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Abstract

A 263-nm Thomson-scattering beam was used to directly probe two-plasmon decay (TPD) excited electron plasma waves (EPW’s) driven by between two and five 351-nm beams on the OMEGA Laser System. The amplitude of these waves was nearly independent of the number of drive beams at constant overlapped intensity, showing that the observed EPW’s are common to the multiple beams. In an experimental configuration where the Thomson-scattering diagnostic was not wave-matched to the common TPD EPW’s, a broad spectrum of TPD driven EPW’s was observed, indicative of nonlinear effects associated with TPD saturation. Electron plasma waves corresponding to Langmuir decay of TPD EPW’s were observed in both Thomson-scattering spectra, suggesting the Langmuir decay instability as a TPD saturation mechanism. Simulated Thomson-scattering spectra from 3-D numerical solutions of the extended Zakharov equations of TPD are in excellent agreement with the experimental spectra and verify the presence of the Langmuir decay instability.

PACS numbers: 52.35.Fp, 52.35.Mw, 52.35.Qz, 52.38.Kd
The self-organization of nonlinearly interacting dynamic systems into coherent synchronized states has attracted a broad interest across a range of subject areas in the biological and physical sciences [1]. Within plasma physics, multiple-beam laser facilities provide the opportunity for synchronization of parametric instabilities driven by intense laser beams propagating through long-scale-length plasma. The recent activation of the 192 beam National Ignition Facility has brought multiple beam laser-plasma instabilities to the forefront, and understanding the origin of these instabilities is one of the most significant hurdles preventing the realization of inertial confinement fusion (ICF) [2, 3]. Although progress has been made in understanding the coupling of multiple beams to ion-acoustic waves (IAW’s) [4], other multi-beam instabilities are not well understood.

Two-plasmon–decay (TPD) is a three-wave parametric instability in which an electromagnetic wave decays into two electron plasma waves (EPW’s) [5], and when multiple laser beams are used, their interactions with EPW’s can be synchronized by phase coupling to common decay waves [6]. The resulting large amplitude EPW’s can stochastically accelerate electrons from the bulk velocity distribution to high energies (>30 keV) [7, 8]. In ICF implosions, these high energy electrons preheat the cold fuel, degrading implosion performance, and potentially preventing ignition [9]. Numerical simulations predict that once the TPD instability is driven above the linear threshold, EPW amplitudes rapidly reach levels where secondary processes such as the Langmuir decay instability (LDI) [10] and cavitation lead to a broad spectrum of large amplitude EPW’s [11–13]. Although these studies have provided insight into the basic nonlinear physics involved with TPD, they have not achieved quantitative agreement with experiments.

Early multiple-beam experiments showed evidence of TPD-generated hot electrons when the single-beam growth rates were significantly below threshold. These studies suggested that TPD hot-electron generation was governed by the overlapped laser intensity [14]; subsequent experiments showed that hot-electron generation scaled with the maximum multiple-beam growth rate calculated from linear theory [15]. These studies used indirect measurements of TPD, dependent on nonlinear processes associated with generating a broad TPD spectrum, which challenges the validity of comparing to linear TPD theory. Self-Thomson scattering of the drive laser beams provides a more direct signature of TPD-driven EPW’s [16, 17], and the spectral features have been discussed in theoretical studies of TPD-driven LDI [18], but quantitative comparison was limited by the difficulty in defining the EPW’s that are probed when using large numbers of drive beams [19, 20]. Very early laser-plasma experiments made the most direct experimental observations of TPD by using a Thomson-scattering probe to observe the amplitude, which is proportional to the
This Letter presents the first direct observation of two-plasmon–decay waves driven by multiple laser beams and the TPD driven Langmuir decay instability. An ultraviolet Thomson-scattering probe beam was used to isolate EPWs driven by multiple-beam TPD, which allowed for the first quantitative comparison of numerical simulations of TPD with experiments. The narrow width [1.6 ± 0.1-nm full-width-at-half maximum (FWHM)] and peak wavelength (423.1 ± 0.2 nm) of the common-wave scattering feature shows that the electron plasma waves are driven near the region of maximum common-wave growth. A second peak in the scattering spectrum, corresponding to Langmuir decay of primary TPD EPWs, suggests the Langmuir decay instability as a TPD saturation mechanism. The measured Thomson-scattering spectra were well reproduced by 3-D numerical simulations that account for the nonlinear nature of the instability and the multiple-beam geometry used in the experiments.

