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The paired state of composite fermions is expected to support two kinds of excitations: vortices and unpaired composite fermions. We construct an explicit microscopic description of the unpaired composite fermions, which we demonstrate to be accurate for a 3-body model interaction, and, possibly, adiabatically connected to the Coulomb solution. This understanding reveals that an unpaired composite fermion carries with it a charge-neutral “topological” exciton, which, in turn, helps provide microscopic insight into the origin of zero modes, fusion rules, and energetics.
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Should composite fermions (CFs) form a p-wave paired state, as has been proposed1,2 for the mechanism of the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) at 5/2, analogy to superconductivity leads one to expect two kinds of excitations: vortices and unpaired CFs3 (UCFs). A number of their properties, predicted by the Bogoliubov-de Gennes treatment or conformal field theory,1,4,5 have been confirmed for excitations that are exact zero energy solutions of a model 3-body Hamiltonian $H_3$ (defined below), such as the quasihole vortices. The situation is less clear for other states, e.g., quasiparticle vortices and UCFs, as well as for the Coulomb interaction for which no accurate wave functions exist. Möller, Wójs and Cooper6 and Bonderson, Feiguin and Nayak7 have studied the UCF by exact diagonalization by considering states with an odd number ($N$) of composite fermions, which necessarily contain a composite fermion without a partner. This Letter presents a microscopic description of the UCF which reveals that the UCF carries with it what Hansson has termed a “topological”-exciton.8,9 That, in turn, yields a number of remarkable and physically transparent consequences for energetics, such as even-odd oscillations and zero modes.6,7,10 as well as fusion rules.1,4,5 We present evidence that the microscopic description below is exceedingly accurate for $H_3$, and that its essential features carry over adiabatically to the Coulomb solutions.

We consider the following ansatz for the UCF state:

$$\Psi_{UCF} = \mathcal{A} \phi_{CF}^\chi(\{z_j\}) \chi_{CF}^\chi(\{w_k\}) \prod_{j=1}^{M+1} \prod_{k=1}^M (z_j - w_k)$$

where the $N = 2M + 1$ composite fermions have been divided into two partitions, $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{M+1}\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_M\}$, occupying states $\phi_{CF}^\chi(\{z_j\})$ and $\chi_{CF}^\chi(\{w_k\})$, and the last term represents correlations between composite fermions in different partitions. The symbol $\mathcal{A}$ indicates antisymmetrization with respect to exchange of any two particles. Let us define the largest exponent of $z_j$ in $\phi$ as $2Q_\phi$ and the largest exponent of $w_k$ in $\chi$ as $2Q_\chi$, which are analogous to the flux (measured in units of the flux quantum $\phi_0 = \hbar c/e$) in the spherical geometry for $\phi$ and $\chi$. Because the net flux must be the same for all particles, we have

$$2Q = 2Q_\phi + M = 2Q_\chi + M + 1$$

including the contribution from the cross factor. At $2Q = 2N - 3$, the flux value relevant for the 5/2 state, $\phi$ is the 1/3 state with a quasiparticle and $\chi$ is the 1/3 state with a quasihole (Fig. 1a). The unpaired CF state thus carries a charge-neutral exciton. This “topological” exciton is to be distinguished from the “ordinary” exciton (Fig. 1b) that contains a quasiparticle-quasihole pair within one partition; unlike in the ordinary exciton, the quasiparticle and the quasihole in the topological exciton cannot annihilate one another — they are part of the ground state continuum at odd $N$. Different placements of the quasiparticle and the quasihole (Fig. 1a) generate a basis for UCF states.

The form of $\Psi_{UCF}$ is naturally motivated by the observation2 that the Pfaffian wave function for even $N$ can be written as the fully antisymmetrized “331” bilayer wave function of Halperin.11 The conformal field theory construction of topological exciton by Hansson8,9 is similar in spirit. These wave functions can be extended12 by starting with the more general bilayer wave functions of Scarola and Jain.13 Wave functions of this form have also been motivated by Hermanns14 and by Milovanović and Jolicoeur15 in a conformal field theory approach.

The ansatz $\Psi_{UCF}$ signifies definite predictions, with no free parameters, for the quantum numbers of the low energy states, their wave functions, and their energies.

