

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Macroscale transport in channel-matrix systems via integral transforms

B. Ling, C. B. Rizzo, I. Battiato, and F. P. J. de Barros Phys. Rev. Fluids **6**, 044501 — Published 12 April 2021 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.6.044501

1	Macroscale Transport in Channel-matrix Systems via Integral
2	Transforms
3	B. Ling ^{1,2} , C.B. Rizzo ³ , I. Battiato ² and F.P.J. de Barros ³
4	¹ Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China
5	² Department of Energy Resources Engineering,
6	Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA
7	³ Sonny Astani Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,
8	University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
9	(Dated: March 24, 2021)

Abstract

Flow and transport in coupled channel-matrix systems are ubiquitous to many environmental 11 and engineering applications such as flows in fractured porous media, over canopies and in mem-12 brane filtration units. The multiscale nature of such systems, where the horizontal length scale is 13 often orders of magnitude larger than the vertical one, allows one to employ vertically averaged 14 descriptions of the system. As a result, two dimensional transport in the channel and the matrix 15 can be upscaled to a coupled system of transient one-dimensional advection-dispersion equations, 16 where matrix and channel properties can be analytically related to macroscopic transport observ-17 ables. In this work, we first develop a semi-analytical solution based on integral transforms that 18 can be employed to predict macroscopic transport in channel-matrix shear flows in a computa-19 tionally efficient manner. Then, we demonstrate that under appropriate dynamic conditions, the 20 coupled system at the macroscale can be further simplified to a single upscaled one-dimensional 21 advection-dispersion equation, which admits an analytical closed-form solution, thus enabling real-22 time macroscale concentration estimates in relevant applications. 23

24 INTRODUCTION

Flows above surfaces with complex topological features or coated by porous media, often 25 referred to as channel-matrix systems [3, 56, 57] and/or obstructed shear flows [25, 45], are 26 present in many environmental, biological and engineering applications at virtually any scale. 27 Such textured surfaces constitute the physical boundaries that regulate and control mass, 28 momentum and energy fluxes between adjacent systems. Some examples include surfaces of 29 channels and pores in geological formations and hydraulically fractured rocks [48, 55], the 30 surfaces of flowers, leaves and roots [7, 9, 38], carbon nanotube coatings in shear sensors, 31 ultra-capacitors and batteries [19], canopy-coated riverbeds [6, 29, 50, 51], coral reefs and 32 urban canopies [33, 59]. 33

Textured and porous surfaces exhibit macroscopic properties and dynamical responses to external stimuli that can significantly deviate from the behavior of their smooth counterparts'. For example, micro-patterns can lead to drag reduction and/or enhanced scalar mixing in both laminar and turbulent flows [8, 28, 34, 36, 37, 40, 47, 50]; they can alter the surface wettability or impact fouling propensity in reverse osmosis membranes [30, 39, 60]. A number of novel technologies make use of ultra-porous coatings to increase, for example,

10

⁴⁰ the heat exchange and capacitance in energy storage devices [2, 23].

A common feature among most of these systems is the presence of shear as a consequence 41 of the interaction of a free flow with a textured permeable/porous surface. The primary 42 challenge in modeling such systems, and specifically their macroscopic response, lies in their 43 inherent multi-scale nature: the characteristic size of the surface features or the porous 44 medium can be orders of magnitude smaller than the largest scales of the system. Despite 45 the relative lack of understanding of the connection between microscopic parameters and 46 macroscopic properties, i.e. between structure and function, the multi-scale nature of the 47 problem renders numerical investigations particularly challenging. However porous media 48 theory, where the matrix can be treated as a continuum, have been successfully employed 49 to model laminar and turbulent flows over different types of textured surfaces characterized 50 by both ordered or disordered arrays of obstacles at different scales, while they provide 51 a framework to relate macro-scale response (e.g. effective slip, dispersion coefficients) to 52 the properties of the effective medium (e.g., permeability) [6, 8]. Ling et al. [31] have 53 shown experimentally and computationally that a continuum approximation may still be 54 appropriate even for a few layers of obstacles constituting the matrix. Porous media theory 55 has been applied to model flow over and within micro-scale riblets in superhydrophobic 56 surfaces [4] and carbon nanotube forests [5], in micro-fluidic mixers [31], in biological [27, 52] 57 and membrane filtration systems [30, 54, 60], and through submerged canopy layers [6, 50, 58 51]. Through the use of integral transforms, Rubol et al. [50] derived a semi-analytical 59 solution for the advection-dispersion equation in a obstructed shear flow (i.e. aquatic flows 60 over submerged vegetation) and showed how the permeability of the obstruction affected 61 solute mass fluxes and peak concentrations. Ling et al. [32] numerically solved transport 62 in a channel-matrix system in the presence of advection and anisotropic dispersion in the 63 permeable media. 64

Further *ad hoc* approximations - which reduce the problem dimensionality from 2D to 1D - can be made if, e.g., transport in the matrix is purely diffusive (i.e. matrix permeability is very low) or transport in the matrix is advection dominated (i.e. matrix permeability is high) and the horizontal length is much larger than the overall height of the channel-matrix system. In the former case, Tang and et al. [55] developed analytical solutions in a one-dimensional channel embedded in an impermeable (to flow) porous matrix. More recently, other works [11, 22] developed an analytical or semi-analytical model that couples non-uniform channel (or fracture) velocity and diffusion-driven matrix transport. The model of Dejam *et al.* [22] shows good applicability in tight matrix systems and has been extended to reactive transport [20, 21]. In the latter case, the system can be further upscaled/averaged in the vertical direction and described by a system of two coupled one-dimensional partial differential equations (PDEs) as derived and analyzed in [31, 32]. Note that matrix permeability effects may not always be neglected, especially in applications where dispersive effects are important such as in geothermal energy production [42] and in natural aquatic systems [17, 18, 24].

In this work, we consider flow in a planar channel embedded in a permeable porous matrix. 79 This configuration mimics relevant environmental shear flow systems such as channel-porous 80 matrix [48, 49, 55] or river flow with surrounding permeable media (i.e. vegetation, riparian 81 area) [1, 41, 46, 50]. Starting from the model of Ling et al. [32], we develop a new semi-82 analytical solution for the coupled system of 1D macroscopic advection-dispersion equations 83 based on the Generalized Integral Transform Technique (GITT) [13, 44]. The solution is 84 applicable to a much more general class of problems, whose balance laws have a given 85 form. A comparison between the approximate 1D GITT solutions, the numerical solution 86 of the 1D coupled macroscopic PDEs and the spatially averaged 2D microscale equations 87 is provided, together with a convergence and error analysis. Furthermore, we show that, 88 under appropriate dynamic conditions, controlled by the magnitude of the Peclét number, 89 the system can be further simplified to a 1D PDE which admits a closed-form solution for 90 the average concentration in the channel and the matrix. 91

92 THEORY

93 Problem Formulation

The problem of interest consists of two domains: a porous medium and a channel embedded in it. Both domains are saturated by an incompressible fluid, with a passive solute dissolved in it. We consider a fully developed (uni-directional) flow in the 2-D domain depicted in Figure 1. The Cartesian coordinate system is denoted by (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) . The semi-infinite channel of width 2b is embedded in a porous material characterized by an effective porosity ϕ , permeability κ and transverse dimension H. The longitudinal velocity in the channel or porous medium is represented by \hat{u}_i with i = 1 denoting the channel and i = 2 denoting the



FIG. 1. Problem domain and coordinate system.

