

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Numerical investigation of the coupling of vibrational nonequilibrium and turbulent mixing using state-specific description

Romain Fiévet, Stephen Voelkel, Venkat Raman, and Philip L. Varghese Phys. Rev. Fluids **4**, 013401 — Published 8 January 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.4.013401

# Numerical investigation of the coupling of vibrational nonequilibrium and turbulent mixing using state-specific description

Romain Fiévet<sup>\*</sup> and Venkat Raman Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan

> Stephen Voelkel Los Alamos National Laboratory

Philip L. Varghese Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin (Dated: December 3, 2018)

In flows where the relaxation rate of molecular vibrational energy to equilibrium is comparable to the flow through time scales, the presence of turbulence can alter the mixing and equilibration processes. To understand the coupling between mixing and vibrational relaxation, a novel statespecific species model is solved in a background turbulent flow. The method is applied to mixing of two nitrogen streams at different static temperatures. The relaxation rates for each state are computed using quasi-classical trajectory analysis. The rates obtained from this study were used to first study relaxation to equilibrium in a constant volume bath. Results indicate that the thermal relaxation process is not linear over the range of conditions tested, and exhibits quasi-steady behavior with the higher energy levels relaxing first, followed by a slower relaxation of the lower energy levels. The state-specific model is then used to study the interaction of turbulent mixing and relaxation process in a turbulent mixing layer of two nitrogen streams at different static temperatures. The direct numerical simulation shows that gas compressibility effects impact the translational energy through flow acceleration/deceleration while the vibrational energy remains constant, triggering vibrational nonequilibrium. Also, the vibrational state populations are significantly affected by turbulence. In certain locations in the jet, the population from the direct calculation is several orders of magnitude different than that based on a Boltzmann distribution at the local vibrational temperature. These results show that considering details of the molecular populations in different vibrational states is important in a range of high enthalpy flows.

PACS numbers: 47.70.Nd

Keywords: Turbulence, Thermal Nonequilibrium

## I. INTRODUCTION

In high speed flows, the presence of shocks and expansion waves can alter the partition of internal energies of molecules [1, 2], leading to nonequilibrium flow phenomena. While different types of nonequilibrium can be induced due to changes in the different components of thermodynamic internal energy (translational, rotational, vibrational motions), the focus here is on vibrational nonequilibrium. In both internal and external flows of relevance to hypersonic vehicles, the translational and rotational motions are equilibrated quickly as compared to flow time-scales. Notably, the presence of slowly equilibrating nitrogen molecules can lead to persistent vibrational nonequilibrium [3–5]. While nitrogen itself may be chemically inert at these conditions, the repartition of internal energy can alter turbulence [6, 7], shock structures [3, 8], or other chemical reactions by altering the energy partition for the reacting molecules [9].

The impact of vibrational nonequilibrium on mixing and chemical reaction rates has been extensively studied and modeled [5, 9–12]. While many different models are available [1], the multi-temperature approach is often preferred due to its computational ease. This approach assumes that the different molecular motions exhibit a motion-specific equilibrium, leading to a Boltzmann distribution of energies that is characterized by a particular temperature. For instance, when vibrational nonequilibrium is considered, a single translational/rotational temperature and a vibrational temperature per polyatomic species are used to describe the nonequilibrium evolution. These models have been used to study configurations of interest exhibiting strong levels of nonequilibrium, typically high-speed shock-containing flows, such as the supersonic combustion ramjet (scramjet) [4, 7, 13, 14]. Fiévet et al. [4] showed that vibrational nonequilibrium can drastically affect ignition time in a scramjet engine. In particular, its effect is counter-intuitive. Chemical reaction rates that account for nonequilibrium in external flow often show a decrease in the rate with a reduction in the vibrational component of internal energy. However, for a constant total energy, this reduction is balanced by increased translational energy. Fiévet et al. [4] used reaction rates derived from first-principles to demonstrate that the increase in translational energy more than offsets the reduction of vibrational energy, leading to faster ignition times in nonequilibrium flows. While the impor-

<sup>\*</sup>rfievet@umich.edu

tance of nonequilibrium is well-known in the context of external hypersonic flows [8], these recent results indicate that nonequilibrium physics could also have a first order effect in high speed internal flows, particularly in the context of hypersonic propulsion.

Given the importance of vibrational nonequilibrium effects on these flows, especially in external flows, other higher-order models have been developed to better quantify the energy relaxation process [15–19]. These approaches permit one to describe non-Boltzmann distributions by directly solving for the population in each energy state using detailed rate expressions for the transfer of molecules between the states through collisions. Previous studies of post-shock nonequilibrium using state-specific rates [15, 17, 18] showed that a Landau-Teller based multi-temperature model was able to approximate the bulk energy exchange process at lower translational temperatures, but showed considerable differences at higher temperatures. Further, the relaxation process is not uniformly linear (as assumed by the Landau-Teller models) but can involve quasi-steady states [17, 18]. Additionally, since chemical reactions have been shown to depend on the vibrational quantum numbers of the reactants, a state-specific approach proved to offer a better estimation of reaction rates [16, 17, 19]. Multi-temperature models were shown to under-estimate the dissociation rates as the assumption of a Boltzmann distribution resulted in an under-estimation of the high-lying vibrational states populations. However, the main challenge in using such state-specific models is the development of the appropriate state-specific transition rates. Recently, quasiclassical trajectory (QCT) approaches have been used to obtain these rates for select transitions [17, 18, 20–22]. The focus of all these studies have been on non-turbulent flows.

The current study aims at investigating a nonequilibrium turbulent flow by means of a direct numerical simulation (DNS), where all turbulent scales are resolved, coupled with a vibrational state distribution solver. The solver carries a set of scalars corresponding to the number fractions of vibrational states relevant to the temperature range throughout the computational domain. The simulations will focus on a non-reacting single-species free shear flow where translational and rotational modes are assumed to be in thermodynamic equilibrium, i.e. their state distributions follow a Boltzmann distribution computed from the same temperature. This is a reasonable assumption as these modes reach equilibrium within orders of magnitude fewer collisions than the vibrational mode [2]. Most studies previously cited [4, 5, 7, 10–14] use a multi-temperature approach to describe vibrational nonequilibrium: the vibrational state distribution is considered to remain Boltzmann at a different temperature than the translational temperature. This relies on the assumption that the relaxation timescales are identical for all energy states. However, as the vibrational quantum number increases, the state-to-state energy difference decreases, resulting in a much faster relaxation process at

higher energy levels, effectively distorting the distribution from its original Boltzmann shape. This error grows as higher vibrational energy levels are populated for hotter flows, and can lead to erroneous estimation of reaction rates and translational temperature. This last point is crucial in determining the local gas kinetic viscosity, and therefore accurately resolving turbulence structures. In an effort to capture the complexity of the vibrational relaxation process through molecular inelastic collisions, a quasi-classical trajectory model is used to calculate statespecific population rates. This allows the description of non-Boltzmann distribution throughout the flow.

The paper is organized as follows: the first section presents the conservation equations for the single-species compressible flow solver with state-specific vibrational relaxation rates. Then, the derivation of these rates using the QCT approach is detailed for  $N_2$  molecules. The third section presents the application of the QCT-derived rates to resolve 0-D thermal baths. Finally, the state-specific compressible flow solver is used to study a  $N_2$  turbulent jet with vibrational nonequilibrium by the mean of DNS. In the rest of the manuscript, "equilibrium/nonequilibrium" will simply refer to "vibrational equilibrium/nonequilibrium".

## II. COMPRESSIBLE FLOW SOLVER WITH VIBRATIONAL STATE-SPECIFIC RELAXATION RATES

In this section, the details of the state-specific nonequilibrium description are provided.

