

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Hairy Schwarzschild-(A)dS black hole solutions in degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond shift symmetry J. Ben Achour and H. Liu

Phys. Rev. D **99**, 064042 — Published 26 March 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.064042

Hairy Schwarzschild-(A)dS black hole solutions in DHOST theories beyond shift symmetry

J. Ben Achour^{1, *} and H. $Liu^{2,3,4,\dagger}$

¹Center for Relativity and Gravitation, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

³Institut Denis Poisson, Université d'Orléans, Université de Tours, CNRS, 37200 Tours, France

⁴Laboratoire AstroParticule et Cosmologie, Université Paris Diderot Paris 7, CNRS, 75013 Paris, France

We derive the general conditions for a large family of shift symmetry breaking degenerate higher order scalar-tensor (DHOST) theories to admit stealth black hole solutions. Such black hole configurations correspond to vacuum solutions of General Relativity and admit a scalar hair which does not gravitate, revealing itself only at the perturbative level. We focus our investigation on hairy Schwarzschild-(A)dS or pure Schwarzschild solutions, dressed with a linear time-dependent scalar hair, and assuming a constant kinetic term. We also discuss subclasses of this family which satisfy the observational constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$, as well as the recent constraint ensuring the absence of graviton decay. We provide at the end concrete examples of DHOST lagrangians satisfying our conditions. This work provides a first analysis of exact black hole solutions in shift symmetry breaking DHOST theories beyond Horndeski.

Since the discovery of the acceleration of the expansion of the universe, an important effort has been devoted in constructing large scale modification of General Relativity (GR). Scalar tensor theories are by far the most studied extensions. As any modified gravity theory, these scalar-tensor candidates have to successfully explain the observed acceleration on large scale, while restoring GR on scales in which the theory is experimentally confirmed, typically for the local tests within the solar system. Additionally to the IR modifications in the cosmological sector, the new scalar-metric coupling allows to violate some of the assumptions of the famous no hair theorem which strongly restricts the black hole solution in General Relativity [1–3].

The search for such hairy black hole solutions beyond General Relativity is however quite challenging. Hawking and latter, Sotiriou and Faraoni, derived no hair theorems for Brans-Dicke scalar tensor theories and its generalization [4]. Exact black hole solution with scalar hair were then found by violating some assumptions of these theorems, an example of which is the BNBB hairy black hole [5]. However, such scalar hairy configurations are usually unstable and the scalar field fails to be regular at the horizon. An additional no hair theorem was found latter on in the more general shift symmetric Horndeski theory in [6]. Soon after, it was shown how to by pass the assumptions of this no-go result. Hairy solutions were obtained following two different strategies: by introducing a Gauss-Bonnet-Scalar coupling [7-11], or by allowing the scalar field for a linear time-dependent profile [13].

In this work [13], a stealth Schwarzschild-(A)dS black hole dressed with a linear time-dependent scalar field was obtained. Such stealth configuration corresponds to a vacuum metric solution of GR supplemented with a nontrivial scalar hair which does not gravitate. The scalar field is a spectator admitting a vanishing energy momentum tensor and its physical effects only show up at the perturbative level. Such stealth black hole solution were initially introduced in [12] and represent the simplest example of hairy black hole configuration, i.e in which the scalar field remains regular on the horizon.

These stealth black hole configurations were then investigated in several scalar-tensor extensions, such as bi-scalar extension of Horndeski [14], covariant galileons theories [15], shift symmetric beyond Horndeski [16–19], and more recently in shift symmetric breaking Horndeski theory [20, 21]. More details on the black holes solutions and stars within the Horndeski and beyond Horndeski classes can be found in [22–25].

For single scalar field extension of GR, the most general theory constructed so far, up to cubic order in the derivative of the scalar field, was presented in [26], and dubbed degenerate higher order scalar tensor theories. i.e DHOST, owing to the degeneracy property of its lagrangian which ensures the absence of an Ostrogradsky ghost. See [27] for a recent review on DHOST theories and [28-32] for further details, as well as [33] for a recent investigation of their cosmological sector and [35] concerning the Vainshtein mechanism. This DHOST construction encompasses most of the existing scalar-tensor candidates studied so far, among which the GLPV theory [36, 37], and represents therefore an unifying framework to discuss viable scalar-tensor theories and confront them to observational tests. (See [38] for a review with a more general perspective).

