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An integrable nonlocal nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation with clear physical motivations is proposed. This equation is obtained from a special reduction of the Manakov system, and it describes Manakov solutions whose two components are related by a parity symmetry. Since the Manakov system governs wave propagation in a wide variety of physical systems, our nonlocal equation has clear physical meanings. Solitons and multi-solitons in this nonlocal equation are also investigated in the framework of Riemann-Hilbert formulations. Surprisingly, symmetry relations of discrete scattering data for this equation are found to be very complicated, where constraints between eigenvectors in the scattering data depend on the number and locations of the underlying discrete eigenvalues in a very complex manner. As a consequence, general N-solitons are difficult to obtain in the Riemann-Hilbert framework. However, one- and two-solitons are derived, and their dynamics investigated. It is found that two-solitons are generally not a nonlinear superposition of one-solitons, and they exhibit interesting dynamics such as meandering and sudden position shifts. As a generalization, other integrable and physically meaningful nonlocal equations are also proposed, which include NLS equations of reverse-time and reverse-space-time types as well as nonlocal Manakov equations of reverse-space, reverse-time and reverse-space-time types.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Integrable systems have been studied for over fifty years [1–5]. The most familiar integrable systems are local equations, i.e., the solution’s evolution depends only on the local solution value and its local space and time derivatives. The Korteweg-de Vries equation and the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation are such examples.

In the past few years, nonlocal integrable equations started to attract a lot of attention. The first such equation, as proposed by Ablowitz and Musslimani [6] as a special reduction of the Ablowitz-Kaup-Newell-Segur (AKNS) hierarchy [7], is the NLS equation of reverse-space type,

\[ i u_t (x,t) + q_x (x,t) + 2 \sigma q^2 (x,t) q^* (-x,t) = 0, \]

where \( \sigma = \pm 1 \) is the sign of nonlinearity, and the asterisk \(*\) represents complex conjugation. This equation is distinctive because solution states at distant locations \( x \) and \(-x\) are directly coupled, reminiscent of quantum entanglement between pairs of particles.

Following the introduction of this equation, its properties have been extensively investigated [6, 8–19]. In addition, other nonlocal integrable equations have been reported [20–40]. A transformation between many nonlocal and local equations has been discovered as well [35].

From a mathematical point of view, studies of these nonlocal equations is interesting because these equations often feature distinctive types of solution behaviors, such as finite-time solution blowup [6, 17], the simultaneous existence of solitons and kinks [32], the simultaneous existence of bright and dark solitons [6, 13], and distinctive multi-soliton patterns [18]. However, the physical motivations of these existing nonlocal equations are rather weak. Indeed, none of these equations was derived for a concrete physical system [even though the nonlocal equation (1) above was linked to an unconventional system of magnetics [41], it is not clear whether such an unconventional magnetics system is physically realizable]. This lack of physical motivation damps the interest in these nonlocal equations from the broader scientific community. It is noted that another way to introduce nonlocality into wave equations is through integral terms. Nonlocal NLS equations with integral terms have been derived for a number of physical systems, such as the motion of a thin vortex filament in a quantum fluid [42], and optical waves in nonlocal media of thermal or diffusive type [43]. However, those integral-type nonlocal equations are non-integrable.

In this article, we propose an integrable nonlocal NLS equation which has clear physical meanings. This equation is

\[ i u_t (x,t) + u_{xx} (x,t) + 2 \sigma (|u(x,t)|^2 + |u(-x,t)|^2) u(x,t) = 0, \]

where \( \sigma = \pm 1 \). Here, the nonlocality is also of reverse-space type, where solutions at locations \( x \) and \(-x\) are directly coupled, similar to Eq. (1). The difference from Eq. (1) is that the nonlinear terms are different. Here, the nonlinearity-induced potential \( 2 \sigma (|u(x,t)|^2 + |u(-x,t)|^2) \) is real and symmetric in \( x \), which contrasts the previous equation (1), where the nonlinearity-induced potential \( 2 \sigma q(x,t) q^* (-x,t) \) is generally complex and parity-time-symmetric [44].

Our equation (2) will be derived from a special reduction of the Manakov system [45]. It is well known that the Manakov system governs nonlinear wave propagation in a great variety of physical situations, such as the interaction of two incoherent light beams in crystals [46–48], the transmission of light in a randomly birefringent optical fiber [49–52], and the evolution of two-component Bose-
Einstein condensates [53, 54]. Thus, our nonlocal equation governs nonlinear wave propagation in such physical systems under a certain constraint of the initial conditions, where the two components of the Manakov system are related by a parity symmetry. This physical interpretation can help us understand the solution behaviors in this nonlocal equation.

For this integrable nonlocal equation, we will further study its bright solitons and multi-solitons in the framework of Riemann-Hilbert formulation (which is a modern study its bright solitons and multi-solitons in the framework of this nonlocal equation. The above derivation of this nonlocal equation also reveals the physical interpretation of its solutions. Specifically, this equation describes solutions of the Manakov system under special initial conditions where \( v(x, 0) = u(−x, 0) \), i.e., the \( u \) and \( v \) components are related by parity symmetry. In this case, the \( u(x, t) \) solution is governed by the nonlocal equation (6), while the \( v(x, t) \) solution is given in terms of \( u(x, t) \) as \( v(x, t) = u(−x, t) \). We emphasize that even though the Manakov system has been extensively studied before [5, 45, 55], its solutions with special initial conditions \( v(x, 0) = u(−x, 0) \) have not received much attention. Since these special solutions are governed by a single nonlocal equation (6), this opens the door for studies of these solutions in the framework of this nonlocal equation.

The above nonlocal equation is also integrable. To get its Lax pair, we recall that the Lax pair of the Manakov system (3)-(4) are

\[
Y_x = (−iζΛ + Q)Y, \\
Y_t = [−2iζ^2Λ + 2ζQ + iΛ(Q_x − Q^2)]Y,
\]

where

\[
Λ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & −1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 0 & v \\ −σu^* & −σv^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The Lax pair for the nonlocal equation (6) are simply the above ones with \( v(x, t) \) replaced by \( u(−x, t) \) in view of the reduction (5).

