

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Dynamics of asymmetric stratified shear instabilities

Jason Olsthoorn, Alexis K. Kaminski, and Daniel M. Robb Phys. Rev. Fluids **8**, 024501 — Published 3 February 2023 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.8.024501 3

5

6

7

8

9

The Dynamics of Asymmetric Stratified Shear Instabilities

Jason Olsthoorn

Department of Civil Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, K7L 3N6

Alexis K. Kaminski

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States, 94720

Daniel M. Robb

Department of Civil Engineering, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6T 1Z4

Most idealized studies of stratified shear instabilities assume that the shear interface and the buoyancy interface are coincident. We discuss the role of asymmetry on the evolution of shear instabilities. Using linear stability theory and direct numerical simulations, we show that asymmetric shear instabilities exhibit features of both Holmboe and Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instabilities, and develop a framework to determine whether the instabilities are more Holmboe-like or more KH-like. Further, the asymmetric instabilities produce asymmetric mixing that exhibits features of both overturning and scouring flows and that tends to realign the shear and buoyancy interfaces. In all but the symmetric KH simulations, we observe a collapse in the distribution of gradient Richardson number (Ri_g) , suggesting that asymmetry reduces the parameter dependence of KH-driven mixing events. The observed dependence of the turbulent dynamics on small-scale details of the shear and stratification has important implications for the interpretation of oceanographic data.

I. INTRODUCTION

¹⁰ Stratified turbulent mixing is a key physical process driving vertical transport in oceanic flows, setting the distri-¹¹ bution of heat, salt, momentum, and other biogeochemical tracers. Because this mixing occurs on the smallest scales ¹² of fluid motion, it needs to be parameterized in large-scale circulation models. Furthermore, directly measuring the ¹³ characteristics of oceanic turbulence is challenging, both due to the scales of the relevant motion and the sparseness ¹⁴ of observations; parameterizations are thus also necessary for the interpretation of observational data.

Stratified mixing events are frequently modelled in terms of idealized shear instabilities. While commonly discussed in the context of internal wave breaking in the stably-stratified ocean interior [14, 55], such instabilities have been observed in a wide variety of ocean contexts, including nonlinear internal waves near the continental shelf [32], shear at the base of the mixed layer [22], in the equatorial undercurrent [33], and flow over sills [57], as well as in estuaries [18, 54, 56]. As a result, there is a need to understand the dynamics of stratified shear instabilities in terms of resolved or measurable variables in order to accurately parameterize their effects [16].

One of the simplest examples of a stratified shear flow is that of a single sheared buoyancy interface. In this configuration, there are two limiting instabilities that may arise. When the shear is sufficiently strong relative to the stratification (typical of cases in which the velocity and buoyancy profile vary over a similar vertical scale), the flow is susceptible to Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instability. Conversely, where the stratification is sufficiently strong relative to the shear and the buoyancy varies over a smaller scale than the velocity (i.e. the stratification is sharper than the shear), an alternate instability known as the Holmboe wave instability may arise [17, 19].

²⁷ Mixing events driven by KH instability are typically characterized by the formation of large overturns or "billows", ²⁸ which themselves become susceptible to secondary instabilities, the precise details of which depend on the flow ²⁹ parameters [10, 23, 27, 28, 39], the background flow [26, 58], and the initial conditions [2, 11, 21]. These secondary ³⁰ instabilities in turn trigger a transition to turbulence, leading to a brief period of vigorous mixing, which acts to smear ³¹ out the initial velocity and buoyancy gradients (i.e. "overturning" turbulence as described by Caulfield [6], Woods ³² et al. [60]). After this period of intense mixing, the turbulent motions decay and the flow eventually relaminarizes.

In contrast, Holmboe-driven mixing events (associated with sharp buoyancy interfaces) follow a different flow 4 evolution. At finite amplitude, the initial linear instability leads to the formation of a pair of counterpropagating 5 vortices on either side of the buoyancy interface (unlike the single large billow associated with KH). These vortices also 5 support secondary instabilities [40, 47, 48], which trigger a breakdown into turbulence. However, the turbulence is 7 highest on either side of the interface, rather than in the centre of the shear layer. As a result, the turbulence "scours" 8 the interface, preserving a sharp buoyancy gradient. While the initial instability growth is typically much slower than 9 KH flows, the associated turbulence is longer-lived: this type of mixing event "burns", rather than "flares" [6]. The 40 evolution can depend on the underlying flow parameters, particularly the Reynolds number [40]; however, Salehipour 41 et al. [42] shows evidence of self-organized criticality for these flows, in which Holmboe instabilities with different ⁴² initial stratifications evolve towards turbulent states with a common distribution of the gradient Richardson number ⁴³ (i.e. the ratio of stratification and squared shear) featuring a peak near 1/4. Overall, Holmboe-driven (scouring) ⁴⁴ mixing events can differ from the KH (overturning) paradigm, even for the same bulk flow parameters.

Complicating the picture further, most previous studies of shear instabilities have only considered the configuration 45 with symmetric shear and stratification about the buoyancy interface. However, asymmetric profiles frequently arise 46 in many geophysical flows, whether due to flow geometry, flow history, or the forcing (e.g. 56, 57). For example, 47 the freshwater outflow in some estuaries is sufficiently strong to compete with the salt water intrusion of the ocean 48 waters. In these cases, a stratified shear interface will form between the top freshwater outflow and the bottom salt 49 water inflow. Both laboratory and field studies have shown that these stratified shear interfaces can have asymmetry 50 [54, 61]. It is therefore natural to ask how asymmetry affects the resulting nonlinear evolution and mixing in stratified 51 shear flows. 52

One simple way to introduce asymmetry into the background flow profile is to consider a sheared buoyancy interface where the shear and buoyancy profiles are vertically offset. Lawrence et al. [24], and later Carpenter et al. [4] and Carpenter et al. [5], show that for asymmetric Holmboe-like profiles, the resulting linear normal-mode instability shares characteristics of both KH and Holmboe instability. In contrast to the symmetric case, they found a smooth transition between the different flow behaviours as characterized by the relative contributions of the kinematic and baroclinic fields.

Asymmetric shear instabilities of this form have been observed both experimentally and numerically. In a series of laboratory experiments of shear layers offset from a thinner buoyancy stratification, Lawrence et al. [24] showed that an offset shear layer led to the formation of a one-sided flow characterized by cusped waves (with stronger stratification) and asymmetric billows (with weaker stratification) that entrained wisps of fluid across the buoyancy interface. Similar features have been observed in subsequent experimental studies in which shear is driven above a buoyancy interface [51], in spatially-accelerating shear layers [35, 61], and in sheared multilayer flows [8].

Similarly, in a direct numerical simulation study, Carpenter et al. [3] showed that the nonlinear evolution of asymmet-65 66 ric Holmboe instabilities share characteristics of both KH- and Holmboe-like flow evolution: "billow-like" structures ⁶⁷ are observed to form that "scour" the interface. That is, the nonlinear evolution shows a mixture of behaviours, depending on the degree of asymmetry in the background flow profiles. Similar to the laboratory studies described 68 above, the flows exhibited one-sided overturning features and cusped structures, and the asymmetric flows in general 69 kept the initial interfaces intact. Further, Carpenter et al. [3] showed that more asymmetry led to instabilities that 70 were increasingly dominated by shear-layer vorticity (consistent with KH-like behaviour). The corresponding mixing 71 72 depended non-monotonically on the degree of asymmetry, influenced by stronger three-dimensional motions as well as distance from the buoyancy interface. 73

While the study by Carpenter et al. [3] gave valuable insight into the impacts of asymmetry on a given mixing event, 74 the simulations were limited to a single set of Reynolds, Richardson, and Prandtl numbers (defined in section 2), and 75 76 the asymmetric cases were all Holmboe-like (with sharper buoyancy interfaces). Perhaps most significantly, due to the relatively low Reynolds number used, the resulting flow evolution was not necessarily turbulent; as the authors 77 state, 'the term 'turbulence' is being used loosely $[\ldots]$ to indicate a region of complex or chaotic flow structure, and 78 the low Re used may preclude this flow from fitting descriptions of turbulent mixing in other studies.' Consequently, 79 there remain many open questions about turbulent mixing events in asymmetric stratified shear flows, motivating the 80 present study. We build upon the previous work on asymmetric shear instabilities by considering additional values of 81 the Reynolds and Richardson numbers (and, crucially, a higher Reynolds number to explore turbulent effects), and 82 by investigating symmetric and asymmetric profiles corresponding to both KH- and Holmboe-like setups. 83

The remainder of the paper will proceed as follows. In section II, we introduce the linear instability problem and 84 quantify the resulting normal-mode instabilities using the pseudomomentum approach described recently by Eaves and 85 Balmforth [12]. Then, in section III, we present the results of a series of direct numerical simulations corresponding to 86 several symmetric and asymmetric cases. Consistent with the linear predictions, we find that the nonlinear evolution 87 of the asymmetric cases exhibits a mixture of both KH and Holmboe behaviours, including both large billow-like 88 overturning structures and regions of scouring. This combination of behaviours is both qualitative and quantitative: not only are the large-scale structures reminiscent of both pure KH and Holmboe instabilities, but the energetics and 90 turbulent mixing parameters also exhibit both behaviours. As a result, even small amounts of asymmetry can lead to 91 very different final velocity and buoyancy profiles when compared with the symmetric cases. For the same large-scale buoyancy and velocity jumps, a given mixing event can therefore smear out gradients, maintain sharp gradients, or 93 some combination of these behaviours. That is, the turbulent dynamics depend sensitively on the small-scale details 94 95 of the initial flow. Finally, in section IV we conclude and put our results into context with recent work on stratified ⁹⁶ shear instabilities.



FIG. 1. Representative profiles of the background horizontal velocity and buoyancy fields. The associated gradient Richardson number Ri_q (22) for these profiles is also included. These profiles are similar to the asymmetric Holmboe case.

