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Abstract

Bosonic technicolor models accommodate fermion masses via a Higgs doublet that acquires a vacuum expectation value when technifermions condense. We point out that these models are severely constrained by vacuum stability if the Higgs boson mass is near 125 GeV, the value suggested by LHC data. The Higgs quartic coupling in bosonic technicolor is typically smaller at the weak scale than in the Standard Model, while the top quark Yukawa coupling is larger. We find that the running quartic coupling remains positive below a reasonably defined cut off only in a narrow region of the model’s parameter space. This region is only slightly enlarged if one allows a metastable vacuum with a lifetime longer than the age of the universe.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The simplest technicolor models achieve electroweak symmetry breaking via a condensate of fermions that are charged under a new, strong gauge group [1]. If the LHC confirms the existence of a Higgs boson near 125 GeV [2] with couplings similar to those expected in the Standard Model, then the simplest technicolor models will be conclusively excluded, independent of the already powerful, albeit indirect, constraints from precision electroweak measurements [3].

This observation, however, does not preclude the possibility that new strong dynamics might contribute in part to the breaking of electroweak symmetry. Bosonic technicolor models provide an example of this scenario [4–12]. These theories include both a Higgs doublet $\phi$ and a technicolor sector. Typically, the $\phi$ squared mass is assumed positive at the weak scale; the $\phi$ field develops a vacuum expectation value (vev) due to a linear term in the Higgs potential that is induced when the technifermions condense. In this sense, technicolor is the trigger of electroweak symmetry breaking. Yukawa couplings between $\phi$ and the quarks and leptons lead to fermion masses in the usual way. Since the scalar couplings to standard model fermions are the same as in a two-Higgs-doublet model of type I, flavor-changing neutral currents are not unacceptably large. Moreover, it has been shown that ultraviolet completions exist in which bosonic technicolor with a composite Higgs doublet emerges as the low-energy effective theory [13, 14]. We will remain agnostic in the present work as to whether $\phi$ is fundamental or composite.

Holographic constructions of bosonic technicolor models have shown that the constraints on the electroweak $S$ parameter can be satisfied [15, 16]. (Other discussions of the holographic calculation of the $S$ parameter can be found in Ref. [17].) In these models, the scales of chiral symmetry breaking and confinement can be adjusted independently. If the technicolor confinement scale is chosen such that the technirho mass is kept above $\sim 1.5$ TeV, then one finds that the $S$ parameter constraints are satisfied over ranges of the technipion decay constant, $f$, that never exceed $f \sim 0.4 \, v$, where $v = 246$ GeV is the electroweak scale (see, for example, Fig. 3 in Ref. [16]). Hence, with the confinement scale fixed, the problematic contributions to $S$ from the technicolor sector are kept under control by limiting the amount of electroweak symmetry breaking that originates from the technicolor condensate.

In this paper, we point out a generic consequence of a 125 GeV Higgs boson in bosonic
technicolor models: the quartic coupling in the Higgs potential can run to a negative value at scales that are not far above the TeV scale. As we will show, the reason for this behavior is that the value of the quartic coupling at the weak scale can be significantly smaller in bosonic technicolor models than in the standard model, assuming in both cases a 125 GeV Higgs boson. Moreover, the top quark Yukawa coupling, which drives the quartic coupling to smaller values in its renormalization group evolution, is larger in bosonic technicolor than in the standard model. A negative quartic coupling indicates that the potential is turning over and will fall rapidly to values that are beneath the desired minimum. If this happens before the cut off Λ of the effective theory, then the original vacuum state will no longer be stable. We will show that only a narrow region of the model parameter space is consistent with the requirement that the quartic coupling remain positive up to a cut off Λ = 10 TeV; this region becomes even smaller for larger values of the cut off. We also show that this parameter region is not substantially enlarged if one allows the vacuum to be metastable with a lifetime that is larger than the age of the universe. We consider the implications of these results in light of the other important phenomenological bounds on the parameter space of the model.

Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section we summarize the relevant effective theory. In Sec. III, we discuss our procedure for determining the regions of model parameter space that are consistent with the vacuum stability criteria, as well as the bounds from $B^0$-$\bar{B}^0$ mixing, light charged Higgs searches, and the requirement that electroweak symmetry breaking occurs only when a nonvanishing technicolor condensate is present. In Sec. IV, we discuss our results and the range of validity of our approximations. In the final section, we summarize our conclusions.

II. THE MODEL

The technicolor sector of the model consists of two flavors, $p$ and $m$, that transform in the $N$-dimensional representation of the technicolor gauge group $G_{TC}$. We assume $G_{TC}$ is asymptotically free and confining. Under the standard model gauge symmetry, $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_W \times U(1)_Y$, the left-handed technifermions transform as an $SU(2)_W$ doublet and the
right-handed components as singlets,

\[ \Upsilon_L \equiv \begin{pmatrix} p \\ m \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \quad p_R, \quad m_R. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

Given the hypercharge assignments \( Y(\Upsilon_L) = 0 \), \( Y(p_R) = 1/2 \), and \( Y(m_R) = -1/2 \), the technicolor sector is free of gauge anomalies. We assume that \( N \) is even to avoid an SU(2) Witten anomaly.

