

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Spatiotemporal correlation uncovers characteristic lengths in cardiac tissue

Alessandro Loppini, Alessio Gizzi, Christian Cherubini, Elizabeth M. Cherry, Flavio H. Fenton, and Simonetta Filippi

Phys. Rev. E 100, 020201 — Published 16 August 2019

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.100.020201

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Spatiotemporal correlation uncovers characteristic lengths in cardiac tissue

Alessandro Loppini,¹ Alessio Gizzi^{*},¹ Christian Cherubini,^{1,2}

Elizabeth M. Cherry,³ Flavio H. Fenton,⁴ and Simonetta Filippi^{1,2}

¹Department of Engineering, Campus Bio-Medico University of Rome, Via A. del Portillo 21, 00128, Rome, Italy

²ICRANet, Piazza delle Repubblica 10, I-65122 Pescara, Italy

³School of Mathematical Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology,

85 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, NY (USA)

⁴School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 837 State Street, Atlanta, Georgia (USA).

Complex spatiotemporal patterns of action potential duration have been shown to occur in many mammalian hearts due to period-doubling bifurcations that develop with increasing frequency of stimulation. Here, through high-resolution optical mapping experiments and mathematical modeling, we introduce a characteristic spatial length of cardiac activity in canine ventricular wedges via a spatiotemporal correlation analysis, at different stimulation frequencies and during fibrillation. We show that characteristic length ranges from 40 to 20 cm during one to one responses and it decreases to a specific value of about 3 cm at the transition from period-doubling bifurcation to fibrillation. We further show that during fibrillation, the characteristic length is about 1 cm. Another significant outcome of our analysis is the finding of a novel constitutive phenomenological law obtained from a nonlinear fitting of experimental data which relates conduction velocity restitution curve with the characteristic length of the system. The fractional exponent of 3/2 in our phenomenological law is in agreement with the domain size remapping required to reproduce experimental fibrillation dynamics within a realistic cardiac domain via accurate mathematical models.

Keywords: Cardiac Dynamics, Spatiotemporal Correlation, Conduction Velocity, Dispersive Media, Domain 22 Mapping, Generalized Reaction-Diffusion. 23

Exploiting characteristic lengths and times represents 24 primary strategy to understand natural phenomena. 25 In this perspective, heart dynamics shows multiple spa-26 tial and temporal scales ranging from physiological up 27 to pathological regimes [1–3]. Complex series of cardiac 28 spatiotemporal activation patterns, e.g. phase-locking 29 and period-doubling bifurcations [4–6], can lead to a dis-30 organized ventricular electrical activity-fibrillation-(see 31 ³² Fig. 1 for an experimental example of induction of fibrillation) classified as life-threatening cardiac arrhyth-33 mias in the clinical community. These phenomena are 34 35 known to be supported by specific physical indicators, e.g. spatial dispersion of repolarization [7-9] and associ-36 ated abnormal values of action potential (AP) duration 37 and conduction velocity (CV), producing oscillations in 38 ³⁹ the electrocardiogram signal and suggesting their clin-40 ical importance in risk stratification for sudden cardiac death [10]. Attempts to classify different regimes involved 41 in cardiac activity dates back to Wiggers [11], and this 42 subject is still widely studied in animal experiments and 43 isolated myocardium as well as supported by sophisti-44 cated mathematical models [12–17]. Indicators quanti-45 fying general properties of ventricular fibrillation have 46 been proposed in the Physics literature [18–21], e.g. or-47 der parameters and correlation functions. However, a 48 comprehensive spatiotemporal index, able to character-49 50 ize the different regimes, is still missing, thus limiting our 52 predictive power.

In this work, we provide an experimental-modeling ra-53 54 ⁵⁵ dynamics. We make use of AP optical mapping record-



FIG. 1. Endocardial action potential (AP) voltage data from fluorescence optical mapping showing the transition from normal rhythm to ventricular tachycardia up to ventricular fibrillation. Spatial distribution at selected frames (top) and time course of a single pixel (bottom). In sequence: top-down propagating front, top-down wave back, single clockwise spiral, double clockwise spirals. Color code refers to normalized voltage amplitude. The grayscale background represents the endocardial ventricular tissue [5].