### III. SOLITONS AND MULTI-SOLITONS IN OUR NONLOCAL EQUATION

Since our nonlocal equation (6) is integrable, it is natural to seek its general soliton and multi-soliton solutions. Recall that this nonlocal equation is a reduction of the Manakov system. Thus, its solitons are a part of Manakov solitons. But what Manakov solitons satisfy this nonlocal equation? This is actually a nontrivial question. The present situation is similar to the previous nonlocal NLS equation (1). Even though that equation was a reduction of the well-known coupled \( q-r \) system in the AKNS hierarchy [5–7], its solutions were still not...
obvious, which prompted a lot of studies on that equation in the past few years [6, 8–19]. In this article, we only consider bright-soliton solutions, which exist under focusing nonlinearity; thus we set $\sigma = 1$ below.

In a previous article [18], we derived general $N$-solitons in the previous nonlocal NLS equation (1) and two others of reverse-time and reverse-space-time types, which were reduced from the $q$-$r$ system in the AKNS hierarchy [6, 7, 28]. That derivation was set in the Riemann-Hilbert framework. Starting from the general $N$-soliton solutions of the $q$-$r$ system and deriving symmetry relations of the discrete scattering data for those nonlocal equations, general $N$-solitons of those nonlocal equations were then obtained. In that approach, derivation of symmetry relations of the scattering data was the key. It turns out that those symmetry relations were simple (as in all previous integrable systems we are aware of). Thus, general $N$-solitons in those nonlocal equations were easy to write down.

In this article, we follow a similar approach. Since our nonlocal equation (6) is a reduction from the Manakov system, we will start from the general soliton solutions of the Manakov system in the Riemann-Hilbert formulation. As before, the key to obtaining solitons in this nonlocal equation is to derive symmetry relations of its discrete scattering data. It turns out that these symmetry relations are surprisingly complicated for this nonlocal equation, which makes derivations of its general $N$-solitons more difficult.

### A. Inverse scattering and $N$-solitons for the Manakov system

We begin with inverse scattering and $N$-solitons for the Manakov system, formulated in the Riemann-Hilbert framework [5, 45, 55]. In this treatment, the solutions $(u, v)$ are assumed to decay to zero sufficiently fast as $x$ approaches $\pm \infty$.

Inverse scattering is based on the Lax pair (7)-(8). Introducing variables

$$J = Y \exp \{ i\zeta Ax + 2i\zeta^2 At \} ,$$

then this Lax pair for $J$ become

$$J_x = -i\zeta[A, J] + QJ ,$$

and

$$J_t = -2i\zeta^2[A, J] + [2iQ + i\Lambda(Qx - Q^2)] J,$$

where $[A, J] = \Lambda J - J\Lambda$.

The matrix Jost solutions $J_{\pm}(x, t, \zeta)$ are defined by the large-$x$ asymptotics

$$J_{\pm}(x, t, \zeta) \to I_3, \quad x \to \pm \infty .$$

Here $I_3$ is the unit matrix of rank three. We also introduce the notations

$$J_+ E = \Psi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3),$$

where $E = e^{-i\zeta Ax}$. Since $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are both fundamental matrices of the scattering problem (7), they are related by an $x$-independent scattering matrix $S(t, \zeta) = [s_{ij}]$ for real $\zeta$.

$$\Phi = \Psi S, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R} .$$

An important property of these Jost solutions is that some of them can be analytically extended off the real-$\zeta$ axis into the upper complex $\zeta$ plane $\mathbb{C}_+$. Specifically, one can show that [5, 45, 55], Jost solutions

$$P^+ = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3)e^{i\zeta Ax} = J_- H_1 + J_+ H_2$$

are analytic in $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_+$, where

$$H_1 = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0), \quad H_2 = \text{diag}(0, 0, 1).$$

In addition, some of the inverse Jost solutions,

$$J_-^{-1} = E\Phi^{-1}, \quad J_+^{-1} = E\Psi^{-1},$$

can be analytically extended off the real-$\zeta$ axis into the lower complex $\zeta$ plane $\mathbb{C}_-$. Specifically, by expressing $\Phi^{-1}$ and $\Psi^{-1}$ as a collection of rows,

$$\Phi^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\varphi}_1 \\ \hat{\varphi}_2 \\ \hat{\varphi}_3 \end{array} \right), \quad \Psi^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\psi}_1 \\ \hat{\psi}_2 \\ \hat{\psi}_3 \end{array} \right) ,$$

then the inverse Jost solutions

$$P^- = e^{-i\zeta Ax} \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\varphi}_1 \\ \hat{\varphi}_2 \\ \hat{\varphi}_3 \end{array} \right) = H_1 J_-^{-1} + H_2 J_+^{-1}$$

are analytic in $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_-$. The large-$\zeta$ asymptotics of these analytical solutions are

$$P^\pm(x, t, \zeta) \to I_3, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}_\pm \to \infty .$$

Hence, we have constructed two matrix functions $P^+$ and $P^-$ which are analytic in $\mathbb{C}_+$ and $\mathbb{C}_-$ respectively. On the real line, they are related by

$$P^-(x, t, \zeta) P^+(x, t, \zeta) = G(x, t, \zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$G = E (H_1 + H_2 S)(H_1 + S^{-1} H_2) E^{-1}$$

$$= E \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \hat{s}_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & \hat{s}_{23} \\ \hat{s}_{31} & \hat{s}_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix} E^{-1},$$

and $S^{-1} = [\hat{s}_{ij}]$. Equation (23) determines a matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem under the normalization condition (22).

