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We report the double helicity asymmetry, $A_{J/\psi}^{J/\psi}$, in inclusive $J/\psi$ production at forward rapidity as a function of transverse momentum $p_T$ and rapidity $|y|$. The data analyzed were taken during $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV longitudinally polarized $p+p$ collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider in the 2013 run using the PHENIX detector. At this collision energy, $J/\psi$ particles are predominantly produced through gluon-gluon scatterings, thus $A_{J/\psi}^{J/\psi}$ is sensitive to the gluon polarization inside the proton. We measured $A_{J/\psi}^{J/\psi}$ by detecting the decay daughter muon pairs $\mu^+\mu^-$ within the PHENIX muon spectrometers in the rapidity range $1.2 < |y| < 2.2$. In this kinematic range, we measured the $A_{J/\psi}^{J/\psi}$ to be $0.012 \pm 0.010$ (stat) $\pm 0.003$ (syst). The $A_{J/\psi}^{J/\psi}$ can be expressed to be proportional to the product of the gluon polarization distributions at two distinct ranges of Bjorken $x$: one at moderate range $x \approx 5 \times 10^{-2}$ where recent data of jet and $\pi^0$ double helicity spin asymmetries have shown evidence for significant gluon polarization, and the other one covering the poorly known small-$x$ region $x \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}$. Thus our new results could be used to further constrain the gluon polarization for $x < 5 \times 10^{-2}$.

PACS numbers: 14.20.Dh, 14.40.Pq
I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the proton spin structure in terms of quark and gluon degrees of freedom is one of the key open questions in the field of hadron physics. The total angular momentum of the proton may be decomposed into quark and gluon contributions in several different frameworks [1–6]. For example, in the infinite momentum frame, the contributions to the proton spin can be classified according to the Manohar-Jaffe sum rule [1, 7, 8]:

\[
S_p = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta G + L_q + L_g.
\]

(1)

Here, 1/2 \( \Delta \Sigma \) represents the contribution from quark helicity distributions (quark polarization projected onto the proton momentum direction); similarly, \( \Delta G \) represents the contribution from gluon helicity distributions; \( L_q \) and \( L_g \) represent the contributions from orbital angular momenta of quarks and gluons respectively. The Manohar-Jaffe scheme has been widely used to directly compare theoretical expectations with experimental data in the infinite momentum frame for quark and gluon polarization contributions; however, the direct connection between orbital angular momentum and any corresponding experimental observable is still under debate [3, 6].

The polarized parton distribution functions have been studied extensively at the European Laboratory for Particle Physics, the Standford Linear Accelerator, the Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility and the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) for decades. The most-recent-global quantum-chromodynamics (QCD) fits [9–14] based on these experimental data indicate that the quark polarization only accounts for about 30% of the proton spin. The remaining spin must come from the contributions from gluon polarization and from the orbital angular momentum of quarks and gluons. To resolve this “spin puzzle”, it is critical to understand the contribution from gluon polarization [15–19].

Many hard-scale processes in \( p+p \) collisions at RHIC energies are dominated by gluon-gluon and quark-gluon interactions; the corresponding spin observables are therefore sensitive to the gluon polarization. The latest global fits (DSSV [20], NNPDFpol [14], etc.) incorporating the RHIC 2009 inclusive jet [21] and \( \pi^0 \) [22] spin asymmetry data at midrapidity show the first experimental evidence of sizable gluon polarization at moderate Bjorken \( x \) in the range 0.05 \( \leq x \leq 0.2 \). With higher statistics, a recent PHENIX \( A_{LL}^{J/\psi} \) measurement [23] extended the small \( x \) reach down to \( 1 \times 10^{-2} \) for the polarized gluon distribution. However, in the smaller-\( x \) region, \( x \approx 1 \times 10^{-2} \), where gluons dominate, the gluon polarization remains poorly constrained.