Recently, the joined detection of the events GW170817 and GR170817 led to the new observational constraint that the speed of gravitational wave be equal to the speed of light, i.e $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$, (up to deviations of order 10^{-15}), at least on cosmological scales [39, 40]. The remaining DHOST theories satisfying this observational constraint were derived in [41], restricting drastically the viable candidates. Additional constraint preventing from

²Aix Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS, CPT, Marseille, France

 $^{{}^*}Electronic \ address: \ jibrilbenachour@gmail.com$

 $^{^{\}dagger} Electronic \ address: \ liu.hongguang@cpt.univ-mrs.fr$

the potential decay of graviton into dark energy fluctuations were presented in [42].

In this letter, we provide a first scan of *shift symmetry* breaking DHOST theories beyond Horndeski and derive the general conditions for a large class of these theories to admits stealth black hole configurations. We focus on hairy Schwarzschild-(A)dS and pure hairy Schwarzschild black hole solutions. Th scalar field is assumed to be linearly time-dependent while its kinetic term remains constant.

This work is organized as follow. In section-A, we present the general DHOST model. In section-B, we discuss the algorithm to solve the modified field equations in the spherically symmetric case and with our scalar profile. This algorithm is borrowed from [19]. In section- C, we present our general conditions for the hairy Schwarzschild-(A)dS and pure Schwarzschild black hole solutions. Section- D is devoted to the subclasses satisfying the observational constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ as well as the subclass free from graviton decay. In section- E, we consider also the reduction to the GLPV and Horndeski subclasses satisfying $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$. Finally, in section- F, we provide concrete examples of lagrangians solutions of our conditions.

Our work extends the results obtained in previous works in several subclasses of shift symmetric DHOST theories [16–20] as well as on shift symmetry breaking Horndeki theory satisfying $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ [21].

A. The DHOST model

Let us consider the family of DHOST theories given by the action

$$S_{\text{vDHOST}}[g,\phi] = \int d^4 \sqrt{|g|} \sum_I \mathcal{L}^I(g,\phi)$$
(1)

where the different lagrangians read

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = P(\phi, X) \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = Q\left(\phi, X\right) \Box \phi \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = F\left(\phi, X\right) \mathcal{R} \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{5} = A_{3}(\phi, X) \phi^{\mu} \phi^{\nu} \phi_{\mu\nu} \Box \phi + A_{4}(\phi, X) \phi^{\mu} \phi_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\nu\lambda} + A_{5}(\phi, X) (\phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu} \phi^{\nu})^{2}$$
(5)

where the six potentials (P, Q, F, A_I) with $I \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ are free functions of ϕ and its kinetic term X. We have adopted the notation of [41]. This family of theories corresponds to the quadratic DHOST theories amputated from the lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{1,1} = (\Box \phi)^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1,2} = \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu\nu}$, namely $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ in the standard notation [28].

This class of DHOST theories can be made consistent with the recent observational constraint from GW170817 which imposes that the speed of gravitons equal the speed of light (up to deviations of order 10^{-15}), at least on cosmological scales [41]. In order to satisfy this constraint, the last two functions $A_4(\phi, X)$ and $A_5(\phi, X)$ are related to $F(\phi, X)$ and $A_3(\phi, X)$ through

$$A_4 = \frac{1}{8F} \left(48F_X^2 - 8(F - XF_X)A_3 - X^2A_3^2 \right)$$
(6)

$$A_5 = \frac{1}{2F} \left(4F_X + XA_3 \right) A_3 \tag{7}$$

The potentials $A_3(\phi, X)$ and $F(\phi, X)$ remain free functions, and the viable DHOST theories contain thus only four free potentials (P, Q, F, A_3) .

In the following, we shall derive general conditions on the potential of the DHOST family (1) to admit stealth black hole solutions without restricting ourselves to the subclass satisfying $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$. This constraint, together with the constraint derived in [42] concerning the graviton decay, will be discussed in the last section. The reduction to the beyond Horndeski (GLPV) and Horndeski theories will be also discussed at the end.

B. Solving the fields equations: the algorithm

In order to solve the field equations, we adopt the elegant strategy presented in [19]. Starting from the model (1), we derive the field equations that we write in a compact way

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{E}^{(g)}_{\alpha\beta} \delta g^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{E}^{(\phi)} \delta \phi \tag{8}$$

The field equations being rather complicated, we do not write them explicitly here. Instead, the equation of motion with respect to the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written in the simple form

$$FG_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{9}$$

$$(Q_{\phi} - Q_X - P_X) \Box \phi = \zeta \tag{10}$$

where $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is the standard Einstein tensor and where $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ and ζ account for all the other terms obtained from the variation of the action respectively w.r.t $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and ϕ , containing therefore all the higher order terms.

