

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Probing parton orbital angular momentum in longitudinally polarized nucleon

Xiangdong Ji, Xiaonu Xiong, and Feng Yuan Phys. Rev. D **88**, 014041 — Published 25 July 2013 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.88.014041

Probing Parton Orbital Angular Momentum in Longitudinally Polarized Nucleon

Xiangdong Ji,^{1,2,3} Xiaonu Xiong,^{2,4} and Feng Yuan⁴

¹INPAC, Department of Physics, and Shanghai Key Lab for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, P. R. China

²Center for High-Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100080, P. R. China ³Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics,

> University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA ⁴Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract

While the total orbital angular momentum (OAM) of a definite quark flavor in a longitudinallypolarized nucleon can be obtained through a sum rule involving twist-two generalized parton distribution (GPDs), its distribution as a function of parton momentum in light-front coordinates is more complicated to define and measure because it involves intrinsically twist-three effects. In this paper, we consider two different parton OAM distributions. The first is manifestly gauge invariant, and its moments are local operators and calculable in lattice QCD. We show that it can potentially be measured through twist-three GPDs. The second is the much-debated canonical OAM distribution natural in free-field theory and light-cone gauge. We show the latter in light-cone gauge can also be related to twist-three GPDs as well as quantum phase-space Wigner distributions, both being measurable in high-energy experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

The nucleon spin structure is one of the most active research areas in hadronic physics in recent years [1]. A gauge-invariant and frame-independent approach was put forward in [2], according to which, the nucleon polarization can be decomposed into frame-independent quark and gluon contributions,

$$\frac{1}{2} = \sum_{q} J_q + J_g , \qquad (1)$$

where J_q and J_g can be extracted from the following sum rule,

$$J_{q,g} = \frac{1}{2} \int dx x \left(H_{q,g}(x,0,0) + E_{q,g}(x,0,0) \right) , \qquad (2)$$

where $H_{q,g}$ and $E_{q,g}$ are the relevant twist-two generalized parton distributions (GPDs) for the quarks and gluons, respectively. The above result, however, does not seem to provide a simple partonic interpretation for the individual contributions, which does exist, for example, for the quark helicity contribution $\Delta q(x)$ to the nucleon helicity [3].

The partonic interpretation of transverse spin of the nucleon, in particular, in terms of the GPDs are discussed in recent publications [4–11]. In this paper, we focus on the longitudinal polarization of nucleon. For this case, the nucleon helicity naturally receives contributions from the parton helicity and orbital angular momentum (OAM). The quark and gluon OAM densities in light-front coordinates are not entirely leading-twist effects and therefore are difficult to define and measure. This is a consequence of the power counting analysis of the angular momentum density in the light-cone frame [6], where the tranverse angular momentum appears as the leading power contribution and the longitudinal one as sub-leading contribution. Intuitively, this can be understood as follows. The angular momentum is constructed as $\vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ where \vec{r} represents the coordinate space variable and \vec{p} the momentum space variable. For longitudinal polarization (angular momentum along z-drection), both \vec{r} and \vec{p} are in the transverse plane and order 1 on the light-cone. However, for the transverse polarization, \vec{p} is order $p^+ = (p^0 + p^z)/\sqrt{2}$ (the leading component in the infinite momentum frame $p^z \to \infty$) and \vec{r} is order 1, and thus $\vec{r} \times \vec{p}$ is leading.

In the work of Hoodbhoy et al [12], the partonic AM densities were defined starting from the generalized AM tensors. The OAM distribution was identified as the difference of the total AM density and the helicity distribution. A careful examination of the operator structure indicates that this OAM density contains extra quark and gluon mixing contribution. To keep the physics simple, we suggested to define the gauge-invariant quark OAM distribution $L_q(x)$ without this extra term [6]. Then, one can show that $L_q(x)$ is related to twist-three GPDs, which might be measured directly from the hard exclusive processes in lepton-nucleon scattering [13].

The much-discussed partonic OAM distributions in the literature have been centered on the canonical AM expression [14–17]. This definition is not guage invariant out right, but can be made so through trivial gauge-invariant extension (GIE) of the light-cone gauge and light-front coordinates [6, 18]. It can be shown that these distributions can also be related to twist-three parton distributions [6, 19]. Meanwhile, recent studies [6, 19, 20] have also shown that the quark OAM distributions are connected to the quantum phase space Wigner distributions [21]. These distributions define the correlations of partons in transverse momentum and transverse coordinate spaces. The gauge-invariant OAM distribution discussed in the previous paragraph and the canonical OAM distribution in light-cone gauge are just the projections of the Wigner distributions with different choices of the associated gauge links.

For the gluon contribution J_g to the helicity, there is no gauge-invariant decomposition of the operator into the local ones corresponding to the gluon spin and OAM [2]. However, there is a decomposition in a fixed gauge into the canonical contributions. To relate them to partonic physics, a GIE of these contributions can be applied to the operators in the light-cone gauge, just like that for the quark case. The GIE procedure provides a practical way to connect the gluon spin and OAM contributions to physical observables [6, 18].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we will review the definitions of the partonic OAM distributions, we consider both naturally gauge-invariant approach as well as the canonical definition in light-cone gauge. We explore the relation between these approaches. In Sec. III, we analyze the twist-three GPDs and their role in directly probing the quark and gluon OAM contributions. We also discuss the Wigner distributions for the quarks and gluons, and their connections to the OAM. We summarize the results in Sec. IV.

