

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

QCD topology at finite temperature: Statistical mechanics of self-dual dyons

Pietro Faccioli and Edward Shuryak Phys. Rev. D **87**, 074009 — Published 9 April 2013 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.87.074009

QCD Topology at Finite Temperature: Statistical Mechanics of Selfdual Dyons

Pietro Faccioli^{1,2} and Edward Shuryak³ ¹ Physics Department, Trento University, Via Sommarive 14, Povo (Trento), I-38100, Italy ² INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, Povo (Trento), I-38100, Italy and ³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

Topological phenomena in gauge theories have long been recognized as the driving force for chiral symmetry breaking and confinement. These phenomena can be conveniently investigated in the semi-classical picture, in which the topological charge is entirely carried by (anti-)self-dual gauge configurations. In such an approach, it has been shown that near the critical temperature, the non-zero expectation value of the Polyakov loop (holonomy) triggers the "Higgsing" of the color group, generating the splitting of instantons into N_c self-dual dyons. A number of lattice simulations have provided some evidence for such dyons, and traced their relation with specific observables, such as the Dirac eigenvalue spectrum. In this work, we formulate a model, based on one-loop partition function and including Coulomb interaction, screening and fermion zee modes. We then perform the first numerical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of a statistical ensemble of self-dual dyons, as a function of their density, quark mass and the number of flavors. We study different dyonic two-point correlation functions and we compute the Dirac spectrum, as a function of the ensemble diluteness and the number of quark flavors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological phenomena in gauge theories have been discovered more than three decades ago, and remain the subject of intense theoretical research ever since. In particular, magnetic objects (monopoles) have been identified as a possible source of confinement [1, 2], while instantons have been proposed as the driving mechanism for chiral symmetry breaking [4, 5].

The index theorem establishes a direct connection between the vacuum topology, and zero-eigenvalue solutions of the Dirac equation, i.e. the so-called fermionic zeromodes. These quark states are insensitive to any perturbative fluctuation of the gauge field, hence encode purely non-perturbative QCD dynamics. Furthermore, lattice simulations have shown that the Dirac eigenstates with near-zero eigenvalues — also known as the "zeromode zone" (ZMZ)— directly correlate with *local* fluctuations of the topological charge density. After filtering out quantum fluctuations, lattice fields reveal nearly (anti) self-dual smooth fields responsible for topology and ZMZ states [3]. Using only fermionic states attributed to the ZMZ (a tiny subset of Dirac eigenstates, of only about $\sim 10^{-4}$ of all eigenstates) one finds the correct pion mass, quark condensate as well as many other hadronic properties. On the other hand, filtering out the ZMZ states removes the chiral symmetry breaking and leads to drastic changes in the hadronic spectrum computed on the lattice. In particular, some masses get shifted by as much as $\sim 30\%$ and parity-doublets appear (for a recent analysis, see e.g. [6]).

This body of results coherently support a picture in

which the non-perturbative chiral dynamics in vacuum is mediated by instantons. Indeed, instanton model calculations (for a review see [7]), have been very successful in reproducing the mass and electro-magnetic structure of pions [8], vector mesons [9], nucleons [10–15] and even the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule for hyperon [16] and kaon [17] non-leptonic decays.

In the instanton picture, the width of the ZMZ depends on the size of the typical "hopping" matrix element of the Dirac operator between two instantons, which is of the order $\sim \bar{\rho}^2/\bar{R}^3 \sim 20$ MeV, where $\bar{\rho}$ is the typical instanton size and \bar{R} the typical inter-instanton density [4]. This value is comparable to the typical light quark masses used in may lattice simulations, and this explains why the corresponding results display significant deviations from the naive chiral perturbation theory predictions. Furthermore, the specific shape of the density of eigenvalues $\rho(\lambda)$ in the ZMZ depends crucially on the theory parameters, such as the number of light fermions N_f .

In this work, we will further investigate topological phenomena in the semi-classical picture, focusing on temperatures close to those at which the expectation value of the Polyakov line

$$\langle P(\mathbf{x})\rangle = \langle \exp\left(i\int_{0}^{\beta} dx_{4} A_{4}^{a}(\mathbf{x}, x_{4}) \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}\right)\rangle$$
 (1)

drastically changes from 1 to 0.

The gauge invariant expectation value (1) defines the holonomy of the gauge connection corresponding to a full circle around the periodic time direction and is related to the free-energy F_q of a single static quark:

$$\langle P \rangle \sim \exp\left(-F_q/T\right).$$
 (2)

Hence, a drastic suppression of $\langle P \rangle$ reflects the onset of the confinement phenomenon and we shall denote the corresponding critical temperature with T_c .

From Eq. (1) it follows that a non-trivial holonomy (i.e. $\langle P(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \neq 1$) reflects a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the $A_4(\mathbf{x}, x_4)$ component of the gauge field. In the semiclassical picture, this condition is not fulfilled by the standard instantons and calorons (finite temperature instantons). Indeed, in such topological classical solutions, the A_4 component of the gauge field vanishes at spatial infinity, $\lim_{|\mathbf{x}|\to\infty} A_4(\mathbf{x}, x_4) = 0$.

At nonzero holonomy, the instantons basically split into their substructures, the N_c "constituent dyons", as discussed in Ref.s [18, 19]. Explicit classical gauge configurations, generalizing the instanton solution to a nontrivial holonomy case, are called the Kraan-van-Baal-Lee-Lu (KvBLL) calorons [20, 21]. There remain $4N_c$ collective variables for the constituent dyons as for instantons, but those are different: while each instanton is identified by its position, size and color orientation, a dyon is specified only by its position and its Abelian phases. Of course, both the solution and the measure return to the standard instanton expressions in the limit of vanishing holonomy. For review of instanton-dyons see [22], [23] and references therein.

In general case, the holonomy can be parametrized in terms the VEV of A_4 at spatial infinity, according to the usual Cartan subalgebra notation. Such an asymptotic A_4 field can be taken to be spatially constant and diagonal in color space,

$$A_4(\infty) = (2\pi T) \operatorname{diag}(\mu_1 ... \mu_{N_c}); \quad \sum_i \mu_i = 0 \quad (3)$$

The latter condition follows from imposing a null trace.

Inserting such a constant field plus and its quantum fluctuations into the gauge action, one finds that the Yang-Mills commutator generates mass terms for all nondiagonal components of the gluon field. On the other hand, diagonal components of the quantum gauge field commute with the holonomy and remain massless.

It is convenient to adopt a cyclic-symmetric notations such that $\mu_{Nc+1} = 1 + \mu_1$ and introduce the differences between subsequent holonomy parameters,

$$\nu_i = \mu_{i+1} - \mu_i; \quad \sum_i \nu_i = 1.$$
 (4)

These differences determine the masses of the nondiagonal (i + 1, i) components of the gauge field. Since the dyon cores are made of such field components, the i-th dyon has the core size ~ $1/(2\pi T\nu_i)$. On the other hand, the long-range dyon interaction is due to massless diagonal fields and is governed by their electric and magnetic charges (see e.g. Ref. [23]).



FIG. 1: Location of the holonomy eigenvalues μ_1, μ_2 shown by (blue) darker small circles, for high temperatures (a) and for $T = T_c$ (b). Their differences $\nu_1 = \mu_2 - \mu_1, \nu_2 = \mu_3 - \mu_2$ are also indicated. The (yellow) lighter circles on the left at the phase π indicate the case of physical anti periodic fermions.

In this paper, we restrict our attention to the SU(2) gauge group. In this case, the holonomy parameterization simplifies, since there is only one diagonal generator τ^3 and a single holonomy parameter, $\mu = \mu_1 = -\mu_2$. In Fig.1 we show the locations of the holonomy eigenvalues at two different temperatures. At high T (case (a)) the holonomy is close to a zero phase, so $\nu_2 = 2 \mu$ is small. Respectively, the mass of the first dyon, called M, is also small. The mass of the second dyon is proportional to the complementary part of the phase circle ν_2 , which at high T takes nearly the whole circle. The corresponding L dyon is thus heavy.

As $T \to T_c$, the holonomy moves toward $\pm 1/4$ of the circle, as shown in case (b): and in this case both dyons have the same mass. This position corresponds to phases $\mu_i = \pm 1/4$ and the Polyakov line vanishes $P \to \cos(\pi/2) = 0$, which physically corresponds to the confinement of heavy charges (quarks).