# A. Conservation equations for thermally perfect gas

In this work, all simulations are carried out using  $N_2$  as the fluid. To begin with, the conservation equations that describe thermally perfect equilibrium gas are written as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_i u_j}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_i}$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial \rho E}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_i E}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial P u_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \tau_{ij} u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho$  is the mass density of the fluid,  $u_i$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  velocity component, P is the static pressure,  $\tau_{ij}$  is the surface shear stress tensor,  $E = e + \frac{1}{2}u_iu_i$  is the total energy, e is the mass specific internal energy, and q is the heat diffusion rate. Also, t and  $x_i$  represent time spatial coordinates, respectively. The specific heat capacity used to compute the internal energy is based on a 9-th order polynomial function [23]. The shear stress components  $\tau_{ij}$  are defined assuming the flow is a Newtonian fluid so

that

$$\tau_{ij} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} \right) \tag{4}$$

where the kinetic viscosity  $\mu$  is evaluated using Sutherland's law and is a function of the flow translational temperature T, and  $\delta$  is the Kronecker operator. The heat diffusion rate is defined assuming Fourier's law, so that

$$q = -\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i},\tag{5}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity calculated from the Prandtl number Pr, which relates heat and momentum diffusion. A constant Pr = 0.72 is used in this study.

# B. Modifications to resolve vibrational state-specific nonequilibrium

In order to resolve vibrational nonequilibrium, it is necessary to split the total energy conservation equation into its different modes. There are many levels of description possible. Two different approaches will be used in this work. In both descriptions it is assumed that the translational and rotational modes are in equilibrium and only the vibrational mode is out of equilibrium. Given a molecular population, the internal energy in the vibrational mode is the sum of the internal energies of the individual molecules. From a energy balance perspective, the internal energy is split into molecular vibrational energy,  $e_{\rm v}$ , translational energy  $e_{\rm t}$ , and rotational energy  $e_{\rm r}$ . As a first approximation, the distribution of energies in each mode is assumed to follow the Boltzmann function with a corresponding temperature. The vibrational temperature is denoted by  $T_{\rm v}$ , while a single temperature is used for the translational and rotational modes (denoted simply by T from henceforth). For a diatomic molecule such as  $N_2$ ,  $e_v$  and  $T_v$  form a bijection, which for this work is given by

$$e_{\rm v}(T_{\rm v}) = e(T_{\rm v}) - e_{\rm tr}(T_{\rm v}) \tag{6}$$

$$= \int_{T_{\rm ref}}^{T_{\rm v}} c_{\rm v}(T') dT' - \frac{5}{2} R(T_{\rm v} - T_{\rm ref}), \qquad (7)$$

where  $e_{\rm tr} = e_{\rm t} + e_{\rm r}$  is the ro-translational energy,  $T_{\rm ref} = 298.15$  K is a reference temperature, R is the gas constant, and  $c_{\rm v}$  is the gas specific heat at constant volume. Note that in this formulation,  $e_{\rm v}$  equals 0 at  $T_{\rm ref}$  and therefore does not account for the ground state vibrational energy, which is naturally included in the  $c_{\rm v}$  relation.

Decomposing the total energy relation defined in Eq. 3, the transport relations for the kinetic-translationalrotational energy, denoted  $e_{trk} = e_t + e_r + \frac{1}{2}u_iu_i$ , and the vibrational energy are given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho e_{\rm trk}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_j (\rho e_{\rm trk} + P)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_j} \right) -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\tau_{ij} u_i) + Q_{\rm TR-V}, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{d\rho e_{\rm v}}{dt} + \frac{\partial\rho u_j e_{\rm v}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \lambda_{\rm v} \frac{\partial T_{\rm v}}{\partial x_j} \right) - Q_{\rm TR-V}, \quad (9)$$

where  $Q_{\text{TR}-V}$  is the energy exchange rate between the internal translational-rotational and vibrational energy modes, and  $\lambda_v$  is the vibrational conductivity. To be consistent with Eq. 3, the vibrational conductivity is defined as

$$\lambda_{\rm v} = \frac{\mu c_{p,v}}{Pr} \tag{10}$$

In this work, the Prandtl number is assumed to be identical for all internal energy modes. The  $Q_{\text{TR}-\text{V}}$  energy exchange rate can be evaluated using Landau-Teller model with Millikan and White relaxation timescales [2, 3, 7, 24].

By using a single temperature to describe the vibrational energy, the multi-temperature model has made a fundamental assumption: the distribution of vibrational energy amongst the molecules is governed by a Boltzmann distribution, albeit with the vibrational temperature  $T_v$  that is different from the translational temperature. As previously explained, this assumption is unlikely to be valid for high enthalpy flows, and could introduce errors in the estimation of chemical reaction rates even for lower enthalpy flows. A more detailed approach is to directly solve for the population density in individual vibrational states. This is equivalent to solving the master equation for spatially extended systems.

When using the state-specific approach, Eqs. 1, 2, 3 and 8 are still used. However, Eq. 9 is further decomposed into a set of transport equations for the fractional number densities that describe the populations of molecules in individual vibrational energy levels, denoted by  $\phi_v$  for vibrational state v. That is, for a particular vibrational state v, the number density  $n_v$  is the number of particles per unit volume at that state (units of  $1/\text{m}^3$ ), and  $\phi_v = n_v/n$ , where  $n = \sum_v n_v$ . Note that  $\phi_v$  is dimensionless and  $\sum_v \phi_v = 1$ . Furthermore, considering that  $nm_{N_2} = \rho$ , where  $m_{N_2}$  is the mass of one molecule, then  $\rho\phi_v$  represents the mass of all the particles with vibrational quantum number v per unit volume (units of kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Next, note that the state-averaged vibrational energy is defined as

$$e_{v} = \sum_{v} \frac{\varepsilon_{v}(v) - \varepsilon_{v}(0)}{\rho} n_{v}$$
$$= \sum_{v} \frac{\varepsilon_{v}(v) - \varepsilon_{v}(0)}{\rho} n \phi_{v}$$
(11)
$$= \sum_{v} \frac{\varepsilon_{v}(v) - \varepsilon_{v}(0)}{m_{N_{2}}} \phi_{v}$$

where  $\varepsilon_{v}(v)$  is the quantized vibrational energy of a molecule in vibrational state v. Hence, transporting all the states number fractions permits one to calculate the gas vibrational energy and replace Eq. 9. The transport equation for fractional density  $\phi_{v}$  can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \rho \phi_v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho u_i \phi_v}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \rho D \frac{\partial \phi_v}{\partial x_i} + \dot{S}_v, \qquad (12)$$

where the mass diffusion rate  $\rho D$  is evaluated using a Schmidt number Sc equal to 0.72, such that  $\rho D = \frac{\mu}{Sc}$ .  $\dot{S}_v$  is the *v*-th number fraction source term. This source term is calculated from the state-specific inelastic scattering rates of the following collision process:

$$N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_2) \longrightarrow N_2(v_1') + N_2(v_2')$$
 (13)

The inelastic collisions, which result in a change of vibrational quantum numbers, evolve the states fraction numbers through time. As such, every  $\phi_v$  can be considered as a distinct species and the inelastic collisions as reaction rates. This analogy permits us to evaluate  $\dot{S}_v$  using a law of mass action for every collision as

$$\dot{S}_v = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_l g_{v,ijkl} \frac{k_s(v_i \to v_k, v_j \to v_l, T)}{M_{N_2}} (\rho \phi_i) (\rho \phi_j)$$
(14)

where  $M_{N_2}$  is the molar mass (units of kg/mol),  $g_{v,ijkl}$ is a degeneracy factor which characterizes the impact a particular reaction would have on the  $m^{\text{th}}$  population level. Here,  $g_{v,ijkl}$  is defined as

$$g_{v,ijkl} = -\delta_{i,v} - \delta_{j,v} + \delta_{k,v} + \delta_{l,v}.$$
 (15)

Here, these scattering rates  $k_s$  are calculated using a QCT approach which will be presented in detail in the following section.

