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#### Abstract

We initiate the study of intersecting surface operators/defects in four-dimensional quantum field theories (QFTs). We characterize these defects by coupled $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ theories constructed by coupling the degrees of freedom localized at a point and on intersecting surfaces in spacetime to each other and to the four-dimensional QFT. We construct supersymmetric intersecting surface defects preserving just two supercharges in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories. These defects are amenable to exact analysis by localization of the partition function of the underlying $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ QFT. We identify the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ QFTs that describe intersecting surface operators in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories realized by intersecting M2-branes ending on $N$ M5-branes wrapping a Riemann surface. We conjecture and provide evidence for an explicit equivalence between the squashed four-sphere partition function of these intersecting defects and correlation functions in Liouville/Toda CFT with the insertion of arbitrary degenerate vertex operators, which are labeled by two highest weights of $S U(N)$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The rich dynamics that a Quantum Field Theory (QFT) can display may be probed with defects of various dimensions. Classic examples are the Wilson and 't Hooft lines, which probe the state of the system through the response of an electrically and magnetically charged heavy particle respectively. In recent years, the construction of novel defects of various (co)dimensions have significantly enlarged the probes available to quantum field theorists. Chief amongst these are codimension two defects, which can discriminate phases that are otherwise indistinguishable by the classic Wilson-'t Hooft criterion [1]. Codimension two defects define surface defects in four dimensions (see [2-11] for early work) and vortex lines in three dimensions [12-15]. For a recent review on surface defects see [16].

Defects in a QFT can be defined by coupling the bulk QFT to additional degrees of freedom that are localized on the support of the defect. Canonically, the coupling is implemented by gauging global symmetries acting on the defect degrees of freedom with bulk gauge symmetries and/or by identifying bulk and defect global symmetries through couplings between defect and bulk matter fields. A defect global symmetry associated to the defect conserved current $J_{\mu}$ is gauged with a bulk gauge field $A_{M}$


FIG. 1: Intersecting codimension two defects supported on planes $\mathbb{R}_{12}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{34}^{2}$. There are localized degrees of freedom living on the planes $\mathbb{R}_{12}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{34}^{2}$ and at the origin; the latter couple to the former degrees of freedom, which in turn couple to the four-dimensional gauge theory living in the bulk $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.
through the following coupling integrated over the defect:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D} \mathrm{~d} x A_{\mu}\left(x, x_{\perp}=0\right) J^{\mu}(x)+\text { seagull terms } \tag{I.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This construction realizes a defect operator as a lowerdimensional QFT on the support $D$ of the defect interacting with the bulk QFT and provides a uniform description of Wilson line, vortex line and surface defects, among others. [17] The realization of defect operators as defect degrees of freedom coupled to the bulk QFT has played a key role in unraveling the action of various dualities on defect operators, see, e.g., $[15,18]$.

The set of defects in a QFT can be enlarged by considering intersecting defects. These are constructed intuitively by letting a collection of defects of various codimensions intersect in spacetime. This picture has a natural QFT realization. First, each defect comes equipped with its own localized degrees of freedom which couple to the bulk QFT as described above, just as if the defect were inserted in isolation. In the presence of multiple defects, this construction can be further enriched by adding new intersection degrees of freedom along the intersection domain of the defects and letting them couple to the corresponding defect degrees of freedom (as well as the bulk). This is again accomplished by gauging the flavor symmetries acting on the intersection degrees of freedom with gauge symmetries residing on the various defects (and/or bulk) and/or by identifying them with defect (and/or bulk) global symmetries. Intersecting defects exhibit quite a rich dynamics as they bring together under a single roof the intricate dynamics of QFTs in various dimensions.

In this paper we initiate the study of intersecting surface defects in four-dimensional gauge theories. More precisely, we consider the case of orthogonal planar surface defects intersecting at a point (see Figure 1 for a pictorial representation). We focus our investigations to intersecting surface defects in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric field theories that preserve the zero-dimensional dimensional reduction of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ supersymmetry. These intersecting surface operators on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ are constructed by coupling an $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ zero-
dimensional theory [19] at $x^{1}=x^{2}=x^{3}=x^{4}=0$ to a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory at $x^{3}=x^{4}=0$ and to a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory at $x^{1}=x^{2}=0$. These two-dimensional theories are in turn coupled to the bulk four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory. [20] This construction is very general, and defines a very large class of intersecting surface defects.

Pleasingly, the expectation values of these intersecting surface defects in the $\Omega$-background [21] and on the squashed four-sphere $[22,23]$ are amenable to exact computation by supersymmetric localization, yielding novel non-perturbative results in four-dimensional QFTs. Consider an intersecting defect on the squashed four-sphere $S_{b}^{4}$ with the surface defects wrapping orthogonal twospheres $S_{L}^{2}$ and $S_{R}^{2}$ that intersect at two points, the north pole and south pole of $S_{b}^{4}$. We show that the expectation value of the intersecting defect takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum Z_{S_{b}^{4}} Z_{S_{L}^{2}} Z_{S_{R}^{2}} Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {intersection }}\left|Z_{\text {instanton }}\right|^{2} \tag{I.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{S_{b}^{4}}$ is the one-loop determinant of the bulk fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory together with the classical contribution, and $Z_{S_{L}^{2}}$ and $Z_{S_{R}^{2}}$ denote the one-loop determinants and classical contributions of the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories living on the respective surface defects, which are coupled to the four-dimensional theory. $Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {intersection }}$ is the one-loop determinant of the intersection degrees of freedom pinned at the poles and coupling to the two-dimensional (and four-dimensional) theories. Finally, $\left|Z_{\text {instanton }}\right|^{2}$ are two copies of the instanton partition function, one for the north pole and one for the south pole of $S_{b}^{4}$, encoding the contribution of instantons in the presence of the intersecting surface defects. The twodimensional and zero-dimensional fields introduce new elements to the instanton computation, by specifying the allowed singular behavior of the four-dimensional gauge fields and by contributing extra zero-modes to the integral over the appropriate instanton moduli space. In this paper we perform the detailed computation of the expectation value of intersecting defects in four-dimensional theories without gauge fields (see section III).

We proceed to identify a family of intersecting surface defects in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories which admit an elegant interpretation in two-dimensional non-rational conformal field theory (CFT) and realize the low-energy dynamics of two intersecting sets of M2-branes ending on $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$-branes wrapping a punctured Riemann surface. The configuration of intersecting M2-branes is labeled by a pair of irreducible representations $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. On the M5-branes resides a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory dictated by the choice of Riemann surface [24] and the M2-branes insert a surface operator $[25,26]$, whose field theory description we provide. Our construction realizes intersecting M2-brane surface operators in fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories on M5-branes that admit a choice of duality frame with an $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry, [27] which allows for the gauging of the corresponding global symmetries of the defect fields. This in-


FIG. 2: Joint $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram (later denoted $\mathcal{T}_{\text {Fermi }}$ ) describing the M2-brane intersection labeled by representations ( $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}$ ) ending on $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$-branes wrapping a trinion with two full and one simple puncture. The four-dimensional degrees of freedom are denoted in $\mathcal{N}=2$ quiver notation, the two-dimensional ones in $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver notation, and the zero-dimensional ones in the dimensional reduction of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ quiver notation, with dashed lines representing Fermi multiplets and solid arrows chiral multiplets. The ranks of the gauge groups are determined by the representation $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ as in Figure 3 and the complexified FI parameters of the innermost gauge group factors are opposite while the others vanish. In both halves of the quiver the adjoint chiral multiplets are coupled through cubic superpotentials to their neighboring bifundamental chiral multiplets. The two-dimensional chiral multiplets charged under $U\left(n_{\nu}\right)$ or $U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ are coupled through cubic and quintic superpotentials to the four-dimensional degrees of freedom, and appear in $E$ or $J$ terms for the 0 d Fermi multiplet. More generally, the 4 d $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry can be partly or fully gauged to insert this $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver in a larger 4 d quiver gauge theory; we then call this $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver "local" to insist on the presence of other 4 d degrees of freedom.
cludes, among many other theories, $\mathcal{N}=2 S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \mathrm{SQCD}$ with $2 n_{\mathrm{f}}$ fundamental hypermultiplets and the $\mathcal{N}=2^{*}$ theory, that is $\mathcal{N}=2 S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ super-Yang-Mills with a massive adjoint hypermultiplet.

We state, for clarity, our results and conjectures for the simplest four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory in this class: the theory of $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ hypermultiplets, living on $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ M5-branes wrapping a trinion with two full and one simple puncture.

Conjecture 1. The M2-brane intersection labeled by representations ( $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}$ ) of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ ending on the $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$ branes is described by the joint $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram in Figure 2. [28]

The $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ global symmetries acting on the innermost chiral multiplets of the right and left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver gauge theories are identified with each other and with those acting on the bulk hypermultiplets via defect, two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ superpotentials, one localized in the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$-plane and the other in the $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$-plane. Quintic superpotentials identify the remaining $U(1)$ global symmetry of each twodimensional theory to rotations transverse to the corresponding plane. The $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet localized at $x^{1}=x^{2}=x^{3}=x^{4}=0$ is gauged with the innermost gauge group factor of the left and right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver gauge theory. The Fermi multiplet has an $E$-term or $J$ term superpotential [29] quadratic in the $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ restrictions of the 2 d chiral multiplets.

The representation data $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ labeling the intersecting M2-branes is encoded in the ranks of the gauge groups of the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ gauge theories on the left and right of the diagram by realizing $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ by a pair of Young diagrams, as in Figure 3. The number of boxes


FIG. 3: Gauge group ranks corresponding to Young diagrams of $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$.
in each column of the Young diagram determine the rank of the gauge group of the corresponding $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ gauge theory. [30]

The complexified Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=i \xi+\frac{\vartheta}{2 \pi} \tag{I.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the innermost gauge groups $U\left(n_{\nu}\right)$ and $U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ are opposite while the FI parameters for all other gauge groups vanish. [31] The surviving complexified FI parameter encodes the position on the Riemann surface where the intersecting M2-branes end. For the precise brane configuration see section IV.

The same quiver with $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ arbitrary FI parameters corresponds to the insertion of $\nu$ sets of M2branes labeled by antisymmetric representations [32] $\left(1, \wedge^{n_{\kappa}-n_{\kappa-1}} \square\right)$ and $\nu^{\prime}$ sets labeled by $\left(\wedge^{n_{f}-n_{\kappa}^{\prime}+n_{\kappa-1}^{\prime}} \square, 1\right)$.

Their respective positions on the Riemann surface are encoded in the FI parameters. [33]

Conjecture 2. The instanton partition function in the $\Omega$-background $\mathbb{R}_{\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}}^{4}$ of the family of intersecting defects captured by the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram in Figure 2 equals the $W_{n_{\mathrm{f}}}$ conformal block on the four-punctured sphere with two full punctures, one simple puncture and an arbitrary degenerate puncture. The choice of internal momentum labeling the conformal block maps to a choice of boundary condition for the vector multiplet scalars of the innermost gauge group factors in the intersecting defect theory.

A degenerate puncture of the $W_{n_{\mathrm{f}}}$ algebra is labeled by two highest weights $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \Omega\right)$ of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ through the momentum vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-b \Omega-\frac{1}{b} \Omega^{\prime} \tag{I.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ parametrizes the Virasoro central charge. [34] The data of the degenerate puncture is realized in the quiver diagram through the irreducible representations $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ corresponding to the highest weights $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \Omega\right)$. The $\mathbb{R}_{\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}}^{4}$ deformation parameters are given in terms of the Virasoro central charge by $\epsilon_{1}=b$ and $\epsilon_{2}=1 / b$ with $b>0$. [35] The masses of the four-dimensional and twodimensional matter fields are encoded in the momenta of the two full punctures and the simple puncture (see section V).

Conjecture 3. The expectation value on the squashed four-sphere $S_{b}^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{\ell^{2}}+\frac{x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}}{\tilde{\ell}^{2}}=1 \tag{I.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the intersecting surface theory in Figure 2, with the right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver on the squashed two-sphere at $x_{3}=x_{4}=0$, the left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver on the squashed two-sphere at $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, and with the bifundamental $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet localized at the North and South poles of $S_{b}^{4}$ at $x_{0}=r$ and $x_{0}=-r$ respectively, is given by the $A_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-1}$ Toda CFT correlator on the four-punctured sphere with two full punctures, one simple puncture and an arbitrary degenerate puncture labeled by $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \Omega\right)$. The Toda CFT central charge parameter is given by $b^{2}=\ell / \tilde{\ell}$.

Conjecture 4. The M2-brane intersection labeled by representations ( $\mathrm{sym}^{n^{\prime}} \square, \operatorname{sym}^{n} \square$ ) of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ ending on the $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ M5-branes allows for an alternative description in terms of the joint $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram in Figure 4. [36] Similarly to Conjecture 2, the instanton $\overline{\text { partition }}$ function of the $4 \overline{\mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d} \text { gauge theory coin- }}$ cides with a $W_{n_{\mathrm{f}}}$ conformal block on the four-punctured sphere with two full puncture, one simple puncture and a degenerate puncture labeled by the symmetric representations (sym ${ }^{n^{\prime}} \square, \operatorname{sym}^{n} \square$ ). Similarly to Conjecture 3,


FIG. 4: Joint 4d/2d/0d quiver diagram describing the M2-brane intersection labeled by $n$ - and $n^{\prime}$-fold symmetric representations ending on $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$-branes
wrapping a trinion with two full and one simple puncture. The complexified FI parameters of the gauge group factors are equal. Cubic and quartic superpotentials coupling the four-dimensional degrees of freedom to the two-dimensional ones are turned on. The 0d chiral multiplets on the intersection appear in $E$ and $J$-type superpotentials for $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet components of the $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$
(anti)fundamental chiral multiplets. As in Figure 2, the $4 \mathrm{~d} S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry can be global or gauged.
the $S_{b}^{4}$ expectation value coincides with the $A_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-1}$ Toda CFT correlator with these four punctures.

These results enrich the fascinating connections uncovered by AGT [37] between four-dimensional theories (see also [38]) and between two-dimensional theories [26] and two-dimensional Toda CFT. Our mapping of the intersecting defects in Figure 2 with the most general Toda degenerate field insertion, which is labeled by the pair of representations $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$, completes [26], where one of the representations was taken to be trivial (see also [25, $\underline{39}$ 43]). Realizing the most general degenerate insertion crucially requires considering intersecting defects, with degrees of freedom localized along intersecting surfaces and points on spacetime.

Extending our story to other four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories with the properties described above is straightforward. In the field theory, we gauge the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times$ $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ global symmetry of the $2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ degrees of freedom with an $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry of the four-dimensional theory. In the correspondence with Toda CFT, we insert an extra degenerate puncture labeled by $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \Omega\right)$ on the punctured Riemann surface realizing the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory under consideration. As an example, the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram for an M2-brane intersecting surface operator in fourdimensional SQCD is given by Figure 5. [44] The partition function of this theory is conjecturally computed by the Toda CFT five-point function on the sphere, with two full punctures, two simple punctures and a degenerate puncture that encodes the choice of intersecting surface operator.


FIG. 5: Joint 4d/2d/0d quiver realizing an M2-brane surface operator in $\mathcal{N}=2$ SQCD. This has the same matter content as the quiver in Figure 2.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we provide a general framework for the construction of quartersupersymmetric intersecting defects in $\mathcal{N}=2$ QFTs. In section III we perform the exact computation of the expectation value of intersecting surface defects on the squashed four-sphere. section IV discusses the M-theory realization of the intersecting surface defects of interest to this paper. Here we also show how the proposed $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver gauge theories of Figure 2 and Figure 4 naturally arise in theories admitting a type IIA description. section V states the conjectured relation with Liouville/Toda degenerate correlators precisely. It describes the concrete and non-trivial verifications of our conjectures done in Appendix A and Appendix B. We conclude with some interesting open questions and future directions.

## II. COUPLING INTERSECTING DEFECTS

A planar, half-supersymmetric surface defect in a fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory can preserve either twodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ supersymmetry. Indeed, the supercharges [45] of the bulk supersymmetry algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{\alpha}^{A}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{B}\right\}=\epsilon^{A B} P_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \tag{II.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

preserved by a half-supersymmetric defect spanning the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$-plane generate either a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=$ $(2,2)$ supersymmetry algebra, say, $\left(Q_{+}^{1}, Q_{-}^{2}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{+}}^{2}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{-}}^{1}\right)$, or an $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ algebra, e.g., $\left(Q_{+}^{A}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{+}}^{A}\right)$.

Surface defects preserving these symmetries can be constructed by coupling a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ QFT supported on the defect to the fourdimensional theory. This is done by gauging global symmetries of the defect QFT with bulk gauge or global symmetries and by additional potential terms. [46] The minimal coupling (I.1) and potential terms must be supersym-


FIG. 6: Local 4d/2d quiver diagram describing a class of $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ preserving surface defects. The 4 d $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry can be global or gauged.
metrized. A strategy to write down the action of these surface defects which makes manifest the supersymmetry of the defect theory is to rewrite the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory as a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ theory. [47] Indeed, by decomposing the fourdimensional multiplets in terms of the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ ones, the bulk Lagrangian can be reproduced from the action constructed out of the lower-dimensional multiplets. [48] The coordinates transverse to the defect appear from the lower-dimensional viewpoint as continuous labels of the multiplets. The advantage of this approach is that it is now straightforward and manifestly two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ supersymmetric to couple the bulk theory to a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ theory by gauging the flavor symmetries of the defect theory with bulk symmetries. The matter multiplets of the fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory (i.e., hypermultiplets) can also be coupled via a localized $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ superpotential to the matter multiplets on the defect, thus identifying the defect flavor symmetries with either bulk gauge or global symmetries. In this way, the surface defect coupled to the bulk is represented as a twodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ or $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ QFT. Schematically, the action describing the surface defect takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{4 \mathrm{~d}}+S_{2 \mathrm{~d}}+S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}} \tag{II.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to a large family of surface operators in fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories.

The class of $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ preserving surface defects that will be most relevant for us is encoded by the "local" $4 d / 2 d$ quiver diagram of Figure 6. [49] These surface defects were studied in detail in [26] and given a twodimensional CFT interpretation. Related $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ surface defects were analyzed in [50]. The $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ fundamental and antifundamental chiral multiplets on the inner end of the two-dimensional quiver couple to the $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ hypermultiplets via a localized cubic superpotential preserving twodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ supersymmetry. The superpoten-
tial identifies the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ flavor symmetry acting on the chiral multiplets with a subgroup of the symmetry acting on the hypermultiplets. The hypermultiplet scalars $(Q, \tilde{Q})$, which transform in conjugate representations of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$, are bottom components of $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ chiral multiplets which we denote $\left(Q^{2 \mathrm{~d}}, \tilde{Q}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$.[51] If we denote by $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ the fundamental and anti-fundamental two-dimensional chiral multiplets, the relevant defect superpotential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {cubic }}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \delta\left(x^{3}\right) \delta\left(x^{4}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \theta q \tilde{q} Q^{2 \mathrm{~d}} \tag{II.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This manifestly two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ supersymmetric superpotential couples a gauge invariant meson operator of the two-dimensional theory to the hypermultiplets. Since masses in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ and two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories are vevs for background vector multiplets for the flavor symmetries, the superpotential fixes the masses of the hypermultiplets in terms of the sum of the masses of the two-dimensional fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets (see section III). In addition to (II.3), a quintic superpotential couples the (next-to) innermost bifundamental chiral multiplets $q^{\text {bif }}$ and $\tilde{q}^{\text {bif }}$ to $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ and to the chiral multiplet whose bottom component is a transverse derivative of $Q$ :
$S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {quintic }}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \delta\left(x^{3}\right) \delta\left(x^{4}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \theta q q^{\text {bif }} \tilde{q}^{\text {bif }} \tilde{q}\left(\left(\partial_{3}-i \partial_{4}\right) Q^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$.
It identifies the remaining two-dimensional flavor symmetry $U(1)$ (under which adjoint and bifundamental chiral multiplets have charges 2 and -1 respectively) to rotations transverse to the defect.

In this paper we study intersecting surface defects in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories constructed from $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ planar surface defects spanning the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ plane and the $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$-plane. The defects intersect at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. These intersecting surface defects can preserve two supercharges [52] of the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory: $\left(Q_{+}^{1}, Q_{-}^{2}\right)$. The field theory description of these intersecting defects is invariant under the zerodimensional dimensional reduction of two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ supersymmetry. When the intersecting defect is superconformal it preserves the following subalgebra of the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ superconformal algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s u}(1 \mid 1)_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(1 \mid 1)_{2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)_{3} \subset \mathfrak{s u}(2,2 \mid 2) \tag{II.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field theory construction of these intersecting surface defects allows for the insertion of a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ QFT dimensionally reduced to zero dimensions at the intersection point. This defect $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ QFT can now be coupled to the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=$ $(2,2)$ QFTs living in the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ and $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$-planes. The global symmetries of the zero-dimensional intersection QFT can be gauged with those of the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ QFTs or four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ QFT. This
gauging can be explicitly carried out by first writing down the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ QFTs living in the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ and $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$-planes as zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=$ $(0,2)$ theories in the spirit explained above. This requires decomposing a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ vector multiplet into a zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ vector multiplet and chiral multiplet and a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ chiral multiplet into a zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral multiplet and Fermi multiplet. In this way, the twodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ QFTs can now be rewritten as zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories and gauging the flavor symmetries of the zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theory at the intersection with those of the $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories in the $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ and $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$-planes becomes standard. In general, it is possible to add zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ superpotentials coupling the various matter multiplets in zero, two and four-dimensions while preserving all the symmetries. Each $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet admits so-called $E$-type and $J$-type superpotentials (see [53] for more background material on $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories). This construction furnishes the Lagrangian description of our quarter-supersymmetric surface defects. Schematically it looks like

$$
\begin{align*}
S=S_{4 \mathrm{~d}}+S_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)} & +S_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}+S_{0 \mathrm{~d}}+S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}+S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)} \\
& +S_{0 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}+S_{0 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}+S_{0 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}} \tag{II.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The schematic action (II.6) captures a large class of intersecting surface operators. We now describe two cases of importance for brane systems later in the paper. In both cases the 0 d theories involve $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi or chiral multiplets (no vector multiplets).

The first class of intersecting surface defects we will focus on in this paper is neatly summarized by the local $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram of Figure 2. The left and right two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories couple via cubic and quintic superpotentials to the four-dimensional hypermultiplets. If we denote by $\left(q_{(L)}, \tilde{q}_{(L)}, q_{(L)}^{\text {bif }}, \tilde{q}_{(L)}^{\text {bif }}\right)$ and $\left(q_{(R)}, \tilde{q}_{(R)}, q_{(R)}^{\text {bif }}, \tilde{q}_{(R)}^{\text {bif }}\right)$ the inner fundamental, antifundamental, and bifundamental chiral multiplets of the left and right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quivers with respect to their corresponding gauge group, and by $Q_{(L)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{(R)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ the two-dimensional chiral multiplets whose bottom components are the hypermultiplet scalars $Q$ and $\tilde{Q}$, then the superpotential couplings are

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x & \delta\left(x^{3}\right) \delta\left(x^{4}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \theta_{(R)}\left(q_{(R)} \tilde{q}_{(R)} Q_{(R)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right. \\
& \left.+q_{(R)} q_{(R)}^{\mathrm{bif}} \tilde{q}_{(R)}^{\mathrm{bif}} \tilde{q}_{(R)}\left(\left(\partial_{3}-i \partial_{4}\right) Q_{(R)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)\right) \tag{II.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x & \delta\left(x^{1}\right) \delta\left(x^{2}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \theta_{(L)}\left(q_{(L)} \tilde{q}_{(L)} \tilde{Q}_{(L)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right. \\
& \left.+q_{(L)} q_{(L)}^{\mathrm{bif}} \tilde{q}_{(L)}^{\mathrm{bif}} \tilde{q}_{(L)}\left(\left(\partial_{1}-i \partial_{2}\right) \tilde{Q}_{(L)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)\right) \tag{II.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The cubic superpotentials identify the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times$ $U(1)$ flavor symmetries acting on the inner fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets of the left and right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver to each other and to a subgroup of the symmetry acting on the hypermultiplets. The quintic superpotentials identify the remaining $U(1)$ flavor symmetries acting on bifundamental and adjoint chiral multiplets of each two-dimensional theory to rotations transverse to that plane. In section III we shall explore the consequences of this identification for the masses and $\mathcal{R}$-charges of the various fields.

The zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet $\Lambda$ has an $S\left[U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \times U\left(n_{\nu}\right)\right]$ flavor symmetry, which is gauged with the innermost gauge group factors of the left and right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories. [54] The couplings of $\Lambda$ with the two-dimensional fields can be obtained by embedding a zero-dimensional $S\left[U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \times U\left(n_{\nu}\right)\right] \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ vector multiplet in the corresponding two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ vector multiplets. As explained in section C , gauging does not eliminate the $U(1)$ flavor symmetry acting only on $\Lambda$, and a background vector multiplet for this symmetry could be added. This is prevented by a zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2) E$-type or $J$-type superpotential, for instance $E[\Lambda]=\tilde{q}_{(L)} q_{(R)}$ restricted to zero dimensions. Since the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function we compute is only sensitive to superpotentials through the global symmetries that they identify, our methods do not fix them.

