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#### Abstract

The nonlocal dielectric approach has led to new models and solvers for predicting electrostatics of proteins (or other biomolecules), but how to validate and compare them remains a challenge. To promote such a study, in this paper, two typical nonlocal dielectric models are revisited. Their analytical solutions are then found in the expressions of simple series for a dielectric sphere containing any number of point charges. As a special case, the analytical solution of the corresponding Poisson dielectric model is also derived in simple series, which significantly improves the well known Kirkwood's double series expansion. Furthermore, a convolution of one nonlocal dielectric solution with a commonly-used nonlocal kernel function is obtained, along with the reaction parts of these local and nonlocal solutions. To turn these new series solutions into a valuable research tool, they are programmed as a free Fortran software package, which can input point charge data directly from a protein data bank file. Consequently, different validation tests can be quickly done on different proteins. Finally, a test example for a protein with 488 atomic charges is reported to demonstrate the differences between the local and nonlocal models as well as the importance of using the reaction parts to develop local and nonlocal dielectric solvers.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlocal dielectric approach has been studied for more than thirty years for the purpose of improving the quality of the classic Poisson dielectric approach [1-8]. It features a position-dependent dielectric permittivity function over the whole space to reflect either the polarization correlations of water molecules or the spatialfrequency dependence of a dielectric medium. However, such a permittivity function results in a partial derivative-mixed convolution term, making a nonlocal dielectric model become very difficult to study.

The early study was done mainly on a Lorentz nonlocal model with a dielectric sphere containing one central point charge or the charge near a half-space [9, 10]. The case of a dielectric sphere containing multiple charges was studied with an approximate method [11, 12]. To sharply reduce the complexity of solving the Lorentz nonlocal model, Hildebrandt et al. modified the Lorentz nonlocal model into a system of coupled partial differential equations (PDEs) [13]. From this system of coupled PDEs, they obtained an analytical solution for the case of a dielectric sphere with one central point charge [13]. Using this PDE system, recently, Bardhan et al. [14] found the analytical solution for a dielectric sphere containing multiple point charges as a double series in terms of the surface spherical harmonics of boundary-integral operators. However, they did not report any coefficient of the series. Since Hildebrandt et al.'s PDE system is an approximation to the Lorentz nonlocal model, the ana-

[^0]lytical solution of the Lorentz nonlocal model with $D_{p}$ containing multiple charges was still unknown so far.

Motivated by Hildebrandt et al.'s novel work, a fast finite element algorithm for solving a Lorentz nonlocal model for water was developed in [15]. This Lorentz nonlocal model was then extended into a nonlocal Poisson dielectric model for a protein in an ionic solvent [16]. Recently, a nonlocal modified Poisson-Boltzmann equation was proposed as the first nonlinear nonlocal dielectric continuum model for computing electrostatics of ionic solvated biomolecules [17]. Meanwhile, to validate our finite element algorithms and program packages for solving these nonlocal models, the analytical solutions of three nonlocal Born ball test models (including the Lorentz nonlocal model) were obtained in [18].

As a continuation of the current studies, in this paper, we first revisit our nonlocal Poisson model and the traditional Lorentz nonlocal model. We then construct two nonlocal Poisson dielectric test models, called Models 1 and 2 , and obtain their analytical solutions on a dielectric sphere containing multiple point charges. Clearly, with different selections of point charges, we can construct different tests for extensively studying and validating a nonlocal dielectric model and its various numerical solvers.

The techniques that we used to find the analytical solutions of Models 1 and 2 are different from the ones used in $[13,14,19]$. Instead of using associated Legendre polynomials $P_{n}^{m}$ to construct a traditional double series, we take advantage of the superposition principle and rotational symmetry to express the analytical solution as a simple infinite series in terms of Legendre polynomials $P_{n}$ and modified spherical Bessel functions. As a special case of Model 1, we also obtain the simple series solution for the classic Poisson dielectric test model. Due
to our new simple series expressions, the analytical solutions of Models 1 and 2 can be calculated quickly for a large number of point charges on a large set of mesh points, making them valuable and practical in the study of local and nonlocal dielectric models as well as in the validation of related numerical solvers - a critical step before applying the models and solvers to applications.

During the search for an analytical solution, we used convolution techniques to reformulate Model 1 or 2 from an integro-differential equation problem into a system of coupled partial differential equations. Thus, it becomes necessary for us to validate the obtained analytical solutions. We proved that our obtained series solutions satisfy the original problems by substituting them to the original integro-differential equations of Models 1 and 2. Moreover, we showed that our series solutions converge absolutely with a geometric series rate of convergence. These validation and convergence analysis are difficult and lengthy in writing. They will be reported in another paper since they mainly involve the issues of mathematical analysis.

To simplify the usages and applications, we programed our new series solutions in Fortran 90 as an open software source. With this software package, we also can calculate the convolution of solution for Model 1 and the reaction parts of the analytical solutions, which can be applied to the development of numerical algorithms for solving local and nonlocal dielectric models [16, 17, 20]. We further added a special data input option to input the required atomic charge numbers and positions directly from a PQR file of protein, which can be produced from a protein data bank (PDB) file by using the program tool PDB2PQR (http://www.poissonboltzmann.org/pdb2pqr/). Here a PDB file can be downloaded for free from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/). In this way, we can use different protein molecules to construct different validation tests easily. Finally, we present a test example constructed from a protein to demonstrate the differences between Models 1 and 2 and the differences between local and nonlocal models.

The remaining sections of the paper are outlined as follows. In Section II, we review the derivation of nonlocal models and define Models 1 and 2. In Section III, we present the analytical solution of Model 1. In Section IV, we present the solution of Model 2. In Section V, we report the program package and test results. In Section VI, we make conclusions. Some formulas and series expressions used in this paper are collected in appendices $A$ and $B$ for clarity.

## II. TWO NONLOCAL POISSON DIELECTRIC TEST MODELS

In this section, we review the derivation of local and nonlocal Poisson dielectric models for a protein immersed in water. We then present two nonlocal Poisson test mod-
els and a local Poisson test model.
According to Gauss's law, an electrostatic field, e, induced by a fixed charge density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{0} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r})=\gamma(\mathbf{r})+\rho(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text { for } \mathbf{r}=(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of the vacuum, $\gamma$ is a dielectric charge density, and $\nabla=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)$ is the gradient operator. Since e is conservative, there exists an electrostatic potential function, $\Phi$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r})=-\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (2) to (1) yields the Poisson equation:

$$
-\Delta \Phi(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}}[\gamma(\mathbf{r})+\rho(\mathbf{r})] \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}
$$

where $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$, and $\Delta$ denotes the Laplace operator. Here $|\mathbf{r}|=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}$ for $\mathbf{r}=(x, y, z)^{T}$ in the column vector form.

