

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Tunneling with a hydrodynamic pilot-wave model André Nachbin, Paul A. Milewski, and John W. M. Bush Phys. Rev. Fluids **2**, 034801 — Published 30 March 2017

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.2.034801

Tunneling with a Hydrodynamic Pilot-Wave Model

André Nachbin,^{1,3} Paul A. Milewski,² and John W.M. Bush,³

¹IMPA, Est.D. Castorina 110, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 22460-320, Brazil

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

³Department of Mathematics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA

(Dated: February 22, 2017)

Eddi et al. (Phys. Rev Lett. 102, 240401 (2009)) presented experimental results demonstrating the unpredictable tunneling of a classical wave-particle association as may arise when a droplet walking across the surface of a vibrating fluid bath approaches a submerged barrier. We here present a new theoretical model that captures the influence of bottom topography on this waveparticle association and so enables us to investigate its interaction with barriers. The coupled wave-droplet dynamics results in unpredictable tunneling events. As reported in the experiments by Eddi et al. and as is the case in quantum tunneling (Gamow, Nature (London) 122, 805 (1928)), the predicted tunneling probability decreases exponentially with increasing barrier width. In the parameter regimes examined, tunneling between two cavities suggests an underlying stationary ergodic process for the droplet's position.

INTRODUCTION

Eddi et al. [1] presented the results of an investigation of the tunneling of a walking droplet (henceforth a "walker") interacting with a submerged barrier. The work [1] demonstrated that "this wave-particle association has a nonlocality sufficient to generate a quantumlike tunneling at a macroscopic scale". The authors show that the reflection and transmission of a walker over a submerged barrier is unpredictable. Moreover, they demonstrate that the crossing probability decreases exponentially with increasing barrier width as in the case of quantum tunneling [2]. This hydrodynamic tunneling has not previously been examined theoretically owing to the difficulties in treating variable bottom topography. It will be studied here in a one-dimensional (1D) configuration with a reduced theoretical model; specifically, the free surface is one dimensional while the fluid body is two dimensional. This reduced model yields an explicit set of differential equations for the wave-particle association in confined domains.

We here present a new system of partial differential equations obtained from a systematic reduction of the Navier-Stokes equations, leading to a hydrodynamic pilot-wave model in a confined domain. This is the first potential theory model that includes the feedback between the droplet and its guiding pilot-wave while adequately accounting for general bottom topographies. Recently, Pucci et al. [3] examined the non-specular reflection of walking droplets for a planar barrier. Numerical simulations were performed with a nearly monochromatic model developed by Faria [4], which simplifies potential theory at a given wavenumber, corresponding to the Faraday mode. The potential theory model, here presented, captures probabilistic features of tunneling as observed in laboratory experiments [1]. The model also yields statistics for the droplet position that indicate an underlying stationary ergodic process, as was reported

by Harris et al. [5] in their experimental investigation of a walker in a circular corral.

Consider a fluid bath oscillating vertically at frequency ω_0 . In the bath's reference frame, the acceleration is $g(t) = g (1 + \Gamma \sin(\omega_0 t))$, where g is the gravitational acceleration and Γ the forcing amplitude. The fluid is quiescent for $\Gamma < \Gamma_F$, where Γ_F is the Faraday threshold. When $\Gamma \geq \Gamma_F$ a subharmonic instability takes place, leading to standing Faraday waves with the Faraday wavelength λ_F , as is prescribed by the water-wave dispersion relation [6]. Walker [7] demonstrated that a millimetric droplet can bounce indefinitely on the surface of a vertically oscillating bath. Yves Couder and coauthors [1, 8] discovered that when the parameter Γ exceeds the walking threshold Γ_w the bouncing droplet becomes unstable to lateral perturbations and executes horizontal motion [9]. In this walking regime $\Gamma_w < \Gamma < \Gamma_F$, the droplet bounces every two bath cycles, so with frequency $\omega_0/2$, thereby achieving resonance with its Faraday wave field. The droplet is then guided by its underlying pilot-wave, a spatially extended monochromatic wave field with a Faraday period $T_F = \omega_0/2$ [6]. We note that while Γ is always below Γ_F , the bouncing droplet locally triggers the subharmonic, most unstable Faraday wave mode [9, 10].

Central to the walker dynamics is the concept of path memory [11]. The walker dynamics is non-local in time and space, specifically the instantaneous force acting on the drop depends on both its past and its environment. Since the pilot-wave originates from its previous bounces, the propulsive wave force depends on the walker's history. In prior work, where the wave field is modeled in terms of Bessel functions (see [9] and references therein) the memory enters through the dimensionless parameter $M_e = T_d/[T_F(1 - \Gamma/\Gamma_F)]$, where T_d is the decay time of unforced waves. The memory increases as $\Gamma \to \Gamma_F$, when the wave are more persistent. In the present work, where the wave generation is modeled explicitly, this parameter M_e does not arise in the theoretical formulation, but memory is naturally controlled through the vibrational acceleration Γ and the fluid viscosity ν .

