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We study the properties of spin systems realized by cold polar molecules interacting via dipole-dipole interactions in two-dimensions. Using a spin wave theory, that allows for the full treatment of the characteristic long-distance tail of the dipolar interaction, we find several anomalous features in the ground state correlations and the spin wave excitation spectrum, which are absent in their counterparts with short range interaction. The most striking consequence is the existence of true long-range order at finite temperature for a two-dimensional phase with a broken $U(1)$ symmetry.

The foundation for understanding the behavior and properties of quantum matter is based on models with short range interactions. Experimental progress in cooling polar molecules \cite{1} and atomic gases with large magnetic dipole moments \cite{2} has however increased the interest in systems with strong dipole-dipole interactions. While many properties of quantum systems with dipole-dipole interactions derive from our understanding of systems with short range interactions, the dipole-dipole interaction can give rise to phenomena not present in their short range counterparts. Prominent examples are the description of dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, where the contribution of the dipolar interaction can not be included in the $s$-wave scattering length \cite{3}, and the absence of a first order phase transition with a jump in the density \cite{4}. In this letter, we demonstrate anomalous behavior in two-dimensional spin systems with dipolar interactions realized by polar molecules in optical lattices.

A remarkable property of cold polar molecules confined into two-dimensions is the potential formation of a crystalline phase for strong dipole-dipole interactions \cite{5, 6}. In contrast to a Wigner crystal with Coulomb interactions \cite{7}, the crystalline phase exhibits the conventional behavior expected for a crystal realized with a short range repulsion and the characteristic $1/r^3$ behavior of the dipole interaction can be truncated at distances involving several inter-particle separations. Several strongly correlated phases have been predicted, which behave in analogy to systems with interactions extending over a finite range, such as a Haldane phase \cite{8}, supersolids \cite{9, 10}, pair supersolids in bilayer systems \cite{11}, valence bond solids \cite{12}, as well as $p$-wave superfluidity \cite{13}, and self-assembled structures in multi-layer setups \cite{14}. On the other hand, it has recently been demonstrated that polar molecules in optical lattices are also suitable for emulating quantum phases of two-dimensional spin models \cite{15, 17, 18}.

Here, we demonstrate that such spin models with dipole-dipole interactions exhibit several anomalous features, which are not present in their short range counterparts. The analysis is based on analytical spin wave theory, which allows for the full treatment of the $1/r^3$ tail of the dipole-dipole interactions. We find that the excitation spectrum exhibits anomalous behavior at low momenta, which gives rise to unconventional dynamic properties of the spin wave excitations. Remarkably, we derive from this anomalous behavior the existence of a long-range ordered ferromagnetic phase at finite temperatures; this finding is consistent with the well-known Mermin-Wagner theorem as the latter does not exclude order for interactions with a $1/r^\alpha$ tail, where $\alpha \leq 4$ \cite{19–21}. Finally, we show that the dipole-dipole interaction gives rise to algebraic correlations even in gapped ground states, in agreement with recent predictions \cite{22, 23}.

![FIG. 1. (a) Mean-field phase diagram for the XXZ model with dipolar interactions, where $\tan \theta$ is the ratio between the XY and the Ising spin couplings. (b) Ground state energy per particle: the dashed lines show the mean-field predictions, while the solid lines include the contributions from the spin waves. At the critical values $\theta_c$ and $\tilde{\theta}_c$, the ground state energy exhibits the jump $\Delta \epsilon_c \approx 0.14 J$ and $\Delta \tilde{\epsilon}_c \approx 0.06 J$, indicating the potential formation of an intermediate phase.](image-url)
the spins \[17\]

\[ H = \frac{J a^3}{R^2} \sum_{i \neq j} \cos \theta S_i^z S_j^z + \sin \theta \left(S_i^x S_j^x + S_i^y S_j^y\right) \left| R_i - R_j \right|^3. \tag{1} \]

Here, the first term accounts for the static dipole-dipole interaction between the different rotational levels with strength \( J \cos \theta \), while the last term describes the virtual exchange of a microwave photon between the two polar molecules with strength \( J \sin \theta \), and \( a \) denotes the lattice spacing. The dependence of the couplings \( J \) and \( \theta \) on the microscopic parameters is discussed in Ref. [16–18] and the one-dimensional version of this model has recently been studied in Ref. [24].