However, it is difficult to estimate $\gamma$. To avoid this difficulty, the classic linear dielectric theory (see [21, 22] for example) has been developed by assuming that $\mathbf{e}$ is split into displacement field $\mathbf{d}$ and polarization field $\mathbf{p}$,

$$
\epsilon_{0} \mathbf{e}=\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{p}
$$

where $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})=\rho(\mathbf{r}), \quad \text { (b) } \quad-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})=\gamma(\mathbf{r}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and have the linear relationships with the electric field $\mathbf{e}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } \left.\quad \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{0} \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \text { (b) } \quad \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{0} \chi(\mathbf{r})\right] \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric permittivity function, and $\chi$ is the susceptibility function. Applying (4a) and (2) to (3a), we obtain the local Poisson dielectric model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon_{0} \nabla \cdot(\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}))=\rho(\mathbf{r}) \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$.
For a protein immersed in water, $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ is decomposed by

$$
\mathbf{R}^{3}=D_{p} \cup D_{s} \cup \Gamma
$$

where $D_{p}$ is the protein region, $D_{s}$ is the solvent region surrounding $D_{p}$, and $\Gamma$ is an interface between $D_{p}$ and $D_{s}$. According to the continuum implicit solvent theory (see [23] for example), both $D_{p}$ and $D_{s}$ can be treated as dielectric continuum media with two different dielectric permittivity constants, $\epsilon_{p}$ and $\epsilon_{s}$, respectively. In this case, $\varepsilon$ becomes a piecewise constant function. To make sense in strong derivatives, the Poisson model (5) should be reformulated into the interface problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\epsilon_{p} \Delta \Phi(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \rho(\mathbf{r}), & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p}  \tag{6}\\
\Delta \Phi(\mathbf{r})=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s} \\
\Phi\left(\mathbf{s}^{-}\right)=\Phi\left(\mathbf{s}^{+}\right), \epsilon_{p} \frac{\partial \Phi\left(\mathbf{s}^{-}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\epsilon_{s} \frac{\partial \Phi\left(\mathbf{s}^{+}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}, & \mathbf{s} \in \Gamma \\
\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty, &
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})$ is the unit outward normal vector of $D_{p}$, $\frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}), \Phi\left(\mathbf{s}^{ \pm}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \Phi(\mathbf{s} \pm t \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}))$, and $\frac{\partial \Phi\left(\mathbf{s}^{ \pm}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{s} \pm t \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}))}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}$. If a molecular structure of the protein is given, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ can be estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\mathbf{r})=e_{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} z_{j} \delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{c}$ is the elementary charge, $n_{p}$ is the number of atoms of the protein, $\mathbf{r}_{j}$ and $z_{j}$ denote the position and charge number of atom $j$, respectively, and $\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$ denotes the Dirac delta distribution at $\mathbf{r}_{j}$.

It has been known that the relationship (4) can depend on a spatial wave number (see [24] for example). To reflect this feature, the nonlocal dielectric approach was proposed to imitate the linear relationships of (4) in the Fourier frequency space as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (a) } \widehat{\mathbf{d}}(\xi)=\epsilon_{0} \widehat{\varepsilon}(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\xi) ; \quad \text { (b) } \quad \widehat{\mathbf{p}}(\xi)=\epsilon_{0} \widehat{\chi}(\xi) \widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\xi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\varepsilon}(\xi), \widehat{\chi}(\xi), \widehat{\mathbf{d}}(\xi), \widehat{\mathbf{p}}(\xi)$, and $\widehat{\mathbf{e}}(\xi)$ denote the Fourier transforms of $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}), \chi(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})$, and $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r})$, respectively. Applying the inverse Fourier transform to (8) results in the nonlocal relationships of $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ with $\mathbf{e}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}  \tag{9a}\\
& \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \chi\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{e}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (9a) and (2) to (3a) yields the nonlocal Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla \cdot \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \nabla \Phi\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$.
To reflect the spatial-frequency dependence of a dielectric medium $[2,25]$, a new parameter, $\lambda$, for characterizing the polarization correlations of water molecules, and another dielectric constant, $\epsilon_{\infty}$, for water in the case $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ are introduced to result in a commonly-used formulation of $\varepsilon$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{\infty} \delta(\mathbf{r})+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \frac{e^{-|\mathbf{r}| / \lambda}}{4 \pi \lambda^{2}|\mathbf{r}|} \quad \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{s}>\epsilon_{\infty}$. Actually, the above permittivity function $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})$ is the inverse Fourier transform of Debye's temporal frequency dependent permittivity function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\varepsilon}(\xi)=\epsilon_{\infty}+\frac{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}}{1+\lambda^{2}|\xi|^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ reflects a temporal frequency proportional to a spatial wave number for plane waves (see [1, page 100] and [24] for example).

For the protein case, we have shown in our previous work [18] that $\varepsilon$ can be modified as a function of two variables $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)=\epsilon(\mathbf{r}) \delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)+\kappa(\mathbf{r}) Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{p}$ and $\kappa(\mathbf{r})=0$ for $\mathbf{r} \in D_{p}, \epsilon(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{\infty}$ and $\kappa(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}$ for $\mathbf{r} \in D_{s}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{e^{-|\mathbf{r}| / \lambda}}{4 \pi \lambda^{2}|\mathbf{r}|} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (13) to (10) gives the nonlocal Poisson model for protein in water with $\rho$ being given in (7):

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\epsilon_{p} \Delta \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\epsilon_{0}} \rho(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in D_{p}  \tag{15a}\\
\epsilon_{\infty} \Delta \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})=0, \quad \mathbf{r} \in D_{s}  \tag{15b}\\
\Phi_{p}(\mathbf{s})=\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s}), \quad \mathbf{s} \in \Gamma  \tag{15c}\\
\epsilon_{p} \frac{\partial \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\epsilon_{\infty} \frac{\partial \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{s} \in \Gamma \tag{15~d}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$, and $\mathbf{v}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \nabla \Phi\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the integral domain of (16) is changed to $D_{s}$ (i.e., limited to the consideration of the permittivity correlations among water molecules), the model (15) is modified to another nonlocal Poisson model for protein in water considered in [26, eq. (4.25), page 68]. We then construct two test models, called Models 1 and 2, for these two nonlocal models using a spherical solute region, $D_{p}=\{\mathbf{r}:|\mathbf{r}|<a\}$, from which we have $\Gamma=\{\mathbf{r}:|\mathbf{r}|=a\}$ and $D_{s}=\{\mathbf{r}:|\mathbf{r}|>a\}$. Specially, setting $\epsilon_{\infty}=\epsilon_{s}$, we reduce Model 1 or Model 2 to a local Poisson test model - the Poisson dielectric model (6) using the spherical solute region $D_{p}$.