porous matrix. In our work, the fully developed flow in the channel-porous matrix system
is described by coupling Stokes equation (within the channel) and Darcy-Brinkman model
(for the permeable medium) [3, 6, 32]

$$\mu \frac{d^2 \hat{u}_1(\hat{y})}{d\hat{y}^2} - \mathcal{J} = 0 \text{ for } \hat{y} \in (0, b), \qquad (1)$$

$$\mu \frac{d^2 \hat{u}_2(\hat{y})}{d\hat{y}^2} - \frac{\mu}{\kappa} \hat{u}_2(\hat{y}) - \mathcal{J} = 0 \text{ for } \hat{y} \in (-H, 0), \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{J} \equiv d\hat{p}/d\hat{x}$ is the constant pressure gradient and μ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid. The boundary conditions are as follows

$$\hat{u}_{2}(\hat{y})|_{\hat{y}=-H} = 0; \quad \frac{d\hat{u}_{1}(\hat{y})}{d\hat{y}}\Big|_{\hat{y}=b} = 0; \quad \frac{d\hat{u}_{1}(\hat{y})}{d\hat{y}}\Big|_{\hat{y}=0} = \frac{d\hat{u}_{2}(\hat{y})}{d\hat{y}}\Big|_{\hat{y}=0}; \quad \hat{u}_{1}(\hat{y})|_{\hat{y}=0} = \hat{u}_{2}(\hat{y})|_{\hat{y}=0}.$$
(3)

An inert scalar is continuously released into the channel-matrix system. Transport in the obstructed shear flow is assumed to be governed by a coupled system of advection-diffusion and advection-dispersion equations

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{t}} + \hat{u}_1(\hat{y}) \frac{\partial \hat{c}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}} = \hat{D}_1 \frac{\partial^2 \hat{c}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^2} + \hat{D}_1 \frac{\partial^2 \hat{c}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{y}^2} \qquad \text{for } \hat{y} \in (0, b) \,,$$

$$(4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{t}} + \hat{u}_2(\hat{y}) \frac{\partial \hat{c}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}} = \hat{D}_{2,x} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{c}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{x}^2} + \hat{D}_{2,y} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{c}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{y}^2} \quad \text{for } \hat{y} \in (-H, 0) ,$$

$$(5)$$

with \hat{c}_i representing the concentration in the channel (i = 1) and permeable medium (i = 2). The molecular diffusion coefficient in the channel is given by \hat{D}_1 . The anisotropic diffusion coefficients in the porous medium domain are defined as: $\hat{D}_{2,x}$ and $\hat{D}_{2,y}$, and all the diffusion coefficients are constant in this study. For varying diffusion coefficients, i.e. when non-Fickian transport at small scale [35] and/or continuum scale dispersion due to the local velocity heterogeneity occur, the same upscaling process as presented in this study can be adopted. The initial and boundary conditions for the transport problem are given by

$$\hat{c}_1(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, 0) = 0; \quad \hat{c}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, 0) = 0,$$
(6)

$$\hat{c}_1(0,\hat{y},\hat{t}) = c_o \text{ for } \hat{y} \in (0,b); \quad \hat{c}_1(\infty,\hat{y},\hat{t}) = 0 \text{ for } \hat{y} \in (0,b); \quad \frac{\partial \hat{c}_1(\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{t})}{\partial \hat{y}}\Big|_{\hat{y}=b} = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{c}_2}{\partial \hat{x}}\Big|_{\hat{x}=0} = 0 \text{ for } \hat{y} \in (-H,0); \quad \hat{c}_2(\infty, \hat{y}, \hat{t}) = 0 \text{ for } \hat{y} \in (-H,0); \quad \frac{\partial \hat{c}_2(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})}{\partial \hat{y}}\Big|_{\hat{y}=-H} = 0.$$
(8)

The injected concentration is given by c_o . No-flux boundary condition is imposed on the wall of the porous layer and symmetric boundary condition is applied at the center of the fracture. The continuity on the channel-matrix interface is imposed through the following conditions

$$\hat{c}_1\left(\hat{x},0,\hat{t}\right) = \hat{c}_2\left(\hat{x},0,\hat{t}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\hat{c}_1\left(\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{t}\right)}{\partial\hat{y}}\Big|_{\hat{y}=0} = \phi \frac{\hat{D}_{2,y}}{\hat{D}_1} \left. \frac{\partial\hat{c}_2\left(\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{t}\right)}{\partial\hat{y}} \right|_{\hat{y}=0}.$$
(9)

Note that (4) and (5) consider advection and diffusive mass transfer (in \hat{x} and \hat{y} directions) in both the channel and the permeable matrix. This differs from previous models [11, 12, 48, 49, 55] where advection in the porous matrix is neglected as well as longitudinal mass exchange.

¹²⁷ Next, we define the following dimensionless quantities:

$$x = \frac{\hat{x}}{L}, \quad y = \frac{\hat{y}}{b}, \quad p = \frac{\hat{p}}{p_0}, \quad u_i = \frac{\hat{u}_i}{U} \quad c_i = \frac{\hat{c}_i}{c_o} \quad \text{with} \quad i = \{1, 2\},$$
 (10)

where L is a characteristic macroscopic/observation length scale, e.g., the distance far from the inlet where model predictions are registered, p_0 is a characteristic pressure, e.g., the ambient pressure, and U is the average velocity across the channel. Therefore, the dimensionless representation of equations (1)-(2) is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u_1(y)}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - \Psi = 0, \quad y \in (0, 1), \tag{11a}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u_2(y)}{\mathrm{d}y^2} - \lambda^2 u_2(y) - \Psi = 0, \quad y \in (-h, 0),$$
(11b)

132 subject to

$$u_{2}(y)|_{y=-h} = 0, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}u_{1}(y)}{\mathrm{d}y}\Big|_{y=1} = 0, \quad u_{1}(y)|_{y=0} = u_{2}(y)|_{y=0}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}u_{1}(y)}{\mathrm{d}y}\Big|_{y=0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}u_{2}(y)}{\mathrm{d}y}\Big|_{y=0},$$
(12)

133 where

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{b^2}{k}, \quad \Psi = \frac{p_0 b^2}{\mu U L} \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\mathrm{d}x}, \quad \text{and} \quad h = \frac{H}{b}.$$
 (13)

The system (11) admits an analytical solution for the velocity profiles in the channel and the matrix, u_1 and u_2 , respectively,

$$u_1(y) = \frac{\Psi}{2}y^2 + Ay + B, \quad y \in [0, 1],$$
(14)

$$u_2(y) = -\frac{\Psi}{\lambda^2} + Ee^{\lambda y} + Fe^{-\lambda y}, \quad y \in [-h, 0],$$
 (15)

¹³⁶ where the coefficients A, B, E and F are

$$A = -\Psi, \tag{16a}$$

$$B = -\frac{\Psi}{\lambda^2} \left(-1 + e^{\Lambda} \right) \left(-1 + e^{\Lambda} + \lambda + \lambda e^{\Lambda} \right) \left(1 + e^{2\Lambda} \right)^{-1}, \qquad (16b)$$

$$E = -\frac{\Psi}{\lambda^2} e^{\Lambda} (-1 + \lambda e^{\Lambda}) (1 + e^{2\Lambda})^{-1}, \qquad (16c)$$

$$F = -\frac{\Psi}{\lambda^2} \left(\lambda + e^{\Lambda}\right) (1 + e^{2\Lambda})^{-1}.$$
(16d)

137 The parameter Λ is defined as

$$\Lambda = \lambda h. \tag{17}$$

¹³⁸ We remark that Λ exclusively depend on the geometric properties of the system, and it is ¹³⁹ the ratio between the square root of the permeability (\sqrt{k}) and the characteristic length ¹⁴⁰ scale (*H*) of the porous layer. Following the same procedure, the scalar transport equations ¹⁴¹ (4)-(5) are re-written in dimensionless form as follows

$$\epsilon P e \frac{\partial c_1(x, y, t)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \epsilon P e \, u_1 \frac{\partial c_1(x, y, t)}{\partial x} = \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 c_1(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 c_1(x, y, t)}{\partial y^2} \qquad \text{for } y \in (0, 1) \,,$$
(18)

$$\epsilon Pe \frac{\partial c_2(x, y, t)}{\partial \hat{t}} + \epsilon Pe \, u_2 \frac{\partial c_2(x, y, t)}{\partial x} = \epsilon^2 D_{2,x} \frac{\partial^2 c_2(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + D_{2,y} \frac{\partial^2 c_2(x, y, t)}{\partial y^2} \quad \text{for } y \in (-h, 0) \,,$$
(19)

