



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Generalized harmonic equations in 3+1 form

J. David Brown

Phys. Rev. D **84**, 124012 — Published 2 December 2011

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.84.124012](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.124012)

Generalized Harmonic Equations in 3+1 Form

J. David Brown

Department of Physics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695 USA

The generalized harmonic equations of general relativity are written in 3+1 form. The result is a system of partial differential equations with first order time and second order space derivatives for the spatial metric, extrinsic curvature, lapse function and shift vector, plus fields that represent the time derivatives of the lapse and shift. This allows for a direct comparison between the generalized harmonic and the Arnowitt–Deser–Misner formulations. The 3+1 generalized harmonic equations are also written in terms of conformal variables and compared to the Baumgarte–Shapiro–Shibata–Nakamura equations with moving puncture gauge conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The generalized harmonic equations [1–3] are a symmetric hyperbolic formulation of general relativity. They were originally written as a second order system of partial differential equations for the spacetime metric ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$. By adding extra variables to represent derivatives of ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$, the generalized harmonic equations can be written as a fully first order system [4, 5], or as a system with first order time and second order space derivatives [6]. Typically the fundamental variables are the components of the spacetime metric and its derivatives.

In this paper we carry out a 3+1 splitting of the generalized harmonic (GH) equations. In this way the GH system is written in terms of traditional 3+1 variables with first–order time and second–order space derivatives. The 3+1 variables include the spatial metric g_{ij} , extrinsic curvature K_{ij} , lapse function α and shift vector β^i . The extrinsic curvature is directly related to the time derivative of the spatial metric; likewise, we introduce fields π and ρ^i that are directly related to the time derivatives of α and β^i . The result of this analysis is a concise and elegant expression of Einstein’s theory.

Currently there are two formulations of the Einstein equations in widespread use in the numerical relativity community. One is the generalized harmonic system, the other is the Baumgarte–Shapiro–Shibata–Nakamura (BSSN) system [7, 8] along with moving puncture gauge conditions [9, 10]. The BSSN equations are direct descendants of the Arnowitt–Deser–Misner (ADM) equations, which are obtained from a 3+1 splitting of the Einstein equations [11]. (See also Refs. [12, 13].) ADM and BSSN are typically written as systems with first–order time and second–order space derivatives. The fundamental variables for ADM are the 3+1 variables g_{ij} , K_{ij} , α and β^i . BSSN is obtained from a change of variables, defined by conformal splitting, and the introduction of new independent variables, namely, the conformal connection functions. Most often the BSSN system is supplemented with the moving puncture gauge conditions which take the form of evolution equations for the lapse function and shift vector.

In earlier work, Friedrich and Rendall [14] (see also Ref. [15]) wrote the generalized harmonic equations in terms of 3+1 variables g_{ij} , α and β^i . Their motiva-

tion was not to compare GH to ADM or BSSN. Consequently, the relationship between the GH and ADM or BSSN systems has remained obscure. In Sec. III the precise relationship between the GH equations and the ADM equations is presented. The relationship between the GH equations and the BSSN equations is displayed explicitly in Sec. V. Note that in Refs. [16, 17] the Z4 formulation [18] of general relativity is written in 3+1 form with a conformal splitting, and used to compare Z4 to BSSN.

In Sec. 2 we review the generalized harmonic formulation of general relativity and discuss its interpretation as an initial value problem. In Sec. 3 we write the GH equations in 3+1 form, compare the results to ADM, and show that the system is symmetric hyperbolic. Technical details are contained in Appendix A. In Sec. 4 the 3+1 GH equations are written in terms of conformal variables. The GH equations are compared to BSSN and the moving puncture gauge in Sec. 5. In Appendix B we show that the GH system with moving puncture gauge conditions has the same level of hyperbolicity as BSSN with the moving puncture gauge. A brief summary is provided in Sec. 6.

II. GENERALIZED HARMONIC EQUATIONS

Let ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$ denote the physical spacetime metric with Christoffel symbols ${}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho}$, covariant derivative ∇_μ , and Ricci curvature ${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu}$. Let ${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho}$ denote a background connection; this connection might be built from a background metric ${}^{(4)}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. As discussed in Ref. [19], the background connection is needed for general covariance. For practical applications it would be natural to choose $\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho}$ to be the flat connection. If the coordinates are interpreted as Cartesian, then the components $\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho}$ are zero.

Now introduce a spacetime vector field H^μ , the “gauge source vector”, and define

$$C^\mu \equiv H^\mu + ({}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho} - {}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho})g^{\sigma\rho}. \quad (1)$$

Note that the physical and background connections only appear as the difference ${}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho} - {}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\sigma\rho}$, which transforms as a tensor.