II. LINEAR STABILITY ANALYSIS

We consider a vertically-asymmetric hyperbolic tangent stratified shear layer,

$$U^{*}(z^{*}) = U_{0}^{*} \tanh\left(\frac{z^{*} - a_{0}^{*}}{h_{0}^{*}}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad B^{*}(z^{*}) = B_{0}^{*} \tanh\left(\frac{z^{*}}{\delta_{0}^{*}}\right), \quad (1)$$

⁹⁸ which has the same form as Carpenter et al. [3] and are shown schematically in figure 1. The quantities U_0^* and B_0^* ⁹⁹ are half the horizontal velocity and buoyancy difference across the layers, respectively, where buoyancy is defined as ¹⁰⁰ $B^* = -g^*(\rho^* - \rho_0^*)/\rho_0^*$, with ρ_0^* a reference density and g^* the gravitational acceleration. The shear and buoyancy ¹⁰¹ interfaces have initial half-widths of h_0^* and δ_0^* , respectively, and the centres of the two interfaces are vertically offset ¹⁰² by a_0^* . Asterisks denote dimensional quantities. Nondimensionalizing velocity by U_0^* , buoyancy by B_0^* , and depth by ¹⁰³ h_0^* gives

$$U(z) = \tanh(z - a_0) \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = \tanh(R_0 z) , \qquad (2)$$

¹⁰⁴ where $R_0 \equiv h_0^*/\delta_0^*$ is the ratio of the initial interface thicknesses, and $a_0 \equiv a_0^*/h_0^*$ is the initial profile offset. ¹⁰⁵ The behaviour of two-dimensional linear perturbations to the background state U(z), B(z) is governed by the ¹⁰⁶ linearized Navier-Stokes equations under the Boussinesq approximation, written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \operatorname{Ri}_{b} b \hat{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{u} , \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla B + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla b = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Pr}} \nabla^2 b, \qquad (4)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \tag{5}$$

¹⁰⁷ Here, boldfaced variables denote vector quantities and lowercase **u**, *b*, and *p* denote perturbations to the velocity, ¹⁰⁸ buoyancy, and pressure, respectively. In the above, time has been nondimensionalized by the advective timescale ¹⁰⁹ h_0^*/U_0^* . In addition to R_0 and a_0 , the perturbation dynamics are governed by the Reynolds, Prandtl, and bulk ¹¹⁰ Richardson numbers

$$\operatorname{Re} = \frac{U_0^* h_0^*}{\nu^*}, \qquad \operatorname{Pr} = \frac{\nu^*}{\kappa^*}, \qquad \operatorname{Ri}_b = \frac{B_0^* h_0^*}{U_0^{*2}}, \tag{6}$$

¹¹¹ where ν^* is the kinematic viscosity and κ^* is the diffusivity of the buoyancy field. We note here that Re is defined

97

¹¹² based on the shear layer half-width and half-velocity difference, rather than the full shear layer thickness and velocity ¹¹³ jump as in some parts of the literature, including Carpenter et al. [3].

To assess the linear stability of the shear layer (2), we take the standard approach of assuming a normal-mode structure for the perturbations,

$$[\psi(x, z, t), b(x, z, t), p(x, z, t)] = \left[\hat{\psi}(z), \hat{b}(z), \hat{p}(z)\right] e^{ik_x(x-ct)},$$
(7)

¹¹⁶ where ψ is the velocity streamfunction, k_x the horizontal wavenumber and $c = c_r + ic_i$ the complex phase speed of the ¹¹⁷ perturbations. Substituting the normal-mode expressions into the governing equations (3)-(5) and rearranging gives ¹¹⁸ the Taylor-Goldstein equation including viscous and diffusive effects (implemented by Smyth et al. [49]). Prescribing ¹¹⁹ k_x , we solve for the corresponding eigenvalue c and the associated eigenfunctions $\left[\hat{\psi}(z), \hat{b}(z), \hat{p}(z)\right]$; regions of linear ¹²⁰ instability correspond to modes with $c_i \geq 0$, indicated by the contours in figure 2.

¹²¹ Solutions of the Taylor-Goldstein equation predict which modes are unstable, their associated growth rate and ¹²² phase speed, and the vertical structure of the eigenmodes. For the background flow (2), we also want to predict the ¹²³ nature of the linear instability: are the growing modes more like a KH instability or a Holmboe instability?

To answer this question, we recall that stratified shear instabilities can be described in terms of interacting waves. 124 Stratified parallel shear flows can support two different types of waves (namely vorticity waves, associated with changes 125 in the shear, and internal gravity waves, associated with the stratification). The different linear growth mechanisms 126 can be described in terms of interactions between different combinations of these waves [5]. Within this framework, 127 the KH instability arises from a resonant interaction between two vorticity waves, while the Holmboe instability arises 128 from the interaction between a vorticity wave and an internal gravity wave. In this sense, the Holmboe instability 129 is an inherently stratified instability [1, 5, 6]. (A third named linear instability, the Taylor-Caulfield instability, can 130 be thought of as the result of two internal waves interacting [5, 8, 12]. As the stratification considered here has 131 only a single buoyancy interface and therefore only supports a single internal gravity wave, it does not support the 132 Taylor-Caulfield instability.) 133

Using the wave-interaction description of the different instability mechanisms, the qualitative nature of a given unstable mode can be predicted using the vertical structure of the eigenfunction. For example, Carpenter et al. [4] used a method of "partial growth rates" to quantify the contribution to the overall growth rate from buoyancy and vorticity interfaces, allowing for the description of modes in terms of KH- and Holmboe-like behaviour. More cently, Eaves and Balmforth [12] used a pseudomomentum-based approach to classify linear modes, again in terms of contributions from the vorticity and the stratification, allowing for a description of modes as being more or less two like KH, Holmboe, or Taylor-Caulfield instabilities.

¹⁴¹ Here, we apply the pseudomomentum-based approach of Eaves and Balmforth [12] to classify the linear modes ¹⁴² arising in the asymmetric stratified shear layer (2). In our notation, Eaves and Balmforth defined

$$\mathcal{M}_{v} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{U'' \hat{\psi}^{2}}{|U - c|^{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{b} = \frac{-\mathrm{Ri}_{b}(U - c_{r})B' \hat{\psi}^{2}}{|U - c|^{4}}$$
(8)

¹⁴³ as the contributions to the pseudomomentum from the background vorticity and stratification, respectively, where ¹⁴⁴ the primes denote derivatives with respect to z. It can be shown that a requirement for exponentially-growing modes ¹⁴⁵ is that $M = \langle \mathcal{M}_v + \mathcal{M}_b \rangle = 0$, where angle brackets denote the integral over the spatial domain [12].

This requirement that M = 0 can be used to characterize different modes in terms of the different canonical ¹⁴⁷ instabilities. To do so, we define the ratio

$$\mathcal{R}_M = \frac{\langle \mathcal{M}_v H(\mathcal{M}_v) \rangle}{\langle -\mathcal{M}_v H(-\mathcal{M}_v) \rangle} = \frac{M_v^+}{M_v^-}, \qquad (9)$$

where H is the Heaviside function and M_v^+ and M_v^- are defined as the magnitudes of the contributions of positive ¹⁴⁹ and negative \mathcal{M}_v , respectively, to M (i.e. $\langle \mathcal{M}_v \rangle = M_v^+ - M_v^-$). This ratio can be used to describe the character of the asymmetric instabilities considered here. In particular, we find two limiting cases of interest for flows described 150 by (2). In the first case, if a given unstable mode is associated purely with interactions from vorticity with no buoyancy 151 contribution ($\mathcal{M}_b = 0$ as is expected for a pure KH mode), then the requirement that M = 0 for a growing mode 152 implies $\langle \mathcal{M}_v \rangle = 0$, and so $\mathcal{R}_M = 1$ in the KH limit. In the second case, if the positive contributions from vorticity are 153 balanced entirely by negative contributions from the stratification, then $M_v^- = \langle \mathcal{M}_b \rangle$ and $M_v^+ = 0$, giving $\mathcal{R}_M = 0$ 154 (as expected for a pure Holmboe mode). We note that the definition of \mathcal{R}_M in (9) reflects our choice to introduce 155 ¹⁵⁶ asymmetry in the mean profiles by shifting the shear interface above the buoyancy interface. As a result, the leftward-¹⁵⁷ propagating Holmboe wave above the buoyancy interface is amplified relative to the rightward-propagating wave below

¹⁵⁸ the interface, i.e. the upper Holmboe wave is the fastest-growing mode for these asymmetric profiles. For a flow in ¹⁵⁹ which the shear interface is offset below the buoyancy interface, or one in which the lower Holmboe wave is isolated, ¹⁶⁰ $1/\mathcal{R}_M$ would give the same classification results as described below. For the profiles considered in (2) with $a_0 > 0$, ¹⁶¹ $0 \leq \mathcal{R}_M \leq 1$.

We illustrate these limiting cases in the top row of figure 2. For a case in which the shear layer is significantly offset from the stratified layer (figure 2a), for which the most unstable mode is very similar to an unstratified KH mode, the positive (purple) and negative (yellow) vorticity contributions cancel almost perfectly, with only a small buoyancy contribution. On the other hand, for a near-symmetric Holmboe mode (figure 2c), the negative contributions from vorticity are almost entirely cancelled out by the net positive buoyancy contribution, with only a very small positive vorticity contribution. Finally, for some modes the negative contribution from vorticity is balanced by both a positive vorticity contribution and a buoyancy contribution (figure 2b), suggesting that the instability shares characteristics of both a KH and a Holmboe mode.

We then use the pseudomomentum ratio \mathcal{R}_M to classify the predicted unstable modes of (2) across a range of wavenumbers k_x and vertical offsets a_0 for different Ri_b and R_0 , as shown in figure 2(d-g). For $R_0 = 3$, we find consistent results to those described in Carpenter et al. [3]: increasing asymmetry shifts the linear instability from a Holmboe-like behaviour at small a_0 to a KH-like behaviour at large a_0 , and a mixture of the two instabilities at intermediate a_0 . For $R_0 = 1$, as expected we find KH-like modes for $a_0 = 0$ and for large a_0 (when the shear layer is far results asymmetry in the background flow leads to modes with both KH-like and Holmboe-like behaviour, with $R_M < 1$ and nonzero phase speed (not shown); this effect is stronger for higher Ri_b (figure 2f). That is, mixed modes results even when the background shear and stratification vary over similar vertical scales.

III. NONLINEAR SIMULATIONS

A. Implementation

Linear theory predicts that the shear instabilities associated with an asymmetric shear layer exhibit behaviours similar to both KH and Holmboe instabilities. What is the associated nonlinear behaviour of these instabilities? To answer this question, we perform a series of direct numerical simulations of the fully nonlinear Navier-Stokes equations under the Boussinesq approximation,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \operatorname{Ri}_{b} b \hat{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{u}, \qquad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla b = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\operatorname{Pr}} \nabla^2 b, \qquad (11)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \tag{12}$$

¹⁸⁵ The Reynolds number (Re), Prandtl number (Pr) and Richardson number (Ri_b) are identical to those defined above. ¹⁸⁶ Unlike equations (3-5) in the previous section, **u** and *b* are the *total* (nonlinear) velocity and buoyancy fields.