## III. ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF MODEL 1

Let $i_{n}(r)$ and $k_{n}(r)$ denote the modified spherical Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively, and $P_{n}$ be a Legendre polynomial of order $n$. Their definitions and properties can be found in Appendix A. For the charge density $\rho$ given in (7), from the superposition principle we can obtain the analytical solution $\Phi$ of Model 1 and its convolution $\Phi * Q_{\lambda}$ in the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\mathbf{r}) & =\frac{e_{c}}{\epsilon_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} z_{j} \Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}  \tag{17a}\\
\left(\Phi * Q_{\lambda}\right)(\mathbf{r}) & =\frac{e_{c}}{\epsilon_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} z_{j}\left(\Phi_{j} * Q_{\lambda}\right)(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \tag{17~b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{j}$ denotes the solution of (15) using $\rho(\mathbf{r})=\delta(\mathbf{r}-$ $\mathbf{r}_{j}$ ), and $Q_{\lambda}$ is given in (14). Thus, the problem is reduced to find each $\Phi_{j}$ and its convolution $\Phi_{j} * Q_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\kappa=\frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}}}$. The solution $\Phi_{j}$ of Model 1 using $\rho(\mathbf{r})=\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$ is given by

$$
\Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r})= \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{3 n}|\mathbf{r}|^{n} P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right) &  \tag{18}\\ +\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} A_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa|\mathbf{r}|)\right. & \\ \left.\quad+\frac{A_{1 n}}{|\mathbf{r}|^{n+1}}\right] P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right), & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s}\end{cases}
$$

and its convolution is given by
$\left(\Phi_{j} * Q_{\lambda}\right)(\mathbf{r})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1-e^{-\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}} 4 \pi \epsilon_{p}\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[A_{4 n} i_{n}\left(\frac{|\mathbf{r}|}{\lambda}\right)\right. \\ \left.+A_{3 n}|\mathbf{r}|^{n}\right] P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right), \mathbf{r} \in D_{p}, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[A_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa|\mathbf{r}|)\right. \\ \left.+\frac{A_{1 n}}{|\mathbf{r}|^{n+1}}\right] P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right), \mathbf{r} \in D_{s},\end{array}\right.$
where $A_{\text {in }}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1 n}= & \frac{2 n+1}{4 \pi d_{n} a}\left[\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{a}\right)^{n} w_{n}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{n \lambda\left(\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}\right)}{a \epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)\right],  \tag{20a}\\
A_{2 n}= & \frac{(2 n+1) \lambda}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} d_{n} a^{n+3}}\left[\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{p}\right)(n+1) \frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{a^{n}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left[n\left(\epsilon_{p}+\epsilon_{s}\right)+\epsilon_{s}\right] i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right)\right],  \tag{20b}\\
A_{3 n}= & \frac{A_{1 n}}{a^{2 n+1}}+A_{2 n} \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty} a^{n}} k_{n}(\kappa a)-\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{2 n+1}},  \tag{20c}\\
A_{4 n}= & \frac{\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi^{2} \epsilon_{p} \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)+A_{2 n} \frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a)}{i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)} \tag{20d}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $d_{n}$ and $w_{n}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{n} & =\frac{n(2 n+1) \lambda \epsilon_{p}\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)}{a^{n+2} \epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
& +\frac{n \epsilon_{p}+(n+1) \epsilon_{s}}{a^{n+1}}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n+1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)\right.  \tag{21}\\
& \left.+\kappa \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n+1}(\kappa a)\right] \\
w_{n} & =\frac{n \lambda}{a} \frac{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)  \tag{22}\\
& +\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n+1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)+\kappa \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n+1}(\kappa a)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From the PDE theory (see [27] for example) it is known that $\nabla(\Phi(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{r}))=\mathcal{O}^{T}(\nabla \Phi)(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{r}), \nabla \cdot(\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{r}))=$ $(\nabla \cdot(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{F}))(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{r})$, and $(\Delta \Phi)(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{r})=\Delta(\Phi(\mathcal{O} \mathbf{r}))$. Here, $\mathcal{O}$ denotes an orthogonal $3 \times 3$ matrix, and $\mathbf{F}$ is a vector function on $\mathbf{R}^{3}$. Thus, the key step to find $\Phi_{j}$ is to look for the solution $\Phi$ of (15) using $\rho(\mathbf{r})=\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right)$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}=\left(0,0,\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|\right)^{T}$. We then can obtain $\Phi_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r})=\Phi\left(\mathcal{O}_{j} \mathbf{r}\right), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{j}$ is an orthogonal matrix satisfying $\mathcal{O}_{j} \mathbf{r}_{j}=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}$.
Since the charge point $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}$ lies on the $z$-axis, $\Phi$ and its convolution have rotational symmetry about the $z$-axis. That is, they depend only on $r$ and $\phi$ in the spherical coordinate system $(r, \theta, \phi)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}=(r \sin \phi \cos \theta, r \sin \phi \sin \theta, r \cos \phi) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r>0$ is the radial distance, $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$ is the azimuthal angle, and $\phi \in[0, \pi]$ is the polar angle.