The second class of intersecting surface defects we will study in this paper is given by the local $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram of Figure 4. In this case the superpotential couplings are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \delta\left(x^{3}\right) \delta\left(x^{4}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \theta_{(R)}\left(q_{(R)} \tilde{q}_{(R)} Q_{(R)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right. \\
\left.+q_{(R)} \varphi_{(R)} \tilde{q}_{(R)}\left(\left(\partial_{3}-i \partial_{4}\right) Q_{(R)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)\right)
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
(\mathrm{III.9} \\
S_{2 \mathrm{~d} / 4 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \delta\left(x^{1}\right) \delta\left(x^{2}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \theta_{(L)}\left(q_{(L)} \tilde{q}_{(L)} Q_{(L)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right. \\
\left.+q_{(L)} \varphi_{(L)} \tilde{q}_{(L)}\left(\left(\partial_{1}-i \partial_{2}\right) Q_{(L)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)\right), \tag{II.10}
\end{array}
$$

where $\varphi_{(L)}$ and $\varphi_{(R)}$ denote the adjoint chiral multiplets. This again identifies the flavor symmetries of the left and right two-dimensional quiver with the one of the four-dimensional hypermultiplets and with transverse rotations.

The zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral multiplets $\chi$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ each have an $S\left[U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \times U\left(n_{\nu}\right)\right]$ flavor symmetry. Both of these $S\left[U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \times U\left(n_{\nu}\right)\right]$ global symmetries are gauged with the innermost gauge group factors of the left and right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories. As before, gauging does not eliminate global $U(1)$ symmetries acting only on $\chi$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ and there should exist $E$ or $J$-type superpotentials identifying those symmetries to bulk symmetries. The analysis is complicated by $J$-type superpotentials due to two-dimensional superpotentials and $E$-type superpotentials capturing derivatives in transverse dimensions: the
added zero-dimensional superpotentials must fulfill the overall constraint $\operatorname{Tr}(E \cdot J)=0$ for supersymmetry. Since our computations are not sensitive to the precise superpotential, we will not pursue it here.

## III. LOCALIZATION ON $S_{b}^{4}$ OF INTERSECTING DEFECTS

In this section we perform the exact computation of the expectation value of quarter-supersymmetric intersecting surface defects on the squashed four-sphere $S_{b}^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{\ell^{2}}+\frac{x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}}{\tilde{\ell}^{2}}=1 \tag{III.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b^{2}=\ell / \tilde{\ell}$ is a dimensionless squashing parameter. A four-dimensional theory on the round four-sphere $S^{4}$ has an $O S p(2 \mid 4)$ supersymmetry algebra [22]. Upon squashing the sphere to $S_{b}^{4}$, the symmetry of the theory is reduced to $S U(1 \mid 1)$. Any four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory can be placed on $S_{b}^{4}$ while preserving this symmetry [23].

A two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory on the round $S^{2}$ preserves $\operatorname{OSp}(2 \mid 2)[43,55-57]$. When the sphere is squashed to $S_{b}^{2}$, the symmetry of the theory is $S U(1 \mid 1)$ [56]. A two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory on the round $S^{2}$ can be coupled to a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory on $S^{4}$ while preserving $\operatorname{OSp}(2 \mid 2)$ [58]. Upon squashing the four-sphere to $S_{b}^{4}$, the combined $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2$ d system preserves $S U(1 \mid 1)$, provided the two-dimensional theory is placed either on the $S_{b}^{2}$ at $x_{3}=x_{4}=0$ or at $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, which we call $S_{(R)}^{2}$ and $S_{(L)}^{2}$ respectively. In fact, we can place a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory at $x_{3}=x_{4}=0$ and another one at $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$ while preserving $S U(1 \mid 1)$. This allows us to couple the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory on $S_{b}^{4}$ to a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory on $S_{(R)}^{2}$ and to a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory on $S_{(L)}^{2}$. This setup can be further enriched by adding localized degrees of freedom at the intersection of the two-dimensional theories, that is the North and South poles of $S_{b}^{4}$ at $x_{0}=r$ and $x_{0}=-r$ with $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=x_{4}=0$ respectively, see Figure 7 for a cartoon. The localized degrees of freedom, pinned at the poles, are the dimensional reduction of a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theory down to zero dimensions. Consistently coupling the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ multiplets to the four-dimensional and two-dimensional degrees of freedom on $S_{b}^{4}$ requires turning on a background field for a flavor symmetry of the zero-dimensional theory that includes the $U(1) \times U(1)$ rotations of $S_{b}^{4}$. This background field is necessary for the zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories at the poles of $S_{b}^{4}$ to be invariant under the $S U(1 \mid 1)$ symmetry of the combined system (see below). In this way, the quarter-supersymmetric intersecting defects we have introduced in the previous sections can be placed on $S_{b}^{4}$ while preserving $S U(1 \mid 1)$.

Our primary goal is to compute the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function of the intersecting defects in Figure 2. We accomplish this by supersymmetric localization with respect to


FIG. 7: Intersecting surface defects supported on two intersecting two-spheres $S_{(L)}^{2}$ and $S_{(R)}^{2}$. There are localized degrees of freedom living on the two-spheres $S_{(L)}^{2}$ and $S_{(R)}^{2}$ and at their intersection points, i.e., the north pole (NP) and south pole (SP); the latter couple to the former degrees of freedom, which in turn couple to the four-dimensional gauge theory living in the bulk $S_{b}^{4}$.
the supercharge $\mathcal{Q}$ in $S U(1 \mid 1)$. It is precisely this supercharge that was used to compute the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function of a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory [23] and the $S_{b}^{2}$ partition function of a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory [56]. We localize the path integral by choosing the "Coulomb branch localization" $\mathcal{Q}$-exact deformation terms of the four-dimensional and two-dimensional theories in $[23,56]$. In the absence of four-dimensional gauge fields, the saddle points of the four-dimensional and twodimensional fields are the same as if the theories were considered in isolation. Finally, the North and South pole $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet action coupled to the saddle points of the two-dimensional and four-dimensional fields can be easily integrated out using the computation of the index of one-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics [59].

Putting all these facts together we arrive at the following integral representation [60] of the partition function of the intersecting defects in Figure 2,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z=Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free }} \mathrm{HM} & \sum_{B^{(L)}} \sum_{B^{(R)}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(L)}}{(2 \pi)^{\operatorname{rank} G^{(L)}}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(R)}}{(2 \pi)^{\operatorname{rank} G^{(R)}}} \\
& \times Z_{S_{(L)}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}\right) Z_{S_{(R)}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right) \\
& \times Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {intersection }}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right) . \tag{III.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free HM }}$ is the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function [23] [61] of the $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ hypermultiplets with dimensionless masses $M_{j s}$, measured in units of $1 / \sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\mathrm{free} \mathrm{HM}}=\prod_{j, s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \frac{1}{\Upsilon_{b}\left(\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2 b}-i M_{j s}\right)} \tag{III.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $G^{(L),(R)}$ denote the total gauge groups of the left/right two-dimensional theories while $Z_{S_{b}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(L / R)}, B^{(L / R)}\right)$ is the integrand of the $S_{b}^{2}$ partition function of the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory on the left/right of the quiver diagram. The integrand is given by $[43,55,56][62]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{b}^{2}}(\sigma, B)=z^{i \sigma+\frac{B}{2}} \bar{z}^{i \sigma-\frac{B}{2}} \prod_{w \in R} \frac{\Gamma\left(-w\left(i m+i \sigma+\frac{B}{2}\right)\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+w\left(i m+i \sigma-\frac{B}{2}\right)\right)} \\
& \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}} \prod_{\alpha>0}\left[(-1)^{\alpha B}\left[(\alpha \sigma)^{2}+\frac{(\alpha B)^{2}}{4}\right]\right] \tag{III.4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $z=e^{-2 \pi \xi_{\mathrm{FI}}+i \vartheta}$, where $\xi_{\mathrm{FI}}$ is the FI parameter and $\vartheta$ its corresponding topological angle. $B$ and $\sigma$ take values in the Cartan subalgebra of the gauge group and $\alpha$ and $w$ are the roots of the gauge group and weights of the representation of the chiral multiplets respectively, while $\mathcal{W}$ is the order of the gauge Weyl group. We will use conventions adapted to quiver gauge theories, i.e., fundamental chiral multiplets transform anti-fundamentally under their flavor symmetry and vice versa. The parameter $m$ in (III.4) is complex: the real part measures the mass and the imaginary part the $\mathcal{R}$-charge of the twodimensional chiral multiplet through [43, 55, 56]

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{(R)} & =\ell \mathrm{m}^{(R)}-\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}\left[q_{(R)}\right] \\
\widetilde{m}^{(R)} & =\ell \widetilde{\mathrm{m}}^{(R)}+\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}\left[\tilde{q}_{(R)}\right]  \tag{III.5}\\
m^{(L)} & =\tilde{\ell} \mathrm{m}^{(L)}-\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}\left[q_{(L)}\right] \\
\widetilde{m}^{(L)} & =\tilde{\ell} \widetilde{\mathrm{m}}^{(L)}+\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}\left[\tilde{q}_{(L)}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ are masses of fundamental chiral multiplets while $\widetilde{\mathrm{m}}$ denote masses of antifundamental chiral multiplets. The dimensionless "masses" $\left(m^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}^{(R)}\right)$ and $\left(m^{(L)}, \widetilde{m}^{(L)}\right)$ are measured in units of $1 / \ell$ and $1 / \tilde{\ell}$ respectively for the right and left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories. This is because the corresponding squashed two-spheres $S_{b}^{2}$ on which the two-dimensional theories live, which are embedded in $S_{b}^{4}$, have equatorial radii $\ell$ and $\tilde{\ell}$ respectively.

Since the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories are coupled to a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory in $S_{b}^{4}$, the canonical two-dimensional $\mathcal{R}$-charges are induced by the fourdimensional $S U(1 \mid 1)$ supersymmetry algebra. This is a consequence of the $S U(1 \mid 1)$-invariant coupling of the left and right two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories on the two $S_{b}^{2}$ 's with the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory on $S_{b}^{4}$. While $S U(1 \mid 1)$ acts on four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets as [23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{4 \mathrm{~d}}^{2}=\frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{M}_{12}+\frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}} \mathcal{M}_{34}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\ell}+\frac{1}{\tilde{\ell}}\right) J_{3}^{\mathcal{R}} \tag{III.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S U(1 \mid 1)$ acts on two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ multiplets
on an $S_{b}^{2}$ with equatorial radius $\ell$ as [56]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{2}=\frac{1}{\ell} \mathcal{M}_{12}-\frac{1}{2 \ell} \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}} \tag{III.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{M}_{i j}$ denotes the $U(1)$ generator that acts on the $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ coordinates defining the squashed sphere, $J_{3}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is the Cartan generator of the $S U(2) \mathcal{R}$-symmetry of the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory in flat space [63] and $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ is the vector $\mathcal{R}$-symmetry of a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory. Since the right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory is on the $S_{b}^{2}$ at $x_{3}=x_{4}=0$ and the left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory is on the $S_{b}^{2}$ at $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, common $S U(1 \mid 1)$-invariance implies that the $\mathcal{R}$-charge generators for the right and left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}=\left(1+b^{2}\right) J_{3}^{\mathcal{R}}-2 b^{2} \mathcal{M}_{34} \\
& \mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}=\left(1+b^{-2}\right) J_{3}^{\mathcal{R}}-2 b^{-2} \mathcal{M}_{12} \tag{III.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The formula (III.8) determines the $\mathcal{R}$-charges under $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}$ of the four-dimensional hypermultiplet scalars $(Q, \tilde{Q})$ restricted to each $S_{b}^{2}$. Recall that chiral multiplets of the right and left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories couple to the corresponding $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ "bulk" chiral multiplets with bottom components $Q$ and $\tilde{Q}$.

The cubic defect superpotentials in (II.7) and (II.8) coupling bulk hypermultiplets with innermost chiral multiplets identify their respective $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ global symmetries. This implies that the masses of the hypermultiplets and the innermost chiral multiplets obey a relation, which follows from the common $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times$ $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry acting on these fields. Another constraint follows from the $S U(1 \mid 1)$ symmetry of $S_{b}^{2}$. A two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ superpotential on $S_{b}^{2}$ is supersymmetric if and only if the $\mathcal{R}$-charge of the superpotential is two [56]. This gives two relations, one arising from (II.7) requiring that $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}\left[Q_{(R)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}} q_{(R)} \tilde{q}_{(R)}\right]=2$ and the other from (II.8) requiring that $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}\left[\tilde{Q}_{(L)}^{2 \mathrm{~d}} q_{(L)} \tilde{q}_{(L)}\right]=2$. The hypermultiplet scalars $Q, \tilde{Q}$ have $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}[Q]=1+b^{2}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}[\tilde{Q}]=1+b^{-2}$, since $J_{\mathcal{R}}^{3}[Q]=J_{\mathcal{R}}^{3}[\tilde{Q}]=1$ and they are Lorentz scalars. In total, the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ global symmetry constraints and $\mathcal{R}$-symmetry superpotential constraints neatly combine into the following relation between the four-dimensional masses $M_{j s}$ and the two-dimensional complexified masses (III.5) $m_{j}$ and $\widetilde{m}_{s}$ for the fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{j}^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}}{\ell}=\frac{i}{\ell} \tag{III.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{s}^{(L)}+\tilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}}{\tilde{\ell}}=\frac{i}{\tilde{\ell}} . \tag{III.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real part of these equations encode the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times$ $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ global symmetry constraints on the masses and the imaginary part the $\mathcal{R}$-charge constraints. The first relation (III.9) fixes the four-dimensional masses $M_{j s}$, which appear in $Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free }} \mathrm{HM}$ in (III.2), in terms of the two-dimensional masses $m_{j}^{(R)}$ and $\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}$. Adding (III.9) and (III.10) we find the following system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-m_{j}^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}}{\ell}+\frac{-m_{s}^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}}{\tilde{\ell}}=0 \tag{III.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{-1} \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}=b m_{s}^{(L)}+c, \quad b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)}=b \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}+c \tag{III.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c$ which we set to zero by shifting the vector multiplet scalars in the left theory by $c / b$. This relation is consistent with the $\mathcal{R}$-charges above. We can use this relation to express in terms of $\left(m_{j}^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right)$ the masses of the innermost (fundamental and antifundamental) chirals of the right and left $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories that appear in $Z_{S_{b}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right)$ and $Z_{S_{b}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}\right)$ in (III.2).

The quintic superpotentials in (II.7) and (II.8) yield relations similar to (III.9) and (III.10) which force the (next-to) innermost bifundamental chiral multiplets to have zero twisted mass and $\mathcal{R}$-charges $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}\left[q_{\text {bif }}^{(R)}\right]=-b^{2}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}\left[q_{\text {bif }}^{(L)}\right]=-b^{-2}$. The cubic superpotentials of each two-dimensional theory then proceed to set all twisted masses to zero and $\mathcal{R}$-charges to $-b^{2}$ and $2+2 b^{2}$ for bifundamental and adjoint chiral multiplets of the theory on the right and $-b^{-2}$ and $2+2 b^{-2}$ for the one on the left.

Once the path integrals for the four-dimensional and two-dimensional theories have been localized to zeromode integrals, we must still integrate out the fields of the zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories at the poles of $S_{b}^{4}$, captured by two matrix integrals, one for the theory at the North pole and one for the theory at the South pole. This requires first understanding how to couple the zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories to the other fields on $S_{b}^{4}$ in an $S U(1 \mid 1)$-invariant way. A "flat space" zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theory, obtained by trivial dimensional reduction from two-dimensions, has nilpotent supercharges. The supersymmetry algebra can be deformed by turning on a supersymmetric zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ vector multiplet background for a flavor symmetry $G_{F}$ of the theory. The deformed algebra acts on the fields as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{2}=i \frac{u_{F}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}} Q_{F} \tag{III.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{F}$ is a constant background value for the dimensionless complex combination of scalars in the zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ vector multiplet invariant under supersymmetry, [64] and $Q_{F}$ is the charge under
$G_{F}$. Therefore, in order to consistently couple a zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theory at a pole with the rest of the fields of the intersecting defect theory on $S_{b}^{4}$ in an $S U(1 \mid 1)$-invariant way, comparison with the fourdimensional supersymmetry algebra (III.6) requires that we turn on a constant background

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{F}=-i \tag{III.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the zero-dimensional flavor symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{F}=b^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{12}+b \mathcal{M}_{34}-\frac{1}{2}\left(b+b^{-1}\right) J_{3}^{\mathcal{R}} \tag{III.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now that we know how to couple the zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories at the poles to $S_{b}^{4}$ we can easily compute their path integrals. The result is obtained by keeping the zero-mode along the circle of the index computation of $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics in [59]. The formula for the path integral over a zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet coupled to a background vector multiplet through a representation $\mathbf{r}$ and to a background vector multiplet for a flavor symmetry $G_{F}$ with charge $Q_{F}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\mathrm{Fermi}}=\prod_{w \in \mathbf{r}}\left(w(i u)+i Q_{F} u_{F}\right) \tag{III.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u$ are the (dimensionless) scalars in the dynamical vector multiplet and $u_{F}$ the background value for the $G_{F}$ global symmetry.

We can now determine the contribution of the zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplets at the North and South poles of $S_{b}^{4}$ depicted in Figure 2 to the intersecting defect partition function (III.2). It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\text {intersection }}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right)=\prod_{a=1}^{n_{\nu}} \prod_{b=1}^{n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}} \Delta_{a b}^{+} \Delta_{a b}^{-}, \tag{III.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Delta_{a b}^{ \pm}=b^{-1}\left(i \sigma_{a}^{(R)} \pm \frac{B_{a}^{(R)}}{2}\right)-b\left(i \sigma_{b}^{(L)} \pm \frac{B_{b}^{(L)}}{2}\right)$. The factors with $\Delta_{a b}^{+}$originate from the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi at the North pole while the factors $\Delta_{a b}^{-}$come from the South pole. [65] The $S\left[U\left(n_{\nu}\right) \times U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ symmetry is gauged with the innermost gauge group factor of the left and right $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories. This explains the appearance of $\sigma^{(R)}$ and $\sigma^{(L)}$ in (III.17). We have also used the fact that the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplets are uncharged under the flavor symmetry $G_{F}$ : this can be enforced for instance by the $E$-type superpotential $E[\Lambda]=\tilde{q}_{(L)} q_{(R)}$ for the Fermi multiplet $\Lambda$ put forward above already (see below (II.8)). Indeed, the cubic defect superpotentials in (II.7) and (II.8) constrain the $\mathcal{R}$-charges of $q_{(R)}, \tilde{q}_{(R)}$, $q_{(L)}$ and $\tilde{q}_{(L)}$ hence their charge under $\mathcal{Q}^{2} / \sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}$, and the $E$-type superpotential fixes the charge of $\Lambda$. The $\mathcal{Q}^{2} / \sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}$ charges are given in Table I up to mixing with two-dimensional $U(1)$ gauge symmetries namely shifting the integration contour of $\sigma^{(L / R)}$ in the imaginary di-

|  | $Q, \tilde{Q}$ | $q_{(R)}, \tilde{q}_{(R)}$ | $q_{(L)}, \tilde{q}_{(L)}$ | $\Lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}$ | $\frac{-\left(b+b^{-1}\right)}{2}$ | $\frac{b-b^{-1}}{4}$ |  | $\frac{-\left(b-b^{-1}\right)}{4}$ |

TABLE I: Charges of various fields under $\mathcal{Q}^{2} / \sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}$.
rection. The E-type superpotential also identifies the $U(1)$ flavor symmetry of $\Lambda$ with a combination of twodimensional gauge symmetries.

Similarly, we can determine the integral representation of the partition function of the intersecting defects in Figure 4,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z= Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free }} \mathrm{HM} \\
& \sum_{B^{(L)}} \sum_{B^{(R)}} \int_{\mathrm{JK}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma^{(L)}}{(2 \pi)^{\mathrm{rank} G^{(L)}}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(R)}}{(2 \pi)^{\mathrm{rank} G^{(R)}}} \\
& \times Z_{S_{(L)}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}\right) Z_{S_{(R)}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right)  \tag{III.18}\\
& \times \widetilde{Z}_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {intersection }}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where again $G^{(L),(R)}$ denote the total gauge groups of the two 2 d theories. The symbol $\int_{\mathrm{JK}}$ stands for taking a Jeffrey-Kirwan-like residue prescription (see definition below). Similarly as above, the superpotential couplings (II.9)-(II.10) impose relations among the complexified mass parameters. In this case they read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{j}^{(R)}+\tilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}}{\ell}=\frac{i}{\ell} \tag{III.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{j}^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(L)}}{\tilde{\ell}}=\frac{i}{\tilde{\ell}} \tag{III.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the real part of these equations encode the flavor symmetry constraints on the masses and the imaginary part the $\mathcal{R}$-charge constraints. The fourdimensional masses $M_{j s}$ can be determined in terms of the dimensional masses $m_{j}^{(R)}$ and $\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}$ in precisely the same way as above. Moreover, subtracting (III.9) and (III.10) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-m_{j}^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}}{\ell}-\frac{-m_{j}^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(L)}}{\tilde{\ell}}=\frac{i}{\ell}-\frac{i}{\tilde{\ell}} \tag{III.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{-1}\left(m_{j}^{(R)}+i / 2\right)=b\left(m_{j}^{(L)}+i / 2\right)+\tilde{c}  \tag{III.22}\\
& b^{-1}\left(\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}-i / 2\right)=b\left(\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(L)}-i / 2\right)+\tilde{c}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $\tilde{c}$, which can be absorbed by shifting the vector multiplet scalars, allowing one to express the masses of the left quiver in terms of those of the right
quiver. The quartic superpotential sets the real twisted masses of the adjoint chiral multiplets to zero and their $\mathcal{R}$-charges to be $-2 b^{2}$ and $-2 b^{-2}$ respectively.

Using that the formula for the path integral over a zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral multiplet coupled to a background vector multiplet through a representation $\mathbf{r}$ and to a background vector multiplet for $G_{F}$ with charge $Q_{F}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {chiral }}=\prod_{w \in \mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{w(i u)+i Q_{F} u_{F}} \tag{III.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can easily determine the contribution of the zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral multiplets at the North and South poles of $S_{b}^{4}$ depicted in Figure 4 to the intersecting defect partition function (III.18). It is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{Z}_{\text {intersection }}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{b=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left[\left(\Delta_{a b}^{+}+\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{a b}^{+}-\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\Delta_{a b}^{-}+\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)\left(\Delta_{a b}^{-}-\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)\right]^{-1}, \tag{III.24}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Delta_{a b}^{ \pm}=b^{-1}\left(i \sigma_{a}^{(R)} \pm \frac{B_{a}^{(R)}}{2}\right)-b\left(i \sigma_{b}^{(L)} \pm \frac{B_{b}^{(L)}}{2}\right)$ as before. The factors with $\Delta_{a b}^{ \pm}$originate from the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chirals at the North and South pole respectively. The terms in (III.17) proportional to $\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}$ indicate that the $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral multiplets carry charge $\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}$ under the global symmetry $G_{F}$. This should be explained by a zero-dimensional superpotential but we have not worked it out.

Let us conclude this section with a brief discussion of the Jeffrey-Kirwan-like residue prescription [66] used in (III.18). We note that in the absence of the zerodimensional chiral multiplets, our prescription coincides with the standard one in $[43,55,56]$ to close the contour according to the sign of the FI parameter. Let $N=n+n^{\prime}$ denote the total rank of the gauge groups in the quiver depicted in Figure 2, and let $\mathfrak{S}$ be the notation for the combined $N$ integration variables $\left(\sigma^{(R)}, \sigma^{(L)}\right)$. The pole equations of the integrand (III.4) corresponding to the right and left quiver are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{(R)}\left(i \sigma^{(R)}\right)+\ldots=0, \quad w^{(L)}\left(i \sigma^{(L)}\right)+\ldots=0 \tag{III.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w^{(R / L)}$ is any weight of the representations of the chiral multiplets in the respective quiver. Denoting by $\mathfrak{w}$ the collection of combined weights, which take the form $\left(w^{(R)}, 0\right)$ or $\left(0, w^{(L)}\right)$, it can be written as $\mathfrak{w}(i \mathfrak{S})+\ldots=0$. The pole equations of all four factors in the intersection factor (III.17) can be written similarly as [67]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}_{a b}(i \mathfrak{S})+\ldots=b^{-1} i \sigma_{a}^{(R)}-b i \sigma_{b}^{(L)}+\ldots=0 \tag{III.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M5 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| M5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| M2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

TABLE II: Intersection of M2 and M5 branes defining a half-supersymmetric surface operator.


FIG. 8: Quiver description of the $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ surface defects corresponding to the rank $n_{\mathrm{c}}$ antisymmetric and symmetric representations, respectively.
for all $a=1, \ldots, n$ and $b=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$. We collectively denote the charges $\mathfrak{w}$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{a b}$ thus defined by $\mathfrak{W}$. A collection of $N$ linearly independent pole equations, [68] associated to charge vectors $\mathfrak{W}^{I}$ for $I=1, \ldots, N$, define a pole solution $\mathfrak{S}^{\star}$, whose residue we define to be

$$
\mathrm{JK}^{-\operatorname{Res}_{\eta}} F(\mathfrak{S})= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{\star}} F(\mathfrak{S}) & \text { if } \eta \in C\left(\mathfrak{W}^{I=1, \ldots, N}\right)  \tag{III.27}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\eta=\left(\xi^{(R)}, \xi^{(L)}\right)$ is the combined FI parameter understood as an $N$-dimensional vector, and $C\left(\mathfrak{W}^{I=1, \ldots, N}\right)$ is the positive cone spanned by the vectors $\mathfrak{W}^{I}$. Finally, $\operatorname{Res}_{\mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{\star}}$ denotes the usual residue at the pole $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{S}^{\star}$, with a sign determined by the contour.

In this section we have obtained the formula that computes the exact partition function of the intersecting defects in Figure 2 and Figure 4.

## IV. M2-BRANE SURFACE DEFECTS

Despite our very incomplete understanding of Mtheory, it is known that M2-branes can end on a collection of $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$-branes along a surface. When the M5-branes wrap a punctured Riemann surface, the UV-curve, the M2-branes define a half-supersymmetric surface defect in a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory. Under favorable circumstances, this surface defect admits a Lagrangian description in the manner described in the previous section.