Looking for stealth black hole solutions implies that the scalar field does not gravitate. This can be translated in (9) by $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Then, one can solve the l.h.s of the equation of motion using a GR black hole solution such that $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. A common strategy is to assume for example a constant kinetic term for the scalar field profile, such that $X = X_*$. Then, under some specific conditions on the potentials of the Lagrangian, the effective energy momentum tensor $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta} = f(X)T_{\alpha\beta} \tag{11}$$

such that $f(X_*) = 0$, and example of which being $f(X) = \log (X_*/X)$. Below, we should derive the condition on the DHOST lagrangian (1) to admit such stealth black hole solutions.

We consider therefore a static spherical symmetric metric which reads

1

$$ds^{2} = -e^{\nu(r)}dt^{2} + e^{\lambda(r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$
(12)

and we choose a linear time-dependent profile for the scalar field

$$\phi(t,r) = \dot{\phi}_c t + \psi(r) \tag{13}$$

where $\dot{\phi}_c$ is assumed to be a constant. Following [19], we introduce the notation $\dot{\phi}_c = Mq$ which implies that the kinetic term reads

$$X = -\frac{g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}\phi\partial_{\alpha}\phi}{M^2} = q^2 e^{-\nu} - e^{-\lambda}\frac{(\psi')^2}{M^2} \qquad (14)$$

In order to further (drastically) simplify the field equations, we also assume that the kinetic term is constant everywhere, such that $X = X_* = q^2$. Notice that the kinetic energy $-M^2X$ is negative since the gradient of the scalar field is a time-like vector. With this assumption, all the unknown potentials, commonly denoted $f(\phi, X)$, can now be written as function

$$f(\phi, X) = f(qt + \psi(r), X_*) \tag{15}$$

In the following, we restrict further to potentials $f(\phi, X)$ satisfying

$$f_{\phi}(\phi, X_*) = 0 \Rightarrow \ \partial_{\phi}^n f(\phi, X_*) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (16)

This will allow us to simplify our conditions in the beyond shift symmetric case. Notice that while this condition is quite general, it is still possible to find counter-example in principle, and thus, we are potentially restricting the set of allowed potentials.

The third simplification, inherited from the assumption of a constant kinetic term, lies in that the radial dependent part of the scalar field is given by

$$\psi' = Mq\sqrt{e^{\lambda}\left(1 + e^{-\nu}\right)} \tag{17}$$

Hence, ψ' is directly known in term of the metric components, as well as its higher order derivatives: ψ'', ψ''' etc. This can be plugged back in the field equations to further simplify the expression.

In the end, the field equations becomes lengthy expressions depending on the radial coordinate r. Now these field equations have to be satisfied at any point of spacetime, and thus at any couple (t, r). The elegant strategy followed in [19] is to expand the resulting field equations around a given r_* and check the resulting conditions between the unknown potential $A_I(qt + \psi(r), q^2)$ and their derivatives. Denoting $\epsilon = r - r_*$, the expansion of the equations of motion can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_{tt}(r,t) = \sum_{n}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{tt}^{(n)}(t,r) \big|_{r_*} \epsilon^n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^m) = 0 \qquad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{rr}(r,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{rr}^{(n)}(t,r) \Big|_{r_*} \epsilon^n + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^m) = 0 \qquad (19)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\phi}(r,t) = \sum_{n}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^{(n)}(t,r) \big|_{r_{*}} \epsilon^{n} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{m}) = 0$$
(20)

The conditions we obtain out of this procedure are of the form

$$\mathcal{E}_{tt}^{(n)}(t,r)\big|_{r_*} = \mathcal{E}_{rr}^{(n)}(t,r)\big|_{r_*} = \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^{(n)}(r,t)\big|_{r_*} = 0 \qquad (21)$$

but these conditions are not all independent. Moreover, they are only valid when evaluated at $X = X_* = q^2$. Once conditions on the potentials (P, Q, F, A_I) (and their derivatives) are obtained at a given order, we inject them back in the full field equations and expand once more around the same r_* to obtain new conditions. The algorithm closes when we obtain enough conditions between the potentials such that the full field equations are completely satisfied.

Notice that this perturbative algorithm is rather general, and especially useful when working with such complicated Lagrangian. Owing to the large freedom in the potentials $A_I(\phi, X)$, the search for black hole solutions in these theories is somehow reversed, since one can start with any black hole metric and scalar profile, and using this algorithm, look for a specific Lagrangian which admits this ansatz as solution of its field equations.

