

# CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Drag, deformation, and drift volume associated with a drop rising in a density stratified fluid

Vaseem A. Shaik and Arezoo M. Ardekani Phys. Rev. Fluids **5**, 013604 — Published 29 January 2020 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevFluids.5.013604](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.5.013604)

### Inertial drag, deformation, and drift volume associated with a drop rising in a density stratified fluid

Vaseem A. Shaik and Arezoo M. Ardekani[∗](#page-1-0)

School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, USA

(Dated: January 2, 2020)

#### Abstract

We consider a drop of constant density and uniform interfacial tension rising in a linearly density stratified fluid. In the limits of weak inertia and stratification effects, we calculate the drag acting on the drop, the flow fields inside and outside the drop, the drop deformation, and the drift volume associated with the drop using the method of matched asymptotic expansions. Stratification or inertia increase the drag and this enhanced drag acting on a drop is equal to  $\left(\frac{3\lambda+2}{3(\lambda+1)}\right)^2$  times the enhanced drag acting on a rigid sphere, where  $\lambda$  is the viscosity ratio. This relation between the enhanced drags of a drop and a rigid sphere holds even in the presence of both these effects (stratification and inertia). On the other hand, stratification does not result in any deformation of the drop up to the first order of approximation. At zero inertia and small advective transport rate of density, the drift volume associated with the drop rising in a stratified fluid is finite (but large compared to the drop's volume) unlike the drift volume in a homogeneous fluid which is infinite.

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> [ardekani@purdue.edu](mailto:ardekani@purdue.edu)

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In lakes, ponds or oceans, the variation of temperature or salt concentration with height causes density stratification. Drops in stratified fluids are encountered when one uses bubble plumes for destratification to get rid of the harmful effects associated with the stratification [\[1](#page-26-0)] or during an oil spill as oil drops rise through stratified water in the ocean [\[2](#page-26-1)]. It is then necessary to find the forces acting on a drop rising in a stratified fluid to estimate the efficiency of destratification process or to understand the consequences of an oil spill [\[3,](#page-26-2) [4\]](#page-26-3).

There was a tremendous effort to understand the settling motion of spheres in a stratified fluid for different values of Re, Fr, Pr where Re, Fr, Pr are the Reynolds, Froude, and Prandtl numbers, respectively [\[5](#page-26-4)[–13\]](#page-27-0). These works used two types of stratification – linearly stratified fluid or two homogeneous miscible fluids of different densities separated by a density stratified interface of finite or zero thickness (call this step stratification). All these works reported a drag enhancement due to stratification, the physics behind this drag enhancement being dependent on the type of stratification, Re, and Fr.

For a sphere settling through a step stratification at  $1.5 < \text{Re} < 15$ , it was found that its velocity changes nonmonotonically from its terminal velocity in upper fluid to its terminal velocity in the lower fluid displaying a minimum somewhere in the interface due to the stratification enhanced drag [\[5\]](#page-26-4). A similar nonmonotonic variation of the settling velocity with time was also found for a sphere crossing an interface separating two homogeneous fluids (with zero interface thickness) even at zero Re [\[7](#page-26-5), [8\]](#page-27-1). Again considering the settling through step stratification at  $20 \leq Re \leq 450$ , it was found that the sphere decelerates so much due to enhanced drag that it levitates (comes to rest in a fluid that is lighter than it) and reverses its direction of motion for sometime before continuing to fall down [\[6](#page-26-6)]. In these works, the sphere leaving the upper homogeneous fluid (by entering the stratified interface or lower homogeneous fluid), drags this low density fluid with it and the buoyancy of this low density fluid manifests as an enhanced drag on the sphere. As long as the low density fluid is attached to the sphere, it decelerates achieving a minimum velocity, at which point the entire low density fluid is detached from the sphere due to which it begins to accelerate. This leads to the nonmonotonic variation of velocity with time.

When a sphere is settling through linearly stratified fluid at moderate Re  $(10 \leq Re \leq 1000)$ , it was observed that a standing vortex which typically occurs in homogeneous fluids is suppressed by stratification in turn giving rise to strong vertical jet behind the sphere [\[9](#page-27-2)– [11\]](#page-27-3). A mechanism for the appearance of this jet is given as follows. As the sphere settles it drags a low density fluid which initially is located near the front of the sphere in the density boundary layer. Due to the buoyancy, this low density fluid rises to the back of the sphere in an attempt to return to its original position in turn generating a vertical jet. This jet is similar to the caudal fluid dragged from upper homogeneous layer when a sphere is settling in a step stratification. The buoyancy of the low density fluid in the jet and the generated internal waves as low density fluid returns to its initial density level are responsible for the increased drag due to stratification [\[12\]](#page-27-4).

The physics associated with a particle settling in a linearly stratified fluid at moderate Re is interesting yet quite complex to understand as in this case after the particle reaches its peak velocity, other than monotonic deceleration, the particle can levitate momentarily, reverse its direction of motion and even oscillate with a frequency proportional to the Brunt-Vaisala frequency [\[12\]](#page-27-4). The wake structure behind such settling particle is also complicated and can be one of the seven types depending on Re and Fr [\[14\]](#page-27-5). On the other hand, settling at low Re is well understood. In this case, the buoyancy of a light fluid immediately adjacent to the sphere manifests as the enhanced drag which was shown to be proportional to  $Ri^{0.51}$ where Ri is the viscous Richardson number [\[15](#page-27-6)].

Most of the previous works attributed the stratification enhanced drag to the buoyancy of the dragged lighter fluid, but it was not clear how much of this lighter fluid should be considered for the calculation of the buoyancy forces. In an attempt to identify the origin of this stratification enhanced drag, a recent work [\[16](#page-27-7)] divided the contributions of the stratification to the drag into two parts—the first one coming from the buoyancy of the lighter fluid and the second one arising from the baroclinic torque induced modifications in the vorticity field around the settling particle. For most values of Re, Fr, Pr, the force due to the change in vorticity dominates and is responsible for the observed stratification enhanced drag.

A few theoretical works were also carried out to derive the stratification enhanced drag acting on a particle settling in a linearly stratified fluid  $[17-19]$ . Due to the coupling between the equations governing the fluid flow and density transport, such calculation was only done for weak stratifications, in turn enabling one to take perturbations in terms of small stratification parameter. It was shown that such perturbation is singular similar to the perturbation in Re [\[20\]](#page-27-10) as the matching zone where the viscous forces balance the buoyancy forces occurs far away from the particle. The exact location of the matching zone depends on whether advection of density transport dominates the diffusion or vice-versa. Neglecting inertia, Zvirin & Chadwick calculated this stratification enhanced drag assuming the advection is more important while Candelier's work is valid if diffusion is more important. It was recently shown in Ref. [\[19](#page-27-9)] that Zvirin & Chadwick's calculation holds not only in the limits of dominant advection or dominant diffusion but also uniformly between these two limits. The main focus of Ref. [\[19](#page-27-9)] was to estimate the inertial effects on the stratification enhanced drag for a settling rigid particle. We use a similar perturbation scheme to find the influence of inertia on the stratification enhanced drag acting on a rising drop.

There are few numerical works that analyzed the settling or rising dynamics of a drop in a stratified fluid. In this case, either the stratification or the presence of surfactants can cause the spatial inhomogeneties in the interfacial tension that complicates the rising dynamics [\[21,](#page-27-11) [22](#page-28-0)]. Analyzing the settling motion of a drop in a step stratification, it was found that the slip boundary condition on the drop causes it to entrain less amount of low density fluid than that of a rigid sphere which manifests as a smaller stratification enhanced drag [\[21\]](#page-27-11). Similar to a settling particle, a drop rising in a linearly stratified fluid at moderate Re can levitate, reverse its direction of motion and even oscillate about certain fluid density level [\[23\]](#page-28-1). In a linearly stratified fluid, two drops rising in tandem or in side by side configuration were found to retain their configuration during interaction [\[24\]](#page-28-2). This observation for drops in tandem motion in a stratified fluid holds for stronger stratifications and is in contrast to their motion in a homogeneous fluid. After the drops approach their neutrally buoyant density level, they oscillate, and eventually the trailing drop rotates around the leading one, forming a side-by-side configuration. For a swarm of drops rising in a linearly stratified fluid, it was reported that the stratification hinders the vertical rise velocity of the swarm but increases the probability of cluster formation [\[25](#page-28-3)].

A drop rising in a homogeneous or stratified fluid drags a certain volume of fluid with it, the so called drift volume. An estimate of drift volume induced by a rising drop would answer if the drop mixes its surrounding environment (see Refs. [\[26](#page-28-4), [27\]](#page-28-5) for more applications of drift volume). It was Darwin [\[28](#page-28-6)] who introduced the drift volume and he found that the drift volume induced by a translating sphere in an inviscid fluid is equal to the added mass divided by the fluid density. In a viscous fluid, at  $Re = 0$ , Eames et al calculated the drift

volume induced by a rising drop and found that a slow  $1/r$  velocity decay causes the drift volume to become infinite [\[29\]](#page-28-7). They also evaluated the drift volume induced by a drop translating normal to a wall and found that a faster  $1/r^3$  velocity decay makes the drift volume finite but much large compared to drop's volume. Here  $r$  is the distance measured from the center of the drop. At finite Re, Chisholm & Khair calculated the drift volume induced by a towed particle and they found that the ever increasing extent of wake behind the particle and a slow  $1/r$  decay of velocity in the wake produces an infinite drift volume [\[26](#page-28-4)]. Such infinite drift volume induced by towing particles at zero or finite Re prompted the drift based explanations in support of biogenic mixing contributions to oceanic circulation [\[30](#page-28-8), [31](#page-28-9)]. But effects such as density stratification [\[12](#page-27-4), [32](#page-28-10)], background turbulence [\[33\]](#page-28-11) and force-free nature of swimming organisms [\[27,](#page-28-5) [34](#page-28-12)] reduce the drift volume and make it finite.

