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Tunable spin loading and $T_1$ of a silicon spin qubit measured by single-shot readout
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We demonstrate single-shot readout of a silicon quantum dot spin qubit, and we measure the spin relaxation time $T_1$. We show that the rate of spin loading can be tuned by an order of magnitude by changing the amplitude of a pulsed gate voltage, and the fraction of spin-up electrons loaded can also be controlled. This tunability arises because electron spins can be loaded through an orbital excited state. Using theory that includes excited states of the dot and energy dependent tunneling, we find that a global fit to the loading rate and spin-up fraction is in good agreement with the data.
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The properties of silicon have made it the central material for the fabrication of current microelectronic devices. Silicon’s fundamental properties also make it an attractive option for the development of devices for spintronics [1] and quantum information processing (QIP) [2–5]. Spin qubits in silicon are expected to have long coherence times, thanks to the predominance of a spin-zero nuclear isotope and relatively weak spin-orbit coupling. However, silicon quantum dots have yet to demonstrate the reproducibility and controllability achieved in gallium arsenide devices [6–9]. In particular, the ability to manipulate and measure spins of single electrons is crucial for applications in spintronics and QIP. Spin relaxation times in Si quantum dots have been measured using time-averaged techniques [10, 11]. Readout of spin qubits requires single-shot spin measurement [12, 13], something that has not been demonstrated in gate-defined Si quantum dots. Gated quantum dots are important for QIP, because they are highly tunable, and the demonstration of qubits in double [6] and triple [14] quantum dots offers a path towards scalability. Further, tunability enables control over coupling to both ground and excited states, which has the potential to enable rapid qubit initialization.

In this Letter, we demonstrate single-shot spin readout in a silicon quantum dot spin qubit, and we report single-shot measurement of the longitudinal spin relaxation time $T_1$. We further demonstrate that the rate of loading of electron spins can be tuned over an order of magnitude using a gate voltage, that the spin state depends systematically on the loading voltage level, and that this tunability arises because electron spins can be loaded through excited orbital states of the quantum dot. The longitudinal spin relaxation time $T_1$ is found to be $\sim 3$ seconds at a field of 1.85 Tesla. The demonstration of single spin measurement as well as a long spin relaxation time and tunability of the loading are all favorable properties for spintronics and quantum information processing applications. Our results show that Si/SiGe quantum dots can be fabricated that are sufficiently tunable to enable single-electron manipulation and measurement, and that long spin relaxation times are consistent with the orbital and/or valley excitation energies in these systems.

The measurements we report were performed in a dilution refrigerator with a parallel magnetic field on a gate-defined quantum dot fabricated from a Si/SiGe het-
order of increasing energy, as one of four energy eigenstates; we denote the states, in which the first index refers to spin (\( \downarrow \) having lower energy than \( \uparrow \)) and the second to the ground (\( g \)) and excited (\( e \)) orbital levels. We obtain an experimental map of the discrete energy spectrum by measuring the differential current \( dI_{QPC}/dV \) through a charge sensing quantum point contact while applying square voltage pulses to gate L. The grayscale plots of \( dI_{QPC}/dV \) in Fig. 1(c,d) reveal dark lines corresponding to the onset of tunneling to the energy eigenstates when the transitions come into resonance with the Fermi energy \( E_F \) [16]. The measured calibration factor for gate L, \( \alpha = 0.125 \pm 0.006 \text{ eV/V} \), translates the positions of these lines into a spectroscopy of the dot energy levels.

In Fig. 1(c), the darkest line indicates the onset of transitions to the orbital excited state \( |e\rangle \) of energy \( 311 \pm 19 \text{ eV} \). This relatively large energy splitting is favorable for applications in which spin coherence is desirable [17], and it is notable because the low-lying excited orbital states in Si/SiGe quantum dots have fundamental differences compared to those in GaAs [18], because of the role of valley degrees of freedom [19–25]. The Zeeman splitting of the ground and excited states is shown in Fig. 1(d).