The experiments were conducted on the OMEGA Laser Facility [24] and used two to five \( \lambda_{3\omega} = 351 \)-nm laser beams to drive common EPW’s. The beam ports were on five of the corners of a hexagon, with the beams incident on a planar target at an angle of 23° with respect to the target normal. Phase plates [25] were used on each beam to define the 300-\( \mu \)m FWHM flat-top laser spots at best focus of the \( f/6.7 \) focusing lenses. To improve the uniformity of the laser beam profile, the beams propagated through a birefringent polarization smoothing crystal that separated the incident linearly polarized laser beam into two overlapped beams with orthogonal polarizations propagating...
FIG. 2: Thomson-scattering spectra for scattering from EPW’s with dashed lines at wavelengths corresponding to the quarter \((n_c/4)\) and fifth \((n_c/5)\) critical surfaces. (a) Scattering from thermal EPW’s (150 \(\mu m\) from target surface) generates a broad spectrum corresponding to the range of densities within the Thomson-scattering volume. (b) Scattering spectra from common EPW’s (100 \(\mu m\) from target surface) shows narrow peaks corresponding to locally driven TPD EPW’s. (c) Off-hyperbola scattering (100 \(\mu m\) from target surface) results in a broad spectrum of TPD-driven EPW’s. The dip in scattering amplitude at 0.9 ns in all three spectra is caused by a shock [28], reflected from the molybdenum layer, traveling through the Thomson-scattering volume.

at a slight angle (\(\sim 40 \mu rad\)) [26]. The laser beams used 1-ns- or 2-ns-long square pulses with the same energy in each beam. When the number of beams and pulse lengths were varied, the laser energies were adjusted to maintain a constant vacuum overlapped intensity (\(\sim 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2\)), resulting in the same hydrodynamic conditions for all experiments. The planar targets were 3-mm \(\times\) 3-mm squares consisting of 30-\(\mu m\)-thick CH layers coated on 30-\(\mu m\)-thick Mo. The CH-layer thickness was chosen such that the burnthrough time was much longer than the laser pulse [27].

The Thomson-scattering diagnostic consisted of a \(\lambda_{440} = 263.25\text{-nm} \ f/6.7\) probe beam with a best-focus diameter of \(\sim 50 \mu m\) [29]. The probe beam used the same pulse shape and duration as the drive beams with \(\sim 70 \text{ J}\) of total energy (intensity \(\sim 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2\)). The Thomson-scattered light was collected by a reflective \(f/10\) collection system coupled to two spectrometer/streak cameras, used to simultaneously observe the EPW and IAW scattering features [30]. The spectral resolutions of the IAW and EPW systems are 0.05 nm and 0.5 nm, respectively. Scattered light was collected from an \(\sim 50 \times 50 \times 50-\mu m^3\) volume located either 150 \(\mu m\) \((n_e/n_c \approx 0.18 \text{ to } 0.21)\) or 100 \(\mu m\) \((n_e/n_c \approx 0.21 \text{ to } 0.25)\) from the target surface (where \(n_e\) is the electron density and \(n_c = 9.05 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) is the critical density for 351-nm light). The angle between the collection optic and probe beam was 120\(^\circ\). Two Thomson-scattering geometries were used to probe EPW wave vectors near the region of maximum common-wave growth (common-wave configuration) and a region where there was no linear common-wave coupling (non-common-wave configuration). The range of wave vectors probed in the two configurations [Fig. 1(a)] were calculated by
ray tracing through density profiles generated using the 2-D hydrodynamic code DRACO, where
the electron heat flux was limited to 6% of the free-streaming value [31]. Refraction reduced
the scattering angle in the plasma to $\theta_{scw} \approx 32^\circ$ and $\theta_{ncw} \approx 55^\circ$ in the common-wave and non-
common-wave configurations, respectively.

Two-plasmon–decay linear theory with multiple laser beams predicts a maximum growth rate
along the axis of symmetry defined by the laser beams [the z-axis in Fig. 1(a)] [6]. The frequency
($\omega_0 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$) and wave vector ($\mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2$) matching conditions and linear EPW dispersion
relation ($\omega_{1,2}^2 = \omega_{pe}^2 + 3k_{1,2}^2v_{te}^2$) can be satisfied for multiple beams sharing a common daughter
wave only when they share a common angle relative to the driven wave [where ($\omega_{1,2}, \mathbf{k}_{1,2}$) are the
daughter EPW frequencies and wave vectors, ($\omega_0, \mathbf{k}_0$) are the drive beam frequency and wave vec-
tor, $\omega_{pe} = \omega_0 \sqrt{n_e/n_c}$ is the electron plasma frequency, and $v_{te} = \sqrt{T_e/m_e}$ is the electron thermal
velocity ($m_e$ is the electron mass)].