The generalized harmonic equations are

$${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{(\mu}\mathcal{C}_{\nu)} = -\kappa [n_{(\mu}\mathcal{C}_{\nu)} - {}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}n^\sigma\mathcal{C}_\sigma/2] + 8\pi G [T_{\mu\nu} - {}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}T^\sigma_\sigma/2], \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathcal{C}^\mu = 0. \quad (2b)$$

The term proportional to Newton's constant G represents the matter content, where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the matter stress–energy–momentum tensor. The matter equations of motion imply the conservation laws $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$. The term proportional to the constant κ enforces constraint damping; it depends on a timelike, future–pointing unit vector field n^μ . Below we assume that this vector field is the unit normal to a set of spacelike hypersurfaces $t = \text{const}$.

The GH equations (2) are equivalent to the Einstein equations ${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G [T_{\mu\nu} - {}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}T^\sigma_\sigma/2]$. This follows trivially by inserting Eq. (2b) into Eq. (2a). What makes the GH equations interesting, and useful, is their interpretation as an initial value problem.

Let us define $\mathcal{M}_\mu \equiv -({}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu} - 8\pi T_{\mu\nu})n^\nu$ where ${}^{(4)}G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor and n^μ is the unit normal to the $t = \text{const}$ slices. Note that $\mathcal{H} \equiv -2\mathcal{M}_\mu n^\mu$ and \mathcal{M}_i are the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints, respectively.

The initial value interpretation of the GH equations relies on two key results. The first is obtained by contracting Eq. (2a) with the unit normal n_μ . This yields an equation of the form [5, 19]

$$\partial_t \mathcal{C}^\mu = \{\text{terms} \sim \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \partial_i \mathcal{C}\} \quad (3)$$

where the terms on the right–hand side are proportional to the constraints \mathcal{M}_μ and \mathcal{C}^μ , and spatial derivatives of \mathcal{C}^μ . This equation can be rearranged to show that \mathcal{M}^μ is proportional to \mathcal{C}^μ , the time derivative of \mathcal{C}^μ , and spatial derivatives of \mathcal{C}^μ . It follows that for any solution of the GH equations (2), the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints $\mathcal{M}^\mu = 0$ must hold.

The second key result is obtained from the covariant derivative of Eq. (2a). After applying the Ricci identity and using the result (3), we find [5, 19]

$$\partial_t \mathcal{M}^\mu = \{\text{terms} \sim \mathcal{M}, \partial_i \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \partial_i \mathcal{C}, \partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (4)$$

Together, Eqs. (3) and (4) show that as long as the constraints $\mathcal{C}^\mu = 0$ and $\mathcal{M}^\mu = 0$ hold at the initial time, then they will continue to hold for all time.

From the preceding analysis we see that a solution of Einstein's equations can be found by choosing initial data that satisfy both sets of constraints, $\mathcal{C}^\mu = 0$ and $\mathcal{M}^\mu = 0$, at the initial time, and then evolving this data into the future using the GH equation (2a).

The generalized harmonic formulation of general relativity is important because the GH equations are symmetric hyperbolic, provided the gauge source vector H^μ is specified directly as a function of the spacetime coordinates x^μ and metric ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$. In particular, the second derivative terms in Eq. (2a) combine to form a wave operator ${}^{(4)}g^{\sigma\rho}\partial_\sigma\partial_\rho$ acting on the spacetime metric ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$. If, on the other hand, the H^μ 's are specified directly and

depend on $\partial_\sigma {}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$, then Eq. (2a) will include terms that interfere with the nice wave operator. In general the system will no longer be symmetric hyperbolic.

In much of the early numerical work with the GH equations, H^μ was not specified directly. Rather, H^μ was elevated to the status of a dynamical variable by introducing “driver” equations. With a driver equation, $\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu H^\nu$ or $\partial_t H^\nu$ is set equal to some function of ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$ and H^μ and their derivatives [3, 20]. In this case the analysis of hyperbolicity is more complicated.

Recent work by Szilagyi, Lindblom and Scheel [21] has shown the practical benefits of the “damped wave gauge”. For this gauge condition H^μ is specified directly as a function of the spacetime metric. Throughout this paper I will assume that the gauge source vector is specified directly. If it depends only on the coordinates x^μ and metric ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$, then the system is symmetric hyperbolic. In Sec. 5 we consider the GH equations with the moving puncture gauge. In this case H^μ depends on derivatives of the metric, and the system is not symmetric hyperbolic. In Appendix B we show that this system has the same level of hyperbolicity as BSSN with the moving puncture gauge.