In this article, we consider configurations with one or two cavities. The 1D configuration has the attractive feature that it is restricted to normal incidence on the barrier, as is difficult to achieve in laboratory experiments [1]. The authors of that study state "We can wonder if the output, reflection, or crossing is still probabilistic for a given angle" and note that walkers with normal incidence have a higher probability of tunneling. Our 1D system necessarily treats only normal incidence, but nevertheless exhibits highly unpredictable tunneling events.

We consider the (free space) wave-particle model [10], here adapted to a 1D configuration and extended to permit domains of arbitrary cross-section. The single-cavity configuration is displayed in figure 1. We choose a cavity of just a few Faraday wavelengths so that the droplet is strongly confined. In the two-cavity simulations, the droplet may cross the barrier and so tunnel from one cavity into the other (see figures 6 and 7). This highly confined configuration ensures that many tunneling attempts take place in a reasonable amount of time.

The wave-droplet model

The wave model consists of a weakly viscous quasipotential theory [10]. The wave elevation is denoted by $\eta(x,t)$ and the velocity potential, satisfying Laplaces equation in the fluid domain, by $\phi(x, z, t)$. The fluid has density ρ , surface tension σ and kinematic viscosity ν . The free surface wave equations at z = 0 are given by

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = -g(t)\eta + \frac{\sigma}{\rho}\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x^2} + 2\nu\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{\rho}P_d(x - X(t)), \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} + 2\nu \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x^2},\tag{2}$$

where the pressure term P_d in equation (1) indicates the presence of the droplet, which acts as a wave-maker at X(t) during the contact time. This wave system is coupled to the droplet's horizontal trajectory equation:

$$m\frac{d^2X}{dt^2} + c F(t)\frac{dX}{dt} = -F(t)\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x}(X(t), t).$$
(3)

The magnitude of the propulsive wave force imparted during impact with the surface is prescribed by F(t), and also appears in the damping coefficient. In a simplification of the model in [10], the forcing term $P_d(x - X(t))$ and the coefficient $F(t) = \int P_d dA$ are obtained by assuming that the droplet undergoes a periodic vertical motion, with period T_F , and that the bath surface acts on the droplet like a linear spring during the contact time $T_c = T_F/4$. In the present model F(t) =



FIG. 1. a): Cavity geometry: L=1.cm, h=0.50cm, with an adjacent shallow region of depth 0.02cm. Wave profiles are shown in units of T_F , at the phase when drop-bath contact is initiated. The Faraday wavelength is 0.47cm. The thick curve indicates the horizontal position of the droplet. The sloshing of the pilot-wave promotes the oscillatory motion of the droplet. **b-c**): Hopf bifurcation apparent in a single cavity as the droplet evolves from a static bouncing state to an oscillating state with increasing Γ : b) $\Gamma = 4.95$; c) $\Gamma = 5.0$. The cavity shape is indicated at the bottom. The wave profiles in b) and c) were removed for the sake of clarity.

 $(2\pi^2 mg/(\omega_0 T_c))\sin(\pi \tau(t)/T_c)$, where $\tau(t) = t \mod T_F$ $(0 \le \tau < T_c)$ and F(t) = 0 otherwise, namely when the droplet is in flight These choices are consistent with experiments [12] and simulations of the full problem [10]. The wave-making term in (1) and the propulsive wave force in (3) are responsible for establishing the feedback between the waves and the droplet.



FIG. 2. (A): Two cavities with the level curves from the $\xi - \zeta$ coordinate system in the canonical domain: L = 1.5 and $L_b = 0.4$. Each vertical curve arises by setting $\xi(x, y)$ constant, while each horizontal curve represents the level curve given by $\zeta(x, y)$ constant. In the canonical domain these lines represent a uniform Cartesian grid. Along the undisturbed free surface, the corresponding grid-point spacing is highly non-uniform. The same effect happens in the canonical domain when starting with a uniform grid in x. In the middle of each cavity, as well as in the middle of the barrier, the Jacobian matrix is approximately a multiple of the identity. The mesh is almost Cartesian. There the metric coefficient M is approximately constant, as shown in (B). Near the ends of the cavities, M is highly variable, thus affecting the wave-speed and promoting wave reflection.

ONE DIMENSIONAL MODEL REDUCTION AND NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION

This section describes the mathematical and numerical details used to solve the above system. The reader interested only in the results may proceed to section III.