To solve this Riemann-Hilbert problem, in addition to the scattering coefficients ($\hat{s}_{31}, \hat{s}_{32}, \hat{s}_{13}, \hat{s}_{23}$) for $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, one
also needs the locations of zeros for \( \det P^\pm \) in \( \mathbb{C}_+ \) as well as the kernels of \( P^\pm \) at these zeros. From the definitions of \( P^\pm \) in (17) and (21) as well as the scattering relation (16), we see that

\[
\det P^+ = \hat{s}_{33}, \quad \det P^- = s_{33} \tag{25}
\]

Suppose \( \hat{s}_{33}(t, \zeta) \) and \( s_{33}(t, \zeta) \) have simple zeros at \( \zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}_+ \) and \( \tilde{\zeta}_k \in \mathbb{C}_- \) \((1 \leq k \leq N)\) respectively. In this case, each of the kernels of \( P^+(x, t, \zeta_k) \) and \( P^-(x, t, \tilde{\zeta}_k) \) contains only a single column vector \( \mathbf{w}_k \) or row vector \( \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k \):

\[
P^+(x, t, \zeta_k) \mathbf{w}_k = 0, \quad \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k P^-(x, t, \tilde{\zeta}_k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N. \tag{26}
\]

Here, the vectors \( \mathbf{w}_k \) and \( \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k \) are \((x, t)\)-dependent. Then the minimal scattering data for solving the Riemann-Hilbert problem (22)-(23) is

\[
\{ s_{31}, s_{32}, \hat{s}_{13}, \hat{s}_{23}, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}; \ \zeta_k, \tilde{\zeta}_k, \mathbf{w}_k, \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k, 1 \leq k \leq N \}. \tag{27}
\]

We should point out that the Riemann-Hilbert zeros \( \zeta_k \) and \( \tilde{\zeta}_k \) are simply the discrete eigenvalues of the scattering equation (7), when viewed as an eigenvalue problem [5]. This is why throughout this article, we call \( \zeta_k \) and \( \tilde{\zeta}_k \) as Riemann-Hilbert zeros or discrete eigenvalues exchangeably.

For the Manakov system, this minimal scattering data must satisfy certain symmetry constraints, which are induced by the symmetry relation

\[
Q^\dagger = -Q \tag{28}
\]

of the potential matrix in Eq. (9). Here, the superscript \( \dagger \) represents the Hermitian (i.e., conjugate transpose) of a matrix. For the discrete scattering data, these symmetry constraints are found to be

\[
\tilde{\zeta}_k = \zeta_k, \quad \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k = \mathbf{w}_k^\dagger. \tag{29}
\]

For the continuous scattering data, the symmetry constraints are

\[
S^\dagger(t, \zeta^*) = S^{-1}(t, \zeta). \tag{30}
\]

One distinctive property of integrable systems is that, their scattering data features simple temporal and spatial dependence. For the underlying Manakov system, we can show that the Riemann-Hilbert zeros \( \zeta_k \) and \( \tilde{\zeta}_k \) are all time-independent (and are thus constants), and the eigenvectors \( \mathbf{w}_k(x, t) \) as well as the scattering coefficients \( s_{31}(t, \zeta), s_{32}(t, \zeta) \) are exponential functions of \( x \) and/or \( t \),

\[
\mathbf{w}_k(x, t) = e^{-i \zeta_k x - 2i \zeta_k^2 t} \mathbf{w}_{k0}, \tag{31}
\]

\[
s_{31}(t, \zeta) = s_{31}(0, \zeta) e^{i \zeta_k^2 t}, \tag{32}
\]

\[
s_{32}(t, \zeta) = s_{32}(0, \zeta) e^{i \zeta_k^2 t}, \tag{33}
\]

where \( \mathbf{w}_{k0} \) is a constant column vector, and \( s_{31}(0, \zeta), s_{32}(0, \zeta) \) are initial scattering coefficients. Temporal and spatial dependence of \( \overline{\mathbf{w}}_k \) and \( \hat{s}_{13}, \hat{s}_{23} \) can be determined through the symmetry relations (29)-(30).

The key to the success of the inverse scattering transform method is that, if the matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem (22)-(23) can be solved, then the potential \( Q \), hence the solutions \((u, v)\), can be reconstructed from the Riemann-Hilbert solution \( P^\pm \). Specifically, by expanding \( P^\pm \) as

\[
P^\pm(x, t, \zeta) = \mathbf{I}_3 + \zeta^{-1} P^\pm_1(x, t) + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-2}), \tag{34}
\]

inserting it into Eq. (11) and comparing terms of the same order in \( \zeta^{-1} \), we find that the potential \( Q \) is given by

\[
Q = i[\Lambda, P^+_1] = -i[\Lambda, P^-_1]. \tag{35}
\]

The Manakov solutions \((u, v)\) then can be extracted from the above \( Q \) formula.

In general, the matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem (22)-(23) defies explicit analytical solutions. However, if the scattering coefficients \((s_{31}, s_{32}, \hat{s}_{13}, \hat{s}_{23})\) are all zero, so that the Riemann-Hilbert equation (23) reduces to \( P^- P^+ = I \), then this problem can be solved explicitly, and the \( P^+ \) solution is \([5]\)

\[
P^+(x, t, \zeta) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{j, k=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{w}_j (M^{-1})_{jk} \mathbf{w}_k}{\zeta - \zeta_k}, \tag{36}
\]

where

\[
M_{jk} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{w}}_j \mathbf{w}_k}{\zeta_j - \zeta_k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N. \tag{37}
\]

The corresponding solutions \((u, v)\) of the Manakov system are called \( N \)-soliton solutions. Incorporating the symmetry constraints (29) as well as the spatial-temporal formula (31) for \( \mathbf{w}_k \), and normalizing the column eigenvectors \( \mathbf{w}_{k0} \) to

\[
\mathbf{w}_{k0} = (\alpha_k, \beta_k, 1)^T, \tag{38}
\]

so that their last elements are unity, then these \( N \)-soliton solutions are

\[
\begin{pmatrix} u(x, t) \\ v(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = 2i \sum_{j, k=1}^N \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_j \end{pmatrix} e^{\theta_j - \theta_k^*} (M^{-1})_{jk}, \tag{39}
\]

where \( M \) is a \( N \times N \) matrix whose elements are given by

\[
M_{jk} = \frac{1}{\xi_j^* - \zeta_k} \left[ e^{-(\theta_j^* + \theta_k)} + (\alpha_j^* \alpha_k + \beta_j^* \beta_k) e^{\theta_j^* + \theta_k} \right],
\]

\[
\theta_k = -i \zeta_k x - 2i \zeta_k^2 t. \tag{40}
\]