In Table I we list the quantum numbers of the possible dyon solutions for the SU(2) gauge group. In such a gauge theory there are 4 distinct dyons, denoted with M, \bar{M}, L and \bar{L} , which are characterized by different (color-) magnetic and electric charges. For the general SU(N_c) case there are $M_1, M_2...M_{Nc}$ so-called "static" dyons with all diagonal charges and just one so-called "twisted" dyon L.

From the technical point of view, the statistical physics of the dyon ensemble is quite challenging compared to that of the "instanton liquid" [7]. This is mostly because, on top of topological phenomena induced by the zeromodes of light fermions, one has to deal with long-range Coulomb forces and even linearly growing potentials due to a "screening" effect, as will be discussed below.

A first, qualitative discussion of dyonic ensemble has been performed by T. Sulejmanpasic and one of us [24].

name	Е	Μ	mass
M	+	+	v
\bar{M}	+	-	v
L	-	-	$2\pi T - v$
\bar{L}	-	+	$2\pi T - v$

TABLE I: The electric and magnetic charges and the mass (in units of $8\pi^2/g^2T$) for the 4 different kinds of SU(2) dyons.

It was pointed out that a dyonic system can realize several distinct phases, ranging from a gas of individual $\bar{L}L$ clusters at high T, to a strongly-coupled liquid plasma at $T \approx T_c$. Ref. [24] also includes an extensive discussion of the lattice-based phenomenology related to self-dual dyons. Extension of that work to the case of adjoint fermions will be given in [25].

The present paper can be considered as a *quantitative* continuation of that study, we shall define a partition function of the dyonic ensemble which is amenable to practical many-body computer simulations, perform the first numerical simulations and report on the results.

The partition function of the dyon ensemble can be schematically written as

$$Z = \int \{ dX_i \} e^{-S_c} \det G \det F_{zm} \frac{\det' F_{nzm}}{\sqrt{\det' B}}$$
(5)

where the first factor is the product of the differentials of all the collective variables, the exponent contains the classical actions of all dyons, while the two subsequent determinants of matrices G and F_{zm} are related to the bosonic and fermionic zero-modes, respectively. The two remaining (primed) determinants correspond to the nonzero-modes of the one-loop bosonic and fermionic operators, describing the small linearized perturbations of the classical solution. (As usual, the latter determinants are divergent and need to be regularized, e.g. in the Pauli-Villars scheme, so that the UV bare coupling g in the action is combined with the UV cut-off into a physical renormalized charge.) A quantitative characterization of the dyonic ensemble can be achieve by performing MC sampling of the partition function (5).

Before we come to such simulations, let us outline the physical phenomena to be addressed. As the temperature drops from $T \sim 3T_c$ to T_c , the value of the holonomy changes from zero to its "confining value" $v = \pi T$. In the former case the action of the M-dyons is small and of the L ones is large, while at $T < T_c$ their actions become nearly equal. At high T "heavy" L dyons can be bound with antidyons \bar{L} by the fermion exchanges into certain $L\bar{L}$ clusters [24], with zero magnetic but double electric Abelian charge. The formation of such clusters are reflected in the double-bump shape of the Dirac eigenvalue spectrum and a gap around zero: in the gas of clusters the chiral symmetry remain unbroken. As T decreases, the action per dyon decreases and their density grow. Also the mass of the fermions decreases. Eventually, at some $T = T_c$, the density is high enough to bridge the

gap in the Dirac eigenvalue spectrum and produce finite density of eigenvalues at zero. This means that the chiral symmetry gets spontaneously broken.

Let us now briefly outline the structure of the paper. The next section describes bosonic interactions of the dyons, which are separated into approximations made to describe the so called *moduli space metric* associated with bosonic zero-modes, and *electric screening* associated with the nonzero bosonic modes. The next section describes our approximation for the third essential ingredient of the partition function, the *fermionic determinant*.

The subsequent two sections provide further technical details about the partition function (5). Some introduction about the instanton dyons and the setting used in our simulations is reported in section IV. The results of the simulations are presented and discussed in section V, while the main conclusions are summarized in VI.

II. BOSONIC DYON INTERACTIONS

A. Moduli space metric

In the selfdual (or anti-selfdual) subsectors, the dyons are so-called Bogomolny-Prasad-Sommerfeld (BPS) protected objects, which means that their total action is completely determined by the total topological charge. Thus, at the level of classical solutions of the Yang-Mills equations, the total action is independent of the dyon collective coordinates. The corresponding degenerate manifold of all classical solutions with a particular topological charge Q, known as the "moduli space", has certain metric, which can be calculated from the bosonic zero-modes of the classical solution in a standard way. The resulting geometry is not simple: for monopoles it was derived in classic works of Atiyah and Hitchin [27]. Even a configurations consisting of two monopoles/dvons gives raise to a quite nontrivial manifold, with a specific singularity known as "bolt" (or arrowhead). Many-body moduli are extremely complicated, and to our knowledge their exact metric and geometry has not been explored in any detail.

Obviously, one needs to make some approximations, in order to perform practical simulations. Following Gibbons and Manton [28] and Diakonov [23], the invariant volume element for moduli metric can be approximated by the determinant of a certain matrix G which is defined in order to incorporate the known limiting cases.

$$\sqrt{\det \hat{g}} \approx \det \hat{G}$$
 (6)

The Jacobian determinant of a single L-M dyon pair has been calculated by Diakonov, Gromov, Petrov and Slizovskiy (DGPS) [26]. The nonzero-modes lead to the so called screening phenomenon, which we discuss in section II B. For the SU(2) gauge group, the matrix \hat{G} is

$$\hat{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 4\pi\nu_L + \frac{1}{Tr_{LM}} & -\frac{1}{Tr_{LM}} \\ -\frac{1}{Tr_{LM}} & 4\pi\nu_M + \frac{1}{Tr_{LM}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where the matrix rows and columns correspond to the two dyon types L, M and $r_{LM} \equiv |x_L - x_M|$. We emphasize that the expression (7) turns out to be correct at all distances, not only for asymptotically large separations. It was also shown that in the limit of trivial holonomy (i.e. for $\mu_m \to 0$) or at vanishing temperature, the resulting measure with (7) reduces to the standard 't Hooft single-instanton measure.

For sake of completeness we report also the generalization of Eq. (7) to an arbitrary $SU(N_c)$ group,

$$[\hat{G}]_{mn} = \delta_{mn} (4\pi\nu_m + \frac{1}{T|x_m - x_{m-1}|} + \frac{1}{T|x_m - x_{m+1}|}) - \frac{\delta_{mn-1}}{T|x_m - x_{m+1}|} - \frac{\delta_{mn+1}}{T|x_m - x_{m-1}|}, \qquad (8)$$

where the indexes m, n run over the different types of dyons.

The effective potential generated by the moduli metric can be defined as usual as $V_{eff} \equiv -T \log \det(G)$. Upon expanding it in powers of 1/r, one recovers the usual Coulombic potential at large distances.

A classical Coulomb gas is known to be unstable: particles can fall onto each other. Fortunately, a pair of nonidentical dyons is not affected by such a problem. Indeed, the Coulomb term is complemented by the higher powers of 1/r of opposite signs. As a result, the total effective potential turns out to be only logarithmically divergent at small distances, thus the integral which appears in the partition function,

$$\int d^3r \det \hat{G} = \int d^3r \left(\frac{1}{r} + \text{const.}\right) \tag{9}$$

is well convergent. This expression implies that the probability of finding two dyons at the same point vanishes.

Let us now discuss the case in which there are dyons of the same kind. Simplifying the famous Atiyah-Hitchin many-monopole metrics [27], Gibbons and Manton [28] have shown that the weight for K identical dyons reads:

$$W = \frac{1}{K!} \int dx_1 \dots dx_K \det \hat{G}^{\text{ident}}, \qquad (10)$$

where

$$\hat{G}_{ij}^{\text{ident}} = \begin{cases} 4\pi\nu_m - \sum_{k\neq i=1}^{K} \frac{2}{T|x_i - x_k|}, & i = j \\ \frac{1}{T|x_i - x_j|}, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$
(11)

Note the inversion of the signs here, as compared to the case of non-identical dyons. We emphasize the this expression is exact only for large inter-dyon separations, and corrections may be important at small distances.

For a pair of identical dyons, det $G = 4\pi\nu(4\pi\nu - 1/Tr)$, which remains positive only for distances larger than some "core size" $r > 1/(4\pi\nu T)$. Note also that the volume element detG vanishes *linearly* at the core, which follows from the famous "bolt" geometry.