Despite several studies on the subject, a theoretical investigation of drop transport in a stratified fluid and the induced drift volume is missing, which is the focus of the present work. This paper is organized as follows. We present the dimensionless governing equations and boundary conditions in Sec. [II.](#page-5-0) We then derive the drag, first order flow fields, drop deformation, and the induced drift volume in Secs. [III,](#page-9-0) [IV,](#page-13-0) [V,](#page-16-0) and [VI](#page-20-0) respectively. We finally provide some concluding remarks in Sec. [VII.](#page-25-0)

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

In this section, we present the dimensionless governing equations and boundary conditions for the fluid flow and density disturbance when a drop is rising in a stratified fluid (see Fig. [1](#page-6-0) for schematic). We work in a frame moving with the drop. In this frame the drop is stationary and the fluid velocity w far away from the drop approaches the negative of the drop velocity **u**, i.e., **w**  $\sim -\mathbf{u}$  as  $r = |\mathbf{r}| \to \infty$ . In this far-field region, the fluid density  $\rho$  is equal to the ambient density  $\rho_0$  that varies linearly with the vertical position  $x_3$ , i.e.,  $\rho \sim \rho_0 = \rho_\infty - \gamma x_3$  as  $r \to \infty$ . Here **r**, **x** are the position vectors with respect to the drop center and a fixed point in the lab frame, so  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{x}_d$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_d$  gives drop's position in the lab frame. Also  $\rho_{\infty}$  is the reference density while  $\gamma > 0$  is the density gradient. The pressure p in the far-field is equal to  $p_0$  that is governed by  $-\nabla p_0 + \rho_0 \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{0}$ , where  $\mathbf{g} = -g \mathbf{e}_3$  is a gravity vector whose magnitude is equal to the acceleration due to gravity g and  $\mathbf{e}_3$  is a unit vector pointing vertically upwards.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

FIG. 1: A schematic showing a drop of constant density and uniform interfacial tension rising through a stratified fluid whose ambient density  $\rho_0 = \rho_\infty - \gamma x_3$  decreases with the height. Also shown is a unit vector  $e_3$  pointing vertically upwards and a gravity vector

$$
\mathbf{g} = -g\mathbf{e}_3.
$$

We define the disturbance velocity, pressure and density fields outside the drop as  $\mathbf{w}' =$  $\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}, p' = p - p_0, \ \rho' = \rho - \rho_0.$  Here we use the Boussinesq approximation. The disturbance pressure, velocity and density outside the drop are governed by the continuity, Navier-Stokes and the advection-diffusion equations

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}' = 0,\tag{1}
$$

$$
\rho_{\infty} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}'}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}' - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}' \right] = -\nabla p' + \rho_{\infty} \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}' + \rho' \mathbf{g},\tag{2}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \rho'}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla \rho' - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho' - \gamma (\mathbf{w}' \cdot \mathbf{e}_3) = \kappa \nabla^2 \rho'. \tag{3}
$$

Here  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid outside the drop and  $\kappa$  is the diffusivity. In general, the variations in density are caused by the variations in temperature or salt concentration. For small changes in temperature or salt concentrations, these changes are linearly proportional to the changes in density and in this case we can directly write an advectiondiffusion equation for density with  $\kappa$  being the diffusion coefficient of the associated transport phenomenon (thermal or salt transport).

We assume the density of the fluid inside the drop  $\rho_d$  to be constant. Writing the pressure inside the drop  $p_d$  as  $p_d = p'_d - \rho_d g x_3$ , we find that the fluid flow  $\mathbf{w}_d$  and the pressure field inside the drop are governed by

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}_d = 0,\tag{4}
$$

$$
\rho_d \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}_d}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{w}_d \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}_d \right] = -\nabla p'_d + \rho_d \nu_d \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}_d - \rho_d \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt},\tag{5}
$$

where  $\nu_d$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid inside the drop.

We use the undeformed drop radius a, time scale  $t_c$  and the drop speed in a homogeneous fluid of reference density  $u_c$  to non-dimensionalize the length, time and velocity. We nondimensionalize the density by  $\gamma a$  while the pressure or stress field outside (resp. inside) the drop is non-dimensionalized by  $\rho_{\infty} \nu u_c/a$  (resp.  $\rho_d \nu_d u_c/a$ ). We find that the dimensionless governing equations and the boundary conditions are characterised by six dimensionless parameters - the Reynolds number Re which is the ratio of inertia forces to the viscous forces, the Péclet number Pe that is the ratio of the advective transport rate of density to its diffusive transport rate, the viscous Richardson number Ri which is the ratio of buoyancy forces to the viscous forces, the Strouhal number Sl that is the ratio of advective time scale to the characteristic time scale  $t_c$ , the dynamic viscosity ratio  $\lambda$  and the kinematic viscosity ratio  $\chi$ . Their expressions are given as

$$
\text{Re} = \frac{au_c}{\nu}, \text{Pe} = \frac{au_c}{\kappa}, \text{Ri} = \frac{\gamma a^3 g}{\rho_\infty \nu u_c}, \text{Sl} = \frac{a}{u_c t_c}, \lambda = \frac{\rho_d \nu_d}{\rho_\infty \nu}, \chi = \frac{\nu_d}{\nu}.
$$
 (6)

We carry out a quasi-steady analysis in which we neglect the unsteady terms proportional to ReSl or PeSl or ReSl/ $\chi$ . This analysis is valid for Re  $\ll 1$ , Ri  $\ll 1$  and  $\chi \geq 1$  as in this case we can estimate the time scale of velocity variations  $t_c$  and show that Sl  $\sim$  Ri [\[19](#page-27-9)] which makes the unsteady terms smaller than the rest of the terms in any governing equation. Following Candelier et al. [\[18\]](#page-27-12) and Mehaddi et al. [\[19\]](#page-27-9) we rewrite Re, Pe, Ri in terms of  $\epsilon = a/l_s$ ,  $l_s/l_o$  and Pr. Here  $l_s = (\nu \kappa/N^2)^{1/4}$  is the stratification length scale [\[35\]](#page-28-13), the distance from the drop at which the buoyancy forces become as important as the viscous forces when Pe  $\ll 1$ , where  $N = \sqrt{g\gamma/\rho_{\infty}}$  is the Brunt-Vaisala frequency, the typical frequency at which a displaced fluid parcel in a stratified fluid oscillates. Also  $l_o = a/Re$  is the Oseen length scale, the distance from the drop at which the inertia forces balance the viscous forces and  $Pr = \nu/\kappa$  is the Prandtl number. Hence, the dimensionless governing equations for the disturbance flow and the disturbance density outside the drop after rescaling the density as  $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho'}{\text{Pe}} = \frac{\rho'}{\epsilon \frac{l_s}{l}}$  $\frac{\rho'}{\epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \Pr}$  are

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}' = 0,\tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\epsilon_{l_o}^{l_s}[(\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}' - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}'] = -\nabla p' + \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}' - \epsilon^4 \tilde{\rho} \mathbf{e}_3,
$$
\n(8)

$$
-\mathbf{w}' \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 + \epsilon_{\overline{l}_o}^{\overline{l}_s} \Pr\left[\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho}\right] = \nabla^2 \tilde{\rho}.
$$
 (9)

Similarly, the flow field inside the drop is governed by

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}_d = 0,\tag{10}
$$

<span id="page-8-3"></span>
$$
\frac{\epsilon}{\chi} \frac{l_s}{l_o} \left( \mathbf{w}_d \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{w}_d = -\nabla p'_d + \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}_d.
$$
 (11)

Far away from the drop, the disturbance velocity and the disturbance density decay to zero

$$
\mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \tilde{\rho} = 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty. \tag{12}
$$

At the drop surface, the flow field normal to the drop must be zero

$$
\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{w}_d = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{w}' - \mathbf{u}) = 0 \text{ on the drop.}
$$
 (13)

The flow field tangential to the drop must be continuous across the drop surface

$$
(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_d = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) \cdot (\mathbf{w}' - \mathbf{u}) \text{ on the drop.}
$$
 (14)

The shear stress must be continuous across the drop surface

$$
\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{T}' - \lambda \mathbf{T}_d) \cdot (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ on the drop.}
$$
 (15)

We impose the no flux condition on the drop surface for the density field which is equivalent to ensuring that the drop surface is adiabatic (resp. impermeable) for the stratification caused by thermal transport (resp. salt transport)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial r} = \frac{\cos \theta}{\text{Pe}} = \frac{\cos \theta}{\epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \text{Pr}} \text{ on the drop.}
$$
 (16)

Here **n** is the vector normal to the drop, **I** is the identity tensor, **T**' (resp. **T**<sub>d</sub>) is the stress tensor associated with the disturbance flow outside the drop  $w'$  (resp. the flow inside the drop  $w_d$ ) which using the Newtonian constitutive relation can be written as  $T'$  =  $-p' \mathbf{I} + \left[ \nabla \mathbf{w}' + (\nabla \mathbf{w}')^{\dagger} \right],$  † represents the transpose and  $\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{e}_3}{r} = \frac{r_3}{r}$  $\frac{r_3}{r}$ .

We solve Eqs. [\(7\)](#page-7-0)-[\(16\)](#page-8-0) to find the drag and deformation of a drop along with the flow field in the limit

$$
\epsilon \ll 1, \frac{l_s}{l_o} \ll \epsilon^{-1}, \ \chi \ge 1, \text{ Pr}, \ \lambda \text{ arbitrary but fixed.}
$$
 (17)

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>III. DRAG

We expand the field variables inside the drop and in the inner zone close to the drop as follows

<span id="page-9-4"></span>
$$
\{\mathbf{w}', p', \tilde{\rho}, \mathbf{w}_d, p'_d\} = \{\mathbf{w}'_0, p'_0, \tilde{\rho}_0, \mathbf{w}_{0,d}, p'_{0,d}\} + \epsilon \{\mathbf{w}'_1, p'_1, \tilde{\rho}_1, \mathbf{w}_{1,d}, p'_{1,d}\} + o(\epsilon). \tag{18}
$$

We find that the leading order flow variables  $(w'_{0}, p'_{0}, w_{0,d}, p'_{0,d})$  satisfy the Stokes equations for a homogeneous fluid whose solution is well known [\[36](#page-28-14)]. The leading order drag experienced by the drop is  $\mathbf{F}_0 = -2\pi \mathcal{R} \mathbf{u}$  where  $\mathcal{R} = (2+3\lambda)/(1+\lambda)$  and it varies from 2 (for a bubble) to 3 (for a rigid sphere). It was already reported that the drop does not deform due to this leading order flow (see Sec. [V](#page-16-0) for more details) [\[37,](#page-28-15) [38](#page-29-0)]. So we can consider a spherical drop for solving the first order problem.