56 ings on endocardial canine ventricular wedges (we refere ⁵⁷ to Gizzi *et al.* [5] and Supplementary Material–SI–[22] for 58 details on the experimental protocol) and fine-tuned phe-⁵⁹ nomenological mathematical models of cardiac electrical 60 activity [9, 23] measuring characteristic lengths under dif-61 ferent dynamical regimes. We unveil novel constitutive ⁶² properties of the heart, further identifying a normalized ⁶³ characteristic length which may serve as a predictive inditionale identifying a novel predictive indicator of cardiac 64 cator of period-doubling bifurcations (alternans). We ex-⁶⁵ plain such observations by introducing a new phenomeno-

⁶⁶ logical relation linking characteristic length and conduction velocity. Strikingly, such constitutive law allows us 67 to accurately predict and reproduce spatiotemporal fib-68 rillation behaviors by applying a domain size mapping. 69 This methodology prevents any additional model tuning, 70 71 which usually represents a necessary extra step to simulate arrhythmias in realistic cardiac geometries. 72

Mathematical Model Tuning. We make use of a mon-73 odomain formulation of the four-variable minimal model 74 for cardiac electrophysiology [23] fine-tuned upon exper-75 imental data (see SI). The objective here is to highlight 76 77 the complex multiscale nature of the cardiac tissue and the intrinsic coupling between its spatial and temporal 78 features. In this perspective, Fig. 2(a) compares the 79 time course of two consecutive action potentials dur-80 ing fast electrical pacing (cycle length–CL) quantifying 81 the action potential duration (APD) for a representa-82 tive example of canine optical mapping recordings [5] 83 and one-dimensional (1D) simulations. Figure 2(b) com-84 pares the conduction velocity (CV) calculated on the 85 two-dimensional (2D) endocardial surface (average and 86 standard error from 7 samples-squared symbols) for de-87 creasing values of CL (restitution protocol [24]) with re-88 spect to 1D model prediction (solid line). Figure 2(c)89 shows experimental and simulated endocardial electri-90 cal excitations during a single action potential wave 91 propagation confirming the accuracy of the numerical 92 wave-front dynamics. In this case, the phase field ap-93 ⁹⁴ proach is adopted [25] such that the computational domain size corresponds to the irregular optical area taken 95 from the measures. As an additional level of informa-96 tion, isochrones of activation are provided in both cases 97 to highlight further the need for non-trivial anisotropies 98 in the computational model to reproduce the observed 99 dynamics [26]. Finally, Fig. 2(d) shows a spatial view of 100 alternans maps on the optical field of view obtained on 101 the same tissue for different CL. In particular, from left 102 to right, CL decreases thus inducing a higher and more 103 heterogeneous distribution of alternans in the tissue, up 104 to 25 ms of APD difference for two consecutive beats. 105 We assume the presence of alternans when the condition 106 $|\Delta APD| > 2 \text{ ms}$ is fulfilled [5] (see details in SI). 107

Correlation Measure. We introduce a novel quanti-108 ¹⁰⁹ tative analysis of fluorescence optical mapping signals based on the calculation of correlation functions and the 110 identification of the corresponding characteristic spatial 111 ¹¹² length (decay length, L_0). Specifically, we computed a ¹¹³ two-point operator within a square box extracted from ¹²⁶ for the epicardial surface-not shown), reducing CL from $_{114}$ the mapped tissue (see Fig. 2(d)):

$$R(\vec{x}, \vec{r}) = \frac{\langle (V_A - \langle V_A \rangle_t) (V_B - \langle V_B \rangle_t) \rangle_t}{\sigma_A \sigma_B}, \qquad (1)$$

 $_{116}$ the time average computed over a selected time window $_{132}$ numerical simulations (filled circle symbols in Fig. 3(a)) ¹¹⁷ and σ_A, σ_B are the standard deviations of V_A and V_B , ¹³³ that match the experimental decay length trend finally ¹¹⁸ respectively. We finally average $R(\vec{x},\vec{r})$ over the whole ¹³⁴ merging the mean experimental value at short CLs.



FIG. 2. (a) Experimental (black) and simulated (red-light gray) AP time course for two consecutive activations during fast pacing with the indication of APD alternans. (b) Conduction velocity restitution curve (mean & standard error) for experimental tissue samples (symbol), fitting exponential law (dashed), and one-dimensional model prediction (solid). (c) Representative endocardial wavefront propagations and corresponding isochrones from experiments (top) and model (bottom). Arrows indicate the location of the pacing electrode. (d) Spatial map of ΔAPD alternans evolution during pace-down stimulation protocol [5]: non-alternating (white), concordant (blue-singly gray), discordant alternans (blue/redmultigray). The red square (left) indicates the region selected to compute the correlation function.