The technifermions form a condensate that spontaneously breaks the global SU(2)\(_L\) \( \times \) SU(2)\(_R\) symmetry of the technicolor sector:

\[ \langle \bar{p}p + \bar{m}m \rangle \neq 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

A subgroup of the global chiral symmetry is gauged, corresponding to the SU(2)\(_W\) \( \times \) U(1)\(_Y\) gauge symmetry of the standard model: SU(2)\(_W\) is identified with SU(2)\(_L\), while U(1)\(_Y\) is identified with the third generator of SU(2)\(_R\). The condensate in Eq. (2.2) breaks SU(2)\(_W\) \( \times \) U(1)\(_Y\) to U(1)\(_{\text{EM}}\), generating masses for the W and Z bosons. In extended technicolor models [18], one would assume at this point that additional gauge interactions, spontaneously broken at a higher scale, provide dimension-six operators that couple the condensate in Eq. (2.2) to the standard model fermions. These operators generate ordinary fermion masses, but quite generally produce large flavor-changing neutral current effects as well. In contrast, bosonic technicolor models include a scalar field \( \phi \) that has the quantum numbers of the standard model Higgs field, i.e., an SU(2)\(_W\) doublet with hypercharge \( Y(\phi) = 1/2 \). This choice allows Yukawa couplings of \( \phi \) to the technifermions,

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\phi_T} = -\tilde{\Upsilon}_L \tilde{\phi} h + p_R - \tilde{\Upsilon}_L \tilde{\phi} h m_R + \text{h.c.}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

and the ordinary fermions,

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\phi_f} = -\bar{L}_L \phi h l + p_R - \bar{Q}_L \phi h U_R - \bar{Q}_L \phi h D_R + \text{h.c.}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where \( \tilde{\phi} = i\sigma^2 \phi^* \). While the squared mass of \( \phi \), which we will call \( M^2 \), can have any sign, bosonic technicolor models typically assume \( M^2 > 0 \); in this case, electroweak symmetry breaking does not occur in the absence of the technicolor condensate. By Eq. (2.3), the condensate produces a term linear in \( \phi \) in the scalar potential, so that \( \phi \) develops a vacuum expectation value. Masses for the standard model fermions are then generated via the Yukawa couplings in Eq. (2.4).
We study this model using an electroweak chiral Lagrangian, which employs a nonlinear representation of the Goldstone boson fields. We let
\[ \Sigma = \exp(2i\Pi/f), \quad \Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^0}{2} & \frac{\pi^+}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-\pi^-}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\pi^0}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \]
where \( \Pi \) represents an isotriplet of technipions, and \( f \) is the technipion decay constant. Under the SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R chiral symmetry, the \( \Sigma \) field transforms as
\[ \Sigma \rightarrow L \Sigma R^\dagger. \] (2.6)
We may consistently include the scalar doublet \( \phi \) in the effective theory using the matrix representation
\[ \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\phi^0} & \phi^+ \\ -\phi^- & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}, \]
where the columns correspond to the components of the doublets \( \tilde{\phi} = (\overline{\phi^0}, -\phi^-)^T \) and \( \phi = (\phi^+, \phi^0)^T \), respectively, with superscripts indicating the electric charges. The technifermion Yukawa couplings can be written as
\[ \Upsilon_L \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\phi^0} & \phi^+ \\ -\phi^- & \phi^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_+ & 0 \\ 0 & h_- \end{pmatrix} \Upsilon_R \equiv \Upsilon_L \Phi H \Upsilon_R, \]
where \( \Upsilon_R \) is the column vector \((p_R, m_R)^T\). It the product \( \Phi H \) transformed as
\[ (\Phi H) \rightarrow L (\Phi H) R^\dagger, \]
then Eq. (2.8) would be SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R invariant. This implies that one may correctly include \( \Phi H \) in the effective chiral Lagrangian as a spurion with this transformation rule. The lowest-order term involving \( \Phi H \) is
\[ \mathcal{L}_H = c_1 4\pi f^3 \text{Tr}(\Phi H \Sigma^\dagger) + h.c. . \]
Here \( c_1 \) is an unknown, dimensionless coefficient. One would expect \( c_1 \) to be no smaller than \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) by naive dimensional analysis [19]. As in Refs. [15, 16], we simplify the parameter space by assuming that \( h_+ = h_- \equiv h \), so that there is no explicit violation of custodial isospin from a technifermion mass splitting.
We choose to decompose $\Phi$ into its isosinglet and isotriplet components, $\sigma$ and $\Pi'$ respectively, using a nonlinear field redefinition similar to Eq. (2.5):

$$\Phi = \sigma + f' \sqrt{2} \Sigma', \quad \Sigma' = \exp(2i\Pi'/f'). \tag{2.11}$$