We set $u=\Phi * Q_{\lambda}, \Phi_{p}=\Phi$ and $u_{p}=u$ in $D_{p}$, and $\Phi_{s}=\Phi$ and $u_{s}=u$ in $D_{s}$. As shown in [18], we can reformulate the model (15) as the PDE system

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\epsilon_{p} \Delta \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{r})=\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right), & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \\
-\lambda^{2} \Delta u_{p}(\mathbf{r})+u_{p}(\mathbf{r})-\Phi_{p}(\mathbf{r})=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \\
\epsilon_{\infty} \Delta \Phi_{s}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \Delta u_{s}=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s} \\
-\lambda^{2} \Delta u_{s}+u_{s}-\Phi_{s}=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s} \tag{25d}
\end{array}
$$

subject to the interface conditions: For $\mathbf{s} \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{p}(\mathbf{s})=u_{s}(\mathbf{s}), \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{s})=\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s}), \frac{\partial u_{p}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\frac{\partial u_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}  \tag{26a}\\
\epsilon_{p} \frac{\partial \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\epsilon_{\infty} \frac{\partial \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) \frac{\partial u_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})} \tag{26b}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ and $u_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$.
From (25c) we obtain

$$
\Delta\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \Phi_{s}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) u_{s}\right)=0
$$

implying that $\epsilon_{\infty} \Phi_{s}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) u_{s}$ is harmonic in $D_{s}$ and converges to 0 as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$.

By Theorem 3 in Appendix B, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\infty} \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) u_{s}(\mathbf{r})=\epsilon_{s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_{1 n}}{r^{n+1}} P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (25d) and (27), we get an inhomogeneous linear PDE:

$$
-\lambda^{2} \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}}{\epsilon_{s}} \Delta \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})+\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{1 n} r^{-n-1} P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

The right-hand side is a particular solution while the general solution of the homogeneous equation is given by Theorem 4 in Appendix B. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} A_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa r)+\frac{A_{1 n}}{r^{n+1}}\right] P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \in[0, \pi]$. Together with (27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[A_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa r)+\frac{A_{1 n}}{r^{n+1}}\right] P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 3, the general solution of (25a) is found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right|}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{3 n} r^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

A particular solution to the linear inhomogeneous PDE defined by $(25 b)$ is given by

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right|}-\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}} \frac{e^{-\left|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right| / \lambda}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right|}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{3 n} r^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

Note that the first two terms have a singularity at $\mathbf{r}=$ $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}$ but their difference is smooth at $\mathbf{r}=\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}$. Thus, by Theorem 4, the general solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{p}=\frac{1-e^{-\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}\left|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right|}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[A_{4 n} i_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)+A_{3 n} r^{n}\right] P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next determine the coefficients using the interface conditions of the PDE system (25). Together with (B1) and (B2), we can obtain the following system:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{A_{1 n}}{a^{n+1}}+A_{2 n} \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a)-A_{3 n} a^{n}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{n+1}}  \tag{32a}\\
A_{1 n} \frac{\epsilon_{s}(n+1)}{\epsilon_{p} a^{n+2}}+A_{3 n} n a^{n-1}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}(n+1)}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{n+2}}  \tag{32b}\\
\frac{A_{1 n}}{a^{n+1}}+A_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa a)-A_{3 n} a^{n}-A_{4 n} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \\
=\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{n+1}}-\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi^{2} \epsilon_{p} \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)  \tag{32c}\\
A_{1 n} \frac{n+1}{a^{n+2}}-A_{2 n} \kappa k_{n}^{\prime}(\kappa a)+A_{3 n} n a^{n-1}+\frac{A_{4 n}}{\lambda} i_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \\
=\frac{(n+1)\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{n+2}}+\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi^{2} \epsilon_{p} \lambda^{2}} i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \tag{32~d}
\end{gather*}
$$

By subtracting (32a) from (32c), and subtracting (32b) to (32d), we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} A_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa a)-A_{4 n} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)=-\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi^{2} \epsilon_{p} \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)  \tag{33}\\
A_{1 n} \frac{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{p}}{\epsilon_{p} a^{n+2}}(n+1)+A_{2 n} \kappa k_{n}^{\prime}(\kappa a)-\frac{A_{4 n}}{\lambda} i_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \\
=-\frac{2 n+1}{2 \pi^{2} \epsilon_{p} \lambda^{2}} i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

By eliminating $A_{3 n}$ from (32a), (32b) and $A_{4 n}$ from (33), (34) using the Wronskian (A9), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{n \epsilon_{p}+(n+1) \epsilon_{s}}{a^{n+1}} & n \epsilon_{p} \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
\frac{\epsilon_{p}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{p}} \frac{n+1}{a^{n+2}} \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) & w_{n}
\end{array}\right)\binom{A_{1 n}}{A_{2 n}} \\
= & \binom{\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi} \frac{2 n+1}{a^{n+1}}}{-\frac{(2 n+1)}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{2}} \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}{\lambda}\right)}, \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{n}=\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a) i_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)-\lambda \kappa k_{n}^{\prime}(\kappa a) i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)$, which can be written to (22) by (A4) and (A6).

We determine $A_{1 n}$ and $A_{2 n}$ from the linear system (35). Using (22), we find its coefficient determinant $d_{n}$ in the expression (21). Since $i_{n}(r)>0$ and $k_{n}(r)>0$, and $\epsilon_{s} \geq \epsilon_{\infty}$, we have $d_{n}>0$. Thus, the linear system (35) has the unique solution. Solving it gives $A_{1 n}$ and $A_{2 n}$. We then can find $A_{3 n}$ using (32a) and $A_{4 n}$ using (32c).

We now derive the solution $\Phi_{j}$ and its convolution using (23). In fact, for $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}=\left(0,0,\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|\right)^{T}=\mathcal{O}_{j} \mathbf{r}_{j}$, it is clear that $|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|=|\mathbf{r}|,\left|\tilde{\mathbf{r}}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}\right|=\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|$, and $\left\langle\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}\right\rangle$. Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the angle between two vectors. Note that $\phi=\left\langle\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_{j}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}\right\rangle$. Hence, the series expressions of $\Phi_{j}$ and $\Phi_{j} * Q_{\lambda}$ can be produced from (28), (29), (30), and (31)
by substituting $r$ and $\cos \phi$ to $|\mathbf{r}|$ and $\cos \left\langle\mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}\right\rangle$, respectively. Since $\cos \left\langle\mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}\right\rangle$ can be calculated by

$$
\cos \left\langle\mathbf{r}_{j}, \mathbf{r}\right\rangle=\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|},
$$

we obtain the series expressions (18) and (19) of $\Phi_{j}$ and convolution $\Phi_{j} * Q_{\lambda}$. This completes the proof.