Obviously, one can in principle proceed to the expansion around any value of r. But in practice, some specific values will allow to close the algorithm in a quicker way. In the following, we shall expand the field equations around $r_* = 0$. The set of conditions we obtained being quite involved to reduce, we emphasize that, once the full conditions on the potentials have been found, we have checked the consistency of our solution by injecting it directly in the full (spherically symmetric reduced) field equations and check that there are identically vanishing. Having review the method of resolution of the field equations borrowed from [19], we present now our result.

C. Exact hairy black hole solutions

We consider the Schwarzschild-(A)dS metric given by

$$e^{\nu} = e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \Lambda r^2$$
 (22)

The radial dependent part of the scalar field is straitforwardly obtained by integrating (17) and reads

$$\psi'(r) = Mq \sqrt{\frac{(2m + \Lambda r^3) r}{(2m - r + \Lambda r^3)^2}}$$
(23)

and one observes that $\psi'(r) \to 0$ when $r \to 0$. We can now inject this in the equations of motion and proceed to the expansion around $r_* = 0$.

1. Stealth Schwarzschild-(A)dS solution

Applying the algorithm reviewed above, we obtain a complete set of conditions on the potentials which fully solve the field's equations. These conditions, valid only when evaluated at the value $X_* = q^2$, read

$$Q_{\phi}\big|_{X_{*}} = F_{\phi}\big|_{X_{*}} = 0 \tag{24}$$

$$Q_X\big|_{X_*} = -\frac{X}{2} A_{3\phi}\big|_{X_*} \tag{25}$$

$$P\big|_{X_*} = -6\Lambda F\big|_{X_*} \tag{26}$$

$$A_{3}|_{X_{*}} = \frac{2}{9X\Lambda} \left(P_{X} + 12\Lambda F_{X} \right) \Big|_{X_{*}}$$
(27)

At this stage, there are no condition on the potentials A_4 and A_5 , and the class solution of our conditions depend still on sic free potentials (P, Q, F, A_I) . With this conditions (24) to (27), we have obtained a subset of DHOST theories, larger than the sector staisfying $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$, which admits a stealth Schwarzschild-(A)dS solution dressed with a linear time dependent scalar field (13), assuming a constant kinetic term $X = X_* = q^2$.

2. Stealth Schwarzschild solution: $\Lambda = 0$

It is interesting to investigate the case $\Lambda = 0$ which corresponds to a pure Schwarzschild geometry. In that case, several of the previous conditions are modified because some of them are proportional to Λ and therefore disappear for pure Schwarzschild. The new set of conditions, valid at $X = X_*$, are

$$Q_{\phi}\big|_{X_*} = F_{\phi}\big|_{X_*} = 0 \tag{28}$$

$$P|_{X_*} = P_X|_{X_*} = 0 \tag{29}$$

$$Q_X\big|_{X_*} = -\frac{X}{2} A_{3\phi}\big|_{X_*} \tag{30}$$

Once again, there are no condition on the potentials A_4 and A_5 which remain free. In total, this class depends still six free potentials (P, Q, F, A_I) subject to conditions (28)-(30) at $X = X_*$.

We can now investigate additional requirement for the subclass of DHOST that we found in order to satisfy the recent observational constraints.

D. Observational constraints

1. Subsector satisfying $c_{grav} = c_{light}$

We can now impose the recent observational constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ and reduce the above constraints to the viable subsector of DHOST remaining after GW170817 [41].

Schwarzschild-(A)dS: This is done by imposing the relations (6) and (7) to obtain the two last potentials A_4 and A_5 at $X = X_*$. These conditions read for $A_4(\phi, X)$

$$A_4\big|_{X_*} = \frac{6F_X^2}{F} - \frac{(12\Lambda F_X + P_X)^2}{162\Lambda^2 F_X} + \frac{2(X-1)(12\Lambda F_X + P_X)}{9\Lambda X}\big|_{X_*} (31)$$

while for $A_5(\phi, X)$, one has

$$A_{5}\big|_{X_{*}} = \frac{2\left(12\Lambda F_{X} + P_{X}\right)\left(30\Lambda F_{X} + P_{X}\right)}{81\Lambda^{2}XF}\big|_{X_{*}} \quad (32)$$

which ensure that $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ for the Schwarzschild-(A)dS solution sector.