The brane configuration that realizes this halfsupersymmetric surface defect is given in Table II. The M2-brane endings on $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ M5-branes are labeled by a representation $\mathcal{R}$ of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$. The M5'-branes are codimension two defects for the M5-branes that encode the flavor symmetries of the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory and that are realized by the punctures on the Riemann surface [24]. [69]

As argued in [26], when $\mathcal{R}$ is the rank $n_{\mathrm{c}}$ antisymmet-


FIG. 9: The $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ quiver gauge theory corresponding to the Young diagram of a given representation $\mathcal{R}$.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M5 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| M5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| M2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| M2 $^{\prime}$ |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

TABLE III: Intersection of M2 and M5 branes defining quarter-supersymmetric intersecting surface defects on the M5-branes.
ric representation, the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory description of the surface defect is given by the first quiver diagram in Figure 8. If $\mathcal{R}$ is the rank $n_{\mathrm{c}}$ symmetric representation, the corresponding $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory is the second quiver diagram in Figure 8. For a representation $\mathcal{R}$ described by a generic Young diagram the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory has the quiver diagram representation given in Figure 9 [70]. The complexified FI parameters for all gauge group factors except the one that couples to the $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ fundamentals and anti-fundamentals must be set to zero.

These two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories can be coupled to a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory by gauging the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ flavor symmetries acting on the $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ fundamental and anti-fundamental chiral multiplets with gauge and/or global symmetries of the four-dimensional theory. The simplest four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory in which to consider these surface operators is the theory of $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ hypermultiplets. This corresponds to compactifying $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$-branes on a trinion with two full and one simple puncture, which makes manifest an $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ flavor symmetry acting on the hypermultiplets, which gets identified via the cubic superpotential (II.3) with the corresponding defect flavor symmetry. For other four-dimensional theories, such as for conformal SQCD with $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ gauge group and $2 n_{\mathrm{f}}$ hypermultiplets or the $\mathcal{N}=2^{*}$ theory, one or both of the defect $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ symmetry factors is gauged with a dynamical bulk gauge field.

A richer class of surface defects on M5-branes can be constructed by letting two sets of M2-branes end on the M5-branes as in Table III. This configuration preserves one-quarter of the supersymmetry and defines intersect-

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NS5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| NS5 $^{\prime}$ | - | - |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| NS5 |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| D4 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| D2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| D2 $^{\prime}$ |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |

TABLE IV: IIA brane realization of intersecting surface defects arising from M-theory brane intersections. See Figure 10 for details on which branes intersect.


FIG. 10: IIA brane realization of intersecting surface defects arising from M-theory brane intersections. See Table IV for brane directions.
ing surface defects on the M5-branes. When the M5branes wrap a punctured Riemann surface, the brane configuration engineers an intersecting surface defect in the corresponding four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory of precisely the kind described in the previous section. The configuration of intersecting M2-branes is now labeled by a pair $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ of representations of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$.

We propose that the field theory description of these intersecting surface defects is precisely the one detailed in the previous section, and encoded in the quiver diagram in Figure 2. For a class of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories, the intersecting defects admit a type IIA brane realization given in Table IV. In these cases, we can deduce the low-energy effective field theory description of the intersecting defect.

As an example, when the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory is that of $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ hypermultiplets, the intersecting defect realized by the M-theory brane array in Table IV has the type IIA description given in Figure 10. The NS5'branes and NS5 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$-branes on which the D2 and D2'-branes end respectively are away from the main stack and give rise to the two-dimensional gauge theories in the quiver in Figure 2. The two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories at $\overline{x^{3}=x^{4}}=0$ and labeled by a representation $\mathcal{R}$ and


FIG. 11: IIA brane diagram for the case of symmetric representations, namely the quiver in Figure 4.
at $x^{1}=x^{2}=0$ and labeled by a representation $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ live on the D2-branes and D2'-branes respectively. The zerodimensional bifundamental $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet arise from quantizing the open strings stretching between the D2 and the D2'-branes. The gaugings and superpotential couplings encoded in the quiver in Figure 2 can be inferred from the brane construction. [71] The intersection degrees of freedom are thus coupled to the two $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories.

The FI parameter $\xi_{\text {FI }}$ corresponding to the $\ell$-th gauge group factor of the right two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ gauge theories is encoded in the separation between the $\ell$ th and $(\ell+1)$-th NS5 ${ }^{\prime}$-brane along the $x^{7}$ coordinate. We take the NS5'-branes to coincide in their location along $x^{7}$. Thus, all the FI parameters for gauge group factors with $\ell \geq 2$ vanish. [72] Similarly, the separation in the $x^{7}$ direction of the NS5 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$-branes encode the FI parameters of the left quiver, all of which vanish for $\ell \geq 2$ when we take the branes to have the same $x^{7}$ coordinate. [73] The complexified FI parameter (I.3) for the innermost gauge group factor for the left and right quiver are non-zero and encode the position of the respective defect on the UV-curve. The case that has the simplest Toda CFT interpretation is when they are opposite, i.e., when [74]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{(L)}=-\xi^{(R)} \tag{IV.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus end up with precisely the QFT encoded in the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram in Figure 2. The brane construction can be easily generalized to other $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories. [75]

The brane picture describing the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram in Figure 4 is given in Figure 11. The right and left two-dimensional theories live on the D2 and D2' respectively. The open strings stretching between the D2 and $\mathrm{D} 2^{\prime}$-branes provide the $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral multiplets. There is a unique FI parameter measuring the distance between the NS5-branes in the $x^{7}$ direction. The brane system readily generalizes to D2 and D2'-branes stretching between any number of parallel NS5-branes, as depicted in Figure 12.


FIG. 12: IIA brane diagram and joint 4d/2d/0d quiver diagram description for multiple M2-brane intersections labeled by symmetric representations ending on $n_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{M} 5$-branes wrapping a trinion with two full and one simple puncture. Each ( $\mathrm{sym}^{n^{\prime}} \square, \operatorname{sym}^{n} \square$ ) M2-brane intersection is encoded as an NS5-brane (parallel to that on which D4-branes end) on which $n^{\prime} \mathrm{D} 2^{\prime}$ and $n \mathrm{D} 2$-branes end. Gauge group ranks in the quiver description are given by the numbers of $\mathrm{D} 2^{\prime}$ and D2-branes stretching in each interval. These ranks decrease: $n_{\nu} \geq \cdots \geq n_{1}$ and $n_{\nu}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq n_{1}^{\prime}$ (otherwise supersymmetry is broken) and their differences give the orders $\left(n^{\prime}, n\right)$ of symmetric representations labeling M2-brane intersections. The FI parameters of gauge group factors are pairwise equal and equal to distances between consecutive NS5-branes. In each 2d theory, cubic superpotentials couple adjoint and bifundamental chiral multiplets. Cubic and quartic superpotentials couple the 4 d and 2 d fields. The intersection features pairs of chiral multiplets corresponding to strings stretching between D2 and D2' in the same interval and Fermi multiplets corresponding to strings stretching between D2 and D2' in neighboring intervals. Apart from the D4-branes the brane setup preserves 0d $\mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ supersymmetry hence the 0 d and 2 d fields that are neutral under the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ flavor symmetry are coupled through quadratic $E$-term and $J$-term superpotentials (see [76]).

## V. LIOUVILLE/TODA DEGENERATE CORRELATORS

It is now time to test in detail our conjectures on the quiver description of intersecting M2-brane surface operators. We give here the precise dictionary between the partition functions computed in section III and Liouville/Toda CFT degenerate correlators. We begin in section V A with the simplest non-trivial case: a Liouville
correlator ( $n_{\mathrm{f}}=2$ ) with two generic, one semi-degenerate, and a degenerate operator labeled by $(\square, \square)$. We move on to Toda CFT in section VB devoted to the quiver in Figure 2, and in section V C to Figure 4. In each case we describe the evidence worked out in the appendices.

## A. Liouville Fundamental Degenerate

We focus here on the setting of $A_{1}$ theories $\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}=2\right)$ for the case of a degenerate operator with Liouville momentum [77] $\alpha=-b \Omega_{\square}-b^{-1} \Omega_{\square}=-\frac{b}{2}-\frac{1}{2 b}=-Q / 2$. The two conjectured quiver descriptions of the intersecting M2-brane surface operators are depicted in Figure 13, as well as the UV-curve. We prove in Appendix A that the two descriptions have equal $S_{b}^{4}$ expectation values and check up to fifth order in vortex expansions that they match a degenerate Liouville correlator. Namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(x, \bar{x}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{2}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{3}}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{A_{1}(x, \bar{x})} Z_{S_{b}^{4}}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {Fermi }}\right]=\frac{1}{A_{2}(x, \bar{x})} Z_{S_{b}^{4}}\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {chirals }}\right] \tag{V.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the prefactors $A_{1}(x, \bar{x})$ and $A_{2}(x, \bar{x})$ given in Appendix A can be associated to ambiguities in the definition of the gauge theory partition function, as explained in [26]. The position $x$ gives the FI and theta parameters through $e^{-2 \pi \xi+i \vartheta}=1 / x$ for the left $U(1)$ of the first quiver and $x$ for all other gauge groups.

We will denote the complexified twisted masses of (anti)fundamental chiral multiplets of the right and left theories by $\left(m_{j}^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right)$ and $\left(m_{s}^{(L)}, \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)$ for the first quiver and $\left(m_{j}, \widetilde{m}_{s}\right)$ and $\left(m_{j}^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}\right)$ for the second. For each quiver the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ superpotentials relate twisted masses of the two 2 d theories as (III.12) and (III.22), and twisted masses of the two quivers are related by Seiberglike duality as we will later see:

$$
\begin{gather*}
b^{-1} i m_{j}^{(R)}=b i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}=b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}-1 / 2\right) \\
=b\left(i m_{j}^{\prime}-1 / 2\right) \quad \text { for } j=1,2  \tag{V.2}\\
b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}=\operatorname{bim}_{s}^{(L)}=b^{-1}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{s}+1 / 2\right)  \tag{V.3}\\
=b\left(i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}+1 / 2\right) \quad \text { for } s=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

Liouville CFT momenta can then be written in terms of twisted masses of any of the four 2 d theories [78],

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1}-\frac{Q}{2} & =\frac{1}{2 b}\left(i m_{1}^{(R)}-i m_{2}^{(R)}\right)=\frac{b}{2}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 b}\left(i m_{1}-i m_{2}\right)=\frac{b}{2}\left(i m_{1}^{\prime}-i m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{V.4a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2}-\frac{Q}{2} & =\frac{1}{2 b}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(R)}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(R)}-i m_{1}^{(R)}-i m_{2}^{(R)}\right) \\
& =\frac{b}{2}\left(i m_{1}^{(L)}+i m_{2}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{2 b}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{1}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}-i m_{1}-i m_{2}\right)  \tag{V.4b}\\
& =b+\frac{b}{2}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{\prime}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{\prime}-i m_{1}^{\prime}-i m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
\alpha_{3}-\frac{Q}{2} & =\frac{1}{2 b}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(R)}\right)=\frac{b}{2}\left(i m_{2}^{(L)}-i m_{1}^{(L)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 b}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{2}-i \widetilde{m}_{1}\right)=\frac{b}{2}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{\prime}-i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{V.4c}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us describe salient aspects of the relation, leaving details for Appendix A. The operator product expansion (OPE) of a generic operator $\hat{V}_{\alpha}$ with the degenerate operator $\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(x, \bar{x}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) \sim \sum_{s_{1}= \pm, s_{2}= \pm}(x \bar{x})^{\Delta\left(\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)-\Delta\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\Delta(-Q / 2)} \\
& \times \hat{C}_{\alpha_{1},-\frac{Q}{2}}^{\alpha_{s_{1}} s_{2}}  \tag{V.5}\\
&\left(\hat{V}_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}(0)+\cdots\right), \quad(\mathrm{V} .5)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}=\alpha_{1}+s_{1} b / 2+s_{2} /(2 b)$, the structure constants $\hat{C}_{\alpha,-Q / 2}^{\alpha \pm b / 2 \pm 1 /(2 b)}$ are known and the $\cdots$ denotes Vi rasoro descendant fields multiplied by powers of $x$ or $\bar{x}$. In the limit $x \rightarrow 0$ the Liouville correlator (V.1) thus admits an s-channel decomposition as a sum of four terms with leading powers of $x \bar{x}$ equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(\alpha_{1}+s_{1} b / 2+s_{2} /(2 b)\right)-\Delta\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\Delta(-Q / 2) \\
& \quad=Q^{2} / 2-\left(\alpha_{1}-Q / 2\right)\left(s_{1} b+s_{2} b^{-1}\right)+\left(1-s_{1} s_{2}\right) / 2 \tag{V.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Correspondingly, each of the two gauge theory partition functions can be written as a sum of contributions from four Higgs branches in this limit.

In the first quiver, $x \rightarrow 0$ is the limit of large positive FI parameter for the right $U(1)$ and negative FI parameter for the left $U(1)$ and Higgs branches are located at $\sigma^{(R)}=m_{j}^{(R)}$ and $\sigma^{(L)}=\widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}$ for $j, k=1,2$. The leading power of $(x \bar{x})$ of the $(j, k)$ Higgs branch contribution is $i \sigma^{(R)}-i \sigma^{(L)}=i m_{j}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}$ with a sign due to the FI parameter of the left theory being opposite to that of the right theory. In fact, for $j=k$ the 0 d Fermi multiplet contribution makes zero-vortex terms in the series vanish, so that the leading power of $(x \bar{x})$ is $i m_{j}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}+1$ instead. The partition function thus takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2}(x \bar{x})^{i m_{j}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}+\delta_{j k}}(\text { series in } x)(\text { series in } \bar{x}) . \tag{V.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identification (V.4) of momenta with twisted masses ensures that the four gauge theory exponents match the Liouville ones up to the prefactor $A_{1}(x, \bar{x})$. In particular the shift by $\delta_{j k}$ due to the 0 d Fermi multiplet reflects the


FIG. 13: The left quiver (denoted $\mathcal{T}_{\text {Fermi }}$ in the text due to its 0 d matter content) shows the worldvolume theory of two intersecting surface defects, both labeled by the fundamental representation of $A_{1}$, coupled to the four-dimensional theory of four free hypermultiplets and to a Fermi multiplet on their intersection. The coupling introduces cubic superpotentials. In the middle, the corresponding UV-curve is depicted: it features three punctures • and an additional marked point corresponding to the defect and labeled by its defining representations. In the AGT correspondence, the latter corresponds to the insertion of a degenerate vertex operator with the indicated momentum in Liouville theory. The right quiver ( $\mathcal{T}_{\text {chirals }}$ ) depicts a dual realization of the same intersecting defect, in which the intersection features a pair of bifundamental chiral multiplets. Note that the free $U(1)$ adjoint chiral multiplets have been omitted in the latter quiver.
term $\left(1-s_{1} s_{2}\right) / 2$ in (V.6).
In the second quiver, $x \rightarrow 0$ is the limit of large positive FI parameters and the Higgs branches are located at $\sigma=m_{j}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=m_{k}^{\prime}$. The 0d chiral multiplet contribution (III.24) has poles that induce additional terms, in effect decreasing the leading power of $(x \bar{x})$ by 1 for terms with $j=k$. The partition function takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2}(x \bar{x})^{i m_{j}+i m_{k}^{\prime}-\delta_{j k}}(\text { series in } x)(\text { series in } \bar{x}) . \tag{V.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, gauge theory and Liouville exponents match. The shift of the exponent by $\delta_{j k}$ has opposite signs in the first and second quivers, which may seem inconsistent. However, Liouville CFT internal momenta $\alpha_{1} \pm b / 2 \pm$ $1 /(2 b)$ are identified with different terms $(j, k)$ for the two quivers: $k=1$ and $k=2$ are interchanged. The two quivers are in fact related by a Seiberg-like duality of the left 2d theory and we leverage this observation in the appendix to prove that their partition functions are equal.

The Liouville correlator of interest to us has been worked out in [79] by solving the fourth order differential equation associated with the degenerate puncture. The leading coefficients in (V.8) reproduce expected Liouville three-point functions and we checked up to fifth order that vortex partition functions of the intersecting surface defects coincide with conformal blocks. We performed the same checks in the limit $x \rightarrow \infty$ were the relevant OPE is that of $\hat{V}_{-Q / 2}$ with $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{3}}$.

Pleasingly, the dictionary has all the expected symmetries.

- Exchanging the flavors $\left(m_{1}^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}, m_{1}, m_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leftrightarrow$ $\left(m_{2}^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}, m_{2}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ corresponds to mapping $\alpha_{1} \rightarrow$ $Q-\alpha_{1}$, which leaves the normalized vertex operator $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}$ invariant. Similarly $\left(\widetilde{m}_{1}^{(R)}, m_{1}^{(L)}, \widetilde{m}_{1}, \widetilde{m}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leftrightarrow$ $\left(\widetilde{m}_{2}^{(R)}, m_{2}^{(L)}, \widetilde{m}_{2}, \widetilde{m}_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\alpha_{3} \rightarrow Q-\alpha_{3}$.
- The conformal map $x \rightarrow 1 / x$ which exchanges $\alpha_{1} \leftrightarrow \alpha_{3}$ corresponds to charge conjugation for all gauge group, which exchanges fundamental and antifundamental chiral multiplets, changing their signs as well as those of FI and theta parameters. The conformal factor $(x \bar{x})^{2 \Delta(-Q / 2)}$ coincides with a change in $A_{1}(x, \bar{x})$ and $A_{2}(x, \bar{x})$.
- For each quiver, the $b \rightarrow 1 / b$ symmetry of the Liouville correlator exchanges the two two-dimensional theories (up to charge conjugation for the case of the first quiver).

For $b=1$ the $\hat{V}_{-Q / 2}$ degenerate operator coincides with $\hat{V}_{-b}$ already studied in [26] and the partition functions reduce to that of a single two-dimensional theory coupled to the four-dimensional free hypermultiplets. More precisely, up to a shift of theta angles by $\pi$, the 0d Fermi multiplet contribution in the first quiver can be written for $b=1$ as the one-loop determinant of a pair of bifundamental 2 d chiral multiplets of $\mathcal{R}$-charge 2 :

$$
\begin{gather*}
(-1)^{B^{(R)}+B^{(L)}} \prod_{ \pm} \pm\left(i \sigma^{(R)} \pm B^{(R)} / 2-i \sigma^{(L)} \mp B^{(L)} / 2\right) \\
=\frac{\Gamma\left(1+i \sigma^{(R)}+B^{(R)} / 2-i \sigma^{(L)}-B^{(L)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(-i \sigma^{(R)}+B^{(R)} / 2+i \sigma^{(L)}-B^{(L)} / 2\right)} \\
\quad \times \frac{\Gamma\left(1-i \sigma^{(R)}-B^{(R)} / 2+i \sigma^{(L)}+B^{(L)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(i \sigma^{(R)}-B^{(R)} / 2-i \sigma^{(L)}+B^{(L)} / 2\right)} \tag{V.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

As depicted in Figure 14, the partition function is thus equal to that of a single $2 \mathrm{~d} U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge theory (coupled to free hypermultiplets), which is itself equivalent under a Seiberg-like duality to the quiver corresponding to $\hat{V}_{-b}$ in [26]. Importantly the bifundamental 2d chiral multiplets in the last quiver have $\mathcal{R}$-charge $-1=-b^{2}$. A $U(2)$ gauge theory description of $\hat{V}_{-b}$ in [26] matches the second quiver for $b=1$ (ignoring free chiral multiplets), as depicted in Figure 14. There, the adjoint chiral multi-


FIG. 14: First row: the partition function of the first intersecting surface defect coincides for $b=1$ with that of a single surface defect, Seiberg-dual to the one expected from [26]. Second row: likewise, the partition function of the second intersecting surface defect reduces for $b=1$ to that of a single surface defect.
plet has $\mathcal{R}$-charge $-2=-2 b^{2}$. Indeed, its one-loop determinant combines with the $U(2)$ vector multiplet one-loop determinant to give the 0d chiral multiplet contribution of the intersecting defects.

As we will see in the next section in a more general setting, the identification of the first quiver with a Liouville correlator still holds if the FI and theta parameters of the two gauge groups are taken to be arbitrary rather than opposite. The partition function then matches a fivepoint function with two degenerate operators $\hat{V}_{-b / 2}(x, \bar{x})$ and $\hat{V}_{-1 /(2 b)}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)$ and the three generic $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{i}}$. The FI and theta parameters are given by $\exp \left(-2 \pi \xi^{(R)}+i \vartheta^{(R)}\right)=x$ and $\exp \left(-2 \pi \xi^{(L)}+i \vartheta^{(L)}\right)=1 / x^{\prime}$ and other parameters are unchanged. The quiver with 0d chiral multiplets does not have the same property: making FI parameters distinct does not reproduce the Liouville five-point function. This is not surprising, both in view of the $b=1$ case where the surface defect reduces to one with a single gauge group, and in view of the IIA realization where D2 and D2'-branes stretch between the same pair of NS5branes.

We now move on to arbitrary intersecting defects for any number of flavors $n_{\mathrm{f}}$.

## B. Quiver with Od Fermi Multiplet

This section presents the quiver description of intersecting defects corresponding to an arbitrary set of Toda CFT degenerate operators. We focus on degenerate operators labeled by antisymmetric representations, because all degenerate operators can be obtained as the dominant term in the OPE of such degenerate operators (see page 16). Besides comparing leading terms in several channels as in the last section, we prove in Appendix B that some braiding matrices coincide.

The main statement is

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {Fermi }}\right]=A_{1}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\left\langle\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}(\infty) \hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}(0)\right. \\
& \left.\times \prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu} \hat{V}_{-b \omega_{K_{\iota}}}\left(x_{\iota}, \bar{x}_{\iota}\right) \prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu^{\prime}} \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\iota}^{\prime}}}\left(x_{\iota}^{\prime}, \bar{x}_{\iota}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \tag{V.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The left-hand side is the expectation value of the intersecting surface defect of Figure 2 in the theory of $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ free 4d hypermultiplets: a $U\left(n_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(n_{\nu}\right)$ gauge theory on $S_{b}^{2}$, a $U\left(n_{1}^{\prime}\right) \times \cdots \times U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ gauge theory on $S_{1 / b}^{2}$, and on their intersection a single $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ Fermi multiplet in the bifundamental representation of $U\left(n_{\nu}\right) \times U\left(n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathcal{R}$-charge zero. Couplings are explained in previous sections.

The right-hand side [80] is a Toda CFT correlator of two generic vertex operators at $\infty$ and 0 , one semidegenerate at 1 , and $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ degenerates at $x_{\iota}$ and $x_{\iota}^{\prime}$. Vertex operators $\hat{V}_{\alpha}$ are labeled by their momentum $\alpha$, a linear combination of the weights $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n_{\mathrm{f}}}$ of the fundamental representation of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ (the $h_{i}$ sum to 0 ). We normalize the generic vertex operators $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}$ and $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}$ such that they are invariant under $\alpha \rightarrow 2 Q-\alpha$ and under the Weyl group, which permutes components of $\alpha-Q$, where $Q=\left(b+b^{-1}\right) \rho$ with $\rho$ the half-sum of positive roots. [81] The degenerate operators have momenta $-b \omega_{K}$ proportional to the highest weight $\omega_{K}=h_{1}+\cdots+h_{K}$ of the $K$-th antisymmetric representation, and $-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-K^{\prime}}$ the conjugate of the $K^{\prime}$-th antisymmetric representation.

In short, the dictionary is that mass parameters of the $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ flavor symmetry are encoded in $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{\infty}$ and $\lambda$ respectively, complexified FI parameters give positions of degenerate punctures, and gauge group ranks determine the antisymmetric representations.

We find $K_{\kappa}=n_{\kappa}-n_{\kappa-1}$ and $K_{\kappa}^{\prime}=n_{\kappa}^{\prime}-n_{\kappa-1}^{\prime}$ (and $K_{1}=n_{1}$ and $\left.K_{1}^{\prime}=n_{1}^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\kappa}=\prod_{\iota=\kappa}^{\nu} \hat{z}_{\iota} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{\kappa}^{\prime}=\prod_{\iota=\kappa}^{\nu^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\hat{z}_{\iota}^{\prime}} . \tag{V.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\quad \hat{z}_{\nu}=(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{f}}+n_{\nu-1}-n_{\nu}+1} z_{\nu} \quad$ and $\quad \hat{z}_{\iota} \quad=$ $(-1)^{n_{\iota+1}+n_{\iota-1}} z_{\iota}$ for $1 \leq \iota \leq \nu-1$ in terms of $z_{\kappa}=e^{-2 \pi \xi_{\kappa}+i \vartheta_{\kappa}}$ and similarly for $\hat{z}^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime}$.

In quiver conventions, we recall that twisted masses m and $\mathcal{R}$-charges of (anti)fundamental chiral multiplets combine as $i m_{j}^{(R)}=i \mathrm{~m}_{j}^{(R)} / \ell+\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}\left[q_{j}^{(R)}\right] / 2$ and $i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}=i \widetilde{\mathrm{~m}}_{s}^{(R)} / \ell-\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)}\left[\widetilde{q}_{s}^{(R)}\right] / 2$ for the right theory and $i m_{s}^{(L)}=i \mathrm{~m}_{s}^{(L)} / \tilde{\ell}+\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}\left[q_{s}^{(L)}\right] / 2$ and $i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}=i \widetilde{\mathrm{~m}}_{j}^{(L)} / \tilde{\ell}-$ $\mathcal{R}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)}\left[\widetilde{q}_{j}^{(L)}\right] / 2$ for the left one. As explained in section III, two-dimensional masses are related by (III.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)}=b \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)} \quad \text { and } \quad b^{-1} \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}=b m_{s}^{(L)} \tag{V.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and four-dimensional hypermultiplets have masses $M_{j s}=$ $\frac{b-b^{-1}}{2 i}+b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)}-b^{-1} \tilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}$. In the theory on the
right, bifundamental chiral multiplets have $i m_{\text {bif }}^{(R)}=b^{2} / 2$ namely $\mathcal{R}$-charge $-b^{2}$ and adjoint chiral multiplets have $i m_{\text {adj }}^{(R)}=-1-b^{2}$ namely $\mathcal{R}$-charge $2+2 b^{2}$. Similarly, $i m_{\text {bif }}^{(L)}=b^{-2} / 2$ and $i m_{\text {adj }}^{(L)}=-1-b^{-2}$.