142 with

$$\epsilon = \frac{b}{L}; \quad Pe = \frac{Ub}{\hat{D}_1}; \quad D_{2,x} = \frac{\hat{D}_{2,x}}{\hat{D}_1}; \quad D_{2,y} = \frac{\hat{D}_{2,y}}{\hat{D}_1}$$
(20)

where *Pe* is the Peclét number. The normalized initial and boundary conditions for the
transport problem are

$$c_{1}(0, y, t) = 1 \text{ for } y \in (0, 1); \quad c_{1}(\infty, y, t) = 0 \text{ for } y \in (0, 1); \quad \frac{\partial c_{1}(x, y, t)}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial c_{2}(x, y, t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = 0 \text{ for } y \in (-h, 0); \quad c_{2}(\infty, y, t) = 0 \text{ for } y \in (-h, 0); \quad \frac{\partial c_{2}(x, y, t)}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=-h} = 0,$$

(21)

145

$$c_1(x,0,t) = c_2(x,0,t) \text{ and } \frac{\partial c_1(x,y,t)}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0} = \phi D_{2,y} \frac{\partial c_2(x,y,t)}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0}.$$
 (22)

146 Upscaling

The 2-D transport equations (18) and (19) can be transformed into a coupled system of 148 1-D advection dispersion equations (ADEs) through the use of spatial averaging. Following 149 the procedure described in [32], we define the spatial averaging operator as

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_0^\ell dy \tag{23}$$

with $\ell = 1$ the channel region and $\ell = -h$ the porous matrix region. For additional details pertaining the upscaling method, we refer to the work of Ling *et al.* [32]. Performing the spatial averaging together with asymptotic homogenization, the upscaled coupled ADE system reads

$$\frac{\partial \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial t} = A_1 \frac{\partial \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial x} + A_2 \frac{\partial^2 \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial x^2} + A_3 \frac{\partial \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial x} + A_4 \langle c_1 \rangle + A_5 \langle c_2 \rangle; \qquad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial t} = B_1 \frac{\partial \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial x} + B_2 \frac{\partial^2 \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial x^2} + B_3 \frac{\partial \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial x} + B_4 \langle c_2 \rangle + B_5 \langle c_1 \rangle .$$
(25)

where the variables $\langle c_i \rangle (x,t) = \langle c_i(x,y,t) \rangle$. In this paper, we omit (x,t) from the notation 154 for simplicity. Expressions for the coefficients $A_1 - A_5$ and $B_1 - B_5$ are provided in the 155 Appendix. Notice that the coupled system of partial differential equations, see (24) and 156 (25), can be used to analyze scalar transport for a broad spectrum of physical systems. For 157 instance, when the transient term is neglected and $A_2 = A_3 = 0$, equation (24) can applied 158 to model the concentration polarization in a Reverse Osmosis membrane system [10]. When 159 $B_1 = B_2 = B_3 = 0$, equation (25) converts to classic dual-porosity model [58]. For the case 160 when $B_1 = B_3 = B_4 = B_5 = 0$, diffusion is the only transport mechanism in the matrix 161 [22]. Equations (24) and (25) can be written in a compact form that follows the summation 162 convention: 163

$$\frac{\partial \langle c_i \rangle}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_{ij} \langle c_j \rangle, \quad \{i, j\} = 1, 2$$
(26)

where \mathcal{L}_{ij} are four differential operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_{11} = A_1 \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial x} + A_2 \frac{\partial^2 \cdot}{\partial x^2} + A_4; \tag{27}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{12} = A_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + A_5; \tag{28}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{21} = B_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B_5; \tag{29}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{22} = B_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + B_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + B_4.$$
(30)

¹⁶⁵ The boundary and initial conditions are as follows

$$\langle c_1 \rangle |_{x=0} = 1; \qquad \langle c_1 \rangle |_{x=\infty} = 0; \qquad \langle c_1 \rangle |_{t=0} = 0 \qquad (31)$$

$$\frac{\partial \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = 0; \qquad \langle c_2 \rangle|_{x=\infty} = 0; \qquad \langle c_2 \rangle|_{t=0} = 0 \qquad (32)$$

The solutions for equations (24) and (25) are valid for $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $Pe < \epsilon^{-1/2}$. The upscaled 166 equations are obtained by i) asymptotically expanding the unknown variables $(c_1 \text{ and } c_2)$ 167 based on ϵ , and ii) solving the ordered equations with matched magnitude of ϵ . The former 168 condition ($\epsilon \ll 1$) ensures the accuracy of the expansion and the latter one ($Pe < \epsilon^{-1/2}$) 169 regulates that all the terms are kept in certain order when Pe changes. These conditions are 170 proven to be the *sufficient* conditions for the upscaled equations [31], however, *not necessary*. 171 For instance, when the system has a large flow rate, the concentration reaches saturation 172 shortly after the injection started, and the gradient of the concentration approaches zero, 173 thus the gradient term's influence is negligible (e.g. the third term on the right hand side of 174 equations (24) and (25)) to the accuracy of the final solution even though the Peclét number 175 is large. 176

177 Effective velocity and dispersion

Prior to solving the transport problem formulated in (24) and (25), we provide a brief analysis of the role of the parameters λ and h on the flow field in both the channel and porous matrix (see equations (11a) and (11b)) as well as in the upscaled dispersion coefficients, namely A_2 and B_2 (see equations (24) and (25)). Since transport is affected by the fluid velocity, we show the functional dependence between the average velocity and the parameters λ and h. This analysis will assist in interpreting the computational results.

Mainly, we are interested in exploring the average velocity under two limiting cases for λ and h. When h tends to 0, the width of channel becomes large compared to the dimensions of the porous matrix (i.e. $b \gg H$). Under this condition, the channel carries the bulk of the fluid and the system behaves like a channel flow. However, when $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the permeability in the porous matrix tends to zero and the flow system resembles a channel flow with impermeable walls (i.e. Poiseuille flow). In both limits, the average dimensionless velocity in the channel should asymptotically converge to 1/3, which corresponds to the



FIG. 2. Average velocities as a function of h and λ . Continuous lines represent the average velocity in the channel $\langle u_1 \rangle$. Dotted lines represent the average velocity in the porous matrix $\langle u_2 \rangle$. The thick black dotted line is the Poiseuille limit $\langle u \rangle = 1/3$.

average velocity in a Poiseuille flow. These results are illustrated in Figure 2. By fixing λ and varying h, we observe that the average velocity in the channel converges to 1/3 when $h \to 0$ (Figure 2, left). Figure 2 (right) shows that the average velocity also approaches to 1/3 when we increase λ while keeping h fixed. The average velocity in the porous medium is illustrated in Figure 2 (see dashed lines), and, as expected, it tends to zero when $\lambda \to \infty$ (Figure 2, right).

In equations (24) and (25), A_2 and B_2 correspond to the dispersion coefficients. To assess the dispersion coefficients in different geometrical and transport scenarios, we map A_2 and B_2 in a $Pe - \lambda$ space (Figure 3). Both A_2 and B_2 are large when $\lambda \to 0$, Additionally, the channel dispersion A_2 shows a dual-peak both at $Pe \to 0$ and $Pe \to \infty$. This result is expected: The dispersion coefficient is larger when $Pe \to 0$, diffusion is the dominant transport mechanism, and when $Pe \to \infty$ advection plays a major role.

²⁰³ In the following Section, we provide a semi-analytical solution for (24) and (25).



FIG. 3. Behavior of the dispersion coefficients A_2 and B_2 with respect to λ and Pe. The base of the logarithm in the figure is 10.