Pure Schwarzschild: In that case, we don't have any constraint on (A_3, A_{3X}, F, F_X) at $X = X_*$, there is no additional constraint on A_4 and A_5 for this subsector. Notice that despite the above constraints on A_4 and A_5 at $X = X_*$, the subset of theories satisfying (31) and (32) has again six free potentials (P, Q, F, A_I) .

2. Absence of graviton decay

If one takes into account now the recent constraint derived in [42] for the absence of graviton decay, in addition to the constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$, then the DHOST action (1) reduced to

$$\mathcal{L} = P(\phi, X) + Q(\phi, X) \Box \phi + F(\phi, X) R + 6F_X^2(\phi, X) / F(\phi, X) \phi^{\mu} \phi_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\nu\lambda}$$
(33)

with only three free potentials (P, Q, F). It implies that

$$A_3(\phi, X) = 0 \qquad A_4(\phi, X) = 6F_X^2(\phi, X)/F(\phi, X)$$
(34)

Schwarzschild-(A)dS: The constraint (27) implies that

$$F_X\big|_{X_*} = -\frac{P_X}{12\Lambda}\big|_{X_*} \tag{35}$$

which, as expected, automatically satisfies the constraint on A_4 at $X = X_*$ as seen from (31).

Pure Schwarzschild: In that case, the vanishing of $A_3(\phi, X)$ implies from (30)

$$Q_X\big|_{X_*} = 0 \tag{36}$$

and no additional restriction than (28)-(29) occurs.

It implies therefore that the subclass of shift symmetry breaking DHOST theories successfully accounting for the observational constraints $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$, and satisfying the requirement of absence of graviton decay, still admits non trivial stealth black hole solutions. In each case, the remaining theory has still three free potentials (P, Q, F) still depending on ϕ and X.

E. Beyond Horndeski and Horndeski subclasses

We consider now the GLPV and Horndeski subclasses satisfying $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$. These two subclasses are given, in the standard notation, by $P = G_2$, $Q = G_3$ and $F = G_4$ with

$$A_3 = -A_4 = -4F_X/X \qquad \text{GLPV} \tag{37}$$

$$A_3 = A_4 = F_X = 0 \qquad \text{Horndeski} \tag{38}$$

and $A_5 = 0$ valid for any ϕ and X. The resulting lagrangian depends on only three free potential (P, Q, F).

Schwarzschild-(A)dS: Using (31)-(32), one obtains the following constraints in each cases

$$F_X\big|_{X_*} = -\frac{P_X}{30\Lambda}\big|_{X_*} \qquad \text{GLPV} \tag{39}$$

$$P_X\big|_{X_*} = Q_X\big|_{X_*} = 0 \qquad \text{Horndeski} \qquad (40)$$

additionally to the constraint (24) and (26).

Pure Schwarzschild: For this solution, one obtains from (30) the following constraints

No additional constraints GLPV (41)

$$Q_X|_{X_*} = 0$$
 Horndeski (42)

additionally to constraints (28)-(29). Notice that in the Horndeski case, since F depends only on ϕ , constraint (28) implies that $F(\phi) = \zeta$ where ζ is a constant [45].

F. Concrete examples

As a last step, we provide concrete examples of potentials solutions of our conditions for both the Schwarzschild-(A)dS and pure Schwarzschild cases for the general DHOST case, but also for the GLPV and Horndeski subclasses.

1. Examples for DHOST

Let us first focus on the general DHOST lagrangian.

Schwarzschild-(A)dS: An example of solution of our conditions (24)-(27) is given by

$$F(\phi, X) = f_1(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + f_2(X) \tag{43}$$

$$P(\phi, X) = f_3(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) - 6\Lambda f_2(X) \tag{44}$$

$$A_{3}(\phi, X) = \frac{2}{9X\Lambda} \left[6\Lambda f_{2X}(X) - \frac{f_{3}(\phi, X) + 12\Lambda f_{1}(\phi, X)}{X} \right]$$
(45)

$$Q(\phi, X) = -\frac{1}{9\Lambda} \left[f_{3\phi}(\phi, X) + 12\Lambda f_{1\phi}(\phi, X) \right] \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + \int dX \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) f_4(X)$$
(46)

where (f_1, f_3) are free potentials depending on both ϕ and X while (f_2, f_4) are free potentials depending only on X.