Diakonov [23] nicely combined the metric tensors for different-kind and same-kind dyons into one symmetriclooking metric, describing the moduli space of arbitrary number of dyons. Let their numbers are K_1 dyons of kind 1, K_2 dyons of kind 2,..., K_N dyons of kind N. The result is a matrix whose dimension is the total number of dyons $(K_1 + \ldots + K_N) \times (K_1 + \ldots + K_N)$ and reads:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{G}]_{m,i,n,j} &= \delta_{mn} \delta_{ij} \left(4\pi\nu_m - 2\sum_{k\neq i} \frac{1}{T|x_{m,i} - x_{m,k}|} \right. \\ &+ \sum_k \frac{1}{|x_{m,i} - x_{m+1,k}|} + \sum_k \frac{1}{|x_{m,i} - x_{m-1,k}|} \right) \\ &+ 2\frac{\delta_{m,n}}{|x_{m,i} - x_{m,j}|} - \frac{\delta_{m,n-1}}{|x_{m,i} - x_{m+1,j}|} \\ &- \frac{\delta_{m,n-1}}{|x_{m,i} - x_{m-1,j}|}. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

In this expression $x_{m,i}$ denotes the coordinate of the ith dyon, of kind m.

Let us now discuss the short-distance behavior. Now, the effective potential $V_{eff} = \exp(-\log G)$ has multiparticle terms with all powers of 1/r. To make sense of it, it is instructive to first calculate it for some examples of configurations. For example, we can consider a "square" consisting of 2 L and 2 M dyons. It is found that, in all cases, their combined effect can be described by a weakening of the Coulomb divergence.

When the distances between dyons become too small, the Coulombic term becomes unreasonably large, and it needs to be regulated: after all, a dyon is not a point charge and has a finite size. In the present work, we adopt a regulation based on the substitution

$$\frac{2}{r} \to \frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}},\tag{13}$$

where we have chosen in the simulation the value of the cutoff parameter $a = \pi/T$, leading to vanishing measure for two identical dyons, in the limit $r \to 0$ (to be referred to as "soft core"). This is in contrast with imposing that the measure should vanish at some finite r, as in the famous Attyah-Hitchin metric (to be referred to as "hard core").

The configuration space of a multi-dyon ensemble can be sampled with a standard Metropolis-based MC algorithm. Within such an approach, we shall not only enforce the global positivity of the metric determinant, but also we will require each eigenvalue of \hat{G} to be positive. This condition is becoming restrictive at high dyon densities, i.e. when fraction of the total volume filled by dyons is $VT^3 \sim O(1)$, eventually making the MC sampling of a very dense dyon ensemble rather inefficient. (This kind of computational difficulty is well known, e.g. in simulations of classical liquids with hard repulsive cores.)

B. Nonzero-modes and electric screening

The DGPS determinant of the non-zero-modes [26] for a single LM dyon pair contains the so-called "confining" term, proportional to the inter-dyon separation and to the "screening mass"

$$\log \det' B = r_{LM} \times \frac{2\pi M_D^2}{Tg^2}, \qquad (14)$$

which displays the so-called electric Debye mass $M_D^2 = (2/3)g^2T^2$, in the SU(2) gluodynamics. Upon generalizing to any N_c and number of fundamental fermions N_f this expression becomes [29].

$$M_D^2 = g^2 T^2 (N_c/3 + N_f/6).$$
(15)

We now briefly elucidate the origin of the linear potential which appears in Eq. (14). Let us start with the quartic term $[A_{\mu}A_{\nu}]^2$ of the Yang-Mills Lagrangian, and imagine two of those fields are classical ones, say from some dyon solution, and two others belong to thermal perturbative gluons of the heat-bath. While the latter produce the Debye mass, the former generate the linear dependence on r_{LM} , from the volume average of the squared Coulomb large-distance potentials

$$V_{12} \sim \langle (A_4)^2 \rangle = \int d^3x \left| \frac{1}{r_L} - \frac{1}{r_M} \right|^2 = 4\pi \ r_{LM}$$
 (16)

Note that we consider here neutral L - M pair (i.e. the splitting of one instanton), as a result of which their Coulomb potential cancels out at large distances from the pair and the volume integral is convergent. Clearly, non-neutral configurations cannot be treated this way.

We further note that the form (14) can be obtained directly by the instanton screening term calculated by Pisarski and Yaffe [30] by recalling that the instanton size ρ and the L-M separation are related by the expression

$$\pi \rho^2 T = r_{ML}.\tag{17}$$

which relates the "4-d dipole" of the instanton field to the "3-d dipole" of the dyon LM pair made of opposite charges.

Let us now work out the corresponding general formula for screening potential which holds in the manybody case. The sum over all dyonic contributions to A_4 can be written as

$$\langle (A_4)^2 \rangle = \int d^3x \left| \sum_i \frac{Q_i}{r_i} \right|^2 \tag{18}$$

where now the sum runs over all dyons with $Q_i = \pm 1$ is the charge and $r_i = |\vec{x} - \vec{z_j}|$.

One can write $(A_4)^2$ as a double sum, in which we separate the diagonal terms i = j from all non-diagonal terms. Unless total neutrality is ensured

$$\sum Q_i = 0 \tag{19}$$

this integral is divergent at large distances. If there is an overall neutrality, one can regulate the sum term by term, by subtracting the corresponding (r-independent) divergency. Let us discuss one non-diagonal term $i \neq j$, which we can be rewritten as follows

$$\frac{2}{r_i r_j} \to \left(\frac{2}{r_i r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i^2} - \frac{1}{r_j^2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{r_i} - \frac{1}{r_j}\right)^2 \qquad (20)$$

reducing it to the 2-body case above (16). Therefore the total answer is a simple generalization of the linear potential

$$\langle (A_4)^2 \rangle = 4\pi \sum_{i>j} Q_i Q_j r_{ij} \tag{21}$$

with $Q_i = \pm 1$ being the electric charges. In contrast to linear potential induced by the confining flux tubes, in this case there is a sum over *all pairs* of dyons. The sum therefore has N(N-1)/2 terms for N dyons, of various signs, which together enforce local neutrality of the dyon ensemble, making A_4 as small as possible. It does not diverge in infinite volume because distant dyons may be combined with their counterparts of the opposite charge, producing dipole fields. On a manifold with the topology of a sphere extra care is needed, as discussed in Appendix B.

III. THE FERMIONIC DETERMINANT

In evaluating the fermionic determinant, the Dirac operator is approximated by retaining only the contribution evaluated on the subspace of fermionic zero-modes of the individual pseudo-particles $|\phi_{j}^{j}\rangle$:

$$\operatorname{Det}(i\gamma_{\mu}D^{\mu} + im) \simeq \operatorname{Det}(\hat{T} + im), \qquad (22)$$

where

$$T_{ij} = \langle \phi_0^i | i \gamma_\mu D^\mu | \phi_0^j \rangle. \tag{23}$$

This scheme was well tested in the framework of the instanton liquid model, where it corresponds to summing up all loop diagrams created by 't Hooft effective Lagrangian. One of the most important lessons from that was existence of rather narrow "zero-mode zone" (ZMZ) of the Dirac eigenvalues $|\lambda| < \sigma \sim 20$ MeV, which drive all the effects related to chiral symmetry breaking. The narrowness followed from relative diluteness of the instanton ensemble.

The dyonic formulation also generates a narrow ZMZ: in fact, at nonzero holonomy, all light quarks become effectively massive, so the so called "hopping amplitudes" – i.e. the non-diagonal matrix elements of the Dirac operator between different dyons – are exponentially suppressed with distance,

$$T_{ij} \sim \exp(-M r)$$
 (24)

where r is the inter-dyon distance. M is the so-called holonomy mass M, should not be confused with the ordinary (i.e. current) quark mass m. In particular, the former does not break chiral symmetry, since it arises from the interaction of the quarks with the A_4 component of the gluon field. An estimate of the magnitude of Mfor various fermions followed from the zero-mode solution can be found e.g. in Ref [24]. Antiperiodic fermions in SU(2) have zero modes on L dyons, with the (holonomyinduced chirally symmetric) mass given by

$$M = \pi T - v/2 \tag{25}$$

proportional to the distance from the fermion boundary condition angle (the (yellow) lighter circles on the left at the phase π in Fig.1) to the (blue) dark circles indicated the holonomy values. If the fermionic boundary phase is changed, the yellow circle moves and then one should select the *smallest* distance between the two holonomy values.