Here $f'$ represents the $\phi$ vev. The kinetic terms for the scalar fields may then be expressed

$$\mathcal{L}_{KE} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma + \frac{f^2}{4} \text{Tr}(D_\mu \Sigma^\dagger D^\mu \Sigma) + \frac{(\sigma + f')^2}{4} \text{Tr}(D_\mu \Sigma'^\dagger D^\mu \Sigma'), \tag{2.12}$$

where the covariant derivative is

$$D^\mu \Sigma = \partial^\mu \Sigma - ig W^a_{\mu} \tau^a \Sigma + i g' B^\mu \Sigma \tau^3. \tag{2.13}$$

Terms in Eq. (2.12) that mix the gauge fields with derivatives of scalar fields allow us to identify the unphysical linear combination

$$\pi_a = \frac{f \Pi + f' \Pi'}{\sqrt{f^2 + f'^2}}, \tag{2.14}$$

which is eliminated in unitary gauge. The orthogonal linear combination

$$\pi_p = \frac{-f' \Pi + f \Pi'}{\sqrt{f^2 + f'^2}}, \tag{2.15}$$

is physical and remains in the low-energy theory. The mass of this multiplet follows from Eq. (2.10):

$$m_\pi^2 = 8 \sqrt{2} \pi c_1 \frac{f}{f'} v^2. \tag{2.16}$$

The masses of the $W$ and $Z$ bosons follow from Eq. (2.12)

$$m_W^2 = \frac{1}{4} g^2 v^2, \quad m_Z^2 = \frac{1}{4} (g^2 + g'^2) v^2, \tag{2.17}$$

where $v = 246$ GeV is the electroweak scale and

$$v^2 = f^2 + f'^2. \tag{2.18}$$

The coupling of the $\Phi$ field to quarks is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi q\bar{q}} = -\bar{\psi}_L \Phi \begin{pmatrix} h_U & 0 \\ 0 & V_{CKM} h_D \end{pmatrix} \psi_R + \text{h.c.}, \tag{2.19}$$
where $\psi_L = (U_L, V_{CKM}D_L)$, $\psi_R = (U_R, D_R)$, $h_U = \text{diag}(h_u, h_c, h_t)$, and $h_D = \text{diag}(h_d, h_s, h_b)$, or using Eq. (2.11),

$$L_{\Phi\bar{q}q} = -\frac{\sigma + \frac{f'}{\sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_L \Sigma^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} h_U & 0 \\ 0 & V_{CKM} h_D \end{pmatrix} \psi_R + \text{h.c.} \quad (2.20)$$

The dependence of this expression on $f'$ rather than $v$ indicates that the Yukawa couplings shown are numerically larger than in the standard model. In addition, Eq. (2.20) allows one to extract the $\bar{q} \Pi'q$ vertex, from which one can deduce the coupling of the physical pions $\pi_p$ to quarks. This will be used in our subsequent phenomenological analysis.

III. CONSTRAINTS

In this section, we describe our approach to studying the parameter space of the model. We first note that specifying $f'/v$ determines the technipion decay constant via Eq. (2.18) and, hence, the mixing angles that appear in Eqs. (2.14) and (2.15). The bounds following from the virtual exchange or the real production of charged technipions (relevant later in this section) are then completely determined when $m_\pi$ is specified. Moreover, if the technipion Yukawa coupling $h$ is not too large, then the unknown parameters $c_1$ and $h$ appear at leading order in our vacuum stability analysis only via their product, which can be replaced by $m_\pi$ using Eq. (2.16). We therefore find it convenient to describe the model in terms of a two-dimensional parameter space, the $f'/v$-$m_\pi$ plane. After discussing the relevant phenomenology below, our results are presented in Sec. IV.

A. Vacuum Stability

The form of the scalar potential in bosonic technicolor models suggests that the requirement of vacuum stability may yield a meaningful constraint. (For a general review of vacuum stability bounds, see Ref. [20].) Consider the potential

$$V(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} M^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{8} \lambda \sigma^4 - \frac{f^2 f'}{v^2} m_\pi^2 \sigma - \frac{3}{64\pi^2} h_t^4 \sigma^4 \left[ \ln \left( \frac{h_t^2 \sigma^2}{2 m_Z^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right], \quad (3.1)$$

renormalized at the scale $m_Z$ in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. The first two terms represent the tree-level potential of the standard model. The third term originates from the coupling of the Higgs boson to the technifermion condensate in Eq. (2.10) and has been expressed in terms of the
technipion mass. The final term is the largest radiative correction, from a top quark loop. We have checked that the radiative corrections that we omit from Eq. (3.1) have a negligible effect on our numerical results, provided that \( h \) is not too large. We generally assume that \( h^2 \ll h_t^2 \); we discuss this approximation further in Sec. IV.