\( \zeta_k \) are complex numbers in the upper half plane \( \mathbb{C}_+ \), \( \alpha_k, \beta_k \) are arbitrary complex constants, and the superscript \( 'T' \) represents transpose of a vector.
B. Symmetry constraints of scattering data in the nonlocal equation

The nonlocal equation (6) is obtained from the Manakov equations under the solution reduction (5). This solution reduction induces an additional symmetry of the potential matrix $Q$,

$$Q(-x, t) = -P^{-1}Q(x, t)P,$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (42)$$

This additional potential symmetry will impose additional constraints on the scattering data, which need to be determined and incorporated into the above Manakov-soliton formulae in order to obtain solitons of the nonlocal equation (6). Note that the Manakov solitons (39) are given in terms of the eigenvalues $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and their corresponding eigenvectors $w_k$. Thus, the additional constraints on the discrete scattering data for the nonlocal equation (6) will be constraints for eigenvalues $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and their corresponding eigenvectors $w_{k0}$. These symmetry constraints are presented in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** For the nonlocal NLS equation (6), if $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}_+$ is a discrete eigenvalue, so is $\zeta^* \in \mathbb{C}_+$. Thus, eigenvalues in the upper complex plane are either purely imaginary, or appear as $(\zeta, \zeta^*)$ pairs. Symmetry relations on their eigenvectors depend on the number and locations of these eigenvalues. For the one- and two-solitons (with a single and double eigenvalues in $\mathbb{C}_+$ respectively), these symmetry relations are given below.

1. For a single purely imaginary eigenvalue $\zeta_1 = i\eta$, with $\eta > 0$, its eigenvector is of the form

$$w_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-1/2}e^{i\gamma} \\ 2^{-1/2}e^{-i\gamma} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}^T, \hspace{1cm} (43)$$

where $\gamma$ is an arbitrary real constant.

2. For two purely-imaginary eigenvalues $\zeta_1 = i\eta_1$ and $\zeta_2 = i\eta_2$, with $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$, their eigenvectors $w_{10} = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, 1)^T$ and $w_{20} = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1)^T$ are related as

$$|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2 = |\alpha_2|^2 + |\beta_2|^2, \hspace{1cm} (44)$$

$$g^2 \left[ |\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2 \right] = (1 - g^2) \left( 1 - |\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2|^2 \right), \hspace{1cm} (45)$$

$$\beta_1 = (1 + g)(\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* + \beta_1 \beta_2^*) \alpha_2 + -g(\alpha_2 \beta_1^*) \alpha_1, \hspace{1cm} (46)$$

$$\beta_2 = (1 - g)(\alpha_1 \beta_2^*) \alpha_2 + (1 - g)(\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \beta_2) \alpha_1, \hspace{1cm} (47)$$

where

$$g = (\eta_1 + \eta_2)/(\eta_2 - \eta_1). \hspace{1cm} (48)$$

These equations admit solutions for $w_{10}$ and $w_{20}$ if and only if $|\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2| \leq 1$, and the admitted solutions have two free real parameters (not counting the eigenvalue parameters $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$).

3. For two non-purely-imaginary eigenvalues $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \mathbb{C}_+$, where $\zeta_2 = -\zeta_1^*$, their eigenvectors $w_{10} = (\alpha_1, \beta_1, 1)^T$ and $w_{20} = (\alpha_2, \beta_2, 1)^T$ are related as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = S \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} (49)$$

where

$$S = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} - \frac{1}{2\zeta_1^2} \right) \times \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\alpha_1^* \beta_2}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} & \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2}{-\alpha_1 \beta_2^*} - \frac{|\beta_1|^2}{2\zeta_1^2} \\ -\frac{|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} & \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2}{-\alpha_1 \beta_2^*} - \frac{|\beta_1|^2}{2\zeta_1^2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \hspace{1cm} (50)$$

and $\alpha_1, \beta_1$ are free complex constants.

**Proof.** The symmetry constraints on the discrete scattering data for the nonlocal equation (6) are induced by the potential symmetry (41). Switching $x \rightarrow -x$ in the scattering equation (7) and utilizing this potential symmetry, we get

$$[PY(-x)]_x = [\zeta \Lambda + Q(x)][PY(-x)]. \hspace{1cm} (50)$$

This means that, if $\zeta$ is a discrete eigenvalue of the scattering problem (7), so is $-\zeta$. But it is known from Eq. (29) that for the general Manakov system, discrete eigenvalues to the scattering equation (7) come in conjugate pairs. Thus, if $-\zeta$ is a discrete eigenvalue, so is $-\zeta^*$. This proves the eigenvalue symmetry in Theorem 1.

It is important to notice that, although we can show $-\zeta^*$ would be an eigenvalue so long as $\zeta$ is, there is no simple relation between their eigenfunctions, and thus one cannot obtain a simple symmetry relation between their eigenvectors in the scattering data. Eigenfunctions for $\zeta$ and $-\zeta$ are directly related in view of Eq. (50). But $-\zeta$ is in the opposite half plane of $\zeta$. Thus, that eigenfunction relation for $\zeta$ and $-\zeta$ is not useful for our purpose.

To prove symmetry relations of eigenvectors for one- and two-solitons in Theorem 1, we utilize the connection between these eigenvectors and Riemann-Hilbert-based N-soliton solutions (39) of the Manakov system. By imposing the condition $v(x, t) = u(-x, t)$ on the Manakov solitons, we will be able to derive symmetry conditions of eigenvectors for the nonlocal NLS equation (6).

First, we consider one-solitons, where there is a single purely imaginary eigenvalue $\zeta_1 = i\eta \in \mathbb{C}_+$, with $\eta > 0$. In this case, the one-Manakov-soliton from Eq. (39) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(x, t) \\ v(x, t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-2\eta x} + (|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2)e^{2\eta x}.$$
By requiring $v(x, t) = u(-x, t)$, we get the conditions

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2), \quad \alpha_1 = \beta_1(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2).$$

Hence,

$$|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2 = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = \beta_1,$$

and $|\alpha_1|^2 = 1/2$. Writing $\alpha_1 = 2^{-1/2}e^{i\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ is a real constant, the resulting eigenvector $w_{10}$ is then as given in Eq. (43).