In experiments where multiple beams share a common azimuthal angle, the maximum linear
growth rate occurs at the intersection of the single beam maximum growth rates, which lie along
hyperboloids [$k_\perp = k_\parallel (k_\parallel - k_0)$] (where $k_\perp$ and $k_\parallel$ are the components of the plasma wave vector
perpendicular and parallel to the drive beam wave vector, respectively) [15]. The hexagonal beam
pattern has reflection symmetry with respect to the Thomson-scattering plane, resulting in only
three unique intersections of the single-beam maximum growth hyperboloids with the Thomson-
scattering plane [giving six lines (one for each hyperboloid branch) in Fig. 1(a)]. Electron plasma
waves corresponding to distinct branches of a hyperboloid are categorized as forward scattered
($\omega_1 > \omega_0/2, \mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_0 > 0$) or backscattered ($\omega_2 < \omega_0/2, \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{k}_0 < 0$). Figure 1(b) shows the wave
vector-matching condition for Thomson scattering from forward scattered common TPD EPW’s,
$\mathbf{k}_c = \mathbf{k}_{4\omega} - \mathbf{k}_s$ (where $\mathbf{k}_{4\omega}, \mathbf{k}_s$, and $\mathbf{k}_c$ are the wave vectors of the probe beam, Thomson-scattered
light, and common EPW, respectively). The associated matching conditions and dispersion rela-
tions [32] constitute a closed set of equations and predict a Thomson-scattered peak wavelength
of $\lambda_{sc} = 423 \pm 0.5$ nm.

Figure 2(a) shows a broad (9.1±1.1-nm FWHM) EPW Thomson-scattering spectrum measured
150 $\mu$m from the initial target surface. The scattering feature has a single spectral peak with a shape
consistent with the size and intensity distribution of the probe beam, indicating that thermal EPW’s
of roughly equal amplitudes are present throughout the (physical) scattering volume. The observed
peak corresponds to Thomson scattering from EPW’s from a range of densities $n_e/n_c \approx 0.18$ to
0.21. The IAW spectrum (not shown) was fit to the collisionless dynamic structure factor [33],
giving a measure of the electron temperature \((T_e = 2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ keV at } 1 \text{ ns})\) and plasma flow velocity along the target normal \((v_f = 5.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/s})\). The predicted values of \(T_e = 1.9 \text{ keV}\) and \(v_f = 5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/s}\) from \textit{DRACO}\ simulations agree with the measurements.

Figure 2(b) shows a narrow \((1.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ nm FWHM})\) high-intensity feature that appears at a wavelength \((\lambda_s = 423.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm})\) consistent with the common-wave model \((\lambda_{s,c} = 423 \pm 0.5 \text{ nm})\). The peak is an order of magnitude more intense and \(~\)10 times narrower than the thermal peak, showing the driven nature of the waves. The wavelength range corresponds to Thomson scattering from densities between \(n_e/n_c \approx 0.246\) and \(0.247\). This is much narrower than the range of densities in the scattering volume \((n_e/n_c \approx 0.21 \text{ to } 0.25)\), indicating that the peak corresponds to locally driven EPW’s.

The integrated Thomson-scattered power in the common-wave configuration (proportional to the square root of the wave amplitude) was nearly independent of the number of drive beams when maintaining a constant overlapped intensity. For 2, 3, and 5 beam experiments, the relative Thomson scattered power scaled by 1, 0.7, and 0.5, respectively. The fact that the amplitude of the observed EPW’s was nearly independent of the single beam intensity when varying the number of beams at constant overlapped intensity shows that multiple beams are driving the observed EPW’s.

The shorter-wavelength peak \((\lambda_s = 413.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ nm})\) shown in Fig. 2(b) corresponds to Thomson scattering from EPW’s generated by Langmuir decay of backscattered TPD EPW’s. Figure 1(c) shows the wave-matching condition for Thomson scattering from secondary backscattered EPW’s \((k_2')\), where the blue triangle satisfies the LDI matching conditions \((k_2 = k_2' + k_{\text{iaw}}, \omega_2 = \omega_2' + \omega_{\text{iaw}})\). Assuming that the observed EPW’s correspond to direct LDI backscatter \((k_2 = k_{\text{iaw}} - k_2')\), the matching conditions and dispersion relations [32] give \(\lambda_s = 413.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ nm}\) for Thomson scattering from secondary backscattered EPW’s, in agreement with the observed peak.