We now use the leading order inner flow  $w'$ <sub>0</sub> to estimate the order of magnitude of various terms in the Navier-Stokes and density transport equations in the matching zone that occurs at  $r \gg 1$ . As  $\mathbf{w}'_0 \sim 1/r$  for  $r \gg 1$ , we see that  $\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}' \sim 1/r^3$  while  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}' \sim 1/r^2$ for  $r \gg 1$ . Hence we neglect  $\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}'$  as compared to  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{w}'$  for  $r \gg 1$ . Similarly we notice that  $\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho} \sim \tilde{\rho}/r^2$  and  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho} \sim \tilde{\rho}/r$  for  $r \gg 1$  due to which we neglect  $\mathbf{w}' \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho}$  in comparison to  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\rho}$  in the matching zone. So the equations governing the leading order flow disturbance and density disturbance in the matching or outer zone at  $r \gg 1$  are

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}' = 0,\tag{19}
$$

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
-\epsilon_{\overline{l}_o}^{l_s}(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{w}') = -\nabla p' + \nabla^2\mathbf{w}' - \epsilon^4\tilde{\rho}\mathbf{e}_3 + 2\pi\mathcal{R}\mathbf{u}\delta(\mathbf{r}),\tag{20}
$$

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
-\mathbf{w}' \cdot \mathbf{e}_3 - \epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \Pr\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\right) \tilde{\rho} = \nabla^2 \tilde{\rho}.
$$
 (21)

In the far-field, we represent the drop by a point force equal to the negative of drag acting on the drop [\[39,](#page-29-1) [40\]](#page-29-2) which justifies the source term  $-\mathbf{F}_0 \,\delta(\mathbf{r}) = 2\pi \mathcal{R}\mathbf{u} \,\delta(\mathbf{r})$  appearing in Eq. [\(20\)](#page-9-1). The no-flux boundary condition for density on the drop surface precludes such source terms in the density transport equation.

As Eqs. [\(19\)](#page-9-2)-[\(21\)](#page-9-3) are linear, we solve them by taking the Fourier transform where the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms are defined as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}}'(\mathbf{k}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \,\mathbf{w}'(\mathbf{r}) \,\,\mathrm{e}^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}'(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \,\hat{\mathbf{w}}'(\mathbf{k}) \,\,\mathrm{e}^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}.\tag{22}
$$

In the Fourier space, the disturbance flow in the outer zone is given by

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}}' = 2\pi \mathcal{R} \left[ \mathcal{A} - \epsilon^4 \mathcal{B} + \epsilon^4 \mathcal{D} \right]^{-1} \mathcal{E} \mathbf{u}, \text{ where}
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A} = \left[ k^2 - i\epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \right] \mathbf{I}, \ \mathcal{B} = \frac{k_3}{k^2 \left[ k^2 - i\epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \Pr(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \right]} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{e}_3,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{D} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_3 \mathbf{e}_3}{\left[ k^2 - i\epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \Pr(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{k}) \right]}, \text{ and } \mathcal{E} = \left( \mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}}{k^2} \right).
$$
\n(23)

We represented the second order tensors as matrices while the vectors as column vectors in Eq. [\(23\)](#page-10-0). We interpret  $\hat{\mathbf{w}}'$  as a generalized function and for  $\epsilon \ll 1$ , we perform a Taylor series expansion of it about  $\epsilon = 0$  to get

$$
\hat{\mathbf{w}}' = \hat{\mathcal{D}_0'} + \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}_1'} + \dots + \epsilon^n \hat{\mathcal{D}_n'}, \text{ where } \hat{\mathcal{D}_n'} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \hat{\mathbf{w}}'}{d\epsilon^n}. \tag{24}
$$

We find that  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_0'$  is the Fourier transform of the Stokeslet flow field  $\mathbf{w}_S$  that is governed by

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}_S = 0, \quad -\nabla p_S + \nabla^2 \mathbf{w}_S + 2\pi \mathcal{R} \mathbf{u} \,\delta(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{0}.\tag{25}
$$

It can be shown that in the matching zone, the inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}'_{0}$  matches with the Stokeslet part of the leading order inner flow  $\mathbf{w}'_0$ .

We write  $\hat{\mathscr{T}}_1'$  as

$$
\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1' = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}}'(\mathbf{k}) - \hat{\mathcal{P}}_0'(\mathbf{k}) \right] = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}}'(\mathbf{k}) - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_S(\mathbf{k}) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}}' \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\epsilon} \right) - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_S \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}}{\epsilon} \right) \right] = \delta(\mathbf{k}) \int d\mathbf{k}' \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}}' \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}'}{\epsilon} \right) - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_S \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}'}{\epsilon} \right) \right]_{\epsilon=1} \tag{26}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \delta(\mathbf{k}) \int d\mathbf{k}' \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}}' \big|_{\epsilon=1} (\mathbf{k}') - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_S(\mathbf{k}') \right],
$$

where  $\mathbf{\hat{w}}_S$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{w}_S$ ,  $\delta(\mathbf{k})$  is the delta function and we used the properties of generalized functions to evaluate the limit [\[41](#page-29-3), [42](#page-29-4)]. We find that the inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{\mathscr{T}}_1'$  is given by

$$
\mathcal{T}'_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int d\mathbf{k}' \left[ \hat{\mathbf{w}}' \right]_{\epsilon=1} (\mathbf{k}') - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_S (\mathbf{k}')]. \tag{27}
$$

This is a uniform flow and it should match with the uniform flow part of  $w'_1$  in the matching zone.

We only need the knowledge of the flow field in the outer zone to determine the first order force acting on the drop. Following the arguments of Legendre & Magnaudet [\[43\]](#page-29-5) this force is given by

$$
\mathbf{F}_1 = 2\pi \mathcal{R} \mathcal{I}_1'.\tag{28}
$$

Using  $\mathbf{u} = u_3 \mathbf{e}_3$  we find that  $\mathcal{T}_1' = -M_{33,drop}u_3\mathbf{e}_3$  and the drag acting on the drop is  $\mathbf{F} = F_3\mathbf{e}_3$ where

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
F_3 = -2\pi \mathcal{R} u_3 \left(1 + \epsilon M_{33,drop}\right), \quad M_{33,drop} = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{3} M_{33,rgsp}, \text{ and}
$$
 (29)

$$
M_{33,rgsp} = -\frac{3}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dk \int_0^\pi d\theta \frac{\sin^3\theta \left\{ 1 - \left[ \Pr\left(\frac{l_s}{l_o}\right)^2 u_3^2 k^2 + 1 \right] \cos^2\theta - i \cos\theta \frac{l_s}{l_o} u_3 k^3 \right\}}{\left[ \Pr\left(\frac{l_s}{l_o}\right)^2 u_3^2 k^2 + 1 \right] \cos^2\theta + i \frac{l_s}{l_o} u_3 k^3 \left( \Pr\left(\frac{l_s}{l_o}\right) \cos\theta - k^4 - 1 \right)}.
$$
\n(30)

The expression for  $M_{33,rgsp}|_{u_3=1}$  is the same as that reported by Mehaddi et al. (see Eq. 5.3b in Ref. [\[19](#page-27-9)]) for falling rigid sphere in a stratified fluid except there is a missing negative sign in their expression which might be a typo. From Eq. [\(29\)](#page-11-0), we see that the stratification enhanced drag acting on a drop is equal to  $(\mathcal{R}/3)^2$  times the enhanced drag on a rigid sphere. As the governing equations and the boundary conditions associated with the leading order and the first order flows in the inner zone and inside the drop derived in our work are the same as those reported for a drop moving in a shear flow of a homogeneous fluid (in the limit of zero shear rate), we can use the physical arguments reported in the latter case [\[37\]](#page-28-15) to find the scaling of stratification enhanced drag with  $R$ . This way, we can deduce the form of drag force, Eq. [\(29\)](#page-11-0) without finding any of the flow fields. According to these arguments, one relates the drag force with the strength of vorticity on the drop surface. It is evident from Eq. [\(20\)](#page-9-1) that the disturbance flow in the outer zone is proportional to  $\mathcal R$  and hence the uniform flow  $\mathcal{T}'_1 \propto \mathcal{R}$ . To satisfy this uniform flow boundary condition at infinity, a vorticity of strength proportional to  $\mathcal{RP}'_1$  is induced on the drop surface which justifies why  $\mathbf{F}_1 \propto \mathcal{R}^2$ . We plot the stratification enhanced drag acting on a bubble for various  $l_s/l_o$  and Pr in Fig. [2.](#page-12-0)

We can simplify the expression for drag in some limiting cases. For  $l_s/l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1}$ , the buoyancy forces balance the viscous forces in the matching zone and the density transport is governed by diffusion. When  $Pr^{-1} \ll l_s/l_o \ll Pr^{-1/4}$ , again the buoyancy forces balance the viscous forces in the matching zone but the density transport is governed by advection. And for  $l_s/l_o \gg \text{Pr}^{-1/4}$ , the inertia forces balance the viscous forces in the matching zone.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

FIG. 2: The variation of the stratification enhanced drag acting on a bubble,  $8\pi M_{33,rgsp}/3$ , with  $l_s/l_o$  and Pr. The blue solid, red dashed and black dash-dotted lines denote the data for  $Pr = 0.7, 7$  (temperature stratified water) and 700 (salt stratified water), respectively. This variation of drag acting on a bubble with  $l_s/l_o$  and Pr is similar to that reported for a rigid sphere [\[19](#page-27-9)].