In the multi-temperature model, the coupling between Eqs. 8 and 9 occurred through the energy exchange term  $Q_{\text{TR}-\text{V}}$ . In the state-specific approach,  $Q_{\text{TR}-\text{V}}$  can be calculated from all the scattering rates  $k_s$  which naturally account for the energy exchange between translational+rotational and vibrational modes. For instance, the  $k_s$  rate for reaction  $(v_1 = 1, v_2 = 1)$  to  $(v'_1 = 1, v'_2 = 2)$ characterizes a transfer of energy from the translational and rotational modes to the vibrational mode, hence a negative  $Q_{\text{TR}-\text{V}}$ . Likewise,  $(v_1 = 1, v_2 = 2)$  to  $(v'_1 =$  $1, v'_2 = 1)$  corresponds to a positive  $Q_{\text{TR}-\text{V}}$ . Therefore,  $Q_{\text{TR}-\text{V}}$  is calculated in the state-specific approach as

$$Q_{\rm TR-V} = -\sum_{v} \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm v}(v) - \varepsilon_{\rm v}(0)}{m_{N_2}} \dot{S}_v.$$
 (16)

## III. DERIVATION OF STATE-SPECIFIC VIBRATIONAL RELAXATION RATES

#### A. QCT formulation of inelastic rates

The vibrational inelastic rates  $k_s$  for the reaction presented in Eq. 13 were calculated using QCT analysis [9, 20, 21, 25, 26]. The potential energy surface (PES) utilized for these calculations was developed by Bender et al. [20], which was an extension of the surface developed by Paukku et al. [27]. This analytic PES was accessed via an online potential energy surface library, POTLIB [28]. As detailed by the developers, the PES was fit using a set of approximately 17,000 ab initio data points [20]. The reported root mean square error compared to the quantum calculations is 1.3 kcal/mol for energies less than 100 kcal/mol and 6.7 kcal/mol for all data points on the surface.

We note here that this PES was not originally intended for predicting modest temperature scattering processes as those of interest in this work. Instead, the PES was developed with the purpose of studying nitrogen dissociation at earth re-entry conditions. At higher temperatures, direct molecular simulations (DMS) have shown reasonable agreement compared to Millikan and White [29]. However, no formal validation of the vibrational exchange rates has been performed for this surface for ,temperatures at and below 4,000 K.

Here, the rates were determined as a function of a translational-rotational temperature T, an initial set of vibrational quantum numbers  $\boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ , and a final set of vibrational numbers  $v' = (v'_1, v'_2)$ . The scattering rate is denoted as  $k_s(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}', T)$ . For each trajectory,  $\boldsymbol{v}$  is fixed, and the relative speed and initial rotational quantum numbers,  $J = (J_1, J_2)$ , are sampled from their respective probability distribution functions (PDFs). Since the rotational and translational motions are assumed to be at equilibrium, these PDFs represent the Boltzmann and Maxwell distributions, respectively. After the  $N_2$ molecules collide, the final state is marked (i.e., v') based on the closest state. The aggregation of the outcomes is used to determine the inelastic scattering rates. The following section describes the process used in calculating  $k_s$  for the N<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> system.

Following conventional QCT averaging methods, which utilize Monte-Carlo integration to approximate the integrals associated with sampling the phase space of the system [30], the scattering probability  $P_s$  is approximated by

$$P_s(\boldsymbol{v} \to \boldsymbol{v}', \boldsymbol{J} \to \boldsymbol{J}', g, b) \approx \frac{N_s(\boldsymbol{v}', \boldsymbol{J}')}{N(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{J}, g, b)}.$$
 (17)

where g is the relative speed of the reactants, b is the impact parameter, N is the number of trajectories sampled at fixed (v, J, g, b) with all other initial conditions sampled from there respective PDFs, and  $N_s$  denotes the number of trajectories with a post-collision rovibrational quantum numbers (v', J'). The relative uncertainty of  $P_s$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_s$ , is defined in this work as two standard deviations normalized by  $P_s$  (this corresponds to a 95% confidence interval), which is given by [9, 20, 25, 26]

$$\mathcal{U}_s = 2\left(\frac{1}{N_s} - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{1/2} \approx 2\left(\frac{1}{N_s}\right)^{1/2},\qquad(18)$$

where dependencies were dropped for brevity and the approximation is valid when  $N \gg N_s$ . So, for a 95% confidence interval to be within 5% of the mean (i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}_s = 0.05$ ), approximately 1600 trajectories in which  $\boldsymbol{v} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}'$  need to be observed.

If the rotational state, relative speed, and impact parameter are also sampled from their respective PDFs as initial conditions, then the transition rate from one vibrational state to another for a fixed temperature is approximated by

$$k_s(\boldsymbol{v} \to \boldsymbol{v}', T) \approx \pi b_{max}^2 \left(\frac{8k_BT}{\pi m_r}\right)^{1/2} \frac{N_s(\boldsymbol{v}')}{N(\boldsymbol{v})}, \quad (19)$$

where  $P_s = 0$  for all  $b > b_{max}$ ,  $m_r$  is the reduced mass of the reactants, and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant. The PDFs for b, g, and  $J_i$  are respectively defined as:

$$f_b = 2\pi b \tag{20}$$

$$f_g(g;T) = \left(\frac{m_r}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{3/2} 4\pi g^2 e^{-m_r g^2/2k_B T} \tag{21}$$

$$f_{r,i}(J_i; v_i, T) = \frac{g_s(J_i)(2J_i + 1)e^{-\frac{\epsilon_{int}(v_i, J_i) - \epsilon_{int}(v_i, 0)}{k_B T}}}{Q_{r,i}(v_i, T)}$$
(22)

where  $g_s$  is the spin degeneracy of the rotational state,  $\epsilon_{int}$  is the internal energy, and  $Q_r$  is the rotational partition function, which normalizes  $f_{r,i}$ . The combined rotational PDF is denoted  $f_r(\boldsymbol{J}; \boldsymbol{v}, T) = f_{r,1}(J_1; v_1, T)f_{r,2}(J_2; v_2, T)$ .

The rates as defined in Eq. 19 are completely independent of one another. Thus, the QCT-calculated rates are not necessarily symmetric and detailed balance at thermal equilibrium is not guaranteed. To ensure that the rates are consistent (i.e., the rates are symmetric and satisfy detailed balance), the rates are averaged accordingly. First, for symmetry, the rates are modified so that

$$k_s((v_1, v_2) \to (v_1', v_2')) = k_s((v_2, v_1) \to (v_2', v_1'))$$
(23)

This relation is imposed directly in Eq. 19 by setting

$$N(v) = N(v_1, v_2) + N(v_2, v_1)$$
(24)

$$N_s(\boldsymbol{v} \to \boldsymbol{v}') = N_s(v_1 \to v_1', v_2 \to v_2') + N_s(v_2 \to v_2', v_1 \to v_1').$$
(25)

Note that v is added as a dependency for  $N_s$  to clarify how final states are counted. Next, for detailed balance, the rates are modified so that

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\boldsymbol{v},T)k_s(\boldsymbol{v}\to\boldsymbol{v}',T) = f_{\mathbf{v}}(\boldsymbol{v}',T)k_s(\boldsymbol{v}'\to\boldsymbol{v},T)$$
 (26)

where  $f_v(v, T)$  is the Boltzmann PDF characterizing vibrational state v's number fraction. The final rate used in the CFD simulations, i.e., the rate inserted into Eq. (14), was chosen as the average of the two rates defined in Eqs. (19) and (26). That is,  $k_s$  was is now defined as

$$k_{s}(\boldsymbol{v} \to \boldsymbol{v}', T) = \frac{\pi b_{max}^{2}}{2} \left(\frac{8k_{B}T}{\pi m_{r}}\right)^{1/2} \times \left(\frac{N_{s}(\boldsymbol{v} \to \boldsymbol{v}')}{N(\boldsymbol{v})} + \frac{f_{v}(\boldsymbol{v}', T)}{f_{v}(\boldsymbol{v}, T)} \frac{N_{s}(\boldsymbol{v}' \to \boldsymbol{v})}{N(\boldsymbol{v}')}\right),$$
(27)

where N and  $N_s$  are defined in Eqs. (24) and (25), respectively.