The simulations were performed using the Spectral Parallel Incompressible Navier-Stokes Solver (SPINS) [52]. SPINS implements pseudospectral spatial derivatives in all three directions and an explicit third-order time stepping scheme. The horizontal domain is periodic, and the vertical gradient-free boundaries are imposed using a cosinetransformation. The streamwise extent (L_x) of the computational domain is selected to fit one wavelength of the fastest growing linear instability (see §II), and the vertical and spanwise extents are chosen to match those from Carpenter et al. [3].

The initial conditions consist of the velocity and buoyancy profiles (2) perturbed with the eigenfunction of the fastest growing linear mode predicted from the Taylor-Goldstein equation (\mathbf{u}_e, b_e) and a random velocity perturbation in the form of normally-distributed random noise. The amplitude of the eigenfunctions and the random noise are $\varepsilon_e = 0.01$ and $\varepsilon_N = 0.001$, respectively. These initial amplitudes are comparable to several previous shear instability studies (e.g. 11, 40, 58).

Our simulations have four flow configurations, determined by the relative width R_0 and offset a_0 of the initial ¹⁹⁹ velocity and buoyancy profiles:

- 1. SKH "symmetric Kelvin-Helmholtz" flow with $R_0 = 1$ and $a_0 = 0$;
- 201 2. SHI "symmetric Holmboe" with $R_0 = 3$ and $a_0 = 0$;
- ²⁰² 3. AKH "asymmetric Kelvin-Helmholtz" flow with $R_0 = 1$ and $a_0 = 0.5$;

180

179



FIG. 2. (Top row) Example profiles of \mathcal{M}_v and \mathcal{M}_b for the fastest-growing modes of (a) a KH-type instability far from from the interface $(R_0 = 3, a_0 = 3)$, (b) an asymmetric KH instability $(R_0 = 1, a_0 = 0.5)$, and (c) a near-symmetric Holmboe instability $(R_0 = 3, a_0 = 0.001)$. The horizontal dotted lines indicate the height of maximum shear and stratification, and the yellow and purple shaded regions represent contributions to \mathcal{M}_v^- and \mathcal{M}_v^+ , respectively. Ri_b = 0.15 for all three cases. (Middle and bottom rows) Growth rates (contours) and pseudomomentum ratios (colours) for the base flow (2) for the symmetric and asymmetric KH and Holmboe configurations with Re = 1200 and Pr = 9. (d) $R_0 = 1$, Ri_b = 0.15. (e) $R_0 = 3$, Ri_b = 0.15. (f) $R_0 = 1$, Ri_b = 0.20. (g) $R_0 = 3$, Ri_b = 0.20. The contour interval is 0.01 and the stars denote parameters for the nonlinear simulations described in table I.

4. AHI – "asymmetric Holmboe" flow with $R_0 = 3$ and $a_0 = 0.5$.

For each configuration, we consider three sets of Reynolds and Richardson numbers, for a total of twelve simulations as summarized in table I. These cases span values of \mathcal{R}_M from 0 to 1, i.e. Holmboe-like to KH-like linear instabilities. We note that the SKH, SHI, and AHI simulations with Re = 300 and Ri_b = 0.15 use the same parameters considered by Carpenter et al. [3]. All simulations were continued until the flow relaminarized and there was no significant overturning of the buoyancy field. Consistent with previous DNS studies of shear instability (e.g. 21, 40, 45), the grid spacing (Δx) was selected to be less than three times the minimum Batchelor scale ($L_{B,\min}$), defined by the maximum

Case	Instability	Domain Size	Resolution	Re	Ri_b	\Pr	R_0	a_0	$\left \frac{\Delta x}{L_B}\right $	\mathcal{R}_M
		$(L_x \times L_y \times L_z)$	$(N_x \times N_y \times N_z)$							
1	SKH	$14.02\times9\times18$	$256\times192\times384$	300	0.15	9	1	0.0	2.8	1.00
2	AKH	$13.45\times9\times18$	$256\times192\times384$	300	0.15	9	1	0.5	2.7	0.66
3	AHI	$11.47\times9\times18$	$256\times192\times384$	300	0.15	9	3	0.5	2.4	0.37
4	SHI	$16.52\times9\times18$	$384 \times 192 \times 384$	300	0.15	9	3	0.0	2.4	0.09
5	SKH	$13.94\times9\times18$	$768\times512\times1024$	1200	0.15	9	1	0.0	2.5	1.00
6	AKH	$13.30\times9\times18$	$768\times512\times1024$	1200	0.15	9	1	0.5	2.4	0.68
7	AHI	$11.32\times9\times18$	$768\times512\times1024$	1200	0.15	9	3	0.5	2.8	0.39
8	SHI	$15.50\times9\times18$	$768\times512\times1024$	1200	0.15	9	3	0.0	2.9	0.11
9	SKH	$13.35\times9\times18$	$512\times 384\times 768$	1200	0.20	9	1	0.0	2.9	1.00
10	AKH	$12.96\times9\times18$	$768\times512\times1024$	1200	0.20	9	1	0.5	2.4	0.56
11	AHI	$10.58\times9\times18$	$768\times512\times1024$	1200	0.20	9	3	0.5	2.5	0.30
12	\mathbf{SHI}	$13.42 \times 9 \times 18$	$768 \times 512 \times 1024$	1200	0.20	9	3	0.0	2.5	0.07

TABLE I. Summary of parameters for the suite of direct numerical simulations. We use the following naming convention: (i) SKH – symmetric Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, (ii) AKH – asymmetric Kelvin-Helmholtz instability, (iii) AHI – asymmetric Holmboe instability, (iv) SHI – symmetric Holmboe instability, corresponding to the definitions in the main text.

horizontally averaged dissipation rate $\overline{\varepsilon}$,

208

$$L_{B,\min} = \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}^{3}\max\overline{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Pr}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\qquad(13)$$

 $_{204}$ where the overbar $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the horizontal mean.

Our computational setup and flow parameters have been chosen to prioritize setting Pr = 9 (a realistic value for heat in water). To do so has required some compromise in both the streamwise extent of the domain and the choice of Reynolds number. We revisit this point in the conclusions.

B. Flow evolution

We have highlighted in § II that the linear instabilities of the offset profiles (2) exhibit a continuum in structure between the pure KH and the pure Holmboe instabilities. Here, we will show that the mixed features of the linear instabilities result in nonlinear flow features that are reminiscent of both KH and Holmboe mixing events.

²¹² Illustrating the flow evolution for each of the four cases (SKH, AKH, AHI, and SHI), figure 3 shows vertical (x - z)²¹³ slices of the buoyancy field at representative times. We focus on the simulations with Re = 1200 and Ri_b = 0.15, ²¹⁴ and will note significant differences for the other Re and Ri_b cases. We complement these two-dimensional slices with ²¹⁵ plots of the three-dimensional structures of the buoyancy field (figure 4) at the same times as in figure 3(b,f,j,n), ²¹⁶ illustrating the onset of secondary instabilities in each case. We discuss the key features of each case below:

(i) SKH: The nonlinear evolution of the symmetric Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (SKH) is illustrated in figure 3(a-217 d). The flow follows the typical evolution of a KH-driven mixing event [7]. The initial linear instability leads 218 to the formation of the classic billow structure at finite amplitude, overturning the entire stratified interface 219 (figure 3a). This billow is stationary with respect to the mean flow and approximately vertically symmetric. 220 The billow then becomes unstable to three-dimensional secondary instabilities (figure 3b and 4a), which trigger 221 a transition to turbulence that fills the entire shear layer (figure 3c,d). The buoyancy profile is more diffuse 222 at the end of the simulation, with nearly equal mixing of the top and bottom fluid layers. While the same 223 sequence is observed in our SKH simulations at Re = 300 and $Ri_{b} = 0.20$, the turbulent mixing in those cases is 224 significantly weaker due to the stronger effects of viscosity and stratification, respectively. That is, the turbulent 225 SKH dynamics are sensitive to the flow parameters for the simulations presented here. 226

(ii) SHI: The evolution of the symmetric Holmboe instability (SHI) is shown in figure 3m-p. SHI is characterized by waves propagating along the buoyancy interface, leading to the formation of counterpropagating vortices at finite amplitude (figure 3m). For the background flow considered here, these vortices are symmetric about the buoyancy interface. Like the SKH billow, the vortices are themselves susceptible to three-dimensional secondary



FIG. 3. Snapshots of buoyancy field at representative times throughout the flow evolution. Vertical (x - z) slices are provided for (a)-(d) Case $4 - R_0 = 1$, $a_0 = 0$, $t = \{55, 85, 115, 145\}$, (e)-(h) Case $5 - R_0 = 1$, $a_0 = 0$, $t = \{55, 85, 115, 145\}$, (i)-(l) Case $6 - R_0 = \frac{1}{3}$, $a_0 = 0$, $t = \{70, 105, 140, 175\}$, and (m)-(p) Case $6 - R_0 = \frac{1}{3}$, $a_0 = 0$, $t = \{120, 170, 220, 270\}$. In all included cases Re = 1200, Ri_b = 0.15, Pr = 9.

instabilities (figure 3n and 4c), which trigger a transition to a fully-turbulent flow (figure 3o). Unlike SKH,
however, the resulting mixing is longer-lived and acts to scour the initial stratification, maintaining a sharp
interface (figure 3p). We will return to this point in section III D. In addition, the SHI is more consistent across
Re and Ri_b, suggesting that the overall turbulent dynamics may be less sensitive to the initial flow parameters.

²³⁵ (iii) **AHI**: When the buoyancy profile is thinner than the shear profile, and a vertical offset is introduced (i.e. $R_0 = 3$ ²³⁶ and $a_0 = 0.5$), the asymmetric Holmboe instability (AHI) may arise (figure 3i-l). As seen previously by Carpenter ²³⁷ et al. [3], at finite amplitude the AHI leads to the formation of a large propagating vortex above the buoyancy ²³⁸ interface that entrains part of the buoyancy interface to form a billow-like structure. At Re = 300, this primary ²³⁹ vortex produces a series of ejection events before decaying. However, at larger Re = 1200, these ejections are ²⁴⁰ interrupted by the rapid formation of secondary instabilities (figure 4d). In either case, the resultant mixing ²⁴¹ is primarily above the buoyancy interface, leading to an asymmetric final buoyancy profile. Consequently, the



FIG. 4. Three-dimensional visualizations of the buoyancy field as the secondary instabilities develop. The output times are t = 85 (SKH), t = 85 (AKH), t = 105 (AHI), and t = 170 (SHI), i.e. the same times as in figure 3(b,f,j,n). These plots were constructed using VisIt's [9] Volume plot, which sets the opacity of the buoyancy field based on its value.

turbulent flow only overturns part of the initial interface, maintaining a strong buoyancy gradient on the lower
 side.