204 SEMI-ANALYTICAL SOLUTION VIA INTEGRAL TRANSFORM

205 Transformation

In order to solve the coupled system of 1-D ADEs, (24) and (25), we resort to the use of the generalized integral transform technique (GITT) [13, 14, 44, 53]. This methodology has been adopted to solve scalar transport in channel flow characterized by spatially variable velocity and eddy diffusivities [16, 18, 50]. It has also been used to address transport in a channel-porous matrix system in the absence of advection in the porous matrix [12]. In order to apply the GITT, we consider a finite domain $[0, L^*]$, with $L^* \gg L$ where L is the first characteristic length. We start by defining the re-scaled variables

$$C_1(x,t) = \langle c_1 \rangle - \left(\frac{L^* - x}{L^*}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(x,t) = \langle c_2 \rangle.$$
 (33)

It is worth emphasizing that this transformation ensures the left boundary condition for C_1 becomes homogeneous (i.e. $C_1(0,t) = 0$). We can now rewrite equations (24) and (25) as:

$$\frac{\partial C_i(x,t)}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_{ij}C_j(x,t) + C_i^*(x), \quad \{i,j\} = 1,2$$
(34)

where C_i is an element of $\mathbf{C} = [C_1, C_2]^T$, and C_i^* denotes the additional terms introduced by the transformation, namely:

$$\mathbf{C}^{*}(x) = \left[C_{1}^{*}(x), C_{2}^{*}(x)\right]^{T} = \left[-A_{1} + A_{4}\left(\frac{L^{*} - x}{L^{*}}\right), -B_{3} + B_{5}\left(\frac{L^{*} - x}{L^{*}}\right)\right]^{T}$$
(35)

and equation (34) is subject to the following initial and boundary conditions

$$C_1(0,t) = 0, \quad C_1(L^*,t) = 0, \quad C_1(x,0) = 0,$$
 (36a)

$$\frac{\partial C_2(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{t=0} = 0, \quad C_2(L^*,t) = 0, \quad C_2(x,0) = 0.$$
(36b)

²⁰⁶ In order to apply the GITT, we define the following integral transform pairs [13, 14, 53]

$$\bar{C}_{ki}(t) = \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{ki}(x) C_k(x, t) \mathrm{d}x, \quad k = \{1, 2\},$$
(37)

207

$$C_k(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\xi}_{ki}(x) \bar{C}_{ki}(t), \quad k = \{1, 2\},$$
(38)

where the symmetric kernels $\tilde{\xi}_{ki}(x)$ are defined as follows

$$\tilde{\xi}_{ki}(x) \equiv \frac{\xi_{ki}(x)}{\sqrt{N_{ki}}}, \quad k = \{1, 2\}.$$
(39)

- Here, N_{ki} corresponds to the norm of the eigenfunctions $\xi_{ki}(x)$. The norm is defined as the
- ²¹⁰ integral over the eigenfunction squared over the entire domain[12, 18], and it writes:

$$N_{ki} = \int_0^{L^*} \xi_{ki}^2(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$
(40)

The eigenfunctions are the solution of the uncoupled auxiliary problem

$$A_2 \frac{d^2 \xi_{1i}(x)}{dx^2} + \left(\mu_{1i}^2 + A_4\right) \xi_{1i}(x) = 0 \tag{41}$$

$$B_2 \frac{d^2 \xi_{2i}(x)}{dx^2} + \left(\mu_{2i}^2 + B_4\right) \xi_{2i}(x) = 0.$$
(42)

Equations (41) and (42) are subject to the following homogeneous boundary conditions

$$\xi_{1i}(0) = 0; \ \xi_{1i}(L^*) = 0, \tag{43a}$$

$$\left. \frac{d\xi_{2i}(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=0} = 0; \ \xi_{2i}(L^*) = 0.$$
(43b)

A set of solutions for equations (41) and (42), satisfying the boundary conditions defined in (43a) and (43b), is

$$\xi_{1i}(x) = \sin\left(\beta_{1i}x\right),\tag{44}$$

$$\xi_{2i}(x) = \cos\left(\beta_{2i}x\right),\tag{45}$$

where β_{1i} are the positive roots of $\sin(\beta_{1i}L^*) = 0$ and β_{2i} are the positive roots of $\cos(\beta_{2i}L^*) = 0$. Moreover, by using equations (41) and (42), the eigenvalues μ_{ki} are determined by

$$\mu_{1i} = \sqrt{A_2 \beta_{1i}^2 - A_4}; \tag{46}$$

$$\mu_{2i} = \sqrt{B_2 \beta_{1i}^2 - B_4}.\tag{47}$$

Multiplying equation (34) by $\tilde{\xi}_{ki}(x)$, integrating from 0 to L^* and using (37)-(38) together with the boundary conditions, we obtain the following set of coupled ordinary differential equations (for additional details, see Refs. [13, 14])

$$\frac{d\bar{C}_{ki}(t)}{dt} + \mu_{ki}^2 \bar{C}_{ki}(t) = \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{ki}(\bar{C}_{1i}(t), \bar{C}_{2i}(t)), \quad k = \{1, 2\},$$
(48)

where the function $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{ki}(\bar{C}_{1i},\bar{C}_{2i})$ writes:

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{1i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(-A_1 \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{1i}(x) \frac{d\tilde{\xi}_{1j}(x)}{dx} dx \right) \bar{C}_{1j}(t) + \left(-A_3 \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{1i}(x) \frac{d\tilde{\xi}_{2j}(x)}{dx} dx + A_5 \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{1i}(x) \tilde{\xi}_{2j}(x) dx \right) \bar{C}_{2j}(t) \right] + \left(A_4 - A_1 \right) \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{1i}(x) dx + \frac{A_4}{L^*} \int_0^{L^*} x \tilde{\xi}_{1i}(x) dx, \quad (49a) \\ \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(-B_1 \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{2i}(x) \frac{d\tilde{\xi}_{2j}(x)}{dx} dx \right) \bar{C}_{2j}(t) + \left(-B_3 \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{2i}(x) \frac{d\tilde{\xi}_{1j}(x)}{dx} dx + B_5 \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{2i}(x) \tilde{\xi}_{1j}(x) dx \right) \bar{C}_{1j}(t) \right] + \left(B_4 - B_1 \right) \int_0^{L^*} \tilde{\xi}_{2i}(x) dx + \frac{B_4}{L^*} \int_0^{L^*} x \tilde{\xi}_{2i}(x) dx. \quad (49b)$$

The transformed initial condition is

$$\bar{C}_{1i}(0) = 0,$$
 (50a)

$$\bar{C}_{2i}(0) = 0.$$
 (50b)

Note that the integrals involving ξ_{ki} can be computed analytically using any symbolic solver and the system of coupled ordinary differential equations (48) is solved numerically. Once the coefficients \bar{C}_{ki} at any given time step have been determined, the inverse formula (38) is applied to obtain the functions C_1 and C_2 and therefore, the concentrations $\langle c_1 \rangle$ and $\langle c_2 \rangle$. To compute the concentration in the channel and the porous matrix, we need to truncate the series expansion, see (38). The truncation order is denoted by N. In the following, we present first a convergence analysis and then investigate the impact of matrix permeability on the scalar concentration distributions.



FIG. 4. Eigenfunction convergence analysis of the semi-analytical solution obtained with the generalized integral transform technique (GITT). (a) $\langle c_1 \rangle$ at different time instance by varying the number of eigenfunctions; (b) $\langle c_2 \rangle$ at different time instance by varying the number of eigenfunctions. Parameter values used to generate the results are associated with scenarios SIM-01 and SIM-05 listed in Table I.



FIG. 5. Time convergence analysis the GITT algorithm. (a) $\langle c_1 \rangle$ at x = 1 by varying the time step; (a) $\langle c_2 \rangle$ at x = 1 by varying the time step. Simulations parameters are provided in scenarios SIM-05, SIM-14 to SIM-16 listed in Table I.

223 Numerical Implementation

We use an implicit Adams scheme to march \bar{C}_{ki} in time, see equation (48). The time step used in the scheme is denoted by δt . The GITT algorithm is implemented using the Python language. The simulation parameters (and scenarios) used for all upcoming results are listed in Table I. Next, we perform a convergence study by assessing the truncation error of using N finite terms of the series (38) and temporal accuracy of using time step δt .

Figure 4 shows the result for the computed concentrations using two different N for the channel $\langle c_1 \rangle$ and porous medium $\langle c_2 \rangle$ at distinct times as a function of x. When N is low, the solution has oscillations which can be eliminated by increasing N. Figure 5 illustrates the channel and matrix concentration as a function of the dimensionless time for different dimensionless time steps. The upscaled concentration in both the channel $\langle c_1 \rangle$ and porous medium $\langle c_2 \rangle$ are depicted in Figure 5 at dimensionless position x = 1 and at different dimensionless times ($\delta t = 0.5$, 0.1 and 0.01). To evaluate the error, we define the square mean error as:

$$\mathcal{E}_x(f_{std}|f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\left[f(x_i, t^*) - f_{std}(x_i, t^*)\right]^2}$$
(51)

where \mathcal{E}_x is the square mean error evaluated at $t = t^*$ and n is the total number or output data points. Note that f represents the function to be evaluated (i.e. concentration). The function f_{std} corresponds to the concentration solution obtained with a higher N or smaller δt .