Pure Schwarzschild: For the pure Schwarzschild solution, an example of potentials solving our conditions

(28)-(30) is given by

$$F(\phi, X) = f_1(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + f_2(X) \tag{47}$$

$$P(\phi, X) = f_3(\phi, X) \log^2\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right)$$
(48)

$$Q(\phi, X) = \frac{X^2}{2} A_{3\phi}(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + \int dX \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) f_4(X) \quad (49)$$

where again, (f_1, f_3) are free potentials depending on both ϕ and X while (f_2, f_4) are free potentials depending only on X.

2. Examples for GLPV

We focus now on the GLPV subclass satisfying the observational constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$.

Schwarzschild-(A)dS: A set of potentials solutions of our conditions is given for example by

$$F(\phi, X) = f_1(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + f_2(X)$$

$$P(\phi, X)$$
(50)

$$= \left[-30\Lambda f_1(\phi, X) + 24\Lambda X f_{2X}(X)\right] \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) - 6\Lambda f_2(X)$$
(51)

$$A_{3}(\phi, X) = -\frac{4}{X} \left[f_{1X}(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{X}\right) - \frac{f_{1}(\phi, X)}{X} + f_{2X}(X) \right]$$
(52)
$$Q(\phi, X) = 2 \left[f_{1\phi}(\phi, X) \right] \log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{X}\right) + \int dX \log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{X}\right) f_{4}(X)$$
(53)

which depends again on four free potentials.

Pure Schwarzschild solution: For the pure Schwarzschild case, an example of potentials solutions of our conditions is given by

$$F(\phi, X) = f_1(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + f_2(X)$$
(54)

$$P(\phi, X) = f_3(\phi, X) \log^2\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right)$$
(55)

$$A_{3}(\phi, X) = -\frac{4}{X} \left(f_{1X}(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^{2}}{X}\right) - \frac{f_{1}(\phi, X)}{X} + f_{2X}(X) \right)$$
(56)

$$Q(\phi, X) = 2f_{1\phi}(\phi, X) \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) + \int dX \log\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right) f_4(X)$$
(57)

3. Examples for Horndeski

Finally, let us now consider the Horndeski theory with $c_{\rm grav} = c_{\rm light}$. An example of Horndeski lagrangian admitting a stealth Schwarzschild-(A)dS black hole solution for our specific scalar profile is the standard Einstein-Hilbert term plus a cosmological constant together with a generalized Galileon term, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Horndeski}} = \mathcal{R} - 6\Lambda + \log^2\left(\frac{q^2}{X}\right)q(\phi, X)\Box\phi \qquad (58)$$

where $q(\phi, X)$ is a free potential. One can easily check that it satisfies conditions (40). The pure stealth Schwarzschild solution is simply obtained for $\Lambda = 0$. As mentioned in the introduction, the square log term allows to obtain an effective energy momentum tensor for the scalar field of the form (11), which vanishes for $X = q^2$. Therefore, the scalar field does not gravitate and the metric remains a pure GR solution. This provides a simple example of the mechanism behind stealth black hole configuration in such higher order scalar-tensor theories.

I. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we have provided a first scan of the spherically symmetric sector of a large family of *shift symmetry* breaking DHOST theories (the quadratic lagrangian with $A_1 = A_2 = 0$). We have derived general conditions for this subclass to admit the vacuum Schwarzschild-(A)dS solution, Eq.(24)-(27), or pure Schwarzschild solution of GR, Eq.(28)-(30), with a linear time-dependent scalar dressing as well as for a static scalar dressing under the assumption of a constant kinetic term, i.e $X = q^2$.

Then, we have restricted the class of theories by requiring the remaining shift symmetric DHOST theories, solutions of our conditions, to satisfy the observational constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ as discussed in [41], and finally,

the recent theoretical requirement of absence of graviton decay discussed in [42]. For all these viable subclasses, we have shown that stealth black hole solutions, with our without a cosmological constant, exist.

Finally, we have consider the restriction to the shift symmetry breaking GLPV and Horndeski subclasses, statisfying the constraint $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$. We have shown that in each subclass, one can also find stealth black holes solutions upon satisfying the conditions (39)-(42). In the last section, we have provided concrete examples satisfying the conditions we found.

This work extends previous results focusing on stealth black hole solution in DHOST with a constant scalar profile [20], in shift symmetry breaking Horndeski theory with $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ for more general scalar profiles [21], as well as previous results obtained for linear timedependent scalar dressing in shift symmetric GLPV and DHOST theories with or without $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ [16–19].

A crucial step for the future would be to investigate the fate of the stealth black hole solutions considered here at the perturbative level to fully contemplate the scalar field back-reaction on the metric and investigate its stability. Indeed, it is well known that the stealth hairy black hole solutions found in shift symmetric Horndeski theory with X = Constant, are either unstable or strongly coupled as shown in [43]. We leave this stability analysis for future work.

