

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Entrance and escape dynamics for the typical set

Schuyler B. Nicholson, Jonah S. Greenberg, and Jason R. Green Phys. Rev. E **97**, 012146 — Published 29 January 2018 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.97.012146

5

6

7

Entrance and escape dynamics for the typical set

Schuyler B. Nicholson,¹ Jonah S. Greenberg,¹ and Jason R. Green^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA 02125

²Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA 02125

³Center for Quantum and Nonequilibrium Systems,

University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA 02125

(Dated: January 9, 2018)

According to the asymptotic equipartition property, sufficiently long sequences of random variables converge to a set that is typical. While the size and probability of this set are central to information theory and statistical mechanics, they can often only be estimated accurately in the asymptotic limit due to the exponential growth in possible sequences. Here, we derive a time-inhomogeneous dynamics that constructs the properties of the typical set for all finite length sequences of independent and identically distributed random variables. These dynamics link the finite properties of the typical set to asymptotic results and allow the typical set to be applied to small and transient systems. The main result is a geometric mapping – the triangle map – relating sequences of random variables of length n to those of length n + 1. We show that the number of points in this map needed to quantify the properties of the typical set grows linearly with sequence length, despite the exponential growth in the number of typical sequences. We illustrate the framework for the Bernoulli process and the Schlögl model for autocatalytic chemical reactions and demonstrate both the convergence to asymptotic limits and the ability to reproduce exact calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Typical behaviors lie at the heart of statistical me-9 ¹⁰ chanics [1]. Asymptotic theories, such as large devi-¹¹ ation theory [2–4] and equilibrium statistical mechan-¹² ics [5, 6], are effective, in part, because random variables converge to their "typical" value in the appropriate 13 asymptotic limits. Take a monatomic gas of N atoms 14 in thermal equilibrium with a heat bath. For this sys-15 tem, the relative standard deviation of the energy is 16 $\sigma(E)/\langle E \rangle = \mathcal{O}(N^{-1/2})$ [7]. As the number of atoms 17 becomes large, the size of deviations from the mean be-18 come relatively small. For example, when the number 19 of atoms is 10^{18} , the relative error is $\sigma(E) \simeq 10^{-9} \langle E \rangle$. 20 Only when this relative error is small can systems be 21 well described by their mean or typical behavior. Many 22 tools are available to describe systems at and away from 23 equilibrium, prominent examples being fluctuation theo-24 rems [8–10] and maximum entropy approaches [11, 12]. 25 What remains open is how to precisely and accurately 26 quantify the typical states of systems that cannot solely 27 be described by their mean behavior. Systems, including 28 molecular machines [13–15] and single molecules [16, 17], 29 can exhibit large fluctuations [18] in structure, energy, or 30 position. 31

Another definition of typicality exists in information theory. There, the asymptotic equipartition property (AEP) [19, 20] says that sequences of random variables converge to a high-probability subset – the typical set, A_{ϵ}^{n} . Take a system described by a finite set of random variables or states, ω , of size $|\omega| = M$. The states could represent the sides of a coin, different chemical species [21], or the coarse-grained regions

⁴⁰ of a partitioned dynamical system [22]. The AEP states ⁴¹ that for sequences of length n, $\hat{\omega}_n = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n)$, ⁴² in the limit where $n \to \infty$, the sample entropy of ⁴³ the typical sequences converges to the entropy rate h_{μ} : ⁴⁴ $-n^{-1} \ln \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \to h_{\mu}$. These sequences occur with prob-⁴⁵ ability $\sum_{\hat{\omega}_n \in A_e^n} \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \sim 1$, constitute the typical set, ⁴⁶ and determine average behavior. In dynamical systems ⁴⁷ theory language, the entropy rate h_{μ} is the Kolmogorov-⁴⁸ Sinai (KS) entropy [23, 24]. There are recent applications ⁴⁹ of the AEP to irreversibility in stationary Markov pro-⁵⁰ cesses [25], relations to the Fisher information [26], and ⁵¹ the harnessing of fluctuations for thermodynamic func-⁵² tion [27]. These results all rely on an asymptotic limit, a ⁵³ situation we avoid here.

The existence of the typical set was first shown for finite alphabets generating independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) sequences by Shannon [28] and McMilral [29]. It was generalized to stationary-ergodic prosecesses by Breiman for finite alphabets. Chung extended the typical set to countably infinite alphabets under the condition $h_{\mu} < \infty$ [30, 31]. As an aside, caution is necessary for infinite alphabets [32] and correlated finite alphabets [19] where there are examples of divergent entropy rates. The typical set is fundamental to information thewhere it is essential to limits on the coding and transmission of information. For example, the logarithm of the size of the typical set (per symbol) is a bound on the rate to that information can be transmitted [33].

Though underappreciated, the typical set does exist for finite sequences. However, there are challenges to an accurate and predictive theory for its properties. One challenge is that the convergence rate theories based on stazetistical moments do not always give accurate bounds [34– 337]. Another challenge is that for long, but finite sequences, there is an exponential growth in possibilities and explicitly generating each sequence becomes in-

^{*} Corresponding author: jason.green@umb.edu

⁷⁶ tractable. Given a system with M states, the number of ¹²⁸ however, the entropy rate

⁷⁷ possible sequences often grows as $M^n = e^{nh_{top}}$, where n is the length of a sequence of states and $h_{top} = \ln M$ is the 78 growth rate or topological entropy rate [38-40]. Given 79 only M = 8 states and n = 12, there are $8^{12} = 4.4 \times 10^{12}$ 81 of galaxies in the known universe [41]. In this work, we $_{131}$ of length n [19], 82 address these challenges. 83

Here we present a quantitative framework for the typ-84 ical set [19] that bridges the gap between brute force 85 calculations of all possible sequences and asymptotic ap- $_{132}$ The parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is fixed and, together with n, 86 87 88 89 90 that avoids asymptotic limits. The complementary for- 137 we choose ϵ so that $\mu\{A_{\epsilon}^n\} \neq 0$ for all n. 91 malism introduced here avoids the combinatorial explo-¹³⁸ An asymptotic upper bound on the size of the typical 92 ⁹³ sion of sequences. We will introduce the framework in ¹³⁹ set is [19] ⁹⁴ several parts. The space of finite length sequences will ⁹⁵ be partitioned into three sets or "macrosequences": one ⁹⁶ typical and two atypical sets. These macrosequences describe how ensembles of typical and atypical sequences 97 change as a function of the sequence length. Transitions 98 in and out of the typical set are described by a time-99 inhomogeneous dynamics. For these dynamics, we define a discrete dynamical system with a geometric interpre-101 tation that maps the exact size and probability of the 102 typical set over n. Together the macrosequences and as-103 sociated dynamics form an object similar to ϵ -machines 104 ¹⁰⁵ in computational mechanics [42–44]. Both our geometric 106 construction and ϵ -machines provide a simplified descrip-¹⁰⁷ tion of a system by encoding all possible histories into 108 possible futures.