II. DEFINITIONS OF PARTON ORBITAL ANGULAR MOMENTUM

In this section, we review and relate the definitions of the parton OAM distributions in a nucleon with momentum $P^{\mu} = (E, 0, 0, P)$ and definite helicity or the definite angular momentum $J^z = 1/2$ ($\hbar = 1$). The fact that there are more than one definitions reflects the difficulty of finding one satisfying all the required properties, namely 1) gauge symmetry, 2) clear physical interpretation, 3) measurability in high-energy scattering. This difficulty originates possibly from the fact that in a longitudinally polarized nucleon the OAM of the partons is intrinsically a twist-three effect [6]. In this sense, the parton structure of the helicity is more complicated than that of the transverse polarization [7].

We start with the parton OAM distribution by Hoodbhoy et al. [12]. This definition starts from the generalized AM tensor and derives the parton OAM density from twist-two parton distributions. It emphasizes the experimental measurability and is gauge invariant. However, the physical interpretation in partons is complicated. Then we consider an improved definition by inserting the gauge-invariant OAM into a tower of twist-two operators. This definition is gauge-invariant and has a clearer physical meaning. We will call this one the gauge-invariant OAM distribution $L_q(x)$. However, as we shall see, its measurement is more difficult as it involves twist-three GPDs. For the same reason, its partonic interpretation is not completely straightforward in the presence of the transverse gluon gauge potential. The definition that has been studied the most in the literature has been motivated from the canonical OAM without the transverse gluon potential. It is not manifestly gauge invariant. In parton physics, this definition can be gauge-fixed in the light-cone gauge and made gauge invariant through an extension of the concept of gauge invariance [18]. Recent work shows that such light-cone gauge, canonical OAM distribution might be measurable through twist-three GPDs and Wigner distributions [6, 20, 22], although this is even more difficult to achieve than $L_q(x)$.

In the third subsection, we comment on the relationship between the manifestly gaugeinvariant and the light-cone-gauge-motivated definitions.

A. Gauge-Invariant OAM Distribution

Hoodbhoy et al. have defined a version of the quark OAM distribution from the generalized energy-momentum tensor [12]. Recall that the angular momentum operator can be obtained from the rank-3 angular momentum tensor made of the symmetric energymomentum tensor $T^{\mu\alpha}$ [2, 14],

$$M^{\mu\alpha\beta} = \xi^{\alpha} T^{\mu\beta} - \xi^{\beta} T^{\mu\alpha} , \qquad (3)$$

where ξ is a space-time coordinates, $T^{\mu\alpha}$ can be separated into quark and gluon contributions,

$$T^{\mu\alpha} = T_q^{\mu\alpha} + T_g^{\mu\alpha} . \tag{4}$$

The quark and gluon components follows from the QCD lagrangian,

$$T_{q}^{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\overline{\psi} \gamma^{(\mu} i \overrightarrow{D}^{\alpha)} \psi + \overline{\psi} \gamma^{(\mu} i \overleftarrow{D}^{\alpha)} \psi \right]$$

$$T_{g}^{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} F^{2} g^{\mu\alpha} - F^{\mu\rho} F^{\alpha}{}_{\rho} , \qquad (5)$$

where the covariant derivative follows the convention $\overrightarrow{D}^{\mu} = \partial^{\mu} + igA^{\mu}$, $\overleftarrow{D}^{\mu} = -\partial^{\mu} + igA^{\mu}$, and $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor for the gauge field. In the above expression, we have neglected the contributions from the gauge-fixing term in the lagrangian which usually yield vanishing physical matrix elements. From the above, the quark OAM operator is found to be,

$$L_q = \int d^3\xi \,\overline{\psi}_q \gamma^+ \left(\xi^1(iD^2) - \xi^2(iD^1)\right) \psi_q \,. \tag{6}$$

This procedure can be generalized to define the quark OAM distribution in the same way that the parton momentum distribution follows from generalizing the energy-momentum tensor to a tower of twist-two operators.

The generalized AM tensors can be defined as

$$M_q^{\alpha\beta\mu_1\dots\mu_n}(\xi) = \xi^{\alpha} O_q^{\beta\mu_1\dots\mu_n} - \xi^{\beta} O_q^{\alpha\mu_1\dots\mu_n} - (\text{trace}) , \qquad (7)$$

where $O_q^{\beta\mu_1\dots\mu_n}(\xi) = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{(\beta}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu_1}\cdots i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu_n)}\psi(\xi)$ represents the tower of twist-two operators generalizing the quark energy-momentum tensor (n = 1), with all indices symmetrized and traces subtracted. The above operators have angular-momentum-dependent nucleon matrix elements J_{qn} in a state with polarization vector S^{μ} (see Eq. (4) in Ref. [12]). The quark angular momentum distribution $J_q(x)$ can be defined as

$$\int dx x^{n-1} J_q(x) = J_{qn} , \qquad (8)$$

just like the moments of the quark momentum distribution which are the matrix elements of the generalized energy-momentum tensors. Using the GPDs, it has been shown that

$$J_q(x) = \frac{x}{2} [q(x) + E_q(x)]$$
(9)

where q(x) is the quark momentum distribution and $E_q(x)$ is one of the twist-two GPDs.