Setting $\epsilon_{\infty}=\epsilon_{s}$, we can derive the analytical solution of local Poisson test model (6) from the solution of Model 1. After simplifications, the solution $\Phi$ of the local Poisson test model can be written as

$$
\Phi(\mathbf{r})= \begin{cases}\frac{e_{c}}{\epsilon_{0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} z_{j} A_{j, n}|\mathbf{r}|^{n} P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right) &  \tag{36}\\ +\frac{e_{c}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} \epsilon_{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{z_{j}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p}, \\ \frac{e_{c}}{\epsilon_{0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{z_{j} B_{j, n}}{|\mathbf{r}|^{n+1}} P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right| \mathbf{r} \mid}\right), & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s},\end{cases}
$$

where $A_{j, n}$ and $B_{j, n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{j, n}=\frac{\left(\epsilon_{p}-\epsilon_{s}\right)(n+1)\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{2 n+1}\left[n \epsilon_{p}+(n+1) \epsilon_{s}\right]} \\
B_{j, n}=\frac{(2 n+1)\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi\left[n \epsilon_{p}+(n+1) \epsilon_{s}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

The convolution of the local Poisson test model solution can also be produced from that of Model 1's solution.

Specially, when $n_{p}=1$ and $\mathbf{r}_{1}$ is the origin $(0,0,0)$, the Poisson test model is often referred to as the Born ball model with a central charge $z e_{c}$, whose analytical solution can be implied from (36) directly.

## IV. ANALYTICAL SOLUTION OF MODEL 2

In this section, we calculate the series solution of Model 2 - the equations of (15) with the function $\mathbf{v}$ being replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r})=\int_{D_{s}} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \nabla \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the case of Model 1, the solution $\Phi$ of Model 2 can be written in (17a) so that we only need to calculate the solution $\Phi_{j}$ of Model 2 using $\rho(\mathbf{r})=\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$.
Theorem 2. The solution $\Phi_{j}$ of Model 2 using $\rho(\mathbf{r})=$ $\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right)$ is given by

$$
\Phi_{j}(\mathbf{r})= \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{3 n}|\mathbf{r}|^{n} P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right) &  \tag{38a}\\ +\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|}, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} C_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa|\mathbf{r}|)\right. & \\ \left.\quad+\frac{C_{1 n}}{|\mathbf{r}|^{n+1}}\right] P_{n}\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{r}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right||\mathbf{r}|}\right), & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s}\end{cases}
$$

where $C_{\text {in }}$ for $i=1,2,3$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1 n}=\frac{2 n+1}{4 \pi e_{n}}\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n} w_{n}  \tag{39a}\\
& C_{2 n}=-\frac{(2 n+1)(n+1)}{4 \pi a^{n+2} e_{n}} \lambda\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right),  \tag{39b}\\
& C_{3 n}=\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|^{n}}{4 \pi a^{2 n+1}}\left[\frac{(2 n+1) s_{n}}{e_{n}}-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{p}}\right] . \tag{39c}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $e_{n}, s_{n}$, and $w_{n}$ are given in (58), (59), and (60), respectively.

Proof. By the same arguments used in the case of Model 1, it is sufficient for us to find the solution of Model 2 using $\rho(\mathbf{r})=\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right)$ with $\mathbf{r}_{0}=\left(0,0, z_{0}\right)$ for $0<z_{0}<a$ in the spherical coordinate system $(r, \theta, \phi)$ defined by (24). In order to simplify calculation, we extend $\Phi_{s}$ continuously to a harmonic function in $D_{p}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{0 n}\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi), \quad \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\Phi_{s}$ has the expression on the interface $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{0 n} P_{n}(\cos \phi), \quad \mathbf{s} \in \Gamma \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{0 n}$ are constants to be determined.
To get the analytical solution, we define $w$ by

$$
w(\mathbf{r})=\left(Q_{\lambda} * \Phi_{s}\right)(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}
$$

and reformulate the function $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ of (37) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{r})=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime} \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}-\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{0}(\mathbf{r}) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{0}(\mathbf{r})=\int_{D_{p}} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}} \Phi_{s}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}$.
It is easy to see that $w$ satisfies the equation

$$
-\lambda^{2} \Delta w(\mathbf{r})+w(\mathbf{r})=\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}^{3}
$$

Let $h(\mathbf{r})=\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{0}(\mathbf{r})$. It can be shown (see (B4)) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\mathbf{r})=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{0 n} \frac{2 n a}{\pi \lambda^{3}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{r} \in D_{s}$. Moreover, for $\mathbf{s} \in \Gamma$, by (B5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{0}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{0 n} \frac{2 n a}{\pi \lambda^{2}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n-1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now reformulate the equation (15) (with $\mathbf{v}$ replaced by $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ ) as the following PDE system

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\epsilon_{p} \Delta \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{r})=\delta\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right), & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \\
-\lambda^{2} \Delta w_{p}(\mathbf{r})+w_{p}(\mathbf{r})-\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{p} \\
\epsilon_{\infty} \Delta \Phi_{s}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)\left[\Delta w_{s}-h\right]=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s} \\
-\lambda^{2} \Delta w_{s}+w_{s}-\Phi_{s}=0, & \mathbf{r} \in D_{s} \tag{45~d}
\end{array}
$$

subject to the interface conditions: For $\mathbf{s} \in \Gamma$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{p}(\mathbf{s})=w_{s}(\mathbf{s}), \quad \frac{\partial w_{p}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\frac{\partial w_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}  \tag{46}\\
\Phi_{p}(\mathbf{s})=\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s}), \quad \epsilon_{p} \frac{\partial \Phi_{p}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}=\epsilon_{\infty} \frac{\partial \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})} \\
+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)\left[\frac{\partial w_{s}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})}-\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{0}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{s})\right] \tag{47}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0, w_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$.
We note that $h$ satisfies $\lambda^{2} \Delta h=h$ in $D_{s}$. Thus, (45c) can be written as

$$
\Delta\left(\epsilon_{\infty} \Phi_{s}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) w_{s}-\lambda^{2}\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) h\right)=0
$$

By Theorem 3, there are constants $C_{1 n}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{\infty} \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)\left(w_{s}(\mathbf{r})-\lambda^{2} h(\mathbf{r})\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{1 n} r^{-n-1} P_{n}(\cos \phi) \quad \text { for } \mathbf{r} \in D_{s} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

From (45c) and (45d) we obtain

$$
\epsilon_{\infty} \Phi_{s}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right)\left(w_{s}-\lambda^{2} h\right)=-\lambda^{2} \epsilon_{\infty} \Delta \Phi_{s}+\epsilon_{s} \Phi_{s}
$$

Therefore, using (48), we get

$$
-\lambda^{2} \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}}{\epsilon_{s}} \Delta \Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})+\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{1 n}}{r^{n+1}} P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

and the right-hand side is a particular solution. Therefore, we obtain (38b) for $\mathbf{r} \in D_{s}$. From (45a) and (45b), we can obtain (38a).