The most important qualitative trend is the following: as the temperature decreases from high T to $T \approx T_c$, the holonomy changes as indicated in Fig.1. As a result, the effective fermion mass M decreases and the "hopping amplitude" (24) increases. Another qualitative tendency is of course the reduction of the effective coupling in the dyonic action, which additionally increases the dyon density and reduces the inter-dyon distance r. Both effects eventually conspire towards breaking the chiral symmetry.

Some of the (block) entries in Eq. (23) are identically vanishing. In particular, the anti-periodic conditions on fermions imply that zero-mode exist only for L and \bar{L} type dyons, see e.g. [24] for explicit solution. Hence, we can ignore the overlap zones involving M- and \bar{M} type dyons. In addition, the Dirac operator mixes only modes with opposite chirality, hence also $T_{LL} = T_{\bar{L}\bar{L}} = 0$. Hence, the Dirac operator reduces to:

$$(i \ \gamma_{\mu} \hat{D}^{\mu} + im) = \begin{pmatrix} im & T_{L\bar{L}} \\ T^{\dagger}_{L\bar{L}} & im \end{pmatrix}$$
(26)

where the the ij element in $\overline{L}L$ block is given by the (approximate) formula [24] containing the "holonomy mass"

$$T_{\bar{L}L}^{ij} = c \frac{e^{-Mr_{ij}}}{\sqrt{1 + Mr_{ij}}}$$
(27)

Notice that the normalization constant c is irrelevant for MC ensemble simulations: it may only be needed if some physical significance will be ascribed to the quark mass m rather than pushing it to zero. For N_f massless fermion flavors the determinant simply reads

$$\det i \dot{D} = |\det T_{L\bar{L}}|^{2N_f}.$$
(28)

A. The case of a single dyon molecule

Let us first consider the case in which there is only one dyonic "molecule" made by L-, \bar{L} -, M- and \bar{M} - type dyons. The fermionic determinant can be viewed as the second-order one-loop diagram in 't Hooft effective Lagrangian, with two vertices with $2N_f$ fermionic propagators in between. From Eq. (28) and (27) we get

$$\det i\hat{D} = |T_{L\bar{L}}|^{2N_f} = e^{-2N_f M r_{L\bar{L}} - \log(1 + M r_{L\bar{L}})}$$
(29)

Neglecting the logarithmic dependence, the formula for the determinant for 1 molecule $(L, M, \overline{L}, \overline{M})$ reads as en effective potential

$$V = -\log \det i\hat{D} = 2N_f M r_{L\bar{L}},\tag{30}$$

where again we have dropped an irrelevant normalization factor. Thus, fermion exchange also creates a linear confining potential, but now between dyons and antidyons. Also, note that there is no minus sign and that an additional parameter – the number of flavors N_f – appears as a factor. Therefore, one expects that fermion exchange to generate the tightly bound $L\bar{L}$ clusters first described in Ref[24].

B. The case of two dyon molecules

This is the simplest example demonstrating how dyonic clusters undergo mutual repulsion. To see this we study the two dyon molecule case, restricting to the L-dyon zone and labeling the pseudo particles with L_1 , L_2 , \bar{L}_1 and \bar{L}_2 we find:

$$i \ \hat{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \ast & L_1 & L_2 & \bar{L}_1 & \bar{L}_2 \\ \hline L_1 & 0 & 0 & T_{L_1\bar{L}_1} & T_{L_1\bar{L}_2} \\ L_2 & 0 & 0 & T_{L_2\bar{L}_1} & T_{L_2\bar{L}_2} \\ \bar{L}_1 & T_{L_1\bar{L}_1}^{\dagger} & T_{L_2\bar{L}_1}^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{L}_2 & T_{L_1\bar{L}_2}^{\dagger} & T_{L_2\bar{L}_2}^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(31)

Hence

$$\det iD = -|\det T_{L\bar{L}}|^{2N_f} = -|T_{L_1\bar{L}_1}T_{L_2\bar{L}_2} - T_{L_1\bar{L}_2}T_{L_2\bar{L}_1}|^{2N_f}$$
(32)

from which one immediately sees that the configuration weight vanishes when the two molecules overlap. In the sext sections we shall we shall perform numerical simulations which will allow us to investigate the consequences of such a "Fermi repulsion".

IV. THE SETTING

A. The setting and parameters

Let us start by enumerating parameters of our physics problem, which we will group into global, internal and external ones.

- The global parameters are the number of colors N_c of the theory and the number of fundamental fermions N_f of degenerate current mass m. In this work $N_c = 2$ and $N_f = 0, 1, 2, 4$. One may in addition consider other types of fermions, e.g. adjoint ones, but we leave such an extension to future work.
- The *internal parameters* are those on which the partition function depends, such as the heat-bath temperature T, and the flavor chemical potentials μ_i . Although the $N_c = 2$ theory allows for finite density MC simulations, for now we will only discuss the zero density case, $\mu_i = 0$, using T as the single internal parameter.
- The external parameters are those which may be imposed. For example, one may consider modifications of the QCD vacuum in the nonzero (QED) magnetic field: it is now in fact a quite active research field. Another important example of an external parameter is the CP-odd angle θ , which however have a sign problem and need reweighing or analytic continuation. None of it will be discussed in this work.

The dyonic model is defined by the partition function discussed above and can be studied for any values of such parameters. After this is done, the results can be compared to lattice data using appropriate values of these parameters as inferred from lattice simulations at the corresponding temperature.

One such input is the value of the holonomy parameter μ at temperature T. There are rather accurate lattice data defining it, see Appendix C.

Other simulation inputs are the densities n_i corresponding to dyons of various kind *i*. We will also use their proper volumes defined by their inverse densities, i.e. $V_i \equiv 1/n_i$. At the time of this writing the lattice studies of the dyons are too few to allow for a systematic mapping, of the dyon densities at different temperature. While we do not really use such data, for the orientation of the reader we put brief review of the information we find in the Appendix D.

We will only mention here that since the masses of Land M dyons are different, their densities on the lattice are different as well. Note that such disparity between the densities of the L and M dyons produces a nonzero density of the Abelian electric (but not magnetic) charge. This is of course not a problem in general, as it is compensated by an asymmetry of the densities of the electrically charged gluons.

It would however create a technical problem for our simulation setting, as we focus entirely on the topological (dyonic) sector. Furthermore, one has to use a compact manifold – a torus or a sphere – in which all the charges must be compensated and field lines accounted for: hence only totally neutral systems are allowed. One possible solution to this problem is simply adding to each charge the *homogeneously distributed* compensating charge: see

Appendix B for details. Another practical solution we adopt in this work is to ignore the extra M dyons: so we simulate an equal number of the M, L dyons.

Finally, let us discuss the physical units adopted throughout the paper. The standard nonperturbative normalization of the gauge field eliminates the coupling constant from the Yang-Mills equations. This appears in the classical action $S = O(1/g^2)$, but since its total value depends on the total number of dyons which is not changed in our configurations, it is absorbed in the overall input dyonic density. The one-loop bosonic and fermionic determinants which we actually simulate do not contain the coupling constant. Thus, there is no running and scale dependence in the model at all, and all quantities are essentially dimensionless. Equivalently, we choose to turn each observable into a dimensionless quantity, by multiplying it by the appropriate powers of the temperature T.