¹¹⁹ squared domain to compute the global correlation in-¹²⁰ dex at distance \vec{r} defining the characteristic length L_0 ¹²¹ as $R(\vec{r}) \propto \exp\left(-|\vec{r}|/L_0\right)$ (see details in SI).

122 Evaluated L_0 values are shown in Fig. 3(a) for 7 differ-123 ent ventricular preparations. Optical data (squared sym- $_{124}$ bols) are characterized by an average L_0 decreasing from $_{125}$ 38 cm to 3 cm for the endocardial surface (34 to 4 cm ¹²⁷ 450 to 115 ms. As expected, we observe tissue variability, ¹²⁸ but it decreases at short CLs where smaller L_0 values are 129 identified, and significant exponential decay of the two-130 point correlation function is obtained. The robustness of ¹¹⁵ where $V_A = V(\vec{x},t)$, $V_B = V(\vec{x}+\vec{r},t)$, $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$ represents ¹³¹ the methodology is further confirmed by two-dimensional

135 136 137 138 Fig. 3(b):

$$\langle L_0 \rangle = \frac{L_0^+ + L_0^-}{2}, \quad L^* = \frac{L_0^+ - \langle L_0 \rangle}{\langle L_0 \rangle},$$
 (2)

representing a novel integral quantification of the well-139 known cardiac beat-to-beat variability. In particular, we identify i) the transition from no alternans to concordant 141 alternans, when a net increase of L^* is observed, and *ii*) 142 the transition from concordant alternans to discordant 143 alternans when consecutive CL-dependent oscillations of 144 L^* are present. We also note that L^* is not null for the 145 experimental data at slow pacing rates $(CL > 300 \,\mathrm{ms})$, 146 thus implying an intrinsic dispersion in the tissue, and L^* 147 ¹⁴⁸ oscillates by lowering CL within the discordant alternans regime ($CL < 150 \,\mathrm{ms}$). Interestingly, an intermediate *re*-149 synchronization pattern appears, $L^* \simeq 0$, observed only 150 as a critical state before a transition occurs. We justify 151 such transitions in terms of L^* values obtained via nu-152 merical simulation (dashed line in Fig. 3(b)). The model 153 can reproduce the normalized decay length patterns both 154 in amplitude and timing, in particular predicting the onset of alternans. However, it does not capture either dis-156 ersion at slow pacing rates nor multiple oscillations at 157 fast CLs. We stress here that these two limitations are 158 common in the current literature of computational car-159 diology [27]. An effort in introducing memory in time 160 and dispersion in space [17, 26] aims, in fact, at repro-161 ducing in silico arrhythmic scenarios that usually require 162 non-physical (larger) simulation domains and *ad hoc* pa-163 rameters' choice. 164

Phenomenological Constitutive Theory. We assume 165 that the excitation wave velocity varies with the pac-166 ing period according to the exponential law CV(CL) =167 $A - B \exp(C \operatorname{CL})$. Hence, we can successfully fit the experiments, as shown in Fig. 2(b)–dashed line–by posing 169 170 $A = \kappa a$, $B = \kappa b$, and $C = c/\tau$ in which $\kappa = 1$ cm/ms and $\tau = 1 \text{ ms}$ restore physical dimensions, and a = 0.177, b =171 172 0.31, c = -0.015 are non-dimensional parameters. Based 173 on this fit, we identify the characteristic length L_0 of ¹⁷⁴ the excitation wave introducing a new phenomenological ¹⁷⁵ constitutive relation in which the pacing CL acts like an ¹⁷⁶ internal variable:

$$\widehat{L_0} = \kappa \,\widehat{\mathrm{CV}}^{\alpha} \mathrm{CL}\,,\tag{3}$$

