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Abstract

We have performed angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy studies of the electronic structure in LiC$_6$ and KC$_8$, a non-superconducting and a superconducting graphite intercalation compound, respectively. We have found that the charge transfer from the intercalant layers to graphene layers is larger in KC$_8$ than in LiC$_6$, opposite of what might be expected from their chemical composition. We have also measured the strength of the electron-phonon interaction on the graphene-derived Fermi surface to carbon derived phonons in both materials and found that it follows a universal trend where the coupling strength and superconductivity monotonically increase with transfer of electrons into the graphene-derived $\pi^*$ states. This correlation between the filling of graphene $\pi^*$ states, the strength of the electron-phonon coupling and superconductivity suggests that both graphene-derived electrons and graphene-derived phonons are crucial for superconductivity in GICs.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Kc, 71.18.+y, 74.10.+v
In graphite intercalation compounds (GIC), the intercalation of various atomic or molecular species in between graphene layers in graphite leads to novel properties and a very rich physics, including superconductivity [1]. In graphite intercalated with alkaline metals, superconductivity has been known for decades [2], but after recent discovery of relatively high $T_c$ superconductivity in CaC$_6$ ($T_c = 11.5$ K) [3, 4] research in this field has been intensified. Even though the electron-phonon coupling (EPC) is most likely responsible for pairing in GICs [5–7], it is still not clear what electronic states, intercalant- or graphene-derived ones, and what phonons are responsible for pairing [8–11]. Due to differences in structure and composition, no clear trends have been identified that could unambiguously resolve these issues. For example, KC$_8$ is a superconductor and LiC$_6$ is not. Further, in GICs intercalated with alkaline earths, $T_c$ ranges from zero to 11.5K, even though they share the same chemical formula MC$_6$, where M is an alkaline earth atom. On the other hand, band structure calculations show that in graphite and GICs, an interlayer state exists above $\pi^*$ band [12, 13], prompting some researchers to propose that its partial filling and coupling to soft intercalant phonons induces superconductivity in GICs [8, 14]. The experimental situation is still inconclusive, with strong advocates for intercalant [7] and graphene dominated superconductivity [5, 15–17]. Recent angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) study on CaC$_6$ [15] reported that EPC on graphene-derived Fermi surface (FS) to graphene phonons is strong enough to explain a $T_c$ in the range of tens of Kelvin, indicating that graphene sheets provide crucial ingredients for superconductivity in GICs. However, to test this idea, it would be important to extend similar studies to GICs with different $T_c$.

In this letter, we report ARPES studies of the electronic structure and the EPC in the non-superconducting LiC$_6$ and in superconducting KC$_8$ ($T_c = 0.39$ K) and compare these materials with several other GICs. We find that the EPC on the graphene derived $\pi^*$ states to the graphene derived phonons increases with the filling of $\pi^*$ states in a sequence from LiC$_6$ to KC$_8$ to CaC$_6$, following the same trend as $T_c$. The positive correlation between these quantities implies that superconductivity originates in graphene sheets while the main role of intercalants is to provide the charge for filling of the graphene $\pi^*$ states.

The experiments were carried out on a Scienta SES-100 electron spectrometer operating in the angle-resolved mode at the beamline 12.0.1 of the Advanced Light Source. The spectra were recorded at the photon energy of 50 eV, with the combined instrumental energy resolution of 20-25 meV and the momentum resolution of $\pm 0.008 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ in geometry where
the polarization of light was perpendicular to the probed momentum line. The LiC₆ and KC₈ samples were prepared by intercalating natural, single-crystal graphite flakes (Madagascan) using the vapour transport method as described in Ref. [1]. X-ray diffraction showed very high sample purity with no graphite or secondary stage phases. To avoid degradation, all samples were unsealed and glued to the sample holder with Ag-epoxy in an Ar filled glow box. Protected by the cured epoxy, they were then quickly transferred to the ARPES prep-chamber, and cleaved at low temperature (15-20 K) under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) conditions (2 × 10⁻⁹ Pa). All data were collected at 15-20 K.

Fig. 1 shows the ARPES spectra near the K point in the graphene Brillouin zone (BZ) for LiC₆ and KC₈. The upper panels (a) and (b) show the contours of photoemission intensity as a function of binding energy for a momentum line going through the K point. The intensity from a narrow interval (±10 meV) around the Fermi level, representing the FS, is shown in the lower panels (c) and (d). The dispersing states are the graphene-derived π and π⁺ bands, as marked in Fig. 1(a) and (b). In KC₈ the low energy band structure is essentially graphene-like, with π and π⁺ bands touching at the Dirac point [16] which is shifted below the Fermi level due to doping. In LiC₆, a sizable gap exists between π and π⁺ band. Dirac point is determined by extrapolating the linear part of π⁺ dispersion at low binding energies to the K point. The arrows in Fig. 1(a) and (b) indicate the position of Dirac point, (E_D), 0.825 eV and 1.35 eV for LiC₆ and KC₈, respectively. It is clear from Fig. 1 that the π⁺ band is filled more in KC₈ than in LiC₆ and that it forms a larger FS in the former material. The area enclosed by the FS (lower panels in Fig. 1) is a direct measure of doping of graphene π⁺ states, i.e. of the charge transferred into the graphene sheets. The FS is determined from peak positions of momentum distribution curves (MDCs) at E_F (open circles) and compared to the 3ʳᵈ nearest neighbor hopping tight binding band structure (lines). In KC₈, the occupied FS area is 0.399 Å⁻² which corresponds to 0.11 electrons per graphene unit cell (GUC), or 44% of the nominal value of 0.25 for the complete charge transfer. In LiC₆, the occupied π⁺ (green circles and lines) FS area is 0.125 Å⁻², corresponding to the doping of only 0.0344 electrons per GUC. This is far below the nominal value of 0.33 electrons per GUC.