(iv) **AKH**: Finally, we consider the situation where the shear and stratification have the same initial thickness but 244 are vertically offset (i.e. $R_0 = 1$ and $a_0 = 0.5$), giving rise to the asymmetric Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (AKH), 245 depicted in figure 3(e-h). This instability results in the formation of a large billow, similar to that of the SKH. 246 However, there are two key differences: the billow is centred above the buoyancy interface, and is no longer 247 stationary with respect to the mean flow (as demonstrated by the different horizontal locations between panels 248 in figure 3). The billow is then susceptible to secondary instabilities which are qualitatively similar to those 249 observed for the SKH (figure 4b) and likewise trigger a transition to turbulence. However, similar to the AHI 250 flow, the mixing in the AKH case is vertically asymmetric: while the turbulent mixing acts to smear out the 251 buoyancy gradient above the initial stratified interface, the lower part of the interface remains relatively sharp 252 (figure 3h). 253

²⁵⁴ Consistent with the linear predictions in section II, the nonlinear evolution and mixing associated with the asym-²⁵⁵ metric cases (AKH and AHI) share qualitative features of both KH- and Holmboe-driven mixing events. For example, ²⁵⁶ they exhibit both a billow structure and a non-zero phase speed. This trend is consistent across the different param-²⁵⁷ eter (Re, Ri_b) scenarios presented in table I, with the most notable difference being that at lower Re and higher Ri_b, ²⁵⁸ the SKH is much less energetic. We explore this comparison more quantitatively in the following sections.

259

C. TKE budget

As we have seen, shear instabilities mix the stratification such that the final mean buoyancy and shear profiles are more diffuse than they were initially. The evolution of the mean flow can be distinguished from that of the shear instabilities through a Reynolds decomposition. That is,

$$\mathbf{u} = \overline{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{u}', \qquad b = \overline{b} + b', \tag{14}$$



FIG. 5. TKE budgets for the cases listed in table I. Note that the time axes were trimmed (e.g. panel d) to have all of the TKE budgets on a consistent and legible horizontal scale.

where $\overline{\cdot}$ denotes the horizontal average. We will use the fact that $\overline{\mathbf{u}} \approx (\overline{u}_1(z,t), 0, 0)^T$ below. Under this decomposition, the volume-integrated turbulent kinetic energy (TKE = $\langle \frac{1}{2} | u'_i |^2 \rangle$) evolves as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{TKE} = \underbrace{\langle -\overline{u'_i u'_j} \frac{\partial \overline{u_i}}{\partial x_j} \rangle}_{\text{Production}} + \underbrace{\text{Ri}_b}_{\text{Buoyancy Flux}} \langle \overline{u'_3 b} \rangle - \langle \varepsilon' \rangle , \qquad (15)$$

where u_i is the i^{th} component of \mathbf{u} , and the turbulent dissipation $\varepsilon' = \frac{1}{2 \operatorname{Re}} \left(\frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} \right)$. We are implicitly summing over repeated indices.

A physical interpretation of (15) is that TKE is produced by extracting energy from the mean flow, and will decay via viscous dissipation and mixing (a negative buoyancy flux). Equation (15) enables us to make a quantitative comparison between the cases listed in table I (see figure 5 as well as the time-integrated flux, production, and ²⁶⁵ dissipation values in table II), and provides another measure of how asymmetry frustrates the traditional distinction ²⁶⁶ between the SKH and the SHI.

We first focus on Case 5 from table I, i.e. SKH with Re = 1200 and $Ri_b = 0.15$ (figure 5e). The growth of the initial billow is associated with a large shear production and negative buoyancy flux, as dense fluid is transported upwards. The billow quickly becomes unstable to secondary instabilities and the flow becomes turbulent, enhancing viscous roo dissipation. Eventually, the dissipation dampens the TKE, and the flow relaminarizes. The duration of the turbulent event is relatively short, with few oscillations between the TKE production and the buoyancy flux. We note that the SKH flows with lower Re (figure 5a) and higher Ri_b (figure 5i) are much less energetic, owing to the stronger effects of viscosity and stratification, respectively.

On the other hand, for Case 8 (SHI at the same parameter values; figure 5h), the buoyancy flux, shear production, ²⁷⁵ and the rate of change of TKE exhibit a sequence of oscillations associated with periodic conversions between kinetic ²⁷⁶ and potential energy in the propagating Holmboe waves. These oscillations continue until the onset of secondary ²⁷⁷ instabilities and the subsequent transition to turbulence with increased ε . Finally, the flow relaminarizes with all ²⁷⁸ terms decaying. In contrast to the SKH case, the SHI event is long-lived, lasting many wave periods. A similar ²⁷⁹ behaviour is observed for the other parameter values (figure 5d,l), though we note that the oscillations persist for a ²⁸⁰ longer time at lower Re as the flow remains laminar for a longer time.

Consistent with the linear predictions in § II and the qualitative descriptions in § III B, asymmetry in the base flow 281 results in a hybrid of the pure KH (SKH) and pure Holmboe (SHI) behaviours. Figures 5(f,g) demonstrate that as the 282 instability mechanism transitions from KH-like to Holmboe-like, the duration of the turbulent mixing event increases. 283 Both an initial period of positive shear production and negative buoyancy flux as well as regularly-spaced oscillations 284 in the budget terms are observed, similar to the initial billow development in the SKH flow and the periodic waves 285 in the SHI flow. While viscosity and stratification still impact the resulting flow evolution for the asymmetric cases 286 (particularly for the AKH flows), we note that in contrast to the SKH flows, the asymmetric cases remain more 287 energetic at lower Re (figure 5b,c) and higher Ri_b (figure 5j,k). 288

Once again, asymmetry in the base flow results in a hybrid flow between the pure KH (SKH) and the pure Holmboe-wave instability (SHI). Figure 5 demonstrates that as the instability mechanism transitions from KH-like to Holmboe-like, the duration of the turbulent event increases (e.g. figure 5f) and regularly-spaced oscillations appear between the rate of change of TKE and buoyancy flux (e.g. figure 5g). Thus, as discussed in §II, asymmetry introduces an apparent transition between the SKH and the SHI.

The volume-averaged quantities provide an overview of the energetics of these symmetric and asymmetric flows. Further insight can be gleaned from considering *where* buoyancy flux or dissipation are occurring within the flow, which we consider in the next section.

297

D. Horizontally-averaged quantities

The TKE budgets above show that the turbulent flow field extracts energy from the mean flow, which is subsequently dissipated. We now discuss how that energy transfer changes the vertical structure of the horizontally-averaged mean flow. In particular, we characterize the structure of the mean velocity and buoyancy profiles through the buoyancy frequency (N^2) and shear rate (S^2) , defined

$$N^{2} = \frac{\partial \overline{b}}{\partial z}, \qquad S^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial \overline{u_{1}}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}.$$
(16)

Similarly, we will present the mean viscous dissipation (ε) and scalar variance dissipation (χ), computed as

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\text{Re}} \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}\right)} \approx \frac{1}{\text{Re}} S^2 + \varepsilon', \tag{17}$$

$$\chi = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{1}{\text{Re Pr}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} \qquad \approx \frac{N^2}{\text{Re Pr}} + \chi'.$$
(18)

²⁹⁸ High ε and χ indicate regions of strong turbulent motions acting on the velocity and buoyancy fields, respectively. ²⁹⁹ The timeseries of horizontally-averaged buoyancy (\bar{b}) , buoyancy frequency (N^2) , shear rate (S^2) , viscous dissipation ³⁰⁰ (ε), and scalar variance dissipation (χ) for Re = 1200 and Ri_b = 0.15 are presented in figure 6. Superimposed on each ³⁰¹ plot are the (-0.9, 0, 0.9) contours of $\overline{u_1}$ (green) and \overline{b} (yellow), to approximate the bottom, middle and top of the ³⁰² buoyancy and velocity interfaces.

As described above, the SKH is characterized by an initial billow structure that breaks down into turbulence, which 304 subsequently decays. There is a corresponding "burst" of intense turbulence, which produces an associated increase



FIG. 6. Contour plots of the horizontally-averaged (a)-(d) buoyancy, (e)-(h) N^2 , (i)-(l) S^2 , (m)-(p) log ε , (q)-(t) log χ , and (u)-(x) Re_B as a function of time. The base of the logarithms is e (natural logarithm). Data is plotted for Case 4 (left), Case 5 (middle left), and Case 6 (middle right) and Case 7 (right) – Re = 1200, Ri_b = 0.15. Superimposed on each plot are the (-0.9,0,0.9) contours of $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ (green) and \overline{b} (yellow). Anomalous values of large χ and Re_B associated with $N^2 \to 0$ have been masked our as described in § III F.

³⁰⁵ in viscous and scalar dissipation (figure 6m,q). This turbulence rapidly mixes (thickens) the initial buoyancy and ³⁰⁶ velocity interfaces, decreasing the overall stratification and shear (figure 6a,e,i). Consistent with previous studies of ³⁰⁷ KH instability, the overall thicknesses of the buoyancy and velocity interfaces (as shown by the green and yellow ³⁰⁸ contours) evolve similarly to one another throughout the flow evolution [45].

In contrast, the wavelike nature of the SHI results in oscillations in the horizontally-averaged fields at the buoyancy interface (figure 6d). The maximum gradient of the buoyancy and velocity interfaces remains relatively large after the mixing event (figure 6h,l), and the buoyancy interface remains thinner than the velocity interface. We also observe that the viscous and scalar dissipation rates remain high for an extended period throughout the mixing event, consistent with the "burning" behaviour described in Caulfield [6].

To recap, KH-driven mixing is associated with overturning turbulence, acting to smear out the initial interface. ³¹⁵ In contrast, Holmboe-driven mixing is associated with scouring turbulence, keeping interfaces sharp [6, 60]; these ³¹⁶ behaviours are well demonstrated by the evolution of N^2 and S^2 for the SKH and SHI simulations (figure 6e,h,i,l). ³¹⁷ However, asymmetry breaks this dichotomy. We find that the asymmetric instabilities both mix the buoyancy interface ³¹⁸ (identified by the yellow contours), while preserving a sharp buoyancy gradient that is offset from its initial position. ³¹⁹ This hybrid behaviour is a result of the asymmetric mixing of the AKH and AHI.

The locations of the viscous dissipation and mixing are identified through $\overline{\varepsilon}$ and $\overline{\chi}$. For both the SKH (figure 6m,q) and SHI (figure 6q,t), ε and χ are roughly symmetric with respect to the buoyancy interface. Conversely, AKH (figure 6n,r) and AHI (figure 6o,s) show more mixing above the buoyancy interface, associated with the breakdown of the initial billow structure. We find that the $\overline{u_1} = [-0.9, 0.9]$ contours provide reasonable vertical bounds for the mixing of the mixing and dissipation. Thus, the initial asymmetry of both AHI and AKH results in asymmetric mixing of the buoyancy and velocity fields, while preserving the buoyancy and velocity interfaces. As such, asymmetric shear instabilities combine the intense mixing of a KH instability with the interface-preserving property of a Holmboe instability.

