that the cg structure is most stable up to 188 GPa, beyond which $Pba2$ structure is favored. The results are in excellent agreement with the previous calculations [10, 13, 30]. However, the prediction of the N_{10} -cage structure being stable at pressures higher than 263 GPa narrows the stability range of the $Pba2$ structure and rules out the $P2_12_12_1$ structure completely. Furthermore, it is seen that the enthalpy difference between N_{10} -cage and $P2_12_12_1$ structures becomes increasingly larger with pressure, and our thorough structural searches could not find any better metastable structures energetically sitting in between N_{10} and $P2_12_12_1$. Our current prediction has modified the earlier phase transition sequence into $cg \rightarrow Pba2 \rightarrow N_{10}$, and illustrates that polymeric nitrogen should become highly ordered at extreme pressures. The calculated equation of states (Fig. 3e) suggested that the two phase transitions of $cg \rightarrow Pba2 \rightarrow N_{10}$ have a first order nature with an increased packing efficiency at 26.11% \rightarrow 27.24% \rightarrow 28.97%.

The electronic band structure calculations showed that the N_{10} -cage phase is an insulator. We plot the band structure at 300 GPa (Fig. 3 a) and the band gap as a function of pressure (Fig. 3 d). Interestingly, the band gap of N_{10} -cage structure increases significantly

with pressure, reaching 5.47 eV at 800 GPa ($3.096 \text{\AA}^3/\text{atom}$), in contrast to those in $Pba2$ and cg phases. Because density functional calculations usually lead to a considerable underestimation of the energy gap, the actual band gaps are expected to be much larger. The insulating state is the result of the complete localization of valence electrons, similar to the monatomic O_4 phase of oxygen [4-5]. The increase of the N_{10} -cage band gap with pressure is the result of the competition of two effects: (i) on one hand, the compression and the consequent shortening of the bond length will widen both valence and conduction bands, and therefore tends to reduce the gap; (ii) on the other hand, the stronger coupling of the sp^2 (or sp^3) orbitals at the neighboring N atoms will lower the energy of the bonding states (valence bands) and increase the energy of the anti-bonding states (conduction bands), therefore leads to an increase of the gap. In case of the N_{10} -cage structure, the gap increases with increasing pressure.

The stability of a structure cannot be determined exclusively by comparing enthalpy, since the structure might be subject to dynamic instabilities. Therefore, we calculated phonon dispersion curves for the N_{10} -cage phase using the supercell method [31]. No imaginary phonon frequencies are found in the pressure range of 250–800 GPa in the whole Brillouin zone (Fig. 4), indicating that the N_{10} -cage structure is dynamically stable in this pressure range. The primitive cell of N_{10} -cage structure contains 10 atoms, giving 30 phonon branches. The calculated zone-center (Γ) phonon eigenvectors can be used to




FIG. 4. Phonon dispersion curves for N_{10} -cage structure at 250 and 500 GPa, respectively.

deduce the symmetry labels of phonon modes [29]. Both infrared and Raman frequencies [29] of the structure can provide useful information for future experiments to identify the N_{10} -cage phase as that was done in the case of the cg structure.

The N_{10} -cage structure reported here is highly unique and has not been seen in any other elements. Together with the facts that the earlier attempts to search for structures of polymeric nitrogen among known phases in group VA elements were not successful, it reveals a fundamental difference between N and other group VA elements in forming singly-bonded extended structures. N has a very small 1s core which is capable of forming very short bonds,

in contrast to the much larger $2p$ core in P or $3d$ core in As. As a result, the low-pressure forms of BP, A7, and simple cubic phases adopted by P and As are not stable for nitrogen. Taking another view, if we consider a N_{10} cage as a pseudoatom, the phase adopts indeed a bcc structure, which was also taken by P and As at very high pressures of 262 GPa and 110 GPa [32-34], respectively. In this regard, a N_{10} unit might be seen as a superatom of group VA. The novelty of N_{10} -cage structure lies in a compromise between atomic cores and atomic volumes tuned by external pressures.

Cage-like structures are rare in the elements and compounds. Besides the known B-cage in α -boron or γ -boron[35], C-cages in C_{60} or C_{70} [36], and Si/Ge-cages in clathrates (e.g., in Ba_8Si_{46} [37]), we have also found a H-cage in a hydrogen sodalite structure in CaH_6 [38]. C has the right number of electrons and forms conjugated π bonds. B is electron deficient and therefore forms multi-center bonds. The current discovery of N_{10} cage adds a surprising new member to the cage family, and extends the cage structures to group VA elements. However, compared with B and C, N has too many electrons and must develop a structure that is best in packing the lone pairs whose Coulomb repulsion excluded the stabilization of planar triangle, square, pentagon, or hexagon faces in the cages seen in other elements, and render the irregularly puckered-hexagon faces in N_{10} .

More strikingly, one single N_{10} tetracyclic cage (Fig. 1b) can be derived by cutting out the eight vertex atoms of one unit cell of cubic diamond (Fig. 1c) and is the simplest possible nano-form of diamond, a so-called “diamondoid” [39] by the removal of H from adamantane. In this regard, N_{10} structure is a basic cage structure of diamond lattice, a *nitrogen diamond*. We tried larger cages cut from cubic or hexagonal diamond, no better structure was found. There is no surprise since the diamondoid structure is evidently denser. Intuitionally, a cage structure is not the preferable choice for achieving best packing efficiency, but the diamondoid structure as a compromise adopts a mathematically perfect structure forming puckered tetracyclic cages to optimize the volume.