In particular, the simulations are done for fixed number of the dyons on a S^3 sphere, with its radius characterized by the (dimensionless) radius $R(S^3)T$, or by the proper volume per dyon in T-units, VT^3 , the inverse of the diluteness (we remind that the total volume is $V(S^3) = 2\pi^2 R(S^3)^3$. The values for which the simulations has been done are listed in the Table II. Note that the density or volume per dyon changes by about two orders of magnitude. The largest values of the proper volume (per dyon) correspond in physical ensemble to weaker coupling, larger dyon masses and higher temperatures. Going down in this volume one goes into the denser systems, stronger coupling and the lower T. Respectively we will monitor in simulations how all observables depend on the proper volume, focusing on the correlations between the dyons and the dirac eigenvalues spectrum.

dyons	$R(S^3)T$	$VT^3/dyon$
64	4.5	28.
64	3.0	8.3
64	2.5	4.8
64	2.2	3.28
64	1.5	1.04
64	1.2	0.53
64	1.	0.31

TABLE II: The list of the radii and volumes/dyon used in the simulations

The only dimensional parameter which enters the fermionic determinant is the current quark mass m, which in our units corresponds to the ratio m/T. Keeping it constant means a temperature-dependent mass. This does not represent a problem as long as one is interested in the massless limit, $m \rightarrow 0$, in which the classical action becomes scale invariant. Yet in reality, following a prescription which is commonly adopted by lattice practitioners, the actual value of this mass used in our simulations is not zero and is not directly related to physical light quark masses: its role is to prevent influence of the



FIG. 2: The correlation function for LM, LL and $L\bar{L}$ dyons versus distance, normalized to the volume available. From top to bottom we show $N_f = 1, 2, 4$, respectively. Left/right columns are for the volumes per dyon $VT^3 = 0.31, 1.04$.

finite volume effects. In particular, we will see below that, in order to correctly monitor the chiral symmetry breaking, we are bound to consider masses $m/T \gtrsim 0.2$,

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

Simulations are performed according to the standard MC algorithm, with one-by-one updates of all the dyon positions on the S^3 sphere and an acceptance/rejection based on the standard Metropolis algorithm. The bold-

ness of the MC moves was adapted in order to obtain an acceptance ratio between 50% and 80%.

We then estimate the number of subsequent configurations needed to achieve thermalization, by standard auto-correlation analysis based on the dyons' position. Our typical runs include a total of 256 independent (uncorrelated) configurations, obtained from 32 independent Markov chains, each consisting of 64000 Metropolis steps. All simulations were performed on 32 processors on the Wiglaf cluster located at the Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Computational Science (LISC), at Trento.

A. The spatial correlations between dyons

One of the benefits of going from 4-d torus to the $S^1 \times S^3$ geometry is that in the latter case the system is truly homogeneous. Also, on a sphere the interparticle distance can be defined by a scalar product

$$r_{ij} = R \ \alpha_{ij} = R \ \arccos\left(\vec{n}_i \vec{n}_j\right) \tag{33}$$

where $\cos(\delta_{ij})$ is the angle between two points defined via the scalar product of their unit position vectors on S^3 and R is its radius. Study of Coulomb fields on a sphere is given in the Appendix.

(On a torus, there exist also distances to multiple images of charges, which were summed over in fields and propagators in our previous instanton-based studies. However in the case of dyons, with their long-range fields, convergence of such sums is much worse than for the instantons, and this was the primary reason we switched to a sphere.)

We collected histograms of the various two-particle correlation functions as a function of the (dimensionless) distance RT on the sphere are normalized by dividing out the volume element $dV/d\delta_{ij} \sim \sin^2(\delta_{ij})$ corresponding to random occupation on the S^3 sphere. A sample of the results for different values of N_f and different dyonic densities is shown in Fig. 2. One can immediately identify a number of feature which are common to all such correlation functions:

- repulsive correlation between identical dyons,
- attractive interaction between, the dyon and antidyon,
- the radius of the correlation decreases as N_f grows.

B. The Dirac eigenvalues and the finite size effects

Our present computer resources allowed us to perform simulations with 64 dyons. In order to estimate the magnitude of finite-volume effects, we performed also a few quenched runs with ensembles consisting of 128 dyons. Two distributions of the Dirac eigenvalues for 64 and 128 dyons performed at the same density conditions are compared in Fig.3. One can see that the finite volume effects are significant only for the smallest eigenvalues. More specifically, one finds distortions of the spectrum for the first bins, say for the shaded area

$$\frac{|\lambda|}{T} < 0.25. \tag{34}$$

Notice also that that larger systems have smaller finite size effects, as expected.

A rather crude account of the finite-volume effects can be thus made by simply disregarding the data points in



FIG. 3: The spectrum of the Dirac eigenvalues in the quenched ensemble, comparing two ensembles of 64 and 128 dyons at the same density, with zero current mass. The shaded area denotes the region of the spectrum where volume artifacts become significant.

the strip identified by the inequality (34), yet we will need more refined fits when we introduce a nonzero quark mass in the next section.

C. Quark condensate dependence on the current quark mass

Let us start reminding that there are two types of quark masses: "current mass" generated by the interaction with the Standard Model scalar (Higgs) VEV with the left-right structure

$$L_{LR} = m \left(\bar{q}_L q_R + \bar{q}_R q_L \right) \tag{35}$$

and thus violates the chiral symmetry explicitly. The other "mass" M is generated by the holonomy. As it is due to the VEV of the vector field component A_4 , its structure is chirally diagonal (symmetric)

$$L_{A_4} = M(\bar{q}_L q_L + \bar{q}_R q_R) \tag{36}$$

We recall that M appears in the parametrization of matrix elements of the T-matrix, which defines the Dirac operator in the background holonomy potential. (For clarity, the notation of "constituent quark mass" induced by *spontaneous* chiral symmetry breaking is not used in this paper.)

The current quark mass m is important for our study because it "seeds" the chiral symmetry breaking. As it is well known to lattice practitioners, in order to study this spontaneously broken symmetry one formally should take the double limit, the infinite volume $V \to \infty$ and massless quarks $m \to 0$, in this order. In practice, all simulations are performed on a finite volume, and the absolute values of the smallest Dirac eigenvalues are bounded from below at the scale $\lambda \sim 1/V$. The current mass should be selected somewhat above this scale, in order to cause the chiral symmetry breaking. The reason is obvious from



FIG. 4: Left panel: Dirac spectrum as a function of the current quark mass m. Right panel: quark condensate as a function of the current quark mass obtained from the loop-estimate (37). The circles denotes the value of the mass used in the generation of the dyonic configurations. The simulations used for the results shown in both panels were performed on a S^3 of radius 1.5T and with $N_f = 2$.

the expression for the quark condensate, as the trace of the massive quark propagator

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = \sum_{i} \frac{m}{\lambda_{i}^{2} + m^{2}} = m \int d\lambda \, \frac{\rho(\lambda)}{\lambda^{2} + m^{2}} \tag{37}$$

Tuning the quark mass values used in the simulation is important: it should be as small as possible, yet large enough to avoid large finite-volume effects.

An example of how this tuning works in practice is demonstrated in Fig.4. In the left panel we show the Dirac eigenvalue distributions for 6 values of the current quark mass. As one can see, the spectrum itself changes, from a dip near $\lambda = 0$ at zero mass, to a sharp peak at larger masses (as for quenched $N_f = 0$ case). The Casher-Banks "density of the eigenvalues at the origin" thus changes dramatically, from zero to very large values: hence, reading off $\rho(0)$ is clearly not a good method for estimating the quark condensate estimate. By contrast, the points shown in the right panel of Fig.4 show the quark condensate estimated from the loop expression (37). We observe that the quark condensate remains approximately mass independent for larger masses m > 0.2 T, while decreasing for smaller masses, eventually going to zero at m = 0.

Let us first comment on the continuous lines in Fig.4(b), passing through our 6 simulation points. Those correspond to the loop expression (37) in which one uses the eigenvalues as determined from the simulation with the corresponding dynamical mass, but in the loop formula itself the mass is substituted by a separate parameter, called the "valence quark mass" m_v in literature. Such "valence approximation" has been used before, sometimes for quenched ensembles, to approximate the mass dependence outside of the region where simulations were done. However, as seen from the plot, the trend indicated by those curves is quite misleading, being completely different from what is obtained from the dynamical simulations – the points themselves. It shows

that the main mass dependence is stored in the eigenvalue distribution, reflecting the dynamical quark mass of the vacuum, of the "sea" quarks.

Let us now remind the Smilga-Stern theorem [33], which is based on chiral perturbation theory and predicts the slope of the eigenvalue spectrum near the origin, as a function of the chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, the pion decay constant F_{π} and the number of flavors:

$$\frac{dN}{d\lambda}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \tag{38}$$
$$+|\lambda| \frac{(N_f^2 - 4)}{N_f} \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle^2}{32\pi^2 F_\pi^4} + O(\lambda^2)$$

Lattice and instanton liquid simulations had indeed confirmed that the slope of the distribution changes sign at $N_f = 2$, from a negative constant at $N_f < 2$ to positive at $N_f > 2$.

Let us also point out that the spectral density in the expanded form near $\lambda = 0$ can be put into the integral in (37), generating the condensate expanded in powers of m. The zeroth order is the Casher-Banks term. Returning to Fig.4(b) for $N_f = 2$, we see that no linear term in m is expected, and higher order terms can be neglected: so the correct infinite volume $V \to \infty$ limit should be just a constant. Our guess of its value is indicated by a horizontal dashed line. Comparing it to the data we see that for m/T < 0.2 finite volume effects are large and those should not be used. Since we cannot afford to do simulations with variable masses in all cases studied, we will below only use the dynamical mass m/T = 0.25, at which we expect the condensate accuracy determination to be not worse than, say, 20%.