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to H. Motohashi and M. Minamitsuji for useful discussions and for having pointed to us a mistake in the shift symmetry limit of our conditions in the previous version of this draft, thank to [44]. We would like also to thanks C. Charmousis, D. Langlois and K. Noui for their comments on a first version of this work. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China, Grant No.11475023 and No.11875006 (J. BA), as well as by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation with Grant No. 212400209 (J. BA).

- [1] M. S. Volkov, "Hairy black holes in the XX-th and XXI-st centuries," arXiv:1601.08230.
- C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, "Asymptotically flat [2]black holes with scalar hair: a review," Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 24, no. 09, 1542014 (2015) arXiv:1504.08209.
- [3] J. D. Bekenstein, "Black hole hair: 25 years after," In *Moscow 1996, 2nd International A.D. Sakharov Conference on physics* 216-219 arXiv:9605059.
- [4] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, "Black holes in scalartensor gravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 081103 (2012) 1109.6324.
- [5] K. A. Bronnikov and Y. N. Kireev, "Instability of Black
- Holes with Scalar Charge," Phys. Lett. A **67**, 95 (1978). [6] L. Hui and A. Nicolis, "No-Hair Theorem for the Galileon," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 241104 (2013) arXiv:1202.1296.
- [7] T. P. Sotiriou and S. Y. Zhou, "Black hole hair in gener-

alized scalar-tensor gravity: An explicit example," Phys. Rev. D 90, 124063 (2014) 1408.1698.

- [8] T. P. Sotiriou and S. Y. Zhou, "Black hole hair in generalized scalar-tensor gravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 251102 (2014) 1312.3622.
- [9] R. Benkel, T. P. Sotiriou and H. Witek, "Black hole hair formation in shift-symmetric generalised scalar-tensor gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. 34, no. 6, 064001 (2017) arXiv:1610.09168.
- [10] G. Antoniou, A. Bakopoulos and P. Kanti, "Evasion of No-Hair Theorems and Novel Black-Hole Solutions in Gauss-Bonnet Theories," Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, no. 13, 131102 (2018) arXiv:1711.03390.
- [11] G. Antoniou, A. Bakopoulos and P. Kanti, "Black-Hole Solutions with Scalar Hair in Einstein-Scalar-Gauss-Bonnet Theories," Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 8, 084037 (2018) arXiv:1711.07431.

- [12] E. Ayon-Beato, C. Martinez and J. Zanelli, "Stealth scalar field overflying a (2+1) black hole," Gen. Rel. Grav. 38, 145 (2006) arXiv:0403228.
- [13] E. Babichev and C. Charmousis, "Dressing a black hole with a time-dependent Galileon," JHEP 1408, 106 (2014) arXiv:1312.3204.
- [14] C. Charmousis, T. Kolyvaris, E. Papantonopoulos and M. Tsoukalas, "Black Holes in Bi-scalar Extensions of Horndeski Theories," JHEP 1407, 085 (2014) arXiv:1404.1024.
- [15] E. Babichev and G. Esposito-Farèse, "Time-Dependent Spherically Symmetric Covariant Galileons," Phys. Rev. D 87, 044032 (2013) arXiv:1212.1394
- [16] E. Babichev, C. Charmousis and A. Lehébel, "Asymptotically flat black holes in Horndeski theory and beyond," JCAP 1704, no. 04, 027 (2017) arXiv:1702.01938.
- [17] T. Kobayashi and N. Tanahashi, "Exact black hole solutions in shift symmetric scalar-tensor theories," PTEP 2014, 073E02 (2014) arXiv:1403.4364.
- [18] T. Kobayashi and T. Hiramatsu, "Relativistic stars in degenerate higher-order scalar-tensor theories after GW170817," Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 10, 104012 (2018) arXiv:1803.10510.
- [19] E. Babichev and G. Esposito-Farese, "Cosmological self-tuning and local solutions in generalized Horndeski theories," Phys. Rev. D95 (2017), no. 2, 024020, arXiv:1609.09798.
- [20] H. Motohashi and M. Minamitsuji, "General Relativity solutions in modified gravity," Phys. Lett. B 781, 728 (2018) arXiv:1804.01731.
- [21] M. Minamitsuji and H. Motohashi, "Stealth Schwarzschild solution in shift symmetry breaking theories," Phys. Rev. D 98, no. 8, 084027 (2018) arXiv:1809.06611.
- [22] E. Babichev, C. Charmousis and A. Lehébel, "Black holes and stars in Horndeski theory," Class. Quant. Grav. 33, no. 15, 154002 (2016) arXiv:1604.06402.
- [23] A. Lehébel, "Compact astrophysical objects in modified gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. 33, no. 15, 154002 (2016) arXiv:1810.04434.
- [24] A. Cisterna, T. Delsate, L. Ducobu and M. Rinaldi, "Slowly rotating neutron stars in the nonminimal derivative coupling sector of Horndeski gravity," Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 8, 084046 (2016) arXiv:1602.06939.
- [25] A. Cisterna, T. Delsate and M. Rinaldi, "Neutron stars in general second order scalar-tensor theory: The case of nonminimal derivative coupling," Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 4, 044050 (2015) arXiv:1504.05189.
- [26] J. Ben Achour, M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, K. Noui, and G. Tasinato, "Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski up to cubic order," JHEP 12 (2016) 100, arXiv:1608.08135.
- [27] D. Langlois, "Degenerate Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor (DHOST) theories," 1707.03625.
- [28] D. Langlois and K. Noui, "Degenerate higher derivative theories beyond Horndeski: evading the Ostrogradski instability," JCAP 1602 (2016), no. 02, 034, arXiv:1510.06930.
- [29] D. Langlois and K. Noui, "Hamiltonian analysis of higher

