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Chirally stacked N-layer graphene systems with \( N \geq 2 \) exhibit a variety of distinct broken symmetry states in which charge density contributions from different spins and valleys are spontaneously transferred between layers. We explain how these states are distinguished by their charge, spin, and valley Hall conductivities, by their orbital magnetizations, and by their edge state properties. We argue that valley Hall states have \([N/2]\) edge channels per spin-valley.

PACS numbers: 73.43.-f, 75.76.+j, 73.21.-b, 71.10.-w, 75.85.+t

**Introduction**—In the early 1980s, following the discovery of the quantum Hall effect (QHE)[1], it was recognized[2] that electronic states can be characterized by topological indices, in particular by the integer valued Chern number indices that distinguish quantum Hall states. Quantum Hall states have non-zero Chern numbers and can occur only if time reversal symmetry (TRS) is broken; until recently they have been observed only when TRS is explicitly broken by an external magnetic field. In this article we discuss a class of broken symmetry states, first proposed theoretically[3–5] and recently discovered experimentally[6, 7], which appear in chirally stacked graphene systems and are characterized by spin and valley dependent spontaneous layer polarization. The aim of the present paper is to explain how these states are distinguished by their charge, spin, and valley Hall conductivities, by their orbital magnetizations, and by their edge state properties.

Success in isolating monolayer and few-layer sheets from bulk graphite, combined with progress in the epitaxial growth of few-layer samples, has opened up a rich new topic[13] in two-dimensional electron physics. Electron-electron interaction effects are most interesting in ABC-stacked \( N \geq 2 \) layer systems[14–16], partly because[3, 4, 17–20] their conduction and valence bands are very flat near the neutral system Fermi level. For this special stacking order, low-energy electrons are concentrated on top and bottom layers and the low-energy physics of a \( N \)-layer system is described approximately by a two band model with \( \pm p^x \) dispersion and large associated momentum-space Berry curvatures[21]. When these band states are described in a pseudospin language, the broken symmetry state is characterized[3] by a momentum-space vortex with vorticity \( N \) and a vortex core which is polarized in the top-or-bottom layers. For AB stacked bilayers, for example, interactions lead to a broken symmetry ground state[3, 4, 19] with a spontaneous gap in which charge is transferred between top and bottom layers. ABC-stacked trilayer graphene has even flatter bands and is expected to be even more unstable to interaction driven broken symmetries[16], but samples that are clean enough to reveal its interaction physics have not yet been studied.

**Hall Conductivities and Magnetizations**—We discuss the electronic properties of \( N \)-layer ABC-stacked systems using the ordered state quasiparticle Hamiltonians suggested by mean-field calculations[3, 19] and renormalization group analysis[4]

\[
\mathcal{H}_N = \frac{(v_0 p)^N}{(-\gamma_1)^{N-1}} \left[ \cos(N \phi_p) \sigma_z + \sin(N \phi_p) \sigma_y \right] + \lambda \sigma_z.
\]

We have used the notation \( \cos \phi_p = \tau_z p_x / p \) and \( \sin \phi_p = p_y / p \) where \( \tau_z = \pm 1 \) labels valleys \( K \) and \( K' \), the two inequivalent Brillouin zone corners. The Pauli matrices \( \sigma \) act on a which-layer pseudospin degree-of-freedom and
$s_{\tau} = \pm 1$ denotes the two spin flavors. In Eq. (1) the first term\cite{14, 16} is the low-energy $k \cdot p$ band Hamiltonian for a single valley. Weak remote hopping processes have been dropped with the view that they do not play an essential role in the broken symmetry states\cite{4}. The second term is an interaction-induced gap\cite{3, 4, 19, 20} term which defines the direction of layer polarization in the momentum space vortex core. Since the pseudospin chirality frustrates off-diagonal symmetry-breaking\cite{3}, we consider only the pertinent types of diagonal symmetry-breaking. For each spin and valley, symmetry is broken by choosing a sign for $\lambda$. We have dropped the momentum dependence of $\lambda$ because, as we will see, it does not play an essential role below. $|\lambda|$ is the size of the spontaneous gap, $v_0$ is the Fermi velocity in graphene, and $\gamma_1 \sim 0.4$ eV is the interlayer hopping energy. The $p^N$ dispersion is a consequence of the $N$-step process in which electrons hop between low-energy sites in top and bottom layers via high-energy states.