The measurement of the double helicity asymmetry in the production of \( J/\psi \) particles at forward rapidity can provide access to the gluon polarization in a smaller \( x \) region, \( x \approx 2 \times 10^{-3} \). In \( p+p \) collisions at RHIC energies, \( J/\psi \) particles are predominantly produced via gluon-gluon scatterings [24]. Therefore, at leading order, the asymmetry of \( J/\psi \) production can be expressed as:

\[
A_{LL}^{J/\psi} = \frac{\Delta \sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma^{++} - \sigma^{-+}}{\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{-+}} \\
\approx \frac{\Delta g(x_1)}{g(x_1)} \otimes \frac{\Delta g(x_2)}{g(x_2)} \otimes \tilde{a}_{LL}^{g g \rightarrow J/\psi + X},
\]

(2)

where \( A_{LL}^{J/\psi} \) is the \( J/\psi \) double helicity asymmetry defined by the ratio of the polarized and unpolarized \( J/\psi \) cross sections (\( \Delta \sigma \) and \( \sigma \)); ‘++’ and ‘+-’ denote the same and opposite helicity \( p+p \) collisions; \( \Delta g(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are the polarized and unpolarized gluon parton distribution functions; and \( \tilde{a}_{LL}^{g g \rightarrow J/\psi + X} \) is the partonic double helicity asymmetry for the process of \( g + g \rightarrow J/\psi + X \). Due to the large charm quark mass, perturbative QCD is expected to work for calculations of the \( J/\psi \) and other charmonia production cross sections in high energy deep inelastic scattering and \( p+p \) collisions. The production mechanisms of charmonia have been studied extensively for decades, and several theoretical approaches, including nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD), have been developed to describe various experimental observations [25]. In high energy \( p+p \) collisions, the individual partonic double helicity asymmetry \( \tilde{a}_{LL}^{g g \rightarrow J/\psi + X} \) has been calculated in perturbative QCD for both color-singlet and color-octet mechanisms in the NRQCD framework, and used to calculate the inclusive \( A_{LL}^{J/\psi} \) [24, 26–28].

By detecting the \( J/\psi \) at forward rapidity, we sample participating gluons from two distinct ranges of Bjorken \( x \). Quantitatively, we used a PYTHIA [29] (PYTHIA 6.4 tuned for RHIC energies) simulation at leading order to estimate the gluon \( x \)-distribution sampled in \( J/\psi \) production within the PHENIX muon arm acceptance. The simulation (Fig. 1) illustrates that for the \( g + g \rightarrow J/\psi + X \) process in the forward rapidity of the PHENIX muon arm acceptance, the two gluons come from two very distinct \( x \) regions, with one gluon in the intermediate \( x \) range \( (3 \times 10^{-2} - 2 \times 10^{-1}) \) and the other gluon in the small \( x \) range \( (1 \times 10^{-3} - 5 \times 10^{-3}) \).
Several sources contribute to the inclusive $J/\psi$ production, including decays from heavier states containing charm and/or bottom quarks. Previous studies in PHENIX [30] at midrapidity indicate that the excited states $\chi_{c}$ and $\psi'$ contribute a sizable (30%–40%) portion of the inclusive $J/\psi$ production cross section. The $B \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ contribution is only important in the high $p_T > 10$ GeV region, and it is estimated to be small, less than 10% [31] in our kinematics at forward rapidity.

In the following, we present the measurement of the double helicity asymmetry in inclusive $J/\psi$ production in longitudinally polarized $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 510$ GeV. The data used for the study were collected by the PHENIX experiment [32] during the 2013 run; the sampled integrated luminosity was about 150 pb$^{-1}$ for this analysis.

II. EXPERIMENT SETUP AND DATA ANALYSIS

The $J/\psi$ mesons were observed in the dimuon $\mu^+\mu^-$ decay channel using the two PHENIX forward muon spectrometers. Each spectrometer arm has full azimuthal coverage and spans the pseudorapidity range $1.2 < |\eta| < 2.4$ for the north arm and $1.2 < |\eta| < 2.2$ for the south arm. The major detector subsystems involved in this analysis were the muon trackers (MuTr) and the muon identifiers (MuID) [33], the beam-beam counters (BBC), the zero-degree calorimeters (ZDC) [34], and the forward-silicon-vertex detectors (FVTX) [35].

The muon momentum was measured by the MuTr, a system based on three layers of cathode-strip tracking chambers in a radial-field magnet. The MuID comprises 5 layers of Iarocci tubes interleaved with 10 or 20 cm thick steel absorbers. The MuID absorbers, together with the central magnet absorbers (a combination of copper, iron and stainless steel, approximately 100 cm thick), were used to suppress light hadron backgrounds (pions and kaons) while allowing high energy muons to pass through. The probability of a high energy hadron ($p > 3$ GeV) generated from the interaction point (IP) passing through all the absorbers and getting mis-tagged as a muon is less than 3% [33] in $p+p$ collisions.