178 mensionless dispersive conduction velocity restitution re- 201 experiment (dashed blue) and model (dashed red), the $_{279}$ lationship. Equation (3) holds the notable limit of linear- $_{202}$ exponent able to replicate the measured L_0 corresponds ¹⁸⁰ non-dispersive-wave propagation for $\alpha = 1$, shown in ²⁰³ to $\alpha \simeq 1.5$. This particular value is in agreement with the ¹⁸¹ Fig. 3(a) (green–upper–dashed line). We further remark ₂₀₄ fractional Laplacian operator exponent showed to repli-182 that the quantity $\widehat{L_0}$ does not correspond to the concept 205 cate experimental dispersion of repolarization in human 183 of wavelength. Indeed, the characteristic length is based 206 cardiac tissue [28, 29] and that is based on a microscopic ¹⁸⁴ on an integral space-time operation and quantifies the ²⁰⁷ biophysics description of cardiac propagation. The re-

We further characterize the multiple transitions oc- 185 response of the whole tissue at different pacing frequencurring at fast pacing enriching the previous analysis 186 cies. From such a plot, it appears evident that the linear with the measure of the normalized decay length, L^* in $_{187}$ limit, also in the case of the chosen dispersive CV(CL) re-188 lation, is not able to reproduce the sought characteristic 189 lengths, L_0 . Figure 4, in fact, shows linear and log-log ¹⁹⁰ plots of the characteristic length as function of CV. In ¹⁹¹ particular, Fig. 4(a) highlights the vertical saturation ef-¹⁹² fect at high CV providing $\widehat{L_0}$ as independent of the pacing ¹⁹³ rate for physiological conditions. However, a horizontal asymptote appears at small CV greatly varying L_0 at fast critical pacings. The log-log plot in Fig. 4(b) further 195 ¹⁹⁶ supports the power-law trend assumed for interpolating ¹⁹⁷ CV(CL) restitution curves.



FIG. 3. (a) Decay length as a function of pacing cycle length for endocardial experimental recordings and corresponding model simulations. Standard deviation is superimposed to the experimental measures. Dashed curves indicate the fitted estimate of characteristic length $\widehat{L_0}$, Eq. (3), in the linear case ($\alpha = 1$) and fitted for experiments and model ($\alpha = 1.5$). (b) Normalized decay length L^* versus pacing cycle length for a representative experimental recording (solid) and model (dashed).

We performed, then, a second fitting procedure to iden-¹⁹⁹ tify the values of α able to reproduce such a global and 177 and $\widehat{CV} = CV/\kappa$ represents the experimental-based di- $_{200}$ synthetic length. Our analysis shows that both for the

tive, can be read as i) a spatiotemporal generalization of $_{232}$ (1) we simulate a sustained fibrillation scenario in a non- $_{210}$ scale invariance usually adopted in fractal geometry [30] $_{233}$ physical squared domain with side length $\Delta = 20$ unit $_{211}$ and ii) the fractional diffusion description of cell-cell cou- $_{234}$ where unit = 1 cm; (2) we perform a down-scaling of the ²¹² pling in cardiac electrophysiology [28, 31, 32].



FIG. 4. Characteristic length vs. CV in (a) linear and (b) loglog scales. Squares denote experimental measures at specific cycle lengths. Vertical bars denote standard deviation.

213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 224 225 ²²⁸ red area (right)-circle symbol). Such a scaling method- ²⁸⁴ lation scenarios introducing a new domain size mapping ²²⁹ ology is necessary to reproduce in silico the spiral me-²⁸⁵ allowing us to reproduce the physical spatiotemporal fea-230 andering observed in the experiments within a realistic 286 tures of the system without modifying any of the model

2008 sult, by analogy in a homogenized micro-macro perspec- 231 tissue size. We solve such well-known problem as follows: 235 domain size according to the fitted value of the exponent, $_{236} \alpha = 1.5$, defining the new unit = 0.37 cm that leads to the physical domain size expected from the experiments, i.e. $\delta = \Delta^{1/\alpha} = 7.4$ cm; (3) we over impose the irregular mask boundaries from the experiments; (4) we perform the spatiotemporal correlation analysis within the physical box of size 3×3 cm² (in agreement to the experimental