In these three regimes, for  $u_3 = 1$ , the drag simplifies to

$$
F_3 = -2\pi \mathcal{R} \begin{cases} 1 + 0.2207\mathcal{R} \epsilon & \text{for } l_s/l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1} \\ 1 + 0.3533\mathcal{R} \text{ Ri}^{1/3} & \text{for } \text{Pr}^{-1} \ll l_s/l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1/4} \\ 1 + (\mathcal{R}/8) \text{Re} & \text{for } l_s/l_o \gg \text{Pr}^{-1/4} \end{cases}
$$
(31)

This relationship is similar to that reported for a rigid sphere [\[19](#page-27-9)]. We can rewrite the correction to drag in terms of Re, Fr, Pr using  $\text{Ri} = \frac{\text{Re}}{\text{Fr}^2}$ . We multiply the resulting expression with Re<sup>-1</sup> to find the drag correction non-dimensionalized by  $\rho_{\infty}u_c^2a^2$ . We find that drag correction scales as  $(\text{ReFr})^{-1/2}\text{Pr}^{1/4}$  in the diffusive regime and as  $(\text{ReFr})^{-2/3}$  in the advective regime. Numerical results of Ref. [\[16](#page-27-7)] have also captured these force scales. For  $Fr > Re^{-1}$ , it was noted in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-27-7) the existence of another scaling regime in which the drag correction scales as  $(\text{ReFr})^{-1}$ . For these Fr and for any Pr of interest (i.e., Pr  $\leq 700$ ), our calculation holds but we do not see such a scaling regime.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>IV. FLOW FIELD

In this section, we derive the first order flow fields inside the drop and in the inner zone outside the drop which will be used in the next section to determine the drop deformation. These flows can be combined with the leading order flows in both zones to determine a uniform approximation to the flow field. Generally, we need the entire leading order flow in the outer zone to determine the required first order flows. But in this problem, we see that only the uniform part of the leading order flow in the outer zone  $\mathcal{T}'_1$  is sufficient to determine the required flows.

After substituting the expansion  $(18)$  in Eqs.  $(7),(8),(10),(11)$  $(7),(8),(10),(11)$  $(7),(8),(10),(11)$  $(7),(8),(10),(11)$  $(7),(8),(10),(11)$  $(7),(8),(10),(11)$ , collecting the terms of order  $\epsilon$  and expressing the velocity fields in terms of stream functions (Eq. [\(32\)](#page-13-1)), we find that at first order, the stream function inside the drop  $\psi_{1,d}$  and that in the inner zone outside the drop  $\psi'_1$  are governed by Eqs. [\(33\)](#page-13-2)-[\(34\)](#page-13-3).

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
w'_{1,r} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \psi'_1}{\partial \eta}, \ w'_{1,\theta} \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi'_1}{\partial r}, \text{ where } \eta = \cos \theta,
$$
 (32)

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
E^4 \psi_{1,d} = 0,\t\t(33)
$$

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
E^{4}\psi'_{1} = 3\mathcal{R}u_{3}^{2}\frac{l_{s}}{l_{o}}\left\{\frac{1}{r^{2}} - \frac{1}{2r^{3}}\left(\frac{3\lambda + 2}{\lambda + 1}\right) + \frac{1}{2r^{5}}\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1}\right\}Q_{2}(\eta). \tag{34}
$$

Here  $E^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{(1-\eta^2)}{r^2}$  $\frac{(-\eta^2)}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}$  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}$ ,  $Q_n(\eta) = \int_{-1}^{\eta} P_n(\xi) d\xi$  and  $P_n$  is the Legendre polynomial of degree n. The conditions of zero normal velocity on the drop surface, continuity of tangential velocity and shear stress across the drop surface and the axisymmetricity of velocity fields can be expressed in terms of stream functions as follows

$$
\psi_1'|_{r=1} = \psi_{1,d}|_{r=1} = 0,\tag{35}
$$

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
\left. \frac{\partial \psi_1'}{\partial r} \right|_{r=1} = \left. \frac{\partial \psi_{1,d}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=1},\tag{36}
$$

<span id="page-13-5"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \psi_1'}{\partial r} \right) \bigg|_{r=1} = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial \psi_{1,d}}{\partial r} \right) \bigg|_{r=1},\tag{37}
$$

$$
\psi'_1 = 0 \text{ along } \eta = \pm 1 \text{ and } r \ge 1,
$$
  

$$
\psi_{1,d} = 0 \text{ along } \eta = \pm 1 \text{ and } r \le 1.
$$
 (38)

The general solution of Eq. [\(34\)](#page-13-3) that satisfies the zero stream function on the drop surface and along the axis of symmetry is

$$
\psi_1' = u_3^2 \frac{l_s \mathcal{R}}{l_o \mathcal{R}} \left\{ r^2 - \frac{r}{2} \left( \frac{3\lambda + 2}{\lambda + 1} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} - \frac{1}{2r} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \right) \right\} Q_2(\eta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_n \left( r^{n+3} - r^{-n} \right) + B_n \left( r^{n+1} - r^{-n} \right) + C_n \left( r^{2-n} - r^{-n} \right) \right\} Q_n(\eta).
$$
 (39)

Using a relation similar to Eq. [\(32\)](#page-13-1) between the leading order stream function in the inner zone  $\psi'_0$  and the flow field  $\mathbf{w}'_0$ , we find that  $\psi'_0$  is given by

$$
\psi_0' = -\frac{u_3}{2} \left[ \mathcal{R}r - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1}\right) \frac{1}{r} \right] Q_1(\eta). \tag{40}
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>In the matching zone  $r \gg 1$ , we have

$$
\psi_0' + \epsilon \psi_1' = -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2} u_3 r Q_1(\eta) + \epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} u_3^2 \frac{\mathcal{R}}{8} r^2 Q_2(\eta) + \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ A_n r^{n+3} + B_n r^{n+1} \right\} Q_n(\eta) + O(\epsilon r)
$$
\n(41)

Again using a relation similar to Eq. [\(32\)](#page-13-1), we connect the flow fields in the outer zone  $\mathcal{T}'_0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}'_1$  to the stream functions  $\Psi'_0$ ,  $\Psi'_1$ , in turn deriving the following expressions for the stream functions

$$
\Psi_0' = -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2} u_3 r Q_1(\eta), \Psi_1' = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{3} M_{33, rgsp} u_3 r^2 Q_1(\eta), \qquad (42)
$$

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\Rightarrow \Psi_0' + \epsilon \Psi_1' = \left(-\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2}u_3r + \epsilon \frac{\mathcal{R}}{3}M_{33,rgsp}u_3r^2\right)Q_1(\eta). \tag{43}
$$

We now match Eq. [\(41\)](#page-14-0) with Eq. [\(43\)](#page-14-1) in the matching zone  $r \gg 1$ . For this purpose, we require that  $\psi'_1$  does not grow faster than  $r^2$  and that the coefficient of  $r^2Q_1(\eta)$  is the same in these two equations. These conditions are satisfied provided

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
A_n = 0
$$
 for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $B_n = 0$  for  $n \ge 2$ , and  $B_1 = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{3} M_{33, rgsp} u_3$ . (44)

We cannot match the term  $\epsilon_{l}^{ls}$  $rac{l_s}{l_o}u_3^2\frac{\mathcal{R}}{8}$  $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{8}r^2Q_2(\eta)$  in  $\psi'_0 + \epsilon \psi'_1$  with any of the terms in  $\Psi'_0 + \epsilon \Psi'_1$ . This is expected as the term  $\frac{l_s}{l_o} u_3^2 \frac{\mathcal{R}}{8}$  $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{8}r^2Q_2(\eta)$  in  $\psi'_1$  represents a non-uniform flow which cannot be matched with the (only found) uniform flow part of the leading order flow (minus the Stokeslet) in the outer zone.

The solution of Eq. [\(33\)](#page-13-2) that ensures the stream function to be zero on the drop surface and along the axis of symmetry and which gives finite velocities at the drop center is

$$
\psi_{1,d} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n,d} \left( r^{n+3} - r^{n+1} \right) Q_n \left( \eta \right).
$$
 (45)

We now enforce the conditions [\(36\)](#page-13-4), [\(37\)](#page-13-5) on  $\psi'_1$ ,  $\psi_{1,d}$  to determine the remaining unknown constants  $C_n$ ,  $A_{n,d}$  whose expressions are given as

$$
C_1 = \frac{\mathcal{R}^2 u_3}{6} M_{33,rgsp}, \quad C_2 = -\frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2}{80} \frac{\mathcal{R}\lambda (5\lambda + 6)}{(\lambda + 1)^2},
$$

$$
A_{1,d} = \frac{\mathcal{R}u_3}{6} \frac{M_{33,rgsp}}{(\lambda + 1)}, \quad A_{2,d} = \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2}{80} \frac{\mathcal{R}(4\lambda + 5)}{(\lambda + 1)^2},
$$

$$
C_n = A_{n,d} = 0 \text{ for } n \ge 3.
$$
 (46)

<span id="page-15-0"></span>As the first order drag acting on the drop is given by [\[38\]](#page-29-0)  $4\pi C_1$   $\mathbf{e}_3 = -\frac{2\pi}{3} \mathcal{R}^2 u_3 M_{33,rgsp}$   $\mathbf{e}_3$ , this validates the drag determined in the previous section without calculating the flow field. Using Eqs. [\(44\)](#page-14-2), [\(46\)](#page-15-0), the expressions for the first order stream functions inside the drop and in the inner zone outside the drop are given as

$$
\psi_{1,d} = -\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2(\lambda+1)} \left\{ \frac{M_{33,rgsp}u_3}{3} \left( r^2 - r^4 \right) Q_1(\eta) + \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{(4\lambda+5)}{40(\lambda+1)} u_3^2 \left( r^3 - r^5 \right) Q_2(\eta) \right\}, \quad (47)
$$

$$
\psi_1' = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{3} M_{33, rgsp} u_3 \left\{ r^2 - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2} r + \frac{1}{2r} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \right) \right\} Q_1(\eta) + \frac{\mathcal{R} l_s}{8 l_o} u_3^2 \left\{ r^2 - \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2} r + \frac{1}{10} \frac{\lambda (5\lambda + 4)}{(\lambda + 1)^2} - \frac{1}{2r} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \right) + \frac{1}{10} \frac{\lambda (5\lambda + 6)}{(\lambda + 1)^2} \frac{1}{r^2} \right\} Q_2(\eta).
$$
\n
$$
(48)
$$

From these calculations, we notice that the stratification modifies the flow field close to the drop at  $O(\epsilon)$ , so the flow field close to the drop in a stratified fluid should be approximately same as that in a homogeneous fluid. We also infer that the stratification should alter the flow field far away from the drop in a significant manner because unlike the inner zone the influence of stratification is felt at leading order in the outer zone. By looking at the equations governing flow field in the outer zone Eqs.  $(19)-(21)$  $(19)-(21)$ , we see that this flow is essentially the flow due to a point force singularity placed in a stratified fluid which was already analyzed by Ardekani & Stocker [\[35](#page-28-13)] in the limit Pe  $\ll \epsilon$  or  $l_s/l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1}$ . Hence, the flow field far away from the drop in a stratified fluid should be a Stratlet. Most of these deductions are consistent with Fig. [3](#page-16-1) where we plotted the streamlines associated with the composite expansion of flow field accurate to  $O(\epsilon)$  for  $\text{Pe} \ll \epsilon$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\epsilon = 0.1$ . From Fig. [3b,](#page-16-2) we see that the flow field far away from the drop in a stratified fluid is not Stratlet. This is because, at these distances from the drop, the Stokeslet contribution of the first order inner flow field is significant enough to alter the expected Stratlet flow field. We expect to recover the Stratlet flow at even farther distances from the drop.