However, the above equation does not generate a simple quark OAM distribution in a fixed helicity state. Indeed, by examining the matrix element of the component $M^{12+...+}$, one may define

$$\tilde{L}_{q}(x) = J_{q}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[x(q(x) + E_{q}(x)) - \Delta q(x) \right] , \qquad (10)$$

as the quark OAM distribution. However, the moments of the OAM distribution is related to the matrix elements of the following operator [12],

$$\tilde{L}_{q}^{+\dots+} = \frac{1}{n} \int d^{3}\xi \left[\overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} (\xi^{1} i D^{2} - \xi^{2} i D^{1}) i D^{+} \cdots i D^{+} \psi + \cdots + \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} i D^{+} \cdots i D^{+} (\xi^{1} i D^{2} - \xi^{2} i D^{1}) \psi \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \int d^{3}\xi \left[\overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} (\xi^{1} \gamma^{2} - \xi^{2} \gamma^{1}) (i g F^{\rho+} \gamma_{\rho}) i D^{+} \cdots i D^{+} \psi + \cdots + \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} i D^{+} \cdots i D^{+} (\xi^{1} \gamma^{2} - \xi^{2} \gamma^{1}) (i g F^{\rho+} \gamma_{\rho}) \psi \right].$$
(11)

Apart from the first term that has a physical meaning as generalized OAM operator, it also contains a term proportional to the gluon field strength $F^{\mu\nu}$, whose physical origin seems obscure. Apparently, the extra contribution comes from the requirement that the AM density is a twist-two operator.

Thus, we define proper gauge-invariant OAM distribution in the nucleon helicity state from the following tower of operators,

$$L_q^{\mu_1\dots\mu_n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int d^3\xi \ \overline{\psi}(\xi) \gamma^+ i D^{\mu_1} \cdots i D^{\mu_i} (\xi^1 i D^2 - \xi^2 i D^1) i D^{\mu_{i+1}} \cdots i D^{\mu_n} \psi(\xi) \ . \tag{12}$$

Considering the matrix elements

$$\langle PS|L_q^{+\dots+}|PS\rangle = \frac{2L_{qn}}{n+1}2S^+P^+\dots P^+(2\pi)^3\delta^3(0) ,$$
 (13)

we define the associated OAM distribution $L_q(x)$,

$$\int dx L_q(x) x^{n-1} = L_{qn} \ . \tag{14}$$

 $L_q(x)$ is gauge invariant and has a simple physical meaning, as it involves only the insertion of the angular momentum operator $\xi^1 i D^2 - \xi^2 i D^1$ into the twist-two generalized energymomentum tensors.

However, $L_q^{\mu_1...\mu_n}$ contains both twist-two and -three operators, and depends on the correlation of transverse distributions in both the coordinate and momentum spaces as well as the transverse gluon potential. Therefore, its partonic content is not simple. The fact that OAM is a higher-twist operator in a longitudinally-polarized nucleon can already be appreciated from the matrix element of M^{+12} , which is a subleading operator in light-front coordinates, because it has one less + as compared to $M^{++\perp}$ [6]. To calculate the matrix elements of the Pauli-Lubanski spin operator, it was found that $M^{++\perp}$ contributes to the transverse polarization of nucleon which is leading operator, whereas the helicity contribution M^{+12} is subleading operator.

We shall see that $L_q(x)$ naturally follows from the (angular momentum) moment of a Wigner distribution with the straightline gauge link [6]. We will also show in the next section that $L_q(x)$ is related explicitly to twist-two and -three GPDs, and hence is accessible experimentally in principle.

B. Canonical OAM Distribution in Light-Cone Gauge

There are two definitions of quark angular momentum in the literature. Apart from the gauge-invariant definition [2], there is the version motivated in free-field theory, expressed in the light-cone coordinates [14],

$$J^{3} = \int d^{3}\vec{\xi} \left[\frac{1}{2} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} \Sigma^{3} \psi + \overline{\psi} \gamma^{+} (\vec{\xi} \times i\vec{\partial})^{3} \psi + (\vec{E} \times \vec{A})^{3} + E^{i} (\vec{\xi} \times \vec{\partial})^{3} A^{i} \right] , \qquad (15)$$

where $A^+ = (A^0 + A^3)/\sqrt{2}$, and $E^i = F^{+i}$, and each term has a clear physical interpretation. When all fields expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators in light-cone quantization, the above expressions involve just the "good" components of the parton fields. In Ref. [23], the angular momentum evolution equation was derived. The related angular momentum sum rule has been often quoted in the literature,

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma_q + l_q + \Delta G + l_g , \qquad (16)$$

where all quantities are defined as the matrix elements of the above operators in the nucleon helicity state. However, only the first term is gauge invariant, whereas all other three terms are not. Since we are interested in the parton physics, it is the most natural way to fix the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$, which is what we will do in this paper.

The above canonical angular momentum operator has motivated introducing light-cone AM density by Hägler and Schäfer [15] as generalized angular momentum operators, and similarly by A. Harindranath and R. Kundu [17], by Bashinsky and Jaffe [16]

$$l_q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi P^+} \int d\lambda e^{i\lambda x} \langle PS | \overline{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ i(\xi^1 \partial^2 - \xi^2 \partial^1) \psi(\xi) | PS \rangle$$
(17)

where $\lambda = \xi^- P^+$ and the integration over ξ_{\perp} is implicit. To take into account the transverse coordinates, it can be calculated as the forward limit of an off-forward matrix element,

$$l_q(x) = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \frac{i\partial}{\partial q_{\perp\alpha}} \left| q_{\perp} = 0 \left[\int \frac{d\xi^-}{2\pi} e^{ixp^+\xi^-} \left\langle P'S \left| \overline{\psi}(0)\gamma^+ i\partial_{\perp}^{\beta}\psi(\xi^-) \right| PS \right\rangle \right] , \qquad (18)$$

where $q_{\perp} = P' - P$, α and β only cover the transverse dimensions. Clearly, $\int dx l_q(x) = l_q$.