Before analyzing this spin model on the square lattice, we present a summary of the phase diagram for its counterpart with nearest neighbor interactions only. Then, the phase diagram is highly symmetric and exhibits four different phases: (i) an Ising anti-ferromagnetic phase (I-AF) for \(-\pi/4 < \theta < \pi/4\) with an excitation gap, (ii) an XY anti-ferromagnetic phase (XY-AF) for \(\pi/4 < \theta < 3\pi/4\) with a linear excitation spectrum, (iii) an Ising ferromagnetic phase (I-F) for \(3\pi/4 < \theta < 5\pi/4\) with an excitation gap, and finally (iv) a XY ferromagnetic phase (XY-F) for \(5\pi/4 < \theta < 7\pi/4\) with a linear spectrum.

Next, we analyze the modifications of the phase diagram due to dipole-dipole interactions between the spins within mean-field theory. The main influence is the reduction of the stability for the antiferromagnetic phases, as the next-nearest neighbor interaction introduces a weak frustration to the system. The ground state energy per lattice site within mean-field reduces to \(e_{1,AF} = J \cos \theta \epsilon_K/4\) and \(e_{XY,AF} = J \sin \theta \epsilon_K/4\) for the anti-ferromagnetic phases. The summation over the dipole interaction reduces to a dimensionless parameter \(\epsilon_K \approx -2.646\), which is related to the dipolar dispersion

\[ \epsilon_q = \sum_{j \neq 0} e^{i R_j q} a^3 \left| R_j \right|^3 \] \tag{2}

at the corner of the Brillouin zone \(K = (\pi/a, \pi/a)\). In turn, the ferromagnetic phases are enhanced with a mean-field energy \(e_{1,IF} = J \cos \theta \epsilon_0/4\) and \(e_{XY,IF} = J \sin \theta \epsilon_0/4\) with \(\epsilon_0 \approx 9.033\). The modifications to the phase diagram are shown in Fig. 1: first, the Heisenberg points at \(\theta = \pi/4, 5\pi/4\) are protected by the SU(2) symmetry and still provide the transition between the Ising and the XY phases. However, the transitions from the ferromagnetic towards the anti-ferromagnetic phase are shifted to the values \(\theta_c = \arctan(\epsilon_0/\epsilon_K) \approx -0.1\pi\) and \(\theta_i = \pi + \arctan(\epsilon_0/\epsilon_K) \approx 0.67\).

The dipole dispersion \(\epsilon_q\) in Eq. (2) converges very slowly due to the characteristic power law decay of the dipole-dipole interaction. It is this slow decay, which will give rise to several peculiar properties of the system. Therefore, we continue first with a detailed discussion of this dipolar dispersion. The precise determination of \(\epsilon_q\) is most conveniently performed using an Ewald summation [7], which transforms the summation over the slowly converging terms with algebraic decay into a summation of exponential factors, i.e.,

\[ \epsilon_q = -2\pi a |q| \text{erfc}(a |q|/2\sqrt{\pi}) + 4\pi \left( e^{-\frac{q^2 a^2}{4\epsilon_0^2}} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \]

\[ + 2\pi \sum_{j \neq 0} \int_1^\infty \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{3/2}} \left[ e^{-\pi\lambda(\frac{q^2 a^2}{4\epsilon_0^2} + \frac{q^2}{\lambda})} + \lambda^2 e^{-\frac{q^2 a^2}{\lambda}} \right] \]

with \(\text{erfc}(x)\) the complementary error function. The important feature of the dipole dispersion is captured by the first term in Eq. (3), which gives rise to a linear and non-analytic behavior \(\epsilon_q \sim \epsilon_0 - 2\pi a |q|\) for small values \(q \ll 1/a\), while all remaining terms are analytic. It is this linear part, which will give rise to several unconventional properties of spin systems in 2D with dipolar interactions, and is a consequence of the slow decay of the dipole-dipole interaction. The summation in the last term converges very quickly and guarantees the periodicity of the dipole dispersion. The quantitative behavior is shown in Fig. 2a, and the numerical efficient determination provides \(\epsilon_0 \approx 9.033\), and \(\epsilon_K = (1/\sqrt{2} - 1)\epsilon_0 \approx -2.646\). Note, that a similar linear dependence appears for continuous systems with dipolar interactions in two dimensions, and gives rise to a so-called anomalous scattering amplitude [13].