The conditions \( V_0'(f') = 0 \) and \( V_0''(f') = m_\sigma^2 \), where \( m_\sigma \) is the running Higgs boson mass, allow us to solve for the Higgs quartic coupling \( \lambda \) and the Lagrangian Higgs squared mass \( M^2 \):

\[
M^2 = -\frac{1}{2} m_\sigma^2 - \frac{3}{16 \pi^2} h_t^4 f'^2 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{f^2}{v^2} m_\pi^2, \tag{3.2}
\]

\[
\lambda = \frac{m_\sigma^2}{f^2} + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} h_t^4 \ln \left( \frac{h_t^2 f'^2}{2 m_\pi^2} \right) - \frac{f^2}{f'^2} \frac{m_\pi^2}{v^2}, \tag{3.3}
\]

Notice that the effect of the linear term in Eq. (3.1) is to reduce \( \lambda \) in Eq. (3.3) relative to its value in the standard model. In fact, this reduction is most pronounced when one requires \( M^2 > 0 \), since Eq. (3.2) then implies that \( f^2 m_\pi^2/v^2 \) must be non-negligible. In any case, the running of \( \lambda \) to higher scales is affected most strongly by the top quark Yukawa coupling,

\[
h_t = \sqrt{2} \frac{m_t}{f'}, \tag{3.4}
\]

which is larger than in the standard model, since \( f' < v \); the top quark Yukawa coupling drives \( \lambda(\mu) \) to smaller values in its renormalization group running, where \( \mu \) is the renormalization scale. Since \( \lambda(\mu) \) is smaller at \( \mu = m_Z \) and the running of \( \lambda \) is faster, one generically expects stronger vacuum stability constraints in bosonic technicolor than in the standard model.

We consider two possible criteria for establishing the vacuum stability of the model. We first consider the requirement that the quartic coupling \( \lambda \) remain non-negative below a specified cut off for the low-energy effective theory, i.e.,

\[
\lambda(\mu) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \leq \Lambda. \tag{3.5}
\]

Just beyond the scale at which \( \lambda \) becomes negative, one expects the potential to turn over and drop to values below the minimum at \( v \approx 246 \text{ GeV} \). If this occurs for \( \mu > \Lambda \), one can assume that new physics becomes relevant above the cutoff scale and alters the theory so that a deeper minimum in the potential is not obtained. In our numerical analysis, we first consider the implications of this assumption for \( \Lambda = 10, \, 100 \) and \( 1000 \text{ TeV} \). Since the LHC center-of-mass energy will not exceed 14 TeV, and the the energies available for parton-level
processes are only a fraction of this, our smallest choice for $\Lambda$ is still sufficient to assure that
the effective theory defined in Sec. II is the appropriate description of the physics that is
relevant at LHC energies.

Alternatively, one might require that the maximum of the potential occur before the cut
off of the effective theory, since the potential drops precipitously afterwards. Above the
technicolor confinement scale, we assume the potential is given by Eq. (3.1) without the
linear term (since the technifermions have not yet condensed). As discussed in the context
of the standard model in Ref. [21], the maximum is reached when the quantity
$$\tilde{\lambda} \sim 0,$$
where
$$\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda - \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ 6 h_i^4 \left[ \ln \frac{h_i^2}{2} - 1 \right] - \frac{3}{4} g^4 \left[ \ln \frac{g^2}{4} - \frac{1}{3} \right] \right\} - \frac{3}{8} (g^2 + g'^2)^2 \left[ \ln \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} - \frac{1}{3} \right],$$
(3.6)
where $g$ and $g'$ and the standard model SU(2)$_W$ and U(1)$_Y$ gauge couplings. We determine
the model parameter space in which the vacuum is stable following from the criterion
$$\tilde{\lambda}(\mu) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \leq \Lambda,$$
and compare to the results that follow from Eq. (3.5).

Finally, we consider the possibility that the potential does fall to a value lower than the
desired minimum, but that the lifetime of the false vacuum decay is longer than the age of
the universe. In this case, the lowest point in the potential occurs at $\phi = \Lambda$, where new
physics at the cut off may produce a second local minimum. The requirement that the
quantum tunneling rate at zero temperature is sufficiently small may be approximated [24]
$$e^{409 \max_{\lambda(\phi)<0} \left( \frac{\phi}{v} \right)^4 \exp \left( -\frac{16\pi^2}{3|\lambda(\phi)|} \right) \right] \approx 1,$$
(3.8)
where we have rewritten the condition given in Ref. [24] in terms of our definition of the
quartic coupling. The quantity in brackets is maximized when $\phi = \Lambda$, where $\lambda(\phi)$ is most
negative. We will see that the model parameter space consistent with Eq. (3.8) is slightly
larger than what one obtains assuming Eq. (3.7). Note that true vacuum bubbles may
also nucleate due to thermal excitation, which typically leads to constraints intermediate
between Eqs. (3.7) and (3.8); since the difference is not large in the present model, we will
not consider this issue further here.

