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We have searched for the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ using the full Belle data set of $772 \times 10^6 B \overline{B}$ pairs collected at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance with the Belle detector at the KEKB $e^+e^-$ collider. No signal is observed, and we set an upper limit on the branching fraction of $B(B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma) < 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ at 90% confidence level. This is the most stringent limit on this decay mode to date.

PACS numbers: 13.20.He, 13.40.Hq

In the Standard Model (SM), the decay $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ [1] proceeds through electroweak and gluonic $b \rightarrow d$ penguin annihilation processes as shown in Fig. 1. These amplitudes are proportional to the small Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa [2] matrix element $V_{td}$ and thus are highly suppressed. The branching fraction has been estimated based on naive QCD factorization [3] and perturbative QCD [4] and found to be in the range $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-11}$. However, the internal loop can also be mediated by non-SM particles such as a charged Higgs boson or supersymmetric squarks, and thus the decay is sensitive to new physics (NP). It is estimated that such NP could enhance the branching fraction to the level of $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-8}$ [3]. Experimentally, no evidence for this decay has been found, and the current upper limit on the branching fraction is $8.5 \times 10^{-7}$ at 90% confidence level (C.L.) [5]. Here, we present a search for this decay using the full Belle data set of 711 fb$^{-1}$ recorded on the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance. This integrated luminosity corresponds to $(772 \pm 11) \times 10^6 B \overline{B}$ pairs, which is more than six times the amount of data used previously to search for this mode.
The Belle experiment ran at the KEKB asymmetric-energy \( e^+e^- \) collider located at the KEK laboratory [6]. The detector is a large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer consisting of a silicon vertex detector (SVD), a 50-layer central drift chamber (CDC), an array of aerogel threshold Čerenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF), and an electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL) comprising CsI(Tl) crystals. These detector components are located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An iron flux-return located outside the coil (KLM) is instrumented to detect \( K_L^0 \) mesons and to identify muons. Two inner detector configurations were used: a 2.0 cm beampipe and a three-layer SVD were used for the first 140 fb\(^{-1}\) of data, while a 1.5 cm beampipe, a four-layer SVD, and a small-cell inner drift chamber were used for the remaining 571 fb\(^{-1}\) of data. The detector is described in detail elsewhere [7, 8].

Candidate photons are required to have a momentum in the range [2, 0, 2.8] GeV/c in the \( \Upsilon(4S) \) center-of-mass (CM) frame. To reject neutral hadrons, the photon energy deposited in the 3 × 3 array of ECL crystals centered on the crystal with the highest energy must exceed 80% of the energy deposited in the corresponding 5 × 5 array of crystals. To reduce background from \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) and \( \eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \) decays, we pair each photon candidate with all other photons in the event and, for each pairing, calculate \( \pi^0 \) and \( \eta \) likelihoods based on the invariant mass. We subsequently require these likelihoods to be less than 0.6, which preserves 97% of the signal while reducing the background by a factor of two.

Candidate \( \phi \) mesons are reconstructed via \( \phi \rightarrow K^+K^- \) decays. Charged tracks are required to have a distance-of-closest-approach with respect to the interaction point of less than 3.0 cm along the \( z \) axis (anti-parallel to the \( e^+ \) beam), and of less than 0.3 cm in the transverse plane. Kaons are identified using information from the CDC, TOF, and ACC detectors. This information is used to calculate relative likelihoods for hadron identification. A charged track with a likelihood ratio of \( L_K/(L_\pi + L_K) > 0.6 \) is regarded as a kaon, where \( L_i \) is the relative likelihood of the track being a kaon (pion). The kaon identification efficiency is 85% and the probability for a pion to be misidentified as a kaon is 7%. Charged tracks that are consistent with the muon hypothesis based on information from the CDC and KLM are rejected, as are tracks consistent with the electron hypothesis based on information from the CDC and ECL. Oppositely charged kaon candidates are fit to a common vertex and required to have a vertex \( \chi^2 \) less than 50. The \( K^+K^- \) invariant mass is required to be in the range \([1.000, 1.039] \) GeV/c\(^2\), which corresponds to 4.5\( \sigma \) in resolution around the \( \phi \) mass [9].