Next, we analyze the excitation spectrum above the mean-field ground states within a spin wave analysis. The spin wave analysis is well established [25, 26], and its application for a spin system with dipolar interaction is straightforward. Details of the calculation are presented in the supplementary material for the anti-ferromagnetic XY model. The results are summarized in Table I, and shown in Fig. 2. In the following, we present a detailed discussion for each of the four ordered phases.

**Ising ferromagnetic phase:** The ferromagnetic mean-
field ground state is twofold degenerate with all spins either point up or down, and is the exact ground state for \( \theta = \pi \), i.e., \( |G\rangle = \prod_i |\uparrow_i\rangle \). Within the spin wave analysis, the ground state is not modified and the excitation spectrum reduces to \( E^{q,F}_0 \), see Table I. The spin waves exhibit an excitation gap \( \Delta \): (i) approaching the Heisenberg point at \( \theta = -3\pi/4 \), the excitation gap vanishes, indicating the instability towards the XY ferromagnet, (ii) in turn, for anti-ferromagnetic XY couplings, the gap is minimal at \( K \), vanishes at the mean-field transition point \( \theta_0 \) and drives an instability towards the formation of antiferromagnetic ordering.

In contrast to any short range ferromagnetic spin model, the dispersion relation \( E^{q,F}_q \) is not quadratic for small momenta, but rather exhibits a linear behavior, i.e., \( E^{q,F}_q \sim E^{q,F}_0 + \hbar c|q| \) with velocity \( c = -2\pi aJ \sin \theta/h \), which is a consequence of the dipolar interaction in the system. This anomalous behavior strongly influences the dynamics of the spin waves. The dynamical behavior of a single localized spin excitation is shown in Fig. 3a for a Gaussian initial state. In order to probe the linear part in the dispersion relation, the width \( \sigma \) of the localization is much larger than the lattice spacing \( a \), and therefore, the dynamics is well described by a continuum description. Instead of the conventional quantum mechanical spreading, one finds a ballistic expansion of a cylindrical wave packet with velocity \( c \). In addition, the dipole-dipole interaction also strongly influences the correlation function. Within conventional perturbation theory, we find algebraic correlations \( \langle S^z_i S^z_j \rangle \sim 1/|r|^3 \). This algebraic decay of correlations even in gapped systems is a peculiar property of spin models with long-range interactions [22, 23].

**XY-ferromagnetic phase:** Here, the spins are aligned in the xy plane. Within the spin wave analysis, we obtain the excitation spectrum \( E^{q,F}_q \) and the modified ground state energy \( \epsilon_{XY,F} \). In the low momentum regime, the dispersion relation behaves as \( E^{q,F}_q \sim \sqrt{|q|} \), in contrast to the well known linear Goldstone modes for the broken