Next, we consider two-solitons, where there are two complex eigenvalues $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case, the general two-Manakov-solitons from Eq. (39) can be rewritten as

$$u(x, t) = \frac{2i}{\text{det}(M)} \left[ A_1 e^{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + i\zeta_2 t} + A_2 e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + i\zeta_2 t} + A_3 e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 - i\zeta_2 t} + A_4 e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + i\zeta_2 t} \right], \quad (51)$$

$$v(x, t) = \frac{2i}{\text{det}(M)} \left[ B_1 e^{\theta_1 - \theta_2 + i\zeta_2 t} + B_2 e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + i\zeta_2 t} + B_3 e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 - i\zeta_2 t} + B_4 e^{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + i\zeta_2 t} \right], \quad (52)$$

where

$$\text{det}(M) = C_1 e^{-(\theta_1 + i\zeta_2 t)} + C_2 e^{\theta_1 + i\zeta_2 t} + C_3 e^{-(\theta_1 + i\zeta_2 t)} + C_4 e^{\theta_1 + i\zeta_2 t},$$

$$\theta_k$$ is given in Eq. (40), and coefficients $A_k, B_k, C_k$ are certain functions of $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ whose expressions are given below:

$$A_1 = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} - \frac{1}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} \right) \alpha_1,$$

$$A_2 = \frac{\alpha_1(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2)}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} - \frac{\alpha_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2^* + \beta_1 \beta_2^*}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1},$$

$$A_3 = \frac{\alpha_2(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2)}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* + \beta_1 \beta_2^*}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2},$$

$$A_4 = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} - \frac{1}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} \right) \alpha_2,$$

$$B_1 = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} - \frac{1}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} \right) \beta_1,$$

$$B_2 = \frac{\beta_1(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2)}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} - \frac{\beta_2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2^* + \beta_1 \beta_2^*}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1},$$

$$B_3 = \frac{\beta_2(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2)}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} - \frac{\beta_1 \alpha_1 \alpha_2^* + \beta_1 \beta_2^*}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2},$$

$$B_4 = \left( \frac{1}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} - \frac{1}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2} \right) \beta_2,$$

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_1) (\zeta_2 - \zeta_2) + \frac{1}{|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2}},$$

$$C_2 = \frac{(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2) (|\alpha_2|^2 + |\beta_2|^2)}{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_1) (\zeta_2 - \zeta_2) + \frac{1}{|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2}} + \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \beta_1 \beta_2^*}{|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2},$$

$$C_3 = \frac{|\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2}{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_1) (\zeta_2 - \zeta_2)},$$

$$C_4 = \frac{|\alpha_2|^2 + |\beta_2|^2}{(\zeta_1 - \zeta_1) (\zeta_2 - \zeta_2)},$$

$$C_5 = \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2^* + \beta_1 \beta_2^*}{|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2}.$$

For two-solitons, there are two cases to consider.

1. If the two eigenvalues $\zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2$ are purely imaginary, i.e.,

$$\zeta_1 = i\eta_1, \quad \zeta_2 = i\eta_2,$$

with $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$, then

$$\theta_k = \eta_k x + 2i\eta_k^2 t, \quad \theta_k + \theta_k^* = 2\eta_k x, \quad \theta_k - \theta_k^* = 4i\eta_k^2 t.$$

In this case, when $x \to -x$,

$$\theta_k + \theta_k^* \to -(\theta_k + \theta_k^*), \quad \theta_k - \theta_k^* \to \theta_k - \theta_k^*.$$

Thus, by cross multiplication of the ratio expressions for $u(-x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ from (51)-(52) and requiring exponentials of the same power to match, we find that the necessary and sufficient conditions for $v(x, t) = u(-x, t)$ are

$$A_1 = B_2, \quad A_2 = B_1, \quad A_3 = B_4, \quad A_4 = B_3, \quad (53)$$

$$C_1 = C_2, \quad C_3 = C_4. \quad (54)$$

The requirement of $C_3 = C_4$ directly leads to Eq. (44) in Theorem 1, and the requirement of $C_1 = C_2$ leads to Eq. (45). Under these two requirements on $C_k$’s, we find that only two of the four conditions for $A_k$’s and $B_k$’s in Eq. (53) are independent, i.e., if two of them are satisfied, then the other two would be satisfied automatically. When we choose the two conditions as $A_2 = B_1$ and $A_3 = B_4$, these conditions would lead to equations (46)-(47).

Later in Sec. IVB, we will explicitly solve the four equations (44)-(47), and show that they admit solutions for $w_{10}$ and $w_{20}$ if and only if $|\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2| \leq 1$. In
addition, the admitted solutions have four free real parameters (not counting the eigenvalue parameters $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$).

(2) If the two eigenvalues $\zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2$ are not purely imaginary, then $\zeta_2 = -\zeta_1^*$. In this case,

$$\theta_1 = -i\zeta_1 x - 2i\zeta_1^2 t, \quad \theta_2 = i\zeta_1 x - 2i\zeta_1^2 t;$$

thus,

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2^* = -2i\zeta_1 x, \quad \theta_1 - \theta_2^* = -4i\zeta_1^2 t.$$

Then, as $x \to -x$,

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2^* \to -(\theta_1 + \theta_2^*), \quad \theta_1 - \theta_2^* \to \theta_1 - \theta_2^*.$$

Recalling the expressions of $u(x,t)$ and $v(x,t)$ in Eqs. (51)-(52), we find that in order for $v(x,t) = u(-x,t)$, the necessary and sufficient conditions now are

$$A_1 = B_3, \quad A_2 = B_4, \quad A_3 = B_1, \quad A_4 = B_2, \quad (55)$$

and

$$C_1 = C_2, \quad C_5 = C_5^* \quad (56)$$

The $A_1 = B_3$ and $A_3 = B_1$ conditions are

$$\frac{\beta_2(1 + |\beta_1|^2 - \zeta_1^* \zeta_1)}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_1^*} = \frac{\beta_1(\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2)}{2\zeta_1^*}$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha_2(1 + |\beta_1|^2 - \zeta_1^* \zeta_1)}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_1^*} = \frac{\alpha_1(\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2)}{2\zeta_1^*}$$

which can be rewritten as equations (49) in Theorem 1. Remarkably, we find that when $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ are related to $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ by Eq. (49), all the other conditions in (55)-(56) are automatically satisfied. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 shows that for the nonlocal NLS equation (6), symmetry relations of eigenvectors in the scattering data are very complicated, because such relations depend on the number and locations of eigenvalues in a highly nontrivial way. Given the complexity of these symmetry relations for two-solitons, such relations for three and higher solitons are expected to be even more complicated. This poses a challenge for deriving general $N$-solitons in Eq. (6), at least in the Riemann-Hilbert framework.