The incomplete charge transfer into the graphene π⁺ states would suggest that the remaining charge occupies the so-called interlayer band. The occupation of the interlayer state has been recently reported in CaC₆[18], but the observed feature was very weak and broad.
Even the graphene-derived $\pi^*$ state was very broad and did not form an enclosed contour at the Fermi level, casting doubts on these results. Our experiments always show relatively sharp $\pi^*$ band that forms a well defined FS. However, in MC$_6$(M=Li, Ca, Ba) materials, in addition to $\pi^*$ band, we always see a broad feature at slightly higher binding energy that follows the $\pi^*$ band, dispersing upward from K point (Fig. 1(a)). Further from the K point, the broad feature loses intensity and its dispersion cannot be precisely traced. In CaC$_6$, this feature is observable over larger region of $k$ space (Fig. 1 in [15]). It is possible be that this feature is a remnant of an interlayer band, smeared out by a disorder within the intercalant layers and folded into the K point of the graphene BZ. However, our measurements do not show any evidence of the interlayer band in the region from which it should be folded to the K point - the $\Gamma$ point. We note that in pristine graphite, the interlayer hopping $t_{\perp}$ splits both $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ bands into the bonding and antibonding counterparts due to the AB stacking of graphene sheets. It would be tempting to assign the broad feature as a bonding $\pi^*$ state, due to similarities in initial dispersion. However, all first stage GICs have the AA stacking of graphene sheets and such assignment would be incorrect. On the other hand, in LiC$_6$ and CaC$_6$, the $\pi^*$ band might be split due to the AB stacking of the intercalant (if there is not too much disorder in the intercalant sites). If this was indeed the case, the correct charge transfer to the graphene layers would be 0.0616 and 0.349 electrons per GUC, for LiC$_6$ and CaC$_6$, respectively.

Irrespective of these issues, our experimental observation that the doping of graphene sheets is larger in KC$_8$ than in LiC$_6$ is opposite of the expected nominal doping, but is in line with the existence of superconductivity in these materials: KC$_8$ is a superconductor and LiC$_6$ is not. In the following, we identify the reason for the correlation between superconductivity and doping of the graphene sheets. It is evident from Fig. 1 that in both LiC$_6$ and KC$_8$, an anomaly or a kink in dispersion of the $\pi^*$ band occurs at approximately 0.165 eV below the $E_F$. This is a hallmark of the interaction of the electronic states with phonons [19] that have been previously observed in CaC$_6$ and KC$_8$ [15, 16] and attributed to a coupling to graphene in-plane high-frequency phonons. To quantify the electron phonon coupling, we have used the standard MDC fitting procedure [20, 21] which uses a tight binding dispersion as the starting approximation for the bare band and gives the real (Re$\Sigma$) and imaginary (Im$\Sigma$) part of self energy as fitting parameters. The bare band dispersion is then refined until the obtained Re$\Sigma$ and Im$\Sigma$ satisfy Kramers-Kronig transformations [22]. Panels (a)
FIG. 1: Photoemission spectra from LiC$_6$ (a) and KC$_8$ (b) along the same momentum line in the graphene Brillouin Zone traversing the K point, as indicated by the arrows in panels (c) and (d). Lines represent the $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ bands. Arrows indicate the binding energy of Dirac point. (c) and (d) Photoemission intensity from a narrow energy interval around the Fermi level ($\omega = \pm 10$ meV), representing the graphene-derived $\pi^*$ FS, for LiC$_6$ and KC$_8$, respectively. Circles represent the MDC peak positions, while lines represent the tight-binding fits to the data, as described in the text.

and (c) in Fig. 2 show the Im$\Sigma$ while panels (b) and (d) show Re$\Sigma$ for both materials for several different locations on the FS, as indicated in figure.

Re$\Sigma$ in both materials shows a peak at around -0.165 eV, while Im$\Sigma$ shows a decrease below that energy, indicating a coupling to the phonon mode. The only phonons with such high energy are graphene-derived in-plane phonon modes. A small variation in the energy at which Re$\Sigma$ has a maximum at different points on the FS indicates a slight dispersion of the mode. We note that the sharp increase of Re$\Sigma$ below 20 meV is an artifact of finite energy resolution of the experimental apparatus [23]. We have excluded the affected interval $|\omega| < 20$ meV from the considerations and any fine structure, related to a possible coupling
FIG. 2: Im\(\Sigma(\omega)\) (a) and Re\(\Sigma(\omega)\) (b) for LiC\(_6\) and KC\(_8\) ((c) and (d)) for several different points at the FS, as indicated in (e) and (f). (e) the electron-phonon coupling strength, \(\lambda\), for LiC\(_6\) and KC\(_8\), extracted from Re\(\Sigma(\omega)\) as a function of polar angle \(\phi\) as defined in (f).

to the intercalant modes, is out of our detection limits. However, the lack of broadening of the \(\pi^*\) states with increasing temperature over the range of 15 K < \(T\) < 200 K suggests that the low energy modes play insignificant role and that the EPC is dominated by the graphene-derived high frequency modes.