![FIG. 1: (color online) Schematic depiction of (a) the unpaired composite fermion with topological exciton; (b) ordinary exciton. The composite fermions are shown as dots decorated with arrows, representing bound states of electrons and vortices. The left and right parts show the Λ level diagrams for composite fermions in the two partitions. The single CF in the otherwise empty Λ level is called a (CF-)quasiparticle and the missing CF a (CF-)quasihole, which, for the present case, have charge excess or deficiency of magnitude e/4 relative to the uniform ground state.](image)
The model 3-body interaction is given by $H_3 = \sum_{i<j<k} P^{(3)}_{ijk}(3Q-3)$, where $P^{(3)}_{ijk}(L)$ projects the state of the three particles $(i, j, k)$ into the subspace of total orbital angular momentum $L$; the interaction penalizes the smallest approach of the three particles. We find it convenient to express the UCF wave function in each angular momentum sector as a linear combination of exact eigenstates, $\Psi_{\text{exact}}$, of either $H_3$ or $H_{\text{CF}}$ in the same sector: $\Psi_{\text{UCF}} = \sum_i c_i \Psi_{\text{exact}}$. By considering sufficiently many $N$-particle configurations, we obtain a system of linear equations that can be solved to obtain $c_i$. The energies and overlaps of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ are then evaluated straightforwardly.\(^{18}\)

The angular momentum of the CF-quasiparticle in $\phi_{\text{CF}}$ is $(N+1)/4$ and the angular momentum of the CF-quasihole in $\chi_{\text{CF}}$ is $(N-1)/4$, which gives the allowed angular momenta for their combination as $L=1/2, \cdots, N/2$. It turns out, remarkably, that the state at $L=1/2$ is exactly annihilated\(^{19}\) upon antisymmetrization, thus leaving the UCF states at $L=3/2, 5/2, \cdots, N/2$. The annihilation of the state at the smallest $L$ is analogous to the annihilation of the $L=1$ CF exciton of the FQHE states at $\nu = n/(2n\pm1)$, as noted by Dev and Jain.\(^{20}\)

The exact 3-body spectra (dots) are shown in the four upper panels (a)–(d) of Fig. 2 for several $N$. A low energy branch of states (blue dots) is seen to be well separated from the continuum, and with the exception of $L=3/2$, the angular momenta of these states match nicely with the predicted values. The 3-body energies of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ and their overlaps with the corresponding exact eigenstates are also shown. The excellent agreement establishes the validity of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ for $H_3$, with the exception of $L=3/2$ where $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ better describes an excited state; it should be noted that $L=3/2$ represents the quasiparticle and quasihole at their shortest separation.

The exact spectra for $H_{\text{CF}}$ are shown in panels (e)–(h) of Fig. 2. These also contain a band of low-energy states (blue dots) at $L=3/2, 5/2, \cdots, N/2$, which is less well defined than the band for $H_3$, but the lowest state is well separated from the “continuum” at each $L$ in this range. Unlike for $H_3$, the $L=3/2$ state does not belong in the continuum. The overlaps of the Coulomb eigenstates with $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ are moderate; this is to be expected through which the theory opens itself to rigorous tests against exact results known for finite systems. The calculations below are performed in the spherical geometry in which the $N$ electrons move on the surface of the sphere under the influence of a radial magnetic field. The total flux through this spherical surface is $2Qhc/e$. The wave functions $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ can be translated into the spherical geometry using standard methods. For the quasiparticle, $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ is the magnetic length. The wave function in each angular momentum sector as a linear combination of exact eigenstates, $\Psi_{\text{exact}}$, of either $H_3$ or $H_{\text{CF}}$ in the same sector: $\Psi_{\text{UCF}} = \sum_i c_i \Psi_{\text{exact}}$. By considering sufficiently many $N$-particle configurations, we obtain a system of linear equations that can be solved to obtain $c_i$. The energies and overlaps of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ are then evaluated straightforwardly.\(^{18}\)

The angular momentum of the CF-quasiparticle in $\phi_{\text{CF}}$ is $(N+1)/4$ and the angular momentum of the CF-quasihole in $\chi_{\text{CF}}$ is $(N-1)/4$, which gives the allowed angular momenta for their combination as $L=1/2, \cdots, N/2$. It turns out, remarkably, that the state at $L=1/2$ is exactly annihilated\(^{19}\) upon antisymmetrization, thus leaving the UCF states at $L=3/2, 5/2, \cdots, N/2$. The annihilation of the state at the smallest $L$ is analogous to the annihilation of the $L=1$ CF exciton of the FQHE states at $\nu = n/(2n\pm1)$, as noted by Dev and Jain.\(^{20}\)

The exact 3-body spectra (dots) are shown in the four upper panels (a)–(d) of Fig. 2 for several $N$. A low energy branch of states (blue dots) is seen to be well separated from the continuum, and with the exception of $L=3/2$, the angular momenta of these states match nicely with the predicted values. The 3-body energies of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ and their overlaps with the corresponding exact eigenstates are also shown. The excellent agreement establishes the validity of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ for $H_3$, with the exception of $L=3/2$ where $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ better describes an excited state; it should be noted that $L=3/2$ represents the quasiparticle and quasihole at their shortest separation.