The $S\left[U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)\right]$ mass parameters correspond to Toda CFT momenta as [82]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{0}-Q=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i m_{j}^{(R)} h_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)} h_{j}  \tag{V.13}\\
\alpha_{\infty}-Q=-\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)} h_{s}=-\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b i m_{s}^{(L)} h_{s}  \tag{V.14}\\
\lambda-\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2} n_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(n_{\nu}-\frac{n_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}\right) b+\left(\frac{n_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}-n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) b^{-1}  \tag{V.15}\\
\\
+\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i m_{j}^{(R)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

We can immediately perform simple consistency checks.

- Permutations of flavors $1 \leq j \leq n_{\mathrm{f}}$ permute components of $\alpha_{0}-Q$ namely perform a Weyl reflection of this momentum; this leaves the normalized vertex operator $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}$ invariant. Similarly, permutations of flavors $1 \leq s \leq n_{\mathrm{f}}$ leave $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}$ invariant.
- The conformal map $x \rightarrow 1 / x$ exchanging $0 \leftrightarrow$ $\infty$ corresponds on the gauge theory side to conjugating charges of every gauge group. The change in $A_{1}$ precisely cancels the conformal factor $\prod_{\kappa=1}^{\nu}\left|x_{\kappa}\right|^{4 \Delta\left(-b \omega_{K_{\kappa}}\right)} \prod_{\kappa=1}^{\nu^{\prime}}\left|x_{\kappa}^{\prime}\right|^{4 \Delta\left(-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\kappa}^{\prime}}\right)}$.
- If we set $n_{1}=0$ then $A_{1}$, given explicitly in (C.1) is independent of $x_{1}$; similarly, $x_{1}^{\prime}$ factors disappear when setting $n_{1}^{\prime}=0$. The matching also reproduces results of [26] for $\nu^{\prime}=0$ (or $\nu=0$ ) namely for a single 2 d theory. In that case, conjugating all Toda CFT momenta ( $\omega_{K}^{C}=\omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-K}$ ) is known to correspond to a sequence of $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ Seiberg dualities. Unfortunately, for intersecting defects it is not known how 0d matter behaves under Seiberg dualities.
- Combining $x \rightarrow 1 / x, b \rightarrow b^{-1}$, Toda CFT conjugation and Weyl reflections give rise to a symmetry of the gauge theory setup: the two 2d theories are interchanged. To see this it is useful to note that the conjugate of $\lambda \omega_{1}$ is $\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\left(b+b^{-1}\right)-\lambda\right) \omega_{1}$ up to a Weyl reflection.
- For $b=1$ there is no distinction between degenerate operators with momenta $-b \omega_{K}$ and $-b^{-1} \omega_{K}$. As in the Liouville case from the previous section, the equality (V.10) reduces to (a Seiberg dual of) the matching for a single 2d theory with $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ gauge
groups corresponding to $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ antisymmetric degenerate operators.

While we have found the dictionary and the prefactors by comparing expansions of the sphere partition function and the Toda correlator in several limits $\hat{z}_{\iota} \rightarrow 0,1, \infty$ and $\hat{z}_{\kappa}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0,1, \infty$, we only write details explicitly for $\nu=\nu^{\prime}=1($ see Appendix B).

A major piece of evidence in this case is that braiding matrices relating conformal blocks in different Toda CFT channels (different operator product expansions) match the analogous matrices in gauge theory. This is proven schematically as follows. The 0d Fermi contribution is recast as a differential operator acting on the product of (a generalization of) partition functions of the left and right 2d theories. Braiding (analytically continuing) $x$ around 1 commutes with this differential operator, thus the braiding matrix coincide with that of the right 2 d theory in isolation, itself known to coincide with the Toda CFT braiding matrix. More precisely, the presence of an additional degenerate vertex operator shifts momenta slightly, and this translates in gauge theory to a different normalization.

To conclude this section, we determine the dominant term in the OPE of degenerate vertex operators. [83] The OPE of two degenerate vertex operators $\hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \Omega_{i}^{\prime}-b \Omega_{i}}$ labeled by $\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}_{2}\right)$ is known to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{V}_{\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \bar{x}_{1}\right) \hat{V}_{\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}_{2}\right)}\left(x_{2}, \bar{x}_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|^{2\left[\Delta\left(-\Omega^{\prime} / b-b \Omega\right)-\Delta\left(-\Omega_{1}^{\prime} / b-b \Omega_{1}\right)-\Delta\left(-\Omega_{2}^{\prime} / b-b \Omega_{2}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad \times \hat{C}_{\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)}^{\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}\right),\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}_{2}\right)}\left(\hat{V}_{\left(\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{R}\right)}+\cdots\right) \tag{V.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega^{\prime}$ and $\Omega$ are highest weights of $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ and the sum ranges over irreducible representations $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2}$. The dominant term in this OPE is that with the most negative $\Delta\left(-b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}-b \Omega\right)$, and we will see that it is given by the highest weights $\Omega=\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega_{1}^{\prime}+\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$ of the tensor products. Highest weights $\Omega$ that we sum over are in particular weights of $\mathcal{R}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2}$ hence take the form
$\Omega=\Omega_{1}+\Omega_{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}-1} k_{i}\left(h_{i}-h_{i+1}\right) \quad$ for integers $k_{i} \geq 0$
where $h_{i}-h_{i+1}$ are the simple roots. They must also be dominant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle h_{i}-h_{i+1}, \Omega\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq i<n_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{V.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The highest weight $\Omega^{\prime}$ is parametrized similarly by integers $k_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0$. We prove that $\Delta\left(-b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}-b \Omega\right)$ is minimal for $k_{i}=0$ and $k_{i}^{\prime}=0$ by allowing real $k_{i}, k_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0$ and
showing derivatives are positive in the region (V.18):

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{k_{i}} & \Delta\left(-b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}-b \Omega\right) \\
& =\left\langle\partial_{k_{i}}\left(-b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}-b \Omega\right), Q+b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}+b \Omega\right\rangle  \tag{V.19}\\
& =\left\langle h_{i}-h_{i+1}, Q+b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}+b \Omega\right\rangle \geq b+b^{-1} \tag{V.20}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude by noting that the space carved out by (V.18) is convex.

From the pairwise OPE of degenerate vertex operators we deduce that the dominant term in the OPE of any number of degenerate vertex operators has a momentum equal to the sum of all momenta. Given that any weight is a sum of fundamental weights $\omega_{K}$, any vertex operator is the dominant term in the OPE of some set of antisymmetric degenerate vertex operators. Explicitly in the case where we fuse all $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ degenerate operators,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu} \hat{V}_{-b \omega_{K_{\iota}}}\left(x_{\iota}, \bar{x}_{\iota}\right) \prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu^{\prime}} \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\iota}^{\prime}}}\left(x_{\iota}^{\prime}, \bar{x}_{\iota}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=a\left(\left\{x_{\iota}, x_{\iota}^{\prime}\right\}\right) a\left(\left\{\bar{x}_{\iota}, \bar{x}_{\iota}^{\prime}\right\}\right) \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}-b \Omega}(x)+\cdots \tag{V.21}
\end{align*}
$$

as $x_{\iota}, x_{\iota}^{\prime} \rightarrow x$ (we suppressed subleading terms), where the prefactor $a$ consists of powers of position differences (the three-point functions turn out to be 1 ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\sum_{\iota=1}^{\nu} \omega_{K_{\iota}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega^{\prime}=\sum_{\iota=1}^{\nu^{\prime}} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\iota}^{\prime}} \tag{V.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Young diagram associated to $\Omega$ has $\nu$ columns with $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{\nu}$ boxes in some order. The Young diagram associated to $\Omega^{\prime}$ has columns with $n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\iota}^{\prime}$ boxes, or equivalently the conjugate representation has a Young diagram with $K_{\iota}^{\prime}$-box columns.

Translating to gauge theory, the fusion limit corresponds to $\hat{z}_{\nu}=x, \hat{z}_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}=1 / x$ and all other $\hat{z}_{\iota}=\hat{z}_{\kappa}^{\prime}=1$. Selecting the leading term in the OPE corresponds to ignoring vacua that go to infinity along the Coulomb branch when setting FI parameters to 0 .

In the case depicted in the introduction, namely $K_{1} \leq$ $\cdots \leq K_{\nu}$ and $K_{1}^{\prime} \leq \cdots \leq K_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, many factors in the prefactors $A_{1}$ and $a$ cancel. The limit $x_{\kappa} \rightarrow x$ and $x_{\kappa}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $x^{\prime}$ is then smooth, and in the limit $x^{\prime} \rightarrow x$ the partition function behaves as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \sim\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2 \sum_{\iota=1}^{\nu^{\prime}} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\nu} \max \left(0, K_{\iota}^{\prime}+K_{\kappa}-n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} A_{1}(x ; \bar{x}) \\
& \times\left\langle\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}(\infty) \hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}(0) \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \Omega^{\prime}-b \Omega}(x, \bar{x})\right\rangle . \quad(\mathrm{V} .23) \tag{V.23}
\end{align*}
$$

While the simplicity of the factor is convenient for calculations, and in particular allows to write an explicit formula for the Toda CFT four-point function, one should remember that the prefactors depend on the renormalization scheme.

## C. Quiver with 0d Chiral Multiplets

We give in this section a dual quiver description of the intersecting defect labeled by a pair of symmetric representations. The main statement is

$$
\begin{align*}
Z\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {chirals }}\right]= & A_{2}(x ; \bar{x})\left\langle\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}(\infty) \hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}}(1)\right. \\
& \left.\times \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}(0) \hat{V}_{-\left(n^{\prime} b^{-1}+n b\right) \omega_{1}}(x, \bar{x})\right\rangle \tag{V.24}
\end{align*}
$$

The left-hand side is the expectation value, in the theory of $n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}$ free hypermultiplets on $S_{b}^{4}$, of the intersecting surface defect of Figure 4 described by a $U(n)$ theory on one two-sphere and a $U\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ theory on the other, coupled through a pair of bifundamental 0d chiral multiplets on their intersection. Both the $U(n)$ and the $U\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ theories have one adjoint, $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ fundamental and $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ antifundamental chiral multiplets. Twisted masses obey

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}-1 / 2\right)=b\left(i m_{j}^{\prime}-1 / 2\right)  \tag{V.25}\\
& b^{-1}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{s}+1 / 2\right)=b\left(i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}+1 / 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

due to cubic superpotential couplings with the free 4 d hypermultiplets. Adjoint chiral multiplets of the $U(n)$ and $U\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ theories have $\mathcal{R}$-charges $-2 b^{2}$ and $-2 / b^{2}$ respectively due to $0 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ superpotential terms. The two theories have equal FI and theta parameters.

The prefactor $A_{2}$ given in (C.10) is as before an ambiguity of the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function, and the Toda CFT correlator features two generic and one semi-degenerate operators. The degenerate vertex operator is labeled by the $n^{\prime}$-th and the $n$-th symmetric representations of $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ and placed at $x=(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} e^{-2 \pi \xi+i \vartheta}$. Momenta encode twisted masses as follows: [84]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}-Q=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i m_{j} h_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b i m_{j}^{\prime} h_{j}  \tag{V.26}\\
& \alpha_{\infty}-Q=-\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{s} h_{s}=-\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime} h_{s}  \tag{V.27}\\
& \lambda-\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2} n_{\mathrm{f}}  \tag{V.28}\\
& =\left(n-\frac{n_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}\right) b+\left(n^{\prime}+\frac{n_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{b} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i \widetilde{m}_{s}-\frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i m_{j} \\
& =\left(n+\frac{n_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}\right) b+\left(n^{\prime}-\frac{n_{\mathrm{f}}}{2}\right) \frac{1}{b}+\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b i m_{j}^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

Contrarily to the previous section, the two 2 d theories must share the same FI and theta parameters for the partition function to coincide with a Toda CFT correlator. In the IIA brane construction, this is understood by noting that all D2 and D2'-branes stretch between a single pair of NS5-branes, whose separation gives a single FI parameter.

We can immediately perform consistency checks simi-
lar to the previous conjecture.

- For $n_{\mathrm{f}}=2$ and $n=n^{\prime}=1$ this reduces to the Liouville matching we discussed earlier.
- Permutations of flavors correspond to Weyl reflections of momenta.
- The conformal map $x \rightarrow 1 / x$ corresponds to conjugating gauge theory charges.
- For $n=0$ or $n^{\prime}=0$ the matching reduces to previously known results of [26].
- A combination of $b \rightarrow b^{-1}$ and Weyl reflections exchanges the two 2d theories.
- For $b=1$ the partition function is equal to that of a single 2 d theory with gauge group $U\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)$ and one adjoint, $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ fundamental and $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ antifundamental chiral multiplets.
- For the cases where $n_{\mathrm{f}}=2,3,4, n=n^{\prime}=1$ in the quivers with 0 d chiral, and $n^{R}=1, n^{L}=n_{\mathrm{f}}-1$ in the quivers with 0d Fermi multiplets, we checked up to second order in $x$ that the partition functions of two types of quivers agree.

In the limits $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$ both the partition function and the Toda CFT correlator decompose into a sum of $\binom{n_{\mathrm{f}}+n-1}{n}\binom{n_{\mathrm{f}}+n^{\prime}-1}{n^{\prime}}$ terms. For each of these terms the leading coefficient and leading exponent of $x \bar{x}$ can be compared.

A detailed discussion of constructing these intersecting surface operators from vortices will appear elsewhere [85].

## VI. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have initiated the study of intersecting surface operators in four-dimensional QFTs. When intersecting at a point, these can be constructed by coupling together $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ degrees of freedom by gauging the global symmetries of defect fields with symmetries acting on higher-dimensional fields. In the context of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry, we have shown how to couple the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ degrees of freedom so as to preserve two supercharges. We have shown that these surface operators are amenable to supersymmetric localization on the $\Omega$-background and the squashed four-sphere.

We have also identified a class of intersecting surface operators that describe M2-brane surface operators ending on a collection of M5-branes wrapping a punctured Riemann surface. It is this class of intersecting surface operators whose squashed four-sphere partition function we conjecturally relate to correlation functions in Toda CFT in the presence of a general degenerate vertex operator. We have provided rather non-trivial quantitative evidence of this connection by showing that the squashed four-sphere partition function of an intersecting defect in
the theory of hypermultiplets matches in detail the correlation function in Toda/Liouville CFT.

The explicit computation of the expectation value of our intersecting defects in a general four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory becomes more challenging, as the four-dimensional instanton equations are modified by the pair of two-dimensional and the zero-dimensional degrees of freedom. The explicit $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram realizing the intersecting surface operator gives a definition of the allowed gauge field singularities along the two $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ 's and of how these singularities merge at the origin, where the zero-dimensional fields are inserted. The partition function of an intersecting defect obtained by coupling zero-dimensional theories to two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theories and in turn to a four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory takes the following form, with $G^{(L),(R)}$ denoting the total gauge groups of the two 2d theories, [86]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathrm{d} a \sum_{B^{(L)}} \sum_{B^{(R)}} \int_{\mathrm{JK}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma^{(L)}}{(2 \pi)^{\operatorname{rank} G^{(L)}}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(R)}}{(2 \pi)^{\operatorname{rank} G^{(R)}}} \\
& \times Z_{S_{b}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, a\right) Z_{S_{b}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}, a\right) Z_{S_{b}^{4}}(a) \\
& \quad \times Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {intersection }}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left|Z_{\text {instanton }}\left(a, \sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right)\right|^{2} . \tag{VI.1}
\end{align*}
$$

There are new ingredients in addition to those appearing in the analysis in section III, where the formulas for $Z_{S_{b}^{2}}\left(\sigma^{(L / R)}, B^{(L / R)}, a\right)$ and $Z_{0 \mathrm{~d}}^{\text {intersection }}\left(\sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(R)}, B^{(R)}\right)$ can be found. For a general four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theory we must also localize the four-dimensional gauge dynamics, which results in an integral over the vector multiplet scalar zero mode $a$ in (VI.1), where $a$ takes values in the Cartan of the four-dimensional gauge group. $Z_{S_{b}^{4}}(a)$ is the familiar classical and one-loop factor in the computation of the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function [22, 23]. In this more general case, the masses of the innermost chiral multiplets in the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver diagram can be fixed in terms of the four-dimensional Coulomb branch parameter $a$ by the localized superpotential. $Z_{\text {instanton }}\left(a, \sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}, \sigma^{(L)}, B^{(L)}\right) \quad$ is the instanton partition function of the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ theory in the $\Omega$ background. It can be computed by an ADHM-like matrix integral, which computes the equivariant volume of the instanton moduli space in the presence of the codimension two singularities induced by the two-dimensional fields and codimension four singularities induced by zero-dimensional fields. The ADHM matrix model has new additional fields in the presence of defect fields (see [87]). The extra fields in the ADHM matrix model arise from the two-dimensional fields that couple directly to the four-dimensional gauge group, that is the innermost chiral multiplets. [88] It would be interesting to explicitly compute the partition function of our intersecting defects for gauge theories such as SQCD. For the computation of instanton calculus in


FIG. 15: Intersecting Levi-type defects supported on planes $\mathbb{R}_{12}^{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{34}^{2}$. The gauge group $G$ is broken to $L_{1}$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}_{12}^{2}$ and to $L_{2}$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}_{34}^{2}$.
the $\Omega$-background for the theory living on stacks of intersecting D3-branes see [89].

We proposed that the partition function of our intersecting defects in gauge theories computes the correlation function in Toda CFT in the presence of a degenerate vertex operator. In this dictionary, the expansion of the CFT correlator in conformal blocks is obtained after integrating over the partition function of the two-dimensional and zero-dimensional fields. This is a rather non-trivial prediction that stems from our analysis.

Our discussion of intersecting defects can be applied to surface operators of Levi-type, where the fourdimensional gauge group $G$ is broken at a surface to a Levi subgroup $L$ of $G$ [2]. These are naturally associated to surface operators engineered by M5-branes instead of M2-branes [90]. Our 4d/2d/0d field theory construction allows a more general possibility. We can consider a fourdimensional theory where the gauge group $G$ is broken to $L_{1}$ in the plane $x^{3}=x^{4}=0$ and to $L_{2}$ in the plane $x^{1}=x^{2}=0$, see Figure 15. These two singularities are then glued at the origin, in a way determined by the zero-dimensional fields supported there. An interesting example to consider using our formalism is an intersecting surface defect in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2 S U(N)$ super-Yang-Mills characterized by a pair of Levi groups $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$. Using that one can associate to each Levi group a canonical two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory (see e.g., $[\underline{2}, \underline{50}, \underline{91}]$ ), we can consider as an example of such an intersecting defect the quiver diagram in Figure 16.

It is expected that for the choice of Levi groups $\left(L_{1}, G\right)$ obtained by coupling just one two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory the partition function of the theory computes a correlation function in $W_{\rho}$ Toda CFT, where $\rho$ is the partition of $N$ associated to the Levi group $L_{1}$ [3] (see also, e.g., $[90,92-101])$. It would be interesting to find a two-dimensional CFT interpretation of the partition function of intersecting surface defects with Levi groups $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$ obtained by coupling, as we did in this paper, two two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ and a zero-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theory to each other and to the bulk.

The discussion of intersecting surface defects inserted in four-dimensional quantum field theories can be straightforwardly generalized to codimension two defects in five-dimensional theories. Trivially uplifting all dimensions by one unit, we expect our results to be relevant for
the study of the five-dimensional AGT correspondence [102-104] as well as for the work in [105, 106].

The vacuum expectation value of intersecting surface defects labeled by symmetric representations on the foursphere ( or $S^{4} \times S^{1}$ or $S^{5}$ ) can be obtained alternatively via a Higgsing procedure [87, 106-108] or, equivalently, from a Higgs branch localization computation [109-111]. [112] This computation heavily relies on massaging the instanton partition function and agrees with our proposal in this paper. It will be presented elsewhere [85].
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## Appendix A: Liouville Fundamental Degenerate

In section VA we wrote (V.1) relating the partition functions of two $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver gauge theories with 2 d gauge groups $U(1)$ and $U(1)$ and a Liouville four-point function with three generic vertex operators and one degenerate vertex operator of momentum $\alpha=-b \Omega_{\square}-$ $b^{-1} \Omega_{\square}=-\frac{b}{2}-\frac{1}{2 b}=-Q / 2$. In this appendix we first discuss the Liouville correlator then match it to a partition function involving a 0d Fermi multiplet then to one involving a 0d chiral multiplet, and conclude with a proof that the two partition functions are equal up to some factors (in Appendix A 6).

## 1. The Liouville Correlator

Let us start by writing down the Liouville correlation function we aim at reproducing from the gauge theory point of view:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(z, \bar{z}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{2}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{3}}(\infty)\right\rangle \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 16: An example of a $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ quiver gauge description of intersecting Levi-type surface defects inserted in pure $\mathcal{N}=2 S U(N)$ super-Yang-Mills. The Levi subgroup $L_{1}$ is determined by a non-decreasing partition of $N$, i.e., $N=K_{1}+K_{2}+\ldots K_{n}$ and $K_{i} \leq K_{i+1}$. The ranks of the gauge groups are then $N_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} K_{i}$. The ranks $N_{j}^{\prime}$ are similarly determined in terms of the data encoded in $L_{2}$. Other choices for the $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theory are possible.