Likewise, the gluon helicity and OAM distributions can be defined in light-cone gauge as

$$\Delta g(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \int d\lambda e^{i\lambda x} \langle PS|F^{+\alpha}(0)A^{\beta}(\lambda n)|PS\rangle$$
(19)

$$l_g(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\lambda e^{i\lambda x} \langle PS|F^{+\alpha}(0)(\xi^1\partial^2 - \xi^2\partial^1)A_\alpha(\lambda n)|PS\rangle$$
(20)

These are the gluon helicity distribution and canonical gluon OAM distribution. The gluon helicity sum rule is

$$\Delta G = \int dx \Delta g(x) , \qquad (21)$$

and similarly, $\int dx l_g(x) = l_g$. Thus all the components of the canonical angular momentum sum rule in Eq. (16) now have partonic interpretation, in the sense that they can be obtained from integration of parton distributions.

C. Relations Between Two OAM Distributions

From the covariant derivative $iD = i\partial - gA$, one can introduce the gauge-dependent potential angular momentum term $l_{\text{pot}} = -g \int d^3 \xi \overline{\psi}(\vec{\xi} \times \vec{A})^3 \psi$. Thus, $L_q = l_q + l_{q,\text{pot}}$. Similarly, one can introduce the relevant parton distribution through its moments [19],

$$l_{q,\text{pot}}^{n} = \frac{-\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{(P^{+})^{n}} \frac{i\partial}{\partial q_{\perp\alpha}} \left|_{q_{\perp}=0} \left[\left\langle P'S \left| \overline{\psi}(0)\gamma^{+1}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (iD^{+})^{n-1-k}gA_{\perp}^{\beta}(0)(iD^{+})^{k}\psi(0) \right| PS \right\rangle \right] \quad . \tag{22}$$

Again the above quantity is defined in the light-cone gauge. Obviously, the canonical AM distribution plus the potential AM distribution yield the manifest gauge-invariant AM density,

$$L_q(x) = l_q(x) + l_{q,\text{pot}}(x)$$
 (23)

The total quark angular momentum density contribution to the nucleon helicity is

$$\tilde{J}_{q}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma(x) + L_{q}(x)$$

= $\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma(x) + l_{q}(x) + l_{q,\text{pot}}(x)$ (24)

which differs from $J_q(x)$ defined from twist-two GPDs of Eq.(9) by a twist-three distribution.

There is no further decomposition of the gluon contribution to the nucleon spin in a gauge invariant fashion. However, by using the equation of motion, the gluon part AM density tensor can be written as,

$$M_g^{+\alpha\beta}(\xi) = \left(F^{+\alpha}A^{\beta} - F^{+\beta}A^{\alpha}\right) - F^{+i}\left(\xi^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta} - \xi^{\beta}\partial^{\alpha}\right)A_i + g\overline{\psi}\gamma^+\left(\xi^{\alpha}A^{\beta} - \xi^{\beta}A^{\alpha}\right)\psi , \quad (25)$$

where we have dropped out a total derivative term. From the above expression, we can see that the total contribution is gauge invariant, but not the individual terms [24]. Therefore, the individual contributions are not measurable in principle. However, one can define them in the light-cone gauge and demand the same result in all other gauges (called GIE), and explore their measurability. Thus, generalizing to the light-cone distributions in the light-cone gauge, we find that the total gluon contribution to the nucleon helicity can be written [2],

$$\tilde{J}_g(x) = \Delta g(x) + l_g(x) - \sum_q l_{q,\text{pot}}(x) .$$
(26)

which again differs from $J_g(x)$ by a twist-three GPDs.

Therefore, the total partonic angular momentum distribution to the nucleon helicity can be written as

$$\tilde{J}_q(x) + \tilde{J}_g(x) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma(x) + \sum_q L_q(x) + \tilde{J}_g(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma(x) + \Delta g(x) + \sum_q l_q(x) + l_g(x)$$
(27)

when integrated over x, one gets both the sum rule in Eq. (1) and the sum rule in Eq. (16).

III. PROBING ORBITAL ANGULAR MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTIONS

In this section, we consider the experimental probes of the OAM distributions. To this effort, we relate them to experimentally measurable distributions such as GPDs and Wigner distributions. In the process, one shall see that the OAM distributions defined in the previous section require twist-three processes to measure. It is a general rule of thumb in high-energy scattering that the higher-twist distributions are more difficult to probe than the leading twist ones. We will not go into the details of specific experiments other than quote the possible hard-scattering processes. We note that the total quark OAM can be measured in the leading-twist processes because of Lorentz symmetry, which states that the fraction of the angular momentum carried by quarks is independent of the polarization.

For the canonical angular momentum distributions in the light-cone gauge, one has to find the corresponding gauge-invariant quantities that are measurable in experiments. Thus in this section, we first consider the so-called GIE of the light-cone-gauge quantities to identify the proper observables. We then consider definitions of the twist-three GPDs and their relations to the OAM distributions. Finally, we consider the relations with Wigner distributions, exploring the possibility of obtaining the OAM distributions through the quantum phase-space distributions.