Our computed eigenvalue spectra, for different volumes and number of flavors, are shown in Fig.5. While some finite-volume effect is still seen at the smallest eigenvalues, it is much less prominent than in zero mass simulations discussed above: they are only seen for $\lambda/T \leq 0.1$.



FIG. 5: The Dirac eigenvalue distributions for three values of the $N_f = 1, 2, 4$. The plots, ordered from top-left to right-bottom are performed on different radius of the 3-sphere (R=1.0 T,R=1.5 T, R=2.2 T, R=3.0 T, R=4.5 T), from high to low dyon density. The current quark mass was set to m/T = 0.25.

The $N_f = 4$ spectra are clearly "gapped", without any small eigenvalues, and the gap magnitude grows as the dyon density falls. We thus conclude that $N_f = 4$ case needs rather large dyon density to break the chiral symmetry, perhaps close to the highest one we used.

Other N_f simulation show no gap and thus correspond to chirally broken phase, except for very low dyon density. At different dyon density and number of flavor, we performed a linear fit $\rho(\lambda) = Q + C\lambda$. The fit was restricted to a range with $0.2 < \lambda/T < 0.4$. This way, we identified constants Q and C with the quark condensate and the Smilga-Stern constant, respectively. The result of the fit is shown in Fig.6. The nonzero condensate observed for the $N_f = 1$ and $N_f = 2$ ensembles at high dyon density is rather density-independent.

More systematic account for the finite-volume effects

and more accurate determination of the condensate can be done by comparing our data with the expectations for the so called "mesoscopic regime", in which the volume is not macroscopically large. Quantitative predictions of the shape are known from chiral random matrix models [35], which are well confirmed on the lattice. We plan to do so elsewhere.

D. Free energy, dyons' interaction, the back-reaction and confinement

The well known perturbative holonomy potential, which has a minimum at zero holonomy, has been argued by Polyakov's original work to get cancelled by the nonperturbative effects, resulting in a vanishing Polyakov



FIG. 6: The quark condensate versus the dyon density. The current quark mass is set to m = 0.25 T.

line and thus confinement. Lattice study of the effective holonomy potential, in particularly recent Ref.[36], have indeed found such behavior. Diakonov [23] further argued that the nonperturbative contribution comes from the back reaction of the dyons. He also argued that (at least the Coulomb-like moduli part of) the dyon interaction is small and can be approximately ignored. In this section we make the first step toward understanding of whether those ideas are correct.

We calculate the change in the free energy of the system, between the interacting and noninteracting dyon gas using a standard thermodynamic integration method, based on the adiabatic switching of the interaction between the particles. One splits the action into independent particles and their interaction, introducing the adiabatic parameter λ

$$S = S_0 + \lambda S_{int} \tag{39}$$

and do simulations with λ changing from 0 to 1. The resulting free-energy is recovered as follows

$$F = F_0 + \int_0^1 d\lambda < S_{int} > |_\lambda \tag{40}$$

The resulting dependence of the action as a function of the adiabatic parameter is shown in Fig.7. Of course, we include all three ingredients of the interaction, the moduli, screening and the fermions, and measure them separately as well: but for brevity we will not discuss those details here.

As one simulates an ensemble with a fixed number of dyons, one can disregard any constant factors in the partition function, as the relative weights of the ensemble configurations depends only on terms which are functions of the dyonic collective coordinates. This explains why one has positive free-energy of the noninteracting ensemble at $\lambda = 0$, which is not really physical and depends of what factors we do or do not include in the free gas expression. Total integrated free-energy change $\Delta F \approx -20T$. Note that a shift to negative values is typical for liquids. The value itself is for the entire system



FIG. 7: The dependence of the average interaction free energy on the dimensionless adiabatic parameter λ .

of 64 dyons: it thus corresponds to a free energy change per particle of $\Delta F/N \approx -1/3 T$, due to the attraction in the system. To put it in perspective, one should know the action per dyon on the lattice. We will discuss available lattice data in the Appendix: the effective action fitted to those are about $S \approx 3$ per dyon. The result of this section show that the average interaction shift of the free energy per dyon is reasonably small, $\sim 1/10$. On the other hand, the partition function is enhanced by about $\exp(0.34) = 1.4$ per dyon, not a so negligible enhancement.

As for the back reaction to the total holonomy potential, we think that it is premature to discuss it now, before lattice simulations provide more define estimates on the dyon density.

VI. SUMMARY AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS

This paper is the first study of the topology, in the finite-temperature QCD near T_c , which incorporates the nonzero holonomy and the (anti)self dual dyons as the ingredients. We (i) formulate the partition function for (anti) self-dual dyons incorporating one-loop screening, moduli space measure and sermonic zero modes; and (ii) perform the first direct simulation of the resulting statistical ensembles. We obtain certain set of results, showing how the dyon system depends on the dyon density (changed by about 2 orders of magnitude), on the number of light quark flavors N_f , from 0 to 4, and on the (current) quark mass m. We have in particularly studied the Dirac eigenvalue spectra and chiral properties: the gaps in the symmetric phase and the quark condensate in the broken phase. More generally, we may say that in spite of the long-range nature of Coulomb forces involved and linearly rising screening, the simulations of the dyonic system turned out to be not as challenging as we thought when we started this work. While the correlations between constituents are locally strong, overall

liquid-like behavior is observed.

At the moment we are not calculating the fermionic propagators and correlation functions of operators with various quantum numbers, but it can be done rather directly, in a way similar to that in the instanton liquid.

Mapping those results to finite-T QCD can be done provided the information about the dyons, such as their density we review briefly in the Appendix, are extracted from the lattice. One should also directly relate fermionic quasi zero modes with the underlying topology, which we believe will display the dyonic dominance in the chiral symmetry breaking phenomenon, in the near- T_c region. One may also expect progress in respect with the back reaction of the dyons on the holonomy potential and the origin of confinement.

Another direction is to extend the model toward theories in which one has high-T confinement under the analytical control, see e.g. [37], by including adjoint fermions with modified (e.g. periodic or with an arbitrary phase) boundary conditions on the torus.

Extending our results to lower temperatures – or much smaller holonomy values – remains a numerically challenging task. Attractive correlations of the LM pairs should mostly recover the original instantons, yet preserving small Polyakov line value and confinement. At the same time, fermions induce the $L\bar{L}$ attractive interaction, producing topologically neutral clusters whose role in the QCD vacuum has not been elucidated or studied.

Acknowledgments The first acknowledgements on this subject obviously goes to Pierre van Baal and his students, who pioneered the instanton-to-dyon transition at nonzero holonomy. Another source of inspiration came from beautiful works of Dmitry Diakonov, who sadly is no longer with us. ES acknowledges the role of his collaborator and former student Tin Sulejmanpasic. The work of ES is supported by the US-DOE grant DE-FG-88ER40388. The work of PF was partially performed at the Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Computational Science (LISC), a joint venture of Trento University and Bruno Kessler Foundation.

Appendix A: Distances on the S^3 sphere

In our program, all distances are defined on a 3dimensional sphere embedded in 4 dimensions. Let z_n^i be a unitary vector in 4 dimension, which will be associated to the position of the pseudo-particle of type i in the n-th molecule. MC trial moves are made by performing random rotations of given small angle (boldness) on the sphere.

The angle between the 4-D vector z_n^i identifying the pseudo-particle of type *i* relative to the molecule *n* and z_m^j that points to the pseudo-particle of type *j* relative

to the molecule m on the unitary sphere is given by:

$$\alpha_{in,jm} = \arccos\left[z_n^i \cdot z_m^j\right] \tag{A1}$$

(Notice that this formula is ill-defined for $i = j \cap n, m$. On the other hand, the distance of a particle from itself is never needed in the calculation.) Hence the distance between these pseudo particle is simply:

$$r_{in,jm} = a \ \alpha_{in,jm},\tag{A2}$$

where a is a length scale which can be identified with the box size.