Candidate \( B \) mesons are identified using a modified beam-energy-constrained mass \( M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2 - \left| \vec{p}_B \right|^2}/c^2 \), and the energy difference \( \Delta E = E_B - E_{\text{beam}} \), where \( E_{\text{beam}} \) is the beam energy and \( \vec{p}_B \) and \( E_B \) are the momentum and energy, respectively, of the \( B^0 \) candidate. All quantities are evaluated in the CM frame. To improve the \( M_{bc} \) resolution, the momentum \( \vec{p}_\phi \) is calculated as \( \vec{p}_\phi = \left( \vec{p}_B + \left( \left| \vec{p}_\gamma \right| / \left| \vec{p}_B \right| \right) \right) \sqrt{\left( E_{\text{beam}} - E_\phi \right)^2}/c^2 \), where \( \vec{p}_\gamma \) is the photon momentum and \( \vec{p}_\phi \) and \( E_\phi \) are the momentum and energy, respectively, of the \( \phi \) candidate. We require that events satisfy \( M_{bc} \in [5.25, 5.29] \) GeV/c\(^2\) and \( \Delta E \in [-0.30, 0.15] \) GeV; all events within this region are fitted. The signal yield is calculated in a smaller region \( M_{bc} \in [5.27, 5.29] \) GeV/c\(^2\) and \( \Delta E \in [-0.20, 0.10] \) GeV.

After applying the above selection criteria, less than 1% of events contain multiple \( B \) candidates. For these events we retain only the candidate that minimizes the difference \( |M_{K^+K^-} - M_\phi| \). If there remains a choice of photons to be paired with the \( \phi \), we choose the one with the highest energy. According to Monte Carlo (MC) simulations, these criteria select the correct \( B \) candidate 96% of the time.

Charmless hadronic decays suffer from large backgrounds arising from continuum \( e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q} \) (\( q = u, d, s, c \)) production. To suppress this background, we use a multivariate analyzer based on a neural network (NN) [10]. The NN uses the event topology and \( B \)-flavor-tagging information [11] to discriminate continuum events, which tend to be jet-like, from \( B\bar{B} \) events, which tend to be spherical. The event shape variables include a set of 16 modified Fox-Wolfram moments [12]; the cosine of the angle between the \( z \) axis and the \( B \) flight direction; and the cosine of the angle between the \( B \) thrust axis [13] and the thrust axis of the non-\( B \)-associated tracks in the event. All of these quantities are evaluated in the CM frame.

The NN technique requires a training procedure. For this training we use signal and continuum MC events. The MC samples are obtained using EVTGEN [14] for event generation and GEANT3 [15] for modeling the detector response. Final-state radiation is taken into account using PHOTOS [16]. The NN generates an output variable \( C_{\text{NN}} \), which ranges from \(-1 \) for background-like events to \(+1 \) for signal-like events. We require \( C_{\text{NN}} > 0.3 \).

**FIG. 1.** Electroweak penguin (top) and gluonic penguin (bottom) contributions to \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma \).
which rejects 89% of continuum background while retaining 85% of the signal. We then translate $C_{NN}$ to an empirical variable $C'_{NN}$, defined as

$$C'_{NN} = \ln \left( \frac{C_{NN} - C_{\text{min}}}{C_{\text{max}} - C_{NN}} \right),$$

where $C_{\text{min}} = 0.3$ and $C_{\text{max}} = 1.0$. This translation is convenient, as the $C'_{NN}$ distribution for both signal and background is well-modeled by a sum of Gaussian functions.