Let us now summarize the fixed input parameters that are used in our analysis. In solving
for $M^2$ and $\lambda$, Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3), we require the Higgs boson running mass $m_\sigma(\mu)$ and the
top quark Yukawa coupling \( h_t(\mu) \), both evaluated at the scale \( m_Z \). The relationship between the physical Higgs boson mass \( m_0 \) and the running mass is given by [21]

\[
m_0^2 = m_\sigma^2(m_Z) + \text{Re}[\Pi(p^2 = m_0^2) - \Pi(p^2 = 0)],
\]

where \( \Pi(p^2) \) is the renormalized self-energy of the Higgs boson; in our analysis, we include only the largest effects proportional to \( h_t^2 \), consistent with our previous approximations. Explicit expressions for these self-energies can be found in Ref. [22]. We take \( m_0 = 125 \) GeV in determining \( m_\sigma^2(m_Z) \). The running top quark mass at \( m_t \) is related to the top quark pole mass \( m_{t0} = 172 \) GeV by

\[
m_{t0} = \left[ 1 + \frac{4 \alpha_3(m_{t0})}{3 \pi} \right] m_t(m_{t0}),
\]

where we have taken into account the largest, QCD corrections [21]. With \( m_t(m_{t0}) \) determined from this expression, one uses Eq. (3.4) to determine the running top quark Yukawa coupling evaluated at the same scale, \( h_t(m_{t0}) \). We then use the renormalization group equations (RGEs) to determine \( h_t(m_Z) \), so that we may evaluate Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) at the same scale.

With \( \lambda(m_Z) \) thus determined, we may solve the coupled one-loop RGEs for \( \lambda, h_t \) and the standard model gauge couplings to determine whether the criteria in Eqs (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8) are met. We use the standard model RGEs given in the appendix of Ref. [23]. We have estimated the effect of the technicolor sector on the RGE running by comparing our results to those obtained when including the perturbatively calculated one-technifermion-loop contribution to the standard model gauge coupling beta functions. (All effects proportional to the technifermion Yukawa coupling \( h \) are suppressed given our assumption that \( h^2 \ll h_t^2 \).) We find that this exercise produces no noticeable effect on our results.

B. \( B^0-\overline{B}^0 \) Mixing

It is well known that \( B^0-\overline{B}^0 \) mixing provides a useful constraint on two-Higgs doublet models [25]. Box diagram contributions from charged technipion exchange have also been studied in the context of bosonic technicolor models in the past (for example, in Refs. [5–7, 10]). Using results available in the literature on two-Higgs-doublet models, we evaluate the charged technipion contribution to \( B^0-\overline{B}^0 \) mixing, taking into account next-to-leading-order (NLO) QCD corrections. We will see in the next section that the importance of this
analysis is that the combined constraints from vacuum stability and $B^0$-$\bar{B}^0$ mixing eliminate substantial regions of the model’s parameter space in which $f'$ is not close to $v$.

Extracting the charged technipion couplings to quarks from Eq. (2.20), one finds

$$\mathcal{L} = i g \frac{f}{\sqrt{2} m_W} \sum_{ij} \left[ \bar{u}_R m_u V_{ij} d^i_L - \bar{u}_L V_{ij} m_d^j d^j_R \right] + \text{H.c.}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $V_{ij}$ is the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix and the fields are given in the mass eigenstate basis. Since we retain only effects proportional to powers of the top quark Yukawa coupling, the term proportional to $m_d$ can be ignored. Then the $\pi^+$ coupling can be matched to the charged Higgs coupling in a two-Higgs-doublet model of either Type I or II with the identification

$$\tan \beta \equiv \frac{f'}{f}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $\tan \beta$ generally represents the ratio of the vev of the Higgs field that couples to the top quark to the vev of the Higgs field that doesn’t. In comparing the $\pi^\pm$ vertex in Eq. (3.11) to the corresponding charged Higgs coupling in a two-Higgs-doublet model, an overall phase difference is irrelevant here since the diagrams of interest always connect each $\pi^+$ vertex to a $\pi^-$ vertex with a technipion propagator. At leading order (LO), one finds that the neutral $B$ meson mass splitting is given by

$$\Delta m_{B}^{LO} = \frac{G_F}{6\pi^2} n_W^2 |V_{td}|^2 f_B^2 \hat{B}_d m_B \left( I_{WW} + \cot^2 \beta I_{W\pi} + \cot^4 \beta I_{\pi\pi} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

where $f_B$ is the $B$ meson decay constant, $\hat{B}_d$ is the bag factor, and the $I_{ab}$ are given by [26]

$$I_{WW} = \frac{x}{4} \left( \frac{9}{1-x} - \frac{6}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{6}{x} \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right)^3 \ln x \right),$$

$$I_{W\pi} = \frac{xy}{4} \left[ \frac{8-2x}{(1-x)(1-y)} + \frac{6z \ln x}{(1-x)^2(1-z)} + \frac{(2z-8) \ln y}{(1-y)^2(1-z)} \right],$$

$$I_{\pi\pi} = \frac{xy}{4} \left[ \frac{(1+y)}{(1-y)^2} + \frac{2y \ln y}{(1-y)^3} \right],$$

$$\text{where } x = m_t^2/m_W^2, \quad y = m_t^2/m_{\pi}^2 \text{ and } z = x/y = m_{\tau}^2/m_W^2.$$