**Remark 2.** The symmetry relations in Theorem 1 hold only for pure-soliton solutions. If the solution contains radiation on top of these solitons, symmetry relations of the discrete scattering data would be different.

**IV. SOLITON DYNAMICS IN THE NONLOCAL NLS EQUATION**

In this section, we examine dynamics of one- and two-solitons of Eq. (6) as presented in Theorem 1.

**A. Single solitons**

Single solitons in the nonlocal NLS equation (6) can be obtained from the single Manakov-soliton (39) with one purely imaginary eigenvalue $\zeta = i\eta$ and with its eigenvector $w_{10}$ given by Eq. (43) in Theorem 1. This soliton is

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{2}\eta e^{i\eta t + i\gamma \text{sech}(2\eta x)}, \quad (57)$$

where $\gamma$ is a free real parameter. Since the nonlocal NLS equation (6) is phase-invariant, the above soliton is equivalent to

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{2}\eta e^{i\eta t + i\gamma \text{sech}(2\eta x)}, \quad (58)$$

which is shown in Fig. 1. This soliton is stationary with constant amplitude, and is symmetric in $x$.

![FIG. 1: The single soliton (58) in the nonlocal NLS equation (6) with $\eta = 1$. (a) Positions of eigenvalues; (b) graph of solution $|u(x,t)|$.](image)

**B. Two-solitons with purely imaginary eigenvalues**

These solitons are obtained from the two-Manakov-solitons (39) with two purely imaginary eigenvalues in $C_+$, and with eigenvectors $w_{10}$, $w_{20}$ satisfying the equations (44)-(47) in Theorem 1. Below, we solve these four equations explicitly.

First, we introduce the notations

$$p \equiv |\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2, \quad q \equiv \alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2,$$

and

$$q \equiv r_0 e^{i\gamma_0}, \quad \alpha_1 \equiv r_1 e^{i\gamma_1}, \quad \alpha_2 \equiv r_2 e^{i\gamma_2},$$

where $r_0, r_1, r_2 (\geq 0)$ are amplitudes of complex numbers $q, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$, and $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ their phases.
Before solving equations (44)-(47), we notice that they admit two invariances, i.e., if
\[
\alpha_1 \to \alpha_1 e^{i\tilde{\gamma}_1}, \quad \beta_1 \to \beta_1 e^{i\tilde{\gamma}_1}, \quad \alpha_2 \to \alpha_2 e^{i\tilde{\gamma}_2}, \quad \beta_2 \to \beta_2 e^{i\tilde{\gamma}_2},
\]
where \(\tilde{\gamma}_1\) and \(\tilde{\gamma}_2\) are arbitrary real constants, then these equations remain invariant. Thus, the phases \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) of parameters \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) are free real constants.

To solve equations (44)-(47), it is convenient to parameterize their solutions in terms of \(q\), i.e., \(r_0\) and \(\gamma_0\), which are two additional free real constants. We will show that solutions exist if and only if \(|q| \leq 1\).

For given \(q\), we can get \(p\) from Eq. (45) as
\[
p = \sqrt{\frac{1 + (g^2 - 1)|q|^2}{g^2}}.
\]

Recall from the definition of \(g\) in Eq. (48) that \(g\) is real and \(|q| > 1\). Thus, the quantity under the square root in the above expression is always positive. After the \(p\) and \(q\) values are available, we see from Eqs. (44) and (46)-(47) that \(\beta_1\) and \(\beta_2\) depend on \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) only linearly, which is a big advantage.

Now, we substitute equations (46)-(47) into (44). After simplification, we obtain a quadratic equation for the ratio \(h \equiv r_2/r_1\) as
\[
ah^2 + bh + c = 0,
\]
where the coefficients are
\[
a = 1 - (1 + g)r_0^2, \quad b = 2gpr_0 \cos(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \quad c = -[1 + (g - 1)r_0^2].
\]

After this \(h\) value is obtained, we insert (46) into the equation \(p = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\beta_1|^2\) and use it to obtain \(r_1\) as
\[
r_1 = \sqrt{\frac{p}{\Omega}},
\]
where
\[
\Omega = 1 + g^2 p^2 + (1 + g)^2 r_0^2 h^2 - 2g(1 + g)p r_0 h \cos(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2),
\]
and the \(r_2\) value is then
\[
r_2 = r_1 h.
\]

By now, the \(\alpha_1\) and \(\alpha_2\) values have been obtained, with their phases \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) being free constants, and their amplitudes \(r_1, r_2\) related to their phases and \(q\) through the above equations. The \(\beta_1, \beta_2\) values are determined subsequently from \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, p\) and \(q\) through equations (46)-(47). We have verified that the \(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\) values thus obtained satisfy the condition \(\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2 = q\); thus the calculations are consistent.

The existence and number of solutions to equations (44)-(47) depend on the existence and number of non-negative solutions to the quadratic equation (59) for \(h\). The discriminant \(\Delta = b^2 - 4ac\) of this quadratic equation can be found to be
\[
\Delta = 4g^2 p^2 r_0^2 \left[ \cos^2(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2) - 1 - \frac{r_0^2 - 1}{r_0^2 (1 + (g^2 - 1)r_0^2)} \right].
\]

Without loss of generality, we let \(0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2\); hence \(g > 1\). Then, utilizing this discriminant and the coefficient expressions of \((a, b, c)\) above, we can easily reach the following conclusions.