For illustration purposes we mapped the S^3 to a flat R^3 space using the stereographic projection. The three dimensional coordinates of our dyons are defined on a sphere, hence we use 4-dim vectors with the constraint $n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2 = R^2$. One may transform them into the 3 polar angle angles

One may transform them into the 3 polar angle angles like this

$$n_{1} = R \cos(\psi)$$

$$n_{2} = R \sin(\psi)\cos(\theta)$$

$$n_{3} = R \sin(\psi)\sin(\theta)\cos(\phi)$$

$$n_{4} = R \sin(\psi)\sin(\theta)\sin(\phi)$$
(A3)

The inverse formulas are:

$$\theta = \cot g^{-1} \left(\frac{n_2}{\sqrt{n_4^2 + n_3^2}} \right)$$

$$\phi = 2 \cot g^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n_4^2 + n_3^2} + n_3}{n_4} \right)$$

$$\psi = \cot g^{-1} \left(\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2}} \right)$$
(A4)

In a stereographic projection to the flat 3d-space in polar coordinates r, θ, ϕ the θ and ϕ angle keep the same meaning as above, while the distance from the origin r is defined by the angle ψ according to

$$r = 2 R \tan(\pi/2 - \psi/2)$$
 (A5)

(R=1 is the sphere radius and the argument of tan is the angle conjugated to psi in the equilateral triangle of the projection).

Note that $\psi = \pi$ maps the south pole to the origin r = 0, and the equator $\psi = \pi/2$ is mapped to r = 2R. Hence the cartesian coordinates on the projected flat space are:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 2 R \, \tan(\pi/2 - \psi/2) \, \sin(\theta) \cos(\phi) \\ x_2 &= 2 R \, \tan(\pi/2 - \psi/2) \, \sin(\theta) \sin(\phi) \\ x_3 &= x_1 = 2 R \, \tan(\pi/2 - \psi/2) \, \cos(\theta) \end{aligned}$$
(A6)

Appendix B: The Coulomb fields on a S^3

The usual coordinates ψ, θ, ϕ have the following line element (metric)

$$dl^{2} = d\psi^{2} + \sin(\psi)^{2} d\theta^{2} + \sin(\psi)^{2} \sin(\theta)^{2} d\phi^{2}$$
 (B1)

Standard Poisson equation is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_{\mu}\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\nu}f = 4\pi\rho \tag{B2}$$

For a point charge at the north pole ($\psi = 0$) the solution is obviously independent on θ, ϕ and we need to solve only the ψ -dependent part

$$f''(\psi) + 2f'(\psi)/\tan(\psi) = 4\pi\rho$$
 (B3)

For zero r.h.s. one get solution $f = (1/4\pi) \tan(\psi)$ which looks like a positive charge at one pole and the negative charge at the other. Indeed, on a compact manifold all field lines have to go somewhere, and symmetry require it to be the opposite pole.

One remedy can be introducing the homogeneous compensating charge. This solution is

$$f = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[1 - \frac{(\psi/\pi - 1)}{\tan(\psi)} \right]$$
 (B4)

It is compared with the naive Coulomb-like $1/\psi$ and solution given above in Fig. One can see from it that its behavior is very reasonable, and it vanishes at the opposite pole.

Screening effect contains the averaging of the dipole potential over the 3-d space, which produces the linear rising effective potential (as explained in the text). Keeping one charge at the north pole and spreading another at $\psi = \alpha$ 2d sphere one gets another solution of the Poisson eqn. It is

$$A(\psi < \alpha) = \frac{1}{4 \tan(\psi)\pi} - \frac{1}{4 \tan(\alpha)\pi}$$
(B5)

with $A(\psi > \alpha) = 0$. Integrating the square of this field over the 3d sphere one gets the following expression

$$\int_0^\alpha d\psi A^2 \sin(\psi)^2 = \frac{\alpha - \sin(\alpha) \, \cos(\alpha)}{32\pi^2 \, \sin(\alpha)^2} \tag{B6}$$

Both agree reasonably well till about the equator of the 3d sphere.

Appendix C: SU(2) holonomy on the lattice

One important potential input is the holonomy value v(T) (i.e. the VEV of the Polyakov line's VEV $\langle P \rangle$). While we have not yet used it, we indicate that its temperature dependence for the SU(2) gauge group is known. To our knowledge the most accurately determined values are from Ref. [34]. The resulting fit of the Polyakov line VEV

$$\langle P(T) \rangle = 1.22 \ t^{0.326} \ (1 - 0.246 \ t^{0.53}),$$
 (C1)

where t is the near-critical parameter

$$t = (T - T_c)/T_c \tag{C2}$$

The temperature dependence of the holonomy is then $\arccos\langle P \rangle = v(T)/2T.$

Note that these data and the fit are consistent with the indices of the Ising universality class to which the SU(2) pure gauge theory belongs.

Appendix D: The (anti)self-dual dyons on the lattice

While we only consider a case with 2 colors, we remind the reader that one of the major benefits of the dyon approach is the smooth behavior of the action in the large- N_c limit. Indeed, the dyon partition function is roughly the $1/N_c$ root of the instanton partition function, so the dyon action in the large- N_c limit is expressed via 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g^2 N_c$ as

$$S = \frac{8\pi^2}{g^2 N_c} = \frac{8\pi^2}{\lambda} \tag{D1}$$

While at very strong coupling $\lambda \to \infty$ it is small, at the "physical" value of the parameter $\lambda = 20 - 30$ usually used for strongly coupled QGP applications it is still in the range of 3-4 \hbar -units. Hence, the weight factor $\exp(-S)$ is still a rather small number, providing a substantial suppression of the dyon density. It is important to stress that, although in principle it may be argued that the value of the action $S_0 \approx 3 - 4$ is not large enough to justify the usage of the semiclassical approach, the corresponding dyon ensemble remains dilute even close to T_c , hence providing an *a posteriori* justification of the approach.

While we generally postpone mapping of the results of our dyonic model to the QCD thermodynamics directly, in this section we still discuss some available results on the dyons, from Refs. [31] and [32]. One of the issues here is whether they are or are not compatible with the with semiclassical expressions, in spite of possible low accuracy of those.

These works both investigate the SU(2) gluodynamics on the $20^2 \times 6$ and $20^2 \times 4$ lattices respectively, adopting the same tree-level improved Symanzik action at a bare coupling $\beta_c = 2N_c/g^2 = 3.248$. In the former lattice, this choice corresponds to the critical temperature, $T = T_c$, while in the latter lattice to a temperature $T = (3/2)T_c$.

Note that lattice practitioners often introduce *ad-hoc* units of energy and length which are defined in such a way to mimic the dynamics of the physical world. For example, In order to fix an energy scale, they measure the dimensionless constant.

$$\sqrt{\sigma(T=0)a} = 0.236, \ T_c/\sqrt{\sigma(T=0)} = 0.71$$
 (D2)

and artificially set the vacuum string tension $\sigma(T = 0)$ value to $(420 \text{ MeV})^2$, i.e. to its physical value in the 'real-world' QCD. Furthermore, one keeps also the 'real-world' relation between the length and the energy units fm = 1/(197 MeV). Under this set of conventions, one obtains

the following "absolute" values for the lattice spacing and the critical temperature

$$a = 0.236 \times (197/420) \simeq 0.11 \text{fm}$$
 (D3)

$$T_c = 0.71 \times 420 \approx 300 \text{MeV}.$$
 (D4)

When comparing to lattice results from those works – such as the density of various dyons – we may adopt such absolute units. However, in general, we will refrain from doing so because this may lead to confusion when studying the dependence on the number of flavors N_f . In addition, holding fixed the value of the string tension constant is only practical in a lattice approach, in which this observable can be straightforwardly calculated. In view of such considerations, in the present analysis we avoid using "physical units" and consider only dimensionless ratios and couplings.

In Ref.[31], Ilgenfritz and co-workers report a list of the absolute densities of static (M-type) dyons and calorons (LM dyon pairs) which were found in their lattice calculation. As M dyons are much lighter than L, the calorons density is dominated by the density of the heaviest L-dyons. Their results are plotted in Fig.8. In a more recent paper by Bornyakov and co-workers [32] an improved identification of the dyon type was preformed by adopting the fermion method and also by computing the value of the Polyakov loop at the dyon center: it should be 1 for the M dyons, but -1 for "additionally twisted" L dyons.

Their result is shown in the same figure by the filled diamond, and its suggests the M density about twice larger than in the other method. Unfortunately, the latest study was done only at one temperature $T = 1.5T_c$. The factor two discrepancy between the results in Ref. [31] and in Ref. [32] is due to different efficiency of their topology-searching algorithms. The values of the the paper Ref.[31] mean to be the lower bound on the densities, as the authors also report also rather large number of "unidentified topological clusters" in the same table.