#### B. State-specific relaxation rates

Inelastic scattering rates were directly calculated using the QCT method as derived in Sec. III at 9 translationalrotational temperatures T = [500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500, 3000, 4000, 5000, 6000] K.

The first 10 vibrational quantum numbers were sampled, so for N<sub>2</sub>-N<sub>2</sub> collisions, there exist  $10^4$  vibrational state combinations (*i.e.*, degrees of freedom). A total of  $2.8 \times 10^9$  trajectories were simulated using the QCT program developed by Voelkel et al. [9, 21, 25] on the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) machine using 4104 cores for 30 hours. At the end of each trajectory, the final vibrational quantum number was determined as the closest lying state compared to the classical vibrational energy resulting from the collision.

In total,  $9 \times 10^4$  rates needed to be calculated based on the sampled states (including both inelastic and elastic collisions). However, many of the final states were never observed, implying that the probability of the particular transition was approximately zero. Table I summarizes the number of trajectories and rates calculated per temperature. Fewer transitions were observed at lower tem-

TABLE I: Number of trajectories and rates calculated per sampled temperature.

Trajectories	Calculated Rates
$2.3 \times 10^{8}$	438
$2.3 \times 10^8$	468
$2.3 \times 10^8$	480
$2.3 \times 10^8$	526
$1.6 \times 10^7$	512
$2.3 \times 10^8$	877
$6.3 \times 10^8$	2493
$5.0 \times 10^8$	4344
$5.0 \times 10^8$	6124
	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Trajectories} \\ {\rm 2.3 \times 10^8} \\ {\rm 1.6 \times 10^7} \\ {\rm 2.3 \times 10^8} \\ {\rm 6.3 \times 10^8} \\ {\rm 5.0 \times 10^8} \\ {\rm 5.0 \times 10^8} \end{array}$

peratures (resulting in fewer calculated rates) because the total energy of the colliding  $N_2$ - $N_2$  pair was not sufficient to shift the vibrational state. At high temperatures and vibrational quantum numbers, more energy is stored in translational-rotational and vibrational energy modes on average. This increases the total energy that can be repartitioned during the collision event, which in turn increases the likelihood of observing vibrational transitions.

The sets of directly calculated inelastic rates at 2000 K and 4000 K are plotted in Fig. 1, which are referred to as the rate matrix for a particular translational temperature. The x and y axes correspond to the initial/final state of the first and second nitrogen molecule, respectively. Because symmetry was enforced, the rate matrix is symmetric across the x = y diagonal. Note that if the rate is zero, this implies that the transition was not recorded throughout the QCT simulation. Hence, the rate matrix at 2000 K is sparse because most vibrational state transitions were not observed, whereas the rate matrix at 4000 K is less sparse. Note that the rates



FIG. 1: Directly calculated inelastic scattering rates (units for the rate are  $\text{cm}^3/(\text{mol s})$ ).

at the other temperatures follow the general trends seen for these two temperatures.

In Fig. 1a, the directly calculated rates for low-lying vibrational states is observed to be zero, but the physical rate is non-zero. This discrepancy is due to the statistical nature of the QCT method and the low probability of observing such a transition. To reduce this statistical error, the scattering rates at low temperatures were extrapolated from the rates at high temperatures. Furthermore, from the set of directly calculated rates, as modified to enforce the detailed balance (Eq. 26), the scattering rates were also fit to an functional relation. This fit was then tabulated and accessed during the CFD simulations to determine the rate for intermediate tem-

peratures between 2000 K and 4000 K. For the interpolation, it was assumed that  $\log(k_s) \propto T^{-1}$ , similar to the conventional Arrhenius expression. The interpolated (or extrapolated if necessary) rate matrices at 2000 K and 4000 K are plotted in Fig. 2. Comparing Fig. 2b with



FIG. 2: Interpolated and extrapolated inelastic scattering rates (units for the rate are  $\text{cm}^3/(\text{mol s})$ ).

Fig.1b, notice that the structure of the rate matrix for 4000 K is approximately unchanged. However, at 2000 K, the interpolated rate matrix is significantly less sparse than before. Specifically, the non-zero entries in the rate matrix were increased from 526 to 2521. These interpolated rate matrices are used in the simulations presented in the Sec. IV and V.

The relative error of the Arrhenius fit (denoted  $k_s^a$ ) is shown in Fig. 3.



FIG. 3: Relative error of Arrhenius fit rates compared to directly calculated rates.

The points only represent comparisons to those rates which were directly calculated. In general, the high rates have a lower error, which is good because those rates were well resolved. At lower-valued rates, the difference between the fit and the rate is more sporadic. However, the lower rates generally had a higher corresponding uncertainty, thus implying that the directly calculated rates had a larger margin of error. Furthermore, the rates span six orders of magnitude, implying that the relative effect of the lower rates on the simulation will be less impactful.

#### C. Compact formulation of the state-specific rates

In an effort to reduce the cost of evaluating  $\dot{S}_v$  (Eq. 12) at each timestep, the source term is not directly evaluated as a summation over all 10<sup>4</sup> states (Eq. 14). Instead, this evaluation is reduced into a more compact form during the initialization of the simulation. In Eq. 14, the summations on the index k and l corresponding to the product of the scattering reaction rate can be pre-computed into a three-dimensional matrix  $\mathcal{R}_{vij}$  of size  $N_{level}^3$ , where  $N_{level}$  is the number of levels.  $\mathcal{R}_{vij}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{vij} = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} g_{v,ijkl} \times \frac{k_s(v_i \to v_k, v_j \to v_l, T)}{M_{N_2}}.$$
 (28)

Then, Eq. 14 simply becomes

$$\dot{S}_v = \sum_i \sum_j \mathcal{R}_{vij}(\rho \phi_i)(\rho \phi_j).$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

For each vibrational energy level v,  $\mathcal{R}_{vij}$  provides information on the addition/depletion of population due to combination of states  $\{i, j\}$ . Figure 4 presents  $\mathcal{R}_{vij}$  for the first 9 levels  $(v = [0 \ 8])$  at a temperature of 4000 K. Note that the range for each level v is normalized by its peak value max( $|\mathcal{R}_v|$ ) = [0.6 48.5 470.6 1239.7 2401.1 3915.3 5262.4 6474.4 7467.3]×10<sup>6</sup> kg.m<sup>-3</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> to better reveal the slow-reacting lower levels contours. Note that the highest level rates are 4 orders of magnitude higher than the ground state rates. As necessary, the table is symmetric along the i = j diagonal. A first observation is that almost all cells located on the i = v or j = v lines are blue, i.e. collisions involving at least one molecule of level v usually result in a depletion of  $\phi_v$ . At higher levels (v > 3), depletion is usually maximum when both colliding molecules are initially at level v. On the other hand, peak depletion tends to be shifted towards the right or left of the (i, j) = (v, v) cell for lower levels as inelastic collisions with a molecule is more likely to occur when involving a partner at a higher level. This trend is clearly observed for the v = 2 table. At 4000 K, an (i, j) = (2, 2) collision is less effective at depleting  $\phi_2$  than a (i, j) = (6, 2) collision. Interestingly, the ground state rates table is characterized by a positive production (red cell) for any neighbor of the (i, j) = (0, 0) cell. Similarly, the highest replenishment rates are found for high levels (v > 3) in vicinity of the (v, v) cell on its diagonals. For the temperatures considered here, the fourth quadrant (both i, j > v) always have higher rates than the second quadrant (both i, j < v).

