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We exploit a plasmon mediated two-step momentum downconversion scheme to convert low-energy tunneling electrons into propagating photons. Surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) propagating along an extended gold nanowire are excited on one end by low-energy electron tunneling and are then converted to free-propagating photons at the other end. The separation of excitation and outcoupling proves that tunneling electrons excite gap plasmons that subsequently couple to propagating plasmons. Our work shows that electron tunneling provides a non-optical, voltage-controlled and low-energy pathway for launching SPPs in nanostructures, such as plasmonic waveguides.
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The momentum of a non-relativistic free electron of mass $m_e$ is a factor $\sqrt{2m_e c^2/\hbar \omega} \sim 500$ greater than that of a visible photon of the same energy. This implies that the interaction between electrons and photons is weak for $\hbar \omega \ll m_e c^2$, since energy and momentum cannot be simultaneously conserved. One way to bridge this mismatch is to employ polariton modes (plasmons). They have the same energy as free space photons but arbitrarily high spatial localization (hence momentum), and can therefore couple efficiently to electrons [1].

The idea to use electrons to excite surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs) in metals dates back to Ritchie's landmark paper of 1957 [2]. High-energy ($\sim 30$ keV) free electrons have since been used to excite both propagating [3–5] and localized plasmons [6, 7]. A novel low energy route based on inelastic electron tunneling was discovered by Lambe and McCarthy in experiments with roughened metal-insulator-metal (MIM) junctions [8], ultimately leading to the observation of light emission from the scanning tunneling microscope (STM) [9, 10]. The origin of STM photoemission lies in the highly localized gap plasmons excited in the tip-sample cavity by inelastically tunneling electrons [11, 12]. Early experiments suggested that gap plasmons could in turn excite extended surface plasmons (SPPs), which can decay radiatively in a glass substrate [13–15]. Although advances in the field of STM have enabled the control of photoemission on the atomic scale [16–19], the excitation of extended plasmonic structures by tunneling electrons has not yet been addressed. So far, electrical SPP excitation schemes employed either high energy electrons [3–5] or near-field coupling of electroluminescent excitons [20, 21].

In this Letter we demonstrate a direct energy conversion route from electrons to photons, accomplished via intermediate plasmonic excitations. Specifically, we employ inelastic electron tunneling to excite localized gap plasmons in the junction of a gold tip and a monocrystalline gold nanorod. The gap plasmons then couple to propagating surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs), which in turn are converted to propagating photons by edge scattering. The experimental configuration, shown in Fig. 1, is a combination of an inverted optical microscope and an STM.

A bias voltage is applied between the sample and a gold tip, whose position is held under feedback at a constant tunneling current. Light is collected on the substrate-side with a high numerical aperture (NA=1.4) objective, and the full angular distribution of emitted photons is recorded by imaging the back focal plane (Fourier plane) of the objective on an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) camera. Alternatively, the distribution of photons in real-space can be mapped by including another focusing lens in the imaging path.

To characterize the electron-photon coupling mecha-
anism we first investigated the electrical excitation of extended propagating SPPs on a thermally evaporated Au film deposited on a glass substrate (n=1.52). A film thickness of 20nm provides a compromise between SPP propagation length and film transparency for detection of leakage radiation. A chemically etched ∼50nm sharp Au tip was brought in tunneling contact to the surface (typical substrate bias Vt = 2V; tunneling current I = 1nA; tip-sample distance ∼9 Å) giving rise to a photon count rate of 5–10 kcps, which corresponds to an electron-photon conversion efficiency of ∼10⁻⁵. For biases of 1.9V and higher, the spectrum of the emitted light spans from 650nm to 800nm, peaking around 700nm [22]. The exact spectral profile depends on the tip shape [23]. For a 20nm gold film and a free space wavelength of λ = 700nm, the SPP has a wavevector of k_	ext{spp} = 1.06 k_o, where k_o = 2π/λ is the free space wavevector. Because of the finite film thickness and the supporting glass substrate, the excited SPPs decay via leakage radiation that is emitted into the Kretschmann angle at θ_K = 43.8°.