We have argued that, in contrast to SKH, the AKH, AHI, and SHI exhibit scouring behaviour, which preserves the buoyancy interface. To illustrate this, we define the buoyancy Reynolds number $(Re_B = \varepsilon/(\nu N^2))$ as a measure of the regions where the turbulence is most intense relative to the background stratification. As describe qualitatively above, while the SKH has elevated values of Re_B throughout the buoyancy interface (figure 6u), we find high values of $Re_B \approx 100$ for the AKH, AHI, and SHI away from the buoyancy interface (figure 6v-x). That is, the SKH overturns and diffuses the buoyancy interface, while the other cases scour but do not eliminate a strong buoyancy gradient.

E. Interface position and width

The initial velocity and buoyancy profiles of the SKH, AKH, AHI, and SHI differ in the value of the initial interface thickness ratio R_0 and the initial interface offset a_0 . Throughout the mixing event, the mean value of the relative interface thickness and offset evolve in time. To demonstrate this evolution, we have included a comparison of the initial and final $-\frac{\partial \bar{b}}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial \bar{u}_1}{\partial z}$ profiles (taken at the end of each simulation $t = t_f$) in figure 7(a-d). The initially symmetric profiles (SKH, SHI) retain their symmetry. Conversely, initially asymmetric profiles (AKH, AHI) preferentially mix one side of the buoyancy interface more than the other. This asymmetric mixing will displace the position of the buoyancy $(z_{0,b})$ and velocity $(z_{0,u})$ interface over the course of the simulation. This is evident by looking at the interface heights at the final time (that is, the heights of the peak gradients in buoyancy and velocity), marked with a ∇ on the corresponding panels of figure 7a-d. Note that the asymmetric mixing results in final profiles in which the peak gradients are approximately aligned, nearly eliminating the initial offset.

In addition to the interface position, we quantify the evolving interface widths as the standard deviation of \overline{b} or \overline{u}_1 about the peak gradient. That is, we define the buoyancy (σ_b) and velocity (σ_u) interface thicknesses as

$$\sigma_b = \sqrt{\frac{\int \left(z - z_{0,b}\right)^2 \partial_z \overline{b} \, \mathrm{d}z}{\int \partial_z \overline{b} \, \mathrm{d}z}},\tag{19}$$

$$\sigma_u = \sqrt{\frac{\int \left(z - z_{0,u}\right)^2 \partial_z \overline{u}_1 \, \mathrm{d}z}{\int \partial_z \overline{u}_1 \, \mathrm{d}z}}.$$
(20)

Having estimated the interface position and width with time, the evolving interface thickness ratio (R) and interface offset (a) are

$$R(t) = \frac{\sigma_u}{\sigma_b}, \qquad \mathbf{a}(t) = z_{0,u} - z_{0,b}.$$
(21)

334



FIG. 7. Plot of the initial and final profiles of $-\frac{\partial \overline{b}}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial \overline{u_1}}{\partial z}$ of the (a) SKH, (b) AKH, (c) AHI, and (d) SHI for Re = 1200, Ri_b = 0.15. The evolution of the (e) interface thicknesses R and (f) offset **a** are included as a function of time.

345 Note that $R(t=0) \approx R_0$, $\mathbf{a}(t=0) \approx a_0$.

We plot the evolution of R in time in figure 7(e) for all Re = 1200 cases, and include the initial and final values of ³⁴⁷ R and **a** for all cases in table II. We do not include the data from the Re = 300 cases in figure 7, as the low Reynolds ³⁴⁸ number results in significant interface diffusion that obfuscates the present results. However, even at Re = 300, we ³⁴⁹ find similar conclusions to those presented here (see table II).

Several features can be seen in the plot of R (figure 7e). First, the formation of the large billow in the SKH, AKH, and AHI cases is associated with a transient decrease in R. On the other hand, a clear oscillatory behaviour sequences is apparent in the SHI flows, consistent with the wavelike character of the flow described above. As the billow or vortices break down into turbulence and the flow mixes, R increases and then nearly plateaus.

Case	Instability	$t_{2D,max}$	$t_{3D,max}$	R_0	$R(t=t_f)$	a_0	$\mathbf{a}(t=t_f)$	\mathcal{P}	${\mathcal B}$	\mathcal{D}	η_M	$\overline{\eta}$	$\overline{\eta}_{3D}$
1	SKH	223.4	309.3	1.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.11	-0.03	-0.09	0.13	0.22	0.13
2	AKH	175.4	235.6	1.0	1.6	0.5	0.2	0.28	-0.07	-0.21	0.16	0.60	0.20
3	AHI	198.5	239.2	3.0	2.2	0.5	0.0	0.39	-0.08	-0.31	0.17	0.48	0.28
4	SHI	324.4	379.5	3.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.36	-0.08	-0.27	0.17	0.62	0.23
5	SKH	92.4	131.3	1.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.50	-0.10	-0.40	0.21	0.36	0.23
6	AKH	60.0	134.4	1.0	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.45	-0.10	-0.35	0.19	0.21	0.21
7	AHI	89.6	147.9	3.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.42	-0.07	-0.35	0.17	0.23	0.22
8	SHI	183.0	232.2	3.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.25	-0.04	-0.21	0.20	0.23	0.20
9	SKH	159.3	191.6	1.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.04	-0.01	-0.03	0.13	0.14	0.11
10	AKH	69.0	134.4	1.0	1.4	0.5	0.1	0.25	-0.05	-0.20	0.19	0.30	0.29
11	AHI	128.4	160.1	3.0	2.4	0.5	-0.1	0.29	-0.05	-0.23	0.17	0.37	0.15
12	SHI	208.0	247.4	3.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.17	-0.03	-0.14	0.20	0.31	0.20

TABLE II. Table of case parameters for the twelve numerical simulations. Time of maximum 2D and 3D TKE are given as $t_{2D,max}$ and $t_{3D,max}$. The initial and final interface ratios $(R_0, R(t = t_f))$ and interface offsets $(a_0, \mathbf{a}(t = t_f))$ are also included. The time integral of production (\mathcal{P}) , buoyancy flux (\mathcal{B}) , and dissipation (\mathcal{D}) are included. The median mixing efficiency (η_M) , mean mixing efficiency $(\bar{\eta})$, and mean mixing efficiency within the turbulent region $(\bar{\eta}_{3D})$, as described in § III F) are given for each case.

The SKH and AKH cases (R(t = 0) = 1) tend towards increased thickness ratios of $R \approx 1.3$ by the end of the simulation, consistent with the velocity diffusing faster than the buoyancy for Pr = 9. For the instabilities with initially thin buoyancy interfaces (R(t = 0) = 3), i.e. SHI and AHI), the flows tend towards reduced values of $R \approx 2$ by the end of the simulations, indicating that the turbulent event has thickened the buoyancy gradient more than the velocity gradient. However, the buoyancy interface remains thinner than the velocity interface, even with the signature of the initial billow for the AHI case.

Recall that the initial offset between the shear and buoyancy interfaces for the AKH and AHI is nearly eliminated by the end of the mixing events (see figure 7f). The flow asymmetry for these cases results in preferential mixing above the buoyancy interface, leading to a downwards shift of both interfaces. As the shear interface is located above the buoyancy interface, it is mixed at a faster rate, such that the final position of the two interfaces are co-located. The asymmetric mixing reduces the interface offset in the final state.

365

F. Self-organized criticality

The gradient Richardson number,

$$Ri_g = \frac{N^2}{S^2} \,, \tag{22}$$

³⁶⁶ is an important parameter in studies of stratified shear flows, relating the stabilizing effect of stratification with the ³⁶⁷ destabilizing effect of shear. In particular, the "critical" value $Ri_g \sim 1/4$ is commonly associated with stratified ³⁶⁸ turbulent mixing: $Ri_g < 1/4$ is a necessary condition for instability in steady parallel inviscid stratified shear flows ³⁶⁹ [20, 31], and oceanic observations suggest that a critical Richardson number close to 1/4 is a useful criterion in practice ³⁷⁰ for the onset of turbulent mixing (e.g. 13). Oceanic and estuarine observations suggest that stratified shear flows often ³⁷¹ exhibit a peak in the distribution of Ri_g around this critical value [e.g. 15, 38, 46].

Motivated by these observations, recent studies have focused on the idea of "self-organized criticality" in stratified trubulent flows, that is, the tendency of flows to evolve to a state with Ri_g near the critical value. One commonlyinvoked argument suggests that this is associated with a balance between external energy input to the background flow (forcing) driving the flow towards a state with $Ri_g < 1/4$, allowing for the possibility of shear instability, and overturning turbulent mixing acting to increase Ri_g to values above 1/4; the balance between these two processes is thought to result in a state of "marginal stability" [44, 50].

The above explanation relies on the presence of external forcing to drive the system back to an unstable state. ³⁷⁹ Salehipour et al. [42] discovered an alternative mechanism for this self-organizing behaviour, namely that symmetric ³⁸⁰ Holmboe instabilities evolve to a state where the average gradient Richardson number is approximately 1/4, regardless ³⁸¹ of initial flow parameters. Furthermore, they argue self-organized criticality is not present for KH instability, which



FIG. 8. (a-d) Timeseries plots of the gradient Richardson number of the horizontally-averaged flow, N^2/S^2 . (e-h) Local mixing efficiency, η , as defined in equation (23). The opaque regions in (a-h) indicate the portions of the flow for which $\varepsilon' < 2 \operatorname{Ri}_b/(\operatorname{Re} L_z)$. (i-l) Profiles of Ri_g and η of the horizontally-averaged flow at $t = t_{3D,max}$ (dark) and averaged from $t_{3D,max}$ (light) to the end of the simulation. The thin dashed lines indicate $Ri_g = 1/4$ and $\eta = 1/6$. All cases shown have $\operatorname{Re} = 1200$ and $\operatorname{Ri}_b = 0.15$.

³⁸² can exhibit average gradient Richardson numbers above or below 0.25, depending on the initial parameters. It should ³⁸³ be emphasized that this description is for freely-evolving shear layers like those we consider here, rather than the ³⁸⁴ forced system of Smyth et al. [50].