#### IV. THERMAL BATH SIMULATIONS

The state-specific rates are first used in a zerodimensional thermal bath in order to verify the equili-



FIG. 4:  $\mathcal{R}_{vij}$  for the first 9 levels ( $v = [0 \ 8]$ ) normalized by its peak values at a temperature of 4000 K. Dark blue corresponds to a maximum depletion, and dark red to a maximum replenishment of  $\phi_v$ .

bration process. The governing equations for the thermal bath are based on those presented in Sec. II. Specifically, spatial derivatives are neglected in a constant volume system, which results in a set of algebraic relations for mass, momentum, and energy. The vibrational population distribution is then expressed in terms of a set of ordinary differential equations, leading to the following system of equations:

$$\rho \left( e_{\rm trk} + e_{\rm v} \right) = \rho_0 \left( e_{\rm tr}^0 + e_{\rm v}^0 \right) \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\rho\phi_{v}\right) = \dot{S}_{v} \tag{31}$$

$$\phi_v^0 = f_v(v, T_{v,0}), \tag{32}$$

where 0 subscript/superscript refers to the state at time t = 0 (note that mass and momentum are assumed to be automatically satisfied). The initial vibrational state distribution is defined based on a Boltzmann distribution at a chosen vibrational temperature. The translational temperature is independently set, so that the system is out of thermal equilibrium. At the system evolves, the

vibrational state distribution will move towards its equilibrium distribution, and the translational temperature as well as the pressure will shift due to the conservation relations.

Two cases were tested: (1)  $T_{v,0} < T_0$  (cold to hot), and (2)  $T_{\rm v,0} > T_0$  (hot to cold). In both cases, the initial pressure was set to 1 atm. Figure 5 shows one set of simulation results for each of the two cases. For the cold to hot case, the vibrational states are initially defined by a Boltzmann distribution at  $T_{\rm v,0}=2000$  K, whereas the bath is at  $T_0 = 4000$  K. Each of the states relax over similar timescales, with the v = 9 and v = 0 states taking approximately  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  s and  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  s to relax, respectively. In contrast, the relaxation from hot to cold differs considerably. Here, the vibrational states are initially defined by a Boltzmann distribution at  $T_{\rm v,0} = 4000$  K, whereas the bath is at  $T_0 = 2000$  K. In this system, the high-lying vibrational states relax by  $4 \times 10^{-5}$  s. At this point, most of the exchanged energy is deposited into the v = 1 state. Then, a quasi-steady-state is reached that

is sustained until  $4\times 10^{-4}$  s, after which low lying states relax.

The above cases present two different types of relaxation - the Landau-Teller type linear relaxation to the end state and the second quasi-steady-state based exchange of energy. It is found that in most other cases, both these types are present. However, when the translational temperature is lower than the initial vibrational temperature, the quasi-steady-state is more pronounced. This is further seen in the plot of vibrational energies shown in Figs. 6a and 6b. For the cold-to-hot case where  $T_{\rm v}^0 = 2000$  K, the higher initial translational temperatures relax uniformly. The lower translational temperatures relax to a near quasi-steady-state. In contrast, for the hot-to-cold case where  $T_{\rm v}^0 = 2000$  K, all of the simulations relax at a similar rate up to  $10^{-5}$  s. After this point, the higher translational temperature simulations continue to relax uniformly, whereas the lower translational temperature simulations reach a quasi-steady-state before relaxing towards equilibrium. Such quasi-steadystates have been observed previously in other studies as well [17, 18].

Based on these simulations, a relaxation timescale can be extracted assuming a linear process, and compared with the empirical correlations of Millikan and White [31]. The dependence of these timescales on the translational temperature is plotted in Figure 7. The translational temperature and pressure used is taken as the average of the initial and equilibrium values. The relaxation time  $\tau_{\rm v}$  is defined as the time for the vibrational energy to reach 63.2% of its equilibrium value. It is important to note that the empirical relation assumes that the relaxation time scale is independent of the initial vibrational population distribution. However, simulations performed here showed that the initial conditions have an effect. For instance, when the initial vibrational population is at a higher than equilibrium total energy, the relaxation time is comparable to the correlation value, but the process is considerably slower than predicted by the correlation when the initial vibrational temperature is lower than the translational temperature. Regardless, the dependence on temperature seems to closely follow the  $T^{-1/3}$  correlation. These differences have been noted at higher temperatures in other studies as well [18].

## V. TURBULENT PLANAR JET SIMULATIONS

The effect of turbulence on nonequilibrium is studied next using a planar jet configuration. This case involves a central jet issuing into a coflow, with both streams composed only of N<sub>2</sub>. For this particular calculation, all streams are subsonic but in the compressible regime. Turbulent mixing is expected to trigger vibrational nonequilibrium as observed by Reising et al. [32]. For the discussion below, *cold* or *hot* nonequilibrium will refer to a vibrationally under-excited or over-excited flow, respectively.

TABLE II: Numerical inflow conditions.

Case	$U_{jet}  [\mathrm{m/s}]$	$U_{coflow}$ [m/s]	$T_{\rm jet}$ [K]	$T_{\rm coflow}$ [K]	Bulk $Re_{H/2}$
1	400	80	2000	4000	3921
2	400	80	4000	2000	1364

#### A. Numerical details

Two direct numerical simulations of  $N_2$ - $N_2$  mixing are conducted. The height of the planar jet is 8 mm, while the spanwise width is 16 mm. The computational domain is 160 mm long, and is discretized using  $(n_x, n_y, n_z) =$ (3072, 960, 196) control volumes in the three coordinate directions. The domain is periodic in the spanwise direction, and non-reflective characteristic boundary conditions [33] are applied at all the non-streamwise boundaries of the domain. The inflow conditions for the central jet are obtained from an auxiliary simulation of a periodic turbulent channel flow. This fully developed solution is sampled to generate an inflow file that is used to impose a time-varying but correlated inflow condition. Both the jet and the coflow are specified to be at a static pressure of 2 atm, and are assumed to be in thermal equilibrium. The two cases use different static temperatures for the two streams to reproduce hot-to-cold and cold-to-hot mixing of the vibrational population. The other inflow conditions for the two cases are provided in Table II.

The simulations were performed using the statespecific nonequilibrium flow approach. The numerical solver uses a finite difference fifth-order WENO LLF scheme with characteristics reconstruction to compute the convective fluxes [34], while a fourth-order central scheme is used for the diffusion terms. Time-integration is carried out using a fourth-order Runge-Kutta scheme. Other details of the flow solver are provided in Koo [35]. The dynamic viscosity is determined using Sutherland's law, but was increased by a factor of 4 in order to provide DNS-like resolution. The simulations were initially conducted until all initial condition related effects have been convected out of the domain. Statistics were then sampled over 0.25 ms, which corresponds to 0.5 flowthrough times, evaluated based on the integrated centerline velocity. A Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy condition of 0.9 was used, leading to time-step of 80 ns. The code uses domain-decomposition based parallelization, and each simulation was run on 10000 cores for 16 hours. An inert mixture fraction  $Z_{mix}$  was transported along with the populations for the 10 vibrational states. Mixture fraction is widely used in combustion studies to quantify the interplay between chemical reactions and turbulent mixing [36]. In these simulations, mixture fraction is set to 1 for the jet inflow, and 0 for the coflow. The computational domain and a snapshot of the mixing layer are shown in Fig. 8.