To experimentally verify the electrical excitation of SPPs and their radiative decay we imaged the intensity distribution of the emitted photons in the back focal plane of the collection objective. The recorded intensity map can be directly translated into an angular radiation pattern or a momentum distribution of the emitted photons. As shown in Figs. 2 (a,b), the intensity distribution is rotationally symmetric and features a ring whose radius corresponds to an emission angle of θ ≈ 44°. This angle is larger than the critical angle of total internal reflection θ_c = arcsin[1/n] ≈ 41.1° and is readily identified as the Kretschmann angle. We find that more than ∼90% of the total radiation is emitted at angles larger than θ_c. To analyze the spatial polarization distribution of the emitted radiation we place a polarizer behind the back focal plane of the objective. The resulting intensity distribution is shown in the inset of Fig. 2 (a) for one particular polarizer orientation. The photons in the ring are almost p-polarized, as expected for leakage radiation from propagating surface plasmons. The incomplete extinction is likely due to scattering of localized gap modes from surface roughness.

In a next step we replaced the 20nm gold film by a ∼5nm granular gold film, which does not support propagating SPPs. As expected, gap plasmons excited by inelastic electron tunneling are not able to couple to SPPs and hence no plasmon ring could be observed. Instead, the back focal plane image features a uniform intensity pattern with a discontinuity at θ_c, suggesting that the light originates from a localized but randomly oriented source distribution [24].

The physical mechanism behind electron-excited SPP generation can be described by a two-step process. Inelastically tunneling electrons first couple to localized modes of the tip-sample junction (gap plasmons). The excited gap plasmons then couple to SPPs propagating along the gold-air interface. The excited gap plasmons can be represented by an oscillating vertical dipole \( \mathbf{p}_z \). In terms of the transmission coefficient \( t^{(z)} \) of the gold film, the in-plane momentum \( \langle k_{\|} \rangle \) distribution of transmitted photons can be calculated as [25]

\[
\mathbf{E}(k_{\|}) = \frac{i p_z}{8\pi^2\varepsilon_0} \frac{k_{\|} t^{(z)}(k_{\|})}{\sqrt{1-k_{\|}^2/k_o^2}} \exp\left[i k_o z_o \sqrt{1-k_{\|}^2/k_o^2}\right] \mathbf{n}_\rho,
\]

where \( z_o \) is the height of the dipole above the gold surface and \( \mathbf{n}_\rho \) is the unit vector in radial direction parallel to the gold layer. The intensity in the back focal plane corresponds to the modulus square of \( \mathbf{E}(k_{\|}) \). The numerical aperture of the collection objective restricts the range of spatial frequencies to \( k_{\|} = [0..k_o, NA] \). The angle \( \theta \) used for the cross-sections in Fig. 2 is related to \( k_{\|} \) by \( \sin(k_{\|}) = NA/n \). The theoretical intensity distribution in the back focal plane is shown in Fig. 2 (c) for a source dipole located at \( z_o = 0 \). For comparison with experimental data the calculated intensity distribution has been multiplied with \( \cos \theta \) in order to account for the apodization of the collection objective. A cross-section through the center of the field distribution is given in
FIG. 3: Real space images of the tunnel junction. (A) Experimental image and cross section recorded by refocusing the photons collected by the objective on a CCD. (B) Theoretical image corresponding to the square modulus of the Fourier transform of Eq. (1). The minimum at the center originates from the vertical dipole associated with the gap plasmon. The concentric interference rings indicate that the interfering fields have well-defined energy and momentum (leakage radiation from SPPs).

Fig. 2 (d). The theoretical distributions are in good agreement with the experimental data shown in Figs. 2 (a,b), which provides strong support for the ‘localized gap plasmon’ hypothesis.

To provide further evidence for the dipole-like character of the gap plasmon we record an optical image of the tunnel junction. This is accomplished by refocusing the fields in the back focal plane of the objective on a CCD. The resulting image corresponds to the Fourier transform of Eq. (1). If the dominant contribution to light emission does indeed originate from a vertical dipole, we expect an intensity null along the optical axis, which follows from symmetry considerations. In Fig. 3 we show both the experimental image (a) and the theoretical image (b) along with cross sections through the center of the patterns. In both images we indeed find an intensity minimum at the center enclosed by concentric interference rings. These rings originate from the interference of counter propagating waves with well-defined k-vectors (leakage radiation from SPPs) on the image screen. Because of background noise, surface roughness of the film, finite detector pixel size, and tip asymmetry, the minimum in the experimental image is not a perfect null. Nevertheless, the data agrees well with theory and provides further support for the dipole nature of the gap plasmon.

The gap plasmon can be quenched by replacing the gold tip with a material that provides sufficiently high dissipation. To demonstrate this effect we repeated our experiments with a tungsten tip. As expected, the overall intensity of the emitted radiation dropped by more than one order of magnitude [22]. The need for a Au-Au junction for enhanced light emission is further supported by the practice of ‘indenting’ a tungsten tip in gold, as reported in previous studies [18, 26].