A main focus of this work is to demonstrate that orbital excited states can be exploited to control both the rate of loading and the spin state of the electron loaded into the dot. To measure the spin state of individual electrons, we use a 3-level pulsed-gate technique for single-shot readout pioneered in Ref. [12]. Starting with the electron unloaded, \( V_{PL} \) is rapidly changed to a load level. A downward step is visible in \( I_{QPC} \) when an electron of either spin tunnels onto the dot. To measure the spin state of individual electrons, we use a 3-level pulsed-gate technique for single-shot readout pioneered in Ref. [12]. Starting with the electron unloaded, \( V_{PL} \) is rapidly changed to a load level. A downward step is visible in \( I_{QPC} \) when an electron of either spin tunnels onto the dot.

Three single-shot spin readout traces are shown in Fig. 2(a–c). In each case, the voltage levels for the readout and empty stages are the same. As shown in the schematics, the load level is varied for preferential loading of states \(| \uparrow g \rangle, | \downarrow e \rangle, \) and \(| \uparrow e \rangle \) in panels (a), (b), and (c), respectively. The data in (a) and (c) show that a spin-\( \uparrow \) was loaded, and the data in (b) show that a spin-\( \downarrow \) was loaded. The loading rate can be determined by averaging many loading events together, resulting in an exponential decay of \( I_{QPC} \) from a magnitude corresponding to an unloaded electron to that corresponding to a loaded electron. Panels (d–f) show that the loading rates into the excited orbital states are much faster than into the ground orbital states.

The rate at which an electron loads into the dot, as well
as the spin state of that electron, both depend strongly on the voltage at which the electron is loaded. In Fig. 3, we show that these features are observed over a wide range in gate voltage. Fig. 3(a) shows that the loading rate $\Gamma_{\text{load}}$, measured in the same way as in Fig. 2(d–f), but now as a continuous function of loading level, increases by over an order of magnitude when the electron is loaded through an orbital excited state instead of through the orbital ground state. The loading rate shows two strong peaks as a function of loading voltage, corresponding to the excited states $|\uparrow e\rangle$ and $|\downarrow e\rangle$. Because orbital relaxation is very fast compared to the experimental time scale [26, 27], one expects any electron loaded into a spin-$\uparrow$ state to be measured in the state $|\uparrow g\rangle$, and any electron loaded into a spin-$\downarrow$ state is measured in the state $|\downarrow g\rangle$.

The relative fraction of spin-$\uparrow$ and spin-$\downarrow$ loaded can be tuned, because the loading rate for each spin type is voltage dependent. We demonstrate this directly by using single-shot spin readout to measure the spin-$\uparrow$ fraction as a function of the loading level, as shown in Fig. 3(b). The ratio of spin-$\uparrow$ electrons has two clear peaks as a function of the loading voltage, one corresponding to $|\uparrow g\rangle$ and a second to $|\uparrow e\rangle$. These peaks arise because loading rates into specific spin states in the dot are a maximum when the state is near resonance with the Fermi level.

The variation in both the total loading rate and the spin-$\uparrow$ fraction can be understood by calculating the loading rate for each spin state. The probability of loading a spin-$\uparrow$ electron is $\Gamma_{\uparrow}/\Gamma_{\text{load}}$, where $\Gamma_{\uparrow}$ is the total rate for all spin-$\uparrow$ channels, and $\Gamma_{\text{load}}$ is the sum of the rates for all ways to load the dot. A global fit to the data in terms of loading through split-ground and excited states with Lorentzian broadening is shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b). The fit is to both the total loading rate and the fraction of spin-$\uparrow$ electrons. We treat the loading rate contribution from each state as a convolution of a Fermi-Dirac distribution, a Lorentzian lineshape, and a linearized energy dependent tunneling function [28]:

$$\Gamma_i(V) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_0 e^{-\alpha y/E_i}}{1 + e^{-\alpha y/\kappa T}} \frac{\gamma_i/2\pi}{(\gamma_i/2)^2 + (\delta V_i - y)^2} dy,$$

where $E_i$ is the linearized energy dependent tunneling coefficient that relates to the transparency of the barrier [29]; $\gamma_i$ is the full width at half maximum of the Lorentzian; $\Gamma_0$ is the amplitude; $V$ is the loading voltage; and $\delta V_i = V - V_i$, where $V_i$ is the position of the state (see EPAPS Document for additional details). The fit is in good agreement with the experimental measurements for the loading rates and the fractions of spin-$\uparrow$ and spin-$\downarrow$ electrons, supporting the interpretation of the data in terms of loading through specific spin states of the dot.