Figure 9 presents an instantaneous snapshot of the density gradient magnitude, indicating a highly turbulent mixing layer. It is seen that the potential core of the jet extended until  $x/H \approx 7.5$ . To ensure that the



(a)  $T_v^0 = 2000$  K,  $T_0 = 4000$  K (b)  $T_v^0 = 4000$  K,  $T_0 = 2000$  K FIG. 5: Vibrational state populations versus time in constant volume for varied initial conditions.



(a)  $T_v^0 = 2000$  K,  $T_0$  varied FIG. 6: Vibrational energy versus time in constant volume for varied initial conditions.



FIG. 7: Vibrational energy relaxation timescales compared to Millikan and White's empirical model [31].

turbulence length-scales are adequately captured, the local grid size is compared to the Kolmogorov length scale  $\kappa$  defined as  $\kappa = \nu^{\frac{3}{4}} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}$  where the turbulent dissipation rate  $\epsilon$  is defined as  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{p} \overline{\tau_{ij} \frac{\partial u_i^*}{\partial x_j}}$ . This comparison is presented in Fig. 10. The resolution conditions are shown for both axial and stream-normal directions. As seen, this ratio does not exceed 2 in the entire domain, which is considered sufficient to resolve all dissipation scales of the flow [37].

#### B. Bulk vibrational energy mixing

The amount of vibrational nonequilibrium triggered by the turbulent mixing is first investigated. The flow bulk vibrational energy  $e_v$  is simply computed by adding the vibrational energy across the 10 states populations. Its local equilibrated value  $e_v^*$  is computed from the conservation of energy based on the equilibrated temperature  $T^*$ , such that

$$e_{\rm v}^*(T^*) + c_{\rm v}T^* = e_{\rm v} + c_{\rm v}T.$$
 (33)

In the above relation,  $T^*$  is found using an iterative procedure such that the change in temperature between successive iterations is less than 0.01%.

The normalized relative difference between  $e_{\rm v}$  and  $e_{\rm v}^*$ , defined as  $\frac{e_{\rm v}-e_{\rm v}^*}{e_{\rm v}^*}-1$ , quantifies nonequilibrium. It is shown in Figure 11 as a percentage. The mixing layer is overwhelmingly vibrationally under-excited, with peak



FIG. 8: Isocontour of mixture fraction  $Z_{\text{mix}} = 0.5$  colored by streamwise velocity.



FIG. 9: Snapshots of the magnitude of density gradient in  $kg/m^4$  inside the turbulent planar jet.



FIG. 10: Spatial resolution in the (top) x- and (bottom) y-directions.

departure from equilibrium around 5% from its equilibrated state. Interestingly, some highly turbulent areas

exist where the flow is locally vibrationally over-excited. Since the mass entrainment ratio (defined based on [38]) is equal to unity in the current configuration, both hot and cold fluids mix equally. In such a case, Reising et. al [32] predicted that  $e_v$  should be symmetrically distributed across the mixing layer. In other words, the inner side, closer to the cold flow, should be vibrationally under-excited, while the outer layer should instead be vibrationally over-excited.



FIG. 11: Snapsnots of departure between  $e_v$  and  $e_v$ [%]. Red/blue indicate a locally vibrationally over/under-excited population.

This observation can be explained by considering the source of nonequilibrium. It is seen that the flow is mostly under-excited, implying that T is larger than the implied  $T_{\rm v}$  calculated from  $e_{\rm v}$ . Contrary to the vibrational energy, which changes slowly and only through state transitions, T is coupled to other flow-related variables. In particular, even at subsonic speeds, the exchange of energy between translational and bulk mechanical energy modes is important. Hence, as the jet flow slows down while it interacts with the slower coflow, the local temperature increases very rapidly through compression. This happens at constant  $Z_{mix}$  as no mixing is needed to decelerate a flow element emerging from the jet potential core. This implies that compressibility triggers nonequilibrium, in particular cold nonequilibrium, since  $e_{\rm v}$  remains nearly constant because it relaxes slowly. By the same argument, the acceleration of the outer shear layer should trigger hot nonequilibrium, but is not observed in this configuration. This is explained by considering the volume entrainment rather than the mass entrainment ratio [38]. For the given inflow conditions, this ratio is 2.1, which indicates that the lower-density jet dominates the nonequilibrium generation process. As a result, the mixing layer shows an overwhelmingly cold nonequilibrium driven by compressibility of the fluid.

A compressibility factor C can be defined in order to locate the regions where such mechanical-translational energy exchange is present. This factor is extracted as the ratio of the local ro-translational energy to the mixing-based expected energy:

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{c_{\rm v}T}{c_{\rm v}[(Z_{\rm mix}T_{\rm jet} + (1 - Z_{\rm mix})T_{\rm coflow})]} - 1, \quad (34)$$

where  $Z_{\text{mix}}$  is the local mixture fraction. C is plotted in Fig. 12 as a percentage. A positive value indicates that the flow is locally compressed, which should result in cold nonequilibrium. Interestingly, the compressibility plots reproduce the features found in the vibrational energy relative different plots (see Fig. 11). Notably, the rare vibrationally hot areas coincide with the rare expanded areas. This is further quantified in Fig. 13, which presents scatter plots of the relative error between  $e_{\rm v}$  and  $e_{v}^{*}$  against the mixture fraction and  $\mathcal{C}$ . The color scheme indicates the number of realizations in a bin (blue is lowest, yellow is highest). The conditional plot against mixture fraction shows that much of the nonequilibrium is vibrationally under-excited, which is essentially the same data represented as in Fig. 11 but represented differently. The relative difference plot against  $\mathcal{C}$  clearly shows that much of the nonequilibrium is created when  $\mathcal{C} > 0$ , which is in regions where compressibility has raised the translational temperature of the fluid. On the other hand, the relatively sparse hot equilibrium is created by the local acceleration or expansion of the fluid, which reduces the translational temperature. Such hot nonequilibrium tend to occur in the close vicinity of the cold jet potential core, where the local mixture fraction is closer to 1.



FIG. 12: Snapshot of compressibility factor C [%]. Red/blue indicate a locally compressed/expanded flow.



FIG. 13: Scatter plot of relative difference of  $e_{\rm v}$  against the mixture fraction  $Z_{\rm mix}$  (left) and the compressibility factor (right) colored by local realization count (blue is lowest, yellow is highest). Plots obtained for case 1

For the inverse simulations (case 2), the central jet issues at a higher temperature than the coflow and a higher velocity. As a result, the compressibility factor behaves similar to case 1, but shows some differences as well. Figure 14 shows the relative difference in the vibrational energy, which indicates an under-excitation similar to case 1. The compressibility factor also shows a similar behavior (Fig. 15), with positive C in the mixing layer. However, due to the differences in the density, the mass and volume entrainment ratios are reversed in case 2. As a result, the outer part of the mixing layer shows regions of negative compressibility factor, akin to Reising's theory of symmetric behavior [32].



FIG. 14: Snapshots of departure between  $e_v$  and  $e_v^*$  [%] for case 2. Red/blue indicate a locally vibrationally over/under-excited population.



FIG. 15: Snapshot of compressibility factor C [%] for case 2. Red/blue indicate a locally compressed/expanded flow.

This effect is further seen in the scatter plots shown in Fig. 16. It is seen that the relative difference of vibrational energy as compared to the equilibrium energy is negative, but is found in regions of negative compressibility as well. Since such regions occur closer to the coflow, which has a lower temperature, it can be inferred that this part of the nonequilibrium is caused purely by mixing between two streams with different temperatures. However, to fully isolate the effect of compressibility, a shearless mixing layer should be used. However, we found that a turbulent mixing region could not be sustained at these conditions without a velocity difference between the streams.



FIG. 16: Scatter plot of relative difference of  $e_{\rm v}$  against the mixture fraction  $Z_{\rm mix}$  (left) and the compressibility factor (right) colored by local realization count (blue is lowest, yellow is highest). Plots obtained for case 2.