With (48) and (38b), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{s}(\mathbf{r}) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{1 n} r^{-n-1} P_{n}(\cos \phi) \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa r) P_{n}(\cos \phi)+\lambda^{2} h(\mathbf{r}) \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathbf{r} \in D_{p}$, from (45a) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{3 n} r^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (45b) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p}(\mathbf{r})=\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{4 n} i_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{s}(\mathbf{r})$ is given in (40).
Next, we establish the expressions of coefficients $C_{i n}$ for $i=0$ to 4 . By comparing (41) and (38b), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0 n}=\frac{C_{1 n}}{a^{n+1}}+\frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} C_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa a) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first interface condition of (47) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0}^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{n+1}}+C_{3 n} a^{n}=C_{0 n} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (43) and (44), the second interface condition of (47) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(n+1) \frac{z_{0}^{n}}{4 \pi a^{n+2}}+\epsilon_{p} C_{3 n} n a^{n-1}=-\epsilon_{s} C_{1 n} \frac{n+1}{a^{n+2}} \\
+ & \left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) C_{0 n} \frac{2 n(n+1)}{\pi \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

From the interface conditions of (46), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0 n}+C_{4 n} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)=\frac{C_{1 n}}{a^{n+1}}+C_{2 n} k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
- & C_{0 n} \frac{2 n a}{\pi \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right), \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{0 n} \frac{n}{a}+C_{4 n} \frac{1}{\lambda} i_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)=-\frac{C_{1 n}(n+1)}{a^{n+2}} \\
+ & C_{2 n} \kappa k_{n}^{\prime}(\kappa a)-C_{0 n} \frac{2 n a}{\pi \lambda^{2}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (52) and eliminating $C_{3 n}$ from (53), (54), and $C_{4 n}$ from (55), (56), we obtain the linear system

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 1 & \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
u_{n} & -\epsilon_{s}(n+1) & 0 \\
-i_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) & i_{n-1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) & v_{n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
C_{0 n} \\
\tilde{C}_{1 n} \\
C_{2 n}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{c}
-(2 n+1) \frac{z_{0}^{n}}{4 \pi a^{n+1}} \\
-(2),
\end{array}\right. \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{n}=-n \epsilon_{p}+\left(\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}\right) n(n+1) \frac{2 a}{\pi \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)$, $\tilde{C}_{1 n}=C_{1 n} / a^{n+1}$, and

$$
v_{n}=i_{n+1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)+\kappa \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n+1}(\kappa a) .
$$

Then the determinant $e_{n}$ of the coefficient matrix in (57) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}=\epsilon_{s}(n+1) w_{n}-u_{n} s_{n}, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{n}$ and $w_{n}$ can be found as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
i_{n-1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) & v_{n}
\end{array}\right| \\
= & \frac{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} \frac{(2 n+1) \lambda}{a} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
+ & \frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n+1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)+\kappa \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n+1}(\kappa a),  \tag{59}\\
& w_{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{\epsilon_{\infty}-\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
i_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) & v_{n}
\end{array}\right| \\
= & \frac{\epsilon_{s}-\epsilon_{\infty}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} \frac{n \lambda}{a} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a) \\
+ & \frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n+1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)+\kappa \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n+1}(\kappa a) . \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (A7) and (A8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 n+1}{r} i_{n}(r) k_{n}(r) \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2 r^{2}}-i_{n}(r) k_{n-1}(r)-i_{n+1}(r) k_{n}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2 r^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
u_{n} \leq \epsilon_{s} n(n+1) \frac{2 a}{\pi \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) \leq \epsilon_{s} \frac{n(n+1)}{2 n+1}
$$

Since $\epsilon_{s} \geq e_{\infty}$, we have $s_{n}>0$ and the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{n} & \geq \epsilon_{s} \frac{(n+1)^{2}}{2 n+1}\left[\frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} i_{n+1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}(\kappa a)\right. \\
& \left.+\kappa \lambda i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n+1}(\kappa a)\right]>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the above derivations, we can obtain the solution of the system (57) so that the expressions of $C_{i n}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are found as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0 n} & =(2 n+1) \frac{z_{0}^{n}}{4 \pi a^{n+1}} \frac{s_{n}}{e_{n}}, \quad C_{1 n}=(2 n+1) \frac{z_{0}^{n}}{4 \pi} \frac{w_{n}}{e_{n}} \\
C_{2 n} & =-(2 n+1)(n+1) \frac{z_{0}^{n} \lambda}{4 \pi a^{n+2}} \frac{i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)}{e_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain $C_{3 n}$ and $C_{4 n}$ from (52), (53), and (55):

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{3 n} & =\frac{C_{0 n}}{a^{n}}-\frac{z_{0}^{n}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{p} a^{2 n+1}}  \tag{61}\\
C_{4 n} & =\frac{\left.C_{2 n} \frac{\epsilon_{s}}{\epsilon_{\infty}} k_{n}(\kappa a)-C_{0 n} \frac{2 n a}{\pi \lambda} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)\right)}{i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we can get the expressions of (39). This completes the proof.

## V. PROGRAM PACKAGE AND TEST RESULTS

We programmed the series solutions of Models 1 and 2 in Fortran 90, along with the series solution of the local Poisson dielectric model (6). We also included the calculation of reaction function $\Psi$ as a part of our Fortran program package. Here, $\Psi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=\Phi-G \quad \text { with } \quad G(\mathbf{r})=\frac{e_{c}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} \epsilon_{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{p}} \frac{z_{j}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and both $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are calculated approximately in a partial sum of $N$ terms for a set of given mesh points and a set of point charges.