The black line in Fig. 3(b) is corrected for the finite spin lifetime $T_1$ of the electrons loaded into the dot. We determine $T_1$ by measuring the spin-$\uparrow$ fraction as a function of the duration of the loading pulse, $t_{\text{load}}$ [12]. The inset of Fig. 3(b) shows a typical result for a magnetic field of 1.85 T, with an exponential fit yielding the value $T_1 = 2.8 \pm 0.3$ s. For these data, $V_{\text{load}} = +425$ mV, so that loading occurs predominantly through the excited orbital states. We have measured $T_1$ at different loading voltages and also measured $T_1$ for a four-step pulse sequence in which the dot is held at a fourth “wait” voltage. The values of $T_1$ were all of order seconds, except when both the “load” and “wait” voltages were set so the state $|\uparrow g\rangle$ was aligned just below $E_F$, where the value

![FIG. 3: Spin selective loading and spin lifetime measurement. (a) Plot of electron loading rate $\Gamma_{\text{load}}$ versus loading voltage $V_{\text{load}}$ on gate PL at $B = 1.85$ T. Error bars are the standard deviation of four measurements. Peaks occur when levels in the dot are made available for loading, enabling tuning of both the loaded-spin fraction and the loading rate. The spin-split excited orbital levels $|\uparrow e\rangle$ and $|\downarrow e\rangle$ load $\sim 10$ times faster than the ground state. The black solid line in (a) is a fit obtained by treating the loading rate contribution from each state as a convolution of a Fermi-Dirac distribution, Lorentzian lineshape, and a linearized energy dependent tunneling function. (b) Spin-up fraction versus loading level measured using single-shot readout (see Fig. 2), with $t_{\text{load}} = 100$ ms, $t_{\text{readout}} = 200$ ms, $t_{\text{empty}} = 50$ ms, $V_{\text{readout}} = +85$ mV and $V_{\text{empty}} = -200$ mV. Each data point corresponds to an analysis of 1000 single-shot traces. The red dashed line is a fit using the same parameters as in (a); the black solid line is the same fit with corrections for the $T_1$ decay before measurement, a measurement fidelity less than unity, and a non-zero dark count rate. Error bars are $\sqrt{M}$, where $M$ is the number of readout events. Inset: Spin-up fraction versus loading time with $V_{\text{load}} = +425$ mV. The solid line is an exponential fit that yields $T_1 = 2.8 \pm 0.3$ s.](image-url)
of $T_1$ is reproducibly much shorter: $T_1 = 136 \pm 22$ ms. In principle, virtual hopping of electrons from the dot to the leads can limit $T_1$ [30], but the predicted magnitude is much too small to explain the observed effect, and this mechanism is not consistent with the behavior when the “wait” voltage is varied. The possibility that the excited orbital states are long-lived is ruled out by performing single-shot measurement with the readout level excited orbital states are long-lived is ruled out by performing single-shot measurement with the readout level positioned such that $| \downarrow g \rangle$ and $| \uparrow g \rangle$ are below $E_F$ and $| \downarrow e \rangle$ and $| \uparrow e \rangle$ are above $E_F$. In this situation, even for short loading times ($t_{load} = 20$ ms), less than 0.5% of traces show events.

It has been observed that $T_1$ can be limited by dipolar coupling to nearby spins [13]; we believe that the shorter $T_1$ time measured when the dot is loaded through the state $| \uparrow g \rangle$ is due to interaction with a nearby spin trap, which is suppressed by the deeper pulse associated with loading at the excited orbital states. The ability to load an electron into the dot through the excited state thus provides an immediate benefit, in that the additional tunability enables one to avoid sample imperfections that lead to a shorter spin relaxation time.

We have demonstrated the ability to manipulate and measure the spin state of individual electrons in a Si/SiGe quantum dot, and we report the first single-shot measurements of the longitudinal spin relaxation time $T_1$ in such devices. We have shown that loading into spin-split orbital excited states provides a fast channel for initializing spins into the ground orbital spin qubit states because of the coexistence of fast orbital relaxation and slow spin relaxation. The demonstrations of fast initialization and slow spin relaxation enhance the prospects for the development of Si/SiGe devices for spintronics and quantum information processing applications.

An EPAPS Document contains a description of the identification of loading and unloading events using a wavelet technique, details of the peak fitting in Fig. 3, and additional details of the experimental measurements.
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