Let the two-dimensional fluid domain be denoted by

 $\Omega = \{(x, z), -L_T < x < L_T, -H(x) < z < 0\}.$ At any time t > 0 the velocity potential $\phi(x, z, t)$ satisfies a boundary value problem, given by Laplace's equation

$$\phi_{xx} + \phi_{zz} = 0, \quad (x, z) \in \Omega,$$

in the interior of the fluid, and a Neumann condition

$$\frac{d\phi}{dn} = 0,$$

along the impermeable bottom located at z = -H(x). At the ends of the fluid domain $(x = \pm L_T)$, for L_T sufficiently large) the flow is assumed to be at rest. Therefore computing the vertical speed $\phi_z(x, 0, t)$ in equation (2) requires solving for the corresponding harmonic function in the fluid domain. Through a mathematical manipulation of this term, one can avoid solving the above elliptic boundary value problem within the fluid domain. A boundary (Fourier-type) operator is constructed, taking into account the harmonic properties of ϕ . Having this operator at hand, the two-dimensional model is reduced to an equivalent set of equations in one-dimension. We now proceed to describing the construction of such an operator.

First, consider the conformal mapping from a uniform strip of unit height onto a corrugated strip, namely our physical domain with the cavities. The lower boundary is a polygonal line and the Schwarz-Christoffel mapping [13] is well suited in this case. Consider these two strips in the complex plane. The uniform strip, our canonical domain, is in the complex w-plane, where $w = \xi + i\zeta$. The physical domain is in the complex Z-plane, where Z = x+iz. The mapping is given by the complex function Z = F(w), where $|dZ/dw|^2$ is equal to the Jacobian |J|of the corresponding change of variables. The harmonic functions $x = x(\xi, \zeta)$ and $z = z(\xi, \zeta)$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations and therefore $|J| = x_{\xi}^2 + x_{\zeta}^2$. It also follows for the velocity potential that

$$\phi_{\xi}(x,z) = \phi_x x_{\xi} + \phi_z z_{\xi}, \quad \phi_{\zeta}(x,z) = \phi_x x_{\zeta} + \phi_z z_{\zeta}.$$

By inverting a linear system [14], one obtains

$$\phi_z = \frac{1}{|J|} \left[-x_\zeta \phi_\xi + x_\xi \phi_\zeta \right]$$

This relation will be used for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (DtN) boundary operator. The undisturbed free surface in the physical and canonical domains are given by z = 0 and $\zeta = 1$ respectively. Therefore $x_{\zeta}(\xi, 1) \equiv 0$ and the Jacobian is given by $|J|(\xi, 1) = x_{\xi}^2(\xi, 1) \equiv M^2(\xi)$. We define $M(\xi)$ as our metric coefficient along the undisturbed free surface. Computing the vertical speed along the free surface amounts to

$$\phi_z = \frac{1}{M(\xi)} \phi_\zeta. \tag{4}$$

We are now in a position to express the vertical spe ϕ_z only with information along the undisturbed free s face, namely without solving Laplace's equation in fluid domain. Recall that as a result of the conforr mapping, the velocity potential ϕ is a harmonic fu tion in both the physical and the canonical domains. the canonical domain (in the *w*-plane) the DtN ope tor is trivially obtained from the Fourier representat of the corresponding Dirichlet data. In the *w*-plane, of time-dependent Dirichlet data $\phi(x(\xi, 1), 0, t) = \varphi(\xi, t)$ represented by

$$\varphi(\xi,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}(k,t) e^{ik\xi} dk.$$

Using separation of variables we perform the harmo extension of the Dirichlet data into the unit strip, the differentiate in ζ , which yields the Dirichlet-to-Neuma operator in the *w*-plane:

$$\phi_{\zeta}(\xi, 1, t) = Dt N_w[\varphi](\xi, t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k \tanh(k) \hat{\varphi}(k, t) d\xi d\xi$$

Using expressions (4) and (5), the variable-depth probl is (analytically) reduced to one-dimension, in terms oalong the undisturbed surface. In the physical dome we have that

$$DtN_Z[\varphi](x,t) = \phi_z(x,0,t) = \frac{1}{M(\xi(x,0))} DtN_w[\varphi](\xi(x,0))$$

The notation indicates that the DtN operator is first cc puted in the *w*-plane in terms of the ξ -variable (as giv in (5)), but needs to be evaluated in the physical domain. This final step makes use of the relation $\xi = \xi(x, 0)$, the real part of the inverse-map evaluated along the undisturbed free surface. In other words, given a set of uniformly distributed points x_j in the physical domain, we will need to know their pre-images, $\xi_j = \xi(x_j, 0)$, in the canonical domain in order to evaluate the vertical speeds there and rescale accordingly, using the reciprocal of the metric coefficient M.