A. Gauge-Invariant Extension

In the following discussion, the canonical AM operators, including the gluon spin operator, are defined in the light-cone gauge. However, the experimental observables must be gaugeinvariant. To reconcile the difference, we introduce the concept of gauge-invariant extension in the sense that these gauge-dependent operators in any other gauge must yield the same matrix elements in the light-cone gauge. Thus for the example, the gluon helicity distribution $\Delta g(x)$ in Eq. (19) has the following gauge-invariant form [25],

$$\Delta g(x) = \frac{i}{xP^+} \int \frac{d\xi^-}{2\pi} e^{ixp^+\xi^-} \left\langle PS \left| F^{+i}(0)L_{[0,\xi^-]} \tilde{F}^{i+}(\xi^-)L_{[\xi^-,0]} \right| PS \right\rangle , \qquad (28)$$

where $\tilde{F}^{+i} = \epsilon^{ij}F^{+j}$, and L is the light-cone gauge link in fundamental representation. Clearly, its first moment is no longer a local operator. However, it reduces to the gluon spin operator in the light-cone gauge. $\Delta g(x)$ will appear in the polarized structure functions measured in deep inelastic scattering and the longitudinal double spin asymmetries in ppcollisions. The experimental investigation of this distribution is actively pursued at the relativistic heavy-ion collider at the Brookhaven National Laboratory [27], and will be the main focus in the planed electron ion collider in the near future [1].

If we introduce the following GIE of the partial derivative in light-cone gauge

$$i\tilde{\partial}^{\alpha}_{\perp} = iD^{\alpha}_{\perp}(\xi) + \int^{\xi^{-}} d\eta^{-} L_{[\xi^{-},\eta^{-}]} gF^{+\alpha}(\eta^{-},\xi_{\perp}) L_{[\eta^{-},\xi^{-}]} , \qquad (29)$$

where L is the light-cone gauge link (the only ambiguity is the boundary condition for the gluon potential at infinity which can be fixed by residual gauge definition, we ignore this point in our discussion, see [26]) we immediately find the canonical quark OAM distribution $l_q(x)$ is now gauge invariant, so is the canonical gluon OAM distribution $l_g(x)$. The potential AM distribution involves A^{α} , which can be made gauge invariant through,

$$\tilde{A}^{\alpha}_{\perp}(\xi) = \int^{\xi^{-}} d\eta^{-} L_{[\xi^{-},\eta^{-}]} g F^{+\alpha}(\eta^{-},\xi_{\perp}) L_{[\eta^{-},\xi^{-}]} .$$
(30)

Therefore all quantities defined in the light-cone gauge are now gauge invariant although they are now highly non-local because of the light-cone gauge links.

Through GIE, we find the following relation between $l_q(x)$, $L_q(x)$ and $l_{q,\text{pot}}$,

$$l_q(x) = L_q(x) - l_{q,\text{pot}}(x)$$
 (31)

Similarly, we can define the gluon gauge-invariant OAM distribution through its moments

$$L_g^n = \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{4\pi (P^+)^n} \frac{i\partial}{\partial q_{\perp\alpha}} \left|_{q_\perp=0} \left[\left\langle P'S \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F^{+i}(0) (iD^+)^{n-1-k} iD_\perp^\beta (iD^+)^k A^i(0) \right| PS \right\rangle \right] , \quad (32)$$

and gluon potential AM distribution,

$$l_{g,\text{pot}}^{n} = \frac{-\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{4\pi(P^{+})^{n}} \frac{i\partial}{\partial q_{\perp\alpha}} \left|_{q_{\perp}=0} \left[\left\langle P'S \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F^{+i}(0) \left(iD^{+}\right)^{n-1-k} gA^{\beta}(0) \left(iD^{+}\right)^{k} A^{i}(0) \right| PS \right\rangle \right].$$
(33)

It is also easy to see that

$$l_g(x) = L_g(x) - l_{g,\text{pot}}(x)$$
 (34)

B. GPDs and OAM Distributions

GPDs have been extensively discussed in the literature [28–30]. In this section, we will focus on the twist-three GPDs which are directly related to the various spin components derived in the last section. We will also derive the connections between various twist-three GPDs to illustrate the relations between different terms in the spin sum rule.

In particular, we are interested in the twist-three GPDs associated with the longitudinal polarized nucleon. Define a distribution with two light-cone fractions,

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\mu}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda(x-y)} e^{i\mu y} \langle P'S | \overline{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ iD^\perp(\mu n) \psi(\lambda n) | PS \rangle$$

= $\frac{i\epsilon^{\perp \alpha}}{2} \Delta_{\alpha} H_D^{q(3)}(x, y, \eta, t) \overline{U}(P') \gamma^+ \gamma_5 U(P) + \cdots$ (35)

where n is a conjugate vector $n^+ = n_\perp = 0$ with $n \cdot P = 1$, η is the skewness parameter, $t = \Delta^2$ with $\Delta = q = P' - P$. It is straightforward to show that the moments of quark orbital angular momentum distribution $L_q(x)$ is related to the moments of twist-three GPDs in the forward limit¹

$$L_q^n = \int dx \int dy \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{n-1-k} (x-y)^k H_D^{q(3)}(x,y,0,0) .$$
 (36)