It is instructive to compare the dyon densities observed on the lattice with the analytic semi classical expressions. Those include the holonomy $\nu(T) = v(T)/(2\pi T)$ and the effective action $S_0 = 8\pi^2/g^2$ in a combination

$$n_M = C\nu^{8\nu/3} \exp(-S_0\nu),$$
 (D5)

where C is the normalization constant and the product of the pre-exponent powers of the holonomy is taken from the DGPS weight for the LM pair [26]. The density of $L + \bar{L}$ -dyons is given by obvious change $\nu \to \bar{\nu} =$ $1 - \nu$.Since the holonomy $\nu(T)$ goes to small values at high T more rapidly than the charge is running, one expects a significant excess of the M-dyons over the L-type ones at high T. The difference between the two densities should however disappear at $T = T_c$, with a characteristic singularity related to critical behavior of the SU(2) theory.

As it is clear from Fig.8 , the observed dependence shown by curves can be well reproduced by the semiclassical expression, with two fitted parameters:

$$C = 1.6 f m^{-4}, S_0 = 3 \tag{D6}$$

We also note that the point from [32] at $T = 3/2Tc \approx 450$ "MeV" corresponds to rather small dimensionless density

$$n_{L+\bar{L}}/T^4 \approx 0.007.$$
 (D7)

or volumes/dyon above even the highest values used in our simulations.

We recall that the lattice data apply to a fully interacting ensemble, e.g. including the screening part of the action and that the data/expressions discussed so far apply only to a pure gauge theory, i.e. to $N_f = 0$, which is characterized by the weakest coupling along the critical line. Hence, by increasing number of quark flavors we expect to assess a significantly stronger coupling domain, hence a smaller $S_0 \sim 1$. If so, eventually there would be no numerical suppression and the diluteness should become $n_{L+\bar{L}}/T^4 \sim O(1)$. Such a dense liquid of (non-semiclassical) dyons is obviously not expected to be described by the simple formulas used above. This regime should be the subject of future theoretical and numerical studies.

While grouping of the dyons into instantons suggests about equal number of L, M dyons, this equality is only reached at and below T_c , while at $T > T_c$ the lighter Mdyons are more numerous than heavier L ones. The relevant lattice data are shown in Fig.8. As one can see, the density of M-dyons grows at high temperature, as their action decreases. The density of L-type, associated with calorons, decreases, at large T. We emphasize again that the identified topological objects in the lattice simulations are just a sub-set of the of the observed topological clusters.

Only the solid diamond corresponding to the analysis of Bornyakov *et al.* [32] should be considered as a quantitative determination of the *L*-type dyon density. Indeed, this was done by combining the fermionic quasizeromodes filtering procedure with the action smearing to locate topology, and by computing the sign of the Polyakov line at the center to identify the L and M-type dyons. Such a work focused on $T = 1.5 T_c \text{ SU}(2)$ gauge configurations in a $20^3 \times 4$ lattice. These authors confirmed that several main predictions of the semiclassical theory remain valid in their lattice configurations. In particular, all fermionic zero and near-zero-modes are locally chiral (a general property of their topological nature), the periodic fermions interact with M and antiperiodic fermions with L dyons, as expected from semiclassical solutions. The L dyons are dilute and paired with \overline{L} ones into clusters" discussed in [24]. The chiral symmetry of the antiperiodic fermions is unbroken. The M-type dyon ensemble is dense and thus chiral symmetry for periodic fermions is broken. They also found that while the Ltype dyons occupy only about 3% of the lattice volume, the M dyons occupy a significant fraction of it.



FIG. 8: (color online) The density of the topological clusters (in fm^{-4}) versus the temperature T/T_c of the SU(2) pure gauge theory. The open blue circles show static dyons, identified as M-type, while the open red diamonds are for calorons or L-type dyons [31]. The closed diamond at $T/T_c = 1.5$ is from [32], in which L-type dyons were identified directly by fermionic zeromodes and the value of the Polyakov loop at its center. The dashed and solid lines correspond to semiclassical expectations for M, L dyon density, with parameters defined in the text.

- [1] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rept. 23, 245 (1976).
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 190, 455 (1981).
- [3] C. Gattringer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 221601 (2002).
- [4] E. V. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. B 203, 93 (1982).
- [5] D. Diakonov and V. Y. Petrov, Nucl. Phys. B 272, 457 (1986).
- [6] L. Y. Glozman, C. B. Lang and M. Schrock, arXiv:1207.7323 [hep-lat].
- [7] T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 323 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9610451].
- [8] P. Faccioli, A. Schwenk and E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D. 67, 11 (2003).
- [9] M. Cristoforetti, P. Faccioli and M. Traini, Phys. Rev. D 75, 054024 (2007) [hep-ph/0701223 [HEP-PH]].
- [10] M. Cristoforetti, P. Faccioli, M. C. Traini and J. W. Negele, Phys. Rev. D 75, 034008 (2007) [hepph/0605256].
- [11] P. Faccioli, Phys. Rev. D 65, 094014 (2002) [hepph/0111322].
- [12] M. Cristoforetti, P. Faccioli, G. Ripka and M. Traini, Phys. Rev. D 71, 114010 (2005) [hep-ph/0410304].
- [13] P. Faccioli, A. Schwenk and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Lett. B 549, 93 (2002) [hep-ph/0205307].
- [14] P. Faccioli and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D 65, 076002 (2002) [hep-ph/0108062].
- [15] P. Faccioli, Phys. Rev. C 69, 065211 (2004) [hepph/0312019].
- [16] M. Cristoforetti, P. Faccioli, E. V. Shuryak and M. Traini, Phys. Rev. D 70, 054016 (2004) [hep-ph/0402180].
- [17] N. I. Kochelev and V. Vento, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 111601 (2001).
- [18] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo, P. Martinez and A. Montero, Phys. Lett. B **359**, 159 (1995) [hep-lat/9507006].
- [19] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo and A. Montero, Phys. Lett. B 387, 823 (1996) [hep-th/9604017].
- [20] K. M. Lee and P. Yi, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3711 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9702107].

- [21] T. C. Kraan and P. van Baal, Phys. Lett. B 435, 389 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9806034].
- [22] F. Bruckmann, D. Nogradi and P. van Baal, Acta Phys. Polon. B 34, 5717 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0309008].
- [23] D. Diakonov, *Topology and Confinement*, arxiv:0906.2456v1 (2009)
- [24] E. Shuryak and T. Sulejmanpasic, Phys. Rev. D 86, 036001 (2012) [arXiv:1201.5624 [hep-ph]].
- [25] E. Shuryak and T. Sulejmanpasic, The Chiral Symmetry Breaking/Restoration in Dyonic Vacuum II, The adjoint fermions. in progress
- [26] D. Diakonov, N. Gromov, V. Petrov and S. Slizovskiy, Phys. Rev. D 70, 036003 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404042].
- [27] M.F.Atiyah and N.J.Hitchin, The Geometry and Dynamics of Magnetic Monopoles, Princeton University press 1988.
- [28] G.W.Gibbons and N.S. Manton, Nucl. Phys. B274 (1986) 183.
- [29] E. V. Shuryak, Sov. Phys. JETP 47, 212-219 (1978)
- [30] R. D. Pisarski, L. G. Yaffe, Phys. Lett. B97, 110-112 (1980).
- [31] E. -M. Ilgenfritz, B. V. Martemyanov, M. Muller-Preussker and A. I. Veselov, Phys. Rev. D 73, 094509 (2006) [hep-lat/0602002].
- [32] V. G. Bornyakov, E. -M. Ilgenfritz, B. V. Martemyanov and M. Muller-Preussker, Phys. Rev. D 79, 034506 (2009) [arXiv:0809.2142 [hep-lat]].
- [33] A. V. Smilga and J. Stern, Phys. Lett. B **318**, 531 (1993).
- [34] K. Huebner and C. Pica, PoS LATTICE 2008, 197 (2008) [arXiv:0809.3933 [hep-lat]].
- [35] E. V. Shuryak and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. A 560, 306 (1993) [hep-th/9212088].
- [36] D. Diakonov, C. Gattringer and H. -P. Schadler, JHEP 1208, 128 (2012) [arXiv:1205.4768 [hep-lat]].
- [37] E. Poppitz, T. Schaefer and M. Unsal, arXiv:1212.1238 [hep-th].