<span id="page-16-1"></span>

<span id="page-16-2"></span>FIG. 3: In the lab frame of reference, we compare the streamlines in a homogeneous fluid (red lines) with those in a stratified fluid (blue lines) both near the drop (a) and far away from it (b). The streamlines in a stratified fluid are determined from the composite expansion of flow field accurate to  $O(\epsilon)$  at Pe  $\ll \epsilon$ ,  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\epsilon = 0.1$ . For finding this composite expansion, we combined the flow fields in the inner zone and the outer zone in the usual manner [\[44\]](#page-29-6) where the flow in the outer zone is determined by doing an inverse Fourier transform of Eq. [\(23\)](#page-10-0) using the FFT package of MATLAB [\[45](#page-29-7)].

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>V. DROP DEFORMATION

In this section, we determine the leading order deformation of a drop rising in a stratified fluid. For this purpose, we consider the normal stress balance condition on the drop surface and solve for the deformation knowing the flow field inside and outside but close to the drop. The dimensionless normal stress boundary condition is given by

<span id="page-16-3"></span>
$$
\begin{Bmatrix}\n\left[\lambda p'_d - p' + 2\frac{\partial w'_r}{\partial r} - 2\lambda \frac{\partial w_{d,r}}{\partial r}\right]_{r=1} \\
+\frac{ga^2 \eta}{\nu u_c} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma a}{\rho_\infty} x_{d,3} - \alpha\right) - \frac{\epsilon^3}{2\frac{l_s}{l_o} \Pr} \eta^2\n\end{Bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}\right) = \frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left\{2 - 2\zeta - \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\left(1 - \eta^2\right) \frac{d\zeta}{d\eta}\right]\right\}.
$$
\n(49)

As the term  $\frac{\epsilon^3}{\sqrt{2}}$  $2\frac{ls}{l}$  $\frac{\epsilon^3}{l_s}$   $\frac{\eta^2}{l_o}$  is much smaller than the remaining terms on the left-hand side of Eq. [\(49\)](#page-16-3) and also since we are only interested in finding the leading order drop deformation, we neglect this term. Here  $x_{d,3} = \mathbf{x}_d \cdot \mathbf{e}_3$ ,  $\text{Ca} = \rho_{\infty} \nu u_c / \sigma$  is the Capillary number which is the ratio of bulk viscous forces to the capillary forces,  $\alpha = \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_{\text{tot}}}$  $\frac{\rho_d}{\rho_\infty}=\frac{\lambda}{\chi}$  $\frac{\lambda}{\chi}$  is the density ratio and we assumed the drop's shape to be  $r = 1 + \zeta(\eta)$ , max  $|\zeta| \ll 1$  in evaluating the curvature term.

We first evaluate the normal stress boundary condition accurate to  $O(1)$  to find the drop deformation accurate to  $O(Ga)$ . For this purpose, we simply substitute the leading order pressure fields inside the drop and in the inner zone outside the drop (see Eq. [\(50\)](#page-17-0)), the corresponding radial derivative of flow fields (see Eq. [\(51\)](#page-17-1)) into Eq. [\(49\)](#page-16-3) and simplify it to obtain Eq. [\(52\)](#page-17-2)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
p'_{0,d}|_{r=1} = -\frac{5}{(\lambda+1)}u_3\eta + c_{0,d}, \ \ p'_{0}|_{r=1} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3\lambda+2}{\lambda+1}\right)u_3\eta + c_0,\tag{50}
$$

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\left. \frac{\partial w_{0,d,r}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=1} = \left. \frac{\partial w'_{0,r}}{\partial r} \right|_{r=1} = -\frac{u_3 \eta}{(\lambda + 1)},\tag{51}
$$

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\eta \left[ \frac{ga^2}{\nu u_c} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma a}{\rho_\infty} x_{d,3} - \alpha \right) - \frac{3\mathcal{R}u_3}{2} \right] + \Pi_0 = \frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left\{ 2 - 2\zeta - \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ \left( 1 - \eta^2 \right) \frac{d\zeta}{d\eta} \right] \right\}. \tag{52}
$$

Here  $c_0$ ,  $c_{0,d}$ , and  $\Pi_0 = \lambda c_{0,d} - c_0$  are constants.

As the drop is undergoing quasi-steady motion, the net force acting on it should be zero. The drop experiences three kinds of forces – buoyancy, drag and its own weight. The buoyancy can be found by integrating the hydrostatic pressure acting on the drop i.e.,  $-\int_{r=1}^{\infty} p_0 \mathbf{n} dS = \left(1 - \frac{\gamma a}{\rho_{\infty}}\right)$  $\left(\frac{\gamma a}{\rho_{\infty}}x_{d,3}\right)\frac{ga^2}{\nu u_c}$  $\frac{g a^2}{\nu u_c} \int_{r=1} r_3 \mathbf{n} dS - \frac{\epsilon^3}{2 \ln r}$  $2\frac{ls}{l}$  $\frac{\epsilon^3}{l_s \text{ Pr}} \int_{r=1}^{\infty} r_3^2 \mathbf{n} dS = \frac{4\pi}{3}$  $\frac{4\pi}{3}\left(1-\frac{\gamma a}{\rho_{\infty}}\right)$  $\left(\frac{\gamma a}{\rho_{\infty}}x_{d,3}\right)\frac{ga^2}{\nu u_c}$  $\frac{ga^2}{vu_c}$ **e**<sub>3</sub>, where the last simplification is done using  $\int_{r=1}^{1} r_3 \mathbf{n} dS = \frac{4\pi}{3}$  $\frac{4\pi}{3}$ **e**<sub>3</sub> and  $\int_{r=1}^{1} r_3^2 \mathbf{n} dS = \mathbf{0}$ . Using this expression for buoyancy, Eq. [\(29\)](#page-11-0) for drag and usual expression for drop's weight, the force balance condition simplifies to

<span id="page-17-3"></span>
$$
\frac{ga^2}{\nu u_c} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma a}{\rho_\infty} x_{d,3} - \alpha \right) - \frac{3\mathcal{R}u_3}{2} = \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{2} M_{33, rgsp} u_3. \tag{53}
$$

This condition is accurate to  $O(\epsilon)$  and we can simply set the right-hand side to zero to find the force balance condition at  $O(1)$ .

We now simplify the leading order normal stress boundary condition, Eq. [\(52\)](#page-17-2) using the force balance condition at  $O(1)$  to obtain

$$
\Pi_0 = \frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left\{ 2 - 2\zeta - \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ \left( 1 - \eta^2 \right) \frac{d\zeta}{d\eta} \right] \right\}. \tag{54}
$$

Enforcing the constraints that the drop's volume does not change  $\int_{-1}^{1} \zeta(\eta) d\eta = 0$  and its center of mass remains fixed  $\int_{-1}^{1} \eta \zeta(\eta) d\eta = 0$  during the process of deformation, we find that the deformation is zero i.e.,  $\zeta = 0$  while  $\Pi_0 = 2/Ca$ . This is not surprising because at  $O(1)$ , the stratification and inertia do not affect the flow and we have a drop rising in a homogeneous fluid at zero Re which was shown to not deform [\[37,](#page-28-15) [38\]](#page-29-0).

To determine the effect of inertia and stratification on drop deformation, we evaluate the normal stress boundary condition accurate to  $O(\epsilon)$ . To do so, we substitute Eqs. [\(50\)](#page-17-0), [\(51\)](#page-17-1),  $(55)-(57)$  $(55)-(57)$  $(55)-(57)$  in Eq.  $(49)$  and simplify it to obtain Eq.  $(58)$ 

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
p'_{1,d}|_{r=1} = \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{\alpha u_3^2}{24(\lambda+1)^2 \lambda} + c_{1,d} - \frac{5(3\lambda+2) M_{33,rgsp} u_3}{3(\lambda+1)^2} P_1(\eta) + \frac{l_s u_3^2(-252\lambda^3+20\lambda\alpha-483\lambda^2+20\alpha-210\lambda)}{240\lambda(\lambda+1)^3} P_2(\eta) ,
$$
(55)

$$
p'_{1}|_{r=1} = -\frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{(\lambda^2 + 2\lambda + \frac{4}{3}) u_3^2}{16(\lambda + 1)^2} + c_1 + \frac{(3\lambda + 2)^2 M_{33,rgsp} u_3}{6(\lambda + 1)^2} P_1(\eta) + \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2 (135\lambda^3 + 333\lambda^2 + 272\lambda + 80)}{240(\lambda + 1)^3} P_2(\eta) ,
$$
(56)

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial w_{1,d,r}}{\partial r}\bigg|_{r=1} = \frac{\partial w'_{1,r}}{\partial r}\bigg|_{r=1} = -\frac{(3\lambda+2)M_{33,rgsp}u_3}{3(\lambda+1)^2}P_1(\eta) - \frac{l_s(3\lambda+2)(4\lambda+5)u_3^2}{40(\lambda+1)^3}P_2(\eta),\tag{57}
$$