Similarly, we can define the following twist-three GPDs associated with gluon which is related to $L_g(x)$,

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi P^{+}} \int \frac{d\mu}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda(x-y)} e^{i\mu y} \langle P'S|F^{+i}(0)iD^{\perp}(\mu n)F^{+i}(\lambda n)|PS\rangle$$
$$= \frac{i\epsilon^{\perp\alpha}}{4} \Delta_{\alpha} H_{D}^{g(3)}(x,y,\eta,t)\overline{U}(P')\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}U(P) + \cdots$$
(37)

Again, the moments of $L_g(x)$ is related to the moments of $H_D^{g(3)}(x, y, \eta, t)$ in the forward limit,

$$L_g^n = \int dx \int dy \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{n-1-k} (x-y)^{k-1} H_D^{g(3)}(x,y,0,0) .$$
(38)

¹ One may also define the OAM distribution by $\int dy H_D^{q(3)}(x, y, 0, 0)$, which would correspond to the moment definition in Eq. (12) with D^{\perp} only associated with ψ or $\bar{\psi}$ fields. The following discussions apply to this case as well (see also the discussions in Ref. [22]).

For l_q and l_g , we have

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \left\langle P'S | \overline{\psi}(0) \gamma^{+} i \tilde{\partial}^{\perp} \psi(\lambda n) | PS \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{i\epsilon^{\perp \alpha}}{2} \Delta_{\alpha} \tilde{H}_{q}^{(3)}(x,\eta,t) \overline{U}(P') \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} U(P) + \cdots, \qquad (39)$$

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2 \cdot D^{+}} e^{i\lambda x} \left\langle P'S | F^{+i}(0) i \tilde{\partial}^{\perp} F^{+i}(\lambda n) | PS \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{i\epsilon^{\perp\alpha}}{4} \Delta_{\alpha} \tilde{H}_{g}^{(3)}(x,\eta,t) \overline{U}(P') \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} U(P) + \cdots$$
(40)

We have,

$$l_q(x) = \tilde{H}_q^{(3)}(x, 0, 0) , \qquad (41)$$

$$xl_g(x) = \tilde{H}_g^{(3)}(x,0,0)$$
 (42)

The associated potential OAM terms depend on the F-type twist-three GPDs. To discuss the connections, we will start with more general forms for the twist-three GPDs.

In addition to the D-type twist-three GPDs of Eqs. (35,37), there are also F-type GPDs,

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\mu}{2\pi P^+} e^{i(x-y)\lambda} e^{iy\mu} \langle P'S | \overline{\psi}(0) \gamma^+ g F^{+\perp}(\mu n) \psi(\lambda n) | PS \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^{\perp \alpha}}{2} \Delta_{\alpha} H_F^{q(3)}(x, y, \eta, t) \overline{U}(P') \gamma^+ \gamma_5 U(P) + \cdots, \qquad (43)$$

$$\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi P^+} \int \frac{d\mu}{2\pi P^+} e^{i(x-y)\lambda} e^{iy\mu} \langle P'S | F^{+i}(0) g F^{+\perp}(\mu n) F^{+i}(\lambda n) | PS \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon^{\perp \alpha}}{4} \Delta_{\alpha} H_F^{g(3)}(x, y, \eta, t) \overline{U}(P') \gamma^+ \gamma_5 U(P) + \cdots. \qquad (44)$$

It is the F-type twist-three GPDs that are related to the potential OAMs for the quarks and gluons, respectively,

$$l_{q,\text{pot}}^{n} = -\int dx \int dy \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{n-1-k} (x-y)^{k} P \frac{1}{y} H_{F}^{q(3)}(x,y,0,0) , \qquad (45)$$

$$l_{g,\text{pot}}^{n} = -\int dx \int dy \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^{n-1-k} (x-y)^{k-1} P \frac{1}{y} H_{F}^{g(3)}(x,y,0,0) .$$
(46)

Similar to that for the twist-three quark-gluon-quark correlation function in the forward limit, the D-type and F-type twist-three GPDs are related to each other [31, 32],

$$H_D^{q,g(3)}(x,y,0,0) = -P\frac{1}{y}H_F^{q,g(3)}(x,y) + \delta(y)\tilde{H}_{q,g}^{(3)}(x,0,0) , \qquad (47)$$

respectively. These relations are consistent with the relations,

$$L_{q/g}(x) = l_{q/g}(x) + l_{q/g, \text{pot}}(x)$$
(48)

in the previous sections.

C. Wigner Distribution and Parton OAM Distributions

Parton Wigner distribution was introduced in Ref. [21] to unify the transverse momentum dependent distributions (TMDs) and the GPDs. They describe the phase space distribution of partons in nucleon. In particular, they contain information on momentum and coordinate dependence in the transverse plane perpendicular to the nucleon momentum direction. Therefore, the Wigner distribution will naturally provide the spin-orbital correlation which is important to extract the parton orbital angular momentum. This has been demonstrated explicitly in recent studies [6, 19, 20].

We define the Wigner distribution for the quark [21],

$$W_{\Gamma}^{q}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{r}) = \int \frac{d\eta^{-}d^{2}\vec{\eta}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{ik\cdot\eta} \langle P|\overline{\Psi}(\vec{r}-\frac{\eta}{2})\Gamma\Psi(\vec{r}+\frac{\eta}{2})|P\rangle , \qquad (49)$$

where x represents the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the quark, k_{\perp} the transverse momentum, \vec{r} the coordinate space variable, and Γ the Dirac matrix to project out the particular quark distribution. The quark field Ψ contains the relevant gauge link to guarantee the gauge invariance of the above definition.