It involves one degenerate vertex operator $\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}$ with $\mathrm{Li}-$ ouville momentum $-\frac{1}{2}\left(b+b^{-1}\right)=-\frac{Q}{2}$, and three generic vertex operators $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{i}}, i=1,2,3$. Here the hats indicate that we normalized the operators as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}} & =N_{\text {deg. }}^{\left(-\frac{Q}{2}\right)} V_{-\frac{Q}{2}}, \quad \hat{V}_{\alpha_{i}}=N^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} V_{\alpha_{i}},  \tag{A.2a}\\
N_{\text {deg. }}^{\left(-\frac{Q}{2}\right)} & =\left(\pi \mu \gamma\left(b^{2}\right) b^{2-2 b^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{Q}{2 b}}  \tag{A.2b}\\
N^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} & =\left(\pi \mu \gamma\left(b^{2}\right) b^{2-2 b^{2}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha_{i}-Q / 3}{b}} /\left(\Upsilon_{b}^{\prime}(0)^{\frac{1}{3}} \Upsilon_{b}\left(2 \alpha_{i}\right)\right), \tag{A.2c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the cosmological constant, $\gamma(x)=\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(1-x)}$ and $\Upsilon^{\prime}(0)$ is the derivative of the Upsilon function evaluated at zero. Recall that the conformal weight of a Liouville vertex operator $V_{\alpha}$ is given by $\Delta(\alpha)=\alpha(Q-\alpha)$. [113]

The three-point function of three primary fields $V_{\beta_{j}}$ with generic momenta $\beta_{j}$ is given by the DOZZ formula [114-116]

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)=\left[\pi \mu \gamma\left(b^{2}\right) b^{2-2 b^{2}}\right]^{(Q-\beta) / b} \\
& \frac{\Upsilon^{\prime}(0) \Upsilon\left(2 \beta_{1}\right) \Upsilon\left(2 \beta_{2}\right) \Upsilon\left(2 \beta_{3}\right)}{\Upsilon(\beta-Q) \Upsilon\left(\beta-2 \beta_{1}\right) \Upsilon\left(\beta-2 \beta_{2}\right) \Upsilon\left(\beta-2 \beta_{3}\right)} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}$. Including our normalization (A.2), it becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\Upsilon(\beta-Q) \prod_{i=1}^{3} \Upsilon\left(\beta-2 \beta_{i}\right)} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the operator product expansion of a generic operator $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}$ with the degenerate operator $\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(z, \bar{z}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) & \sim \sum_{s_{1}= \pm, s_{2}= \pm}(z \bar{z})^{\Delta\left(\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)-\Delta\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\Delta(-Q / 2)} \\
& \times \hat{C}_{\alpha_{1},-\frac{Q}{2}}^{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}\left(\hat{V}_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}(0)+\ldots\right), \quad(\mathrm{A} .5) \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}=\alpha_{1}+\frac{s_{1} b+s_{2} b^{-1}}{2}$, and the $\ldots$ denotes Virasoro descendant fields. The structure constants $\hat{C}_{\alpha_{1},-\frac{Q}{2}}^{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}$
are computed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}_{\alpha_{1},-\frac{Q}{2}}^{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}=\frac{N^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} N_{\mathrm{deg}}^{(-Q / 2)}}{N^{\left(\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)}} C\left(\alpha_{1},-Q / 2, Q-\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)^{\prime} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the prime on the last factor indicates that one should take the residue of the single pole one finds when inserting the arguments in (A.3). [117]

Given the OPE in (A.5), we deduce that the correlator (A.1) has an s-channel conformal block decomposition involving four intermediate channels with intermediate momenta $\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}=\alpha_{1}+\left(s_{1} b+s_{2} b^{-1}\right) / 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(z, \bar{z}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{2}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{3}}(\infty)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{s_{1}= \pm, s_{2}= \pm} \hat{C}_{\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}} \hat{C}_{\alpha_{1},-\frac{Q}{2}}^{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}\left|G_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}(z)\right|^{2} . \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The conformal blocks are normalized in the standard way $G_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}(z)=z^{\Delta\left(\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}\right)-\Delta\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\Delta(-Q / 2)}\left(1+c_{1} z+\ldots\right)$. They have been computed in closed form in [79] by solving the fourth order differential equation associated with the degenerate puncture. Before presenting them, we introduce various notations, following [79]. We denote

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}=b\left(\alpha-2 \alpha_{1}-Q / 2\right), & p_{3}=b(3 Q / 2-\alpha)  \tag{A.8}\\
p_{2}=b\left(\alpha-2 \alpha_{2}-Q / 2\right), & p_{i}^{\prime}=b^{-2} p_{i}
\end{array}
$$

with $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}$, use the notation that $p_{i j}=$ $p_{i}+p_{j}, p_{i j k}=p_{i}+p_{j}+p_{k}$, and finally define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, z\right) \\
& \quad={ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1+y_{3}, 2+y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}, 2+y_{1}+y_{3}, z\right) \\
& \quad \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, z\right) \\
& \quad=z^{-1-y_{1}-y_{3}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(1+y_{2},-y_{1},-y_{1}-y_{3}, z\right) \tag{A.9}
\end{align*}
$$

in terms of the hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}$. Then the conformal blocks $G_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}$ describing the exchange of momentum $\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}$ in the correlator (A.7) are given by [118]

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}(z)=z^{1+p_{13}+\alpha_{1} Q}(1-z)^{1+p_{23}+\alpha_{2} Q} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_{s_{1} s_{2}}}(z) \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_{--}}(z)=\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, z\right) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(-p_{1}^{\prime}-1,-p_{2}^{\prime},-p_{3}^{\prime}, z\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\left(1-p_{123}^{\prime}\right) p_{1}}{\left(1-p_{13}^{\prime}\right) p_{13}} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(p_{1}-1, p_{2}, p_{3}, z\right) \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(-p_{1}^{\prime},-p_{2}^{\prime},-p_{3}^{\prime}, z\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\alpha_{++}}(z)=\left.\mathcal{G}_{\alpha_{--}}(z)\right|_{p_{i} \leftrightarrow-p_{i}^{\prime}} \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\alpha_{+-}}(z)=\frac{\left(1+p_{123}\right)\left(1-p_{13}^{\prime}\right)}{p_{2}+p_{2}^{\prime}} \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, z\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \mathcal{F}_{1}\left(-p_{1}^{\prime}-1,-p_{2}^{\prime},-p_{3}^{\prime}, z\right)+\left(p_{i} \leftrightarrow-p_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{G}_{\alpha_{-+}}(z)=\frac{p_{13}\left(1-p_{13}\right)\left(1+p_{13}^{\prime}\right)}{p_{3}\left(p_{123}+p_{123}^{\prime}\right)}( \\
& \left.\mathcal{F}_{2}\left(p_{1}-1, p_{2}, p_{3}, z\right) \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(-p_{1}^{\prime},-p_{2}^{\prime},-p_{3}^{\prime}, z\right)-\left(p_{i} \leftrightarrow-p_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{A.14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Gauge Theory Computation I

The partition function of the $2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ part of the left quiver gauge theory in Figure 13 is computed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \square S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\sum_{B^{(R)} \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{B^{(L)} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(R)}}{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma^{(L)}}{2 \pi}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(\sigma^{(R)}-m_{j}^{(R)}\right)-B^{(R)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(\sigma^{(R)}-m_{j}^{(R)}\right)-B^{(R)} / 2\right)} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(-\sigma^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right)+B^{(R)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(-\sigma^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right)+B^{(R)} / 2\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times e^{-4 \pi i \xi\left(\sigma^{(R)}-\sigma^{(L)}\right)+i \vartheta\left(B^{(R)}-B^{(L)}\right)} \Delta^{+} \Delta^{-} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(\sigma^{(L)}-m_{s}^{(L)}\right)-B^{(L)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(\sigma^{(L)}-m_{s}^{(L)}\right)-B^{(L)} / 2\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(-\sigma^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)+B^{(L)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(-\sigma^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)+B^{(L)} / 2\right)}\right] \tag{A.15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Delta^{ \pm}=b^{-1}\left(i \sigma^{(R)} \pm B^{(R)} / 2\right)-b\left(i \sigma^{(L)} \pm B^{(L)} / 2\right)$. Recall the mass relations (III.12) (with $c=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
b m_{s}^{(L)}=b^{-1} \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}, \quad \quad b \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}=b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (A.15) we have used $\xi_{\mathrm{FI}}^{(R)}=-\xi_{\mathrm{FI}}^{(L)}=\xi$ and similarly for $\vartheta$. We also define $z=e^{-2 \pi \xi+i \vartheta}$. For positive FI parameter, $\xi>0$, the naive poles are located at

$$
\begin{gather*}
i \sigma^{(R)} \pm B^{(R)} / 2=i m_{j}^{(R)}+p_{j}^{ \pm}, \text {with } p_{j}^{ \pm} \geq 0 \text { for } j=1,2 \\
i \sigma^{(L)} \pm B^{(L)} / 2=i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}-q_{k}^{ \pm}, \text {with } q_{k}^{ \pm} \geq 0 \text { for } k=1,2 \tag{A.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the mass relations (A.16), it is easy to see that the contribution of the Fermi multiplet provides zeros canceling the poles (or equivalently, setting their residue to zero) for $j=k$ and $p_{j}^{+}=q_{j}^{+}=0$ or $p_{j}^{-}=q_{j}^{-}=0$.

Introducing the quantities for $j, k=1,2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1-\text { loop }}^{(R)}(j)=\gamma\left(-i m_{j}^{(R)}+i m_{j^{\prime} \neq j}^{(R)}\right) \prod_{s=1,2} \gamma\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right), \\
& Z_{1-\text { loop }}^{(L)}(k)=\gamma\left(i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{k^{\prime} \neq k}^{(L)}\right) \prod_{s=1,2} \gamma\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}+i m_{s}^{(L)}\right) \tag{A.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}(\mathfrak{m}) & =\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{2}\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}}{\mathfrak{m}!\left(1+i m_{j}^{(R)}-i m_{j^{\prime} \neq j}^{(R)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}}  \tag{A.20}\\
Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(k)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}(\mathfrak{m}) & =\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{2}\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}+i m_{s}^{(L)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}}{\mathfrak{m}!\left(1-i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}+i \widetilde{m}_{k^{\prime} \neq k}^{(L)}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}} \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

one easily finds

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\sum_{j, k=1}^{2} e^{-4 \pi i \xi\left(m_{j}^{(R)}-\widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}\right)} Z_{1 \text {-loop }}^{(R)}(j) Z_{1 \text {-loop }}^{(L)}(k) \\
& \times \sum_{p_{j}^{+}, q_{k}^{+} \geq 0}\left[\left(b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}+p_{j}^{+}\right)-b\left(i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}-q_{k}^{+}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times z^{p_{j}^{+}} Z_{\text {vortex } \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}\left(p_{j}^{+}\right) z^{q_{k}^{+}} Z_{\text {vortex } \mid(k)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}\left(q_{k}^{+}\right)\right] \\
& \times \sum_{p^{-}, q^{-} \geq 0}\left[\left(b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}+p_{j}^{-}\right)-b\left(i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}-q_{k}^{-}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.p_{j}^{-}, q_{k}^{-} \geq 0 \quad \times \bar{z}^{p_{j}^{-}} Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}\left(p_{j}^{-}\right) \bar{z}^{q_{k}^{-}} Z_{\text {vortex } \mid(k)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}\left(q_{k}^{-}\right)\right] . \tag{A.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we match this expression to the Liouville correlator after including the contribution of the four free fourdimensional hypermultiplets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\mathrm{free} \mathrm{HM}}=\prod_{j, s=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\Upsilon_{b}\left(\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2 b}-i M_{j s}\right)} \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with masses fixed by (III.9)-(III.10),

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{j}^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}}{\ell}=\frac{i}{\ell}}  \tag{A.24}\\
& {\left[-\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{s}^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}}{\tilde{\ell}}=\frac{i}{\tilde{\ell}}}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Matching Liouville to Gauge Theory I

The detailed match between the Liouville correlator and the gauge theory computation of the previous section

| $j, k$ | 1,1 | 1,2 | 2,1 | 2,2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s_{1} s_{2}$ | -+ | -- | ++ | +- |

TABLE V: Matching the four vacua in $Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square \square)}$ with the four channels of the Liouville correlator.
is given by [119]

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free HM }} & Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square),}=A|z|^{2 \beta}|1-z|^{2 \gamma} \\
& \times\left\langle\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(z, \bar{z}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{2}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{3}}(\infty)\right\rangle, \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=b^{-4 Q\left(\alpha_{2}-Q / 2\right)},  \tag{A.26}\\
& \gamma=\left(b-b^{-1}\right) \alpha_{2}-b Q,  \tag{A.27}\\
& \beta=-\frac{Q^{2}}{2}+\frac{i}{2 b}\left(b-b^{-1}\right)\left(m_{1}^{(R)}+m_{2}^{(R)}\right), \tag{A.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and with the parameters $\alpha_{i}$ identified with twodimensional masses as

$$
\alpha_{1}-\frac{Q}{2}=\frac{i}{2 b}\left(m_{1}^{(R)}-m_{2}^{(R)}\right)=\frac{i b}{2}\left(\widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}-\widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2}-\frac{Q}{2} & =\frac{i}{2 b}\left(\widetilde{m}_{1}^{(R)}+\widetilde{m}_{2}^{(R)}-m_{1}^{(R)}-m_{2}^{(R)}\right) \\
& =\frac{i b}{2}\left(m_{1}^{(L)}+m_{2}^{(L)}-\widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}-\widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}\right), \\
\alpha_{3}-\frac{Q}{2} & =-\frac{i}{2 b}\left(\widetilde{m}_{1}^{(R)}-\widetilde{m}_{2}^{(R)}\right)=-\frac{i b}{2}\left(m_{1}^{(L)}-m_{2}^{(L)}\right) . \tag{A.29}
\end{align*}
$$

More in detail, the sum over the four vacua $j, k=$ 1,2 of the gauge theory result (A.22) corresponds to the four internal channels of the Liouville correlator (A.7) as in Table V. To present the precise identification, let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(x ; j, k)= \\
& \quad \sum_{p_{j}, q_{k} \geq 0}\left[\left(b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}+p_{j}\right)-b\left(i \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}-q_{k}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times x^{p_{j}} Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}\left(p_{j}\right) x^{q_{k}} Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(k)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}\left(q_{k}\right)\right], \tag{A.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where we note that $Z_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(x ; j, j)$ has vanishing zeroth order term in $x$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(x ; j, j) \\
& \quad=x\left(b Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}(1)+b^{-1} Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}(1)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(x^{2}\right) . \tag{A.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The gauge theory result (A.22) can then be reorganized in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\sum_{\substack{j, k=1 \\
j \neq k}}^{2}\left[Z_{1-\text { loop }}^{(R)}(j) Z_{1-\text { loop }}^{(L)}(k)\left(i b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)}-i b \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}\right)^{2}\left|\frac{z^{i\left(m_{j}^{(R)}-\widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}\right)}}{i b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)}-i b \widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}} Z_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(z ; j, k)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{j, k=1 \\
j=k}}^{2}\left[Z_{1-\text { loop }}^{(R)}(j) Z_{1 \text {-loop }}^{(L)}(k)\left(b Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}(1)+b^{-1} Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}(1)\right)^{2}\left|\frac{z^{i\left(m_{j}^{(R)}-\widetilde{m}_{k}^{(L)}\right)+1} z^{-1} Z_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(z ; j, k)}{b Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(L)}(1)+b^{-1} Z_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}(1)}\right|^{2}\right], \tag{A.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\ldots|^{2}$ just means sending $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$. Each of the four summands of (A.32) have the structure $[\ldots] \times|\ldots|^{2}$. These expressions, using Table V, can be matched to the four channels of the Liouville four-point function (A.7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\mathrm{free}} \mathrm{HM} \times[\ldots]=A \hat{C} \hat{C} \tag{A.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\ldots|^{2}=|z|^{2 \beta}|1-z|^{2 \gamma}|G(z)|^{2} \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the parameters in (A.26)-(A.28). In the
ancillary Mathematica file, [120] we use contiguous relations on hypergeometric functions to prove the equality for conformal blocks. It would be interesting to obtain a more straightforward proof.

## 4. Gauge Theory Computation II

Let us now compute the $S_{b}^{4}$ partition function of the theory described by the right quiver gauge theory in Figure 13. We denote parameters of the left theory with primes and the right theory without primes. Omitting the 4 d hypermultiplets, the partition function is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \square S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\sum_{B \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{B^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathrm{JK}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma^{\prime}}{2 \pi} e^{-4 \pi i \xi\left(\sigma+\sigma^{\prime}\right)+i \vartheta\left(B+B^{\prime}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(\sigma-m_{j}\right)-B / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(\sigma-m_{j}\right)-B / 2\right)} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(-\sigma+\widetilde{m}_{s}\right)+B / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(-\sigma+\widetilde{m}_{s}\right)+B / 2\right)} \\
& \times\left[\prod_{ \pm}\left(\Delta^{ \pm}+\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)\left(\Delta^{ \pm}-\frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(\sigma^{\prime}-m_{j}^{\prime}\right)-B^{\prime} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(\sigma^{\prime}-m_{j}^{\prime}\right)-B^{\prime} / 2\right)} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(-\sigma^{\prime}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}\right)+B^{\prime} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(-\sigma^{\prime}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}\right)+B^{\prime} / 2\right)} \tag{A.35}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Delta^{ \pm}=b^{-1}\left(i \sigma \pm \frac{B}{2}\right)-b\left(i \sigma^{\prime} \pm \frac{B^{\prime}}{2}\right)$. Recall from section III the mass relations (with $c=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
b m_{j}^{\prime}-b^{-1} m_{j} & =-\frac{i}{2}\left(b-b^{-1}\right),  \tag{A.36}\\
b \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-b^{-1} \widetilde{m}_{s} & =\frac{i}{2}\left(b-b^{-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In (A.35) we have used $\xi_{\mathrm{FI}}=\xi_{\mathrm{FI}}^{\prime}=\xi$ and similarly for $\vartheta$. We also define $z=e^{-2 \pi \xi+i \vartheta}$. For positive FI parameter, $\xi>0$, the Jeffrey-Kirwan-like residue prescription selects poles obtained by assigning to $\sigma^{\prime}$ a pole position of the fundamental one-loop determinants in the third line of (A.35). Taking into account cancellations with zeros, we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \sigma^{\prime} \pm \frac{B^{\prime}}{2}=i m_{k}^{\prime}+q_{k}^{ \pm}, \text {with } q_{k}^{ \pm} \geq 0 \text { for } k=1,2 \tag{A.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma$ there are various options

$$
\begin{align*}
i \sigma & =i m_{j}+l_{j}-\frac{B}{2}, \text { with } l_{j} \geq 0 \text { for } j=1,2 \\
i \sigma & =i m_{k} \pm \frac{B}{2}+b^{2} q_{k}^{\mp}-1  \tag{A.38}\\
i \sigma & =i m_{k} \pm \frac{B}{2}+b^{2}\left(q_{k}^{\mp}+1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the relations among the fundamental mass parameters on the two spheres. Note that in the pole positions on the last two lines, the index $k$ takes the same value as in (A.37). Also note that some of these poles collide, and some cancel against the zeros located at $i \sigma=i m_{p}+\frac{B}{2}-\lambda_{p}-1$ with $\lambda_{p} \geq 0$ for $p=1,2$. Among these poles, four particular classes of simple poles can be identified as follows, where $j, k=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { I : } \begin{cases}i \sigma^{\prime} \pm \frac{B^{\prime}}{2}=i m_{k}^{\prime}+q_{k}^{ \pm}, & \text {with } q_{k}^{ \pm} \geq 0, \\
i \sigma \pm \frac{B}{2}=i m_{j}+p_{j}^{ \pm}, & \text {with } p_{j}^{ \pm} \geq 0,\end{cases} \\
& \text { II : } \begin{cases}i \sigma^{\prime} \pm \frac{B^{\prime}}{2}=i m_{k}^{\prime}+q_{k}^{ \pm}, & \text {with } q_{k}^{+}=0, q_{k}^{-} \geq 0, \\
i \sigma+\frac{B}{2}=i m_{k}-1, & \text { with } B<0,\end{cases} \\
& \text { III : } \begin{cases}i \sigma^{\prime} \pm \frac{B^{\prime}}{2}=i m_{k}^{\prime}+q_{k}^{ \pm}, & \text {with } q_{k}^{-}=0, q_{k}^{+} \geq 0, \\
i \sigma-\frac{B}{2}=i m_{k}-1, & \text { with } B>0,\end{cases} \\
& \text { IV : } \begin{cases}i \sigma^{\prime}=i m_{k}^{\prime}, & \text { with } q_{k}^{-}=0, q_{k}^{+}=0 \Longrightarrow B^{\prime}=0, \\
i \sigma=i m_{k}-1, & \text { with } B=0\end{cases} \tag{A.39}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum of the residues of these poles will reproduce the Liouville correlator (A.1), while one can verify that all other series of poles cancel among themselves. These poles can also be characterized as those for which $i \sigma \pm$ $B / 2 \in\left\{i m_{1}, i m_{2}\right\}+\mathbb{Z}$ and $i \sigma^{\prime} \pm B^{\prime} / 2 \in\left\{i m_{1}^{\prime}, i m_{2}^{\prime}\right\}+\mathbb{Z}$.

Computing the residues of the four classes of poles is straightforward. One finds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}= \sum_{j, k=1}^{2}\left[e^{-4 \pi i \xi\left(m_{j}+m_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\right. \\
& \widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(j)}(m, \widetilde{m}) \\
& \times \widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(k)}\left(m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \times \left\lvert\, \delta_{j k} z^{-1} \frac{\widetilde{Z}_{\text {vortex } \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(-1)}{\left(b+b^{-1}\right) b^{-1}}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\sum_{p, q \geq 0} \frac{z^{p} \widetilde{Z}_{\text {vortex } \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(p ; m, \widetilde{m}) z^{q} \widetilde{Z}_{\text {vortex } \mid(k)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(q ; m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}\right)}{\prod_{ \pm}\left(b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}+p\right)-b\left(i m_{k}^{\prime}+q\right) \pm \frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)}\right|^{2}\right] \tag{A.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\cdots|^{2}$ just involves $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$, in terms of

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(j)}(m, \widetilde{m})=\gamma\left(-i m_{j}+i m_{k \neq j}\right) \prod_{s=1}^{2} \gamma\left(i m_{j}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}\right),  \tag{A.41}\\
\widetilde{Z}_{\text {vortex } \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\mathfrak{m} ; m, \widetilde{m})=\frac{\prod_{s=1}^{2}\left(i m_{j}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}}{\mathfrak{m}!\left(1+i m_{j}-i m_{k \neq j}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}},  \tag{A.42}\\
\widetilde{Z}_{\text {vortex } \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(-1)=\frac{(\mathrm{A} .42)}{\prod_{s=1}^{2}\left(i m_{j}+i m_{k \neq j}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}-1\right)} \tag{A.43}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $j=1,2$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
Next, we match this expression to the Liouville correlator, including the contribution of the four free fourdimensional hypermultiplets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\mathrm{free}} \mathrm{HM}=\prod_{j, s=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\Upsilon_{b}\left(\frac{b}{2}+\frac{1}{2 b}-i M_{j s}\right)} \tag{A.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

with masses fixed by (III.19)-(III.20)

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{j}+\widetilde{m}_{s}}{\ell}=\frac{i}{\ell}} \\
& {\left[\frac{M_{j s}}{\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}}+\frac{i}{2 \ell}+\frac{i}{2 \tilde{\ell}}\right]+\frac{-m_{j}^{\prime}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}}{\tilde{\ell}}=\frac{i}{\tilde{\ell}}} \tag{A.45}
\end{align*}
$$

| $j, k$ | 1,1 | 1,2 | 2,1 | 2,2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s_{1} s_{2}$ | -- | -+ | +- | ++ |

TABLE VI: Matching the four vacua in $\widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}$ with the four channels of the Liouville correlator.

## 5. Matching Liouville to Gauge Theory II

The precise match between the Liouville correlation function (A.1) and the gauge theory quantities (A.40)(A.44) is given as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{Z}_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free HM }} & \widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \square, \square S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\widetilde{A}|z|^{2 \widetilde{\beta}}|1-z|^{2 \widetilde{\gamma}} \\
& \times\left\langle\hat{V}_{-\frac{Q}{2}}(z, \bar{z}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{1}}(0) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{2}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{3}}(\infty)\right\rangle, \tag{A.46}
\end{align*}
$$

with the parameters $\alpha_{i}$ identified with two-dimensional masses as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}-\frac{Q}{2} & =\frac{i}{2 b}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)=\frac{i b}{2}\left(m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
\alpha_{2}-\frac{Q}{2} & =\frac{1}{b}+\frac{i}{2 b}\left(\widetilde{m}_{1}+\widetilde{m}_{2}-m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \\
& =b+\frac{i b}{2}\left(\widetilde{m}_{1}^{\prime}+\widetilde{m}_{2}^{\prime}-m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}-\frac{Q}{2}=\frac{i}{2 b}\left(\widetilde{m}_{2}-\widetilde{m}_{1}\right)=\frac{i b}{2}\left(\widetilde{m}_{2}^{\prime}-\widetilde{m}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \tag{A.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two expressions of each momentum are related by (A.36), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{A}=\frac{1}{Q^{2}} b^{-4\left(b-b^{-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}-Q / 2\right)}  \tag{A.48}\\
& \widetilde{\gamma}=Q \alpha_{2}-Q^{2}  \tag{A.49}\\
& \widetilde{\beta}=-\frac{Q}{2}\left(Q+\frac{1-i m_{1}-i m_{2}}{b}\right) . \tag{A.50}
\end{align*}
$$

First of all, (A.40) contains a sum over four terms specified by the values of $j, k$. These choices of vacua $j=1,2$ and $k=1,2$ correspond to the four channels of (A.7) as in Table VI. To simplify the details of the identification (A.46), let us introduce a concise notation for the double sum over positive integers appearing in (A.40)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{Z}_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(x ; j, k) \\
= & \sum_{p, q \geq 0} \frac{x^{p} \widetilde{Z}_{\mathrm{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(p ; m, \widetilde{m}) x^{q} \widetilde{Z}_{\text {vortex } \mid(k)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(q ; m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}\right)}{\prod_{ \pm}\left(b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}+p\right)-b\left(i m_{k}^{\prime}+q\right) \pm \frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)} . \tag{A.51}
\end{align*}
$$

We then rewrite (A.40) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\sum_{\substack{j, k=1 \\
j \neq k}}^{2}\left[\frac{\widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(j)}(m, \widetilde{m}) \widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(k)\left(m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}\right)}}{\prod_{ \pm}\left(i b^{-1} m_{j}-i b m_{k}^{\prime} \pm \frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right)^{2}}\left|z^{i\left(m_{j}+m_{k}^{\prime}\right)} \prod_{ \pm}\left(i b^{-1} m_{j}-i b m_{k}^{\prime} \pm \frac{b+b^{-1}}{2}\right) \widetilde{Z}_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(z ; j, k)\right|^{2}\right] \\
+ & \sum_{\substack{j, k=1 \\
j=k}}^{2}\left[\widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(j)}(m, \widetilde{m}) \widetilde{Z}_{1-\operatorname{loop} \mid(k)}\left(m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\widetilde{Z}_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(-1)}{\left(b+b^{-1}\right) b^{-1}}\right)^{2}\left|z^{i\left(m_{j}+m_{k}^{\prime}\right)-1}\left(1+\frac{\left(b+b^{-1}\right) b^{-1}}{\widetilde{Z}_{\operatorname{vortex} \mid(j)}^{\mathbb{R}^{2},(R)}(-1)} z \widetilde{Z}_{\mathrm{v} \otimes \mathrm{v}}(z ; j, k)\right)\right|^{2}\right], \tag{A.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\ldots|^{2}$ is again taken to mean to just replace $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$. The identification (A.46), using (A.7), is now straightforward. Each of the four terms obtained by summing over $j, k$, which as mentioned above are identified with the four channels of the Liouville correlator as in Table VI, have the structure $[\ldots] \times|\ldots|^{2}$. These factors are identified concretely for each vacuum as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\mathrm{free}} \mathrm{HM} \times[\ldots]=A \hat{C} \hat{C} \tag{A.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\ldots|^{2}=|z|^{2 \widetilde{\beta}}|1-z|^{2 \widetilde{\gamma}}|G(z)|^{2} \tag{A.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the parameters in (A.48)-(A.50) and the arguments of the brackets and moduli squared can be
read off from (A.52). This identification is a consequence of the identification in Appendix A 3 and the equality of partition functions that we prove next.

## 6. Seiberg Duality Between Quivers

We prove here that the two quivers studied in previous sections have equal partition functions: we apply a 2 d $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ Seiberg-like duality to the left node of the first quiver and show how the 0d Fermi multiplet contribution transforms into a pair of 0d chiral multiplets.