BACKGROUND AND NOTATION II. 109

Consider a particular sequence generated by some dy-110 111 namical process $\hat{\omega}_n$ with joint probability $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$. Though we will refer to n as the length of the sequence, it could 112 also be a dimensionless measure of time, $n = t/\Delta t$. In-113 dividual states will be labeled by $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. We 114 will assume all states are independent and the probabil-115 ity distribution over the states p_j , such that $\sum_j p_j = 1$, 116 is stationary with respect to n. Sequences are then inde-117 pendent, identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables. 118 While the marginal probability p is stationary, the joint ¹²⁰ probability over sequences need not be stationary. The ¹²¹ dynamics can generate M^n possible sequences and only 122 in the infinite limit does the difference in probability be-¹²³ tween any two sequences go to zero through the AEP,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\hat{\omega}_{n+1}) - \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \sim e^{-nh_{\mu}} (e^{h_{\mu}} - 1) \sim 0.$$
 (1)

151

152

153

154

 $_{124}\ \mathrm{For}$ i.i.d. random variables, the entropy growth rate $_{125}$ h_{μ} is equivalent to the Shannon entropy, $h_{\mu}=H=$ $_{126}$ $-\sum_{j}p_{j}\ln p_{j}$, which only depends on p_{j} and measures $_{155}$ $_{127}$ the average surprise of observing state j. More generally, $_{156}$ the typical set for sequences that are longer than those ac-

$$h_{\mu} \equiv h_{\mu}(n) = -n^{-1} \sum_{\hat{\omega}_n} \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \ln \mu(\hat{\omega}_n), \qquad (2)$$

129 depends on the joint distribution. The entropy rate is possible sequences, which is comparable to the number 130 central to the definition of the typical set for all sequences

$$A_{\epsilon}^{n} \equiv \left\{ e^{-n(h_{\mu}+\epsilon)} \le \mu(\hat{\omega}_{n}) \le e^{-n(h_{\mu}-\epsilon)} \right\}.$$
(3)

proximations. In this framework, we evolve densities of 133 defines the neighborhood of typical sequences around the sequences which represent observables of the typical set, $_{134}$ entropy rate. The choice of ϵ is arbitrary, so long as n is such as size $|A_{\epsilon}^{r}|$ or probability $\mu\{A_{\epsilon}^{r}\}$. Previous work ¹³⁵ sufficiently large. In our calculations, to avoid the trivial introduced a variational version of the typical set [20] 136 solution of an empty typical set of finite length sequences,

$$|A^n_{\epsilon}| \le e^{n(h_{\mu} + \epsilon)}.\tag{4}$$

 $_{140}$ In the infinite n limit, ϵ can be made arbitrarily small ¹⁴¹ and $|A_{\epsilon}^n| \sim e^{nh_{\mu}}$. For $n \ll \infty$, the upper bound can be a $_{142}$ poor approximation of the size of the typical set. Fig. (1) ¹⁴³ shows the normalized size of the typical set, $|A_{\epsilon}^n|/M^n$ 144 (solid line), for a biased coin with the probability of heads ¹⁴⁵ being 0.7 and 0.3 for tails. The normalized upper bound ¹⁴⁶ exp $[n(h_{\mu}+\epsilon-\ln M)]$ is a monotonic function of n (dashed ¹⁴⁷ line) but $|A_{\epsilon}^n|$ is not: sequences enter and escape from ¹⁴⁸ the typical set. To account for the fluctuating size of the ¹⁴⁹ typical set, we next introduce a partition over the space 150 of sequences.



FIG. 1. Comparison of the exact fraction of sequences in the typical set, $|A_{\epsilon}^n|/M^n$, as a function of the sequence length, n, from enumeration (solid line) to the asymptotic upper bound, $|A_{\epsilon}^n|/M^n \sim e^{n(h_{\mu}+\epsilon-\ln M)}$ (dashed line) for a biased coin with p = [0.7, 0.3] and $\epsilon = 0.02$.

III. EVOLUTION OF TYPICAL AND ATYPICAL SEQUENCES

The biased coin example highlights the need to predict

157 cessible from direct enumeration and shorter than those 200 probability for the other atypical macrosequence is often ¹⁵⁸ well-approximated by the asymptotic limit. Fluctuations ²⁰¹ $\Pr[C_u^{n+1}|C_u^n] = 1 - \delta$ where $\delta \ll 1$, meaning this macrose- $_{159}$ in properties of the typical set arise from the interplay $_{202}$ quence will continually leak probability, even for large n. between the changing sample entropy $-n^{-1} \ln \mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$ and 203 The macrosequences provide an alternate dynamics for ¹⁶¹ the bounds $h_{\mu} - \epsilon$ and $h_{\mu} + \epsilon$. A consequence of this ²⁰⁴ the sequences. Every sequence can be generated and the $_{162}$ interplay is that typical (atypical) sequences of length n_{205} properties of the typical set calculated directly or, as we $_{163}$ can give rise to atypical (resp. typical) sequences at n+1. $_{206}$ show here, the macrosequences can be evolved to com-¹⁶⁴ Since the number and probability of sequences entering 200 pute the properties of A_{ϵ}^{n} , Fig. (2). 165 and leaving the typical set is a function of n, we can 209 $_{166}$ represent changes in these quantities through transition $_{210}$ macrosequence evolves with n, we need to make the 167 168 groups of sequences we call "macrosequences". All se- 212 cise. Every sequence is given by an ordered list of states, 169 170 171 172 $_{173}$ n+1. In this way, sequences can be seen as transitioning $_{217}$ n+1 sequences the "children" of $\hat{\omega}_n$, 174 from one macrosequence to another through their off-¹⁷⁵ spring. If a sequence transitions from typical to atypical, ¹⁷⁶ for example, the size of the typical set will decrease by $177 \ 1/M^{n+1}$. The probability in the typical set will decrease 218 In this nomenclature, sequences transition between the 178 by the joint probability of that sequence, which is not 219 typical and atypical sets by producing "offspring". The ¹⁷⁹ necessarily $1/M^{n+1}$. Consequently, both the number of ²²⁰ second generation children are ¹⁸⁰ sequences in each macrosequence and their corresponding probabilities evolve under two different dynamics. 181

We next make the relationship between $\hat{\omega}_n$, $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$ and 182 the macrosequences more precise. Doing so will allow ²²¹ Every sequence $\hat{\omega}_n$ has M children in the subsequent gen-183 184 185 begin by defining a partition using the typical set over ²²³ ¹⁸⁶ the space of all sequences of length n, Ω^n .

187

Macrosequence dynamics A.