It is also instructive to look at the long-wave (shallow water) regime of this problem, even though we operate in the intermediate-depth regime within each cavity. For clarity we remove the shaking, the droplet, as well as surface tension effects. Consider the linear potential theory, wave problem, given by

$$\phi_t = -g\eta \tag{7}$$

$$\eta_t = \phi_z,\tag{8}$$

where $\phi(x, z, t)$ is harmonic in our fluid domain (the corrugated strip) together with a Neumann condition at the impermeable bottom. The initial conditions are $\phi(x, 0, 0) = \varphi_0(x)$ and $\eta(x, 0) = \eta_0(x)$. Using the formulation discussed above, the dynamics can be reduced



FIG. 3. We consider a single cavity of depth h = 0.5cm. (A): Displays a single Fourier mode $f(x) = \cos(6\pi x/(2L_T))$ along the free surface z = 0, where $2L_T$ is the total length of our computational domain. (B): The above Fourier mode is displayed along the nodes' pre-images ξ_j in the canonical domain. Namely, the mode is expressed as $F(\xi) = f(x(\xi, 1)) =$ $\cos(6\pi x(\xi, 1))/(2L_T)$. This function is not represented by a single mode in ξ . (C): A detail of (A) in the middle of the cavity. (D): A detail of (B) in the corresponding region of (C) where the Jacobian is approximately 2. Note that in this region (D) is merely a rescaling of (C). The nodes are (approximately) uniformly spaced.

completely to the free surface. In other words, we write that

$$\varphi_t = -g\eta \tag{9}$$

$$\eta_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}M(\xi(x,0))} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k \tanh(k)\hat{\varphi}(k,t)e^{ik\xi(x,0)}dk,$$
(10)

where the initial Dirichlet data is $\varphi(x,0) = \varphi_0(x)$ and the initial wave profile is $\eta(x,0) = \eta_0(x)$. Eliminating η from this system yields

$$\varphi_{tt} + \frac{g}{\sqrt{2\pi}M(\xi(x,0))} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k \tanh(k)\hat{\varphi}(k,t)e^{ik\xi(x,0)}dk = 0.$$

In the long-wave limit $k \tanh(k) \sim -(ik)^2$ and the equation reduces to

$$\varphi_{tt} - \frac{g}{M(\xi(x,0))}\varphi_{\xi\xi} = 0.$$

Recalling that $\phi_{\xi} = M(\xi(x, 0))\phi_x$, we have the long-wave equation

$$\varphi_{tt} - g \left(M(\xi(x,0))\varphi_x \right)_x = 0 \tag{11}$$

in the physical domain. Therefore, in the long-wave limit, the presence of the cavities (encoded in the metric term M) corresponds to a variable speed in the wave equation (11). Consider the wave model used in [3, 4] and, for clarity, remove the shaking, the droplet, as well as surface tension effects. It can be recast in the form

$$\phi_{tt} - g\nabla \cdot (b(x, y)\nabla\phi) = 0, \qquad (12)$$

where a piecewise constant b is used, matching the Faraday wave speeds at the barrier's different depths. This nearly monochromatic model is not a shallow water model, but is of the same class as (11).

The Fourier integral in (5) represents a pseudodifferential operator with the symbol (i.e. Fourier multiplier) equal to $k \tanh(k)$. In our wave-regime of interest the symbol can not be simplified. The underlying effect of the barrier, through its width and height, comes into the model through the Fourier integral in a nontrivial fashion, both through the metric term M as well as the $\xi = \xi(x, 0)$ relation. In figure 2-(A) we have two cavities with a barrier. The Cartesian $\xi - \zeta$ coordinate system in the *w*-plane becomes a highly distorted (orthogonal) curvilinear coordinate system in the Z-plane. In 2-(B) the metric coefficient M, related to the Jacobian, is graphed as a function of x. Given a uniform grid ξ_m we have displayed $M_m = M(\xi(x_m, 0))$ in the physical domain, noting the irregular spacing of the markers.

The spatial derivatives of equations (1)-(3) are computed using a Fourier spectral method. The shallow regions, seen on the sides of the cavity in figure 1-a), extend sufficiently far that periodic boundary conditions may be applied at the ends of the horizontal domain. The normal velocity $\phi_z(x, 0, t)$ is computed with an FFT through the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator.

As described above, the DtN operator is computed in steps. Owing to depth variations in the fluid domain, the conformal mapping is computed using the Schwarz-Christoffel Toolbox (SCT) [13], which numerically maps a polygonal-shaped (2D) fluid domain onto a flat strip of unit height [14, 15]. The SCT chooses the origin to be at the bottom and the undisturbed free surface to be at $\zeta \equiv 1$. The mapping Z = F(w) is computed only once, at the beginning of a simulation. Commands are readily available [16] to compute the Jacobian as well as $\xi_j = \xi(x_j, 0)$ on a given grid. Note that we use the mapping $\xi_j \leftrightarrow x_j$ established at the beginning of a given simulation. We use Fast Fourier



FIG. 4. A single cavity of length L = 1.5cm and depth h = 0.5cm. Three vertical accelerations are considered. **a**): $\Gamma = 4.4$ yields an oscillatory droplet motion in the middle of the cavity. **b**): When $\Gamma = 4.7$ the oscillatory droplet motion approaches the cavity's boundaries. **c**): When $\Gamma = 5.2$, the onset of chaotic droplet motion is apparent.

transforms (FFTs) to compute the vertical speed (6) in the physical domain: $\phi_z(x, 0, t) = DtN_Z[\phi](x, t) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}[G(k)\mathcal{F}[\phi]])/M(\xi(x, 0))$, where \mathcal{F} indicates an FFT in the ξ -coordinate. Recall that the Fourier multiplier is $G(k) = k \tanh k$.