The NLO form for $\Delta m_B$ takes into account running from the scale at which the effective $\Delta B = 2$ four-fermion operators are generated, conventionally taken to be $m_W$, down to the $B$ meson mass scale. The NLO expression for $\Delta m_B$ is lengthy and can be found in Ref. [26]. We evaluate the NLO prediction assuming the lattice QCD estimate $f_B \sqrt{\hat{B}_d} = 216\pm15$ MeV [27], which represents the largest source of theoretical uncertainty.
The standard approach to obtaining charged Higgs bounds from $\Delta m_B$ is to fix the CKM elements to the values obtained in a standard model global fit. Since such fits are consistent with the experimental data, one then requires that the NLO prediction from $\Delta m_B$ not deviate by more than two standard deviations from the experimental value. More precisely, we define
\[
\chi^2 = \frac{(\Delta m_B - \Delta m_B^{\text{exp}})^2}{\sigma^2},
\]
and require that the $\chi^2$ not exceed 3.84 to determine the 95% confidence level (C.L.) bound. The error $\sigma$ includes both the theory and experimental errors added in quadrature. We take $\Delta m_B^{\text{exp}} = (3.337 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{-10}$ MeV [28].

C. Charged Higgs Searches

Charged Higgs searches at colliders can potentially exclude some regions of the $f'/v$-$m_\pi$ plane. Most of the existing analyses make specific (and often simplified) assumptions about the charged Higgs decay modes and branching fractions that do not apply to bosonic technicolor models. As the LHC extends its reach, a dedicated analysis is required to reliably determine the bounds on charged technipions in the present model. However, for technipion masses below $m_W$ the situation is much simpler: only decays to standard model quarks (excluding the top quark) and leptons are kinematically available. Given that the charged technipion couplings are proportional to fermion masses, as in Eq. (3.11), the dominant decay channels are $\pi^+ \rightarrow \tau^+\nu$ and $\pi^+ \rightarrow c\bar{s}$. The LEP working group for Higgs boson searches has established a bound on charged Higgs bosons predicted in two-doublet extensions of the standard model, produced via $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^+H^-$ [29]. The coupling of the technipions to the photon and $Z$ boson follow from Eq. (2.12),
\[
\mathcal{L} = -i \left[ eA^\mu + \frac{e}{2s_w c_w} (c_w^2 - s_w^2) Z^\mu \right] \left( \pi^+_p \partial_\mu \pi^-_p - \pi^-_p \partial_\mu \pi^+_p \right),
\]
where $s_w$ ($c_w$) represents the sine (cosine) of the weak mixing angle. Eq. (3.16) is the same as in a generic two-Higgs-doublet model (with the convention that $e$ is a negative quantity). Hence, the production cross section for physical technipions in bosonic technicolor is consistent with the assumptions of the LEP analysis. Moreover, this analysis assumes $\tau\nu$ and $c\bar{s}$ decays only, with arbitrary branching fractions, consistent with the present model.
FIG. 1: The model parameter space, assuming a 125 GeV Higgs boson. In the region above the solid [dotted] line on the right, $\bar{\lambda}(\mu) < 0 \, [\lambda(\mu) < 0]$ for $\mu \leq \Lambda$. The region below the solid line on the left is excluded by $B^0$-$\bar{B}^0$ mixing. The region below the horizontal solid line is excluded by the LEP charged Higgs bound. The Higgs doublet squared mass is positive above the dashed line.

when $m_\pi < m_W$. Hence, the LEP lower bound of 78.6 GeV (95\% C.L.) directly applies. We take this into account in the following section.

IV. RESULTS

The various regions of the model parameter space are displayed in Fig. 1, for the choice of cut off $\Lambda = 10$ TeV. Neither of the vacuum stability criteria given in Eqs. (3.5) and (3.7) are satisfied above the solid line on the right of the figure (the line that asymptotes to $f'/v \sim 0.98$). The condition $\lambda(\mu) \geq 0$ for $\mu \leq \Lambda$ is not satisfied above the dotted line that closely tracks this boundary. Comparing the two vacuum stability criteria, the solid $\bar{\lambda}(\Lambda) = 0$ line gives a slightly weaker bound on the model parameter space. This is consistent with the observation made in Ref. [21], in the context of the standard model, that the cutoff scale associated with vanishing $\bar{\lambda}$ is somewhat higher than the one associated with vanishing $\lambda$. The shape of the region excluded by the vacuum stability constraint is also consistent with one’s expectations based on Eq. (3.3): for fixed $f'$, there will be some $m_\pi$ that will
be sufficiently large such that the last term in Eq. (3.3) drives $\lambda(m_Z)$ to an unacceptably small initial value. Since this last term is proportional to $f/f'$, one expects that the bound becomes weaker as $f'$ approaches $v$. Although the cut off of $\Lambda = 10$ TeV is low, the vacuum stability constraint remains significant since Eq. (3.3) can lead to negative $\lambda(m_Z)$, before any RGE running, if the third term in Eq. (3.3) is sufficiently large.