To what extent do asymmetric shear instabilities share this tendency to *self-organize*? We have shown that the initial offset in the location of peak buoyancy and shear is essentially removed by the end of the simulation, and that the ratio of interface thicknesses converges to approximately the same values regardless of initial asymmetry. Thus,

17

we may wonder whether there is some "attractive" state to which the system is evolving, depending on how Holmboe-³⁸⁹ or KH-like the initial instability is. To explore this question, we investigate the statistics of the gradient Richardson ³⁹⁰ number (Ri_g) and the mixing efficiency (η), defined by equation (23), for both the symmetric and asymmetric initial ³⁹¹ conditions.

We first consider Ri_g in the region where the flow is sufficiently turbulent. As in Salehipour et al. [42], we focus on regions where $\varepsilon' > \frac{2}{Lz} \frac{\text{Ri}_b}{\text{Re}}$, as this avoids regions where both $N^2, S^2 \to 0$ (see figure 6). Similarly, we restrict $t > t_{3D}$, where t_{3D} is the time of maximum kinetic energy, removing the initial laminar period. We note that the results in this paper are relatively robust to variations in the precise values of these two filtering criteria.

As shown in figure 8(a,b), the SKH and AKH cases start from an initial state in which Ri_g has a minimum at the ³⁹⁷ centre of the shear layer. After the instability grows and triggers a transition to turbulence, the flow rapidly mixes to ³⁹⁸ a state in which $Ri_g \gtrsim 1/4$, though slightly lower values of Ri_g persist for longer in the AKH case than its symmetric ³⁹⁹ counterpart. On the other hand, the AHI and SHI cases, start with a local maximum in Ri_g at the centre of the shear ⁴⁰⁰ layer (figure 8c,d). The onset of turbulence in both cases does not lead to the same rapid increase of Ri_g . Instead, ⁴⁰¹ as a consequence of the turbulence being strongest in the weakly-stratified regions away from the buoyancy interface, ⁴⁰² the turbulent shear layer shows a range of gradient Richardson numbers for these two cases.

To quantify these distributions further, within the turbulent region after t_{3D} , we compute the probability density 403 $_{404}$ function (PDF) of Ri_q (figure 9a,c,e,g). Consistent with Salehipour et al. [42], the different SKH cases (figure 9a) have different peaks in Ri_q , and the PDFs of the SHI cases (figure 9g) appear to show a consistent peak near $Ri_q = 0.25$. 405 Of more interest is the behaviour of the AKH and AHI cases (figure 9c,e). In contrast to the SKH cases, both 406 asymmetric configurations show a collapse of the PDFs across the parameter values considered here. That is, these 407 408 PDFs of Ri_q are not very sensitive to the particular values of Re and Ri_b. However, the value of the peaks in Ri_q 409 differs between the AKH and AHI flows: for AHI, the peak is close to the value of 1/4 seen in the SHI cases, while 410 AKH show a consistent peak around $Ri_g \approx 0.4$ (similar to the larger Ri_g values seen for some of the SKH flows). ⁴¹¹ Again, the stark dependence on Re and Ri_b associated with SKH appears to be reduced for AKH. However, the details ⁴¹² of the turbulent flows still depend on the specific case in question (and the degree to which it is more or less "KH-like" ⁴¹³ versus "Holmboe-like").

We next turn to the corresponding distributions of the mixing efficiency, η , for the different symmetric and asymmetric cases considered here. The mixing efficiency is the fraction of the total energy loss that increases the potential energy of the system (as opposed to the energy lost to viscous dissipation). Following the approach of Smith et al. [43], we define the local mixing efficiency as

$$\eta = \frac{\operatorname{Ri}_b \chi'}{\operatorname{Ri}_b \chi' + \varepsilon'},\tag{23}$$

⁴¹⁴ which indicates the height where the mixing is most efficient. To avoid regions in which $N^2 \rightarrow 0$, we apply the same ⁴¹⁵ filter as with Ri_g .

We show the spatial distribution of η in figure 8(e-h). There is an early peak in η in all cases, associated with the initially laminar formation of the billows or counter-propagating vortices. As the flow breaks down into turbulence, the efficiency drops off. For the SKH case, the efficiency is approximately uniform across the turbulent region. Conversely, the AKH, AHI, and SHI cases show a range of values of η , with lower efficiencies near the interface and larger values away (particularly in the AHI and SHI cases), as a consequence of the larger values of χ' in those regions.

The predicted value of η for a given flow has been a matter of some debate, as reviewed by Gregg et al. [16]. In 422 particular, Osborn [34] suggested an upper bound of 1/6 for the average mixing efficiency of steady homogeneous 423 stratified shear flows. This value, corresponding to a flux coefficient of $\Gamma = \eta/(1 - \eta) = 0.2$, has been applied in a 424 wide variety of numerical, experimental, and oceanic contexts.

Even for stratified shear layers such as those considered here, the peak value of η has been shown to be case specific. 425 For KH instability, η has been shown to depend on the flow parameters, the route by which the flow transitions to 426 turbulence, and the details of the initial conditions [7, 21, 29]. On the other hand, studies of scouring-type flows, 427 including Holmboe-driven turbulent mixing [42] and forced stratified shear layers [43] have shown peaks in the PDF of 428 mixing efficiency near the canonical 1/6 value, consistent with the flows experiencing a period of steady homogeneous 429 mixing (as assumed by Osborn [34]). For the simulations presented here, we observe a similar behaviour for the AKH, 430 AHI, and SHI cases, as shown in figure 9(d,f,h): the PDFs of η , defined over the same spatial and temporal region as 431 in the PDFs of Ri_q , show peaks around 1/6 across different flow parameters. On the other hand, the PDFs of η for 432 the SKH simulations (figure 9b) show peaks at different values depending on the flow parameters, consistent with the 433 results of Salehipour et al. [42]. 434

These typical values of Ri_g and η can also be seen in the profiles at $t_{3D,max}$ and time-averaged from $t_{3D,max}$ to 436 the end of the simulation in figure 8(i-l). The SKH and AKH profiles show gradient Richardson numbers above the 437 marginal value of 1/4, while the AHI and SHI flows show $Ri_q \sim 1/4$ over the region surrounding the shear layer.

18



10

10

FIG. 9. PDFs of Ri_g and η where the flow is turbulent for (a,b) SKH, (c,d) AKH, (e,f) AHI, and (g,h) SHI. Vertical dashed lines are included at $Ri_g = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\eta = \frac{1}{6}$, respectively.

438 Similarly, local values of η are close to 1/6 during the turbulent phases of the mixing events.

Taken together, the distributions of Ri_g and η , along with the evolution of the mean quantities described in § III D, 440 suggest that as the underlying instabilities shift from being more KH-like to more Holmboe-like, the corresponding 441 turbulence and mixing likewise transition from one limiting behaviour to the other.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A substantial fraction of the literature on stratified shear instabilities has focused on the idealized case of a verticallysymmetric background flow, with the centre of the background shear layer coincident with the corresponding buoyancy interface. However, in many geophysical contexts, the shear and stratification are asymmetric. Even in idealized laboratory experiments, the interfaces may be offset [24, 25, 61]. We have shown that this asymmetry modifies both the linear stability of the underlying shear instability and its subsequent nonlinear evolution.

⁴⁴⁸ By considering the linear stability of asymmetric flows given by (2), we have observed that the eigenfunctions of the ⁴⁴⁹ unstable modes share characteristics of both pure KH and Holmboe instabilities. Moreover, using an appropriately-⁴⁵⁰ defined pseudomomentum [see 12], we have quantified how KH-like or Holmboe-like these asymmetric instabilities are ⁴⁵¹ for background flows with different Ri_b, Re, R_0 , and a_0 .

Then, we selected several representative cases ranging from pure KH to pure Holmboe behaviour to demonstrate 452 the effect of asymmetry on the nonlinear evolution of the system. We performed a set of twelve direct numerical 453 simulations with different Re, Ri_b, a₀ and R₀. These cases included symmetric KH [SKH], asymmetric KH [AKH], 454 asymmetric Holmboe [AHI], and symmetric Holmboe [SHI] configurations. These simulations build on the work 455 of Carpenter et al. [3], with a higher Reynolds number and the inclusion of the asymmetric KH setup which was 456 not previously considered. From these simulations, and consistent with the linear predictions, we showed that the 457 asymmetric shear instabilities resulted in a flow that had both the propagating wave feature of Holmboe instabilities 458 and the finite-amplitude billow structure of KH instabilities. These hybrid features can be seen in both the TKE 459 budget and the horizontally averaged quantities. As predicted by the pseudomomentum, the nonlinear simulations 460 suggest that there exists a continuous spectrum of KH-like and Holmboe-like behaviour. 461

As mentioned in the introduction, turbulence caused by stratified shear instabilities is frequently categorized as 462 overturning", in which KH-driven mixing smears out the buoyancy profile, or "scouring", where Holmboe-driven 463 mixing preserves the sharp buoyancy interface [6, 40, 48]. The mixing driven by the asymmetric shear instabilities 464 described here differs from most previous numerical studies in two important ways. First, the asymmetric shear 465 instabilities lead to asymmetric mixing of the background buoyancy and momentum – both the velocity and buoyancy 466 profiles preferentially diffuse on one side of the buoyancy interface. This mixing roughly preserves the relative thickness 467 of the buoyancy and velocity interfaces. Interestingly, in the cases considered, the asymmetric mixing nearly eliminated 468 the initial offset in peak gradients by the end of the simulations. In this sense, the asymmetric mixing produces a more 469 symmetric final state than was present initially. This may have important implications in contexts where the degree 470 of asymmetry changes with time [61]. Similarly, in a continuously forced system [43], it is unclear how the offset would 471 evolve. Second, the mixing appears to share characteristics of both overturning and scouring flows, with part of the 472 initial interface maintained throughout the flow evolution. That is, not only is the mixing vertically asymmetric, but 473 the character of the mixing itself can change depending on the initial offset of the background flow. 474

We note that while the simulations presented in this manuscript consider multiple values of Re and Ri_{b} , further 475 exploration of the parameter space would be of great value in understanding the role of asymmetry in stratified shear 476 flows. In particular, we have kept the Prandtl number fixed at a value of Pr = 9 in this study, characteristic of heat in 477 water. The Prandtl number has been shown to play an important role in other studies of shear-driven mixing (e.g. 39) 478 and layer formation (e.g. 53); understanding how the Prandtl number may impact the interplay between scouring 479 and overturning discussed in this manuscript may be important to consider for other environmental and geophysical 480 flows. In addition to the Prandtl number, future studies should consider how this spectrum of behaviours changes at 481 higher Re more characteristic of environmental flows. Simulations in domains with longer streamwise extent would 482 also be of interest in order to ascertain the importance of upscale cascades of energy in such asymmetric shear flows 483 [see, e.g. 11, 27, 47]. 484