The coupling constant \(\lambda\) can be extracted directly from Re\(\Sigma\) as \((\lambda = -[\partial(\text{Re}\Sigma)/\partial\omega]_0)\) by fitting the low energy part to a straight line. It shows some anisotropy [Fig. 2(e)], with the maximum along the KM direction and the minimum along the Gk direction, similar, but significantly smaller than in CaC\(_6\)[15] and what was recently reported for KC\(_8\) [16]. The most important observation, however, is that the momentum averaged \(\langle\lambda_k\rangle\) is stronger in KC\(_8\) than in LiC\(_6\). The coupling constant and its anisotropy both increase from LiC\(_6\) to KC\(_8\) to CaC\(_6\), exactly in the same sequence as the filling of the graphene \(\pi^*\) band and in previously established sequence for \(T_c\). Strengthening of EPC with the filling of the \(\pi^*\) band has also been observed in the epitaxial graphene [26]. This is not surprising because the density of states near the \(E_F\) increases with the filling of the \(\pi^*\) band and a larger FS makes an EPC process more probable as the phase space available for the scattering events grows.
FIG. 3: (a) Measured charge transfer (electrons per graphene unit cell (GUC)) from the intercalant to the graphene $\pi^*$ states. (b) Binding energy of Dirac point. (c) EPC coupling constant $\lambda$. The range of each vertical bar indicates the anisotropy with the maximum along the KM line and minimum along the KΓ line. Red circles represent the momentum-averaged coupling constant $\langle \lambda_{k} \rangle$. (d) Superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ in GICs. Red dots represent experimental values [2–4], and blue diamonds are calculated from the measured $\langle \lambda_{k} \rangle$, shown in (c), using McMillan’s formula [24]. The inset zooms in the low $T_c$ values. All the quantities are shown as functions of nominal chemical composition for measured GICs. Zero corresponds to the pristine graphite. Data for CaC$_6$ and pristine graphite are from ref. [15] and for KC$_{24}$ are from ref. [25].

In the pristine graphite, the FS is nearly a point and the EPC is strongly suppressed [15, 27].

To better illustrate a positive correlation between $T_c$, $\lambda$ and doping of the graphene $\pi^*$ band in different GICs, we plot these quantities in Fig. 3 as functions of nominal chemical composition for several different materials. Actual (measured) charge transfer (in electrons/GUC) is shown in Fig. 3(a). The increase in size of the FS is consistent with the energy
of Dirac point [panel (b)] as the chemical potential, $\mu$, shifts from the pristine graphite to CaC$_6$. It is interesting to note that $\mu \propto \sqrt{\langle n_{\text{exp}} \rangle}$ still holds, regardless of the shape of FS and number of FS sheets in these five different materials. The coupling constant $\lambda$ and $T_c$ follow the same trend. This suggests that the graphene $\pi^*$ states and their coupling to graphene in-plane phonons is crucial for superconductivity and that the only role that the intercalants seem to play is to provide the charge for filling of the $\pi^*$ states. This is further re-inforced by the calculated $T_c$ using McMillan’s formula [24]:

$$T_c = \frac{\Theta}{1.45} \exp\left(-\frac{1.04(\lambda + 1)}{\lambda - (0.62\lambda + 1)\mu^*}\right)$$

where we use measured $\langle \lambda_k \rangle$ (panel(c)), Debye temperature $\Theta = 1926$ K and Coulomb pseudopotential $\mu^* \sim 0.14$. As shown in Fig. 3(d), the calculated $T_c$ values are very close to the ones measured experimentally. The threshold-like behavior of $T_c$ near $\langle \lambda_k \rangle = 0.3$ places LiC$_6$ on one side and KC$_8$ on another side of a steep increase in $T_c$.

We note that superconductivity in LiC$_3$ and LiC$_2$, materials in which more Li is pushed in under pressure, supports our picture where the EPC and superconductivity strengthen with the filling of graphene $\pi^*$ states. The increase in $T_c$ from 0.39 K for stoichiometric KC$_8$ to 0.55 K in material with excess K is also in line with this picture. A further test would be a systematic ARPES study on alkaline-earth GICs (Ca, Sr, Ba) where $T_c$ decreases with the atomic mass of alkaline-earth intercalant.

In conclusion, we have identified the universal trend in alkali and alkaline-earth GICs where superconductivity is tightly correlated with the doping of graphene-derived $\pi^*$ states and with the coupling of these states to graphene phonons. This implies that the graphene sheets play the crucial role in superconductivity in GICs.
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