Enrich the partition function (A.15) by allowing independent left and right FI parameters, and write the 0d

Fermi multiplet contribution as a differential operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=\left.\left|b^{-1} z^{(R)} \partial_{z^{(R)}}-b z^{(L)} \partial_{z^{(L)}}\right|^{2} Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(R)} Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(L)}\right|_{z} \tag{A.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\right|_{z}$ denotes taking $z^{(R)}, z^{(L)} \rightarrow z$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(L)}=\sum_{B^{(L)} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(L)}}{2 \pi}\left(z^{(L)}\right)^{i \sigma^{(L)}+B^{(L)} / 2} \\
& \times\left(\bar{z}^{(L)}\right)^{i \sigma^{(L)}-B^{(L)} / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(\sigma^{(L)}-m_{j}^{(L)}\right)-\frac{B^{(L)}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(\sigma^{(L)}-m_{j}^{(L)}\right)-\frac{B^{(L)}}{2}\right)} \\
& \times \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i\left(-\sigma^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(L)}\right)+\frac{B^{(L)}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i\left(-\sigma^{(L)}+\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(L)}\right)+\frac{B^{(L)}}{2}\right)} \tag{A.56}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly $Z_{\text {SQED }}^{(R)}$ in terms of $\left(m^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}^{(R)}, z^{(R)}, \bar{z}^{(R)}\right)$.
As shown in [26, 121], the SQED partition function is invariant under Seiberg duality up to some factors, [122]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(L)}=C\left|z^{(L)}\right|^{2 \delta_{0}}\left|1-z^{(L)}\right|^{2 \delta_{1}} Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}\left(m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right), \tag{A.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with exponents $\delta_{0}=-1 / 2+i m_{1}^{(L)}+i m_{2}^{(L)}$ and $\delta_{1}=$ $1+i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}-i m_{1}^{(L)}-i m_{2}^{(L)}$, coefficient $C=$ $\prod_{j=1}^{2} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \gamma\left(i m_{s}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)$, exponentiated FI parameter $z^{\prime}=1 / z^{(L)}$ and shifted twisted masses $m_{j}^{\prime}=\widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}-\frac{i}{2}$ and $\widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}=m_{s}^{(L)}+\frac{i}{2}$. These parameters will turn out to be those of the left theory in the second quiver, as given in (V.2) and (V.3) in the main text (the relation can also be seen by identifying Liouville and gauge theory data). Parameters of the right theories are related as $z=z^{(R)}$ and $m_{j}=m_{j}^{(R)}-\frac{i}{2}$ and $\widetilde{m}_{s}=\widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}+\frac{i}{2}$.

Next we permute $\left|z^{(L)}\right|^{2 \delta_{0}}\left|1-z^{(L)}\right|^{2 \delta_{1}}$ and the differential operator of (A.55):

$$
\left(b^{-1} z^{(R)} \partial_{z^{(R)}}-b z^{(L)} \partial_{z^{(L)}}\right)\left(z^{(L)}\right)^{\delta_{0}}\left(1-z^{(L)}\right)^{\delta_{1}}=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \left(z^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{0}-\delta_{1}}\left(z^{\prime}-1\right)^{\delta_{1}-1}( \\
& \left(b^{-1} z \partial_{z}+b z^{\prime} \partial_{z^{\prime}}-b i m_{1}^{(L)}-b i m_{2}^{(L)}\right)\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
- & \left.\left(b^{-1} z \partial_{z}+b z^{\prime} \partial_{z^{\prime}}-b i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}-b i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}\right)\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{A.58}
\end{align*}
$$

When combined with its complex conjugate, this gives four terms

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=C\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{0}-\delta_{1}\right)}\left|z^{\prime}-1\right|^{2\left(\delta_{1}-1\right)} \sum_{s_{+}, s_{-}= \pm 1}[ \\
\left(b^{-1} z \partial_{z}+b z^{\prime} \partial_{z^{\prime}}-b i m^{(L), s_{+}}\right)\left(b^{-1} \bar{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}+b \bar{z}^{\prime} \partial_{\bar{z}^{\prime}}-b i m^{(L), s_{-}}\right) \\
\left.s_{+} s_{-}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{s_{+} / 2}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{s_{-} / 2} Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}\left(m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m}^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(R)}\right]_{z=z^{\prime}} \tag{A.59}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i m^{(L),-}=i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}$ and $i m^{(L),+}=i m_{1}^{(L)}+$ $i m_{2}^{(L)}$. The factors $\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{s_{+} / 2}$ and $\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{s_{-} / 2}$ can be absorbed into the Coulomb branch expression of $Z_{\text {SQED }}^{\prime}$ by shifting $\sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow \sigma^{\prime}+i\left(s_{+}+s_{-}\right) / 4$ and $B^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}+\left(s_{-} s_{+}\right) / 2$. Pulling the differential into the integral as well we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\left.S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \square\right)}^{\left(\square, \square S_{(L)}^{2}\right.}=C\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{0}-\delta_{1}\right)}\left|z^{\prime}-1\right|^{2\left(\delta_{1}-1\right)} \sum_{B^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\prime}}{2 \pi}[ \\
& \left(z^{\prime}\right)^{i \sigma^{\prime+}}\left(\bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{i \sigma^{\prime-}} \sum_{s_{+}= \pm 1} \sum_{s_{-}= \pm 1}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s_{+}}{2}-i \sigma^{\prime+}+i m_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{s_{-}}{2}+1+i \sigma^{\prime-}-i m_{j}^{\prime}\right)}\right. \\
& \times \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{s_{+}}{2}+i \sigma^{\prime+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s_{-}}{2}+1-i \sigma^{\prime-}+i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}\right)} b s_{+}\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-i m^{\left.(L), s_{+}+b^{-2} z \partial_{z}\right)}\right. \\
& \times b s_{-}\left(i \sigma^{\prime-}-i m^{\left.\left.\left.(L), s_{-}+b^{-2} \bar{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}\right) Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(R)}\right]\right]_{z=z^{\prime}} \quad(\mathrm{A.60)}}\right. \tag{A.60}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the shorthand $i \sigma^{\prime \pm}=i \sigma^{\prime} \pm B^{\prime} / 2$. Using $\Gamma(x+1 / 2)=(x-1 / 2) \Gamma(x-1 / 2)$ and $i m_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}=i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}$ and $i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}=i m_{s}^{(L)}$, the $s_{+-}$-dependent factors can be massaged into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{s_{+}= \pm}\left[b s_{+}\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-i m^{(L), s_{+}}+b^{-2} z \partial_{z}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(i m_{j}^{\prime}-i \sigma^{\prime+}+\frac{s_{+}}{2}\right) \prod_{s=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{s_{+}}{2}\right)\right] \\
&=b\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-b^{-2} z \partial_{z}\right)^{-1} {\left[\prod_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(i m_{j}^{\prime}-i \sigma^{\prime+}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{s=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2}\left(b^{-2} z \partial_{z}-i m_{j}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.-\prod_{j=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(i m_{j}^{\prime}-i \sigma^{\prime+}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{s=1}^{2} \Gamma\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{s=1}^{2}\left(b^{-2} z \partial_{z}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \tag{A.61}
\end{align*}
$$

The Gamma functions which appear are the same as in (A.60). The factors linear in $z \partial_{z}$, when acting on the

Coulomb branch representation of $Z_{\mathrm{SQED}}^{(R)}$, become

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{-2} z \partial_{z}-i m_{j}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow b^{-2}\left(i \sigma^{+}-i m_{j}^{(R)}\right)  \tag{A.62}\\
& b^{-2} z \partial_{z}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow b^{-2}\left(i \sigma^{+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}\right) \tag{A.63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i \sigma^{ \pm}=i \sigma \pm B / 2$. These factors simply shift arguments of Gamma functions. An analogous expression holds for $s_{-}$-dependent factors and involves $\bar{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}$.

Altogether we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \square S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=C b^{-4}|z|^{2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{0}-\delta_{1}\right)}|z-1|^{2\left(\delta_{1}-1\right)} \\
& \sum_{B, B^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma \mathrm{~d} \sigma^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left[\frac{z^{i \sigma^{+}+i \sigma^{\prime+}} \bar{z}^{i \sigma^{-}+i \sigma^{\prime-}}}{\prod_{ \pm}\left(b i \sigma^{\prime \pm}-i \sigma^{ \pm} / b\right)} \sum_{s_{+}, s_{-}= \pm 1} s_{+} s_{-}\right. \\
& \prod_{j=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(i m_{j}-i \sigma^{+}+\frac{s_{+}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-i m_{j}+i \sigma^{-}-\frac{s_{-}}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(i m_{j}^{\prime}-i \sigma^{\prime+}-\frac{s_{+}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-i m_{j}^{\prime}+i \sigma^{\prime-}+\frac{s_{-}}{2}\right)} \\
& \left.\prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(i \sigma^{+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}-\frac{s_{+}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-i \sigma^{-}+i \widetilde{m}_{s}+\frac{s_{-}}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(i \sigma^{\prime+}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}+\frac{s_{+}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-i \sigma^{\prime-}+i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{\prime}-\frac{s_{-}}{2}\right)}\right] . \tag{A.64}
\end{align*}
$$

For all four choices of $\left(s_{+}, s_{-}\right)$the shifts by $\pm \frac{s_{+}}{2}$ and $\pm \frac{s_{-}}{2}$ in Gamma function arguments can be canceled by shifting $i \sigma^{ \pm} \rightarrow i \sigma^{ \pm}+s_{ \pm} / 2$ and $i \sigma^{\prime \pm} \rightarrow i \sigma^{\prime \pm}-s_{ \pm} / 2$. The fluxes $B=i \sigma^{+}-i \sigma^{-}$and $B^{\prime}=i \sigma^{\prime+}-i \sigma^{\prime-}$ remain integers. The exponents $\sigma^{ \pm}+\sigma^{\prime \pm}$ of $z$ and $\bar{z}$ stay constant, but $b i \sigma^{\prime \pm}-i \sigma^{ \pm} / b$ are shifted by $-s_{ \pm}\left(b+b^{-1}\right) / 2$. After these manipulations, $s_{ \pm}$only appear in

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{s_{+}= \pm 1} & \frac{s_{+}}{\left(b i \sigma^{\prime+}-b^{-1} i \sigma^{+}-s_{+}\left(b+b^{-1}\right) / 2\right)} \\
& =\frac{b+b^{-1}}{\prod_{ \pm}\left(b i \sigma^{\prime+}-b^{-1} i \sigma^{+} \pm\left(b+b^{-1}\right) / 2\right)} \tag{A.65}
\end{align*}
$$

and a similar factor with $\left(s_{+}, \sigma^{+}, \sigma^{\prime+}\right) \rightarrow\left(s_{-}, \sigma^{-}, \sigma^{\prime-}\right)$. Lo and behold, we have obtained the contribution of a pair of 0d chiral multiplets! [123] All in all,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)}=b^{-4}\left(b+b^{-1}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{2} \prod_{s=1}^{2} \gamma\left(i m_{s}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right) \\
& \quad \times|z|^{2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta_{0}-\delta_{1}\right)}|z-1|^{2\left(\delta_{1}-1\right)} \widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2} \cup \square S_{(L)}^{2}}^{(\square)} . \quad(\mathrm{A} \cdot 66 \tag{A.66}
\end{align*}
$$

The 4 d hypermultiplets to which the two 2d/0d quivers couple have slightly shifted masses (A.23) and (A.44). Using $\Upsilon(x+1 / b) / \Upsilon(x)=\gamma(x / b) b^{-1+2 x / b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free HM }}}{\widetilde{Z}_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free }} \mathrm{HM}}=\frac{b^{4-4\left(\sum_{s=1}^{2} i m_{s}^{(L)}\right)+4\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)}}{\prod_{j, s=1}^{2} \gamma\left(i m_{s}^{(L)}-i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)} \tag{A.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

These factors cancel most factors in (A.66) and give

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free HM }} Z_{S_{(R)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)} \cup S_{(L)}^{2}=\widetilde{Z}_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {free HM }} \widetilde{Z}_{S_{(R)}^{2}}^{(\square, \square)} \cup S_{(L)}^{2} \\
& \times \frac{\left(b+b^{-1}\right)^{2}|z-1|^{2\left(i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}-i m_{1}^{(L)}-i m_{2}^{(L)}\right)}}{b^{4\left(\sum_{s=1}^{2} i m_{s}^{(L)}\right)-4\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)}|z|^{2\left(i \widetilde{m}_{1}^{(L)}+i \widetilde{m}_{2}^{(L)}\right)}} \tag{A.68}
\end{align*}
$$

The prefactors are consistent with the matchings of Ap-
pendix A 3 and Appendix A 5.

Throughout this section the contour integrals surround poles such that $i \sigma \pm B / 2$ (and its analogues for other gauge groups) is a twisted mass (times $i$ ) plus an integer, or half-integer in (A.64). This reproduces the set of poles (A.39) selected by the Jeffrey-Kirwan-like residue prescription for the quiver with chiral multiplets.

## Appendix B: Quiver with 0d Fermi Multiplets

In the main text we propose the equality (V.10) between a $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ partition function and a Toda CFT correlator. We focus here on the case $\nu=\nu^{\prime}=1$, namely each 2 d theory has a single gauge group factor and the Toda CFT side involves a pair of antisymmetric degenerate operators (with coefficients $b$ and $1 / b$ ). The relation reads

$$
\begin{align*}
Z\left[\mathcal{T}_{\text {Fermi }, \nu=1}\right] & =A_{1}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\left\langle\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}(\infty) \hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}(0)\right. \\
& \left.\times \hat{V}_{-b \omega_{n}}(x, \bar{x}) \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle . \quad(\mathrm{B} .1) \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Complexified FI parameters of the two nodes are related to positions of punctures by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\hat{z}^{(R)}=(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{f}}+n-1} e^{-2 \pi \xi^{(R)}+i \vartheta^{(R)}}  \tag{B.2}\\
& x^{\prime-1}=\hat{z}^{(L)}=(-1)^{n_{\mathrm{f}}+n^{\prime}-1} e^{-2 \pi \xi^{(L)}+i \vartheta^{(L)}} . \tag{B.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Twisted masses obey $b^{-1} m_{j}^{(R)}=b \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}$ and $b^{-1} \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}=$ $b m_{s}^{(L)}$ and these $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ mass parameters are encoded in momenta as (V.13)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{0}-Q=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i m_{j}^{(R)} h_{j}  \tag{B.4}\\
& \alpha_{\infty}-Q=-\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)} h_{s}  \tag{B.5}\\
& \lambda=n_{\nu} b+\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) b^{-1}+\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1} i m_{j}^{(R)} \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The prefactor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=A|x|^{2 \beta_{0}}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2 \beta_{0}^{\prime}}|1-x|^{2 \beta_{1}}\left|1-x^{\prime}\right|^{2 \beta_{1}^{\prime}}\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2 \gamma^{\prime}} \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\frac{b^{n\left(2 \sum i m^{(R)}-2 \sum i \widetilde{m}^{(R)}-n_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-n\right) b^{2}\right)}}{b^{n^{\prime}\left(2 \sum i m^{(L)}-2 \sum i \widetilde{m}^{(L)}-n_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}\right) b^{-2}\right)}}  \tag{B.8}\\
\beta_{1} & =-n b\left(b+b^{-1}-\lambda / n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)  \tag{B.9}\\
\beta_{1}^{\prime} & =-n^{\prime} b^{-1} \lambda / n_{\mathrm{f}}  \tag{B.10}\\
\beta_{0} & =-\left\langle Q, b \omega_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{n}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i m_{j}^{(R)}  \tag{B.11}\\
\beta_{0}^{\prime} & =-\left\langle Q, b^{-1} \omega_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle-\frac{n^{\prime}}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}  \tag{B.12}\\
\gamma^{\prime} & =n^{\prime} n / n_{\mathrm{f}} . \tag{B.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We normalize generic, semi-degenerate, and degenerate vertex operators as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{V}_{\alpha} & =\frac{\hat{\mu}^{\langle\alpha-Q, \rho\rangle}}{\prod_{s<t}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \Upsilon\left(\left\langle Q-\alpha, h_{s}-h_{t}\right\rangle\right)} V_{\alpha},  \tag{B.14}\\
\hat{V}_{\lambda h_{1}} & =\frac{\hat{\mu}^{\left\langle\lambda h_{1}, \rho\right\rangle}}{(\Upsilon(b))^{n_{\mathrm{f}}-1} \Upsilon(\lambda)} V_{\lambda h_{1}},  \tag{B.15}\\
\hat{V}_{-b \omega-\omega^{\prime} / b} & =\hat{\mu}^{\left\langle-b \omega-\omega^{\prime} / b, \rho\right\rangle} V_{-b \omega-\omega^{\prime} / b} \tag{B.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\mu}=\left[\pi \mu \gamma\left(b^{2}\right) b^{2-2 b^{2}}\right]^{1 / b}$ is such that $(\hat{\mu}, b) \rightarrow$ $(\hat{\mu}, 1 / b)$ is a symmetry of Toda CFT. This normalization makes vertex operators invariant under Weyl symmetries (permutations of the $\left\langle\alpha-Q, h_{j}\right\rangle$ ). [124]

The Toda correlator is not known explicitly. In Appendix B1 we match the leading terms as $x \rightarrow 0$ : on the gauge theory side this limits selects a solution of the Higgs branch equations for the $U(n)$ gauge group while on the Toda CFT side it selects one primary operator in the fusion of $\hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}$ with $\hat{V}_{-b \omega_{n}}$. The limits $x \rightarrow \infty, x^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ or $x^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$ are similar. In Appendix B 2 we show that braiding matrices match. [125] In Appendix B 3 we match leading exponents in the limits $x \rightarrow 1$ (similarly, $x^{\prime} \rightarrow 1$ ) and $x^{\prime} \rightarrow x$.

## 1. Reduction to Four-Point Function

In this section we explain how to expand the partition function (B.1) in powers of $x$ and $\bar{x}$, assuming that $|x|<$ $1,\left|x^{\prime}\right|$. Other orderings of $x, 1$ and $x^{\prime}$ are related by exchanging $\hat{z}^{(R, L)}$ or mapping them to their inverse by charge conjugation.

In full, the partition function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=Z_{4 \mathrm{~d}} \sum_{B^{(R)}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(R)}}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \sum_{B^{(L)}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(L)}}{(2 \pi)^{n^{\prime}}} Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R)} Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left[-\prod_{ \pm}\left[b^{-1}\left(i \sigma_{a}^{(R)} \pm \frac{B_{a}^{(R)}}{2}\right)-b\left(i \sigma_{c}^{(L)} \pm \frac{B_{c}^{(L)}}{2}\right)\right]\right] \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{4 \mathrm{~d}}=\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \prod_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \Upsilon\left(\left(1+i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}-i m_{j}^{(R)}\right) / b\right)^{-1}$ is the 4 d contribution, the last factor is the 0 d contribution, and $Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(R / L)}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}}=\frac{1}{n!} \hat{z}^{\operatorname{Tr}(i \sigma+B / 2)} \bar{z}^{\operatorname{Tr}(i \sigma-B / 2)} \prod_{1 \leq a<c \leq n}\left(\sigma_{a c}^{+} \sigma_{a c}^{-}\right) \prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(i m_{j}-i \sigma_{a}-B_{a} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-i m_{j}+i \sigma_{a}-B_{a} / 2\right)} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{s}+i \sigma_{a}-B_{a} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i \widetilde{m}_{s}-i \sigma_{a}-B_{a} / 2\right)} \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $i \sigma_{a c}^{ \pm}=i \sigma_{a} \pm \frac{B_{a}}{2}-i \sigma_{c} \mp \frac{B_{c}}{2}$. Since $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|<1$ we close the $\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(R)}$ contours towards $-i \infty$ and sum residues at poles labeled by a choice of $n$ flavors $J \subseteq\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mathrm{f}}\right\}$ and of $2 n$ vorticities $p_{j}^{ \pm} \geq 0$ for $j \in J$, at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i \sigma_{a}^{(R)} \pm \frac{B_{a}^{(R)}}{2}\right)_{1 \leq a \leq n}=\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}+p_{j}^{ \pm}\right)_{j \in J} \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to permutations which cancel a $1 / n$ ! factor. The Toda CFT correlator is not known so we focus on leading terms
only, namely all $p_{j}^{ \pm}=0$. The residue for a given $J$ is then

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{4 \mathrm{~d}} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|^{2 i m_{j}^{(R)}} \prod_{k \notin J}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \gamma\left(i m_{k}^{(R)}-i m_{j}^{(R)}\right)}{\prod_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \gamma\left(1+i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}-i m_{j}^{(R)}\right)} \sum_{B^{(L)}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{(L)}}{(2 \pi)^{n^{\prime}}} \\
& \quad Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)} \prod_{j \in J} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} \prod_{ \pm} \mp\left[b^{-1} i m_{j}^{(R)}-b\left(i \sigma_{c}^{(L)} \pm \frac{B_{c}^{(L)}}{2}\right)\right] . \tag{B.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The 0d contribution combines with the one-loop determinant of antifundamental chiral multiplets of the left
theory (using $i m_{j}^{(R)} / b=b i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{2 n n^{\prime}} \prod_{j \in J} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} \prod_{ \pm}\left[\mp i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)} \pm i \sigma_{c}^{(L)}-\frac{B_{c}^{(L)}}{2}\right] \\
& \quad \times \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}+i \sigma_{c}^{(L)}-B_{c}^{(L)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}-i \sigma_{c}^{(L)}-B_{c}^{(L)} / 2\right)} \\
& =b^{2 n n^{\prime}} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} \prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}+\delta_{j \in J}+i \sigma_{c}^{(L)}-B_{c}^{(L)} / 2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}-\delta_{j \in J}-i \sigma_{c}^{(L)}-B_{c}^{(L)} / 2\right)} . \tag{B.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Namely we get the $S^{2}$ partition function $Z_{S^{2}}^{(L)} \sum_{B^{(L)}} \int \mathrm{d} \sigma^{(L)} Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}}^{(L)} /(2 \pi)^{n^{\prime}} \quad$ with shifted masses $i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)} \rightarrow i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}-\delta_{j \in J} \quad$ or equivalently $i m_{j}^{(R)} \rightarrow i m_{j}^{(R)}-\delta_{j \in J} b^{2}$.

The denominator $\gamma$ functions combine with the 4 d contribution thanks to $\Upsilon(x) \gamma(b x)=\Upsilon(x+b) b^{-1+2 b x}$, and the numerator $\gamma$ functions coincide with a Toda CFT threepoint function of a degenerate operator. Altogether, the residue (B.20) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|^{2 \sum_{j \in J} i m_{j}^{(R)}} & \left(b^{\cdots} \widehat{C}_{-b \omega_{n}, \alpha_{0}}^{\alpha_{0}-b h_{J}}\right) \\
& \times\left[Z_{S^{2}}^{(L)} Z_{4 \mathrm{~d}}\right]_{i m_{j}} \rightarrow i m_{j}^{(R)}-\delta_{j \in J} b^{2} \tag{B.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{J}=\sum_{j \in J} h_{j}$. The factor in square brackets is the partition function of the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ system obtained by only keeping the left 2 d theory, known to match a Toda CFT four-point function: this is the special case $n=0$ in our matching (B.1). Including the mass shifts, the residue is

$$
\begin{align*}
b^{\cdots}\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2\left(\beta_{0}^{\prime}+\gamma^{\prime}\right)}\left|1-x^{\prime}\right|^{2 \beta_{1}^{\prime}} & \left\langle\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}(\infty) \hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}-b h_{J}}(0)\right. \\
& \left.\times \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{B.23}
\end{align*}
$$

We note in particular that only the momentum $\alpha_{0}$ is shifted: the momentum $\lambda \omega_{1}$ is unchanged because mass shifts cancel the change in $n b$. The exponent of $\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ is also shifted by an amount which turns out to coincide with $\gamma^{\prime}$, the exponent of $\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|^{2}$ in the full matching, as expected in the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit.

The structure we find is consistent with the Toda CFT $x \rightarrow 0$ OPE namely a sum over weights $h_{J}$ of the $n$-th antisymmetric representation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{V}_{-b \omega_{n}}(x, \bar{x}) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}(0) \sim \sum_{J}|x|^{2\left[\Delta\left(\alpha_{0}-b h_{J}\right)-\Delta\left(\alpha_{0}\right)-\Delta\left(-b \omega_{n}\right)\right]}  \tag{B.24}\\
& \times \widehat{C}_{-b \omega_{n}, \alpha_{0}}^{\alpha_{0}-b h_{J}} \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}-b h_{J}}(0)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

Powers of $|x|^{2}=|\hat{z}|^{2}$ work out, namely $\Delta\left(\alpha_{0}-b h_{J}\right)-$ $\Delta\left(\alpha_{0}\right)-\Delta\left(-b \omega_{n}\right)+\beta_{0}=\sum_{j \in J} i m_{j}^{(R)}$, and constant factors too. More precisely, we compared the contribution of primary operators to the zero-vorticity terms in the gauge theory expansion. The gauge theory results pro-
vide a prediction for conformal blocks of this Toda CFT five-point function.

## 2. Partition Function and Braiding Matrices

In this section we explain how to expand the partition function (B.1) for $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right| \lessgtr 1$ and $\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right| \lessgtr 1$ and how these expansions are related by analytic continuation.