For each n, a natural partition over the sequences uses 188 189 A_{ϵ}^{n} and its complement, which represents all atypical se-190 quences

$$C_{\epsilon}^{n} \equiv \left\{ \Omega^{n} \backslash A_{\epsilon}^{n} \right\}.$$
(5)

We can further divide the complement C_{ϵ}^{n} into the lower complement, $C_l^n = \{\hat{\omega}_n : \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) < e^{-n(H+\epsilon)}\}$, and the upper complement, $C_u^n = \{\hat{\omega}_n : \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) > e^{-n(H-\epsilon)}\}$. The union of the three macrosequences cover Ω^n ,

$$\Omega^{n} = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{3} S_{\alpha}^{n} = C_{l}^{n} \bigcup A_{\epsilon}^{n} \bigcup C_{u}^{n},$$
$$S_{\alpha}^{n} \cap S_{\beta}^{n} = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \tag{6}$$

¹⁹¹ where S^n_{α} represents an arbitrary macrosequence. Ev-¹⁹² ery sequence belonging to the same macrosequence has ¹⁹³ qualitatively the same average behavior. Each atypi-¹⁹⁴ cal macrosequence has a distinct average behavior, mo-²³² ¹⁹⁵ tivating the definition of two atypical macrosequences, ¹⁹⁶ C_l^n and C_u^n , instead of just one. For example, it is of-²³³ ¹⁹⁷ ten the case that $\Pr[C_l^{m+1}|C_l^m] = 1$ and C_l acts as an ²³⁴ probability in a macrosequence is not equal to $s_n(x)$. ¹⁹⁸ absorbing state for relatively small n. In comparison, ²³⁵ Just as we did in the last section, we need to find the

To describe how the number of sequences in each probabilities. The transition probabilities are between 211 idea of transitions between macrosequences more prequences belonging to the same macrosequence have the $_{213} \hat{\omega}_n = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n)$. All sequences of length n + 1, are same average behavior. The typical set is one macrose- $_{214}$ created by appending ω_{n+1} to $\hat{\omega}_n$. Since the states are quence. For an alphabet of size M, sequences of length n_{215} ordered, the sequence $\hat{\omega}_n$ will be a subsequence of at most can be sub-sequences to at most M sequences of length $_{216}$ M sequences of length n + 1. We call this set of length

$$\mathcal{C}(\hat{\omega}_n) = \{ \hat{\omega}_{n+1} : \hat{\omega}_{n+1} = \hat{\omega}_n \omega_{n+1} \}.$$
(7)

$$\mathcal{C}^{2}(\hat{\omega}_{n}) = \{\hat{\omega}_{n+2} : \hat{\omega}_{n+2} = \hat{\omega}_{n+1}\omega_{n+2}, \, \hat{\omega}_{n+1} \in \mathcal{C}(\hat{\omega}_{n})\}.$$
(8)

us to predict which sequences will be typical at n. We 222 eration, \hat{M}^2 children in the next generation, and so on. The dynamics for the number of sequences in a ²²⁴ macrosequence is given by the transition probability

$$R_{\alpha\beta}(n) = \Pr[\mathcal{C}(\hat{\omega}_n) \in S^{n+1}_{\alpha} | \ \hat{\omega}_n \in S^n_{\beta}]. \tag{9}$$

²²⁵ The transition matrix is right stochastic, $\sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\beta}(n) =$ 226 1. The probability a sequence occupies each macrose-227 quence is

$$s_n(\alpha) = \frac{|\hat{\omega}_n \in S^n_{\alpha}|}{M^n} \tag{10}$$

such that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} s_n(\alpha) = 1$. The quantity $|\hat{\omega}_n \in S_{\alpha}^n|$ is the number of sequences in the macrosequence S_{α}^{n} . The transition matrices can be used to evolve the occupation probabilities s_n from n to n'

$$s_{n'} = R(n'-1)R(n'-2)\dots R(n+1)R(n)s_n \qquad (11)$$

²²⁸ where n' > n. Recall that the rate of growth of all se-²²⁹ quences is given by the topological entropy, h_{top} . Us-230 ing h_{top} , the size of each macrosequence is $|\hat{S}_x^n| =$ 231 $s_n(x)e^{nh_{top}}$.

Probability of macrosequences В.

Unless $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$ is a uniform distribution, the total joint ¹⁹⁹ from the geometric structure to follow, the self-transition ²³⁶ overlap of $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$ and the three macrosequences. As the

n' > n $\Pr[-\frac{1}{n} \ln \mu(\hat{\omega}_n)]$ $-\frac{1}{n'}\ln\mu(\hat{\omega}_{n'})]$ A^n_{ϵ} A^n_{ϵ} $-\frac{1}{n'}\ln\mu(\hat{\omega}_{n'})$ $-\frac{1}{n}\ln\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$

FIG. 2. With increasing n, the joint probability tends towards a uniform distribution and the sample entropy, $-n^{-1} \ln \mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$, distribution concentrates. The changes in distribution occur as individual sequences move between typical (blue) and atypical (red) macrosequences. The dynamics of these macrosequences are an alternative route to quantify the size and probability in the typical set.

237 length of the sequences tends to infinity, the joint prob-238 ability $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \sim e^{-nh_{\mu}}$ tends to zero due to conserva-239 tion of probability. The number of sequences, however, 240 grows exponentially $|A_{\epsilon}^n| \sim e^{nh\mu}$. The entropy rate h_{μ} $_{241}$ then uniquely determines the growth in the number of $_{251}$ where n' > n. Together, the set of transition matrices ²⁴² sequences and the decay of the individual sequence prob-²⁵² $\mathcal{R} = \{R(n), R(n-1), \dots, R(1)\}$ and the set of macrose-²⁴³ ability [27]. As *n* grows, these asymptotic results for ²⁵³ quences, $\mathcal{S} = \{S_{\alpha}^{n}, S_{\alpha}^{n-1}, \dots, S_{\alpha}^{1}\}$ describe how the num-244 the growth in the number of sequences and the decay 254 ber of both typical and atypical sequences change as a of probability hides the more subtle dynamics between 255 function of n. Likewise, $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q(n), Q(n-1), \dots, Q(1)\}$ ²⁴⁶ macrosequences. Thus, we scale the joint

$$\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n) = \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) p_{\max}^{-n} \tag{12}$$

247 to fix $\max[\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)] = 1$ and we scale the marginal $\bar{p}_j =$ ²⁴⁸ p_i/p_{max} so that $\max[\bar{p}_i] = 1$. Scaled distributions are in-249 dicated by overbars. The scaled joint is evolved through ²⁵⁰ the discrete map $\mathcal{C}_p: [0,1] \mapsto [0,1]$

$$\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)) = \{\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n) \otimes \bar{p}\}$$
(13)

where \otimes is the standard Kronecker product, in this case, between two vectors. The total joint occupation probability of belonging to a macrosequence, S^n_{α} ,

$$q_n(\alpha) = p_{\max}^n \sum_{\hat{\omega}_n \in S_\alpha^n} \bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n), \qquad (14)$$

is normalized so $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} q_n(\alpha) = 1$. Using the definition of children in this case, Eq. (13), the time-dependent transition probabilities are

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}(n) = \Pr[\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)) \in S^{n+1}_{\alpha} | \ \bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n) \in S^n_{\beta}].$$
(15)

in n

$$q_{n'} = Q(n'-1)Q(n'-2)\dots Q(n+1)Q(n)q_n \qquad (16)$$

 $_{256}$ and S together describe how probability moves in and $_{257}$ out of the macrosequences with n. These two ordered ²⁵⁸ pairs, $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S})$ and $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})$, are what we wish to calculate ²⁵⁹ for a given system.