It is important to recall that for a given uniform grid x_i (j = 1, ..., J) along the free surface in the physical domain, the conformal mapping generates a nontrivial distortion in the canonical domain, as expressed through the real part of the inverse map $\xi_j = \xi(x_j, 0)$. This distortion gives rise to grid points ξ_i distributed in a very irregular fashion. The Fourier integral given in (5) is computed with an FFT, which uses equally spaced points, therefore the corresponding Dirichlet data φ_i needs to be interpolated on a uniform grid in ξ . This interpolation is done through the use of cubic splines. In order to describe the main ideas, consider the following simple example with the single cavity shown in figure 1. Take a single Fourier mode along the physical free surface, which in the canonical domain is no longer monochromatic, for example, $F(\xi) = \exp(ikx(\xi, 1))$. For graphing purposes, we consider the Fourier mode $f(x) = \cos(6\pi x_i/(2L_T))$, where $2 L_T$ is the total length of our computational domain. In figure 3-(A) this function is displayed at the corresponding grid points x_i . This function is not monochromatic in ξ , as is clearly evident in figure 3-(B). In 3-(C) we show a detail in the interval $x \in [-0.5, 0.5]$, inside the cavity, where the Jacobian is approximately equal to 0.5. Com-



FIG. 5. Two different cavity depths h are considered. Cavity geometry: L = 1.cm with an adjacent shallow region of depth 0.02cm. The vertical acceleration parameter $\Gamma = 5.3$ is slightly stronger than in figure 1. Wave profiles are shown in units of T_F . **a**): A shallow cavity where the depth is equal to h = 0.10cm. Even with a stronger shaking, the droplet goes directly to a static bouncing state, guided by the underlying field which becomes a standing wave. This depth is not favorable to walking and therefore, in the case with two cavities, the fluid depth over the barrier will be $h_b = 0.092$ cm. **b**): The depth in the cavity is equal to h = 0.25cm. The droplet gradually evolves to a bouncing state.

paring with the detail of 3-(D) we see that, in this region, there is merely a rescaling (by a factor of 2) of the node spacing in this region. In the case of two cavities, figure 2 displays, in both (A) and (B), how a uniform grid in ξ becomes highly distorted in the physical domain.

Keeping in mind this simple example where a monochromatic mode gets modulated in frequency, we perform an oversampling of grid points in our numerical method when using the cubic splines. This procedure generates additional grid point values of $\exp(ikx(\xi, 1) \text{ on}$ a finer uniform grid ξ_m $(m = 1, ..., M \cdot J)$, where $M \ge 1$ indicates the oversampling rate. In our simulations we used J = 128 and M = 4. On the uniform grid ξ_m , FFTs are used to compute the Fourier integral corresponding to the DtN operator in the canonical domain. Through the use of cubic splines, the Neumann data, found on the uniform grid points ξ_m , is interpolated onto the nonuniform grid points $\xi(x_j, 0)$, namely the pre-image of the original uniform grid points in the physical domain.

In summary the geometrical information of the cavities and barriers is encoded in the variable (metric) coefficient M and through the relation $\xi_j = \xi(x_j, 0)$ which are defined along the undisturbed free surface, when a simulation starts. The dynamics is thus reduced to a onedimensional problem containing depth effects. The time evolution is performed with a second-order fractionalstep Verlet method.

RESULTS

The physical parameters are taken in the same range as in [10]: droplet radius $R_o = 0.035$ cm, $\sigma = 20.9$ dyn cm⁻¹, $\nu = \mu/\rho = 0.16$ cm²s⁻¹, $\rho =$ 0.95 g cm⁻³ and forcing frequency $\omega_o = 80Hz$. The corresponding Faraday wavelength is $\lambda_F \approx 0.47$ cm. We adopt boundaries comparable to those examined in the laboratory: adjacent to the main cavity is a shallow region that eliminates the meniscus on the side walls that would otherwise arise. Since the droplet cannot walk in sufficiently shallow layers, it is generally repelled by submerged boundaries [3]. The pronounced decay of waves over the shallow region is evident in figure 1-a).