The $S^{2}$ partition function $Z_{S^{2}}=\sum_{B} \int \mathrm{~d} \sigma Z_{2 \mathrm{~d}} /(2 \pi)^{n}$ of $U(n)$ SQCD can be written as a differential operator acting on that of $n$ copies of SQED (defined as SQCD with $n=1$ ). This involves additional Kähler parameters $\hat{z}_{a}$ all set equal to $\hat{z}$ eventually: [126]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S^{2}}=\frac{1}{n!} \prod_{a<c}^{n}-\left.\left|\vartheta_{a}-\vartheta_{c}\right|^{2} \prod_{a=1}^{n} Z_{S^{2}}^{\mathrm{SQED}}\left(\hat{z}_{a}, \overline{\hat{z}}_{a}, m, \widetilde{m}\right)\right|_{\substack{\hat{z}_{a}=\hat{z} \\ \overline{\hat{z}}_{a}=\overline{\hat{z}}}} \tag{B.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vartheta_{a}=z_{a} \partial / \partial z_{a}$ and so on, and $\left|\vartheta_{a}-\vartheta_{c}\right|^{2}=\left(\vartheta_{a}-\right.$ $\left.\vartheta_{c}\right)\left(\bar{\vartheta}_{a}-\bar{\vartheta}_{c}\right)$. We introduce in this way $\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}$ for $1 \leq a \leq n$ and $\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}$ for $1 \leq c \leq n^{\prime}$. The 0 d contribution can then be written as a differential operator $-\left|b^{-1} \vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right|^{2}$ for each $1 \leq a \leq n$ and $1 \leq c \leq n^{\prime}$ acting on the product of $n+n^{\prime}$ SQED partition functions. All in all,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z= & \frac{Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\text {hyper }}}{n!n^{\prime}!} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}}-\left|b^{-1} \vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right|^{2} \\
& \times \prod_{a<c}^{n}-\left|\vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-\vartheta_{c}^{(R)}\right|^{2} \prod_{a<c}^{n^{\prime}}-\left|\vartheta_{a}^{(L)}-\vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right|^{2} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{n} Z_{S^{2}}^{\mathrm{SQED}}\left(\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}, \overline{\hat{z}}_{a}^{(R)}, m^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}^{(R)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} Z_{S^{2}}^{\mathrm{SQED}}\left(\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}, \overline{\hat{z}}_{c}^{(L)}, m^{(L)}, \widetilde{m}^{(L)}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\hat{z}^{(R)}=\hat{z}^{(R)} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\bar{z}_{a}^{(R)}=\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)} \\
\hat{z}^{(L)}=\hat{z}^{(L)} \\
\overline{\hat{z}}_{c}^{(L)}=\overline{\hat{z}}^{(L)}
\end{array}
\end{array} .\right. \tag{B.26}
\end{align*}
$$

The SQED partition function admits factorized expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{S^{2}}^{\mathrm{SQED}}(\hat{z}, \bar{z}) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left[c_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})} F_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\hat{z}) F_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\overline{\hat{z}})\right]  \tag{B.27}\\
& =\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left[c_{s}^{(\mathrm{u})} F_{s}^{(\mathrm{u})}(\hat{z}) F_{s}^{(\mathrm{u})}(\overline{\hat{z}})\right]
\end{align*}
$$

in terms of holomorphic functions $F_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\hat{z})=(-\hat{z})^{i m_{j}}(1+$ $\cdots$ ) and $F_{s}^{(\mathrm{u})}(\hat{z})=(-\hat{z})^{i \widetilde{m}_{s}}(1+\cdots)$, with (hypergeometric) series in powers of $\hat{z}$ and of $\hat{z}^{-1}$ respectively converging for $|\hat{z}| \lessgtr 1$. Both the series and the constants $c_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ and $c_{s}^{(\mathrm{u})}$ are known explicitly and coincide (up to powers of $\hat{z}$ and $1-\hat{z}$ ) with s-channel and u-channel conformal blocks and three-point functions of a Toda CFT four-point function with a fundamental degenerate inser-
tion $\hat{V}_{-b \omega_{1}}$. We suppress the dependence on masses to keep notations short. The choice of sign ensures that the functions have the same branch cut, namely the positive real axis.

In the sphere partition function (B.26) we can expand both sets of $S^{2}$ partition functions using (B.27). Each set can be expanded in the s-channel or the u-channel according to whether $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right| \lessgtr 1$ or whether $\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right| \lessgtr 1$. We denote the four cases by (s,s)-channel for $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|,\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|<1$, (u,s)-channel for $\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|<1<\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|$, ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{u}\right)$-channel for $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|<1<\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|$, and (u,u)-channel for $1<\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|,\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|$. In each case, antisymmetry in permuting the $\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}$ or $\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}$ (and not their complex conjugates) reduces the sum to a sum over choices of an $n$-element and an $n$ 'element subsets of $\left\{1, \ldots, n_{f}\right\}$. For example, the ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}$ )-channel is as follows, omitting the 4 d contribution and a sign $(-1)^{\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\left(n+n^{\prime}-1\right) / 2}$ as they are constant:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \simeq \sum_{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{n}}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \sum_{s_{1}<\cdots<s_{n^{\prime}}}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left[\left(\prod_{a=1}^{n} c_{j_{a}}^{(\mathrm{s})}\right)\left(\prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} c_{s_{c}}^{\prime(\mathrm{s})}\right)\right.  \tag{B.28}\\
&\left.\times F_{\{j\},\{s\}}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s})}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}, \hat{z}^{(L)}\right) F_{\{j\},\{s\}}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s})}\left(\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}, \overline{\hat{z}}^{(L)}\right)\right] \\
& F_{\{j\},\{s\}}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s})}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}, \hat{z}^{(L)}\right)=\prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(b^{-1} \vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(\vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-\vartheta_{c}^{(R)}\right) \prod_{a<c}^{n^{\prime}}\left(\vartheta_{a}^{(L)}-\vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{n} F_{j_{a}}^{(\mathrm{s})(R)}\left(\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}\right) \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} F_{s_{c}}^{(\mathrm{s})(L)}\left(\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}=\hat{z}^{(R)} \\
\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}=\hat{z}^{(L)}
\end{array}\right. \tag{B.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{(\mathrm{s})(R)}$ and $F^{(\mathrm{s})(L)}$ differ in which twisted masses they involve.

The holomorphic blocks $F_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\hat{z})$ and $F_{j}^{(\mathrm{u})}(\hat{z})$ of the SQED partition function are related by analytic continuation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j}^{(\mathrm{s})}(\hat{z}) \stackrel{\text { braid }}{=} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} B_{j s} F_{s}^{(\mathrm{u})}(\hat{z}) \tag{B.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The braiding matrix is explicitly $B_{j s}=D_{j} \check{B}_{j s} \widetilde{D}_{s}$ in terms of

$$
\begin{align*}
\check{B}_{j s} & =\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi\left(i m_{j}-i \widetilde{m}_{s}\right)} \\
D_{j} & =\frac{\prod_{k \neq j}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \Gamma\left(1-i m_{k}+i m_{j}\right)}{\prod_{t=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \Gamma\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{t}+i m_{j}\right)}  \tag{B.31}\\
\widetilde{D}_{s} & =\frac{\prod_{t \neq s}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \Gamma\left(-i \widetilde{m}_{t}+i \widetilde{m}_{s}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \Gamma\left(1-i m_{k}+i \widetilde{m}_{s}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce braiding matrices relating the various expansions of the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ partition function. For defi-
niteness we analytically continue from the ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}$ ) channel $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|,\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|<1$ to the (u,s) channel $\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|<1<\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|$. For this, apply the SQED braiding $F_{j_{a}}^{(\mathrm{s})(R)}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}\right) \stackrel{\text { braid }}{=}$ $\sum_{t_{a}=1}^{n_{f}} B_{j_{a} t_{a}}^{(R)} F_{t_{a}}^{(\mathrm{u})(R)}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}\right)$ to (B.29) and note that antisymmetry in the $j_{a}$ forces all $t_{a}$ to be distinct:

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\{j\},\{s\}}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s})}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)},\right. & \left.\hat{z}^{(L)}\right) \stackrel{\text { braid }}{=} \sum_{t_{1} \neq \cdots \neq t_{n}}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left[\left(\prod_{a=1}^{n} B_{j_{a} t_{a}}^{(R)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times(-1)^{\operatorname{sign}(t)} F_{\{t\},\{s\}}^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~s})}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}, \hat{z}^{(L)}\right)\right] \tag{B.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where the signature of $t$ (as a permutation of $\{t\}$ ) is due to the antisymmetry of the differential operator $\prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(\vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-\vartheta_{c}^{(R)}\right)$ appearing in the construction of $F^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{s})}$. The braiding matrix is thus an antisymmetrized product of SQED braiding matrices. However, to compare with the relevant Toda CFT braiding matrix we need to normalize the series $F^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})}$ and $F^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{s})}$ by their leading coefficients $F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})}$ and $F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{s})}$ : the braiding matrix is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\{j\}\{s\},\{t\}\{s\}}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}\left[(-1)^{\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)} \prod_{a=1}^{n} B_{j_{a} t_{\sigma(a)}}^{(R)}\right] \frac{F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~s})}}{F_{\text {lead }}^{\mathrm{sead}^{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s}}}} \tag{B.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading term of $F^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s})}$ is simply obtained by applying the differential operator to leading terms of each series $F^{(\mathrm{s})}$ and $F^{\prime(\mathrm{s})}$ : it is $\left(-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right)^{\sum_{a=1}^{n} i m_{j_{a}}^{(R)}}\left(-\hat{z}^{(L)}\right)^{\sum_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}}$ times a leading coefficient

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s})}=\prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(b^{-1} i m_{j_{a}}^{(R)}-b i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}\right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(i m_{j_{a}}^{(R)}-i m_{j_{c}}^{(R)}\right) \prod_{a<c}^{n^{\prime}}\left(i m_{s_{a}}^{(L)}-i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}\right) \tag{B.34}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the same procedure yields zero for $F^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{s})}$ if any $t_{a}=s_{c}$ because $b^{-1} \vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}$ then annihilates $\left(-\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}\right)^{i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)}}\left(-\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}\right)^{i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}}$. To get a nonzero result one must consider higher order terms $\left(-\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}\right)^{i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)}-k_{a}^{(R)}}\left(-\hat{z}_{c}^{(L)}\right)^{i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}+k_{c}^{(L)}}$ with $k_{a}^{(R)}, k_{c}^{(L)} \geq 0$ not both zero. Depending on whether $1<\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|<$ $\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|^{-1}$ or $1<\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}\right|^{-1}<\left|\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|$ a different term dominates: $\left(k_{a}^{(R)}, k_{c}^{(L)}\right)=(1,0)$ or $(0,1)$ respectively. Thus the holomorphic blocks in these two channels have different normalizations to ensure that their leading coefficient is 1 . We will be interested in the first of these channels; denoting $i \widetilde{m}_{t}^{(R)\{s\}}=i \widetilde{m}_{t}^{(R)}-\delta_{t \in\{s\}}$ the leading term of $F^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{s})}$ is then $\left(-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right)^{\sum_{a=1}^{n} i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)\{s\}}}\left(-\hat{z}^{(L)}\right)^{\sum_{c=1}^{n^{\prime} i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}}}$
times

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~s})}=\prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(b^{-1} i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)\{s\}}-b i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}\right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)\{s\}}-i \widetilde{m}_{t_{c}}^{(R)\{s\}}\right) \prod_{a<c}^{n^{\prime}}\left(i m_{s_{a}}^{(L)}-i m_{s_{c}}^{(L)}\right) \\
& \quad \times \prod_{u \in\{s\} \cap\{t\}} \frac{-\prod_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(i m_{j}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{u}^{(R)}\right)}{\prod_{v=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(1+i \widetilde{m}_{v}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{u}^{(R)}\right)} \tag{B.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\operatorname{sign}(-1)^{\#(\{s\} \cap\{t\})}$ is due to the choice of branch cut. We decompose $B=D \check{B} \widetilde{D}$ in (B.33) according to (B.31) and massage the normalization as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{~s})}}{F_{\text {lead }}^{(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s})}} \prod_{j \in\{j\}} D_{j}^{(R)} \prod_{t \in\{t\}} \widetilde{D}_{t}^{(R)}=\left[\frac{\prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{t_{c}}^{(R)}\right)}{\prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(i m_{j_{a}}^{(R)}-i m_{j_{c}}^{(R)}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \prod_{j \in\{j\}} D_{j}^{(R)} \prod_{t \in\{t\}} \widetilde{D}_{t}^{(R)}\right]_{i \widetilde{m}^{(R)} \rightarrow i \widetilde{m}^{(R)\{s\}}}(-1)^{\#(\{s\} \cap\{t\})} \tag{B.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\check{B}$ is antiperiodic under integer shifts of $i \widetilde{m}^{(R)}$ we conclude that the braiding matrix of our $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ sphere partition function is

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{\{j\}\{s\},\{t\}\{s\}}=\left[\frac{\prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{t_{a}}^{(R)}-i \widetilde{m}_{t_{c}}^{(R)}\right)}{\prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(i m_{j_{a}}^{(R)}-i m_{j_{c}}^{(R)}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}\left[(-1)^{\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)} \prod_{a=1}^{n} B_{j_{a} t_{\sigma(a)}^{(R)}}^{(R)}\right]\right]_{i \widetilde{m}^{(R)} \rightarrow i \widetilde{m}(R)\{s\}} \tag{B.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Strikingly, the dependence on $n^{\prime}$ and on the choice of $n^{\prime}$ antifundamental flavors $\{s\}$ is restricted to a shift of mass parameters. Therefore the braiding matrix is equal to that of a similar $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ setup with the left 2 d theory removed and twisted masses shifted. It was shown in [26, Appendix A.3] that this gauge theory (SQCD) braiding matrix is equal to the Toda CFT braiding matrix we expect, with momenta $\alpha_{0}$, the degenerate $-b \omega_{n}$, the semi-degenerate $\lambda \omega_{1}$ including an $n^{\prime} b^{-1}$ shift, and $\alpha_{\infty}+b^{-1} \sum_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}} h_{s_{c}}$.

To recapitulate, the differential operator introducing 0d fields only affects braiding matrices through a change in normalization; braiding matrices thus essentially coincide with those of a pure 2 d theory, known to match with Toda CFT braiding matrices; the normalization change is reproduced by a momentum shift $\alpha_{\infty} \rightarrow \alpha_{\infty}+b^{-1} h^{\prime}$ on the Toda CFT side, itself due to the additional $-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}$ degenerate insertion.
3. Channels $\hat{z}^{(R)} \rightarrow 1$ and $\hat{z}^{(R)} \hat{z}^{(L)} \rightarrow 1$

So far we have focused on expansions corresponding to taking the OPE of degenerate and generic punctures. We now consider the $x=\hat{z}^{(R)} \rightarrow 1$ limit, corresponding to the fusion rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}_{-b \omega_{n}} \hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}}=\hat{V}_{(\lambda+b) \omega_{1}-b \omega_{n+1}}+\hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}-b \omega_{n}} \tag{B.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

derived in [26]. Since three-point functions of two generic vertex operators and $\hat{V}_{\lambda \omega_{1}-b \omega_{n}}$ or $\hat{V}_{(\lambda+b) \omega_{1}-b \omega_{n+1}}$ are unwieldy we only compare powers of $|1-x|^{2}$. On the Toda CFT side these are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta\left((\lambda+b) \omega_{1}-b \omega_{n+1}\right)-\Delta\left(\lambda \omega_{1}\right)-\Delta\left(-b \omega_{n}\right)+\beta_{1} \\
=n\left(b^{2}+1\right)+\beta_{1}=0 \tag{B.39}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta\left(\lambda \omega_{1}-b \omega_{n}\right)-\Delta\left(\lambda \omega_{1}\right)-\Delta\left(-b \omega_{n}\right)+\beta_{1}  \tag{B.40}\\
=b \lambda+\beta_{1}=\zeta-n^{\prime}-1
\end{array}
$$

where we introduced $\zeta=n_{\mathrm{f}}+\sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i \widetilde{m}_{s}^{(R)}-\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i m_{j}^{(R)}$ for convenience.

On the gauge theory side we expand each $Z_{S^{2}}^{\mathrm{SQED}}\left(\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}, \overline{\hat{z}}_{a}^{(R)}\right)$ in the representation (B.26) near $\hat{z}^{(R)}=1:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S^{2}}^{S Q E D}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}, \overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right)=G\left(1-\hat{z}^{(R)}, 1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right) \\
& \quad+\left|1-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|^{2(\zeta-1)} H\left(1-\hat{z}^{(R)}, 1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right) \tag{B.41}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G$ and $H$ are series in non-negative powers of $\left(1-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right)$ and $\left(1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right)$ and it turns out that $H$ factorizes into a holomorphic times an antiholomorphic series. When combining such decompositions of $n$ SQED partition functions one would expect $2^{n}$ terms; however antisymmetry of the holomorphic differential operator $\prod_{a=1}^{n} \prod_{c=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(b^{-1} \vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right) \prod_{a<c}^{n}\left(\vartheta_{a}^{(R)}-\right.$ $\left.\vartheta_{c}^{(R)}\right) \prod_{a<c}^{n^{\prime}}\left(\vartheta_{a}^{(L)}-\vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right)$ under permuting the $\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}$ eliminates all terms involving more than one $H$.

Acting with a derivative $\vartheta^{(R)}=\hat{z}^{(R)} \partial / \partial \hat{z}^{(R)}$ and $\bar{\vartheta}^{(R)}$ on (B.41) turns the series in $\left(1-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right)$ and $\left(1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right)$ into other such series and subtracts one from the exponent $\zeta-1$. Since the holomorphic differential operator involves at most $n^{\prime}+n-1$ derivatives $\vartheta_{a}^{(R)}$ for any given $\hat{z}_{a}^{(R)}$, we obtain a decomposition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad Z=K\left(\hat{z}^{(L)}, \overline{\hat{z}}^{(L)} ; 1-\hat{z}^{(R)}, 1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right) \\
& +\left|1-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|^{2\left[\zeta-1-\left(n^{\prime}+n-1\right)\right]} L\left(\hat{z}^{(L)}, \overline{\hat{z}}^{(L)} ; 1-\hat{z}^{(R)}, 1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right) \tag{B.42}
\end{align*}
$$

for some series $K$ and $L$ in non-negative powers of $(1-$ $\hat{z}^{(R)}$ ) and $\left(1-\overline{\hat{z}}^{(R)}\right)$ whose coefficients are functions of $\hat{z}^{(L)}$ and $\overline{\hat{z}}^{(L)}$. This precisely reproduces the Toda CFT exponents.

When $n=1$ we can analyze the leading term in the series $L$ more precisely. It must come from acting on $\left|1-\hat{z}^{(R)}\right|^{2(\zeta-1)} H(0,0)$ with $n+n^{\prime}-1=n^{\prime}$ derivatives $\vartheta^{(R)}$ and $n^{\prime}$ derivatives $\bar{\vartheta}^{(R)}$. In particular for each factor $\left(b^{-1} \vartheta^{(R)}-b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}\right)$ the derivative $b \vartheta_{c}^{(L)}$ does not contribute to this leading term. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& L\left(\hat{z}^{(L)}, \overline{\hat{z}}^{(L)} ; 0,0\right) \\
& =\left(H(0,0) \prod_{k=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(-b^{-2}(k-\zeta)^{2}\right)\right) Z_{S_{b}^{4}}^{\mathrm{hyper}} Z_{S^{2}}^{U\left(n^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{SQCD}} \tag{B.43}
\end{align*}
$$

The first factor is ( $A$ times) $\widehat{C}_{-b \omega_{1}, \lambda \omega_{1}}^{\left(\lambda-b \omega_{1}\right.}$ and the other two factors are the Toda CFT correlator $\left\langle\hat{V}_{\alpha_{\infty}}(\infty) \hat{V}_{(\lambda-b) \omega_{1}}(1) \hat{V}_{\alpha_{0}}(0) \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{f}-n^{\prime}}}\left(x^{\prime}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \quad$ as expected for this term of the fusion (B.38).

Let us return to the case of general $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ and consider the limit $\hat{z}^{(R)} \hat{z}^{(L)} \rightarrow 1$ namely $x^{(L)} \rightarrow x^{(R)}$. On the Toda CFT side the OPE involves a single conformal family

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{V}_{-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \hat{V}_{-b \omega_{n}}(x) \\
& \sim\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|^{-2\left\langle\omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}, \omega_{n}\right\rangle}\left(\hat{V}_{-b \omega_{n}-b^{-1} \omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}}(x)+\cdots\right) . \tag{B.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the factor $\left|x^{\prime}-x\right|^{2 \gamma^{\prime}}$ into account we find the exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}-\left\langle\omega_{n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}}, \omega_{n}\right\rangle=\max \left(0, n+n^{\prime}-n_{\mathrm{f}}\right), \tag{B.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

a non-negative integer. On the gauge theory side the limit $\hat{z}^{(L)} \rightarrow 1 / \hat{z}^{(R)}$ is smooth, as can be seen for example from the (u,s)-channel (resp. (s,u)-channel) expansion of the partition function in non-negative (resp. non-positive) powers of $\hat{z}^{(L)}$ and $1 / \hat{z}^{(R)}$ explained above (B.28). This is consistent with the Toda CFT result, but does not explain the positive exponent when $n+n^{\prime}>n_{\mathrm{f}}$. For this, recall first that the partition function is written in the ( $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{s}$ )-channel as a sum, over subsets $\{t\}$ and $\{s\}$ of $\left\{1, \ldots, n_{\mathrm{f}}\right\}$ with $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ elements, of series whose first non-zero term is at degree $d=\#(\{t\} \cap\{s\})$. The holomorphic series are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{d, 0}\left(\hat{z}^{(R)}\right)^{-d}+\cdots+c_{0, d}\left(\hat{z}^{(L)}\right)^{d}\right) \tag{B.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

plus terms of higher homogeneous degree in $1 / \hat{z}^{(R)}$ and $\hat{z}^{(L)}$. Notice now that the Toda CFT exponent $\max \left(0, n+n^{\prime}-n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the minimal possible value of $d$ over all subsets $\{t\}$ and $\{s\}$. It is plausible that the leading polynomial (B.46) and all higher order terms are divisible by $\left(\hat{z}^{(L)}-1 / \hat{z}^{(R)}\right)^{\max \left(0, n+n^{\prime}-n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}$. Presumably there exists a Seiberg dual of the $U(n) \times U\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ quiver, with $n \rightarrow n_{\mathrm{f}}-n$ and $n^{\prime} \rightarrow n_{\mathrm{f}}-n^{\prime}$, whose partition function differs from the original quiver's by a power of
$\left|\hat{z}^{(L)}-1 / \hat{z}^{(R)}\right|^{2}$ in such a way as to make manifest the factor $\left(\hat{z}^{(L)}-1 / \hat{z}^{(R)}\right)^{n+n^{\prime}-n_{\mathrm{f}}}$ when $n+n^{\prime}>n_{\mathrm{f}}$.

## Appendix C: Prefactors

This appendix lists prefactors $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ appearing in the equalities that we propose in the main text, relating $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d} / 0 \mathrm{~d}$ partition functions and Toda CFT degenerate correlators. These factors can be absorbed as ambiguities of the partition function, [127] but can be useful for extracting Toda CFT results (such as new conformal blocks) from the partition functions obtained by localization. For the matching (V.10) between a quiver with 0d Fermi multiplets and a Toda CFT correlator with antisymmetric degenerate operators, the coefficient $A_{1}\left(x, x^{\prime} ; \bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)=A a\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) a\left(\bar{x}, \bar{x}^{\prime}\right)$ is given by (we recall $K_{\iota}=n_{\iota}-n_{\iota-1}$ and $\left.K_{\iota}^{\prime}=n_{\iota}^{\prime}-n_{\iota-1}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=b^{n_{\nu}\left(2 \sum i m^{(R)}-2 \sum i \widetilde{m}^{(R)}-n_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-n_{\nu}\right) b^{2}\right)-2\left\langle Q, b \sum_{\kappa} \omega_{K_{\kappa}}\right\rangle} \\
& \times b^{n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(2 \sum i m^{(L)}-2 \sum i \widetilde{m}^{(L)}-n_{\mathrm{f}}+\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) b^{-2}\right)-2\left\langle Q, b^{-1} \sum_{\kappa} \omega_{K_{\kappa}^{\prime}}\right\rangle} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
a\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu}\left[\left(x_{\iota}\right)^{\beta_{0 \iota}}\left(1-x_{\iota}\right)^{\beta_{1 \iota}}\right] \prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu^{\prime}}\left[\left(x_{\iota}^{\prime}\right)^{\beta_{0 \iota}^{\prime}}\left(1-x_{\iota}^{\prime}\right)^{\beta_{1 \iota}^{\prime}}\right] \\
\quad \times \prod_{\iota<\kappa}^{\nu}\left(x_{\iota}-x_{\kappa}\right)^{\gamma_{\iota \kappa}} \prod_{\iota=1}^{\nu^{\prime}} \prod_{\kappa=1}^{\nu}\left(x_{\iota}^{\prime}-x_{\kappa}\right)^{\gamma_{\iota \kappa}^{\prime}} \prod_{\iota<\kappa}^{\nu^{\prime}}\left(x_{\iota}^{\prime}-x_{\kappa}^{\prime}\right)^{\gamma_{\iota \kappa}^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array} \tag{C.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{0 \kappa}= & -\left\langle Q, b \omega_{K_{\kappa}}\right\rangle+\frac{K_{\kappa}}{n_{\mathrm{f}}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i m_{j}^{(R)}\right)  \tag{C.3}\\
& \quad-\left(n_{\kappa-1}+K_{\kappa}(\nu-\kappa)\right) \frac{b^{2}}{2} \\
\beta_{0 \kappa}^{\prime}= & -\left\langle Q, b^{-1} \omega_{K_{\kappa}^{\prime}}\right\rangle-\frac{K_{\kappa}^{\prime}}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{\prime}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} i \widetilde{m}_{j}^{(L)}\right)  \tag{C.4}\\
& \quad-\left(n_{\kappa-1}^{\prime}+K_{\kappa}^{\prime}\left(\nu^{\prime}-\kappa\right)\right) \frac{b^{-2}}{2} \\
\beta_{1 \kappa}= & -K_{\kappa} b\left(b+b^{-1}-\lambda / n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)  \tag{C.5}\\
\beta_{1 \kappa}^{\prime}= & -K_{\kappa}^{\prime} b^{-1} \lambda / n_{\mathrm{f}}  \tag{C.6}\\
\gamma_{\iota \kappa}= & b^{2}\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\max (\iota, \kappa)}\right) K_{\min (\iota, \kappa)} / n_{\mathrm{f}}  \tag{C.7}\\
\gamma_{\iota \kappa}^{\prime}= & K_{\iota}^{\prime} K_{\kappa} / n_{\mathrm{f}}  \tag{C.8}\\
\gamma_{\iota \kappa}^{\prime \prime}= & b^{-2} K_{\min (\iota, \kappa)}^{\prime}\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-K_{\max (\iota, \kappa)}^{\prime}\right) / n_{\mathrm{f}} . \tag{C.9}
\end{align*}
$$