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ground state ( \alpha )</th>
<th>spin wave excitation spectrum ( E^{q,F}_q )</th>
<th>ground state energy per spin ( \epsilon_\alpha )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-F</td>
<td>( J(\sin \theta q - \cos \theta_0) )</td>
<td>( \frac{3J \cos \theta_0}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{v_0} E^{q,F}_0(q) = \frac{J \cos \theta_0}{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XY-F</td>
<td>( J \sqrt{\sin \theta (q - \theta_0)} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3J \sin \theta_0}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{v_0} E^{q,F}_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-AF</td>
<td>( J \sqrt{\sin \theta (q + K - \theta_0)} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3J \cos \theta K}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{v_0} E^{q,F}_0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XY-AF</td>
<td>( J \sqrt{\sin \theta (q - K - \theta_0)} )</td>
<td>( \frac{3J \sin \theta K}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{v_0} E^{q,F}_0 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 3.** Time evolution for localized spin excitations described by the Gaussian wave packet \( \psi_0(r) = e^{-|r|^2/2\sigma^2}/\sqrt{\pi \sigma^2} \) with \( \sigma \gg |q| \) in the continuum description. (a) For a linear dispersion \( c|q| \) in the I-F phase, the dynamics is described by cylindrical symmetric wave packets (see inset) traveling with velocity \( c \), instead of the conventional quantum mechanical spreading for massive systems. (b) For an anomalous dispersion \( \alpha \sqrt{|q|} \) in the XY-F phase, the behavior at long times \( t \gg \sqrt{\sigma} \) reduces to a scaling function \( \xi(z) \) via \( |\psi(x,t)|^2 = \xi(x/\tau - 1/2)/\tau^2 \) (see inset) using rescaled time \( \tau = t/\sqrt{\sigma} \) and space \( x = |r|/\sigma \) coordinates. It describes a cylindrical symmetric wave front with velocity \( \alpha \sqrt{\sigma} \).

**U(1) symmetry.** This anomalous behavior is a peculiar property of the dipolar interaction, and the most crucial consequence is the existence of long-range order for the continuous broken symmetry at finite temperatures even in two-dimensions [20]. This property follows immediately from the above spin wave analysis: the order parameter reduces to \( m = \Delta m - 1/2 = \langle S^z \rangle/h \), where \( \Delta m \) accounts for the suppression of the order parameter by quantum fluctuations. Within spin wave theory, it reduces to \( \Delta m = \langle a_i^\dagger a_i \rangle \)

\[
\Delta m = \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{v_0} \left[ \frac{\cos \theta q + \sin \theta (q - 2\theta_0)}{4E^q_0} \coth \left( \frac{E^q_0}{2T} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right].
\]

This expression is finite and small: at \( T = 0 \), the integrand behaves as \( \sim 1/\sqrt{|q|} \) and we find a suppression of the order \( \Delta m \approx 0.008 \) at \( \theta = -\pi/2 \). The smallness of this corrections due to quantum fluctuations is a good justification for the validity of the spin wave analysis. On the other hand, even at finite temperatures, the low momen-
The excitation gap with correlations in analogy to the Ising-ferromagnet, see Fig. 3. Within the usual dispersion dynamics. This is a consequence of the characteristic algebraic correlations, e.g., \( \langle (-1)^{i-j}S_i^x S_j^x \rangle \sim 1/|r|^{3/2} \) for the anti-ferromagnetic transverse spin correlation at zero temperature.

Finally, we comment on the transitions between the different phases. The spin wave analysis predicts, that the excitation spectrum for each phase becomes unstable at the mean-field critical points: For the Heisenberg points at \( \theta = \pi/4, 5\pi/4 \), such a behavior is expected due to the enhanced symmetry and one indeed finds, that at the critical point, the excitation spectrum from the Ising phase coincides with the spectrum from the XY ground state. Consequently, the spin waves provide the same contribution to the ground state energy, see Fig. 1b. In turn, at the instability points \( \theta_c, \bar{\theta}_c \), the excitation spectrum from the anti-ferromagnetic phase is different from the spectrum for the ferromagnetic \( F \) phase. Consequently, the ground state energy within the spin wave analysis exhibits a jump, see Fig. 1a. Such a behavior is an indication for the appearance of an intermediate phase. However, this question can not be conclusively answered within the presented analysis due to the limited validity of spin wave theory close to the transition points. However, the appearance of a first order phase transition can be excluded by arguments similar as in Ref. [4].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>correlation function</th>
<th>( T = 0 )</th>
<th>( 0 &lt; T &lt; T_c )</th>
<th>( T_c &lt; T )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \langle S_i^x S_j^x \rangle )</td>
<td>( \sim</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>^{-5/2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \langle S_i^y S_j^y + S_i^z S_j^z \rangle - m^2 )</td>
<td>( \sim</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>^{-3/2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II.** Correlation functions in the XY-F phase predicted by the spin wave analysis and high temperature expansion.
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