After the above selections, 961 events remain. The remaining background consists of continuum events and rare charmless $b$-decay processes. The latter shows peaking structure in the $M_{bc}$ distribution, with the dominant contribution coming from $B \rightarrow K_1(1270)\gamma$, $K_1(1270) \rightarrow K\pi\pi$ decays. From a large MC study we find a negligible background contribution from $b \rightarrow c$ processes.

We calculate signal yields using an unbinned extended maximum likelihood fit to the observables $M_{bc}$, $\Delta E$, $C'_{NN}$, and $\cos \theta_\phi$. The helicity angle $\theta_\phi$ is the angle between the $K^-$ momentum and the opposite of the $B$ flight direction in the $\phi$ rest frame. Signal $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$ decays are distributed as $1 - \cos^2 \theta_\phi$, whereas continuum events are distributed approximately flat in $\cos \theta_\phi$. Thus this variable provides additional discrimination between signal and background. The likelihood function $L$ is defined as

$$e^{-\Sigma_j Y_j \prod_i \left( \sum_j Y_j \mathcal{P}_j (M_{bc}, \Delta E, C'_{NN}, \cos \theta_\phi) \right) \right),$$

where $N$ is the number of candidate events (961), $\mathcal{P}_j (M_{bc}, \Delta E, C'_{NN}, \cos \theta_\phi)$ is the probability density function (PDF) of component $j$ for event $i$, and $j$ runs over all signal and background components. The parameter $Y_j$ is the fitted yield of component $j$. These yields are the only free parameters in the fit.

All PDFs are obtained from MC simulation studies. Correlations among the fit variables are found to be small, except for a correlation between $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$ for the charmless background. Thus, except for this background, we factorize the PDFs as

$$\mathcal{P}_j (M_{bc}, \Delta E, C'_{NN}, \cos \theta_\phi) = \mathcal{P}_j (M_{bc}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_j (\Delta E) \cdot \mathcal{P}_j (C'_{NN}) \cdot \mathcal{P}_j (\cos \theta_\phi).$$

The $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$ distributions for signal are modeled with Crystal Ball functions [17], while the $C'_{NN}$ and $\cos \theta_\phi$ distributions are modeled with a bifurcated Gaussian and the function $1 - \cos^2 \theta_\phi$, respectively. The peak positions and resolutions of the $M_{bc}$, $\Delta E$, and $C'_{NN}$ PDFs are adjusted to account for small data-MC differences observed in a high-statistics control sample of $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}(\rightarrow K^+\pi^-)\gamma$ decays, which have a similar topology as $B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$.

For the charmless background, the $C'_{NN}$ component is modeled with a Gaussian function. The peak position and resolution are adjusted from data-MC differences observed for the charmless background in the $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}(\rightarrow K^+\pi^-)\gamma$ control sample. The $M_{bc}$ and $\Delta E$ components are modeled by a joint two-dimensional non-parametric function based on kernel estimation [18], to account for their correlation. The $\cos \theta_\phi$ distribution is modeled by a one-dimensional non-parametric function. For continuum background, the $M_{bc}$ shape is modeled by an ARGUS function [19], and the $C'_{NN}$ shape is modeled by the sum of two Gaussians having a common mean. The peak positions and resolutions are adjusted from data-MC differences observed for the continuum background of the control sample. The $\Delta E$ and $\cos \theta_\phi$ distributions are modeled by Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second order, respectively. All shape parameters of these PDFs are fixed to the corresponding MC values. To test the stability of the fitting procedure, we perform numerous fits on large ensembles of MC events; in all cases the input value is recovered within the statistical error.