The region below the solid line toward the left side of Fig. 1 is excluded by $B^0-\bar{B}^0$ mixing. For fixed $f'$ of intermediate size, reducing the charged technipion mass enhances the new physics contribution to $\Delta m_B$ until Eq. (3.15) exceeds its 95% C.L. value. However, one can see from Eq. (3.11) that the charged technipion coupling to quarks is suppressed by $f/f'$; the new physics contribution becomes irrelevant as $f'$ approaches $v$. From Fig. 1, one can see that the technipion contribution to $\Delta m_B$ becomes irrelevant, given the total theoretical and experimental uncertainties, when $f'$ exceeds $\sim 0.9$. If one chooses to impose the requirement of exact vacuum stability, then the $B^0-\bar{B}^0$ constraints forces $f'/v \gtrsim 0.84$: only a relatively small fraction of electroweak symmetry breaking can originate from the technicolor condensate. For a fixed technicolor confinement scale, this is the same limit in which the technicolor contribution to the electroweak $S$ parameter was found to be under control in Ref. [15].

The LEP bound on the charged technipions, discussed in the previous section, is also displayed in Fig. 1. The boundary of the stable vacuum region and the solid exclusion lines leave a roughly triangular region, above $m_\pi = 78.6$ GeV and on the far right side of the plot. However, within this region the Lagrangian squared mass for the Higgs doublet, $M^2$, can have any sign. Of course, there is nothing physically inconsistent with electroweak symmetry breaking originating in part from a Higgs doublet field with a negative squared mass and in part from a fermion condensate. We know of know argument that would preclude such a possibility from emerging from some ultraviolet completion. Nevertheless, bosonic technicolor models have typically assumed that the Higgs doublet has a positive squared mass, so that electroweak symmetry breaking does not occur without the presence of the technifermion condensate. Defining the theory strictly in this way, we can exclude regions of parameter space in which $M^2 < 0$, as determined from Eq. (3.2): the excluded region lies below the dashed line in Fig. 1. In this case, only a narrow strip of parameter space lies within the stable vacuum region and above the dashed line at which $M^2$ changes sign. In this region, $f'/v \gtrsim 0.9$ and the role of the technicolor condensate in electroweak
Larger values of the cut off lead to more limited regions of parameter space in which Eqs. (3.5) and (3.7) are satisfied. In Fig. 2, we show how Fig. 1 changes as the cut off is increased from 10 to 100 to 1000 TeV. For the higher choices of cut off, the entire region in which $M^2 > 0$ becomes disjoint with the regions in which Eqs. (3.5) and (3.7) are satisfied. One might argue that flavor-changing higher-dimension operators generated directly at the cut off scale could present phenomenological problems if this scale is much below 100-1000 TeV. However, without knowing what operators are actually generated when matching the effective theory to the ultraviolet completion at $\Lambda$, one cannot draw a definite conclusion on the size of $\Lambda$ based on this argument.

In the preceding discussion, we have been careful not to describe the region in which Eq. (3.7) is violated as “excluded”. As discussed in Sec. III, the model could be viable in parts of this region where the vacuum is metastable with a lifetime that is longer than the age of the universe. In Fig. 3, we show the regions in which an acceptable metastable vacuum is obtained, following from Eq. (3.8), for $\Lambda = 10$, 100 and 1000 TeV. For each choice of $\Lambda$, the boundary between the given region and the one of exactly stable vacua is given by the $\tilde{\lambda}(\Lambda) = 0$ line discussed earlier. While the excluded parameter space is somewhat
smaller than the areas of Figs. 1 and 2 in which the vacuum stability criteria are violated, these regions are not wildly different. The combined constraints from $B^0 \bar{B}^0$ mixing and exact vacuum stability implied before that $f'/v \gtrsim 0.84$; allowing for a long-lived metastable vacuum changes this inequality to $f'/v \gtrsim 0.825$. Requiring that $M^2 > 0$ and exact vacuum stability implied before that $f'/v \gtrsim 0.9$; allowing for a long-lived metastable vacuum changes this to $f'/v \gtrsim 0.835$.

Given the allowed regions of model parameter space that we have found thus far, one may wonder whether Higgs boson searches at the LHC in the $b\bar{b}$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ channels lead to additional bounds on the neutral technipion, over the range of masses where these decays are important. It is important to keep in mind that the production cross section for the neutral technipion is of the same form as that of the pseudoscalar Higgs in a two-Higgs-doublet model of Type I and is thus proportional to $\cot^2 \beta = f^2/f'^2$. The allowed regions of Figs. 1, 2 and 3, however, correspond to $\cot \beta$ less than one, which tends to reduce the production rate. In most of the allowed region, we find that the $\pi^0$ production cross section is smaller than that of a standard model Higgs boson of the same mass. More generally, we have checked numerically that the ratio of the production cross section of the $\pi^0$ to the standard
model Higgs is never large enough in the allowed region of our figures to exceed the most recent ATLAS and CMS 95% C.L. upper bounds in the $b\bar{b}$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$ channels [30]. Given the $\cot^2\beta$ suppression factor, this result might be anticipated since the upper bounds on the ratio of the cross sections in these specific channels to those of the standard model have not yet reached unity [30]. As the LHC continues to accumulate data, however, searches for Higgs bosons decaying to bottom and tau pairs are likely to provide useful bounds on the neutral technipions in the future. For technipions heavier than twice the top quark mass, the neutral technipion will decay predominantly to top pairs. However, the LHC searches for Higgs bosons in this heavier mass region focus on the $ZZ$ modes, which do not exist for a pseudoscalar Higgs; hence we obtain no further bounds from the recent LHC Higgs search data. It is also worth noting that the portion of the allowed region in which the technipion masses is larger than twice the top mass is also one in which $f'/v > 0.96$ and the $\cot^2\beta$ suppression in the pseudoscalar production rate is more severe.