In summary, we have reported for the first time a strikingly cage-like diamondoid structure for polymeric nitrogen under high pressures. Based on thorough structural searches and accurate density functional calculations, we predict that the diamondoid structure is stable in the pressure range > 263 GPa accessible to high pressure experiment. Our findings provide an unexpected example created by compression of a molecular solid and represent a significant step toward the understanding of the behavior of solid nitrogen and other nitrogen-related materials at extreme conditions. In view of the successful synthesis of the *cg* phase, it would be of great interest to experimentally synthesize the

predicted diamondoid structure above 260 GPa and at a temperature higher than 2000 K. It will be necessary to consider the synthetic kinetics which has been found to be large in synthesis of *cg* phase.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11147007, 11025418, 11274136, 91022029, 10974076, 11074090), National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2011CB808200) and Open Project of State Key Laboratory of Superhard Materials (Jilin University No. 201204). MSM was supported by the ConvEne-IGERT Program (NSF-DGE0801627) and MRL (DMR-1121053). CJP is supported by the EPSRC. Part of calculations was performed in the High Performance Computing Center of Jilin University.

Corresponding authors:

[†]mym@jlu.edu.cn

^{*}lijianfu@lyu.edu.cn

- [1] A. F. Goncharov, E. Gregoryanz, H. K. Mao, Z. X. Liu, and R. J. Hemley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1262 (2000).
- [2] M. I. Eremets, R. J. Hemley, H. Mao, and E. Gregoryanz, Nature (London) **411**, 170 (2001).
- [3] E. Gregoryanz, A. F. Goncharov, R. J. Hemley, and H. K. Mao, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 052103 (2001).
- [4] Li Zhu, Ziwei Wang, Yanchao Wang, Guangtian Zou, Ho-kwang Mao, and Yanming Ma Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **109**, **751** (2012).
- [5] Jian Sun, Miguel Martinez-Canales, Dennis D. Klug, Chris J. Pickard, and Richard J. Needs Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 045503 (2012).
- [6] Pickard and R. J. Needs, Nature Phys. **3**, 473 (2007).
- [7] R. M. Martin and R. J. Needs, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 5082 (1986).
- [8] S. P. Lewis and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 11 117 (1992).
- [9] C. Mailhot, L. H. Yang, and A. K. McMahan, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 14419 (1992).
- [10] W. D. Mattson, D. Sanchez-Portal, S. Chiesa, and R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 125501 (2004).
- [11] F. Zahariev, J. Hooper, S. Alavi, F. Zhang, and T. K. Woo, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 140101 (2007).
- [12] M. M. G. Alemany and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 024110 (2003).
- [13] Yanming Ma, Artem R. Oganov, Zhenwei Li, Yu Xie, and Jani Kotakoski Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 065501 (2009).
- [14] Chris J. Pickard and R. J. Needs Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 125702 (2009).
- [15] M. J. Lipp *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 014113 (2007).
- [16] M. I. Eremets, A. G. Gavriliuk, I. A. Trojan, D. A. Dzivenko, and R. Boehler, Nature Mater. **3**, 558 (2004).
- [17] E. Gregoryanz, A. F. Goncharov, C. Sanloup, M. Somayazulu, H. K. Mao, and R. J. Hemley, J.

- Chem. Phys. **126**, 184505 (2007).
- [18] Y. Wang *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **82**, 094116 (2010).
- [19] Yanchao Wang, Jian Lv, Li Zhu, and Yanming Ma, Comput. Phys. Commun. **183**, 2063 (2012). CALYPSO code is free for academic use. Please register at <http://www.calypso.org.cn>.
- [20] C. J. Pickard and R. J. Needs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 045504 (2006).
- [21] C. J. Pickard and R. J. Needs, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **23**, 053201 (2011).
- [22] Jian Lv, Yanchao Wang, Li Zhu, and Yanming Ma Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 015503 (2011).
- [23] Yanchao Wang, Hanyu Liu, Jian Lv, Li Zhu, Hui Wang and Yanming Ma, Nature Commun. **2**, 563 (2011).
- [24] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3865 (1996).
- [25] G. Kresse and J. Fürthmüller, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11169 (1996).
- [26] P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- [27] H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Phys. Rev. B **13**, 5188 (1976).
- [28] S. J. Clark *et al.*, Z. Kristallogr. **220**, 567 (2005).
- [29] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/xxxx>.
- [30] Xiaoli Wang, Fubo Tian, Liancheng Wang, Tian Cui, Bingbing Liu, Guangtian Zou, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 024502 (2010).
- [31] Program Phonopy available at <http://phonopy.sourceforge.net/>, the force constants matrix is determined by VASP. Convergence test gave the use of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ k mesh for both structures.
- [32] Y. Akahama, H. Kawamura, S. Carlson, T. Le Bihan, and D. Hausermann, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 3139 (2000).
- [33] R. G. Greene, H. Luo and A. L. Ruoff, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter, **41**, 5535 (1990).
- [34] H. Iwasaki, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 14645 (1997).
- [35] Artem R. Oganov, Jihua Chen, Carlo Gatti, Yanzhang Ma, Yanming Ma, Colin W. Glass, Zhenxian Liu, Tony Yu, Oleksandr O. Kurakevych & Vladimir L. Solozhenko. *Nature* **457**, 863 (2009).
- [36] W. Krietschmer, L. D. Lamb, K. Fostiropoulos, D. R. Huffman, *Nature*, **347**, 354 (1990).
- [37] P. Toulemonde, C. Adessi, X. Blase, A. San Miguel, J. L. Tholence, *Physical Rev. B Condensed Matter* **18**, 094504 (2005).
- [38] Hui Wang, John S. Tse, Kaori Tanaka, Toshiaki Iitaka, and Yanming Ma, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 6463 (2012).
- [39] Alan P. Marchand, *science* **299**, 52, (2003).