FIG. 6. Analysis of the error \mathcal{E}_x as a function of N and δt . Circle markers correspond to channel results and triangle markers indicate porous matrix solutions. Simulation parameters are SIM-01 to SIM-05, SIM-14 to SIM-16, and SIM-22 to SIM-26 (see Table I).

In Figure 6, we plot the error between the GITT solutions computed with different N, with $N = \{10, 50, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000, 5000\}$, and δt , with $\delta t = \{0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.005, 0.001\}$. The f_{std} is the solution of a higher N (Figure 6-left) or a smaller δt (Figure 6-right). For instance, the error \mathcal{E}_x at N = 1000 is computed by using f_{std} at N = 2000, and the error at N = 2000 is computed by using f_{std} at N = 5000. We can see both N and δt show convergent solutions. We consider the solution converged when the error is smaller than 1×10^{-5} . Thus, we conclude that when $N \sim 500$ and $\delta t < 0.1$, the solver converges. All parameters used in the simulations will be listed in Table I.

249 COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now evaluate the performance of the GITT solution with: i) the numerical solution 250 of the upscaled model presented in equations (24) and (25) (denoted here as "Ling et al. 251 [32]"); and ii) the numerical solution of the 2-D model presented in equations (18) and (19) 252 (referred as "2D"). For the "Ling et al. [32]" solution, equations (24) and (25) were solved 253 by the Matlab's built-in partial differential equation solver PDEPE. As for the "2D" solution, 254 a finite-difference script (i.e. central-difference-backward-time method) was implemented in 255 Matlab to solve equations (18) and (19). Both solvers accuracy and convergence study is 256 performed in Ling *et al.* [32]. 257

The three solutions (GITT, Ling *et al.* and 2D) are plotted in Figure 7 using the same parameters. Figures 7.a and 7.b show the spatial distribution of both the concentration in the channel and porous matrix for $Pe = 10^{-1}$, $\lambda = 1$ and Pe = 10, $\lambda = 10$, respectively. As observed in Figure 7, a good agreement is obtained between the upscaled concentration and the numerical solution of the full 2-D system. The results depicted in Figure 7 also show the capability of the upscaled model, solved using the GITT, in reproducing the concentration field in the original 2-D setting.

Figure 8 illustrates the spatial distribution of the upscaled concentration profile in the 265 channel (red lines) and the porous matrix (blue line) for different dimensionless times (t = 1266 and 5) and h = 10 (see SIM-05 to SIM-07 listed in Table I). The results depicted in Figure 267 8 were obtained for Pe = 1, 10 and 100. Solutions from the GITT (solid lines) and those 268 from the solution of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]) (dashed lines) are plotted. When Pe 269 is high (i.e., Pe = 100), differences between the concentration solutions for the channel and 270 the matrix are enhanced. The difference between the solutions obtained in the two regions 271 decreases when the system becomes more diffusive $(Pe \rightarrow 0)$. The results presented in 272 Figure 8 also show that the GITT results are in good agreement with the solution of Ling 273 et al. [32] obtained for different different parameter pairs. 274



FIG. 7. Comparison between the GITT solution, the solution of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]) and the spatial average of the 2D numerical solution of (18) and (19) ("2D") at different dimensionless time t = 1. The GITT computational results correspond to the upscaled concentration and the numerical solution refers to the concentration in a 2D system. Results for the concentration in the channel versus distance for $Pe = 10^{-1}$, $\lambda = 1$ and Pe = 10, $\lambda = 10$ (see SIM-17 and SIM-18 listed in Table I).

275 ANALYTICAL APPROXIMATION AND EFFECTIVE PARAMETERS

The semi-analytical results obtained through the use of the GITT show that in some cases, the difference between the concentrations in the channel and in the matrix is very small (for instance, see Figure 8.c). Inspection of Equations (24) and (25) suggests that, for any fixed λ and h (or, alternatively, Λ), $\langle c_1 \rangle \simeq \langle c_2 \rangle$ when $Pe \ll \epsilon^2$ for $\epsilon \to 0$ (i.e., $L \gg b$). In other words, the average concentration of the solute is similar in both the channel and the porous matrix under the appropriate dynamical conditions. Setting $\langle c_1 \rangle = \langle c_2 \rangle$ while



FIG. 8. Comparison between the GITT solution, the numerical solution of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]) and the Ogata-Banks solution (53). The red solid line indicates the GITT channel solution $(\langle c_1 \rangle)$ and the blue solid line represents matrix solution $(\langle c_2 \rangle)$. The red and blue dashed lines are the Ling *et al.* solutions for $\langle c_1 \rangle$ and $\langle c_2 \rangle$. The black dashed line is the Ogata-Banks solution (53). Parameters are listed as SIM-05 to SIM-07 in Table I.

using (24), one can derive the following approximate model formulation for the upscaled
concentration in the channel,

$$\frac{\partial \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial t} - (A_1 + A_3) \frac{\partial \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial x} - A_2 \frac{\partial^2 \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial x^2} = 0$$
(52)

with continuous injection $\langle c_1 \rangle |_{x=0} = 1$. The formulation presented in Equation (52) can be analytically solved following the procedure outlined in [43]. The Ogata-Banks solution [43] is valid for a continuous injection at the inlet of the channel with uniform flow and it admits the following analytical solution:

$$\langle c_1 \rangle = \langle c_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left[\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x - u_{\text{eff}} t}{2\sqrt{D_{\text{eff}} t}} \right) + \exp \left(\frac{u_{\text{eff}} x}{D_{\text{eff}} t} \right) \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{x + u_{\text{eff}} t}{2\sqrt{D_{\text{eff}} t}} \right) \right]$$
(53)

where the modified (i.e., effective) velocity and dispersion coefficients are defined as:

$$u_{\rm eff} = -(A_1 + A_3), \qquad (54)$$

289

$$D_{\rm eff} = A_2. \tag{55}$$

It is important to note that the physical properties of the porous medium affect the transport in the channel since both u_{eff} and D_{eff} depend on λ and h. Although equation (53) is valid for continuous injection of an inert scalar, the one dimensional ADE (52) can be solved for other types of boundary and initial conditions. Analytical solutions for equation (52) are available under more generic boundary and initial conditions through the use of the Unified Transform (also known as the Fokas Method) [15]. Solutions can also be obtained by the well-known Duhamel theorem for an instantaneous pulse injection [26].

The results reported in Figure 8 show that when $\langle c_1 \rangle \sim \langle c_2 \rangle$ (or $Pe \ll \epsilon^2$), the solution (53), parameterized with u_{eff} (54) and D_{eff} (55), is in excellent agreement with the one obtained through the GITT. As a consequence, under the limiting condition $\epsilon \to 0$, the approximate formulation (52) can yield accurate predictions of the upscaled solute concentration while incorporating the parameters characterizing the porous matrix.

Such an approximation can largely reduce the computation time when estimating a large channel-matrix coupled system, however, when the matrix becomes more permeable: $\lambda \to 0$, solute is dispersed strongly within the porous matrix domain, which causes larger difference between the $\langle c_1 \rangle$ and $\langle c_2 \rangle$, and this further reduces the accuracy of the Ogata-Banks approximation.