Single cavity

We first consider a droplet confined to a single cavity of size $1 \text{cm} \approx 2\lambda_F$ (see figure 1-a)). As the forcing amplitude Γ increases, a Hopf bifurcation arises as depicted in figure 1-b)-c). When $\Gamma = 4.95$, the droplet drifts towards a static bouncing state at the center of the cavity and the waves converge to a standing wave form. In the positionvelocity phase space, the droplet spirals towards a critical point. With the same initial conditions, at $\Gamma = 5.0$, the droplet now executes a regular oscillatory trajectory cor-



FIG. 6. Two cavities of width L = 1.2cm and depth h = 0.5cm, separated by a barrier of width $L_b = 0.4$ cm and depth $h_b = 0.092$ cm. The forcing amplitude is $\Gamma = 4.6$ with $\Gamma_F \approx 5$ for the present geometry.

responding to a limit cycle in phase space. In this case, the wave profiles are continuously sloshing in the strobed visualization, as is evident in figure 1-a). In a sense, the wave is acting as a time-periodic potential for the oscillating droplet. A well known example of a particle under the effect of an oscillating potential is Duffing's equation, however the potential and its corresponding time dependence are prescribed from the start. For example, switch off the feedback and prescribe the wave profile in the droplet trajectory equation. Motivated by figure 1, we choose $\eta = V(X, t) = a[1 + \cos((2\pi/\lambda_F)X - \varepsilon\sin(\omega_s t))],$ a monochromatic sloshing wave with sloshing frequency ω_s and amplitude ε . The time-varying potential V(X,t)thus plays the role of the wave η in the damped oscillator (3). Expanding dV/dX in ε yields a generalized version of a periodically forced Duffing's equation, which is known to yield chaos. Likewise, increasing Γ in our model leads to chaotic motion of the droplet. For the wave-droplet association, the underlying potential is dynamically generated rather than prescribed: the nature of the underlying wave field is not known a priori and the pilot-wave problem is intrinsically more difficult. Nevertheless, in the regime displayed in figure 1-a), characterized by the periodic wave sloshing, it resembles a periodically varying potential.

The range of the droplet's oscillations is limited by the sloshing wave field which transfers to the droplet information about the cavity's boundaries. At higher memory,



FIG. 7. Droplet tunneling at $\Gamma = 4.6$. Cavity geometry as in figure 6: L = 1.2cm, h = 0.5cm, $h_b = 0.092$ cm. Observed Faraday threshold $\Gamma_F \approx 5$. **a)** $L_b = 0.4$ cm with tunneling probability of 58%; **b)** $L_b = 0.4$ scm with tunneling probability of 19%. Same initial conditions. **c)** The wave field in an interval from b) that includes a tunneling event.

this is not the case, as is evident in figure 4. In figure 4-b), at a higher vertical acceleration, the oscillatory droplet motion approaches the boundaries of the cavity. The onset of a chaotic trajectory, observed at higher Γ , is depicted in figure 4-c).



FIG. 8. a): Exponential decay of tunneling probability with barrier width L_b . Two cavities of width L = 1.5cm each, are considered. The barrier depth is $h_b = 0.092$ cm (triangles) and $h_b = 0.090$ cm (squares). The time interval is $5000T_F$ for all observations reported. At least two different initial droplet positions were considered for each barrier width. At $L_b = 5.2$ mm the second simulation (for a triangle) displayed no tunnelling events. In the presence of a shallower barrier, as depicted with squares, tunnelling stopped completely beyond a barrier of width $L_b = 4.7$ mm, within our number of attempts. The lines represent the corresponding least-squares fit. b): The dependence of tunneling probability on memory for two cavities of width L = 1.2cm and barrier widths $L_b = 0.40$ cm (circles) and $L_b = 0.50$ cm (squares). The optimal tunneling regime is evident near $\Gamma/\Gamma_F = 0.92$, where $\Gamma_F \approx 5.$

Two cavities separated by a barrier

The choices of cavity length and barrier width were made based on the simulations presented above, while noting that combining two cavities reduces the Faraday threshold even in the presence of a high barrier. We want the barrier to be a forbidden walking region. The barrier depth is chosen accordingly. In [1], the barrier depth was $h_b = 0.1$ cm. In figure 5-a) we consider a single cavity



FIG. 9. Single cavity oscillations: **a**) $\Gamma = 5.0$; **b**) $\Gamma = 5.15$; **c**) $\Gamma = 5.3$. All with initial position at x = 0.45. **d**) Histograms for periodic droplet motion reported in a), b) and c). Here $\Gamma_F \approx 6.1$.

with depth h = 0.1cm. Even with a stronger forcing of $\Gamma = 5.3$ the droplet moves directly to a static bouncing state in the middle of the cavity. When the depth is increased to h = 0.25cm the droplet moves towards a bouncing state in an oscillatory fashion. These examples play a role in our choice for the tunneling simulations. We first investigated tunnelling events for a (reference) barrier width of $L_b = 0.40$ cm [1]. We chose the barrier depth of $h_b = 0.092$ cm, slightly smaller than that considered in the experiments, since it lead to a tunnelling probability on the order of 50% for a cavity size of L = 1.2cm and 25% for L = 1.5cm.

We now examine the new model's ability to capture tunneling. In [1], the tunneling behavior was characterized in terms of the barrier width and walker speed. Since the free walker speed is prescribed by Γ [9], we will use Γ as the control parameter in our simulations. Figure 6 displays the geometry used in our study of tunneling.