If we further integrate over r_z , we will obtain the transverse Wigner distribution, which can be interpreted as the phase space $(x, \vec{k}_{\perp}, \vec{b}_{\perp} \equiv r_{\perp})$ distribution of the parton in the transverse plane perpendicular to the nucleon momentum direction. We are interested in obtaining the Wigner distribution for the quark in a longitudinal polarized nucleon. With the correct Dirac matrix projection, we define the following phase space distribution,

$$W^{q}(x,\vec{k}_{\perp},\vec{b}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d^{2}\vec{q}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-i\vec{q}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{b}_{\perp}} \int \frac{d\eta^{-}d^{2}\vec{\eta}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{ik\cdot\eta} \langle P + \frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2} |\overline{\Psi}(-\frac{\eta}{2})\gamma^{+}\Psi(\frac{\eta}{2})|P - \frac{\vec{q}_{\perp}}{2} \rangle , \quad (50)$$

where \vec{b}_{\perp} and \vec{k}_{\perp} are transverse coordinate and momentum variables, respectively.

Because of the transverse momentum dependence, the above introduced Wigner distributions involve the gauge links which are process dependent. For the relevance of the quark OAM from the quark Wigner distributions, it was found two options can be chosen,

$$\Psi_{LC}(\xi) = P\left[\exp\left(-ig\int_{0}^{\infty} d\lambda n \cdot A(\lambda n + \xi)\right)\right]\psi(\xi)$$
(51)

$$\Psi_{FS}(\xi) = P\left[\exp\left(-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda\xi \cdot A(\lambda\xi)\right)\right]\psi(\xi) .$$
(52)

The light-cone gauge link in $\Psi_{LC}(\xi)$ is appropriate for high energy process, such as semiinclusive hadron production in deep inelastic scattering [33] (see also [26] about the boundary conditions at infinity). The second choice $\Psi_{FS}(\xi)$ is a straightline gauge link along the direction of spacetime position ξ^{μ} . This link reduces to unity in the Fock-Schwinger gauge, $\xi \cdot A(\xi) = 0$.

It can be shown that the straightline gauge link corresponds to the gauge invariant OAM for the quark,

$$L_q(x) = \int (\vec{b}_{\perp} \times \vec{k}_{\perp})^3 W_{FS}^q(x, \vec{b}_{\perp}, \vec{k}_{\perp}) d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{k}_{\perp} , \qquad (53)$$

which gives a parton picture for the gauge-invariant OAM $L_q(x)$. The above derivation is straightforward, though a little tedious, and can be checked explicitly. In particular, if we integrate over momentum fraction x, the straight-line gauge link becomes a gauge link along the transverse direction, and the further derivation is simple. For the distribution as function of x, one can apply the Taylor expansion, and obtains the final expression as stated in the above equation. For the canonical quark OAM $l_q(x)$, we have,

$$l_q(x) = \int (\vec{b}_{\perp} \times \vec{k}_{\perp})^3 W^q_{LC}(x, \vec{b}_{\perp}, \vec{k}_{\perp}) d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{k}_{\perp} .$$
 (54)

From the above equations, we find that the gauge invariant OAM $L_q(x)$ and the canonical OAM $l_q(x)$ are unified as projection of the associated quark Wigner distribution with two different choices for the gauge link.

D. Wigner Distributions for the Gluons

Similarly, we can define the Wigner distribution for the gluons,

$$xW^{g}(x,k_{\perp},b_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d^{2}q_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{2}} e^{-iq_{\perp}\cdot b_{\perp}} \int \frac{d\eta^{-}d^{2}\eta_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{3}} e^{ik\cdot\eta} \langle P + \frac{q_{\perp}}{2} \left| \mathbf{F}^{+i}(-\frac{\eta}{2})\mathbf{F}^{+i}(\frac{\eta}{2}) \right| P - \frac{q_{\perp}}{2} \rangle , \quad (55)$$

where \mathbf{F} contains the relevant gauge links,

$$\mathbf{F}_{FS}^{+i}(\xi) = P\left[\exp\left(-ig\int_{0}^{\infty}d\lambda\xi \cdot A(\lambda\xi)\right)\right]F^{+i}(\xi)$$
(56)

$$\mathbf{F}_{LC}^{+i}(\xi) = P\left[\exp\left(-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda n \cdot A(\lambda n + \xi)\right)\right] F^{+i}(\xi) .$$
(57)

Here, the gauge links are in the adjoint representations. We have chosen the future pointing gauge links. We notice that there are different choices for the gauge links associated with the transverse momentum dependent gluon distributions (see, e.g., Ref. [34]). Future pointing

gauge link corresponds to the processes involving only final state interactions associated with the gluon distribution, such as dijet-correlation in DIS [34]. Because of the time-reversal invariance, for the above quantity, the future pointing gauge link yields the same Wigner distribution as that for backward pointing gauge link in the process such as Higgs boson production in pp collisions. Following the above calculations, we find that the gluon OAM can be constructed from these Wigner distributions [20],

$$L_g(x) = \int (\vec{b}_{\perp} \times \vec{k}_{\perp})^3 W_{FS}^g(x, \vec{b}_{\perp}, \vec{k}_{\perp}) d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{k}_{\perp}$$
(58)

$$l_g(x) = \int (\vec{b}_{\perp} \times \vec{k}_{\perp})^3 W^g_{LC}(x, \vec{b}_{\perp}, \vec{k}_{\perp}) d^2 \vec{b}_{\perp} d^2 \vec{k}_{\perp} .$$
 (59)

In high energy processes, these Wigner distributions could be measurable in hard exclusive processes.