С. Triangle map

A brute force approach to calculating $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S})$ and $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})$ is to explicitly generate all M^n sequences. To by-262 263 pass a complete enumeration, we introduce a geometric picture of $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)$ and the resulting children for a simpli-264 ²⁶⁵ fied descriptions of q_n and s_n .

Every sequence has M children. The probability of ²⁶⁷ each child is iterated through C_p , Eq. (13). Because we ²⁶⁸ order the joint probabilities such that $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n) \leq \bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n+1)$, plotting $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ against $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)$ gives a picture like that 269 shown in Fig. (3a-b). Most striking from this picture 271 of the joint probabilities is the triangular form of the ²⁷² forward mapping in the case of i.i.d. random variables.

Up to M lines can be drawn from the origin (0,0) to 273 $_{274}$ $(1, \bar{p}_i)$. Each line will intersect the re-scaled joint proba-These right-stochastic transition matrices evolve the 275 bility of M^n sequences (App. (VIA)), the children, can marginal probability of each macrosequence S_{α}^{n} forward $_{276}$ be thought of as lying on the hypotenuse of a triangle [45].



FIG. 3. Plotting $C_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ against $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)$ in (a) for a random distribution with M = 10 states. Every point represents the probability of one of the M^{n+1} children. Blue points denote children in A^n_{ϵ} while red denotes C^n_l and C^n_u . The vertical dashed lines mark the scaled bounds on A_{ϵ}^n , e^{-nI_l} and e^{-nI_u} . Horizontal dashed lines are the scaled bounds for A_{ϵ}^{n+1} , $e^{-(n+1)I_l}$ and $e^{-(n+1)I_u}$. These bounds divide $C_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))_n$ into nine cells which determine the transition probabilities for both R(n) and Q(n). The left lower and upper two points highlighted with black circles are $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_2)\bar{p}_1$ and $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_2+1)\bar{p}_M$ respectively. The right-hand circles highlight $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_2+1)\bar{p}_1$ and $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_2+1)\bar{p}_M$, which become stretched vertically because $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_2) < \bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_2+1)$, thereby creating the triangle structure. (b) The hypotenuses of one of the M triangles intersects the probability of M^n children (highlighted with black circles).

One triangle is shown in Fig. (3b) in black with the in- 307 277 tersecting children highlighted in green. This geometric 278 picture, where the probability of sequences lie on the hy-279 potenuses of triangles, will help us to calculate the number, $s_n(\alpha)$, and probability, $q_n(\alpha)$, of sequences in each 281 macrosequence. We will call the mapping $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\omega_n))$ the 282 283 triangle map.

284 285 n+1 over $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ divides the space $\bar{\mu}(\omega_{n+1}) \times \bar{\mu}(\omega_n)$ into nine regions (dashed lines in Fig. (3)). These regions 286 287 289 290 probability for Q(n) between any two macrosequences, 323 principle, be calculated exactly to any desired n. 292 $_{293}$ S^n_{β} to S^{n+1}_{α} . Vertical dashed lines in Fig. (3) are given ²⁹⁴ by the scaled bounds of the typical set A_{ϵ}^n : the lower ²⁹⁵ bound e^{-nI_l} , where $I_l = H + \epsilon + \ln p_{\max}$, and the upper ²⁹⁶ bound e^{-nI_u} , where $I_u = H - \epsilon + \ln p_{\max}$. The horizontal dashed lines are the scaled bounds at n+1: $e^{-(n+1)I_l}$ and $_{298} e^{-(n+1)I_u}$

299 300 301 303 $_{304}$ is qualitatively explained in the next section. Additional $_{334}$ both the bound and our measure of $|A_{\epsilon}^{n}|$ converge to the $_{305}$ details of our derivation and the construction of $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S})$ $_{335}$ asymptotic limit (dashed line with crosses). In the limit 306 and $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})$ are in App. VI.

EXAMPLES IV.

Now we apply the framework to examples that will il-308 309 lustrate how the formalism generates the exact size and $_{310}$ probability of the macrosequences over n. These exam-³¹¹ ples also serve to show how other observables can be cal-³¹² culated from the formalism.

Let us briefly summarize the procedure: Given p_i and ³¹⁴ the entropy rate $h_{\mu} = H$, the triangle map $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_1))$ can The upper and lower bounds of the typical set at n and 315 be calculated. The upper and lower bounds (e^{-I_1}, e^{-I_u}) $_{^{316}}$ and $\left(e^{-2I_l},e^{-2I_u}\right)$ follow and divide $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_1))$ into nine ³¹⁷ cells defining the transition probabilities, Eq. (9) and can be used to define a dynamics for entrance into and es- 318 Eq. (15). In App. VI, we show that at most (2M + 3)ncape from the macrosequences, including the typical set. ³¹⁹ values of the triangle map must be known to construct The number of points in each cell defines the transition ³²⁰ the transition probabilities. From the structure of the probability for R(n) between any two macrosequences. ³²¹ triangle map and the known distribution p_i , the total The total probability in each cell defines the transition 322 probabilities of each macrosequence, q_n and s_n , can, in

Bernoulli process and the redundancy

The Bernoulli process is a benchmark for the typical 326 set that can be enumerated completely such that, for $_{327}$ sufficiently large *n*, the asymptotic bounds begin to con-³²⁸ verge. This fact allows us to test the framework. Fig. (4) Mapping consecutive joint distributions to a triangle 329 again shows results for a biased coin where the probagives a geometric representation of the macrosequence $_{330}$ bility of heads is 0.7 and tails is 0.3, p = [0.7, 0.3]. The dynamics. These dynamics are what we wish to predict. $_{331}$ joint probability of a sequence is $\mu(\hat{\omega}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(\omega_i)$. One difficulty is that points along any hypotenuse are 332 The asymptotic bounds for the size of the typical set can not uniform. Accounting for this distribution of points 333 be quite poor for $n \ll \infty$. As n becomes larger, though, $_{336} \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \simeq e^{-nh_{\mu}}$, so the upper bound (dashed line repre-



FIG. 4. The dashed black line is the upper bound $e^{n(H+\epsilon-\ln M)}$ and crosses are the $\epsilon = 0$ bound $e^{n(H-\ln M)}$ that is only guaranteed for $n \to \infty$. The fractional size of $|A_{\epsilon}^n|/M^n$ from enumeration (solid black line) and the calculation from our framework (blue line). The parameter ϵ is 0.02 in all cases. The method here matches the brute force enumeration of sequences exactly up to where enumeration is tractable, $n \approx 25$, and agrees with the asymptotic limit near $n \approx 50$.

example, we use (2M+3)n = 300 points on $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ 340 to calculate $|A_{\epsilon}^n|$ for n = 50. In contrast, $2^{50} \approx 10^{15}$ 341 342 calculation. 343