# C. Turbulent mixing of vibrational energy states populations

The analysis of the spatial distribution of vibrational energy revealed that the mixing layer is dominated by compressibility, leading to under-excitation of the vibrational population distribution. To further understand the impact of nonequilibrium on the different energy states, instantaneous snapshots of the fractional populations in selected vibrational states are shown for both cases in Fig. 17. It is seen that the mixing patterns are different for the various states. The lower vibrational level exhibits spatial distribution that is consistent with passive scalar mixing: it presents a gradual change from the lower population core jet to the coflow. On the other hand, the higher vibrational level exhibits abrupt changes from cold to hot, similar to fast reacting scalars. For the hot jet configuration (case 2), the patterns are simply reversed with an inner jet exhibiting higher population in all the states shown. Note that when the temperature increases, the ground energy level (v = 0) loses molecules to higher energy levels.

The differences in the behavior of the different energy levels are more evident in scatter plots against mixture fraction shown in Fig. 18. The data are bounded by two curves: a) the linear mixing line that provides the limit when state-to-state transitions are frozen, and b) the equilibrium line that provides the limit when the time to reach a Boltzmann distribution is much faster than any of the time scales associated with the flow. The mixing line is obtained as

$$\phi_v^{mix} = f_v(v, T_{jet}) Z_{mix} + f_v(v, T_{coflow}) (1 - Z_{mix}), (35)$$

while the equilibrium line is simply obtained from the Boltzmann distribution at the equilibrium temperature  $T^*$  (Eq. 33). The area between the bounding lines increases with the energy level since higher energy level distributions are more sensitive to temperature due to the exponential term in the Boltzmann distribution function. Further, the higher level populations equilibrate rapidly, and all populations above v = 3 lie close to the equilibrium temperature due to the equilibrium distribution function.

rium line. On the other hand, the lower levels relax more slowly, with  $v = [0 \ 1 \ 2]$  populations showing significant scatter away from both limits. Hence, these levels exhibit the highest interaction between state-to-state transition chemistry and turbulence. These plots clearly demonstrate that turbulence can interfere with relaxation both due to compressibility of the flow and the time-scales associated with the relaxation process itself.

In the discussions above, it was concluded that the vibrational population is under-excited based on comparison with equilibrium energy. To further understand the distribution of population, the departure of each state from the corresponding Boltzmann fraction can be obtained. To this end, a departure function  $\mathcal{E}_v$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{E}_v = \frac{\phi_v - \mathcal{B}_v(\mathbf{e}_v)}{\mathcal{B}_v(\mathbf{e}_v)},\tag{36}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{v}(\mathbf{e}_{v})$  is the number fraction of level v for a Boltzmann distribution yielding the same bulk  $e_{\rm v}$ . Figure 19 shows instantaneous snapshots and conditional plots against mixture fraction of this departure function for select vibrational levels. For v = 1, the departure function is always negative, indicating that the population is lower than that observed at equilibrium. This is consistent with the under-excitation of the vibrational energy seen in the discussions above. Since the lower levels contain most of the molecular population, this underexcitation is reflected in the integrated vibrational energy. The higher levels show interesting trends: v = 3and higher levels show significant over-excitation across the mixing layer. Note that the departure function is defined with respect to the local integrated vibrational energy and not the equilibrium energy. The over-excitation of the higher levels indicates that a) the higher levels are first over-populated compared to equilibrium, b) the exchange of population between the levels leads to equilibrium. In other words, the translational energy is first transferred into the higher vibrational levels, probably due to the smaller gap in energy. This result has important implications. Since chemical reactions depend preferentially on the higher vibrational levels [10, 21], this relaxation route has the potential to alter chemical reaction rates. The integrated vibrational energy itself is dependent on the lower energy levels. As a result, the use of multi-temperature models that rely on the local vibrational energy may vastly under-predict the populations in the higher levels.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

The conservation equations of a compressible flow solver resolving vibrational nonequilibrium using a statespecific approach were presented. The QCT method was used to calculate a set of vibrational state-specific scattering rates for the collision  $N_2(v_1) + N_2(v_2)$ . For the flow conditions considered, the maximum temperature



FIG. 17: Snapshots of vibrational state population number densities  $\phi_v$  for levels  $v \in [1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 9]$  from top to bottom for the (left) cold jet and (right) hot jet.

was 6000 K. Hence, only the first ten vibrational states were considered as the population density in higher states is generally very small. During the QCT simulation, the translational-rotational energy was sampled from one of nine temperatures ranging from 500 K to 6000 K. In total, 2.8 billion trajectories were simulated to calculate the set of rates.

An initial test using homogeneous mixing of streams was used to evaluate the state-specific rates. Interestingly, it was found that the mixing process is not linear as implied by the Landau-Teller description of vibrational relaxation but follows a highly non-linear evolution. In particular, the high-lying states relax fast, with the lower states relaxing at a much slower rate. This leads to a pseudo-steady behavior, whereby the higher states continuously adjust to the relaxation of the lower states. A relaxation time-scale, obtained by tracking the total vibrational energy relaxation towards its equilibrium value, showed trends that are consistent with the Millikan-White correlation, but also showed differences, In particular, the time-scale is quantitatively different from that predicted by the experiments, and is dependent on the nature of relaxation. If the initial vibrational temperature is lower than the translational temperature, the relaxation process was slower than in the inverse scenario. These studies exhibit the complexities of vibrational relaxation, and the need to consider details of the state-specific cross-sections.

Direct numerical simulations of turbulent plane jet coupled with a vibrational state populations solver were then performed to investigate the coupling between turbulence mixing and vibrational nonequilibrium for jet and co-flow temperatures of 2000 and 4000 K. It was found that the nonequilibrium generated at such flow enthalpies are dominated by compressibility effects, where the local acceleration/deceleration of the flow leads to a change in the translational temperature, which then affects the vibrational temperature. This resulted in a non-Boltzmann distribution throughout the mixing layer, which would potentially affect chemical reactions. In par-



FIG. 18: (Black dots) Distribution of state populations  $\phi_v$  for  $v \in [0\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5]$  with (dashed blue)  $\phi_v(T = 2000K)Z_{\text{mix}} + \phi_v(T = 4000K)(1 - Z_{\text{mix}})$  and (dashed red) the Boltzmann number fractions  $f_v(v, T)$ . Only case 1 is shown.

ticular, a numerical description of nonequilibrium only resolving the bulk vibrational energy, i.e. integrated over the whole energy level distribution, would not be able to resolve such details and would underestimate the reaction rates.

In summary, the use of state-specific rates is important even for internal flows and nominally low translational and vibrational temperatures. Such flows already exhibit significant deviations from Boltzmann distributions, especially for the high-lying states. Since chemical reactions are affected more by the higher energies, any resulting chemical transformation will not follow Boltzmannbased rate expressions. The impact of non-Boltzmann distributions on the macroscopic observed rates needs to be studied in more detail.

## VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported financially through AFOSR BRI Award No. FA9550-12-1-0460 with Dr. Ivett Leyva as Program Manager. Philip Varghese gratefully acknowledges the support of a Moncrief Fellowship that enabled extended visits to the University of Michigan in Spring 2016. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the generous allocation of computing time by the Texas Advanced Computing Center and NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center.