To further investigate this, we plot three error maps: 1) the error between the GITT 307 solution and the numerical solutions of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]), 2) the error between 308 the GITT solution and the 2D solution, and 3) the error between ADE solution and the 309 numerical solutions of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]). Figure 9 shows the error computed 310 with equation (51) between the GITT solution and the numerical solutions of (24) and (25)311 (Ling *et al.* [32]) in a $Pe - \lambda$ space, with the standard function f_{std} selected as the latter 312 solution. Warmer colors indicate a larger error regions, and the dashed contours are 1%, 313 5% and 10% error bounds. Figure 10 shows the error computed with equation (51) between 314



FIG. 9. Error between the GITT solution and the numerical solution of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]). The white dots indicate where the error values are calculated exactly and the points in between are computed through linear interpolation. The dashed lines correspond to the 1%. The base of the logarithm in the figure is 10.

the GITT solution and the numerical solutions of the 2D problem [32]). From Figure 10, 315 it is apparent that the upscaled equation solved by the GITT has a wide range of accuracy 316 in representing the 2D solution. Figure 11 shows the same error evaluation between the 317 Ogata-Banks solution and the numerical solutions of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]) in a 318 $Pe - \lambda$ space. For consistency, three error maps use the same color scale. We can see that 319 the GITT has a good agreement with the numerical solution of the upscaled equation, and 320 it suggests that the GITT algorithm is suitable for solving equations with the form of (24)321 and (25). Further, in Figure 11, we can see the ADE solution has a reasonable accuracy, 322 however, either a larger matrix permeability or a more advective transport can lead to low 323 accuracy of the Ogata-Banks solution. Finally, a comparison between Figure 9 and Figure 324



FIG. 10. Error between the GITT solution and the two dimensional solution. The white dots indicate where the error values are calculated exactly and the points in between are computed through linear interpolation. The dashed lines correspond to the 1% and 5%. The base of the logarithm in the figure is 10.

11 shows that the Ogata-Banks solution has a smaller applicability zone than that of theGITT.

327 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Flow and transport in channel-matrix systems occur in a large variety of natural and industrial settings : examples range from fractured geological formations to porous mediacoated devices.

This paper investigates macroscopic transport of an inert scalar in a shear flow through a infinitely thin coupled channel-matrix system, which can be described by a system of



FIG. 11. Error between the Ogata-Banks solution (53) and the numerical solution of (24) and (25) (Ling *et al.* [32]). The white dots indicate where the error values are calculated exactly and the points in between are computed through linear interpolation. The dashed lines correspond to the 1%, 5% and 10% error contours. The base of the logarithm in the figure is 10.

coupled 1D partial differential equations. We develop a semi-analytical solution based on the Generalized Integral Transform Technique (GITT). The GITT framework is applicable for any coupled system of one-dimensional partial differential equations that is consistent with the general form analyzed in this work. The semi-analytical solution consists of series summations, which are smooth and differentiable over the entire domain, and such solution form allows one to assess derived quantities e.g. flux, transfer rate analytically.

The GITT solver is implemented with Python and followed by a convergence study. The results show that, the GITT algorithm converges with large number of eigenfunctions (N)and small time step (δt) . The solution is successfully compared with the spatially averaged numerical solution of the corresponding "microscale" 2D problem. The proposed integral transform solution is computationally efficient due to its analytical features. Finally, we show that under appropriate dynamic conditions, expressed in terms of Peclét number, the problem can be further simplified to a 1D partial differential equation (1D-PDE) for the average concentration in the channel and the matrix, which admits a closed-form analytical solution.

³⁴⁸ We show the accuracy of the various solutions through a parametric study in terms of the ³⁴⁹ Peclét number and the geometric parameter λ . By evaluating the error between the GITT ³⁵⁰ solution and the direct numerical solution of the upscaled equation, we validated the GITT. ³⁵¹ With comparison between the GITT solution and the 2D solution, we indicate that the ³⁵² GITT is expected to provide more accurate results when the matrix is less permeable and ³⁵³ more diffusive. Further, the 1D-PDE representation provides high accuracy results when ³⁵⁴ the solute flux between the channel and the matrix is irrelevant.

The error study can be used as a criterion for algorithm/model selection such that the most computationally efficient model can be used, without compromising prediction accuracy, depending on the specific values of relevant dimensionless numbers, that may vary across different applications. The flexibility of the proposed approach ensures the accuracy of the upscaled system, and at the same time, provides efficient estimation of solute migration in channel-matrix coupled systems.

Furthermore, the GITT algorithm can be applied to many other systems where the transport is modeled by coupled PDEs that have the general form shown in this study. The analytical series solution enables efficient estimation of higher derivative quantities such as the flux.

365 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

FPJdB and CBR acknowledge the support by the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Subsurface Biogeochemical Research (SBR) Program Award number DE-SC0016484 at the early stages of this work. FPJdB also acknowledges the support by the National Science Foundation (NSF) Grant Number 1654009. IB gratefully acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation (NSF) through the award number 1533874, "DMREF: An integrated multiscale modeling and experimental approach to design fouling resistant membranes". We gratefully thank the editor and the ³⁷³ anonymous reviewer for all the valuable comments.

374 APPENDIX

375 A: Upscaled equation

The upscaled equation derived in [32] writes:

$$\epsilon^{2} P e \frac{\partial \langle c_{1} \rangle}{\partial t} + \epsilon^{2} P e \langle u_{1} \rangle \frac{\partial \langle c_{1} \rangle}{\partial x} = \epsilon^{3} D_{1}^{*} \frac{\partial^{2} \langle c_{1} \rangle}{\partial x^{2}} - \phi \epsilon^{2} P e N_{1} \frac{\partial \langle c_{2} \rangle}{\partial x} - \phi \frac{3 D_{2,y}}{h} \left[\langle c_{1} \rangle - \langle c_{2} \rangle \right]$$
(A-1)

$$\epsilon^2 P e \frac{\partial \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial t} + \epsilon^2 P e \langle u_2 \rangle \frac{\partial \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial x} = \epsilon^3 D_2^* \frac{\partial^2 \langle c_2 \rangle}{\partial x^2} + \frac{M_I}{\phi h} \epsilon^2 P e \frac{\partial \langle c_1 \rangle}{\partial x} + \frac{3}{\phi h} \left[\langle c_1 \rangle - \langle c_2 \rangle \right]. \tag{A-2}$$

thus, we have:

$$A_{1} = -\langle u_{1} \rangle, A_{2} = \frac{\epsilon D_{1}^{*}}{Pe}, A_{3} = -\phi N_{1}, A_{4} = -\frac{3\phi D_{2,y}}{\epsilon^{2}Peh}, A_{5} = \frac{3\phi D_{2,y}}{\epsilon^{2}Peh}$$
(A-3)

$$B_1 = -\langle u_2 \rangle, \ B_2 = \frac{\epsilon D_2^*}{Pe}, \ B_3 = -\frac{M_1}{\phi h}, \ B_4 = -\frac{3}{\epsilon^2 Pe\phi h}, \ B_5 = \frac{3}{\epsilon^2 Pe\phi h}$$
(A-4)

where:

$$\langle u_1 \rangle = \frac{A}{2} + B + \frac{\Psi}{6} \tag{A-5}$$

$$\langle u_2 \rangle = -\frac{\lambda E \left(e^{-h\lambda} - 1\right) - \lambda F \left(e^{h\lambda} - 1\right) + h\Psi}{h\lambda^2}$$
 (A-6)

and:

$$M_1 = -\frac{A}{2} - B - \frac{\Psi}{6}$$
(A-7)

$$M_2 = \frac{5A}{24} + \frac{B}{3} + \frac{3\Psi}{40} \tag{A-8}$$

$$N_1 = -\frac{h\Psi}{\lambda^2} - \frac{Ee^{-h\lambda}}{\lambda} + \frac{Fe^{h\lambda}}{\lambda}$$
(A-9)

$$N_{2} = \frac{e^{-h\lambda} \left[-2e^{h\lambda} \left(h^{3}\lambda\Psi + 3E - 3F\right) - 3E \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 2\right) + 3Fe^{2h\lambda} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 2\right)\right]}{6h\lambda^{3}}$$
(A-10)

and:

$$I_{f} = \frac{7A^{2}}{240} + \frac{AB}{24} + \frac{A\Psi}{40} + \frac{7B\Psi}{360} + \frac{3\Psi^{2}}{560}$$
(A-11)