While the asymptotic upper bound $|A_{\epsilon}^n| \leq e^{n(h_{\mu}+\epsilon)}$ 344 345 is poor for $n \ll \infty$, it does motivate an important ³⁴⁶ observable—the redundancy [28],

$$r = \ln M - h_{\mu}.\tag{17}$$

³⁴⁷ The redundancy measures the information carrying ca-₃₄₈ pacity of the alphabet. If $r \neq 0$, there are correlations ³⁴⁹ in the sequences [44]. Loosely speaking, the redundancy ³⁵⁰ measures how closely a process is to maximizing the infor-³⁵¹ mation rate over the alphabet. In general, for finite i.i.d. $_{352}$ sequences, the maximum of the entropy h_{μ} is $\ln M$ [19]. The redundancy for finite n can then be defined as 353

$$r_n = \ln M - \frac{1}{n} \ln |A_{\epsilon}^n|.$$
(18)

384

385

The quantity r_n is a measure of the information per sym- $_{355}$ bol used by the sequences in the typical set of length n. In the limit $n \to \infty$, $|A_{\epsilon}^n| \approx e^{nh_{\mu}}$ and ϵ can be set arbitrarily 386 we construct a marginal distribution for each fixed point $_{357}$ close to zero, meaning $r_n \rightarrow r$. In the next section, the $_{387}$ from the concentrations ³⁵⁸ Schlögl model illustrates how observables, such as the re-³⁵⁹ dundancy, can be calculated with our framework beyond 360 where enumeration is tractable.

361

Schlögl model в.

362 363 of intricate relationships, across many spatial and time 394 the parameter values of $k_1 = 3$, $k_2 = 0.6$, $k_3 = 0.25$,

 $_{337}$ senting Eq. (4) will have an error of at least $e^{n\epsilon}$. In this $_{365}$ application of the typical set at finite n. Schlögl's sec-366 ond model [46] is a well studied set of chemical reac- $_{367}$ tions [47, 48] defined by

$$A + 2X \rightleftharpoons 3X$$
 (19)

$$X \rightleftharpoons B.$$
 (20)

³⁶⁸ The second equation is modified [49] from Schlögl's initial work. The intermediate species X is commonly the one 369 of interest when the reactant A and product B have fixed ³⁷¹ concentrations, a and b. Applying the law of mass-action, $_{372}$ the kinetic equation for the concentration, x, of X is an 373 ordinary differential equation,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = k_1 a x^2 - k_2 x^3 - k_3 x + k_4 b.$$
(21)

Setting this equation equal to zero gives the steady-state 374 375 solutions. The number of real, steady-state solutions 376 comes from the discriminant,

$$4k_1^3a^3k_3b - k_1^2a^2k_4^2 + 4k_2k_4^3 - 18k_2k_1ak_4k_3b + 27k_2^2k_3^2b^2.$$
(22)

Fixing the rate constant parameters, k_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 4$, $_{378}$ but varying the concentrations *a* and *b*, changes the ³⁷⁹ number of real solutions, Fig. (5). Here, we will look at the bistable region (green), where two stable steady-380 sequences would have to be enumerated by brute force ³⁸¹ states are separated by a single unstable steady-state [50]. ³⁸² Though the bistable region will be our focus, the method ³⁸³ is applicable to any region. For input into the method,



FIG. 5. Holding k_1 through k_4 fixed and varying a and b, Eq. (21) generates one, two, or three real fixed points. There are two solutions at each point on the black line that divides the regions with one and three steady-state solutions.

$$p(s) = \frac{s}{a+b+x}$$
, where $s = \{a, b, x\}$. (23)

388 We use concentrations of X that correspond to a par-³⁸⁹ ticular zero of Eq. (22). From this marginal probability ³⁹⁰ distribution, we construct the macrosequence dynamics 392 of $q_n(\alpha)$ and $|S_{\alpha}^n|$ with $\epsilon = 0.1$, as shown in Fig. (6). As Biological and chemical systems are often comprised $_{393}$ an example, we take one of the stable fixed points x, and $_{364}$ scales, making these systems good candidates for the $_{395}$ $k_4 = 2.95$, a = 1, and b = 1. Fig. (6a-b) shows that we



FIG. 6. The (a) size of and (b) the amount of probability in S_{α}^{n} for a stable fixed point of the Schlögl model (black lines) when $\epsilon = 0.1$: C_l^n (open circles), C_u^n (stars), and A_{ϵ}^n (black dashed line). Colored symbols are the results from the method here. The (c) size and (d) the probability of S^{α}_{α} for the unstable fixed point at the same parameter values. There is a second stable fixed point that exhibits qualitatively the same high redundancy behavior as the stable fixed point (data not shown).

 $_{396}$ reproduce the exact values for S^n_{α} through enumeration $_{419}$ the exponential growth in the sequence space. Here we 397 (black) from the triangle-map construction of the dynam- 420 have shown that the dynamics of macrosequences cir-³⁹⁸ ics (color). The dashed lines with circles are the typical ⁴²¹ cumvents this exponential growth and avoids both enu- $_{422}$ set, A_{ϵ}^n , the lines with open circles are the macrosequence $_{422}$ meration and asymptotic limits. For independent and $_{400}$ C_l^n , and the lines with stars are the macrosequence C_u^n . $_{423}$ identically distributed random variables, these dynam- $_{401}$ Fig. (6a) shows that $|A_{\epsilon}^n|$ is small, meaning the redun- $_{424}$ ics, and therefore the future properties of the typical set, 402 dancy is near ln M. This steady state then has large 425 are entirely determined by a single marginal distribution. 403 404 exhibits qualitatively the same results. 405

406 407 408 $_{409}$ and $r \approx 0$. The joint distribution for the unstable fixed $_{432}$ method is computationally efficient, applies to the en-410 point is almost uniform and there is a lack of correlations 433 tire class of i.i.d. systems, and enables the calculation 411 in the sequences. While both examples are fixed points 434 of information-theoretic observables, such as the redun-412 of the steady-state solution, they illustrate that the in- 435 dancy, for finite length sequences without asymptotic ap-⁴¹³ formation content of their sequences is guite different.

correlations between the states in a sequence. It should 426 We found that the number of points needed to quantify be noted that the second stable fixed point (not shown) 427 the macrosequences grows linearly in both the number of ⁴²⁸ states and the length of sequences as $\leq (2M+3)n$. As The unstable fixed point for the same parameter values 429 a consequence, this method could be applied to systems gives a different picture, Fig. (6c-d). Now $|A_{\epsilon}^{n}|M^{-n} \approx 430$ with a larger state space, or to longer sequence lengths, $\Pr[A_{\epsilon}^n] \approx 1$ for n > 3, almost all sequences are typical, 431 than the proof-of-principle examples shown here. The 436 proximations.

414

CONCLUSIONS v.

437

APPENDIX VI.