The projections of the fit are shown in Fig. 2. The resulting branching fraction is calculated as

$$B(B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma) = \frac{Y_{\text{sig}}}{N_{BB} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-)},$$

where $Y_{\text{sig}} = 3.4^{+4.6}_{-3.8}$ is the signal yield in the signal region; $\varepsilon = 0.296 \pm 0.001$ is the signal efficiency in this region as calculated from MC simulation; $N_{BB} = (772 \pm 11) \times 10^6$ is the number of $BB$ events; and $B(\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (48.9 \pm 0.5)\%$ is the branching fraction for $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$. The efficiency $\varepsilon$ is corrected by a factor 1.024 $\pm 0.010$ to account for a small difference in particle identification efficiencies between data and simulations. This correction is estimated from a sample of $D^* \rightarrow D^0(\rightarrow K^-\pi^+)\pi^+$ decays [20]. In Eq. (4) we assume equal production of $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and $B^+B^-$ pairs at the $Y(4S)$ resonance.

We observe no statistically significant signal and set an upper limit on the number of signal events by integrating the area under the likelihood function $L(Y_{\text{sig}})$. The value of $Y_{\text{sig}}$ that corresponds to 90% of the total area from zero to infinity is taken as the 90% C.L. upper limit [21]. This value is converted to an upper limit on the branching fraction $B$ using Eq. (4); the result is

$$B(B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma) < 1.0 \times 10^{-7}.$$
The systematic uncertainty due to charged track reconstruction is determined from a study of partially reconstructed \( D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 (\rightarrow K^{0}_{S} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}) \pi^{+} \) decays and found to be 0.35% per track. An uncertainty due to particle identification of 0.8% per kaon is obtained from a study of \( D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 (\rightarrow K^{-} \pi^{+}) \pi^{+} \) decays. The uncertainty on \( \varepsilon \) due to MC statistics is 0.2%, and the uncertainty on the number of \( B\bar{B} \) pairs is 1.4%. The total systematic uncertainty is obtained by summing all individual contributions in quadrature; the result corresponds to \( \pm 1.2 \) events.

In summary, we have searched for the decay \( B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma \) using the full Belle data set. We find no evidence for this decay and set an upper limit on the branching fraction of \( B(B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma) < 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \) at 90% C.L. This limit is almost an order of magnitude lower than the previous most stringent result [5].
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$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TABLE I. Systematic uncertainties on } B(B^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma) \text{ in units of number of events. We convert fractional errors to number of events for easy comparison. Uncertainties listed in the lower section are external to our analysis.} \\
\hline
\text{Source} & \text{Uncertainty (events)} \\
\hline
\text{PDF parameterization} & +1.21 \\
& -0.21 \\
\text{Fit bias} & +0.09 \\
& -0.08 \\
\text{C}_{NN} \text{ selection efficiency} & 0.03 \\
\text{C}_{NN} \text{ background sample} & 0.02 \\
\text{Tracking efficiency} & 0.02 \\
\text{PID efficiency} & 0.05 \\
\text{Photon reconstruction} & 0.08 \\
\text{MC statistics} & 0.01 \\
B(\phi \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}) & 0.03 \\
\text{Number of } B\bar{B} \text{ events} & 0.05 \\
\hline
\text{Total} & +1.22 \\
& -1.15 \\
\end{array}$$

FIG. 2. Projections of the four-dimensional fit: (a) \( M_{bc} \) in the \( \Delta E \) signal region; (b) \( \Delta E \) in the \( M_{bc} \) signal region; (c) \( C'_{NN} \) in the \( M_{bc} \) and \( \Delta E \) signal regions; and (d) \( \cos \theta_{\phi} \) in the \( M_{bc} \) and \( \Delta E \) signal regions. Plots (a), (b), and (d) also require \( C'_{NN} > 1 \). The points with error bars show the data; the dotted (red) curves represent the signal; the dashed-dotted (magenta) curves represent continuum events; the dashed (green) curves represent the charmless background; and the solid (blue) curves represent the total.
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[1] Throughout this paper, charge-conjugate decay modes are implicitly included unless stated otherwise.


[21] As our upper limit is obtained using a Bayesian method, it corresponds to 90% “credibility level.” However, we use the (frequentist) term “confidence level” following common convention.