For applications in nanophotonics it is desirable to have an electrically excited nanoscale photon source. For such a source to be practical, the electrical excitation region and the photon emission region need to be spatially separated, which is not the case for the extended gold film discussed so far. However, the spatial separation of excitation and emission can be accomplished by exploiting plasmons in confined geometries, such as a gold nanowire. As illustrated in Fig. 1, we excite SPPs on one end of a nanowire by electron tunneling. Excited SPPs then propagate towards the other end where they are converted to propagating photons by scattering. Thus, the nanowire functions as a transmission line mediating between the electrical feed point and the optical outcoupling region.

The monocryllalline gold nanowires used in our experiments were synthesized by wet chemistry [27] and then dispersed on an indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass substrate. The nanowire sample was then characterized by SEM and by optical light scattering. To minimize SPP propagation losses and to improve the outcoupling efficiency we selected individual wires with radii in the range of 50-100 nm [28]. Fig. 4 (a) shows an SEM image of a selected nanowire of radius 87 nm that has been cut to a length of ~5 µm by focused ion beam (FIB) milling. Using a translation stage we positioned the nanowire into the field of view of the objective lens and placed the STM tip over one of the wire’s ends. Similar to the case of the planar gold film, electron tunneling gives rise to a gap plasmon, which can be described by an oscillating vertical dipole. The gap plasmon then couples to SPPs propagating along the gold nanowire and once the SPPs reach the other end of the nanowire they are scattered and partially converted to free-propagating photons. Emitted photons are collected with the objective lens and then refocused on an image plane. In order to prevent propagating SPPs from decaying via leakage

FIG. 4: Electrical excitation of SPPs propagating along a monocryllalline gold nanowire. (A) SEM image of a gold nanowire of radius 87 nm and length ~5 µm. (B) Photon emission map superimposed to the SEM image. SPPs excited at one end of the wire propagate to the other end where they are scattered and converted to propagating photons. Inset: Intensity map along the nanowire showing diffraction-limited emission peaks from both ends.
Fig. 4 (b) shows the direct space photon map for the nanowire in Fig. 4 (a). SPPs are excited by electron tunneling at the left end of the wire. The bias voltage is $V_t = 2\text{V}$ and the tunnel current $I_t = 1\text{nA}$. The map indicates that no photons are emitted along the nanowire and that a remarkable fraction of photons emerges from the wire ends. Similar results have been recorded for other wires as well. Because of the strong localization at the nanowire end, the field distribution in the backfocal plane (Fourier plane) is very broad [22]. For the nanowire shown in Fig. 4 we measure 15,000 photons $s^{-1}$ emitted from the tunnel junction whereas the total counts from the opposite end are 750 photons $s^{-1}$. Thus, the overall coupling efficiency along the nanowire is $\sim 5\%$. The efficiency improves for shorter nanowires because of lower propagation losses. Further improvement requires optimization of the coupling between gap-plasmons and propagating SPPs and optimization of the SPP outcoupling on the opposite end of the nanowire.

The nanowire serves as a proof-of-principle geometry and is by no means an optimized configuration. Engineered plasmonic structures, such as optical antennas [30], will be able to improve the electron-photon conversion efficiency. Because of the spatial separation of SPP excitation and SPP outcoupling, the optimization can proceed in two separate steps: the optimization of the tunneling junction and the optimization of the photon emission region. The former is a function of both the electronic and electromagnetic density of states whereas the latter constitutes a canonical antenna problem.

Our work demonstrates that low-energy electrons can couple to photons through a cascade of events. Tunneling electrons first excite gap plasmons, which in turn couple to propagating SPPs. The latter are then converted to photons via scattering. Thus, electron tunneling provides a non-optical, voltage-controlled, low-energy and nanoscale pathway for launching SPPs in nanostructures. Our experiments were carried out under ambient conditions and do not require any vacuum conditions. It is important to point out the advantages of our technique over related schemes. While high energy electrons provide a highly localized source for exciting plasmons, they are impractical for on-chip implementation. Also, exciton-mediated approaches using inorganic or organic semiconductors require multi-step fabrication which increases device complexity. The method introduced here, on the other hand, only demands metal electrodes that can be easily integrated in a planar geometry. Moreover, the low-voltages required make it completely compatible with current complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) technology, thus enabling electron-photon signal transduction in integrated optoelectronic nanodevices.
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