The probability and size of the typical set are of fun- 438 415 416 $_{417}$ mation theory. However, away from asymptotic limits, $_{440}$ the need to evaluate all M^n sequences. First, all children

There are three ingredients in the exact construction damental importance to statistical mechanics and infor- $_{439}$ of the transition probabilities R(n) and Q(n) that avoid 418 the tractable calculation of the typical set is limited by 441 can be thought of as lying on the hypotenuse of as many $_{442}$ as M triangles. Using this continuous geometric repre-443 sentation as a set of M triangles compactly describes all 444 children. Second, we show that at most 2M + 3 values of 445 the triangle map must be known to calculate each tran-446 sition matrix, R(n) and Q(n), for a given n. To describe 447 the probability and size of macrosequences up to length $_{448}$ n, (2M+3)n values of the triangle map are necessary. 449 Third, we use two cumulative density functions (CDFs) $_{450}$ to find these 2M + 3 points. We derive exact formulas $_{451}$ for the CDFs at *n* in terms of the marginal distribution, 452 p

Children of each sequence intersect similar Α. 453 triangles 454

We now prove that each child $C_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ falls on the 455 $_{456}$ hypotenuse of a triangle, one of (at most) M triangles, which will be useful later. To prove this, we use the scaled 457 ⁴⁵⁸ variables, Eq. (12), and the fact that the hypotenuse of ⁴⁵⁹ each triangle is given by

$$l_j = \bar{p}_j x, \tag{24}$$

 $_{461} j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. Note, later we will also refer to the 462 continuous variable $y \in [0, 1]$, which will always belong to ⁴⁶³ the *y*-axis of $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$. Define the triangle, Δ_j , through 464 the points (0,0), (1,0), and $(1,\bar{p}_j)$. The length of the 465 hypotenuse for $riangle_j$ is $r_j = \sqrt{1 + \bar{p}_j^2}$ and the angles are 466 $\theta_j = \cos^{-1} \left[(1 + \bar{p}_j^2)^{-1/2} \right], 90, \text{ and } 180 - 90 - \theta_j.$

child from $C_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ as Δ' with points $(0,0), (\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n),0),$ and $(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n), \bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)\bar{p}_j)$. The length of the hypotenuse for Δ' is $r' = \sqrt{\overline{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)^2 + (\overline{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)\overline{p}_j)^2}$. Meaning that the angles of \triangle' are, θ' , 90, and $180 - 90 - \theta'$ where

$$\theta' = \cos^{-1} \left[\frac{\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)}{\sqrt{\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)^2 + (\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)\bar{p}_j)^2}} \right],$$
$$= \theta_j \tag{25}$$

468 child intersects the hypotenuse, $C_p(\mu(\hat{\omega}_n)) \in l_j$. The 497 ity, ⁴⁶⁹ consequence of forming similar triangles is that, since 470 $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)) = \bar{p}_i \bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)$, for each \bar{p}_i there are at least M^n $_{471}$ points intersecting one line l_j . And, together, the set of ⁴⁷² lines $\{l_j\}$ must intersect all M^{n+1} points on $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$.

Exact construction of macrosequence dynamics 473 В.

Now we derive the exact construction of the transition 474 475 probabilities (R(n), Q(n)) and show that at most (2M + $_{476}$ 3)n values of the triangle map can describe the size and $_{477}$ probability of the macrosequences for any n. As opposed $_{478}$ to the possible M^n sequences normally needed in a brute $_{501}$ Now, we show that the number of points needed to 479 force approach.

As described in the main text, the boundaries of the typical set at n and n + 1, $\{e^{-nI_l}, e^{-nI_u}, e^{-(n+1)I_l}, e^{-(n+1)I_u}\},$ divide the triangle map into nine cells, Fig. (7). Each hypotenuse can only cross a typical set boundary once. To count how many sequences, or how much probability is in each cell, we need the points where l_i enters and exits each boundary. We use the location of the intersections mapped to the x axis, x_j^o and x_j^f . These intersection points of l_j are given by the logical rules in Tbl. I. For example, the contribution l_j makes to the transition probability, $\Pr[C_l^{n+1}|A_{\epsilon}^n]$, is determined by where l_i crosses the boundaries at the two points,

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^f &= \min[\bar{p}_j e^{-nI_u}, e^{-(n+1)I_l}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} = l_j(x)\bar{p}_j^{-1}, \\ x_j^o &= e^{-nI_l} = l_j(x). \end{aligned}$$
(26)

480 Cells of the triangle map, marked by bounds of the ⁴⁸¹ typical set, define the transition probabilities between ⁴⁸² the macrosequences S^n_β and S^{n+1}_α . To calculate $R_{\alpha\beta} =$ ⁴⁸³ $\Pr[S^{n+1}_{\alpha}|S^{n}_{\beta}]$ the number of sequences in each cell must ⁴⁸⁴ be counted. The goal is to find R(n) given the scaled dis-⁴⁸⁵ tributions for each state \bar{p}_i and each sequence $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)$. For 460 when the continuous variable $x \in [0,1]$ and the index 486 the joint distribution, there is a cumulative distribution ⁴⁸⁷ function (CDF) $\rho_n(x), x \in [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\rho_n(x) = \begin{cases} M^{-n} \sum_{\hat{\omega}_n} \int_0^x \delta[\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n) - s] ds & \text{if } x \le 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$
(27)

488 The analytic expressions for the intersection points cor-Define a second triangle formed from $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)$ and one 489 respond to locations on the CDF $\rho_n(x)$. The transition ⁴⁹⁰ probability for the sequence dynamics is then given by $_{491}$ the contribution from each line l_j that enters the same ⁴⁹² transition cell

$$R_{\alpha\beta}(n) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}^{R}} \sum_{j} \left[\rho_{n}(x_{j}^{f}) - \rho_{n}(x_{j}^{o}) \right].$$
(28)

⁴⁹³ The normalization factor \mathcal{Z}^R_{α} ensures R(n) is right ⁴⁹⁴ stochastic, $\sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\beta}(n) = 1$.

The length of the line segment l_j in a particular cell ⁴⁶⁷ Therefore, \triangle' and \triangle_j are similar, meaning at least one ⁴⁹⁶ corresponds to a certain amount of cumulative probabil-

$$\varrho_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{\hat{\omega}_n \mid \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) \le x} \mu(\hat{\omega}_n) & \text{if } x \le 1\\ 1 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad (29)$$

⁴⁹⁸ or $\Pr[l_j(x_j^f) - l_j(x_j^o)] = p_j \left| \varrho_n(x_j^f) - \varrho_n(x_j^o) \right|$, where l_j is ⁴⁹⁹ written in terms of the un-barred distribution $l_i = p_i x$, ⁵⁰⁰ and the transition probabilities are built from this CDF

$$Q_{\alpha\beta}(n) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\alpha}^Q} \sum_j p_j \left[\varrho_n(x_j^f) - \varrho_n(x_j^o) \right].$$
(30)

 $_{502}$ construct the transition matrices grows linearly in M

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} S_y^n \to S_x^{n+1} & x_j^f & x_j^o \\ \hline C_l^n \to C_l^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j e^{-nI_l}, e^{-(n+1)I_l}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline C_l^n \to A_{\epsilon}^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j e^{-nI_l}, e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} & e^{-(n+1)I_l}\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ \hline C_l^n \to C_u^{n+1} & e^{-nI_l} & e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ A_{\epsilon}^n \to C_l^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j e^{-nI_u}, e^{-(n+1)I_l}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ A_{\epsilon}^n \to A_{\epsilon}^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j e^{-nI_u}, e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ A_{\epsilon}^n \to C_u^{n+1} & e^{-nI_u} \\ C_u^n \to C_l^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_l}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ C_u^n \to A_{\epsilon}^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ C_u^n \to C_u^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ C_u^n \to C_u^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ C_u^n \to C_u^{n+1} & \min[\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_u}]\bar{p}_j^{-1} \\ \end{array}$$

TABLE I. The above rules determine the two points x_i^f and x_i^o , which determine the contribution each l_j makes to the transition probabilities R(n) and Q(n).