FIG. 10. Histograms of droplet position. Here, $\Gamma = 4.6$, $\Gamma_F \approx 5$, L = 1.2cm, $L_b = 0.45$ cm and depth $h_b = 0.092$ cm. a) PDF for a single simulation with $t \in [0, 25000T_F]$. b) PDF for 12 simulations with different initial droplet positions (6 in each cavity) and $t \in [0, 5000T_F]$. c) PDF for a single realization from the 12 simulations.

Two cavities of width L and depth h are separated by a barrier of width L_b and depth h_b . When $\Gamma = 4.6$, tunneling takes place relatively often. For $\Gamma \leq 4.3$, the droplet never escapes its initial cavity.

In figure 7, we compare the droplet trajectory for two different values of the barrier width L_b . All other system parameters are kept the same, including the droplet's initial position within the cavity. The tunneling pattern, as well as the tunneling probability, change dramatically in response to a small change in L_b . As in [1], the probability of tunneling is here defined as the ratio between the total number of tunneling events divided by the total number of attempts. A detail of the wave field in figure 7-b) is displayed in figure 7-c). There is a robust feature common to all of our simulations with two cavities: a failed attempt to tunnel is generally accompanied by a pronounced standing wave in the neighboring cavity (see figures 6 and 7) that serves to block the tunneling attempt.

Figure 8-a) shows the exponential decay of the tunneling probability with barrier width L_b , for two different barrier configurations. The cavity widths were taken to be L = 1.5cm, while the barrier depth was taken to be $h_b = 0.092$ cm (depicted with triangles) and $h_b = 0.090$ cm (depicted with squares). The number of attempts depends on the cavity width and for L = 1.5cm we observed an average of 55 attempts per simulation. We first considered $h_b = 0.092$ cm, and observed the exponential decay of the tunneling probability from approximately 65% to 2%, as the barrier width increases from 0.36cm to 0.52cm. Note that we do not expect the 1D dynamical model reported herein to be in quantitative agreement with 2D laboratory experiments by [1]. The least-squares fit is satisfactory, and the exponential decay rate does not change much as we change the barrier's depth. For a higher (shallower) barrier $(h_b = 0.090 \text{ cm})$, the tunneling probability is evidently smaller and the model responds accordingly, as shown by the square markers. Despite the configuration not being semi-infinite, as is usual in the quantum tunnelling problem, we observed exponential decay with barrier-width, except for specific cases where resonance arises: the small cavity-length together with the barrier-width are a multiple of the Faraday wavelength, allowing for substantial forcing of blocking waves in the other cavity. These resonant cases were more common for a cavity of length L = 1.2 cm, where the average number of tunnelling attempts (per simulation) was of the order of 80.

Our theoretical model also leads to a novel prediction. Figure 8-b) indicates an optimal Γ for tunneling, above which blocker waves in the target cavity forbid it. Close to the Faraday threshold, the prevalence of standing waves in the target cavity blocks most tunneling attempts.

In figure 9, we display the oscillatory motion of a droplet confined to a single cavity (L = 1.0 cm) for three values of Γ . Histograms of the droplet position are presented in figure 9-d). In figure 10, we display the probability density function (PDF) for a droplet walking between two cavities of L = 1.2cm and barrier depth $h_b = 0.092$ cm. We consider the case where tunneling is relatively unlikely (19%), as in figure 7-b). In figure 10-a) we present the results of a long simulation up to a time $t = 25000T_F$, for which 25 million droplet positions are recorded. In figure 10-b) we consider the same configuration but for 12 different initial droplet positions, 6 in each cavity. A single realization is displayed in figure 10-c), where the droplet is found more often in the right cavity. Each simulation extends over $5000T_F$. For each starting point, 5 million droplet positions are recorded and then superposed. The PDFs 6-a) and 6-b) are remarkably similar, suggesting the possibility of a stationary ergodic process for the random tunneling events and related droplet positions. This behavior is also apparent for other Γ values, as shown in figure 11 where only 6 initial positions were taken, but the limiting pattern of the PDF is already emerging. We have reduced both the forcing Γ and the barrier width L_b relative to figure 10.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We have presented a new theoretical model capable of describing 1D pilot-wave dynamics over variable bottom topography. We have used it to explore the dynamics and statistics of tunneling and of walker motion in a confined domain. In a single cavity, the droplet behaves as



FIG. 11. Histograms of droplet position. Here, $\Gamma = 4.5$, $\Gamma_F \approx 5$, L = 1.2cm, $L_b = 0.40$ cm and depth $h_b = 0.092$ cm. a) PDF for a single simulation with $t \in [0, 30000T_F]$. b) PDF for only 6 simulations with different initial droplet positions (3 in each cavity) and $t \in [0, 5000T_F]$.

an oscillator that transitions into a chaotic trajectory at sufficiently high memory.