For the quiver with 0d chiral multiplets, we recall that the two FI parameters must be equal. The prefactor is then $A_{2}(x, \bar{x})=\widetilde{A}|x|^{2 \widetilde{\beta}}|1-x|^{2 \widetilde{\gamma}}$ given by (neglecting
powers of $b$ in $\widetilde{A}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{A} & =b^{\cdots} \frac{\Upsilon^{\prime}(0)}{\Upsilon^{\prime}\left(-n b-n^{\prime} b^{-1}\right)}  \tag{C.11}\\
& =b^{\cdots} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \gamma\left(-k b^{2}\right) \prod_{k^{\prime}=1}^{n^{\prime}} \gamma\left(-k^{\prime} b^{-2}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{k^{\prime}=1}^{n^{\prime}}\left(-k b-k^{\prime} b^{-1}\right)} \tag{C.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\beta}= & \left(n b+n^{\prime} b^{-1}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-2\right)\left(b+b^{-1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(n b+n^{\prime} b^{-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { (C.11) } \\
\widetilde{\gamma}= & -\left(n b+n^{\prime} b^{-1}\right)\left(b+b^{-1}-\lambda / n_{\mathrm{f}}\right)  \tag{C.12}\\
= & \left(n b+n^{\prime} b^{-1}\right)\left[\frac{n-n_{\mathrm{f}}}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b+\frac{n^{\prime}-n_{\mathrm{f}}}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \sum_{s=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1}\left(i \widetilde{m}_{s}+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\mathrm{f}}} b^{-1}\left(i m_{j}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] . \tag{C.13}
\end{align*}
$$

[1] S. Gukov and A. Kapustin, (2013), arXiv:1307.4793 [hep-th].
[2] S. Gukov and E. Witten, (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0612073 [hep-th].
[3] A. Braverman, in CRM Workshop on Algebraic Structures and Moduli Spaces Montreal, Canada, July 14-20, 2003 (2004) arXiv:math/0401409 [math-ag].
[4] J. Gomis and S. Matsuura, JHEP 06, 025 (2007), arXiv:0704.1657 [hep-th].
[5] S. Gukov, Constituents, fundamental forces and symmetries of the universe. Proceedings, 2nd Workshop of the European Marie Curie Research Training Network, Naples, Italy, October 9-13, 2006, Fortsch. Phys. 55, 473 (2007), arXiv:0706.2369 [hep-th].
[6] E. Witten, (2007), arXiv:0710.0631 [hep-th].
[7] E. I. Buchbinder, J. Gomis, and F. Passerini, JHEP 12, 101 (2007), arXiv:0710.5170 [hep-th].
[8] C. Beasley, J. J. Heckman, and C. Vafa, JHEP 01, 058 (2009), arXiv:0802.3391 [hep-th].
[9] S. Gukov and E. Witten, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 14, 87 (2010), arXiv:0804.1561 [hep-th].
[10] N. Drukker, J. Gomis, and S. Matsuura, JHEP 10, 048 (2008), arXiv:0805.4199 [hep-th].
[11] D. Gaiotto, JHEP 11, 090 (2012), arXiv:0911.1316 [hep-th].
[12] N. Drukker, J. Gomis, and D. Young, JHEP 03, 004 (2009), arXiv:0810.4344 [hep-th].
[13] A. Kapustin, B. Willett, and I. Yaakov, JHEP 06, 099 (2013), arXiv:1211.2861 [hep-th].
[14] N. Drukker, T. Okuda, and F. Passerini, JHEP 07, 137 (2014), arXiv:1211.3409 [hep-th].
[15] B. Assel and J. Gomis, JHEP 11, 055 (2015), arXiv:1506.01718 [hep-th].
[16] S. Gukov, in New Dualities of Supersymmetric Gauge Theori $\Phi 8$ edited by J. Teschner (Springer, 2016) pp. 223-259, arXiv:1412.7127 [hep-th].
[17] It is not known how to realize a 't Hooft line by integrating out localized degrees of freedom on the line defect.
[18] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, JHEP 08, 074 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0604007 [hep-th].
[19] Namely zero-dimensional dimensional reductions of twodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ theories.
[20] The zero-dimensional fields can also couple directly to the four-dimensional QFT.
[21] N. A. Nekrasov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7, 831 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0206161 [hep-th].
[22] V. Pestun, Commun. Math. Phys. 313, 71 (2012), arXiv:0712.2824 [hep-th].
[23] N. Hama and K. Hosomichi, JHEP 09, 033 (2012), [Addendum: JHEP10,051(2012)], arXiv:1206.6359 [hep-th].
$[24]$ D. Gaiotto, JHEP 08, 034 (2012), arXiv:0904.2715 [hep-th].
[25] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, S. Gukov, Y. Tachikawa, and H. Verlinde, JHEP 01, 113 (2010), arXiv:0909.0945 [hep-th].
[26] J. Gomis and B. Le Floch, JHEP 04, 183 (2016), arXiv:1407.1852 [hep-th].
[27] This symmetry is associated to a trinion with two full and one simple puncture in a pants decomposition of the Riemann surface [24].
[28] There does not exist a unique quiver gauge description of intersecting surface defects as various dualities can take it to a different, but equivalent, one. Some of the duality frames may involve additional 0d fields. Indeed, we will encounter explicit examples of this.
[29] A Fermi multiplet is equivalent to its conjugate up to exchanging $E$-type and $J$-type superpotentials, thus we depict it in quivers as an unoriented (dashed) edge.
[30] The dictionaries on the left and right only differ by conjugating the representation, which turns each column with $k$ boxes into a column with ( $n_{\mathrm{f}}-k$ ) boxes. Seiberg-like dualities of each $2 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ theory relate the quiver given here to $\nu!\nu^{\prime}$ ! quivers with permuted $\left(n_{\kappa}-n_{\kappa-1}\right)$ and permuted $\left(n_{\kappa}^{\prime}-n_{\kappa-1}^{\prime}\right)$.
[31] More precisely, $e^{2 \pi i \tau_{\iota}}=(-1)^{n_{\iota-1}+n_{\iota+1}}$ for $1 \leq \iota<\nu$ and $e^{2 \pi i \tau_{\iota}^{\prime}}=(-1)^{n_{\iota-1}^{\prime}+n_{\iota+1}^{\prime}}$ for $1 \leq \iota<\nu^{\prime}$.
[32] We denote symmetric/antisymmetric powers of the fundamental representation $\square$ by sym ${ }^{n} \square$ and $\wedge^{n} \square$.
33] By taking some of the FI parameters to vanish, one can bring subsets of the $\nu+\nu^{\prime}$ branes together at different points on the Riemann surface and hence realize an arbitrary family of M2-brane intersections labeled by arbitrary representations.
[34] In detail, $c=\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}-1\right)\left[1+n_{\mathrm{f}}\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}+1\right)\left(b+b^{-1}\right)^{2}\right]$.
[35] Our results apply more generally for $\Re\left(\epsilon_{1} / \epsilon_{2}\right) \geq 0$, see section C for details.
[36] Figure 12 in section IV gives the quiver for any number of M2-brane intersections labeled by symmetric repre-
sentations.
[37] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, Lett. Math. Phys. 91, 167 (2010), arXiv:0906.3219 [hep-th].
[38] N. Wyllard, JHEP 11, 002 (2009), arXiv:0907.2189 [hep-th].
[39] T. Dimofte, S. Gukov, and L. Hollands, Lett. Math. Phys. 98, 225 (2011), arXiv:1006.0977 [hep-th].
[40] M. Taki, JHEP 07, 047 (2011), arXiv:1007.2524 [hep-th].
[41] G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini, and J. Zhao, JHEP 06, 178 (2012), arXiv:1102.0184 [hep-th].
[42] G. Bonelli, A. Tanzini, and J. Zhao, JHEP 09, 096 (2011), arXiv:1107.2787 [hep-th].
[43] N. Doroud, J. Gomis, B. Le Floch, and S. Lee, JHEP 05, 093 (2013), arXiv:1206.2606 [hep-th].
[44] Equivalently, the defect $S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times S U\left(n_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \times U(1)$ symmetry could be gauged using the bottom two nodes of the SQCD quiver. The two descriptions are dual to each other and related by hopping duality [26, 50].
[45] We denote the four-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ Poincaré supercharges as $Q_{\alpha}^{A}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{A}$, with $A$ an $S U(2)_{\mathcal{R}}$ index and $\alpha, \dot{\alpha}$ Lorentz spinor indices.
[46] When a global $U(1)$ symmetry is gauged using a $U(1)$ gauge field of another theory (in our case, the bulk theory), there remains a global $U(1)$ symmetry (eliminated in our case by superpotentials). A toy model of this property is as follows. Start with two theories: $N$ free chiral multiplets, and a $U(1)$ vector multiplet coupled to $N$ charge -1 chiral multiplets. Gauging the $U(1)$ flavor symmetry of the free chiral multiplets using the $U(1)$ vector multiplet yields SQED, which has $S U(N) \times S U(N) \times U(1)$ global symmetry. The $U(1)$ factor stems from the original $U(1)$ flavor symmetry of the first theory up to gauge redundancy.
[47] For a sample of references of this approach see, e.g., [11, 58, 128-130].
[48] The four-dimensional Lorentz invariance of the bulk theory is reproduced after terms in the Lagrangian of different lower-dimensional multiplets are combined.
[49] We call this quiver diagram "local" to emphasize that it only shows the four-dimensional fields to which the two-dimensional theory couples, and that these fourdimensional fields may be part of a larger quiver gauge theory.
[50] A. Gadde and S. Gukov, JHEP 03, 080 (2014), arXiv:1305.0266 [hep-th].
[51] This decomposition looks analogous to the decomposition into a pair of $4 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=1$ chiral multiplets, but differs in which fermions appear in each multiplet. The fourdimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet decomposes into a two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ vector multiplet and an adjoint chiral multiplet.
[52] Indeed, an $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ surface defect supported on the $\left(x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$-plane can be chosen to preserve $\left(Q_{+}^{1}, Q_{-}^{2}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{-}}^{2}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{+}}^{1}\right)$. Note that the choice of $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ subalgebras preserved by the individual defects must be correlated to ensure the intersecting system is quarterBPS.
[53] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B403, 159 (1993), arXiv:hep-th/9301042 [hep-th].
[54] Note that $\Lambda$ is neutral under the diagonal $U(1)$.
[55] F. Benini and S. Cremonesi, Commun. Math. Phys. 334, 1483 (2015), arXiv:1206.2356 [hep-th].
$[56]$ J. Gomis and S. Lee, JHEP 04, 019 (2013), arXiv:1210.6022 [hep-th].
[57] N. Doroud and J. Gomis, JHEP 12, 99 (2013), arXiv:1309.2305 [hep-th].
[58] J. Lamy-Poirier, (2014), arXiv:1412.0530 [hep-th].
[59] K. Hori, H. Kim, and P. Yi, JHEP 01, 124 (2015), arXiv:1407.2567 [hep-th].
[60] In order not to clutter formulas, we leave implicit the dependence of the various ingredients on the masses of the matter multiplets and the dependence of twodimensional contributions on complexified FI parameters.
[61] The function $\Upsilon_{b}(x)$ is related to the Barnes doubleGamma function.
[62] $\Gamma(x)$ is Euler's Gamma function.
[63] The charge is normalized such that $\mathcal{Q}_{\underset{\sim}{d}}$ has charge one under $J_{3}^{\mathcal{R}}$, the same as that of $(Q, \tilde{Q})$, the two fourdimensional chiral multiplets that represent a hypermultiplet.
[64] The scalar is made dimensionless with a factor $\sqrt{\ell \tilde{\ell}}$.
[65] The gauge equivariant parameters on the North and South poles of $S_{b}^{2}$ are the complex conjugate of each other $[43, \underline{55}, \underline{56}]$, which explains the sign difference between North and South pole contributions. In (III.17) we substitute the equivariant parameters at the poles with their values at the saddle points (see [43, $\underline{55}, \underline{56}]$ for more details).
[66] L. C. Jeffrey and F. C. Kirwan, Topology 34, 291 (1995), arXiv:alg-geom/9307001.
[67] Note that the definition of $\mathfrak{u}_{a b}$ does not respect the naive charge assignments of the $0 d$ bifundamental chiral multiplets.
[68] If more than $N$ of the hyperplanes defined by pole equations intersect one must locally decompose $F(\mathfrak{S})$ as a sum of terms that each have only $N$ singular factors at $\mathfrak{S}^{\star}$, and apply the JK residue to each term.
[69] The Riemann surface lies along $\left(x^{7}, x^{11}\right)$.
[70] Note that the symmetric representation admits two descriptions. The two descriptions share, at the very least, the value of the two-sphere partition function. This is akin to the giant and dual giant description of Wilson loops [18, 131-133].
[71] The brane setup is not perturbative in string theory, as it involves NS5-branes. The rules for reading off the light degrees of freedom and couplings generalize the more supersymmetric constructions in [134]. For instance, consider a D2 and a D2'-brane ending on different sides of an NS5-brane, or spanning between two parallel NS5branes. The three types of branes preserve $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,4)$ supersymmetry hence massless modes of strings stretching from D 2 to $\mathrm{D} 2^{\prime}$ can be either in a hypermultiplet or a Fermi multiplet: in $0 \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ language, a Fermi multiplet or a pair of chiral multiplets. To find out which multiplet appears in either situation, we can T-dualize the standard ADHM construction describing instantons in an $\mathcal{N}=2$ quiver gauge theory and involving the subsequence of branes D4-NS5-D4/D0-NS5-D4 along two spatial directions to bring it into the form D2-NS5-D2/D2'-NS5-D2. Borrowing from the ADHM dictionary, we then conclude that strings stretching be-
tween D2 and a D2'-branes ending on different sides of an NS5-brane constitute a Fermi multiplet, while those stretching between D2 and a D2'-branes suspended between two parallel NS5-branes make up a hypermultiplet.
[72] The setup where these FI parameters do not vanish corresponds to multiple insertions of degenerate fields in Toda CFT [26].
[73] The complexified FI parameters are taken to vanish for all these nodes.
[74] When they are opposite, we conjecture that the partition function of the intersecting defect is computed by the insertion of a single degenerate field in Toda CFT, with momentum $\alpha=-b \Omega-\Omega^{\prime} / b$. The partition function when $\xi^{(L)} \neq-\xi^{(R)}$ is expected to correspond to the insertion of two degenerate fields, one with momentum $\alpha=-b \Omega$ and the other with momentum $\alpha=-\Omega^{\prime} / b$.
[75] Moving NS5 ${ }^{\prime}$-branes along $x^{10}$ does not affect the IR description. In particular, the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ Seiberg-like duality for a gauge factor $U\left(n_{\kappa}\right)$ with $1 \leq$ $\kappa<\nu$ is realized by exchanging the $x^{10}$ positions of neighboring NS5'-branes. On the other hand, moving an NS5'-brane past the middle NS5-brane realizes a Seiberg-like duality on the gauge factor $U\left(n_{\nu}\right)$; it would be interesting to clarify how the 0d Fermi multiplet transforms under such a duality, and correspondingly what matter content to expect from a brane configuration with NS5 ${ }^{\prime}$ and NS5 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$-branes on the same side of the brane configuration depicted above.
[76] D. Tong,
JHEP 04, 193 (2014), arXiv:1402.5135 [hep-th].
[77] We use standard Liouville CFT notation. Vertex operators $\hat{V}_{\alpha}$ are labeled by their complex momentum $\alpha$ and their conformal dimension is equal to twice their (anti)holomorphic conformal weight $\Delta(\alpha)=\alpha(Q-\alpha)$ where $Q=b+\frac{1}{b}$. In the appendix we describe a normalization $\hat{V}_{\alpha}=N^{(\alpha)} V_{\alpha}$ for which $\hat{V}_{Q-\alpha}=\hat{V}_{\alpha}$. We use a different normalization to define $\hat{V}$ for degenerate vertex operators ( $\alpha=-m \frac{b}{2}-n \frac{1}{2 b}$ with $m, n \geq 0$ ) because $N^{(\alpha)}$ is singular. Liouville and Toda CFT notations are related by measuring momenta in units of the positive root of $A_{1}$.
[78] We subtracted $Q / 2$ from each momentum to make the symmetries $\alpha_{i} \rightarrow Q-\alpha_{i}$ more manifest. The odd choice of sign for $\alpha_{3}-Q / 2$ is chosen to match our $n_{\mathrm{f}}>2$ result.
[79] V. A. Fateev, A. V. Litvinov, A. Neveu, and E. Onofri, J. Phys. A42, 304011 (2009), arXiv:0902.1331 [hep-th].
[80] The prefactor $A_{1}$ given explicitly in (C.1) is an ambiguity of the sphere partition function [26].
[81] Liouville and Toda CFT conventions unfortunately differ by factors of 2 , for instance the conformal weight of $\hat{V}_{\alpha}$ is $\Delta(\alpha)=\langle Q, \alpha\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle$. The Killing form is such that $\left\langle h_{i}, h_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}-1 / n_{\mathrm{f}}$.
[82] We subtract $Q$ from generic momenta and $n_{\mathrm{f}}\left(b+b^{-1}\right) / 2$ from the semi-degenerate momentum to make symmetry under Toda CFT conjugation (discussed shortly) more manifest. Due to the $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ superpotential the righthand sides have real parts 0,0 , and $n_{\nu} b-n_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime} b^{-1}$ which vanish in the absence of surface defect.
[83] We assume $b>0$, as this is the case realized by the geometric background $S_{b}^{4}$. The arguments easily generalize to $\Re b^{2} \geq 0$. For other $b$, such as the self-dual $\Omega$-background $\overline{b^{2}}=-1$, different terms dominate; as
a result, degenerate vertex operators labeled by arbitrary representations may appear as the dominant term in the OPE of symmetric degenerate vertex operators, captured by the quiver in Figure 12.
[84] The $4 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~d}$ superpotential implies that the right-hand sides have real parts 0,0 , and $n b+n^{\prime} b^{-1}$.
[85] Y. Pan and W. Peelaers,
(2016), arXiv:1612.04839 [hep-th].
[86] Once again the dependence on masses and FI parameters is left implicit to avoid cluttering formulas.
[87] D. Gaiotto and H.-C. Kim,
(2014), arXiv:1412.2781 [hep-th].
[88] These contribute to the ADHM matrix model zerodimensional $\mathcal{N}=(0,2)$ chiral and Fermi multiplets.
[89] N. Nekrasov, (2016), arXiv:1608.07272 [hep-th].
[90] L. F. Alday and Y. Tachikawa, Lett. Math. Phys. 94, 87 (2010), arXiv:1005.4469 [hep-th].
[91] D. Gaiotto, S. Gukov, and N. Seiberg, JHEP 09, 070 (2013), arXiv:1307.2578 [hep-th].
[92] C. Kozcaz, S. Pasquetti, F. Passerini, and N. Wyllard, JHEP 01, 045 (2011), arXiv:1008.1412 [hep-th].
[93] N. Wyllard, J. Phys. A44, 155401 (2011), arXiv:1011.0289 [hep-th].
[94] N. Wyllard,
JHEP 02, 114 (2011), arXiv:1012.1355 [hep-th].
[95] Y. Tachikawa, JHEP 03, 043 (2011), arXiv:1102.0076 [hep-th].
[96] H. Kanno and Y. Tachikawa, JHEP 06, 119 (2011), arXiv:1105.0357 [hep-th].
[97] V. Belavin, JHEP 10, 156 (2012), arXiv:1209.2992 [hep-th].
[98] M.-C. Tan, JHEP 07, 171 (2013), arXiv:1301.1977 [hep-th].
[99] S. Nawata, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 19, 1277 (2015), arXiv:1408.4132 [hep-th].
[100] M. Bullimore and H.-C. Kim, JHEP 05, 048 (2015), arXiv:1412.3872 [hep-th].
[101] E. Frenkel, S. Gukov, and J. Teschner, JHEP 01, 179 (2016), arXiv:1506.07508 [hep-th].
[102] H. Awata and Y. Yamada, JHEP 01, 125 (2010), arXiv:0910.4431 [hep-th].
[103] H. Awata and Y. Yamada, Prog. Theor. Phys. 124, 227 (2010), arXiv:1004.5122 [hep-th].
[104] M. Taki, (2014), arXiv:1403.7016 [hep-th].
[105] F. Nieri, S. Pasquetti, and F. Passerini, Lett. Math. Phys. 105, 109 (2015), arXiv:1303.2626 [hep-th].
[106] F. Nieri, S. Pasquetti, F. Passerini, and A. Torrielli, JHEP 12, 040 (2014), arXiv:1312.1294 [hep-th].
[107] D. Gaiotto, L. Rastelli, and S. S. Razamat, JHEP 01, 022 (2013), arXiv:1207.3577 [hep-th].
[108] D. Gaiotto and H.-C. Kim, (2015), arXiv:1506.03871 [hep-th].
[109] Y. Pan and W. Peelaers, JHEP 10, 183 (2015), arXiv:1508.07329 [hep-th].
[110] H.-Y. Chen and T.-H. Tsai, PTEP 2016, 013B09 (2016), arXiv:1506.04390 [hep-th].
[111] Y. Pan, JHEP 01, 145 (2015), arXiv:1406.5236 [hep-th].
[112] Note that supersymmetric intersecting codimension two defects do not occur in the (Higgs branch localization)
computations on ( $S^{1}$-fibrations of) lower-dimensional manifolds, see [135-137].
[113] We follow the standard notation in the literature, where the equal weights $h(\alpha)=\bar{h}(\alpha)$ of the scalar operators $V_{\alpha}$ are denoted by $\Delta(\alpha)$. Its conformal dimension is twice that.
[114] H. Dorn and H. J. Otto, Nucl. Phys. B429, 375 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9403141 [hep-th].
[115] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B477, 577 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9506136 [hep-th].
[116] J. Teschner, Phys. Lett. B363, 65 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9507109 [hep-th].
[117] Such prescription can be argued for by analytically continuing the operator product expansion of three generic operators to the situation where one of the operators is degenerate [106, 138].
[118] A minor typo in [79] is that the exponent of $(1-z)$ reads $1+p_{13}+\alpha_{1} Q$, which is incompatible with the known behavior of the Liouville correlator as $z \rightarrow 1$.
[119] As explained in [26] the prefactor on the right-hand side can be associated to ambiguities in the definition of the gauge theory partition function.
[120] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for a Mathematica file checking that the conformal blocks are indeed equal.
[121] F. Benini, D. S. Park, and P. Zhao, Commun. Math. Phys. 340, 47 (2015), arXiv:1406.2699 [hep-th].
[122] Incidentally, this equality is a Weyl symmetry of the Liouville correlator corresponding to $Z_{\text {SQED }}$.
[123] It was crucial that $z=z^{\prime}$ : otherwise, shifting $\sigma^{ \pm}$and $\sigma^{\prime \pm}$ would introduce a factor $\left(z / z^{\prime}\right)^{s_{+} / 2}\left(\bar{z} / \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)^{s-/ 2}$ which leads to corrections in (A.65).
[124] For degenerate vertex operators the normalization differs from [26] by a power of $b^{2\left(b+\frac{1}{b}\right)}$ to preserve $b \rightarrow$ $1 / b$ invariance. In the Liouville case generic and semidegenerate vertex operators are the same and we chose a more symmetrical normalization (A.2); the differences cancel out in correlators we consider.
[125] While these tests are very constraining they do not prove the equality (B.1) as the two sides could differ by the ratio of two polynomials in $\hat{z}^{(R)}$ and $\hat{z}^{(L)}$ with equal leading term, constant term and value at 1 .
[126] We omit the labels $(R)$ and $(L)$ when expressions apply equally to both 2 d theories: masses $(m, \widetilde{m})$ stand for $\left(m^{(R)}, \widetilde{m}^{(R)}\right)$ or $\left(m^{(L)}, \widetilde{m}^{(L)}\right)$.
[127] Factors independent of mass parameters are a renormalization scheme ambiguity, powers of $\left|x_{\kappa}\right|^{2}$ and $\left|x_{\kappa}^{\prime}\right|^{2}$ are absorbed in a shift of vector multiplet scalars, and the remaining factors can be canceled by turning on FI parameters for $U(1)$ flavor symmetries.
[128] N. Arkani-Hamed, T. Gregoire, and J. G. Wacker, JHEP 03, 055 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0101233 [hep-th].
[129] N. R. Constable, J. Erdmenger, Z. Guralnik, and I. Kirsch, Phys. Rev. D68, 106007 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0211222 [hep-th].
[130] E. Mintun, J. Polchinski, and S. Sun, JHEP 08, 118 (2015), arXiv:1402.6327 [hep-th].
[131] N. Drukker and B. Fiol, JHEP 02, 010 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0501109 [hep-th].
[132] S. Yamaguchi, $\quad$ JHEP 05, 037 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0603208 [hep-th].
[133] J. Gomis and F. Passerini, JHEP 01, 097 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0612022 [hep-th].
[134] A. Hanany and E. Witten, $\quad$ Nucl. Phys. B492, 152 (1997), arXiv:hep-th/9611230 [hep-th].
[135] M. Fujitsuka, M. Honda, and Y. Yoshida, PTEP 2014, 123B02 (2014), arXiv:1312.3627 [hep-th].
[136] F. Benini and W. Peelaers, JHEP 05, 030 (2014), arXiv:1312.6078 [hep-th].
[137] W. Peelaers, JHEP 08, 060 (2014), arXiv:1403.2711 [hep-th].
[138] B. Ponsot and J. Teschner, Commun. Math. Phys. 224, 613 (2001), arXiv:math/0007097 [math-qa].