FIG. 7. The cumulative density $\rho_{n+1}(y)$ is proportional to all the sequences which lie below a particular y. Since each sequence lies on the function l_j , the point on $l_j(y)$ can be mapped to $\rho_n(x)$. If $y > \bar{p}_j$, as is shown with the right most 532 point is one.

⁵⁰³ and *n*. From Tbl. I, the rule for calculating $C_u^n \rightarrow C_l^{n+1}$ $(\min[\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_l}]\bar{p}_j^{-1})$ means that in addition 505 to the boundaries of the typical set at n and n + 506 1, we also need to consider the end points of each 507 line as a boundary. Including the end points with 508 (at most) the four other boundaries l_j can cross, we ⁵⁰⁹ need to evaluate ρ_n and ρ_n at the set of points $\mathcal{I} = f_{10} \left\{ e^{-nI_l}, e^{-nI_u}, 1, e^{-(n+1)I_l}/\bar{p}_j, e^{-(n+1)I_u}/\bar{p}_j \right\}$. Since R(n)⁵¹¹ and Q(n) are determined by the set of intersections \mathcal{I} , ⁵¹² and the index j runs from 1 to M, at most (2M+3)n⁵¹³ points are required to determine the macrosequence dy-514 namics up to n.

С. Calculating ρ_n and ρ_n from p_j 515

516 ⁵¹⁷ transition probabilities at any n can be calculated from ⁵⁴⁶ when ρ_1 and \bar{p}_i are known. ⁵¹⁸ the distributions (p_j, ρ_n, ϱ_n) . Now we derive a formula ⁵⁴⁷

520 n = 1.

Let us start with an important property of ρ_{n+1} . As-521 ⁵²² suming p_j and ρ_1 are known, the CDF at n+1, $\rho_{n+1}(y)$, ⁵²³ is proportional to the number of children lying below the ⁵²⁴ point y on $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$. Fig. (7) illustrates this idea for a $_{525}$ given y value. The sequences contributing to the CDF $_{526}$ at n+1 are highlighted in gray. Summing the number 527 of points with $l_j \leq y$ (black circles) gives the CDF at 528 n+1. Each point below y has a corresponding value of 529 x on the $\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)$ axis, $y/\bar{p}_i = x$. Then, for a given y value, 530 the CDF $\rho_{n+1}(y)$ is given in terms of the previous CDF 531 $\rho_n(x)$,

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \rho_n\left(\frac{y}{\bar{p}_j}\right).$$
(31)

We note, from the definition of the CDF, Eq. (27), grey line covering l_1 , then the contribution from ρ_n at this 533 if $y/\bar{p}_j > \bar{p}_j$, then $\rho_n(y/\bar{p}_j) = 1$. Letting $y' = y/\bar{p}_j$, ⁵³⁴ $\rho_n(y')$ can be found the same way using $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_{n-1}))$. 535 Substituting ρ_{n-1} into ρ_n gives,

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \rho_{n-1}\left(\frac{y}{\bar{p}_j \bar{p}_k}\right).$$
(32)

⁵³⁶ Repeating until ρ_1 gives

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) = \frac{1}{M^n} \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \rho_1\left(\frac{y}{\bar{p}_{k_1}\bar{p}_{k_2}, \dots, \bar{p}_{k_n}}\right).$$
(33)

537 This expression gives the exact value of $\rho_n(y)$ from ρ_1 ⁵³⁸ and \bar{p}_i . To find R(n) and Q(n), the points where l_i $_{539}$ maps to the elements of ${\cal I}$ are needed. There are at most $_{\rm 540}$ 2M + 3 points. Unfortunately, this CDF has M^n entries ⁵⁴¹ in the summation for each value of y. So while it is a way 542 to exactly calculate the values needed for the transition $_{543}$ probabilities, it is not practical when *n* is large. However, ⁵⁴⁴ Eq. (33) shows that $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n))$ and ρ_n are a potential way In the last section, we showed that the macrosequence 545 to describe the macrosequence dynamics for i.i.d. r.v.s

To transform Eq. (33) into a more tractable form, we 519 for the CDFs at n in terms of the marginal and CDFs at 548 will use the fact that the random variables are i.i.d. This ⁵⁴⁹ property means some positions in the sum are repeated, 550 such as, $y/\bar{p}_{k_1}\bar{p}_{j_2} = y/\bar{p}_{j_1}\bar{p}_{k_2}$. Counting the number of 551 times \bar{p}_j appears, s_j , leads to a simplified form of the 552 CDF

$$\rho_{n+1}(y) = \frac{n!}{M^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\binom{M+n-1}{n}} \frac{\rho_1(\frac{y}{\bar{r}_k})}{N_k}.$$
 (34)

The sum runs over all multisets, i.e., combinations where 554 order is ignored, of the number of times \bar{p}_j appears in 555 the constraint $\sum_{j} s_{j} = n$. The denominator \bar{r}_{k} shifts 556 the position where ρ_1 is evaluated, $\bar{r}_k = \prod_{j=1}^M \bar{p}_j^{s_j}$, and 557 $N_k = \prod_{i=1}^M s_i!$. Since the number of multisets for a given 558 M and n grows far slower than M^n , Eq. (34) offers sub-⁵⁵⁹ stantial computational savings over enumerating all pos-⁵⁶⁰ sible sequences.