1. If \(r_0 > 1\), then \(\Delta < 0\). In this case, the quadratic equation (59) for \(h\) does not admit any non-negative solution.
2. If \(r_0 = 1\), then \(p = 1\), \(a = c = -g\), and \(b = 2g \cos(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2)\). In this case, the quadratic equation (59) admits a single (repeated) positive root \(h = 1\) when \(\cos(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2) = 1\), and the corresponding \(w_{10}\) and \(w_{20}\) solutions are
\[
w_{10} = [2^{-1/2} e^{i\gamma_1}, 2^{-1/2} e^{i\gamma_1}, 1]^T, \quad w_{20} = [2^{-1/2} e^{i\gamma_2}, 2^{-1/2} e^{i\gamma_2}, 1]^T,
\]
where \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) are free real constants.
3. If \(1/\sqrt{1 + g} < r_0 < 1\), then this quadratic \(h\)-equation admits two positive solutions when
\[
\cos(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 - \gamma_2) > 1 + \frac{r_0^2 - 1}{r_0^2 (1 + (g^2 - 1)r_0^2)},
\]
and thus there are two \((w_{10}, w_{20})\) solutions. When the left and right sides of the above inequality become equal, there is a single \((w_{10}, w_{20})\) solution.
4. If \(r_0 < 1/\sqrt{1 + g}\), then \(c/a < 0\). In this case, the quadratic equation (59) admits a single positive root \(h\) for arbitrary \(\gamma_0, \gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) values. Thus, there is a single \((w_{10}, w_{20})\) solution for arbitrary free parameters \(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2\).

To summarize, the above results reveal that equations (46)-(47) admit solutions for \(w_{10}\) and \(w_{20}\) if and only if \(|\alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2| \leq 1\), and the admitted solutions have four free real parameters, which can be chosen as the amplitude and phase of parameter \(q = \alpha_1^* \alpha_2 + \beta_1^* \beta_2\), and the phases of complex numbers \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2\).

Next, we illustrate the dynamics of these two-solitons with imaginary eigenvalues. We will fix \(\eta_1 = i\) and \(\eta_2 = 2i\) and vary the free parameters \(q\) and phases \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) of \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2\). For these \(\eta_1\) and \(\eta_2\) values, \(g = 3\).

First, we choose
\[
q = 0, \quad \gamma_1 = 1, \quad \gamma_2 = 2.
\]

For this \(q\) value, \(r_0 < 1/\sqrt{1 + g}\). Thus, it belongs to the case (4) above, and there is a single solution for \((\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)\), which is found to be
\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^i, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{2i}, \quad \beta_1 = -\alpha_1, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_2.
\]
The corresponding $u(x,t)$ solution from Eq. (39) is displayed in Fig. 2(b). It is seen that this two-soliton meanders periodically, which is an interesting and distinctive pattern. Physically, this meandering can be understood through the connection of the nonlocal NLS equation (6) with the Manakov system (3)-(4). Specifically, the evolution in Fig. 2(b) corresponds to an interaction between this $u(x,t)$ component and its opposite-parity wave $u(-x,t)$ in the $v$-component in the Manakov system. Thus, this interesting meandering of the $u(x,t)$ solution is caused by the interference of its opposite-parity wave $u(-x,t)$. Note that this meandering in Fig. 2(b) resembles internal oscillations of vector solitons in the coupled NLS equations [56]. However, in contrast with the internal oscillations reported in [56], the present meandering does not emit any radiation and thus lasts forever. In addition, the present meandering is described by exact analytical formulae.

Next, we choose

$$q = 0.6e^{3i}, \quad \gamma_1 = 1.5, \quad \gamma_2 = 5. \quad (61)$$

For this $q$ value, $1/\sqrt{1+q} < r_0 < 1$. Thus, it belongs to case (3) above. It is easy to check that the inequality condition in case (3) is met. Hence, there are two sets of $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$ values. The corresponding two $u(x,t)$ solutions from Eq. (39) are displayed in Fig. 2(c,d) respectively. The solution in panel (c) looks like a periodic wave drifting and recovering, while the solution in panel (d) looks like asymmetric meandering.

$$\zeta_1 = 0.1 + 0.5i, \quad \beta_1 = -0.43.$$ 

Then, for three choices of the $\alpha_1$ values of $0.08 - 0.12i$, 0.04 and 0, the corresponding $u(x,t)$ solutions are displayed in Fig. 3. The solution in the upper right panel looks like a reflection of two moving waves of different amplitudes. The solution in the lower right panel looks like the annihilation of the left-moving wave by the right-moving one upon collision. The solution in the lower left panel looks like a single right-moving wave, with its position abruptly shifted near $x = 0$. Again, these interesting behaviors can be understood physically through the connection of the nonlocal NLS equation (6) with the Manakov system (3)-(4). For instance, the abrupt position shift of the single right-moving wave in the lower right panel is caused by a collision of this right-moving wave $u(x,t)$ with its opposite-parity wave $u(-x,t)$ in the $v$-component, which occurs near $x = 0$. It is interesting to note that for the original nonlocal defocusing NLS equation proposed in [6], single moving dark solitons with abrupt position shifts were reported in [8]. Although such dark solitons with abrupt position shifts were derived mathematically, they were difficult to understand physically. In view of the moving bright solitons with abrupt position shifts in Fig. 3, those dark solitons with abrupt position shifts are now a little easier to understand.

Recall from Sec. IV A that one-solitons in the underlying nonlocal equation (6) are stationary. Thus, these two-solitons in Fig. 3 definitely are not nonlinear superpositions of those stationary one-solitons. This behavior resembles that in the previous nonlocal NLS equation (1) as we revealed in [18].

V. OTHER PHYSICALLY-SIGNIFICANT INTEGRABLE NONLOCAL EQUATIONS

Extending ideas of previous sections, we can derive other nonlocal equations of physical relevance.