Figure 3(a) compares the measured [Fig. 2(b)] and simulated Thomson-scattering spectra from the five-beam common-wave geometry. The simulated peak widths and amplitude ratio are in excellent agreement with the experiment. The simulation parameters were taken from \textit{DRACO}\ profiles: \(T_e = 1.9 \text{ keV}, I_{n_c/4} = 6 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2, L_n = 190 \mu\text{m} \) (density scale length), \(T_i = 1 \text{ keV}, v_{\text{flow}} = 5.15 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/s}, \) and \(n_e(z) = n_0[1 - (z/L_n)^{1.12}]\), where \(n_e(z)\) is a power law fit to the unperturbed electron density profile near \(n_c/4\), and \(n_0 = 0.27n_c\) is the peak electron density in the simulation box.

The spectra were simulated using a 3-D numerical plasma fluid code \((\text{LPSE [34]})\) that solves the extended Zakharov equations of TPD [18, 35] for the low-frequency IAW’s and high-frequency
FIG. 3: Thomson-scattering spectrum measured at $\sim 1$ ns (red) and simulated (blue) in the (a) common-wave and (b) non-common-wave Thomson-scattering configurations.

(enveloped) EPW’s. The Zakharov equations are used to model the nonlinear coupling between EPW’s and IAW’s [8]. Phase plates with polarization smoothing were simulated by splitting each incident beam cone into two sets of 100 cross-polarized plane-wave beamlets with a 40-$\mu$rad angular divergence and random phase. The simulation box was $66 \times 13 \times 13 \, \mu m^3$ on a uniform $1300 \times 256 \times 256$ Cartesian grid. Thomson-scattering spectra are generated using a numerical structure factor obtained from simulated time series.

In LPSE simulations, the shorter-wavelength Thomson-scattering peak was correlated to the Langmuir decay of backscattered TPD EPW’s by comparing the temporal evolution of the Thomson-scattering spectrum and the low-frequency density perturbations (IAW’s). Figure 4(a) shows the simulated EPW spectrum at 1 ps, when the TPD instability was in the linear growth stage, and large-amplitude EPW’s corresponding to the maximum five-beam common-wave growth rate are the dominant spectral feature. At this time, the corresponding IAW spectrum has no driven waves, and only the peak corresponding to forward-scattered TPD EPW’s is observed in the simulated Thomson-scattering spectrum. When the ponderomotive force associated with the electric field of counter-propagating EPW’s is sufficient to overcome IAW damping, a series of Langmuir decays generate large-amplitude IAW’s, leading to the broad spectrum of TPD driven EPWs shown in Fig. 4(b). At this time ($\sim 2$ ps), the simulated EPW Thomson-scattering spectrum shows two spectral peaks at wavelengths corresponding to forward- and back-scattered TPD EPW’s.

In simulations where the intensity was just above the threshold for the onset of the TPD instability ($I_{nc/4} = 2 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$), the EPW amplitudes did not reach sufficient amplitudes to drive large-amplitude IAW’s, and the EPW spectrum looks similar to Fig. 4(a) at all times. The spectral peak corresponding to backscattered TPD EPW’s never appears in the low-intensity simulated
FIG. 4: Simulated electron plasma wave spectra for 5 drive beams (a) during linear TPD growth (1 ps) and (b) after saturation (2 ps), where $\phi$ is the high frequency (enveloped) potential.

Thomson-scattering spectra, consistent with these EPW’s being generated by LDI.

Figure 2(c) shows a Thomson-scattering spectrum measured in the non-common-wave geometry [red box in Fig. 1(a)], which was chosen such that the Thomson-scattering diagnostic probes wave vectors that do not satisfy the common-wave matching conditions but is measuring light scattered from a range of densities ($n_e/n_c \approx 0.21$ to 0.25), where TPD is active. The simulated spectrum [Fig. 3(b)] is in good agreement with the measured peak widths and relative amplitudes. The small discrepancy observed between the simulated and measured peak wavelengths could be a result of a $\sim 10\%$ underestimation of the electron temperature or an overestimation of the effects of refraction. For a given scattering geometry (i.e., fixed $\theta_s$), the location of the peaks is determined by the electron temperature and their separation is approximately linear in electron temperature.

In summary, common TPD EPW’s were observed using ultraviolet Thomson scattering. The common-wave Thomson scattering feature is characterized by its narrow width and weak amplitude scaling with overlapped drive beam intensity. The observation of EPW’s driven by LDI experimentally shows the nonlinear state of the TPD instability and suggests that LDI is responsible for generating a broad range of plasma wave vectors. These results are supported by 3-D LPSE simulations that quantitatively reproduce the experimental Thomson-scattering spectra. The quantitative agreement between these measurements and the modeling will have a significant impact on understanding hot-electron production at large multiple-beam laser facilities that are currently conducting fusion experiments.
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