<span id="page-18-2"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\eta \left[ \frac{ga^2}{\nu u_c} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma a}{\rho_{\infty}} x_{d,3} - \alpha \right) - \frac{3\mathcal{R}u_3}{2} - \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{R}^2}{2} M_{33,rgsp} u_3 \right] \\
+ \Pi_0 + \epsilon \left[ \Pi_1 + \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2}{48} \frac{(3\lambda^2 + 2\alpha + 6\lambda + 4)}{(\lambda + 1)^2} \right] \\
+ \epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2}{240} \frac{\left( -243\lambda^3 + 20\alpha\lambda - 684\lambda^2 \right)}{(\lambda + 1)^3} P_2(\eta)\n\end{cases} = \frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left\{ 2 - 2\zeta - \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ (1 - \eta^2) \frac{d\zeta}{d\eta} \right] \right\}.\n\tag{58}
$$

Here  $c_1$ ,  $c_{1,d}$ , and  $\Pi_1 = \lambda c_{1,d} - c_1$  are constants. We note that only the first term on the left-hand side of Eq. [\(58\)](#page-18-2) that is proportional to  $\eta$  contains the information of stratification through this term's dependence on  $M_{33,rgsp}$ . But this term is identically zero due to the force balance condition accurate to  $O(\epsilon)$ , Eq. [\(53\)](#page-17-3), making the drop deformation independent of stratification. Using  $\Pi_0 = 2/Ca$  and the force balance condition accurate to  $O(\epsilon)$ , Eq. [\(58\)](#page-18-2) simplifies to

$$
\begin{cases}\n\epsilon \left[ \Pi_1 + \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2}{48} \frac{(3\lambda^2 + 2\alpha + 6\lambda + 4)}{(\lambda + 1)^2} \right] \\
+ \epsilon \frac{l_s}{l_o} \frac{u_3^2}{240} \frac{\left( -243\lambda^3 + 20\alpha\lambda - 684\lambda^2 \right)}{(\lambda + 1)^3} P_2(\eta)\n\end{cases}\n= -\frac{1}{\text{Ca}} \left\{ 2\zeta + \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ (1 - \eta^2) \frac{d\zeta}{d\eta} \right] \right\}.\n\tag{59}
$$

We solve this equation for the drop deformation by making sure the drop's volume and its center of mass remain fixed during the process of deformation to obtain

$$
\zeta(\eta) = \frac{\text{We } u_3^2 \left(-243\lambda^3 + 20\alpha\lambda - 684\lambda^2 + 20\alpha - 638\lambda - 200\right)}{\left(\lambda + 1\right)^3} P_2(\eta) ,\tag{60}
$$

where the Weber number  $We = Re Ca$ . We note that this is exactly the deformation of a drop rising in a homogeneous fluid at small Re [\[37](#page-28-15), [38\]](#page-29-0), in which case, the drop deforms into an oblate spheroid. Hence, the stratification does not affect the drop's deformation to this order of approximation.

To understand this observation, let us see how inertia or stratification modifies the flow field in the inner zone. Let us first consider the case of zero inertia. The effect of stratification is to induce a uniform flow far away from drop, due to which at  $O(\epsilon)$ , we have a stationary drop placed in a homogeneous fluid that is undergoing uniform streaming flow far away from the drop. As this problem is same as the drop moving in a homogeneous quiescent fluid at zero Re, with change of reference frames, we expect the drop to not deform. Now, if we include inertia, it has two effects. It modifies the strength of uniform flow far away from the drop which again does not cause any drop deformation. It also induces a non-uniform flow everywhere in the domain due to the particular integral of Eq. [\(34\)](#page-13-3) and this is the sole cause of drop deformation.

The leading order effect of deformation on the drag can be found by simply considering the deformed drop in a creeping flow of a homogeneous fluid [\[37\]](#page-28-15). As the stratification does not cause any deformation of the drop, this deformation induced change in the drag is same as that found for a drop motion in a homogeneous fluid at small Re [\[37\]](#page-28-15). Briefly, this modification in the drag is  $O(We)$  and since  $We = \left(\frac{\rho_{\infty} \nu^2}{a\sigma}\right) Re^2 \sim O(\epsilon^2)$ , we conclude that the deformation affects the drag at  $O(\epsilon^2)$ . But we do not include this deformation induced drag in Eq. [\(29\)](#page-11-0) because for consistent asymptotic expansion of drag accurate to  $O(\epsilon^2)$ , we

also need to find  $O(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon)$  drag whose calculation is beyond the scope of this work. We expect a non-zero drag at  $O(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon)$  based on the calculations for the motion of a drop in a homogeneous fluid at small Re [\[37,](#page-28-15) [46\]](#page-29-8)

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>VI. DRIFT VOLUME

In this section, we calculate the partial drift volume associated with a drop rising in a stratified fluid. Drift volume is the volume enclosed between an initially marked plane of fluid of infinite extent and the deformed plane as the drop travels normal to the initial plane of fluid starting far ahead to far beyond the plane of fluid. When both the extent of marked plane of fluid and the distance travelled by the drop relative to the plane of fluid are finite, the volume encapsulated between the initial and the deformed planes of fluid is referred to as the partial drift volume  $D_p$  [\[26](#page-28-4), [29](#page-28-7)] (see Fig. [4](#page-21-0) for a schematic).

To make our notation consistent with earlier works on drift volume, we non-dimensionalize velocity using  $|u_3|$ , time using  $a/|u_3|$  and assume  $u_3 > 0$ . All the variables that appear in this section are non-dimensionalized in this manner. In the present notation, any field variable can be found by simply setting  $u_3 = 1$  in the expression of that field variable derived in the previous sections. The marked plane of fluid is a disk of finite radius and zero thickness. In the lab frame, we choose the center of disk as the origin and denote the cylindrical coordinate variables about this origin by  $X$ ,  $x_3$ . In the frame moving with the drop, we choose the center of drop as the origin and denote the cylindrical coordinate variables about this origin by R,  $r_3$ . At time  $t = 0$ , the marked disk of fluid is located at a distance  $-x_{d,3}$  upstream of the drop. Hence,  $r_3 = x_3 - (x_{d,3} + t)$  and  $R = X$ . As far as the flow field outside the drop is concerned, the disturbance flow in the drop frame and the flow field in the lab frame are the same. We used  $\psi'$  to denote the stream function associated with this flow. We denote the stream function associated with the flow field in the drop frame by  $\psi$ . Note that  $\psi$ approaches  $-R^2/2$  far from the drop and  $\psi' = \psi + \frac{X^2}{2}$  $\frac{\chi^2}{2}$ . The intersection of the streamline  $\psi = -h^2/2$  with the plane  $x_3 = 0$  gives the extent of marked fluid disk. We denote the point of intersection by  $(x_3, X) = (0, X_*(t))$  and the stream function at this point by  $\psi'_*(t)$ .

In the lab frame, we apply the conservation of mass to the control volume  $(CV) OABCD$ 

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{CV} \rho \, dV + \int_{CS} \rho \, \mathbf{w}' \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = 0,\tag{61}
$$

<span id="page-21-0"></span>

FIG. 4: Schematic showing the definition of the partial drift volume  $D_p$  and the coordinate systems involved. In the drop frame, at time  $t = 0$ , the marked fluid disk (shown by blue straight line) is located at a distance  $-x_{d,3}$  from the drop. At time  $t = t$ , the marked fluid

plane deforms (shown by blue curved line) as it crosses the drop. The area enclosed between the deformed and undeformed marked fluid planes (multiplied by  $\pi$ ) gives the partial drift volume  $D_p$  at time t (shown by light blue region).

where  $CS$  denotes the control surface bounding the  $CV$ . We choose the surfaces  $AB$ ,  $BC$ , and CD as the material surfaces and since  $OA$  is a streamsurface,  $\mathbf{w}' \cdot \mathbf{n}$  is non-zero only along the surface OD. Hence, the conservation of mass simplifies to

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{CV} \rho \, dV + \int_{OD} \rho \, \mathbf{w}' \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = 0. \tag{62}
$$

In the context of Boussinesq approximation,  $\rho$  can be treated as constant in the conservation of mass. So, after integration with respect to time and expressing velocity in terms of stream function, we have

$$
D_{p} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{t} \psi_{*}'(t') dt' - [V_{b}(t) - V_{b}(0)].
$$
\n(63)

This expression for  $D_p$  is the same as that found in a homogeneous fluid [\[26\]](#page-28-4) and it says that

the partial drift volume at time  $t$  is equal to the volume of fluid that has passed through the plane  $x_3 = 0$  bounded by the streamline  $\psi = -h^2/2$  by time t. Here  $V_b(t)$  denotes the volume of drop that has crossed the plane  $x_3 = 0$  by time t. Assuming the extent of marked fluid disk is large compared to the drop, we neglect the deflection of streamlines and set  $X_* = h$ , hence  $\psi'_*(t) = \psi'(x_3 = 0, X = h, t) = \psi'(r_3 = -(x_{d,3} + t), R = h, t)$ . Defining  $\tau = (x_{d,3} + t) / h$  and  $\tau_0 = x_{d,3}/h$ , we obtain

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
D_{p} = 2\pi h \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} \psi'_{*}(\tau') d\tau' - [V_{b}(\tau) - V_{b}(\tau_{0})]. \qquad (64)
$$

As  $D_p$  is at least  $O(h)$ , we neglect the  $O(1)$  terms in the brackets. Also, as the partial drift volume depends on the stream function far from the drop  $\psi'_*(t)$ , we simply use the stream function in the outer zone to evaluate  $D_p$ . We can show that  $D_p$  is  $O(h^2)$  and the error in using the flow field in the outer zone to evaluate  $D_p$  is  $O(h)$  and hence negligible compared to  $D_p$ .

Other than  $\tau$  and  $\tau_0$ ,  $D_p/(\mathcal{R}h^2)$  depends only on  $\xi_h = \xi h$  for the values of  $l_s/l_o$  given below

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
\xi = \begin{cases}\n\epsilon & \text{for } l_s / l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1} \\
\text{Ri}^{1/3} & \text{for } \text{Pr}^{-1} \ll l_s / l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1/4} \\
\text{Re} & \text{for } l_s / l_o \gg \text{Pr}^{-1/4}.\n\end{cases}\n\tag{65}
$$

 $Re_h$  is the ratio of marked disk radius  $(h)$  to the distance from the sphere at which inertia forces balance viscous forces. On the other hand,  $\epsilon_h$  (resp.  $\text{Ri}_h^{1/3}$ ) is the ratio of marked disk radius to the distance from the sphere at which buoyancy forces balance the viscous forces for low values of Pe (resp. for high values of Pe).