When spin and valley degrees-of-freedom are taken into account, the system has sixteen distinct broken symmetry states in which the sign of $\lambda$ is chosen separately for $(K \uparrow)$, $(K \downarrow)$, $(K' \uparrow)$ and $(K' \downarrow)$ flavors. We take the view that any of these states could be stable, depending on details that are beyond current knowledge and might be tunable experimentally. The sixteen states can be classified according to their total layer-polarization which is proportional to the sum over spin-valley of the sign of $\lambda$. Six of the sixteen states have no net layer charge transfer between top and bottom layers and are likely to be lowest in energy in the absence of an external electric field. These six states can be separated into three doublets which differ only by layer inversion in every spin-valley. Thus three essentially distinct states compete for the broken symmetry ground state: the anomalous Hall state in which the sign of $\lambda$ is valley-dependent but not spin-dependent ($\lambda \sigma_x \rightarrow -\lambda \sigma_x \sigma_z$), the layer-antiferromagnetic state in which $\lambda$ is only spin-dependent ($\lambda \sigma_x \rightarrow -\lambda \sigma_x \sigma_z$) and the topological insulator (TI) state in which $\lambda$ is both spin and valley dependent ($\lambda \sigma_x \rightarrow -\lambda \sigma_x \sigma_z$). These states are distinguished by their spin and valley dependent Hall conductivities and orbital magnetizations indicated schematically in Fig. 1 and summarized in Table I.

**TABLE I:** Summary of spin-valley layer polarizations (T or B) and corresponding charge, spin, and valley Hall conductivities ($e^2/h$ units) and insulator types for the three distinct states (b-d) with no overall layer polarization on which we focus, for a state in which every spin-valley is polarized toward the top layer (a), and for a state with partial layer polarization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$(K \uparrow)$</th>
<th>$(K \downarrow)$</th>
<th>$(K' \uparrow)$</th>
<th>$(K' \downarrow)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_x$</td>
<td>$\sigma_x$</td>
<td>$\sigma_x$</td>
<td>$\sigma_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^x$</td>
<td>$\sigma^x$</td>
<td>$\sigma^x$</td>
<td>$\sigma^x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^y$</td>
<td>$\sigma^y$</td>
<td>$\sigma^y$</td>
<td>$\sigma^y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^z$</td>
<td>$\sigma^z$</td>
<td>$\sigma^z$</td>
<td>$\sigma^z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_\tau$</td>
<td>$\lambda_\tau$</td>
<td>$\lambda_\tau$</td>
<td>$\lambda_\tau$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\sigma_z}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Berry Curvatures**—The three broken symmetry states on which we focus are distinguished by the signs of the Berry curvatures\cite{21} contributions from near the $K$ and $K'$ valleys of $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ spin bands; we note that the Berry curvatures are non-zero only when inversion symmetry is spontaneously broken. Using the Berry curvatures, we evaluate the orbital magnetizations and Hall conductivities of all three states. For momentum-independent mass $\lambda$ the Berry curvature of the $N$-layer chiral model is

$$\Omega_{\tau}^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda_{\tau}, s_{\tau}) = -\frac{\tau_\tau \lambda_\tau}{h^3} \left( \frac{\partial \mu_B}{\partial p} \right)_m$$

where symbol $\alpha = +$ (−) denotes the conduction (valence) band, and the transverse and total pseudospin fields are $h_\perp = (v_0 p)^N/\gamma_1^{N-1}$ and $h_t = \sqrt{\lambda^2 + h_\perp^2}$. The orbital magnetic moment carried by a Bloch electron\cite{21} is $m_\perp^{(\alpha)} = e\mathbf{e}_\alpha \Omega_{\tau}^{(\alpha)}$ for a two-band model with particle-hole symmetry. For the chiral band model

$$m_\perp^{(\alpha)}(p, \tau, s_\tau) = \left[ -\tau_\tau \lambda_\tau \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial h_\perp} \right)_m \right] \mu_B.$$
to the Berry curvature, giving rise to an intrinsic Hall conductivity\cite{9, 21}. Using Eq. (3), we find that the intrinsic Hall conductivity contribution from a given valley and spin is

\[ \sigma_{H}^{(\alpha)}(\tau_z, s_z) = \frac{\tau_z N e^2}{2 \hbar} \left( \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} - \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|} \delta_{\alpha, +} \right), \]

where \( h_\tau(p_F) \) is the total pseudospin field at the Fermi wavevector. Provided that the Fermi level lies in the mass gap, each spin and valley contributes \( N e^2/2\hbar \) to the Hall conductivity, with the sign given by \( \tau_z \text{sgn}(\lambda) \).

In Fig. 1(a) we consider the case in which each spin-valley is polarized in the same sense. The total Hall conductivity is then zero for both spins, with the K and K’ valleys making Hall conductivity and magnetization contributions of opposite sign, preserving time reversal symmetry. This phase can be viewed as having a valley Hall effect\cite{12} and, even though it does not break time-reversal symmetry, we argue later that this designation has physical significance.

As shown in Fig. 1(b), the case \( \lambda \sigma_z \rightarrow -\lambda \sigma_z \) implies Hall conductivity and orbital magnetization contributions of the same sign for each spin and valley. This state breaks time reversal symmetry but its spin density is surprisingly is everywhere zero. The total Hall conductivity has the quantized value \( 2Ne^2/\hbar \). Similarly, the orbital magnetic moment has the same sign for all flavors. We refer to this state as the quantized anomalous Hall state. In addition to its anomalous Hall effect, this state has a substantial orbital magnetization. The anomalous Hall states is probably most simply identified experimentally by observing a \( \nu = 2N \) QHE which persists to zero magnetic field.