237

240

242

case) and identify the sought decay length. Discussions and Perspectives. Physiological cardiac 243 synchronization features are associated with long-range 244 correlated dynamics corresponding to large spatial depolarization/repolarization states. Pathological behav-246 iors, instead, are related to short-range coherent local states. In such a scenario, we address the interpreta-248 tion of spatial correlation supporting both an augmented system's understanding and mathematical model predic-250 tivity. The physical meaning of such a value is regarded as the total length through which the activation wave 252 must propagate to synchronize the whole organ as well as to restore the resting condition in a unified man-254 ner (full depolarization and repolarization phases consolidating additional information than the sole wave-256 257 length [36]). Besides, we characterize the transition of the excitation wave starting from normal rhythm (non-258 alternating), passing through a period-doubling bifurcation (concordant and discordant alternans), and ending with sustained ventricular fibrillation. To this end, we 261 quantified the characteristic length transitions obtained during pace-down stimulation protocol recorded for sev-²⁶⁴ eral experiments following the usual restitution procedure in cardiac electrophysiology [5]. We thus identify 265 ₂₆₆ critical values of the decay length: $L_0 \sim 10 \,\mathrm{cm}$ at the ₂₆₇ onset of discordant alternans (CL ~ 200 ms), $L_0 \leq 3 \,\mathrm{cm}$ $_{268}$ at the onset of fibrillation (CL ~ 100 ms). On these Domain Mapping for Ventricular Fibrillation. Upon 269 pieces of evidence, we develop a unified criterium in this result, we analyze ventricular fibrillation both for the $_{270}$ terms of characteristic length of the system, either L_0 experimental preparations and the mathematical model. $_{271}$ or L_0 , entailing, in a homogenized sense, feedback insta-Fibrillation is an auto-excitatory regime (no external pac- 272 bilities due to intra- and inter-cellular multiscale intering) presenting multiple unstable spirals at the same time 273 actions. Accordingly, we incorporate our findings into a within the tissue (usually three for both experiments and 274 new phenomenological constitutive law based on wavesimulations-see SI), and much shorter decay lengths [19]. 275 front CV(CL) restitution properties. The advantage of Our analysis reveals that L_0 falls to an average value $_{276}$ our method over previous attempts to predict excitation of 1.1 cm for the endocardial experimental data (see 277 adaptability, alternans and arrhythmias onset [36, 37], Fig. 3(a) red area (right)-squared symbol) supporting ev- 278 is due to incorporating spatiotemporal information in idence that cardiac fibrillation is not a spatially random 279 an integral/feedback sense, thus predicting cardiac inmechanism but a high-dimensional process characterized 280 stabilities. Our phenomenological theory indicates that by a measurable degree of coherence [33–35]. Accord- $_{281}$ a fractional exponent ($\alpha = 3/2$) best replicates the exingly, numerical simulations confirm $L_0 \simeq 1.4$ cm only 282 perimental decay lengths of the system during sustained when a domain scaling procedure is applied (see Fig. 3(a) 283 pacing. Accordingly, we extrapolate this value to fibril²⁸⁷ parameters. Such theoretical reasoning, making use of ³⁴³
²⁸⁸ a nonlinear phenomenological laws, clearly suggests the ³⁴⁴
²⁹⁹ need of a deeper understanding of cardiac tissue in terms ³⁴⁶
²⁹⁰ of microstructural features and non-local diffusion oper ³⁴⁷
²⁹¹ ators [6, 38–42], inter-scale coupling [43] and information ³⁴⁷
³⁴⁸ and ³⁴⁹
²⁹² flow [44, 45], molecular diffusion [46], and spatiotempo- ³⁴⁹
²⁹³ ral renormalization [47] to replicate and predict emerging ³⁵⁰
²⁹⁴ phenomena in cardiac electrophysiology. ³⁵¹

Acknowledgement. Authors acknowledge the support
of the International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics
Network (ICRANet), the Italian National Group for
Mathematical Physics (GNFM-INdAM), the Visiting
Professor Programme at Campus Bio-Medico University
of Rome, the National Science Foundation under grant
no. CNS-1446312.