To simplify the application of our program package, a PQR file input option is provided to input the charge numbers $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{p}}$ and positions $\left\{\mathbf{r}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{p}}$ automatically from a PQR file of a protein. In this case, the center of a protein molecular structure is moved to the origin, and the atomic positions are rescaled to satisfy the condition $\left|\mathbf{r}_{j}\right|<a$. In this way, we


FIG. 1: A unit spherical solute region $D_{p}$ containing 488 point charges from a protein (2LZX) in a cubic domain $[-2,2]^{3}$.
can easily construct different tests using different protein molecules. In fact, a PQR file is widely used in the numerical solution of a local or nonlocal dielectric model. It can be produced from a protein data bank (PDB) file by using the program tool PDB2PQR (http://www.poissonboltzmann.org/pdb2pqr/). A PDB file can be downloaded for free from the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/).

As shown in our previous work $[16,20], \Psi$ can be twice continuously differentiable within and outside $D_{p}$ and continuous across the interface $\Gamma$ for local and nonlocal Poisson models. Thus, $G$ contains all the singularity points of $\Phi$. Hence, the singularity difficulty of computing $\Phi$ can be completely avoided through computing $\Psi$.

With our program package, we now can easily construct a test case to demonstrate the importance of developing solution decomposition algorithms for solving local and nonlocal dielectric models. As an example, we considered one set of 488 point charges coming from a protein with 488 atoms (PDB ID: 2LXZ) as illustrated in Figure 1. Here, we calculated the values of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ at the mesh points of three uniform meshes of a cubic domain $[-2,2]^{3}$ with mesh size $h=0.1,0.05$, and 0.01 for Models 1 and 2 and the local Poisson model using $\epsilon_{p}=2, \epsilon_{s}=80$, $\epsilon_{\infty}=1.8, \lambda=10, a=1$, and $N=20$, which was found to yield a solution with a relative error $O\left(10^{-5}\right)$. The three meshes had $68,921,531,441$, and $4,173,281$ mesh points, respectively. Our Fortran program package was found very efficient in these calculations. For example, it took only about 13 seconds in the calculation of 68921 function values for Model 1 on one 3.7 GHz Intel Xeon processor of our Mac Pro workstation.

We also did tests on the simple series solution of the local Poisson test model (6), which is given in (36). The test with 68921 mesh points, 488 charges, and a partial
sum of 20 terms (i.e., $n=19$ ) took 12 seconds while the relative error was found to be $1.99 \times 10^{-5}$. As a comparison, we programmed the double series solution obtained from the well known Kirkwood's dielectric sphere model [19]. Since the partial sum of this double series consists of $(n+1)^{2}$ terms, and each term involves complex numbers, its calculation can be very costly. For the same test as done above, the total CPU time was sharply raised to 1021 seconds while the relative error was $3.2 \times 10^{-2}$ only. This test indicated that the Kirkwood's dielectric sphere model was ineffective for validation tests.

Using the calculated values of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, we constructed the linear interpolation functions of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ based on the uniform meshes. We then plotted them by setting $y=0$ to display one cross-section of them in surface graphs on Matlab as shown in Figures 2 and 3. Note that each MATLAB surface plot is essentially a linear interpolation of a function on a uniform mesh. Hence, these MATLAB surface plots reflect the convergent behaviors of these interpolation functions.

Figure 2 displays a comparison of Model 1 with Model 2 and the local Poisson model in terms of reaction function $\Psi$. From these graphs we can see that Models 1 and 2 are very similar when both of them are significantly different from the local model. They all have continuous surfaces. Moreover, their function surfaces are smooth in the solute region $D_{p}$ and the solvent region $D_{s}$, respectively, confirming what was claimed in [16, 20].

Figure 3 shows that the linear interpolation functions of $\Phi$ became more and more spiky as $h$ was reduced from 0.1 to 0.01 , due to the solution singularity caused by the Dirac-delta distributions. Because of so many strongly singular points, it seems impossible for us to numerically solve a dielectric model for $\Phi$ directly on a mesh with a small grid size $h$. To achieve a high accuracy, we have to develop solution decomposition algorithms for computing $\Phi$ indirectly through searching for reaction function $\Psi$.

See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for a short user guide on the usage and free download of the program package.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented two nonlocal dielectric test models, called Models 1 and 2, for a spherical solute region containing multiple point charges. We then obtained their analytical solutions in simple series, and validated them analytically. Furthermore, we programmed these analytical solutions in Fortran as a software package, along with their convolution functions and their reaction parts. The usage of this software package is simple since a set of point charges can be directly input from a PDB file of a protein. Numerical tests demonstrated the high performance of our program package in comparison to the traditional double series approach as done by Kirkwood for a local dielectric sphere model. This work is expected to be of wide interest to computational


FIG. 2: A comparison of Model 1 with Model 2 and the local Poisson model in their reaction functions of $\Psi$ defined on a uniform mesh of $[-2,2]^{3}$ with $h=0.1$ for the unit spherical solute region $D_{p}$ containing 488 point charges from a protein (2LXZ).
biophysicists and biochemists, applied mathematicians, and bioengineers. The package will be a valuable tool for them to study local and nonlocal models and related numerical solvers.

As applications, numerical test results were used to illustrate that reducing the mesh size may increase the difficulty of solving a dielectric model. Hence, the solution decomposition approach is essential in the development of numerical algorithms for solving a dielectric continuum




FIG. 3: A comparison of three linear interpolation functions of the analytical solution $\Phi$ for Model 1 defined on three uniform meshes of $[-2,2]^{3}$ with $h=0.1,0.05$, and 0.01 . Here, $D_{P}$ contains 488 point charges, and the figures are plotted by setting $y$-coordinates to be zero.
model efficiently and effectively.
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## Appendix A: Modified spherical Bessel functions

We collect some formulas for modified spherical Bessel functions $i_{n}(r)$ and $k_{n}(r)$. If not stated otherwise, these formulas can be found in [28, Section 10.47ff.].