The exact spectra for $H_{\text{CF}}$ are shown in panels (e)–(h) of Fig. 2. These also contain a band of low-energy states (blue dots) at $L=3/2, 5/2, \cdots, N/2$, which is less well defined than the band for $H_3$, but the lowest state is well separated from the “continuum” at each $L$ in this range. Unlike for $H_3$, the $L=3/2$ state does not belong in the continuum. The overlaps of the Coulomb eigenstates with $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ are moderate; this is to be expected

FIG. 2: (color online) Exact spectra (dots) for several particle numbers $N$ at total flux $2Q = 2N - 3$ for the 3-body interaction (a–d), for the Coulomb interaction in the 2nd LL (e–h), and for Coulomb interaction in the lowest LL (i–l). $L$ is the orbital angular momentum and $\lambda$ is the magnetic length. The fractional numbers near dots are overlaps with $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$ (which contains no adjustable parameters) in (a–c) and (e–g). The red dashes are the energies of $\Psi_{\text{UCF}}$, not shown when they fall outside the frame (as in (g)). In (h) we show overlaps with the 3-body eigenstates; their Coulomb energies all fall outside the frame. The total number of linearly independent states in each $L$ sector is shown in brackets in (a–d), and “dim” indicates the dimension of the $L_z = 1/2$ basis used in exact diagonalization.

FIG. 3: (color online) Adiabatic evolution of the energy gap $\Delta(x)$ at each relevant value of $L$ (defined as the separation between the two lowest eigenvalues at that $L$) as the interaction is varied from 3-body to 2nd LL Coulomb. The evolution Hamiltonian is $H(x) = (1 - x)H_3 + xH_{\text{CF}}$, in which the energy scales $\varepsilon_3$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{CF}}$ are taken as the ordinary exciton energies at large wave vector. Jain’s wave function has been used.\(^{16,17}\)
because the overlap of the exact 5/2 Coulomb ground state at even $N$ with the Pfaffian wave function are also of similar level, and there is no reason why $\Psi_{UCF}$ should do better than the Pfaffian wave function. We now ask if the Coulomb eigenstates are adiabatically connected to $\Psi_{UCF}$, as was argued to be the case by Storni, Morf and Das Sarma$^{21}$ for the Pfaffian ground state for even $N$. We plot in Fig. 3 the evolution of the gap at each $L$ as we vary the interaction from $H_3$ to $H_C$. The gap does not close for $L \geq 5/2$. There is an avoided level crossing at $L = 3/2$, but, interestingly, the Coulomb state is seen to be connected to the 3-body excited state that has largest overlap with the UCF wave function. These results suggest that the Coulomb eigenstates are adiabatically connected to $\Psi_{UCF}$ for all relevant $L$. Further evidence for adiabatic continuity is presented in Fig. 4. We note that, as for the Pfaffian state, the agreement improves slightly (not shown) upon including finite thickness effects.

In contrast, the spectra for the lowest LL Coulomb state, shown in panels (i)-(l) of Fig. 2, are consistent with a system of weakly interacting composite fermions experiencing an effective flux $2Q^*| = 2|Q - N + 1| = 1$. In the topmost partially filled $\Lambda$ level shell, we have: one CF hole with single particle angular momentum $l^* = 5/2$ for $N = 11$; one CF with $l^* = 7/2$ for $N = 13$; three CFs each with $l^* = 7/2$ for $N = 15$; and three CF holes each with $l^* = 7/2$ for $N = 17$. The allowed $L$ values can be obtained from an elementary calculation, and match precisely those seen in the exact spectra. The similarity of the lowest bands for 15 and 17 particles is striking; there is no symmetry in the electron problem which implies this result, but it is explained rather naturally in the CF theory, where the two states are related by particle hole symmetry in the fourth $\Lambda$ level. States with excitations across one $\Lambda$ level can also be identified in these spectra as forming a well defined second band. Clearly, the structure of the low energy states in the lowest LL is qualitatively distinct from that for $H_3$.