Before concluding this section, we comment on the range of validity of the approximations that were assumed in this analysis. In our treatment of vacuum stability, we assumed $h^2 \ll h_t^2$. In this case, we do not have to worry about the effect of $O(h^4)$ terms in the effective potential, or $O(h^2\lambda)$ terms in the RGE for the quartic coupling. In the regime where such terms are important, one would expect that the technifermion Yukawa coupling, like $h_t$, should further drive the Higgs quartic coupling toward negative values. However, a reliable numerical analysis is not possible (at least in the present approach) since it also depends on the running of $h$; this is affected by the technicolor gauge coupling, which is nonperturbative at the TeV scale. Hence, we do not consider this limit in the present analysis. One might worry that if $h$ is bounded from above (e.g., $h \lesssim 1/3$ would likely be sufficient for the present purposes), it might not be possible to achieve the range in technipion masses displayed in Figs. 1 and 2. However, the technipion mass depends on the product of the unknown coefficient $c_1$ times $h$, as shown in Eq. (2.16); one may increase $m_\pi$ with $h$ held fixed by increasing $c_1$. This is consistent with naive dimensional analysis, which only requires that $c_1$ not be significantly smaller than $O(1)$ if no fine-tuning against radiative corrections is present in the effective theory [19]. In the holographic construction of the model, one can compute $c_1$ directly and verify that it can be large. This fact was illustrated in Ref. [15] were $\sim 1$ TeV physical technipion masses were obtained even with $h \sim 0.01$. Of course, this does not imply that $c_1$ can be made arbitrarily large. Eq. (2.10)
contains a $\pi_p^4$ vertex that is proportional to $c_1 h$. Requiring, for example, that the $\pi_p^{+2}\pi_p^{-2}/4$ coupling remain perturbative ($<16\pi^2$) places an upper bound on $c_1 h$, or equivalently $m_\pi$, which we find to be

$$m_\pi < 2\sqrt{6\pi} \, v \left( \frac{f'}{v} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{f'^2}{v^2}}. \tag{4.1}$$

For example, for $f'/v$ of $(0.9, 0.99, 0.999)$ one finds that $m_\pi$ must be less than $(1485, 528, 169)$ GeV. Hence, the portions of the Figs. 1-3 that are restricted by this perturbativity bound are at the far right edge of each plot and are extremely small.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In previous studies of bosonic technicolor models, the Higgs boson mass has been an undetermined parameter. Here, we have considered the consequences of fixing the Higgs boson mass at the value suggested by data from the 2011 LHC run. We have shown that minimization of the scalar potential in bosonic technicolor models leads to smaller values of the Higgs boson quartic coupling at the weak scale than in the standard model; upon renormalization group running, the quartic coupling can become negative before the cut off of the low-energy effective theory, which we have chosen to range from $\Lambda = 10$ to 1000 TeV. Even with a cut off as low as 10 TeV, we find that vacuum stability is obtained in only a limited region of the model parameter space. For a fixed choice of technicolor condensate, vacuum stability places an upper bound on the physical technipion mass, since larger technipion masses correlate with smaller values of the Higgs boson quartic coupling at the weak scale. Allowing for a metastable vacuum with a lifetime longer than the age of the universe only slightly relaxes this constraint. On the other hand, $B^0-\bar{B}^0$ mixing and searches for charged scalars at LEP place lower bounds on the technipion mass. The parameter space that survives can be further reduced if one requires a positive Lagrangian squared mass of the Higgs doublet, corresponding to the scenario in which electroweak symmetry breaking occurs only when triggered by the existence of a technicolor condensate. In any case, one finds no allowed region in which the Higgs vev is less than $\sim 0.82 v$, where $v = 246$ GeV defines the electroweak scale.

More generally, the present analysis demonstrates that electroweak symmetry breaking could include some contribution from strong dynamics, even if the LHC Higgs boson signal is confirmed. However, we have shown that coupling a new strongly interacting sector to
the Higgs potential can affect the stability of the vacuum leading to meaningful constraints on the allowed parameter space of such models.
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**Note Added:** After this paper was submitted for publication, the ATLAS and CMS collaborations formally announced the discovery of a particle near 125 GeV in their searches for a standard model Higgs boson [30].
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