The BBC comprises two quartz Čerenkov modules located on opposite sides of the IP at $z = \pm 144$ cm, where $z$ is the distance in the beam direction from the IP, and covering a pseudorapidity range of $3.1 < |\eta| < 3.9$ and full azimuth. The BBC system measures the collision vertex position along the beam direction via a time-of-flight method and also serves as one of the luminosity detectors.

Muon candidate events were selected using a BBC-based minimum-bias collision trigger in coincidence with a MuID track-based trigger. The MuID triggers were defined by various combinations of hits in several layers of the MuID projecting to the IP. A “deep” MuID track requires at least one hit in the last two layers of the MuID detector and at least two hits in other layers. In the PHENIX 2013 run detector shielding configuration, a minimum momentum
of ~3 GeV/c was needed for muons to reach the last layer of the MuID. The data set we used was selected by the “2-Deep Muon Trigger” which required at least two MuID deep tracks in the same muon arm in a p+p collision event. A more detailed description of the 2-Deep Muon Trigger is found in Ref. [36].

The ZDC detector comprises two hadron calorimeter arms at |z| = 18 m. It covers a pseudorapidity range of |η| > 6. In this analysis, the ZDC served as a second luminosity detector for systematic studies.

The FVTX detector is composed of two end caps upstream of the MuTr [35]. By searching for common origin points of the detected tracks, the FVTX is capable of reconstructing primary collision vertices in the z range used in this measurement. The FVTX vertex resolution along the beam line direction is at the one millimeter level, which is much more precise than the vertex resolution of the BBC detector. In this analysis, the FVTX vertices were used when available to improve the mass resolution of the dimuon pairs.

![Dimuon invariant mass spectrum and the GPR fitting for the background fraction extraction.](image)

**FIG. 2.** Dimuon invariant mass spectrum and the GPR fitting for the background fraction extraction. The black circles are the PHENIX unlike-sign dimuon data after event and track selection. The blue triangles are the GPR background estimation. The red boxes are the data remaining after subtraction of the background. The green dashed line represents the J/ψ shape; the blue dot-dashed line represents the ψ′ shape; and the red solid line the summation of J/ψ and ψ′. Green shaded region indicates the sideband area used for the calculation of $A_{LL}^{Bkg}$ in Eq. 8. The data in the region between the two red vertical lines are the data used to calculate $A_{LL}^{incl}$ in Eq. 8.

For optimal use of the muon spectrometers, the collision vertex reconstructed by the BBC was required to be within ±30 cm of the IP along the beam direction. Each muon track candidate was required to have a longitudinal momentum $p_z < 100$ GeV/c and transverse momentum $p_T < 10$ GeV/c. The distance between the projected MuTr and MuID track position at the first layer of the MuID plane was required to be less than 15 cm, and the projected opening angle between the MuTr track and the MuID track less than 10 degrees. Similar MuTr and MuID track matching cuts were used in Ref. [36]. A fit to the common vertex of the two candidate tracks near the IP was performed and was required to have a $\chi^2 < 20$ for 4 degrees of freedom. The black circle data points in Fig. 2 show the invariant mass distribution of the unlike-sign dimuon pairs after event and track quality selections.

At RHIC, the clockwise (“Blue”) and counter-clockwise (“Yellow”) circulating beams collide at several fixed IPs, the PHENIX detector being one of them. During the 2013 longitudinally polarized p+p run, up to 111 radio-frequency bunches in each beam were filled with protons. Protons in each bunch were configured to have positive or negative helicity, denoted as “+” or “−”. Thus collisions at the PHENIX IP can be categorized into two helicity combinations: same helicity (denoted as ++) and opposite helicity (denoted as +−) collisions. For parity-conserving QCD processes, the production cross sections obey the relations $\sigma^{++} = \sigma^{−−}$ and $\sigma^{+−} = \sigma^{−+}$. Experimentally, the double helicity asymmetry is defined as:
\[ A_{LL} = \frac{\sigma^{++} - \sigma^{-+}}{\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{-+}} = \frac{1}{P_B P_Y} \frac{N^{++} - R \cdot N^{-+}}{N^{++} + R \cdot N^{-+}}, \]  