The above description of the UCF gives natural insight into many properties of the 5/2 state:

**Odd-even parity effect:** The presence of the topological exciton at odd $N$ causes $O(1)$ oscillations in energy as a function of $N$, as found by Lu, Das Sarma and Park$^{10}$ with the energy difference between odd and even $N$ being equal to the minimum energy of the topological exciton.

**Ordinary vs. topological exciton:** A nontrivial outcome is that in the large wave vector limit (namely the large $L$ limit in the spherical geometry), the UCF and ordinary neutral exciton have the same energy because, in this limit, the constituent quasiparticle and quasihole
are far separated and their energy does not depend on whether they reside in the same or different partitions. (The energy must be defined properly relative to the uniform ground state, which for odd $N$ is to be obtained by interpolation.) For $H_3$ this has already been noted in exact diagonalization studies.\(^5\) For $H_C$ the finite size effects are stronger, but Fig. 5 convincingly demonstrates that the two exciton energies at large $L$ converge with increasing $N$. At short distances (small $L$), on the other hand, the energies of the ordinary and topological excitons are not equal. Further, the ordinary CF exciton is known to display a complex dispersion, possibly with several “roton” minima, resulting from a complex interplay between the density profiles of the quasihole and the quasiparticle as a function of their separation.\(^20,22-24\)

Similar behavior can be expected for the topological exciton, the constituents of which also have complicated density profiles (Fig. 5), and indeed, the dispersions in Fig. 2 or previous studies\(^6,7\) do exhibit minima. The minimum energy of the UCF will thus be lower than its large $L$ limit, as indicated by numerical studies.\(^7\) The location of the minimum depends on the form of the interaction, and will in general occur at different $L$ for $H_3$ and $H_C$.\(^7\)

As noted in Ref. 6, observation of the topological exciton will require a probe that changes $N$ by one unit; standard light scattering, which does not alter $N$, will excite the ordinary exciton for states with even or odd $N$, which differ only by a single localized topological exciton.

**Fusion rules:** Using the standard terminology of the Ising conformal field theory,\(^5\) we identify the UCF by $\psi$ and the vortex (a quasiparticle or a quasihole in one partition) by $\sigma$. The relation $\sigma \times \sigma = 1 + \psi$ indicates that a quasihole and a quasiparticle can be combined to produce two kinds of excitations, by placing them in the same or different partitions. The former, labeled “1,” produces an ordinary exciton all of whose quantum numbers are zero, whereas the latter, labeled “$\psi$,” produces a UCF. The relation $\sigma \times \psi = \sigma$ captures the reaction in which the addition of a quasiparticle or a quasihole to the UCF state annihilates half of the UCF to leave a single quasiparticle or quasihole. Finally, $\psi \times \psi = 1$ encapsulates the fact that two UCFs make two ordinary excitons. In all cases above, we have considered the lowest energy outcomes only. The fusion relations in the presence of several quasiparticles or quasiholes can similarly be derived.

**Zero modes:** A nontrivial prediction of the $p_x \pm ip_y$ pairing scenario is the existence of a degenerate subspace of states for quasiparticles or quasiholes that differ in fermion number. Möller, Wójs and Cooper\(^6\) have shown that the average energies of a system with two quasiholes or quasiparticles are very close, modulo finite size uncertainties, with and without a UCF (i.e., for even or odd $N$). To see what insight the present work contributes, consider a state at even $N$ with $2n$ quasiholes, $n$ in each partition. Adding a UCF produces an “imbalanced” system with $n + 1$ and $n - 1$ quasiholes in the two partitions, because the quasiparticle of the topological exciton annihilates one of the quasiholes. This allows the immediate conclusion that **provided** the quasiholes are far apart, the energy both before and after is simply $2n$ times the self energy of an isolated quasihole. The same holds for a collection of quasiparticles.

One may question if these conclusions, which rely on the validity of $\Psi_{UCF}$, apply to the solutions of $H_C$. In this context, it is important to note that the above analysis does not depend on the details of the wave functions but only on the structure of the theory for the quasiparticles, quasiholes and the UCF. To the extent this structure continues adiabatically to $H_C$, the conclusions should carry over, and should also be robust to weak corrections arising from finite width and Landau level mixing.
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3. The unpaired composite fermion has been referred to as a “neutral fermion” in the literature.\(^6,7\)
18. For 17 particles, the dimension of the $L_z = 1/2$ basis, shown on Fig. 2, is too large for this method.
19. We do not have an analytic proof for this, but have found it to be correct to machine accuracy for $N \leq 15$.