To simplify Eq. [\(64\)](#page-22-0) for the partial drift volume, we restrict our attention to one of the regimes mentioned in Eq. [\(65\)](#page-22-1). In this case, we can rescale the variables in the outer zone as  $\bar{r} = \xi r$ ,  $\mathbf{w}' = \xi \bar{\mathbf{w}}'$ ,  $p' = \xi^2 \bar{p}'$ ,  $\bar{\psi}' = \xi \psi'$  and solve the Eqs. [\(19\)](#page-9-2)-[\(21\)](#page-9-3) in Fourier space. Unlike the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms mentioned in Sec. [III,](#page-9-0) in this section, the Fourier transforms involve rescaled coordinate variables, i.e.,

$$
\hat{\bar{\psi}}'(\mathbf{k}) = \int d\mathbf{\bar{r}} \,\bar{\psi}'(\mathbf{\bar{r}}) \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{\bar{r}}} \text{ and } \bar{\psi}'(\mathbf{\bar{r}}) = \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \,\hat{\bar{\psi}}'(\mathbf{k}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{\bar{r}}}.\tag{66}
$$

After finding the Fourier transform of  $\bar{\mathbf{w}}'$ , namely  $\hat{\bar{\mathbf{w}}}'$ , we can calculate  $\hat{\bar{\psi}}'$  using

$$
\hat{\bar{\psi}}'(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{k_3} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\bar{w}}_1'}{\partial k_1} + \frac{\partial \hat{\bar{w}}_2'}{\partial k_2} \right). \tag{67}
$$

Rewriting the inverse Fourier transform in cylindrical coordinates and integrating along the azimuthal direction, we obtain

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\psi'_{*}(t) = \psi'(r_{3} = -(x_{d,3} + t), R = h, t)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{4\pi^{2}\xi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk_{r} \hat{\psi}'(k_{r}, k_{3}) e^{-ik_{3}\xi(x_{d,3} + t)} k_{r} J_{0}(\xi h k_{r}) dk_{r} dk_{3}.
$$
 (68)

Here,  $k_r = \sqrt{k_1^2 + k_2^2}$ ,  $J_0$  is the Bessel function of first kind and zeroth order, and a factor of  $1/\xi$  appears due to the relation  $\psi' = \bar{\psi}'/\xi$ . Rewriting Eq. [\(68\)](#page-23-0) in terms of  $\tau$ , substituting for  $\psi'_*(\tau')$  in Eq. [\(64\)](#page-22-0), changing the order of integration and integrating first with respect to  $\tau'$ , we obtain the expression for  $D_p$  as

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
D_p = -\frac{ih^2}{2\pi\xi_h^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_3 \int_{0}^{\infty} dk_r \,\hat{\bar{\psi}}'(k_r, k_3) J_0(\xi_h k_r) \,\frac{\left(e^{-i\xi_h k_3 \tau_0} - e^{-i\xi_h k_3 \tau}\right)}{k_3}.\tag{69}
$$

We can compute this double integral using integral2 command in MATLAB.

The partial drift volume formula (Eq. [\(69\)](#page-23-1)) is valid in any of the three regimes mentioned in Eq. [\(65\)](#page-22-1). Chisholm & Khair [\[26\]](#page-28-4) already calculated the drift volume for the inertia dominant regime  $(l_s/l_o \gg Pr^{-1/4})$ . As the flow field characteristics in the diffusion dominant regime  $(l_s/l_o \ll \text{Pr}^{-1})$  were already reported by Ardekani & Stocker [\[35](#page-28-13)], we restrict the drift volume calculation to this regime only. In this case  $\hat{\psi}'(k_r, k_3)$  is given by

$$
\hat{\psi}' = \frac{4\pi \mathcal{R} \left(k_3^8 + 2k_3^6 k_r^2 - 2k_3^2 k_r^6 - k_r^8 + k_r^4\right)}{\left(k_3^6 + 3k_3^4 k_r^2 + 3k_3^2 k_r^4 + k_r^6 + k_r^2\right)^2}.
$$
\n(70)

We plot the variation of  $D_p$  with  $\tau$  and  $\epsilon_h$  at  $\tau_0 = -10$  in Fig. [5a.](#page-24-0) For a fixed value of h, an increase in  $\epsilon_h$  is equivalent to an increase in  $\epsilon$  or the stratification. This increases the tendency of the perturbed isopycnals (due to the passage of drop) to return to their unperturbed level which reduces the drift volume. As  $\hat{\psi}'(k_r, k_3)$  is even in  $k_3$ , the flow field is fore-aft symmetric. Due to this, the upstream drift volume is equal to the downstream drift volume, i.e.,  $D_p(\tau, \tau_0 = 0, h) = -D_p(\tau = -\tau, \tau_0 = 0, h)$ . The fore-aft symmetry of the flow can also be seen from the flow field plots (see Fig. [3](#page-16-1) and also Fig. 1b in Ref. [\[35\]](#page-28-13)). Also, as  $D_p$  is  $O(h^2)$  or at least  $O(h) \gg 1$ , the drop drags a huge volume of fluid in comparison to its own volume as it rises in a stratified fluid.

We note that the flow field due to a point force (far-feild representation of a drop) in a stratified fluid is qualitatively similar to the flow due to a point force in a homogeneous

<span id="page-24-0"></span>

<span id="page-24-1"></span>FIG. 5: (a) The variation of  $D_p/({\cal R}h^2)$  with  $\tau$  for  $\tau_0 = -10$  and  $2\epsilon_h = 0, 0.1, 1, 8$ . (b) In the limit  $\tau_0 \to -\infty$  and  $\tau \to \infty$ , the variation of  $D_p/(\mathcal{R}h^2)$  with  $\epsilon_h$ . Also shown is a fit to the data for  $\epsilon_h \leq 1$ .

fluid but bounded by walls [\[35\]](#page-28-13). As the drift volume associated with a sphere moving in a homogeneous fluid near wall is reported to achieve a constant value, we expect the drift volume associated with a drop rising in a stratified fluid to also achieve a constant value that is function of  $\epsilon_h$ . We plot the drift volume or the asymptotic value of  $D_p$  in the limit  $\tau_0 \to -\infty$  and  $\tau \to \infty$  in Fig. [5b](#page-24-1) as a function of  $\epsilon_h$ . Here, we see that for  $\epsilon_h \leq 1$ , the drift volume is proportional to  $\ln(\epsilon_h)$ .

The time partial drift volume takes to attain the constant asymptotic value is inversely proportional to  $\epsilon_h$ . In the limit  $\epsilon_h \to 0$ , the partial drift volume takes infinite time to achieve this asymptotic value or such constant drift volume is never achieved. This makes sense, as in this limit, the inner region approaches infinity, so the entire fluid is homogeneous in which case the partial drift volume diverges with time. We note that in the limit  $\epsilon_h \to 0$ , we can treat the fluid in the inner zone as homogeneous as far as the partial drift volume calculation is concerned because the first order flow in inner zone does not contribute to the leading order partial drift volume.

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>VII. CONCLUSIONS

For a drop rising in a linearly density stratified fluid, we calculated the drag, deformation and drift volume associated with the drop, and the flow field surrounding the drop. We assumed the drop has a constant density and uniform interfacial tension. Our calculation of drag, deformation and flow field are valid when the inertia and stratification effects are small (but not negligible), the kinematic viscosity ratio  $\chi \geq 1$ , and for arbitrary values of the Prandtl number Pr and the dynamic viscosity ratio  $\lambda$ . For the Reynolds number  $\text{Re} \approx 0.1$ , this corresponds to the Froude number  $\text{Fr} \gg 0.08$  for the temperature stratified air, Fr  $\gg 0.26$  for the temperature stratified water and Fr  $\gg 2.64$  for the salt stratified water. The drift volume calculation, on the other hand, is valid for small stratification and advective transport rate of density, and negligible inertia.

The combined influence of stratification and inertia is to increase the drag and this drag enhancement on the drop is equal to  $\left(\frac{3\lambda+2}{3(\lambda+1)}\right)^2$  times the drag enhancement on a rigid sphere. The leading order effect of stratification is to induce a uniform flow far away from the drop which does not cause any drop deformation. This leading order effect of stratification holds even for a sedimenting particle of arbitrary shape due to which the stratification does not generate any hydrodynamic torque on a non-skew particle. In a stratified fluid, the return of perturbed isopycnals to their unperturbed level causes a reflux of fluid which reduces the partial drift volume associated with the drop. This in turn makes the drop to induce a finite drift volume (yet large compared to drop's volume) in a stratified fluid unlike an infinite drift volume induced in a homogeneous fluid. Our study is the first theoretical calculation of drift volume induced by objects (drop or rigid sphere) in a density stratified fluid and this calculation is valuable in the context of the ongoing debate in the literature on biogenic mixing in the oceans.

Prior to our calculation, it was known that a rising drop in a homogeneous fluid at zero inertia is the only situation in which the drop does not exhibit any deformation [\[38\]](#page-29-0). In all other problems concerned with drop motion, the drop deforms. Through our calculation, we discovered an additional scenario in which the drop does not deform–a rising drop in a density stratified fluid at zero inertia. It is then interesting to find out if a drop of arbitrary shape would evolve to a spherical shape after long time. This problem is essentially identifying the stability of spherical shape, for a drop rising in a density stratified fluid at zero inertia, for both infinitesimal [\[47\]](#page-29-9) and finite [\[48\]](#page-29-10) perturbations from the sphere.

In the event of oil spill, surfactants are usually added to breakdown the heavier oil components into small drops. These drops are always surrounded by marine microbes as they serve as nutrient sources to the microbes. To understand the bioremediation of oil spill by marine microbes, in an earlier work, we have solved this problem without considering the effects of density stratification by modeling the microbe as a force-dipole and using the method of images to study the hydrodynamic interaction between drops and nearby microbes [\[49](#page-29-11)[–52\]](#page-29-12). We can now borrow the ideas from the present work to understand how density stratification modifies interaction of microbes with drops rising in density stratified oceans.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is partially supported by the National Science Foundation grants NSF CBET-1604423 and NSF CBET-1705371.