- ³⁰² [1] L. Glass, Nature **410**, 277 (2001).
- ³⁰³ [2] Z. Qu, G. Hu, A. Garfinkel, and J. N. Weiss, Physics
 ³⁰⁴ Reports **543**, 61 (2014).
- [3] M. Scardigli, C. Crocini, C. Ferrantini, T. Gabbrielli, 369
 L. Silvestri, R. Coppini, C. Tesi, E. A. Rog-Zielinska, 370
 P. Kohl, E. Cerbai, C. Poggesi, F. S. Pavone, and L. Sacconi, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 114, 5737 (2017). 372
- [4] M. R. Guevara, L. Glass, and A. Shrier, Science 214, 373 [32]
 1350 (1981). 374
- [5] A. Gizzi, E. M. Cherry, G. R. F. Jr, S. Luther, S. Filippi,
 and F. H. Fenton, Frontiers in Physiology 4, 71 (2013).
- ³¹³ [6] A. Gizzi, A. Loppini, E. M. Cherry, C. Cherubini, F. H. ³⁷⁷
 ³¹⁴ Fenton, and S. Filippi, Physiological Measurements **38**, ³⁷⁸
 ³¹⁵ 833 (2017). ³⁷⁹
- ³¹⁶ [7] J. Han and G. K. Moe, Circulation Research **14**, 44 ³⁸⁰ ³¹⁷ (1964). ³⁸¹
- [8] F. L. Burton and S. M. Cobbe, Cardiovascular Research
 50, 10 (2001).
- ³²⁰ [9] F. H. Fenton, A. Gizzi, C. Cherubini, N. Pomella, and
 ³²¹ S. Filippi, Physical Review E 87, 042717 (2013).
- J. M. Pastore, S. D. Girouard, K. R. Lautira, F. G. Akar,
 and D. S. Rosenbaum, Circulation **99**, 1385 (1999).
- ³²⁴ [11] C. J. Wiggers, American Heart Journal **20**, 399 (1940).
- ³²⁵ [12] V. Schulte-Frohlinde, Y. Ashkenazy, P. C. Ivanov, ³⁸⁹
 ³²⁶ L. Glass, A. L. Goldberger, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. ³⁹⁰
 ³²⁷ ical Review Letters 87, 068104 (2001). ³⁹¹
- ³²⁸ [13] E. M. Cherry and F. H. Fenton, American Journal of
 ³⁹² Physiol. Heart and Circulatory Physiology 286, H2332
 ³³⁰ (2004).
- ³³¹ [14] S. Takagi, A. Pumir, D. Pazó, I. Efimov, V. Nikolski, and
 ³⁹⁵ V. Krinsky, Physical Review Letters **93**, 058101 (2004).
 ³⁹⁶ ³⁹⁷ ³⁹⁸
- ³³³ [15] E. M. Cherry and F. H. Fenton, New Journal of Physics ³⁹⁷ [42]
 ³³⁴ 10, 125016 (2008). ³⁹⁸
- ³³⁵ [16] I. V. Biktasheva, H. Dierckx, and V. N. Biktashev, Phys ³³⁶ ical Review Letters **114**, 068302 (2015).
- ³³⁷ [17] J. Landaw, A. Garfinkel, J. N. Weiss, and Z. Qu, Phys. ⁴⁰¹
 ³³⁸ Rev. Lett. **118**, 138101 (2017). ⁴⁰²
- $_{\rm 339}$ [18] D. A. Egolf and H. S. Greenside, Nature ${\bf 369},$ 129 (1994).
- ³⁴⁰ [19] P. V. Bayly, E. E. Johson, P. D. Wolf, H. S. Greenside,
 ³⁴¹ W. M. Smith, and R. E. Ideker, Journal of Cardiovas-
- $_{342}$ cular Electrophysiology 4, 533 (1993).