We define $i_{n}$ and $k_{n}$ in terms of modified Bessel functions $I_{\nu}$ and $K_{\nu}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{n}(r) & =\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 r}} I_{n+1 / 2}(r)=r^{n}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{\sinh r}{r}\right)  \tag{A1}\\
k_{n}(r) & =\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 r}} K_{n+1 / 2}(r) \\
& =(-1)^{n} \frac{\pi}{2} r^{n}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{e^{-r}}{r}\right) \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $y^{\prime}$ denote the first derivative of function $y$. We have the formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{n}^{\prime}(r) & =-\frac{n+1}{r} i_{n}(r)+i_{n-1}(r)  \tag{A3}\\
i_{n}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{n}{r} i_{n}(r)+i_{n+1}(r)  \tag{A4}\\
k_{n}^{\prime}(r) & =-\frac{n+1}{r} k_{n}(r)-k_{n-1}(r)  \tag{A5}\\
k_{n}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{n}{r} k_{n}(r)-k_{n+1}(r)  \tag{A6}\\
i_{n-1}(r) & -i_{n+1}(r)=\frac{2 n+1}{r} i_{n}(r)  \tag{A7}\\
k_{n}(r) i_{n+1}(r) & +k_{n+1}(r) i_{n}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2 r^{2}} . \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

The Wronskian of $i_{n}$ and $k_{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{n}(r) k_{n}^{\prime}(r)-i_{n}^{\prime}(r) k_{n}(r)=-\frac{\pi}{2 r^{2}} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Appendix B: Series expressions of some functions and solutions

Let $P_{n}$ denote the Legendre polynomial of degree $n,(r, \theta, \phi)$ denote the spherical coordinates, and $\mathbf{r}_{0}=$ $\left(0,0, z_{0}\right)$. Here $r=|\mathbf{r}|$. The functions $\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|}$ and $\frac{e^{-\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right| / \lambda}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|}$ can be expanded to the following series expressions $[28,10.60 .3]$ :

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z_{0}}{r}\right)^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi) & \text { if } r>z_{0}  \tag{B1}\\ \frac{1}{z_{0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{z_{0}}\right)^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi) & \text { if } r<z_{0}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{e^{-\frac{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|}{\lambda}}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{0}\right|}=\frac{2}{\pi \lambda} \\
& \begin{cases}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2 n+1) i_{n}\left(\frac{z_{0}}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) & \text { if } r>z_{0} \\
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(2 n+1) i_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{z_{0}}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) & \text { if } r<z_{0}\end{cases} \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

The following results are known (see [29, Section 5.53] for example).

Theorem 3. If $u$ is a rotationally symmetric solution to the Laplace equation $\Delta u=0$ in $D_{p}$, then there are constants $C_{n}$ such that

$$
u(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} r^{n} P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

If $u$ is a rotationally symmetric solution to the Laplace equation $\Delta u=0$ in $D_{s}$ with $u(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$, then there are constants $C_{n}$ such that

$$
u(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} r^{-n-1} P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

Theorem 4. If $u$ is a rotationally symmetric solution to $\Delta u-\kappa^{2} u=0, \kappa>0$, in $D_{p}$, then there are constants $C_{n}$ such that

$$
u(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} i_{n}(\kappa r) P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

If $u$ is a rotationally symmetric solution to $\Delta u-\kappa^{2} u=$ $0, \kappa>0$, in $D_{s}$ with $u(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\mathbf{r}| \rightarrow \infty$, then there are constants $C_{n}$ such that

$$
u(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} k_{n}(\kappa r) P_{n}(\cos \phi)
$$

Let $(r, \phi, \theta)$ and $\left(r^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)$ be the spherical coordinates for $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{\prime}$, respectively, and $P_{n}^{m}$ be the associated Legendre function, which is defined by

$$
P_{n}^{m}(t)=(-1)^{m}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{m / 2} \frac{d^{m}}{d t^{m}} P_{n}(t)
$$

for $m=0,1, \ldots, n$. Here $r=|\mathbf{r}|$ and $r^{\prime}=\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|$. We set $D_{p}=\left\{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}| | \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \mid<a\right\}$ and $\Gamma=\left\{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}| | \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \mid=a\right\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{\Gamma} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) P_{n}^{m}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right) \cos \left(m \theta^{\prime}\right) d S\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{B3}\\
& =\frac{2 a^{2}}{\pi \lambda^{3}} P_{n}^{m}(\cos \phi) \cos (m \theta) \begin{cases}i_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } r \leq a, \\
i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } r>a .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

This follows from (B2), the addition theorem for Legendre functions and orthogonality of spherical harmonics. A similar formula holds for $P_{n}^{m}(\cos \phi) \sin (m \theta)$.

Set $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})=\int_{D_{p}} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \nabla_{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}}\left(\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{n} P_{n}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}$. Then
$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{r})=-\int_{D_{p}} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}^{\prime}}\left(\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{n} P_{n}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}$.
By Green's formula and noting that $\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{n} P_{n}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right)$ is a harmonic function

$$
(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{r})=-\int_{\Gamma} Q_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) n\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{n-1} P_{n}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right) d S\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Using (B3), we obtain for $r>a$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{r})=-\frac{2 n a^{n+1}}{\pi \lambda^{3}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [30, page 137 ff ] it is known that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^{\prime}\left(\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{n} P_{n}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left|\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|^{n-1} \\
& \left\langle P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi) \cos \theta^{\prime}, P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi) \sin \theta^{\prime}, n P_{n-1}\left(\cos \phi^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (B3) for $r \geq a$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{2}{\pi \lambda^{3}} k_{n-1}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \int_{0}^{a}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{n+1} i_{n-1}\left(\frac{r^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right) d r^{\prime} \\
& \left\langle P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi) \cos \theta, P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi) \sin \theta, n P_{n-1}(\cos \phi)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating the above integral by using (A3) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{2 a^{n+1}}{\pi \lambda^{2}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n-1}\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right) \\
& \left\langle P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi) \cos \theta, P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi) \sin \theta, n P_{n-1}(\cos \phi)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ be the unit normal. From [30, page 33, (31)] it is known that $n \cos \phi P_{n-1}(\cos \phi)+\sin \phi P_{n-1}^{1}(\cos \phi)=$ $n P_{n}(\cos \phi)$. Then we obtain on the sphere $|\mathbf{r}|=a$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{2 n a^{n+1}}{\pi \lambda^{2}} i_{n}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) k_{n-1}\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right) P_{n}(\cos \phi) \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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