where \( P_B(P_y) \) is the beam polarization for the Blue (Yellow) beam, \( \sigma^{++}(\sigma^{-+}) \) is the cross section for same (opposite) helicity collisions, and \( N^{++}(N^{-+}) \) is the produced dimuon yield for same (opposite) helicity collisions. \( R \) is the relative luminosity between helicity states and is defined as

\[ R = \frac{L^{++}}{L^{+-}}, \]

where \( L^{++}(L^{-+}) \) is the luminosity measured by the BBC detectors in \(+ + (- -)\) helicity state collisions. The averaged polarizations for the data used in this analysis were:

\[ P_B = 0.55 \pm 0.02 \text{ (syst)}, \]
\[ P_Y = 0.56 \pm 0.02 \text{ (syst)}. \]

For each “fill” (a unit of the operation period of the accelerator, typically several hours) of the rings, the helicity pattern was designed to provide almost equal numbers of collisions in the ++, +−, −+, and −− helicity configurations. In this way, slow changes in detector acceptance and efficiency were eliminated from the asymmetry determination in Eq. 4.

As shown in Fig. 2, there is a small amount (\( \sim 15\% \)) of dimuon background underneath the \( J/\psi \) signal peak in the dimuon invariant mass distribution; the background events may have a different asymmetry from that of \( J/\psi \) events. To correct for this, we estimated the background asymmetry using the “sideband” in the invariant mass region (1.5–2.4 GeV/c\(^2\)), the green shaded region in Fig 2. Consistent with Ref. [36], this sideband was located below the \( J/\psi \) peak in invariant mass; a sideband that was higher in invariant mass would need to be placed further away from the \( J/\psi \) to avoid the \( \psi' \) and would have had negligible statistical significance. For the final \( J/\psi \) double helicity asymmetry, we subtracted the background contributions:

\[ A_{LL}^{J/\psi} = \frac{A_{LL}^{\text{Incl}} - f_{\text{Bkg}} \cdot A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}}}{1 - f_{\text{Bkg}}}, \]

where \( A_{LL} \) values on the right-hand-side were calculated using Eq. 4. The asymmetry \( A_{LL}^{\text{Incl}} \) is for inclusive unlike-charge dimuon pairs in the invariant mass region \( \pm 2\sigma \) around the \( J/\psi \) mass peak mean value (\( \sigma \) is the mass resolution of the detector), and \( A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}} \) is the asymmetry for a sideband of unlike-charge dimuon pairs. In this analysis, the measured \( A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}} \) was \(-0.002 \pm 0.012 (\text{stat}) \) for the \( p_T \) range \( 0 < p_T < 10 \text{ GeV} \). The background fraction \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \) is defined as:

\[ f_{\text{Bkg}} = \frac{N_{\text{Bkg}}}{N_{\text{Incl}}}, \]

where \( N_{\text{Bkg}} \) is the number of estimated non-\( J/\psi \) dimuon pairs in the \( \pm 2\sigma \) range around the \( J/\psi \) peak, and \( N_{\text{Incl}} \) is the total number of unlike-charge dimuon pairs in the same mass range. For the background under the \( J/\psi \) mass peak, a Gaussian Process Regression (GPR) [37–41] approach was used to determine the background distribution.

Two training zones, on either side of the \( J/\psi \) peak, were defined for this GPR approach: 1.5–2.2 GeV/c\(^2\) and 4.3–6.0 GeV/c\(^2\). These two training zones were used only for the estimation of background yield, not the background asymmetry. The \( J/\psi \) 2\( \sigma \) mass window was defined by fitting the data after the GPR background subtraction. In the fitting, the \( J/\psi \) invariant mass peak shape was described by a Crystal Ball distribution [42], and for simplicity the low statistics \( \psi' \) peak was fit with a Gaussian distribution with mass resolution evaluated from Monte Carlo simulation.

In this analysis, we measured the asymmetry separately for the two muon arms. The results were then cross-checked for consistency and combined to produce the final physics double helicity asymmetry.