- <span id="page-26-0"></span>[1] D. F. Hill, A. M. Vergara, and E. J. Parra, "Destratification by Mechanical Mixers: Mixing Efficiency and Flow Scaling," [Journal of Hydraulic Engineering](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2008)134:12(1772)) 134, 1772–1777 (2008).
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>[2] M. Blumer, H. L. Sanders, J. F. Grassle, and G. R. Hampson, "An Ocean of Oil: A Small Oil Spill," [Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00139157.1971.9930568) 13, 2–12 (1971).
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[3] A. M. Ardekani, A. Doostmohammadi, and N. Desai, "Transport of particles, drops, and small organisms in density stratified fluids," [Physical Review Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.100503) 2, 100503 (2017).
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[4] J. Magnaudet and M. J. Mercier, "Particles, Drops, and Bubbles Moving Across Sharp Interfaces and Stratified Layers," [Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-010719-060139) 52, 61–91 (2020).
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[5] A. N. Srdic-Mitrovic, N. A. Mohamed, and H. J. S. Fernando, "Gravitational settling of particles through density interfaces," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112098003590) 381, 175–198 (1999).
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[6] N. Abaid, D. Adalsteinsson, A. Agyapong, and R. M. McLaughlin, "An internal splash: Levitation of falling spheres in stratified fluids," Physics of Fluids 16[, 1567–1580 \(2004\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1687685)
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[7] R. Camassa, C. Falcon, J. Lin, R. M. McLaughlin, and R. Parker, "Prolonged residence times for particles settling through stratified miscible fluids in the Stokes regime,"

[Physics of Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.3094922) 21, 031702 (2009).

- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[8] R. Camassa, C. Falcon, J. Lin, R. M. McLaughlin, and N. Mykins, "A first-principle predictive theory for a sphere falling through sharply stratified fluid at low Reynolds number," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112010003800) 664, 436–465 (2010).
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[9] C. R. Torres, J. Ochoa, J. E. Castillo, and H. Hanazaki, "Numerical simulation of flow past a sphere in vertical motion within a stratified fluid," [Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0377-0427(98)00241-6) 103, 67–76 (1999).
- [10] C. R. Torres, H. Hanazaki, J. Ochoa, J. Castillo, and M. Van Woert, "Flow past a sphere moving vertically in a stratified diffusive fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112000001002) 417, 211–236 (2000).
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[11] H. Hanazaki, K. Konishi, and T. Okamura, "Schmidt-number effects on the flow past a sphere moving vertically in a stratified diffusive fluid," [Physics of Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3075953) 21, 026602 (2009).
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[12] A. Doostmohammadi, S. Dabiri, and A. M. Ardekani, "A numerical study of the dynamics of a particle settling at moderate Reynolds numbers in a linearly stratified fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2014.243) 750, 5–32 (2014).
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[13] A. Doostmohammadi and A. M. Ardekani, "Suspension of solid particles in a density stratified fluid," [Physics of Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4907875) 27, 023302 (2015).
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[14] H. Hanazaki, K. Kashimoto, and T. Okamura, "Jets generated by a sphere moving vertically in a stratified fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112009990498) 638, 173–197 (2009).
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[15] K. Y. Yick, C. R. Torres, T. Peacock, and R. Stocker, "Enhanced drag of a sphere settling in a stratified fluid at small Reynolds numbers," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112009007332) 632, 49–68 (2009).
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[16] J. Zhang, M. J. Mercier, and J. Magnaudet, "Core mechanisms of drag enhancement on bodies settling in a stratified fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/jfm.2019.524) 875, 622–656 (2019).
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[17] Y. Zvirin and R. S. Chadwick, "Settling of an axially symmetric body in a viscous stratified fluid," [International Journal of Multiphase Flow](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/0301-9322(75)90033-6) 1, 743–752 (1975).
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>[18] F. Candelier, R. Mehaddi, and O. Vauquelin, "The history force on a small particle in a linearly stratified fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/jfm.2014.219) **749**, 184–200 (2014).
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[19] R. Mehaddi, F. Candelier, and B. Mehlig, "Inertial drag on a sphere settling in a stratified fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2018.661) 855, 1074–1087 (2018).
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>[20] I. Proudman and J. R. A. Pearson, "Expansions at small Reynolds numbers for the flow past a sphere and a circular cylinder," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112057000105) 2, 237–262 (1957).
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>[21] F. Blanchette and A. M. Shapiro, "Drops settling in sharp stratification with and without

Marangoni effects," [Physics of Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4704790) 24, 042104 (2012).

- <span id="page-28-0"></span>[22] D. W. Martin and F. Blanchette, "Simulations of surfactant-laden drops rising in a densitystratified medium," [Physical Review Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.023602) 2, 023602 (2017).
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>[23] M. Bayareh, A. Doostmohammadi, S. Dabiri, and A. M. Ardekani, "On the rising motion of a drop in stratified fluids," [Physics of Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.4823724) 25, 103302 (2013).
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>[24] M. Bayareh, S. Dabiri, and A.M. Ardekani, "Interaction between two drops ascending in a linearly stratified fluid," [European Journal of Mechanics - B/Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.euromechflu.2016.07.002) **60**, 127–136 (2016).
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>[25] S. Dabiri, A. Doostmohammadi, M. Bayareh, and A.M. Ardekani, "Rising motion of a swarm of drops in a linearly stratified fluid," [International Journal of Multiphase Flow](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2014.10.010) 69, 8–17 (2015).
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>[26] N. G. Chisholm and A. S. Khair, "Drift volume in viscous flows," [Physical Review Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.064101) 2, 064101 (2017).
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>[27] N. G. Chisholm and A. S. Khair, "Partial drift volume due to a self-propelled swimmer," [Physical Review Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.3.014501) 3, 014501 (2018).
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>[28] C. Darwin, "Note on hydrodynamics," [Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100028449) 49,
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>[29] I. Eames, D. Gobby, and S. B. Dalziel, "Fluid displacement by Stokes flow past a spherical droplet," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112003004361) 485, 67–85 (2003).
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>[30] K. Katija and J. O. Dabiri, "A viscosity-enhanced mechanism for biogenic ocean mixing," Nature 460[, 624–626 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/nature08207)
- <span id="page-28-10"></span><span id="page-28-9"></span>[31] K. Katija, "Biogenic inputs to ocean mixing," [Journal of Experimental Biology](http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/jeb.059279) 215, 1040–1049 (2012).
- [32] S. Wang and A. M. Ardekani, "Biogenic mixing induced by intermediate Reynolds number swimming in stratified fluids," [Scientific Reports](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep17448) 5, 17448 (2015).
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>[33] A. M. Leshansky and L. M. Pismen, "Do small swimmers mix the ocean?" [Physical Review E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.82.025301) 82, 025301 (2010).
- <span id="page-28-12"></span>[34] G. Subramanian, "Viscosity-enhanced bio-mixing of the oceans," Current Science 98[, 1103–1108 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307)
- <span id="page-28-13"></span>[35] A. M. Ardekani and R. Stocker, "Stratlets: Low Reynolds Number Point-Force Solutions in a Stratified Fluid," [Physical Review Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.084502) 105, 084502 (2010).
- <span id="page-28-14"></span>[36] E. Guazzelli, J. F. Morris, and S. Pic, [A Physical Introduction to Suspension Dynamics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511894671) (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011).
- <span id="page-28-15"></span>[37] T. D. Taylor and A. Acrivos, "On the deformation and drag of a falling viscous drop at low

Reynolds number," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0022112064000349) 18, 466 (1964).

- <span id="page-29-1"></span><span id="page-29-0"></span>[38] L. G. Leal, [Advanced Transport Phenomena](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511800245) (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- [39] S. Childress, "The slow motion of a sphere in a rotating, viscous fluid," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112064001227) 20, 305–314 (1964).
- <span id="page-29-2"></span>[40] P. G. Saffman, "The lift on a small sphere in a slow shear flow," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1017/S0022112065000824) 22, 385–400 (1965).
- <span id="page-29-3"></span>[41] M. J. Lighthill, [Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Generalised Functions](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139171427) (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1958).
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>[42] F. Candelier, R. Mehaddi, and O. Vauquelin, "Note on the method of matched-asymptotic expansions for determining the force acting on a particle," [\(2013\), arXiv:1307.6314.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.6314)
- <span id="page-29-5"></span>[43] D. Legendre and J. Magnaudet, "A note on the lift force on a spherical bubble or drop in a low-Reynolds-number shear flow," Physics of Fluids 9[, 3572–3574 \(1997\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.869466)
- <span id="page-29-7"></span><span id="page-29-6"></span>[44] A. H. Nayfeh, Introduction to Perturbation Techniques (John Wiley & Sons, 2011).
- <span id="page-29-8"></span>[45] D. G. Voelz, [Computational Fourier Optics: A MATLAB Tutorial](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/3.858456) (SPIE, 2011).
- [46] H. Brenner and R. G. Cox, "The resistance to a particle of arbitrary shape in translational motion at small Reynolds numbers," [Journal of Fluid Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002211206300152X) 17, 561–595 (1963).
- <span id="page-29-9"></span>[47] M. Kojima, E. J. Hinch, and A. Acrivos, "The formation and expansion of a toroidal drop moving in a viscous fluid," [Physics of Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.864511) 27, 19 (1984).
- <span id="page-29-10"></span>[48] C. J. Koh and L. G. Leal, "The stability of drop shapes for translation at zero Reynolds number through a quiescent fluid," [Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.857359) 1, 1309–1313 (1989).
- <span id="page-29-11"></span>[49] V. A. Shaik and A. M. Ardekani, "Point force singularities outside a drop covered with an incompressible surfactant: Image systems and their applications," [Physical Review Fluids](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.113606) 2, 113606 (2017).
- [50] N. Desai and A. M. Ardekani, "Combined influence of hydrodynamics and chemotaxis in the distribution of microorganisms around spherical nutrient sources," [Physical Review E](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevE.98.012419) 98, 012419 (2018).
- [51] N. Desai, V. A. Shaik, and A. M. Ardekani, "Hydrodynamics-mediated trapping of microswimmers near drops," Soft Matter 14[, 264–278 \(2018\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1039/C7SM01615H)
- <span id="page-29-12"></span>[52] N. Desai, V. A. Shaik, and A. M. Ardekani, "Hydrodynamic Interaction Enhances Colonization of Sinking Nutrient Sources by Motile Microorganisms," [Frontiers in Microbiology](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00289) 10, 289 (2019).