Starting from the Manakov system (3)-(4), when we impose the solution constraint

$$v(x,t) = u^*(x,-t), \quad (62)$$

we get

$$iu_t(x,t) + u_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma |u(x,t)|^2 |u(x,-t)|^2 u(x,t) = 0, \quad (63)$$
which is our nonlocal NLS equation of reverse-time type. When we impose the solution constraint

\[ u(x,t) = u^*(-x,-t), \] (64)

the Manakov system reduces to

\[ iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(-x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) = 0, \] (65)

which is our nonlocal NLS equation of reverse-space-time type. These two equations differ from the previous nonlocal NLS equations of reverse-time and reverse-space-time types in [28] in the nonlinear terms. Both of our nonlocal equations (63) and (65) are also integrable, and their Lax pairs are (7)-(8) with \( v(x,t) \) replaced by \( u^*(-x,-t) \) and \( u^*(-x,-t) \) respectively.

Physically, the reverse-time NLS equation (63) describes the solutions of the Manakov system under special initial conditions where \( v(x,0) = u^*(x,0) \). In this case, the solution \( u(x,t) \) of the reverse-time equation (63) for negative time gives the \( v(x,t) \) solution of the Manakov system for positive time through \( v(x,t) = u^*(x,-t) \). The reverse-space-time NLS equation (65) describes the solutions of the Manakov system under special initial conditions where \( v(x,0) = u^*(-x,0) \). In this case, the solution \( u(x,t) \) of the reverse-space-time equation (65) for negative time gives the \( v(x,t) \) solution of the Manakov system for positive time through \( v(x,t) = u^*(-x,-t) \).

The above ideas can be generalized further. For instance, let us consider the four-component coupled NLS equations

\[ iU_t + U_{xx} + 2\sigma(U^*U)U = 0, \] (66)

where \( U = [u, v, w, s]^T \), and \( \sigma = \pm 1 \). These coupled equations govern the nonlinear interaction of four incoherent light beams [46–48] as well as the evolution of four-component Bose-Einstein condensates [53, 54]. These equations are also integrable [5, 55]. If we impose the solution constraints

\[ w(x,t) = u(-x,t), \quad s(x,t) = v(-x,t), \] (67)

these equations reduce to

\[
\begin{align*}
iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(-x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) &= 0, \quad (68) \\
iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(-x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) &= 0, \quad (69)
\end{align*}
\]

which are a system of nonlocal Manakov equations of reverse-space type. If we impose the solution constraints

\[ w(x,t) = u^*(-x,-t), \quad s(x,t) = v^*(-x,-t), \] (70)

we get

\[
\begin{align*}
iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) &= 0, \quad (71) \\
iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) &= 0, \quad (72)
\end{align*}
\]

which are a system of nonlocal Manakov equations of reverse-time type. If we impose the solution constraints

\[ w(x,t) = u^*(x,-t), \quad s(x,t) = v^*(x,-t), \] (73)

we get

\[
\begin{align*}
iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) &= 0, \quad (74) \\
iu_t(x,t) + uu_{xx}(x,t) + 2\sigma \left[ |u(x,t)|^2 + |u(x,-t)|^2 \right] u(x,t) &= 0, \quad (75)
\end{align*}
\]

which are a system of nonlocal Manakov equations of reverse-space-time type. These three nonlocal Manakov systems are also integrable, and they describe the solution behaviors of the physical model (66) under special initial conditions of (67), (70) and (73) with \( t = 0 \).

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we proposed an integrable nonlocal NLS equation (2) which has concrete physical meanings. This equation was derived from a reduction of the Manakov system, and it describes physical situations governed by the Manakov system under special initial conditions. Solitons and multi-solitons in this nonlocal equation were also investigated in the framework of Riemann-Hilbert
formulation. We found that symmetry relations of discrete scattering data for this nonlocal equation are very complicated, which makes the derivation of its general $N$-solitons challenging. From the one- and two-solitons we obtained, it was observed that the two-solitons are not a nonlinear superposition of one-solitons, and the two-solitons exhibit interesting dynamical patterns such as meandering and abrupt position shifts. As a generalization of these results, we also proposed other integrable and physically meaningful nonlocal equations, such as NLS equations of reverse-time and reverse-space-time types, as well as nonlocal Manakov equations of reverse-space, reverse-time and reverse-space-time types.

The results in this paper are significant in two different ways. From a mathematical point of view, we presented an integrable nonlocal equation which has clear physical meanings. In addition, we showed that this integrable equation exhibits some unusual mathematical properties, such as intricate symmetry relations of its discrete scattering data. From a physical point of view, we derived one- and two-solitons in this nonlocal equation, which correspond to Manakov solutions under the initial parity symmetry between the two components, and these solitons feature interesting physical patterns such as symmetric and asymmetric meandering.

An important question about these soliton solutions is their stability. It is easy to see that these solitons are stable under perturbations in the nonlocal NLS equation (2). This means that in the Manakov framework, the corresponding Manakov solitons with parity symmetry $v(x,t) = u(-x,t)$ between their two components are stable under perturbations with the same parity symmetry. But are these solitons stable in the Manakov system (3)-(4), when perturbations do not possess the $v(x,t) = u(-x,t)$ symmetry? To address this question, we numerically simulated the evolutions of solitons in Figs. 1-3 under 1% non-parity-symmetric initial perturbations in the Manakov system. We found that for colliding solitons of Fig. 2, the perturbed solutions $|u(x, t)|$ are visually indistinguishable from the unperturbed ones. For bound states in Figs. 1 and 3, the perturbed solutions still stay close to the unperturbed ones for a long time. This behavior is understandable, since Manakov solitons are known to be stable, and the solitons we derived for the nonlocal NLS equation (2) are special types of Manakov solitons.

From the point of view of integrable systems, the highly complex symmetry relations of discrete scattering data for the nonlocal NLS equation (2) are very surprising. This fact implies that general $N$-solitons in this equation will be very difficult to derive in the inverse scattering and Riemann–Hilbert framework. Whether they can be derived more easily in other frameworks such as the Darboux transformation and bilinear methods remains to be seen.

In this paper, we only studied bright solitons in the nonlocal NLS equation (2). Other types of solutions such as rogue waves and dark solitons in this equation are desirable too, which merit studies in the future. In addition, we proposed a number of other nonlocal equations of physical relevance, such as NLS equations of reverse-time and reverse-space-time types, and nonlocal Manakov equations of reverse-space, reverse-time and reverse-space-time types. Bright solitons, dark solitons and rogue waves in those systems are also open questions for further studies.
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