- ²⁶⁷ parameters. Such theoretical reasoning, making use of ³⁴³ [20] Y. Ashkenazy, P. C. Ivanov, S. Havlin, C. K. Peng, A. L.
 ²⁶⁸ a nonlinear phenomenological laws, clearly suggests the ³⁴⁴
 ²⁶⁹ need of a deeper understanding of cardiac tissue in terms ³⁴⁵
 ³⁶⁶, 1900 (2001).
 - ³⁴⁶ [21] T. Quail, A. Shrier, and L. Glass, Physical Review Letters **113**, 158101 (2014).
 - 348 [22] Supplemental Material 10.13140/RG.2.2.36420.99201.
 - ³⁴⁹ [23] F. H. Fenton and E. M. Cherry, Scholarpedia 3, 1868
 ³⁵⁰ (2008).
 - ³⁵¹ [24] S. Mironov, J. Jalife, and E. G. Tolkacheva, Circulation
 ³⁵² 118, 17 (2008).
 - ³⁵³ [25] F. H. Fenton, E. M. Cherry, A. Karma, and W. J. Rap ³⁵⁴ pel, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear
 ³⁵⁵ Science 15, 013502 (2005).
 - ³⁵⁶ [26] A. Barone, F. H. Fenton, and A. Veneziani, Chaos: An
 ³⁵⁷ Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 27, 093930
 ³⁵⁸ (2017).
 - ³⁵⁹ [27] R. H. Clayton, O. Bernus, E. M. Cherry, H. Dierckx,
 ³⁶⁰ F. H. Fenton, L. Mirabella, A. V. Panfilov, F. B. Sachse,
 ³⁶¹ G. Seemann, and H. Zhang, Progress in Biophysics and
 ³⁶² Molecular Biology **104**, 22 (2011).
 - ³⁶³ [28] A. Bueno-Orovio, D. Kay, V. Grau, B. Rodriquez, and
 ³⁶⁴ K. Burrage, Journal of the Royal Society Interface 11,
 ³⁶⁵ 20140352 (2014).
 - ³⁶⁶ [29] N. Cusimano, A. Bueno-Orovio, I. Turner, and K. Burage, PLoS ONE **10**, e0143938 (2015).
 - ³⁶⁸ [30] A. L. Goldberger, L. A. Amaral, J. M. Hausdorff, P. C.
 ³⁶⁹ Ivanov, C. K. Peng, and H. E. Stanley, Proc. Nat. Acad.
 ³⁷⁰ Sci. **99** (2002).
 - ³⁷¹ [31] A. Bueno-Orovio, D. Kay, and K. Burrage, BIT Numer-³⁷² ical Mathematics **54**, 937 (2014).
 - A. Bueno-Orovio, I. Teh, J. E. Schneider, K. Burrage, and V. Grau, IEEE Transactions Medical Imaging 35, 2200 (2016).
 - ³⁷⁶ [33] A. L. Goldberger, V. Bhargava, B. J. West, and A. J.
 ³⁷⁷ Mandell, Physica D **19**, 282 (1986).
 - 378 [34] R. A. Gray, A. M. Pertsov, and J. Jalife, Nature 392,
 379 75 (1998).
 - ³⁸⁰ [35] M. Yashima, Y. H. Kim, S. Armin, T. J. Wu,
 ³⁸¹ Y. Miyauchi, W. J. Mandel, P. S. Chen, and H. S.
 ³⁸² Karagueuzian, American Journal of Physiol. Heart and
 ³⁸³ Circulatory Physiology 284, H249 (2003).
 - ³⁸⁴ [36] G. D. K. Matthews, I. N. S. L. Guzadhur, A. A. Grace,
 ³⁸⁵ and C. L.-H. Huang, J. Physiol. **591**, 4167 (2013).
 - ³⁸⁶ [37] D. D. Chen, R. A. Gray, I. Uzelac, C. Herndon, and F. H.
 ³⁸⁷ Fenton, Physical Review Letters **118**, 168101 (2017).
 - ³⁸⁸ [38] D. E. Hurtado, S. Castro, and A. Gizzi, Computer Meth ³⁹⁹ ods in Applied Mechanics and Engng **300**, 70 (2016).
 - ³⁹⁰ [39] C. Cherubini, S. Filippi, A. Gizzi, and R. Ruiz-Baier,
 ³⁹¹ Journal of Theoretical Biology 430, 221 (2017).
 - [40] N. Cusimano and L. Gerardo-Giorda, Journal of Computational Physics **362**, 409 (2018).
 - ³⁹⁴ [41] A. Loppini, A. Gizzi, R. Ruiz-Baier, C. Cherubini, F. H.
 ³⁹⁵ Fenton, and S. Filippi, Frontiers in Physiology 9, 1714
 ³⁹⁶ (2018).
 - ³⁹⁷ [42] P. Lenarda, A. Gizzi, and M. Paggi, European Journal
 ³⁹⁸ of Mechanics / A Solids **72**, 374 (2018).
 - ³⁹⁹ [43] S. H. Weinberg, Chaos **27** (2017).

400

- [44] H. Ashikaga and R. G. James, Chaos 28 (2017).
- 401 [45] D. Sohn, K. Aronis, and H. Ashikaga, Computers in
 Biology and Medicine 104, 291 (2019).
- ⁴⁰³ [46] D. S. Novikov, J. H. Jensen, J. A. Helpern, and E. Fiere-⁴⁰⁴ mans, PNAS **111**, 5088 (2014).
- ⁴⁰⁵ [47] H. Ashikaga, F. Prieto-Castrillo, M. Kawakatsu, and
 ⁴⁰⁶ N. Dehghani, Frontiers in Physics 6, 30 (2018).