For \( \lambda \sigma_z \rightarrow \lambda s_z \sigma_z \), depicted in Fig. 1(c) the two spins have valley Hall effects of opposite sign, and the two layers have spin-polarizations of opposite sign. This layer-antiferromagnetic state has broken time reversal symmetry and opposite spin-polarizations on top and bottom layers.

Fig. 1(d) describes the third type of state with effective interaction \( \lambda \sigma_z \rightarrow \lambda \tau_z s_z \sigma_z \). This state does not break time reversal invariance and instead has anomalous Hall effects of opposite signs in the two spin subspaces, i.e. a spin Hall effect. Neither the top nor the bottom layer has spin or valley polarization. Quite interestingly if we only consider one layer, there are both spin Hall and valley Hall effects, however, the orientations of the Hall currents in the top and the bottom layers are the same for the spin Hall effects but opposite for the valley Hall effects.

Table I includes as well the case in which one flavors polarizes in the opposite sense of the other three; charge, valley, and spin Hall effects coexist in this state which can be favored by a small potential difference between the layers.

**Edge States**— The physical significance of spontaneous charge, valley, and spin anomalous Hall effects is illustrated in Fig. 3. Graphene has very weak spin-orbit interactions, which in our case we ignore altogether. Fig. 3 compares the edge electronic structure of \( N = 1, 2, 3 \) spinless models with a quantized anomalous Hall effect (i.e. with opposite layer polarizations at two valleys) and with a quantized valley Hall effect. The states with anomalous Hall effects have \( N \) topologically protected robust chiral edge states associated with the QHE, as shown in Fig. 3(d,e,f). The edge state structure associated with the valley Hall states is more interesting. In the \( N = 1 \) valley Hall state the Hall conductivity contribution associated with each valley is 1/2 in \( e^2/h \) units; the full unit of Hall conductance requires the two valleys to act in concert. Because they act in opposition in the valley Hall state, there is no edge state, as shown in Fig. 3(a). For \( N = 2 \) on the other hand, each valley contributes a full quantum Hall effect, and as we see in Fig. 3(b) we find two chiral edge states with opposite chirality, one associated with each valley. For \( N = 3 \) depicted in Fig. 3(c), the additional half quantum Hall effect from each valley is insufficient to produce a new chiral edge state branch. In general we expect \( [N/2] \) chiral edge state branches at each valley in an \( N \)-layer stack. Of course valley Hall edge states are topologically protected only when the edge-direction projections of K and K’ valleys are not coincident and inter-valley scattering due to disorder is absent. Nevertheless, we expect robust edge states to be present in valley Hall states, as found\cite{22} in numerical studies of valley Hall states induced by an external electric field without interactions.

**Discussion**— At the level of continuum-model mean-field
theory[3], the three charge balanced states we have discussed are degenerate. In addition to breaking inversion symmetry, each breaks two of three additional symmetries: time reversal ($T$), spin rotational invariance ($SU(2)$), and the valley Ising symmetry ($Z_2$). The TI state preserves only $T$, the AH phase preserves only spin-rotational invariance, and the AF state has $Z_2$ symmetry. Both TI and AF phases break the continuous $SU(2)$ symmetry and therefore Goldstone modes emerge[10]. The actual ground state is dependent on subtle correlation and microscopic physics issues that are beyond the scope of this paper. We note however that it might be possible to induce transitions between different possible states using external fields. For example, the energy of the quantized anomalous Hall state will be lowered by a perpendicular external magnetic field because it has a large orbital magnetization. The fully layer polarized state will be favored by an external electric field which produces a potential difference between the layers. Increasing the magnetic field further results in quantum Hall ferromagnetism[23–25]. Recent experiments[6, 7] in bilayers appear to provide definitive proof that the ground state at very weak external magnetic fields is the quantized anomalous Hall state.

The quantum spin Hall effect we discuss in this paper is in several respects different from that discussed in the well known papers[5, 11] which foreshadowed the identification of topological insulators. (i) The quantum spin Hall effect is driven by broken symmetries produced by electron-electron interactions, rather than by spin-orbit interactions[11] which we neglect. The effective spin-orbit coupling $\lambda_{\tau z} s_z \sigma_z$ due to electron-electron interactions can be $10^4$ times larger than the intrinsic one[26]. (ii) Unlike the previous interaction induced TI phase[5] which appears only at finite interaction strengths, here the instability to the TI phase is present even for weak interactions. (iii) The broken symmetry occurs only for $N \geq 2$, rather than in the single-layer systems[5, 11]. (iv) Our states are also distinguished topologically, since they are characterized by Chern numbers which can have any integer value, rather than by a $Z_2$ label. Of course, only N-odd layers are strong TI’s, because the helical edge modes are likely to localize in a $N$-even system due to the backscattering process allowed by $T$[27]. When Rashba spin-orbital interactions (RSOI) are strong, the spin Hall state is likely to be selected as the ground state and the Hall conductance will no longer be precisely quantized. The estimated strength of RSOI[26, 28] in most experimental systems studied to date is much smaller than the estimated spontaneous gap [20], so its influence will normally be marginal.
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