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have studied the parton sum rule for the spin of a longitudinal polarized nucleon, in terms of the parton helicity contributions and OAM contributions. We have identified the twist-three GPDs as direct probes for the parton OAMs. We also demonstrated that the quark and gluon OAMs can be related to the quantum phase space distributions. In particular, the canonical and gauge-invariant quark OAMs correspond to the different choices for the associated gauge links structure in the Wigner distributions.

Since the twist-three GPDs can be used to probe the parton OAMs directly, we can now in principle measure the different components of the spin sum rule. These GPDs shall be studied in the hard exclusive processes. However, the associated spin asymmetries always contain the leading-twist contributions as in, for example, deeply virtual Compton scattering process, it is not easy to extract these twist-three GPDs. We have to make more detailed studies on these processes and learn how to extract the relevant distributions.

Moreover, the Wigner distributions shall also be accessible through high energy processes. We will investigate these issues in the future publications.

We thank Y. Hatta for the discussions and communications on their recent work [22]. This work was partially supported by the U. S. Department of Energy via grants DE-FG02-93ER-40762 and DE-AC02-05CH11231 and a grant from National Science Foundation of China (X.J.).

- [1] D. Boer, et al., arXiv:1108.1713 [nucl-th].
- [2] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997).
- [3] B. W. Filippone and X. -D. Ji, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 26, 1 (2001) [hep-ph/0101224].
- [4] M. Burkardt, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18, 173 (2003); Phys. Rev. D 72, 094020 (2005).
- [5] E. Leader, Phys. Rev. D 85, 051501 (2012) [arXiv:1109.1230 [hep-ph]].
- [6] X. Ji, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 152005 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2843 [hep-ph]].
- [7] X. Ji, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B 717, 214 (2012) [arXiv:1209.3246 [hep-ph]].
- [8] Y. Hatta, K. Tanaka, S. Yoshida and , JHEP 1302, 003 (2013) [arXiv:1211.2918 [hep-ph]].
- [9] E. Leader, C. Lorce and , arXiv:1211.4731 [hep-ph].
- [10] A. Harindranath, R. Kundu, A. Mukherjee, R. Ratabole and , arXiv:1212.0761 [hep-ph].
- [11] X. Ji, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, arXiv:1304.1009 [hep-ph].
- [12] P. Hoodbhoy, X. Ji and W. Lu, Phys. Rev. D 59, 014013 (1999).
- [13] A. V. Belitsky, A. Kirchner, D. Mueller and A. Schafer, Phys. Lett. B 510, 117 (2001) [hep-ph/0103343].
- [14] R. L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B **337**, 509 (1990).
- [15] P. Hagler and A. Schafer, Phys. Lett. B 430, 179 (1998) [hep-ph/9802362].
- [16] S. Bashinsky and R. L. Jaffe, Nucl. Phys. B 536, 303 (1998); P. Hagler and A. Schafer, Phys.
 Lett. B 430, 179 (1998).
- [17] A. Harindranath and R. Kundu, Phys. Rev. D 59, 116013 (1999) [hep-ph/9802406].
- [18] X. Ji, Y. Xu and Y. Zhao, arXiv:1205.0156 [hep-ph].
- [19] Y. Hatta, Phys. Lett. B 708, 186 (2012) [arXiv:1111.3547 [hep-ph]].
- [20] C. Lorce and B. Pasquini, Phys. Rev. D 84, 014015 (2011); C. Lorce', B. Pasquini, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, arXiv:1111.4827 [hep-ph].
- [21] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 062001 (2003); A. V. Belitsky, X. Ji and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074014 (2004).
- [22] Y. Hatta, S. Yoshita, to be submitted.
- [23] X. -D. Ji, J. Tang and P. Hoodbhoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 740 (1996) [hep-ph/9510304].
- [24] P. Hoodbhoy and X. -D. Ji, Phys. Rev. D 60, 114042 (1999) [hep-ph/9908275].

- [25] A. V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 289 (1991).
- [26] M. Burkardt, arXiv:1205.2916 [hep-ph].
- [27] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Stratmann and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 072001 (2008).
- [28] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. D 55, 7114 (1997); A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D 56, 5524 (1997).
- [29] M. Diehl, Phys. Rept. **388**, 41 (2003) [hep-ph/0307382].
- [30] A. V. Belitsky and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rept. 418, 1 (2005).
- [31] H. Eguchi, Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B 752, 1 (2006); Nucl. Phys. B 763, 198 (2007).
- [32] J. Zhou, F. Yuan and Z. -T. Liang, Phys. Rev. D 81, 054008 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2238 [hep-ph]].
- [33] J.C.Collins, Foundations of Perturbative QCD, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011;
 X. Ji, J. P. Ma and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 71, 034005 (2005).
- [34] F. Dominguez, B. -W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 022301 (2011); F. Dominguez,
 C. Marquet, B. -W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 83, 105005 (2011).