In addition to extending the parameter space for flows described by (2), other forms of asymmetric stratified shear 485 layers could be considered. For example, Pham et al. [37] and Pham and Sarkar [36] studied asymmetric flows where 486 shear and stratification varied between the upper and lower layers, and found similar transitions between KH- and 487 Holmboe-like behaviours. For example, the DNS of Pham et al. [37], which modelled an idealized stratified shear flow 488 inspired by observations of the upper equatorial undercurrent, resulted in a "Holmboe-like shear instability near the 489 base of the mixed layer" that later developed into a KH-like billow with strong associated turbulence, similar to the 490 asymmetric results presented here. We note that their choice of shear and stratification led to a Ri_q profile with a 491 local minimum, more akin to our AKH case, rather than a local maximum as seen in our AHI and SHI configurations 492 (figure 1). However, the overall flow evolution is more similar to our AHI flow, suggesting that the background profiles 493 alone do not necessarily tell the whole story in terms of characterizing the flow, and so the pseudomomentum-based 494 approach employed here may help in such comparisons between studies. In general, future work could consider a 495 variety of different types of asymmetry, analyzing both the linear modes using the pseudomomentum framework 496 described here as well as the nonlinear evolution and turbulent mixing. 497

⁴⁹⁸ It has been suggested that the longer-lived scouring behaviour associated with scouring events could lead to larger

⁴⁹⁹ overall mixing of the background flow during the turbulent phase compared to the intense burst of mixing associated ⁵⁰⁰ with KH instability [6, 41, 48]. It is unclear how this may extend to asymmetric flows: while asymmetry may lead ⁵⁰¹ to longer-lived turbulence, the strongest mixing is also offset from the strongest stratification. While it is difficult to ⁵⁰² draw conclusions at the parameter values studied here, future work with a broader parameter space could explore this ⁵⁰³ question in more detail.

Histograms of Oceanographic observations of Ri_g frequently show a peak value of approximately 0.25 [e.g. 18, 46]. 504 (This value should not be thought of as a result of the Miles-Howard theorem, the underlying assumptions of which 505 do not apply to fully turbulent flows.) One possible explanation for these observations is that forcing and turbulent 506 diffusion act together to drive the mean flow to a state of marginal stability [50]. An alternate scenario is that stratified 507 turbulence driven by Holmboe instability may self-organize into a state with $Ri_q \sim 1/4$ and a flux coefficient ~ 0.2 , 508 behaviour which is not seen for KH-driven mixing [42]. Our results are consistent with that conclusion: the SHI 509 cases demonstrate a consistent peak in the PDF of Ri_g near 0.25 that is absent in the SKH cases. Indeed, our SKH 510 simulations include the subcritical, critical, and supercritical cases illustrated in figure 13 of Salehipour et al. [42]. Of 511 greater interest are the asymmetric cases, which also demonstrate a collapse in the distributions of Ri_q , consistent with 512 our interpretation that asymmetry results in mixing events with characteristics of both KH and Holmboe instabilities. 513 The AHI has a peak in $Ri_g \approx 0.3$, roughly at the same location as that of the SHI. There is a similar collapse of 514 the AKH curves around $\operatorname{Ri}_q \approx 0.4$. As the SKH cases appear to be highly dependent on the initial flow parameters, 515 this work suggests that even small amounts of asymmetry reduce the dependence of the PDF of Ri_q on the external 516 parameters. Future work aimed at clarifying the role of asymmetry on the resulting distribution of Ri_q , particularly 517 at even higher Re more typical of geophysical flows and considering a broader range of \mathcal{R}_M to include more instability 518 behaviours, will help to clarify the degree to which the turbulence may self-organize in AKH- and AHI-driven flows. 519 Forced simulations, in which the mechanism described by Smyth et al. [50] may also be active, would further allow 520 for the exploration of these self-organizing behaviours in asymmetric stratified shear flows. 521

We emphasize that the SKH/AKH/AHI/SHI simulations shown in figure 3 all have the same Re, Pr, and Ri_b ; the 522 qualitatively different flow evolution arises from small-scale details in the initial shear and stratification profiles. We 523 found a similar range of behaviours in each of the three sets of SKH/AKH/AHI/SHI simulations with fixed Re, Pr, 524 and Ri_b. That is, significantly different behaviours can arise for the same bulk parameters. Because of this small-scale 525 dependence, methods to identify the type of instability are of great interest, especially in the analysis of observational 526 data. Given the success of the pseudomomentum approach in classifying the linear dynamics, it is natural to ask 527 whether a similar metric could be found for fully nonlinear flows, either by extending the nonlinear metrics described 528 by Eaves and Balmforth [12] to less idealized flows or by exploiting newer data-driven analyses for flow classification. 529 Stratified turbulent flows may be quantified in terms of a variety of key lengthscales describing, for example, the 530 size of individual overturns or the scales at which stratification becomes important. Understanding the relationships 531 between such lengthscales can be essential for interpreting field measurements in which certain variables are not easily 532 measured. However, most of these relationships for stratified shear instabilities have typically focused on symmetric 533 KH instabilities (e.g. 30, 45). Future work will consider how these relationships are modified by asymmetry and how 534 they can be related to the specifics of the irreversible mixing [59], thereby allowing for improved interpretation of field 535 measurements. 536

Geophysical flows are rarely perfectly aligned. Our results have highlighted that even a relatively small amount of asymmetry may produce a different flow evolution than classic shear instability theory would suggest. This asymmetry below the resolvable scales of large-scale circulation models, and as such must be parameterized. In the future, we hope to compare our work with field studies, quantify the importance of asymmetry, and find a practicable solution to incorporate this effect into regional models.

542

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge helpful discussions with Bill Smyth and Tom Eaves, and helpful comments from the reviewers. Jason Olsthoorn was supported by funding from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the Killam Trusts. Alexis Kaminski was supported by National Science Foundation grant OCE-1657676. Daniel Robb was supported by an NSERC doctoral scholarship. The simulations were run through Compute Canada.

 ^{548 [1]} A. Alexakis. Stratified shear flow instabilities at large Richardson numbers. <u>Phys. Fluids</u>, 21:054108, 2009. doi:
 549 10.1063/1.3147934.

- [2] K. A. Brucker and S. Sarkar. Evolution of an initially turbulent stratified shear layer. Phys. Fluids, 19:105105, 2007. 550 551 doi:10.1063/1.2756581.
- J. R. Carpenter, G. A. Lawrence, and W. D. Smyth. Evolution and mixing of asymmetric Holmboe instabilities. J. Fluid [3] 552 Mech., 582:103-132, 2007. doi:10.1017/S002211200700598. 553
- [4]J. R. Carpenter, N. J. Balmforth, and G. A. Lawrence. Identifying unstable modes in stratified shear layers. Phys. Fluids. 554 22:054104, 2010. doi:10.1063/1.3379845. 555
- J. R. Carpenter, E. W. Tedford, E. Heifetz, and G. A. Lawrence. Instability in stratified shear flow: review of a physical $\left| 5 \right|$ 556 interpretation based on interacting waves. Appl. Mech. Rev., 64:060801, 2011. doi:10.1115/1.4007909. 557
- C. P. Caulfield. Layering, instabilities, and mixing in turbulent stratified flows. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 53:113–145, 2021. [6]558 doi:10.1146/annurev-fluid-042320-100458. 559
- C. P. Caulfield and W. R. Peltier. The anatomy of the mixing transition in homogeneous and stratified free shear layers. [7]560 J. Fluid Mech., 413:1-47, 2000. doi:10.1017/S0022112000008284. 561
- C. P. Caulfield, W. R. Peltier, S. Yoshida, and M. Ohtani. An experimental investigation of the instability of a shear flow [8] 562 with multilayered density stratification. Phys. Fluids, 7(12):3028–3041, 1995. doi:10.1063/1.868679. 563
- [9] H. Childs, E. Brugger, B. Whitlock, J. Meredith, S. Ahern, D. Pugmire, K. Biagas, M. Miller, C. Harrison, G. H. Weber, 564 H. Krishnan, T. Fogal, A. Sanderson, C. Garth, E. W. Bethel, D. Camp, O. Rübel, M. Durant, J. M. Favre, and P. Navrátil. 565 Visit: An end-user tool for visualizing and analyzing very large data. In High Performance Visualization-Enabling 566 Extreme-Scale Scientific Insight, pages 357–372. October 2012. doi:10.1201/b12985. 567
- G. M. Corcos and F. S. Sherman. Vorticity concentration and the dynamics of unstable free shear layers. J. Fluid Mech., [10]568 73(2):241-264, 1976.569
- W. Dong, E. W. Tedford, M. Rahmani, and G. A. Lawrence. Sensitivity of vortex pairing and mixing to initial perturbations 570 [11]in stratified shear flows. Phys. Rev. Fluids, 4:063902, 2019. doi:10.1103/PhysRevFluids.4.063902. 571
- [12]T. S. Eaves and N. J. Balmforth. Instability of sheared density interfaces. J. Fluid Mech., 860:145–171, 2019. doi: 572 10.1017/jfm.2018.827. 573
- [13]C. C. Eriksen. Measurements and models of fine structure, interval gravity waves, and wave breaking in the deep ocean. 574 J. Geophys. Res., 83(C6):2989–3009, 1978. 575
- C. Garrett and W. Munk. Oceanic mixing by breaking internal waves. Deep-Sea Res., 19:823-832, 1972. doi:10.1016/0011-576 [14]7471(72)90001-0. 577
- W. R. Geyer and J. D. Smith. Shear instability in a highly stratified estuary. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 17:1668-1679, 1987. [15]578 doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1987)017;1668:SIIAHS;2.0.CO;2. 579
- M. C. Gregg, E. A. D'Asaro, J. J. Riley, and E. Kunze. Mixing efficiency in the ocean. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 10:443–473, 580 [16]2018. doi:10.1146/annurev- marine- 121916- 063643. 581
- P. Hazel. Numerical studies of the stability of inviscid stratified shear flows. J. Fluid Mech., 51(1):39-61, 1972. [17]582
- [18] R. C. Holleman, W. R. Geyer, and D. K. Ralston. Stratified turbulence and mixing efficiency in a salt wedge estuary. J. 583 Phys. Oceanogr., 46:1769–1783, 2016. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-15-0193.1. 584
- [19] J. Holmboe. On the behavior of symmetric waves in stratified shear layers. Geofys. Publ., 24:67–113, 1962. 585
- [20] L. N. Howard. Note on a paper of john w. miles. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 10(4):509–512, 1961. doi: 586 10.1017/S0022112061000317. 587
- [21]A. K. Kaminski and W. D. Smyth. Stratified shear instability in a field of pre-existing turbulence. Journal of Fluid 588 Mechanics, 862:639-658, 2019. doi:10.1017/jfm.2018.973. 589
- A. K. Kaminski, E. A. D'Asaro, A. Y. Shcherbina, and R. R. Harcourt. High-resolution observations of the North Pacific [22]590 transition layer from a Lagrangian float. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 51:3163–3181, 2021. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-21-0032.1. 591
- [23]G. P. Klaassen and W. R. Peltier. The influence of stratification on secondary instability in free shear layers. J. Fluid 592 Mech., 227:71–106, 1991. 593
- 594 [24]G. A. Lawrence, F. K. Browand, and L. G. Redekopp. The stability of a sheared density interface. Phys. Fluids A, 3(10): 2360-2370, 1991. doi:10.1063/1.858175. 595
- A. Lefauve, J. L. Partridge, Q. Zhou, S. B. Dalziel, C. P. Caulfield, and P. F. Linden. The structure and origin of confined |25|596 holmboe waves. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 848:508-544, 2018. doi:10.1017/jfm.2018.324. 597
- [26]S. F. Lewin and C. P. Caulfield. The influence of far-field stratification on shear-induced turbulent mixing. J. Fluid Mech., 598 928:A20, 2021. doi:10.1017/jfm.2021.755. 599
- A. Mashayek and W. R. Peltier. The 'zoo' of secondary instabilities precursory to stratified shear flow transition. part 1 [27]600 Shear aligned convection, pairing, and braid instabilities. J. Fluid Mech., 708:5-44, 2012. doi:10.1017/jfm.2012.304. 601
- A. Mashayek and W. R. Peltier. The 'zoo' of secondary instabilities precursory to stratified shear flow transition. part 2 [28]602 The influence of stratification. J. Fluid Mech., 708:45–70, 2012. doi:10.1017/jfm.2012.294. 603
- A. Mashayek and W. R. Peltier. Shear-induced mixing in geophysical flows: does the route to turbulence matter to its 604 [29]efficiency? J. Fluid Mech., 725:216-261, 2013. doi:10.1017/jfm.2013.176. 605
- [30]A. Mashayek, H. Salehipour, D. Bouffard, C. P. Caulfield, R. Ferrari, M. Nikurashin, W. R. Peltier, and W. D. 606 Smyth. Efficiency of turbulent mixing in the abyssal ocean circulation. Geophys. Res. Lett., 44:6296-6306, 2017. doi: 607 10.1002/2016GL072452. 608
- [31]J. W. Miles. On the stability of heterogeneous shear flows. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 10(4):496-508, 1961. doi: 609 10.1017/S0022112061000305. 610
- J. N. Moum, D. M. Farmer, W. D. Smyth, L. Armi, and S. Vagle. Structure and generation of turbulence at interfaces [32]611 strained by internal solitary waves propagating shoreward over the continental shelf. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 33:2093–2112, 612 2003.