To further study the \( p_T \)- or \(|y|\)-dependence of the asymmetry, the data were divided into three \( p_T \) bins (0–2 GeV/c, 2–4 GeV/c, 4–10 GeV/c) or two \(|y|\) bins (1.2–1.8, 1.8–2.2). \( A_{LL}^{J/\psi} \) was extracted for each of the bins following the procedure described above; the corresponding background fraction \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \) was extracted and is listed in Table I.
The statistical uncertainties for \( A_{LL}^{J/\psi} (\Delta A_{LL}^{J/\psi}) \) were calculated via Eq. 10:

\[
\Delta A_{LL}^{J/\psi} = \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta A_{LL}^{\text{incl}})^2 + (f_{\text{Bkg}} \cdot \Delta A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}})^2}{1 - f_{\text{Bkg}}}},
\]

where \( \Delta A_{LL}^{\text{incl}} \) and \( \Delta A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}} \) represent the statistical uncertainty of the \( A_{LL}^{\text{incl}} \) and \( A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}} \) respectively. The statistical uncertainty of \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \) is combined with its systematic uncertainty from the extraction method and considered as one of the systematic uncertainties which is discussed in the next section.

| \( p_T \) or \( |y| \) range | \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \pm \Delta f_{\text{Bkg}} \) (stat) |
|-----------------|------------------|
| 0 < \( p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c \) | 0.26 ± 0.01 |
| 2 < \( p_T < 4 \text{ GeV}/c \) | 0.17 ± 0.01 |
| 4 < \( p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c \) | 0.18 ± 0.01 |
| 1.2 < \( |y| < 1.8 \) | 0.25 ± 0.02 |
| 1.8 < \( |y| < 2.2 \) | 0.30 ± 0.02 |

### III. SYSTEMATIC UNCERTAINTY

There are two types of systematic uncertainties involved in this analysis: Type A are uncorrelated point-to-point uncertainties for each \( p_T \) or \( |y| \) bin, and Type B are correlated point-to-point uncertainties.

One important Type A systematic uncertainty comes from the determination of the background fraction under the \( J/\psi \) mass peak. To test the possible bias of the background fraction \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \) extracted from the GPR procedure, we compared to the method that was used in [36] which used a third order polynomial to describe the background. The two methods differed at most by 0.05 (absolute value); we took that as the systematic uncertainty for the background fraction \( f_{\text{Bkg}} \).

Another Type A systematic uncertainty is from the determination of background asymmetry under the \( J/\psi \) mass peak. Because the low mass side band was used to estimate the background spin asymmetry under the \( J/\psi \) mass peak, we need to estimate the bias introduced by this approximation. We studied the mass dependence of the background asymmetry by dividing the side band into two mass bins, 1.5 – 2.0 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 \) and 2.0 – 2.4 \( \text{GeV}/c^2 \). We found no obvious mass-dependence beyond expected statistical fluctuation. Thus we concluded that this systematic uncertainty related to the mass-dependence of the background asymmetry is small compared with the statistical uncertainty of the sideband dimuon asymmetry \( \Delta A_{LL}^{\text{Bkg}} \) in Eq. 10) and is not counted as additional uncertainty for this analysis.

The last Type A systematic uncertainty comes from the variation of detector efficiency within a data group in which the asymmetry is calculated. For the purpose of getting sufficient statistics in the asymmetry calculations using Eq. 4 discussed above, we collected individual PHENIX DAQ runs into larger groups. Each DAQ run corresponds to a time period of up to 1.5 hour of continuous data acquisition. However, the detector efficiency may vary between runs in each group, and that could lead to a biased result. The muon reconstruction efficiency has a dependence on the luminosity and event vertex distribution and it could also change over time. To study this systematically, three grouping methods were applied and compared with each other: (1) runs with similar luminosity and event vertex distribution; (2) runs within a RHIC fill to minimize the time spreading of each group; (3) all the runs into one group.

We chose method (1) results to calculate the mean value of our results. The systematic uncertainty from the grouping method was set to the maximum variation extracted from these three approaches. Type A systematic uncertainties for all \( p_T \) or \( |y| \) bins are summarized in Table II.