Now we turn to ρ_n . We find ρ_n in terms of ρ_1 with 561 562 an argument similar to that for ρ_n and ρ_1 above. Only ⁵⁶³ now, the CDF $\rho_n(y/\bar{p}_i)$ must be multiplied by p_i . Again, ⁵⁶⁴ through $\mathcal{C}_p(\bar{\mu}(\hat{\omega}_n)), \ \rho_{n+1}(y)$ can be written in terms of 565 $\varrho_n(y/\bar{p}_j),$

$$\varrho_{n+1}(y) = \sum_{j} p_j \varrho_n\left(\frac{y}{\bar{p}_j}\right). \tag{35}$$

- [1] J. L. Lebowitz, Physica A **194**, 1 (1993). 571
- [2] H. Touchette, Physics Reports 478, 1 (2009). 572
- [3] R. S. Ellis, Ann. Prob 12(1), 1 (1984). 573
- [4] J. M. Meylahn, S. Sabhapandit, and H. Touchette, Phys-574 ical Review E 92, 062148 (2015). 575
- G. E. Uhlenbeck and G. W. Ford. [5]576 Lectures in Statistical Mechanics, Vol. 1 (American 609 577 Mathematical Society, 1963). 610 578
- T. L. Hill, An Introduction to Statistical Thermodynamicsus [6]579 Vol. 2 (Dover, 1986). 580 612
- [7] E. A. Jackson, Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics, Vol. 1 581 (Prentice-Hall, 1968). 582
- G. E. Crooks, Phys. Rev. E 60, 2721 (1999). [8] 583
- [9] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2690 (1997). 584
- [10] L. Bertini, A. D. Sole, D. Gabrielli, G. Jona-Lasinio, and 585 C. Landim, Physical Review Letters 87, 040601 (2001). 586
- 587 [11] E. T. Jaynes, Physical Review 4 (1957).
- [12] R. Dewar, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Gen-588 eral 36, 631 (2003). 589
- [13] B. Yurke, A. Turberfield, A. P. Mills, F. C. Simmel, and 590 J. L. Neumann, Nature 406, 605 (2000). 591
- [14]R. Golestanian, T. B. Liverpool, and A. Ajdari, Physical 592 Review letters 94, 220801 (2005). 593
- [15] M. L. Dekhtyar and V. M. Rozenbaum, The Journal of 594 Chemical Physics 134, 044136 (2011). 595
- C. Bustamante, J. C. Macosko, and J. L. Wuite, Nature [16]596 Reviews 1, 130 (2000). 597
- [17] M. Manosas and F. Ritort, Biophysical Journal 88, 3224 598 (2005).599
- S. Wennmalm, L. Edman, and R. Rigler, Proceedings of [18]600 the National Academy of Sciences 94, 10641 (1997). 601
- 602 [19] T. М. Cover and J. Α.

Writing $y' = y/\bar{p}_j$ gives,

$$\varrho_{n+1}(y) = \sum_{j} p_j \sum_{l} p_l \varrho_{n-1} \left(\frac{y'}{\bar{p}_l}\right),$$

$$= \sum_{j,l} p_j p_l \varrho_{n-1} \left(\frac{y}{\bar{p}_j \bar{p}_l}\right).$$
(36)

566 Continuing to ρ_1 , and again using the fact that the ran-567 dom variables are i.i.d., gives

$$\varrho_{n+1}(y) = n! \sum_{k=1}^{\binom{M+n-1}{n}} \frac{r_k}{N_k} \varrho_1\left(\frac{y}{\bar{r}_k}\right).$$
(37)

⁵⁶⁸ Eq. (37) only differs from Eq. (34) in that we need ρ_1 569 instead of ρ_1 and we have the probability $r_k = \prod_{j=1}^M p_j^{s_j}$ ⁵⁷⁰ associated with each entry of ρ_1 .

- Elements of Information theory, Vol. 2 (Wiley, 2006). 603
- [20] S. Nicholson, M. Alaghemandi, and J. R. Green, Journal 604 of Chemical Physics 145, 084112 (2016). 605
- M. Alaghemandi and J. R. Green, Phys. Chem. Chem. [21]606 Phys. 18, 2810 (2016). 607
- [22]R. L. Davidchack, Y. C. Lai, E. M. Bollt, and 608 M. Dhamala, Physical Review E **61**, 1353 (2000)
 - A. N. Kolmogorov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 124, 754 [23](1959).
 - Y. G. Sinai, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 124, 768 (1959). 24
- P. Gaspard, Journal of Statistical Physics 117, 599 [25]613 (2004)614
- [26] P. Zegers, A. Fuentes, and C. Alarcón, Entropy 15, 2861 615 616 (2013).
- J. Crutchfield and C. Aghamohammadi, arXiv preprint [27]617 arXiv:1609.02519 (2016). 618
- 619 [28]C. E. Shannon, The Bell System Technical Journal 27, 620 623(1948).
- [29] B. McMillan, Annals of Mathematical Statistics 24, 196 621 (1953).
- [30] K. L. Chung, Annals of Mathematical Statistics **32**, 612 623 (1961).
- P. H. Algoet and T. M. Cover, The Annals of Probability [31]625 **16**, 899 (1988). 626
- V. Baccetti and M. Visser, Journal of Statistical Mechan-[32]627 ics: Theory and Experiment 2013, P04010 (2013).
- R. W. Yeung, 1 (2002). 629 [33]

622

624

628

- H. Chernoff, The Annals of Probability 9, 533 (1981). [34]630
- [35] K. Chung, H. Lam, Z. Liu, and M. Mitzenmacher, Sym-631 posium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, 124 632 (2012).633
- Thomas, 634 [36] B. P. Rao and M. Sreehari, Journal of Statistical Plan-

- ⁶³⁵ ning and inference **63**, 325 (1997).
- 636 [37] A. D. Healy, Computational Complexity 17, 3 (2008).
- 637 [38] R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, and M. H. McAndrew, 653
 638 Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 114, 654
 639 309 (1965). 655
- 640 [39] R. Bowen, Transactions of the American Mathematical 656
 641 Society 153, 401 (1971). 657
- 642 [40] C. Beck and F. Schlögl,
 643 <u>Thermodynamics of chaotic systems</u> (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- ⁶⁴⁵ [41] C. Conselice, A. Wilkinson, K. Duncan, and A. Mortlock, The Astrophysical Journal **830**, 83 (2016).
- 647 [42] J. P. Crutchfield and K. Young, Physical Review Letters
 648 63, 105 (1989).
- 649 [43] C. R. Shalizi and J. P. Crutchfield, Journal of Statistical
 Physics 104, 817 (2001).

- 651 [44] D. Feldman and J. P. Crutchfield (Santa Fe Institute, 652 1998).
- ⁶⁵³ [45] In general, all children will lie on the hypotenuse of a triangle. An exception is the uniform distribution. Since ⁶⁵⁵ all sequences are equally likely, every sequence is typical ⁶⁵⁶ independent of both ϵ and n. In this case, the triangle ⁶⁵⁷ map would be a single point.
- ⁶⁵⁸ [46] F. Schlögl, Zeitschrift für Physik A Hadrons and Nuclei
 ⁶⁵⁹ 253, 147 (1972).
- ⁶⁶⁰ [47] A. B. Goryachev and A. V. Pokhilko, FEBS Letters 582,
 ⁶⁶¹ 1437 (2008).
- 662 [48] R. G. Endres, PloS one 10, e0121681 (2015).
- ⁶⁶³ [49] M. Vellela and H. Qian, Journal of the Royal Society
 ⁶⁶⁴ Interface 6, 925 (2009).
- ⁶⁶⁵ [50] J. Tyson, R. Albert, A. Goldbeter, P. Ruoff, and J. Sible,
 ⁶⁶⁶ Journal of The Royal Society Interface 5, S1 (2008).