$$I_{m} = -\frac{e^{-2h\lambda}}{6h^{2}\lambda^{5}} \left\{ 3\lambda E^{2} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} + e^{h\lambda} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 2h\lambda - 4 \right) 3h\lambda + e^{2h\lambda}(2 - h\lambda) + 2 \right) - Ee^{h\lambda} \left[3\lambda F \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} + e^{2h\lambda} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 2h\lambda - 4 \right) + e^{h\lambda} \left(6h^{2}\lambda^{2} + 8 \right) + 2h\lambda - 4 \right) - h\Psi \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} + 2e^{h\lambda} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 3h\lambda + 3 \right) - 6 \right) \right] + Fe^{2h\lambda} \left[3\lambda F \left(e^{h\lambda} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 3h\lambda + 3 \right) - 6 \right) \right] - h\Psi \left(2h^{2}\lambda^{2} + e^{h\lambda} \left(h^{2}\lambda^{2} - 6 \right) + 6h\lambda + 6 \right) \right] \right\}$$
(A-12)

$$D_1^* = D_f + Pe^2 \frac{I_f}{D_f} \tag{A-13}$$

$$D_2^* = D_{2,x} + Pe^2 \frac{I_m}{D_{2,y}} \tag{A-14}$$

376 B: Simulation parameters

All the parameters used in this study are listed in the following table

- [1] AHMAD, N., WÖRMAN, A., BOTTACIN-BUSOLIN, A., AND SANCHEZ-VILA, X. Reactive
 transport modeling of leaking co 2-saturated brine along a fractured pathway. Int. J. Greenh. *Gas Controll* 42 (2015), 672–689.
- [2] ALIFANOV, O., CHEREPANOV, V., AND MORZHUKHINA, A. Mathematical modeling of ultra porous nonmetallic reticulated materials. *Journal of Engineering Physics and Thermophysics* 88, 1 (2015), 124–133.
- [3] BATTIATO, I. Self-similarity in coupled Brinkman/Navier-Stokes flows. J. Fluid Mech. 699
 (2012), 94–114.
- [4] BATTIATO, I. Effective medium theory for drag-reducing micro-patterned surfaces in turbulent
 flows. *Eur. Phys. J. E 37*, 19 (2014).
- [5] BATTIATO, I., BANDARU, P., AND TARTAKOVSKY, D. M. Elastic response of carbon nan otube forests to aerodynamic stresses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 144504 (2010).
- [6] BATTIATO, I., AND RUBOL, S. Single-parameter model of vegetated aquatic flows. Water
 Resour. Res. 50, 8 (2014), 6358–6369.
- [7] BIXLER, G. D., AND BHUSHAN, B. Bioinspired rice leaf and butterfly wing surface structures
 combining shark skin and lotus effects. *Soft Matter 8*, 44 (2012), 11271–11284.
- [8] BREUGEM, W. P., BOERSMA, B. J., AND UITTENBOGAARD, R. E. The influence of wall
 permeability on turbulent channel flow. J. Fluid Mech. 562 (2006), 35–72.
- [9] CAO, B.-Y., CHEN, M., AND GUO, Z.-Y. Liquid flow in surface-nanostructured channels
 studied by molecular dynamics simulation. *Phys. Rev. E* 74, 6 (2006), 066311.
- [10] CHEN, C., AND QIN, H. A mathematical modeling of the reverse osmosis concentration
 process of a glucose solution. *Processes 7*, 5 (2019), 271.
- [11] COTTA, R., LISBOA, K., AND ZOTIN, J. Integral transforms for flow and transport in discrete
 and continuum models of fractured heterogeneous porous media. Adv. Water Resour. (2020),
 103621.
- [12] COTTA, R., UNGS, M., AND MIKHAILOV, M. Contaminant transport in finite fractured
 porous medium: integral transforms and lumped-differential formulations. Annals of Nuclear
 Energy 30, 3 (2003), 261–285.
- 407 [13] COTTA, R. M. Integral transforms in computational heat and fluid flow. CRC Press, 1993.

- 408 [14] COTTA, R. M., KNUPP, D. C., NAVEIRA-COTTA, C. P., SPHAIER, L. A., AND QUARESMA,
- J. N. Unified integral transforms algorithm for solving multidimensional nonlinear convectiondiffusion problems. *Numer Heat Tr A-Appl 63*, 11 (2013), 840–866.
- 411 [15] DE BARROS, F. P. J., COLBROOK, M. J., AND FOKAS, A. S. A hybrid analytical-numerical
- method for solving advection-dispersion problems on a half-line. Int. J. Heat and Mass Transfer 139 (2019), 482–491.
- ⁴¹⁴ [16] DE BARROS, F. P. J., AND COTTA, R. M. Integral transforms for three-dimensional steady ⁴¹⁵ turbulent dispersion in rivers and channels. *Appl. Math. Model.* 31, 12 (2007), 2719–2732.
- [17] DE BARROS, F. P. J., AND FIORI, A. First-order based cumulative distribution function
 for solute concentration in heterogeneous aquifers: Theoretical analysis and implications for
 human health risk assessment. Water Resources Research 50, 5 (2014), 4018–4037.
- [18] DE BARROS, F. P. J., MILLS, W. B., AND COTTA, R. M. Integral transform solution
 of a two-dimensional model for contaminant dispersion in rivers and channels with spatially
 variable coefficients. *Environ. Modell. Softw.* 21, 5 (2006), 699–709.
- [19] DECK, C., NI, C., VECCHIO, K., AND BANDARU, P. The response of carbon nanotube
 ensembles to fluid flow: Applications to mechanical property measurement and diagnostics.
 J. Appl. Phys. 106, 7 (2009), 074304.
- [20] DEJAM, M. Dispersion in non-newtonian fluid flows in a conduit with porous walls. *Chemical Engineering Science 189* (2018), 296–310.
- 427 [21] DEJAM, M. Advective-diffusive-reactive solute transport due to non-newtonian fluid flows in
- a fracture surrounded by a tight porous medium. International Journal of Heat and Mass
 Transfer 128 (2019), 1307–1321.
- ⁴³⁰ [22] DEJAM, M., HASSANZADEH, H., AND CHEN, Z. Shear dispersion in a fracture with porous
 ⁴³¹ walls. Advances in water resources 74 (2014), 14–25.
- [23] FISCHER, A., PETTIGREW, K., ROLISON, D., STROUD, R., AND LONG, J. Incorporation of
 homogeneous, nanoscale mno2 within ultraporous carbon structures via self-limiting electroless deposition: implications for electrochemical capacitors. *Nano letters* 7, 2 (2007), 281–286.
- ⁴³⁵ [24] FISCHER, H. B. Mixing in inland and coastal waters. Academic press, 1979.
- ⁴³⁶ [25] GHISALBERTI, M. Obstructed shear flows: similarities across systems and scales. J. Fluid
 ⁴³⁷ Mech. 641 (2009), 51–61.
- 438 [26] GUERRERO, J. P., PONTEDEIRO, E., VAN GENUCHTEN, M. T., AND SKAGGS, T. Analytical