The systematic uncertainty in the determination of the relative luminosity is of Type B. The luminosities \( L^{++,-+} \), and therefore also the relative luminosity \( R \) used in Eq. 4, were measured by the BBC trigger counts with a vertex cut of ±30 cm along the beam line. To test if the BBC count rate contains an unmeasured physics asymmetry, we used another luminosity detector, the ZDC, and computed the double helicity asymmetry of the ZDC/BBC luminosity ratio:
where $N_{ZDC}$ ($N_{BBC}$) is the coincidence counts measured by the ZDC (BBC), which is proportional to the beam luminosity. During the 2013 PHENIX 510 GeV $p+p$ run, due to high beam intensity, approximately 30% of bunch crossings contain more than one $p+p$ binary collision. However, neither the BBC nor the ZDC can separate these multiple collisions. Therefore, multiple collisions are counted as one $p+p$ collision and this affects the determination of the relative luminosity. A statistical pile-up correction was performed to remove the bias of the (relative) luminosity measurement caused by multiple collisions, identical to the correction performed in Ref. [23]. We took the asymmetry plus its statistical uncertainty as a systematic uncertainty for the relative luminosity $R$. After pile-up corrections the systematic uncertainty from relative luminosity was determined to be $4 \times 10^{-4}$.

Another source of systematic uncertainty (Type B) comes from the measurement of the average beam polarizations, $P_B$ and $P_Y$. The uncertainty of the product $P_B P_Y$ used in Eq. 4 leads to an overall scale uncertainty of the $A_{LL}$ measurements. For the RHIC 2013 data set, this uncertainty was evaluated to be 6.5% $A_{LL}$. The residual transverse polarization component in the interaction region is very small (the longitudinal polarization component is $> 99.8\%$) and the associated effect on the overall scale is smaller than $10^{-3} \times A_{LL}$ and is thus negligible for this analysis.

A technique called “bunch shuffling” [22] was applied to test for additional RHIC bunch-to-bunch and fill-to-fill uncorrelated systematic uncertainties that may have been overlooked. The resulting $A_{LL}^{\text{run}}$ follows a Gaussian distribution with $\sigma$ consistent with the statistical uncertainty of $A_{LL}^{\text{raw}}$ obtained with real data. This test result indicates that all other uncorrelated bunch-to-bunch and fill-to-fill systematic uncertainties are much smaller than the statistical uncertainties.
The final results for $J/\psi A_{LL}$ as a function of $p_T$ and $|y|$ are summarized in Table III and in Fig. 3. The average $A_{LL}^{J/\psi}$ measured is $0.012 \pm 0.010$ (stat) $\pm 0.003$ (syst).

**FIG. 3.** $A_{LL}^{J/\psi}$ as a function of $p_T$ (top panel) and $|y|$ (bottom panel). The black error bars show the statistical uncertainty. The red boxes show only the Type A systematic uncertainties. There are additionally a $4 \times 10^{-4}$ global systematic uncertainty from the relative luminosity determination and a 6.5% global scaling systematic uncertainty from the polarization magnitude determination for all $p_T$ or $|y|$ bins. The blue curve with shaded band is our $A_{LL}^{J/\psi}$ estimation using PYTHIA6 [29] simulation with NNPDF data sets under the assumption of $\hat{a}_{gg \rightarrow J/\psi + X} = 1$. The solid blue curve is the central value and the blue shaded band is the $\pm 2 \sigma$ uncertainty range. See details in the text.
calculated asymmetry using these assumptions is shown in Fig. 3 together with the PHENIX data. The calculated asymmetry is consistent with our data within the statistical uncertainties.

A reweighting method that estimates the impact of a new dataset on the PDFs without doing a new global fit was introduced by the NNPDF Collaboration [46]. Using this method we estimated the impact of our data on the gluon polarization based on NNPDFpol1.1 and under the assumption of $a_{gg \rightarrow J/\psi + X}^{g_{LL}} = 1$. Fig. 4 shows the gluon polarization before and after re-weighting. In this re-weighting, only the statistical uncertainty of our data was considered. Under this assumption, our data favors a more positive gluon polarization in the $x \sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$ region compared to the original NNPDFpol1.1.

In summary, the double helicity asymmetries of inclusive $J/\psi$ production have been measured with the PHENIX detector as a function of the $J/\psi$'s $p_T$ and $|y|$, covering $0 < p_T < 10$ GeV and rapidity $1.2 < |y| < 2.2$. The $A_{J/\psi}^{LL}$ measurements offer a new way to access $\Delta G$ via heavy-quark production in $p+p$ collisions. They also serve as an important test of the universality of the helicity-dependent parton densities and QCD factorizations.
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