613

- ⁶¹⁴ [33] J. N. Moum, J. D. Nash, and W. D. Smyth. Narrowband oscillations in the upper equatorial ocean. part I: interpretation
 ⁶¹⁵ as shear instabilities. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41:397–411, 2011. doi:10.1175/2010JPO4450.1.
- G16 [34] T. R. Osborn. Estimates of the local rate of vertical diffusion from dissipation measurements. Journal of Physical
 Oceanography, 10(1):83 89, 1980. doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1980)010j0083:EOTLRO; 2.0.CO; 2.
- 618 [35] G. Pawlak and L. Armi. Vortex dynamics in a spatially accelerating shear layer. J. Fluid Mech., 376:1–35, 1998.
- ⁶¹⁹ [36] H. T. Pham and S. Sarkar. Evolution of an asymmetric turbulent shear layer in a thermocline. Journal of Turbulence,
 ⁶²⁰ 15(7):449-471, July 2014. ISSN 1468-5248. doi:10.1080/14685248.2014.914216. URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/
 ⁶²¹ abs/10.1080/14685248.2014.914216.
- [37] H. T. Pham, S. Sarkar, and K. B. Winters. Near-N Oscillations and Deep-Cycle Turbulence in an Upper-Equatorial
 Undercurrent Model. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 42(12):2169-2184, Dec. 2012. ISSN 0022-3670, 1520-0485. doi:
 10.1175/JPO-D-11-0233.1. URL https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/phoc/42/12/jpo-d-11-0233.1.xml.
 Publisher: American Meteorological Society Section: Journal of Physical Oceanography.
- ⁶²⁵ [38] H. T. Pham, W. D. Smyth, S. Sarkar, and J. N. Moum. Seasonality of deep cyycle turbulence in the eastern equatorial
- 627 Pacific. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 47:2189–2209, 2017.
- ⁶²⁸ [39] H. Salehipour, W. R. Peltier, and A. Mashayek. Turbulent diapycnal mixing in stratified shear flows: the influence
 ⁶²⁹ of Prandtl number on mixing efficiency and transition at high Reynolds number. J. Fluid Mech., 773:178–223, 2015.
 ⁶³⁰ doi:10.1017/jfm.2015.225.
- [40] H. Salehipour, C. P. Caulfield, and W. R. Peltier. Turbulent mixing due to the Holmboe wave instability at high Reynolds
 number. J. Fluid Mech., 803:591–621, 2016. doi:10.1017/jfm.2016.488.
- [41] H. Salehipour, W. R. Peltier, C. B. Whalen, and J. A. MacKinnon. A new characterization of the turbulent diapycnal diffusivities of mass and momentum in the ocean. Geophys. Res. Lett., 43:3370–3379, 2016. doi:10.1002/2016GL068184.
- [42] H. Salehipour, W. R. Peltier, and C. P. Caulfield. Self-organized criticality of turbulence in strongly stratified mixing
 layers. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 856:228–256, 2018. doi:10.1017/jfm.2018.695.
- ⁶³⁷ [43] K. M. Smith, C. Caulfield, and J. Taylor. Turbulence in forced stratified shear flows. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 910:
 ⁶³⁸ A42, 2021. doi:10.1017/jfm.2020.994.
- ⁶³⁹ [44] W. D. Smyth. Marginal instability and the efficiency of ocean mixing. <u>J. Phys. Oceanogr.</u>, 50:2141–2150, 2020. doi:
 ⁶⁴⁰ 10.1175/JPO-D-20-0083.1.
- $_{641}$ [45] W. D. Smyth and J. N. Moum. Length scales of turbulence in stably stratified mixing layers. Physics of Fluids, 12(6): 1327–1342, 2000. doi:10.1063/1.870385.
- [46] W. D. Smyth and J. N. Moum. Marginal instability and deep cycle turbulence in the eastern equatorial pacific ocean.
 Geophysical Research Letters, 40(23):6181–6185, 2013. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058403.
- ⁶⁴⁵ [47] W. D. Smyth and W. R. Peltier. Instability and transition in finite-amplitude Kelvin-Helmholtz and Holmboe waves. J.
 ⁶⁴⁶ Fluid Mech., 228:387–415, 1991.
- 647 [48] W. D. Smyth and K. B. Winters. Turbulence and mixing in Holmboe waves. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 33:694–711, 2003.
- [49] W. D. Smyth, J. N. Moum, and J. D. Nash. Narrowband oscillations in the upper equatorial ocean. Part II: Properties of
 shear instabilities. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41:412–428, 2011. doi:10.1175/2010JPO4451.1.
- ⁶⁵⁰ [50] W. D. Smyth, J. D. Nash, and J. N. Moum. Self-organized criticality in geophysical turbulence. <u>Scientific Reports</u>, 9:3747,
 ⁶⁵¹ 2019. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-39869-w.
- 652 [51] E. J. Strang and H. J. S. Fernando. Entrainment and mixing in stratified shear flows. J. Fluid Mech., 428:349–386, 2001.
- ⁶⁵³ [52] C. J. Subich, K. G. Lamb, and M. Stastna. Simulation of the navier–stokes equations in three dimensions with a spectral
 ⁶⁵⁴ collocation method. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 73(2):103–129, 2013. ISSN 1097-0363. doi:
 ⁶⁵⁵ 10.1002/fld.3788.
- ⁶⁵⁶ [53] J. R. Taylor and Q. Zhou. A multi-parameter criterion for layer formation in a stratified shear flow using sorted buoyancy
 ⁶⁵⁷ coordinates. J. Fluid Mech., 823:R5, 2017. doi:10.1017/jfm.2017.375.
- E. W. Tedford, J. R. Carpenter, R. Pawlowicz, R. Pieters, and G. A. Lawrence. Observation and analysis of
 shear instability in the fraser river estuary. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 114(C11), 2009. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JC005313.
- ⁶⁶¹ [55] S. A. Thorpe. Models of energy loss from internal waves breaking in the ocean. J. Fluid Mech., 836:72–116, 2018.
 ⁶⁶² doi:10.1017/jfm.2017.780.
- ⁶⁶³ [56] J. Tu, D. Fan, Q. Lian, Z. Liu, W. Liu, A. Kaminski, and W. Smyth. Acoustic observations of Kelvin-Helmholtz billows
 ⁶⁶⁴ on an estuarine lutocline. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 125:e2019JC015383, 2020. doi:10.1029/2019JC015383.
- ⁶⁶⁵ [57] H. van Haren, L. Gostiaux, E. Morozov, and R. Tarakanov. Extremely long Kelvin-Helmholtz billow trains in the Romanche
 ⁶⁶⁶ Fracture Zone. Geophys. Res. Lett., 41:8445–8451, 2014. doi:10.1002/2014GL062421.
- [58] A. VanDine, H. T. Pham, and S. Sarkar. Turbulent shear layers in a uniformly stratified background: DNS at high
 Reynolds number. J. Fluid Mech., 916:A42, 2021. doi:10.1017/jfm.2021.212.
- ⁶⁶⁹ [59] K. B. Winters, P. N. Lombard, J. J. Riley, and E. A. D'Asaro. Available potential energy and mixing in density-stratified
 ⁶⁷⁰ fluids. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 289:115–128, 1995. ISSN 0022-1120. doi:10.1017/S002211209500125X.
- ⁶⁷¹ [60] A. W. Woods, C. P. Caulfield, J. R. Landel, and A. Kuesters. Non-invasive turbulent mixing across a density interface in
 ⁶⁷² a turbulent Taylor-Couette flow. J. Fluid Mech., 663:347–357, 2010. doi:10.1017/S0022112010004295.
- ⁶⁷³ [61] A. J. K. Yang, E. W. Tedford, J. Olsthoorn, and G. A. Lawrence. Asymmetric holmboe instabilities in arrested salt-wedge
 ⁶⁷⁴ flows. Physics of Fluids, 34(3):036601, 2022. doi:10.1063/5.0083765. URL https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0083765.