FIG. 19: (Left) Instantaneous snapshots of  $\mathcal{E}_{v}$  [%] for  $v \in [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 9]$  from top to bottom. (Right) Realizations of  $\mathcal{E}_{v}$  [%] for  $v \in [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 9]$  from top to bottom with  $Z_{\text{mix}}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- I.D. Boyd and T.E. Schwartzentruber, Nonequilibrium Gas Dynamics and Molecular Simulation, Cambridge Aerospace Series (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- [2] W. G. Vincenti and C. H. Kruger, Introduction to Physical Gas Dynamics (Krieger Pub. Co., 1975).
- [3] Romain Fiévet and Venkat Raman, "Effect of vibrational nonequilibrium on isolator shock structure," Journal of Propulsion and Power, **in press** (2018).
- [4] Romain Fiévet, Stephen Voelkel, Heeseok Koo, Venkat Raman, and Philip L. Varghese, "Effect of thermal nonequilibrium on ignition in scramjet combustors," Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 36, 2901 – 2910 (2017).
- [5] O. Knab, H. H. Fruhauf, and E. W. Messerschmid, "Theory and validation of the physically consistent coupled

vibration-chemistry-vibration model," Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer **9**, 219–226 (1995).

- [6] A. G. Neville, I. Nompelis, P. K. Subbareddy, and G. V. Candler, "Effect of thermal non-equilibrium on decaying isotropic turbulence," in 7th AIAA Theoretical Fluid Mechanics Conference, 16-20 June 2014, Atlanta, GA, USA, AIAA 2014-3204 (2014).
- [7] Heeseok Koo, Venkatramanan Raman, and Philip Varghese, "Direct numerical simulation of supersonic combustion with thermal nonequilibrium," Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 35, 2145–2153 (2015).
- [8] Antonio Viviani and Giuseppe Pezzella, "Nonequilibrium aerothermodynamics for a capsule reentry vehicle," Engineering Applications of Computational Fluid Mechanics 3, 543–561 (2009).

- [10] O. Knab, T. H. Gogel, H. H. Fruhauf, and E. W. Messerschmid, "Cvcv-model validation by means of radiative heating calculations," in 33rd AIAA Aerospace Science Meeting and Exhibit, AIAA-95-0623 (1995).
- [11] C. Park, "Assessment of a two-temperature kinetic model for dissociating and weakly ionizing nitrogen," Journal of Thermophysics 2, 8–16 (1988).
- [12] I. V. Arsentiev, B. I. Loukhovitski, and A. M. Starik, "Application of state-to-state approach in estimation of thermally nonequilibrium reaction rate constants in mode approximation," Chemical Physics **398**, 73–80 (2012).
- [13] R. Fiévet, H. Koo, and V. Raman, "Numerical simulation of a scramjet isolator with thermodynamic nonequilibrium," in 22nd AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference, 22-26th June 2015, Dallas, TX, USA, AIAA-2015-3418 (2015).
- [14] H.H. Reising, T.W. Haller, N.T. Clemens, P.V. Varghese, R. Fiévet, and V. Raman, "Spontaneous raman scattering temperature measurements and large eddy simulations of vibrational non-equilibrium in high-speed jet flames," in 32nd AIAA Aerodynamic Measurement Technology and Ground Testing Conference, 13-17 June 2016, Washington, D.C., AIAA 2016-3144 (2016).
- [15] Iain D. Boyd and Eswar Josyula, "Detailed analysis of vibrational nonequilibrium of molecular oxygen in shock-heated flow," Physical Review Fluids 2 (2017), 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.123401.
- [16] A. Munafo, Y. Liu, and M. Panesi, "Modeling of dissociation and energy transfer in shock-heated nitrogen flows," Physics of Fluids 27 (2015), 10.1063/1.4935929.
- [17] Daniil A. Andrienko and Iain D. Boyd, "High fidelity modeling of thermal relaxation and dissociation of oxygen," Physics of Fluids 27, 116101 (2015).
- [18] R. L. Macdonald, M. S. Grover, T. E. Schwartzentruber, and M. Panesi, "Construction of a coarse-grain quasiclassical trajectory method. ii. comparison against the direct molecular simulation method," Journal of Chemical Physics 148 (2018), 10.1063/1.5011332.
- [19] M. Panesi, A. Munafo, T. E. Magin, and R. L. Jaffe, "Nonequilibrium shock-heated nitrogen flows using a rovibrational state-to-state method," Physical Review E 90 (2014), 10.1103/PhysRevE.90.013009.
- [20] Jason D. Bender, Paolo Valentini, Ioannis Nompelis, Yuliya Paukku, Zoltan Varga, Donald G. Truhlar, Thomas Schwartzentruber, and Graham V. Candler, "An improved potential energy surface and multitemperature quasiclassical trajectory calculations of N<sub>2</sub> + N<sub>2</sub> dissociation reactions," The Journal of Chemical Physics **143**, 054304 (2015).
- [21] Stephen Voelkel, Philip L. Varghese, and Venkat Raman, "Multitemperature dissociation rate of n2+n2n2+n+n calculated using selective sampling quasi-classical trajectory analysis," *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer **31**, 965–975 (2017).
- [22] J. I. Steinfeld, J. S. Francisco, and W. L. Hase, *Chemical Reaction Kinetics and Dynamics, 2nd edition* (Prentice-Hall, New York, NY, 1999).
- [23] Gordon S. Mcbride, B. and M. Reno, Coefficients for Calculating Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of

*Individual Species*, Tech. Rep. NASA-TM-4513 (NASA Lewis Research Center, 1993).

- [24] Romain Fiévet, , Heeseok Koo, Aaron H. Auslender, and Venkat Raman, "Numerical investigation of shock train response to inflow boundary layer variations," AIAA Journal 55, 2888–2901 (2017).
- [25] S. Voelkel, P. L. Varghese, and V. Raman, "Quasistate-specific qct method for calculating the dissociation rate of nitrogen in thermal non-equilibrium," in 54th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, 4-8 January 2016, San Diego, California, USA, AIAA 2016-0449 (2016).
- [26] S. Voelkel, Thermal nonequilibrium models for hightemperature reactive processes, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Austin (2016).
- [27] Yuliya Paukku, Ke R. Yang, Zoltan Varga, and Donald G. Truhlar, "Global ab initio ground-state potential energy surface of N<sub>4</sub>," The Journal of Chemical Physics 139, 044309 (2013).
- [28] R. J. Duchovic, Y. L. Volobuev, G. C. Lynch, A. W. Jasper, D. G. Truhlar, T. C. Allison, A. F. Wagner, B. C. Garrett, J. Espinosa-Garca, and J. C. Corchado, "Potlib," POTLIB, http://comp.chem.umn.edu/potlib.
- [29] P. Valentini, T. E. Schwartzentruber, J. D. Bender, I. Nompelis, and G. V. Candler, "Direct molecular simulation of nitrogen dissociation based on an ab initio potential energy surface," Physics of Fluids 27 (2015), 10.1063/1.4929394.
- [30] Niels E. Henriksen and Flemming Y. Hansen, Theories of Molecular Reaction Dynamics: The Microscopic Foundation of Chemical Kinetics, Oxford Graduate Texts (Oxford University Press, 2012).
- [31] R. C. Millikan and D. R. White, "Systematics of vibrational relaxation," Journal of Chemical Physics 39, 3209 (1963).
- [32] Heath H. Reising, K. C. Utsav, Noel T. Clemens, and Philip L. Varghese, "Measurement of mixing-induced thermal non-equilibrium in a supersonic shear layer using spontaneous raman scattering," Physics of Fluids 29 (2017), 10.1063/1.4991754.
- [33] T. J. Poinsot and S. K. Lele, "Boundary conditions for direct simulations of compressible viscous flows," Journal of Computational Physics 101, 104–129 (1992).
- [34] G-S. Jiang and C-W. Shu, "Efficient implementation of weighted eno schemes," Journal of Computational Physics 126, 202–228 (1996).
- [35] H. Koo, Large-eddy simulations of scramjet engines, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Austin (2011).
- [36] Norbert Peters, *Turbulent Combustion* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [37] Stephen B Pope, "Turbulent flows," Measurement Science and Technology 12, 2020 (2001).
- [38] PE Dimotakis, "Two-dimensional shear-layer entrainment," AIAA Journal 24, 1791–1796 (1986).