- solutions of the one-dimensional advection-dispersion solute transport equation subject to
 time-dependent boundary conditions. *Chemical engineering journal 221* (2013), 487–491.
- ⁴⁴¹ [27] GUO, H., ZHU, H., AND VEERAPANENI, S. Simulating cilia-driven mixing and transport in
 ⁴⁴² complex geometries. *Physical Review Fluids 5*, 5 (2020), 053103.
- [28] HIRATA, S. C., GOYEAU, B., AND GOBIN, D. Stability of natural convection in superposed
 fluid and porous layers: Influence of the interfacial jump boundary condition. *Physics of Fluids 19*, 5 (2007), 058102.
- [29] KONINGS, A., KATUL, G., AND THOMPSON, S. A phenomenological model for the flow
 resistance over submerged vegetation. *Water Resour. Res.* 48, 2 (2012).
- [30] LING, B., AND BATTIATO, I. Rough or wiggly? membrane topology and morphology for
 fouling control. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00217 (2018).
- [31] LING, B., OOSTROM, M., TARTAKOVSKY, A. M., AND BATTIATO, I. Hydrodynamic dispersion in thin channels with micro-structured porous walls. *Phys. Fluids 30*, 076601 (2018).
- [32] LING, B., TARTAKOVSKY, A. M., AND BATTIATO, I. Dispersion controlled by permeable
 surfaces: surface properties and scaling. J. Fluid Mech. 801 (2016), 13–42.
- [33] LOWE, R. J., SHAVIT, U., FALTER, J. L., KOSEFF, J. R., AND MONISMITH, S. G. Modeling
 flow in coral communities with and without waves: A synthesis of porous media and canopy
 flow approaches. *Limnology and Oceanography 53*, 6 (2008), 2668.
- ⁴⁵⁷ [34] MAHJOOB, S., AND VAFAI, K. A synthesis of fluid and thermal transport models for metal
 ⁴⁵⁸ foam heat exchangers. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 51*, 15-16 (2008),
 ⁴⁵⁹ 3701–3711.
- [35] MALEK, K., AND COPPENS, M.-O. Knudsen self-and fickian diffusion in rough nanoporous
 media. The Journal of chemical physics 119, 5 (2003), 2801–2811.
- [36] MANES, C., POGGI, D., AND RIDOLFI, L. Turbulent boundary layers over permeable walls:
 scaling and near wall structure. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics 687* (2011), 141–170.
- ⁴⁶⁴ [37] MANES, C., POKRAJAC, D., MCEWAN, I., AND NIKORA, V. Turbulence structure of open
 ⁴⁶⁵ channel flows over permeable and impermeable beds: A comparative study. *Physics of Fluids*⁴⁶⁶ 21, 12 (2009), 125109.
- ⁴⁶⁷ [38] MARSCHNER, H., AND DELL, B. Nutrient uptake in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Plant Soil 159*,
 ⁴⁶⁸ 1 (1994), 89–102.
- 469 [39] MCGAUGHEY, A. L., KARANDIKAR, P., GUPTA, M., AND CHILDRESS, A. E. Hydrophobic-

- ity versus pore size: Polymer coatings to improve membrane wetting resistance for membrane
 distillation. ACS Applied Polymer Materials 2, 3 (2020), 1256–1267.
- [40] MÉHEUST, Y., AND SCHMITTBUHL, J. Flow enhancement of a rough fracture. *Geophys. Res.*Lett. 27, 18 (2000), 2989–2992.
- ⁴⁷⁴ [41] MUSNER, T., BOTTACIN-BUSOLIN, A., ZARAMELLA, M., AND MARION, A. A contaminant
 ⁴⁷⁵ transport model for wetlands accounting for distinct residence time bimodality. J. Hydrol.
 ⁴⁷⁶ 515 (2014), 237–246.
- ⁴⁷⁷ [42] NORDBOTTEN, J. M., CELIA, M. A., BACHU, S., AND DAHLE, H. K. Semianalytical
 ⁴⁷⁸ solution for co2 leakage through an abandoned well. *Environmental science & technology 39*,
 ⁴⁷⁹ 2 (2005), 602–611.
- [43] OGATA, A., AND BANKS, R. B. A solution of the differential equation of longitudinal dis *persion in porous media: fluid movement in earth materials.* US Government Printing Office,
 1961.
- [44] OZIŞIK, M. N., AND MIKHAILOV, M. D. Unified analysis and solutions of heat and mass
 diffusion. Dover Publications, 1994.
- [45] PAPKE, A., AND BATTIATO, I. A reduced complexity model for dynamic similarity in obstructed shear flows. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40 (2013), 1–5.
- [46] PERUCCA, E., CAMPOREALE, C., AND RIDOLFI, L. Estimation of the dispersion coefficient
 in rivers with riparian vegetation. Adv. Water Resour. 32, 1 (2009), 78–87.
- [47] POGGI, D., KATUL, G., AND ALBERTSON, J. A note on the contribution of dispersive fluxes
 to momentum transfer within canopies. *Boundary Layer Meteorol.* 111, 3 (2004), 615–621.
- [48] RAJARAM, H., AND ARSHADI, M. A similarity solution for reaction front propagation in a
 fracture-matrix system. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 374, 2078 (2016), 20150424.
- [49] ROUBINET, D., DE DREUZY, J.-R., AND TARTAKOVSKY, D. M. Semi-analytical solutions
 for solute transport and exchange in fractured porous media. *Water Resour. Res.* 48 (2012),
 W01542.
- ⁴⁹⁶ [50] RUBOL, S., BATTIATO, I., AND DE BARROS, F. P. J. Vertical dispersion in vegetated shear
 ⁴⁹⁷ flows. Water Resour. Res. 52, 10 (2016), 8066–8080.
- ⁴⁹⁸ [51] RUBOL, S., LING, B., AND BATTIATO, I. Universal scaling-law for flow resistance over
 ⁴⁹⁹ canopies with complex morphology. *Sci. Rep. 8* (2018), 4430.
- 500 [52] SALERNO, L., CARDILLO, G., AND CAMPOREALE, C. Aris-taylor dispersion in the subarach-

- ⁵⁰¹ noid space. *Physical Review Fluids* 5, 4 (2020), 043102.
- ⁵⁰² [53] SPHAIER, L., COTTA, R., NAVEIRA-COTTA, C., AND QUARESMA, J. The unit algorithm for
 ⁵⁰³ solving one-dimensional convection-diffusion problems via integral transforms. *International* ⁵⁰⁴ Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 38, 5 (2011), 565–571.
- ⁵⁰⁵ [54] SUN, Y., SANAEI, P., KONDIC, L., AND CUMMINGS, L. J. Modeling and design optimization
 ⁵⁰⁶ for pleated membrane filters. *Physical Review Fluids 5*, 4 (2020), 044306.
- 507 [55] TANG, D., FRIND, E., AND SUDICKY, E. A. Contaminant transport in fractured porous
- media: Analytical solution for a single fracture. *Water Resour. Res.* 17, 3 (1981), 555–564.
- ⁵⁰⁹ [56] TILTON, N., AND CORTELEZZI, L. Linear stability analysis of pressure driven flows in channels
 ⁵¹⁰ with porous walls. J. Fluid Mech. 604 (2008), 411–445.
- ⁵¹¹ [57] TILTON, N., SERRE, E., MARTINAND, D., AND LUEPTOW, R. M. A 3d pseudospectral algorithm forfluid flows with permeable walls: application to filtration. *Computers & Fluids* ⁵¹³ 93 (2014), 129–145.
- ⁵¹⁴ [58] VAN GENUCHTEN, M., AND WIERENGA, P. Mass transfer studies in sorbing porous media
 ⁵¹⁵ i. analytical solutions. Soil science society of america journal 40, 4 (1976), 473–480.
- ⁵¹⁶ [59] WANG, L., LI, D., GAO, Z., SUN, T., GUO, X., AND BOU-ZEID, E. Turbulent transport
 of momentum and scalars above an urban canopy. *Boundary Layer Meteorol. 150*, 3 (2014),
 ⁵¹⁸ 485–511.
- ⁵¹⁹ [60] ZHOU, Z., LING, B., BATTIATO, I., HUSSON, S. M., AND LADNER, D. A. Concentration
 ⁵²⁰ polarization over reverse osmosis membranes with engineered surface features. *Journal of* ⁵²¹ Membrane Science (2020), 118199.

	N	δt	Pe	ε	λ	h	ϕ	D_f	D_{mx}	D_{my}	Ψ
SIM-01	10	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-02	50	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-03	100	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-04	200	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-05	500	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-06	500	0.01	10	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-07	500	0.01	1	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-08	500	0.01	100	0.02	1	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-09	500	0.01	10	0.02	1	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-10	500	0.01	1	0.02	1	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-11	500	0.01	100	0.02	0.5	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-12	500	0.01	10	0.02	0.5	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-13	500	0.01	1	0.02	0.5	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-14	500	0.05	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-15	500	0.1	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-16	500	0.5	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-17	100	0.01	0.1	0.1	1	5	0.5	1	1	1	-0.1
SIM-18	100	0.01	10	0.1	10	5	0.5	1	1	1	-0.1
SIM-19	200	0.05	100	0.1	10	5	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1
SIM-20	200	0.05	10	0.1	10	5	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1
SIM-21	200	0.05	1	0.1	10	5	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1
SIM-22	1000	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-23	2000	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-24	5000	0.01	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-25	500	0.005	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1
SIM-26	500	0.001	100	0.02	10	10	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	-1

TABLE I. Parameters used in the simulation.