derivative scalar-tensor theories," JCAP **1607** (2016), no. 07, 016, arXiv:1512.06820.

- [30] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama and G. Tasinato, "Extended Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity," JCAP 1604, no. 04, 044 (2016) arXiv:1602.03119.
- [31] J. Ben Achour, D. Langlois, and K. Noui, "Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski and disformal transformations," Phys. Rev. D93 (2016), no. 12, 124005, arXiv:1602.08398.
- [32] H. Motohashi, K. Noui, T. Suyama, M. Yamaguchi and D. Langlois, "Healthy degenerate theories with higher derivatives," JCAP 1607, no. 07, 033 (2016) arXiv:1603.09355.
- [33] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, K. Noui and D. A. Steer, "Cosmological evolution in DHOST theories," arXiv:1810.12070.
- [34] E. Babichev and C. Deffayet, "An introduction to the Vainshtein mechanism," Class. Quant. Grav. 30, 184001 (2013) arXiv:1304.7240.
- [35] M. Crisostomi and K. Koyama, "Vainshtein mechanism after GW170817," Phys. Rev. D 97, no. 2, 021301 (2018) arXiv:1711.06661
- [36] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, "Healthy theories beyond Horndeski," Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, no. 21, 211101 (2015) arXiv:114.211101.
- [37] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza and F. Vernizzi, "Exploring gravitational theories beyond Horndeski," JCAP 1502, 018 (2015) arXiv:1408.1952.
- [38] L. Heisenberg, "A systematic approach to generalisations of General Relativity and their cosmological implications," 1807.01725.
- [39] B. P. Abbott et al., "LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations," Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 161101 (2017) arXiv:1710.05832.
- [40] B. P. Abbott et al., "LIGO Scientific and Virgo and Fermi- GBM and INTEGRAL Collaborations," Astrophys. J. 848, L13 (2017) arXiv:1710.05834.
- [41] D. Langlois, R. Saito, D. Yamauchi, and K. Noui, "Scalar-tensor theories and modified gravity in the wake of GW170817," Phys. Rev. D97 (2018), no. 6, 061501, arXiv:1711.07403.
- [42] P. Creminelli, M. Lewandowski, G. Tambalo and F. Vernizzi, "Gravitational Wave Decay into Dark Energy," JCAP 1812, no. 12, 025 (2018) arXiv:1809.03484.
- [43] H. Ogawa, T. Kobayashi and T. Suyama, "Instability of hairy black holes in shift-symmetric Horndeski theories," Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 6, 064078 (2016) arXiv:1510.07400.
- [44] H. Motohashi and M. Minamitsuji, "Exact black hole solutions in shift-symmetric quadratic DHOST theories," arXiv:1901.04658.
- [45] Notice that this last result is not in contradiction with the no-go result concerning the existence of stealth black hole solutions with time-dependent scalar profile obtained in shift symmetry breaking Horndeski theory with $c_{\text{grav}} = c_{\text{light}}$ in [21]. Indeed, this result assumes $\partial_{\mu} X \neq 0$.