When two cavities are considered, tunneling simulations confirm that our theoretical model captures the exponential decay of the crossing probability with increasing barrier width [1]. Tunneling simulations also indicate an optimal memory range for crossing due to the prevalence of blocker waves in the target cavity at high memory. In [17], the authors studied the phenomenon of quantum tunneling across a symmetric double-well potential perturbed by a monochromatic driving force. Playing a role roughly analogous to the blocker waves in our hydrodynamic pilot-wave system, this periodic driving leads to the "coherent destruction of tunneling", as defined by the authors. The statistical behavior of our system, specifically the emergence of a robust PDF, suggests an underlying stationary ergodic process. While we do not expect the 1D dynamical model reported herein to be in quantitative agreement with 2D laboratory experiments, we believe that it has considerable potential in establishing the connection between chaotic particlewave dynamics and emergent quantum-like statistics, as have been reported in a number of hydrodynamic quantum analog systems [5, 18, 19].

The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments. The authors would also like to thank Dr. C. Galeano-Ríos (IMPA), Dr. G. Pucci, Dr. L. Faria and M. Biamonte (MIT) for their comments. AN was supported by CNPq under (PQ-1B) 301949/2007-7, FAPERJ *Cientistas do Nosso Estado* project #102.917/2011 and the MIT-Brazil Program. AN is grateful to the University of Bath, Math. Dept.

and to MIT, Math. Dept., for hosting him as a visiting professor during periods of this research. PAM was supported by a Royal Society Wolfson award and a CNPq-Science without Borders award #402178/2012-2. JWMB was supported by NSF through grant CMMI-1333242, the MIT-Brazil Program and the CNPq-Science Without Borders award #402300/2012-2.

- A. Eddi, E. Fort, F. Moisy, and Y. Couder, "Unpredictable tunneling of a classical wave-particle association," Phys. Rev Lett., 102, 240401–1 (2009).
- [2] G. Gamow, "The quantum theory of nuclear desintegration," Nature (London), 122, 805 (1928).
- [3] G. Pucci, P. Sáenz, L. M. Faria, and J. W. M. Bush, "Non-specular reflection of walking droplets," J. Fluid Mech., 804, R3 (2016).
- [4] L. M. Faria, "A model for Faraday pilot waves over variable topography," J. Fluid Mech., 811, 51 (2017).
- [5] D. M. Harris, J. Moukhtar, E. Fort, Y. Couder, and J. W. M. Bush, "Wavelike statistics from pilot-wave dynamics in a circular corral," Phys. Rev. E, 88, 011001 (2013).
- [6] B. Benjamin and F. Ursell, "The stability of the plane free surface of a liquid in a vertical periodic motion," Proc. Royal Soc., 225, 505 (1954).
- [7] J. Walker, "Drops of liquid can be made to float on the liquid. What enables them to do so?" Sci. Am., 238, 151 (1978).
- [8] Y. Couder, S. Protière, E. Fort, and A. Boudaoud, "Walking and orbiting droplets," Nature, 437, 208 (2005).
- [9] J. W. M. Bush, "Pilot-wave hydrodynamics," Ann. Rev Fluid Mech., 47, 269 (2015).
- [10] P. A. Milewski, C. A. Galeano-Rios, A. Nachbin, and J. W. M. Bush, "Faraday pilot-wave dynamics: modelling and computation,".
- [11] A. Eddi, E. Sultan, J. Moukhtar, E. Fort, M. Rossi, and Y. Couder, "Information stored in Faraday waves: the origin of a path memory," J. Fluid Mech., 674, 433 (2011).
- [12] J. Molacek and J. W. M. Bush, "Drops walking on a vibrating bath: towards a hydrodynamic pilot-wave theory," J. Fluid Mech., **727**, 612 (2014).
- [13] T.A. Driscoll and L.N. Trefethen, Schwarz-Christoffel Mapping (Cambridge Univ. Press, U.K., 2002).
- [14] A. Nachbin, "A terrain-following Boussinesq system," SIAM J. Appl. Math., 63, 905 (2003).
- [15] W. Artiles and A. Nachbin, "Nonlinear evolution of surface gravity waves over highly variable depth," Phys. Rev Lett., 93, 234501 (2004).
- [16] A. Fokas and A. Nachbin, "Water waves over a variable bottom: a non-local formulation and conformal mappings," J. Fluid Mech., 695, 288 (2012).
- [17] F. Grossman, T. Dittrich, P. Jung, and P. Hanggi, "Coherent destruction of tunneling," Phys. Rev Lett., 67, 516 (1991).
- [18] S. Perrard, M. Labousse, M. Miskin, E. Fort, and Y. Couder, "Self-organization into quantized eigenstates of a classical wave-driven particle," Nat. Commun., 5,

3219(2014).

[19] D. M. Harris and J. W. M. Bush, "Droplets walking in

a rotating frame: from quantized orbits to multimodal statistics," J. Fluid Mech., **739**, 444 (2014).