III. GH EQUATIONS IN 3+1 FORM

Let us begin by reviewing the 3+1 decomposition of the Einstein equations [11–13]. The analysis yields evolution equations

$$\partial_\perp g_{ij} = -2\alpha K_{ij}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\partial_\perp K_{ij} = \alpha [R_{ij} - 2K_{ik}K_j^k + K K_{ij}] - D_i D_j \alpha - 8\pi G \alpha [s_{ij} - g_{ij}(s - \rho)/2] \quad (5b)$$

for the spatial metric g_{ij} and extrinsic curvature K_{ij} . Here, D_i and R_{ij} denote the covariant derivative and Ricci tensor built from the spatial metric. The lapse function is α and the shift vector is β^i . The time derivative operator is defined by $\partial_\perp \equiv \partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta$, where \mathcal{L}_β is the Lie derivative along the shift. The matter variables are the energy density $\rho \equiv n^\mu n^\nu T_{\mu\nu}$, momentum density $j_i \equiv -n^\mu T_{\mu i}$, and spatial stress $s_{ij} \equiv T_{ij}$. The 3+1 splitting of the matter conservation equations $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ gives [22]

$$\partial_\perp \rho = \alpha s^{ij} K_{ij} + \alpha \rho K - \alpha D_i j^i - 2j^i D_i \alpha, \quad (6a)$$

$$\partial_\perp j_i = \alpha K j_i - s_{ij} D^j \alpha - \rho D_i \alpha - \alpha D^j s_{ij}. \quad (6b)$$

The spatial metric and extrinsic curvature must also satisfy the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints,

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv K^2 - K_{ij}K^{ij} + R - 16\pi G \rho = 0, \quad (7a)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_i \equiv D_j K_i^j - D_i K - 8\pi G j_i = 0. \quad (7b)$$

If the constraints hold at the initial time, then the evolution equations (5) and (6) insure that they will continue to hold at future times.

In the numerical relativity community the results (5) are referred to as the Arnowitt–Deser–Misner (ADM) equations [11]. Here we use the common convention of writing these equations in the form used by Smarr and York [22, 23].

The mathematical details of the 3+1 splitting of the generalized harmonic equations (2) are presented in Appendix A. The result is the following system of evolution equations,

$$\partial_{\perp} g_{ij} = -2\alpha K_{ij} , \quad (8a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} K_{ij} = & \alpha [R_{ij} - 2K_{ik}K_j^k - \pi K_{ij}] - D_i D_j \alpha \\ & - \alpha D_{(i} \mathcal{C}_{j)} - \kappa \alpha g_{ij} \mathcal{C}_{\perp} / 2 \\ & - 8\pi G \alpha [s_{ij} - g_{ij}(s - \rho) / 2] , \end{aligned} \quad (8b)$$

$$\partial_{\perp} \alpha = \alpha^2 \pi - \alpha^2 H_{\perp} , \quad (8c)$$

$$\partial_t \beta^i = \beta^j \bar{D}_j \beta^i + \alpha^2 \rho^i - \alpha D^i \alpha + \alpha^2 H^i , \quad (8d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} \pi = & -\alpha K_{ij} K^{ij} + D_i D^i \alpha + \mathcal{C}^i D_i \alpha \\ & - \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}_{\perp} / 2 - 4\pi G \alpha (\rho + s) , \end{aligned} \quad (8e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} \rho^i = & g^{kl} \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l \beta^i + \alpha D^i \pi - \pi D^i \alpha - 2K^{ij} D_j \alpha \\ & + 2\alpha K^{jk} \Delta \Gamma_{jk}^i + \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}^i - 16\pi G \alpha j^i , \end{aligned} \quad (8f)$$

and constraints,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\perp} \equiv \pi + K , \quad (9a)$$

$$\mathcal{C}^i \equiv -\rho^i + \Delta \Gamma_{jk}^i g^{jk} , \quad (9b)$$

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv K^2 - K_{ij} K^{ij} + R - 16\pi G \rho , \quad (9c)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_i \equiv D_j K_i^j - D_i K - 8\pi G j_i . \quad (9d)$$

The dependent variables include the spatial metric g_{ij} , extrinsic curvature K_{ij} , lapse function α and shift vector β^i . We have also introduced the variables π and ρ^i . Equation (8c) shows that π is related to the time derivative of α . Likewise, from Eq. (8d) we see that ρ^i is related to the time derivative of β^i . Note that the gauge source vector H^{μ} appears in these equations as a spatial scalar H_{\perp} and a spatial vector H^i . The source H_{\perp} appears in the evolution equation (8c) for the lapse α , while the source H^i appears in the evolution equation (8d) for the shift β^i .

In deriving the 3+1 GH equations (8), (9) we have assumed that the only non vanishing components of the background connection ${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\sigma\rho}$ are the spatial components ${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$. This is equivalent to building the background connection from a background metric ${}^{(4)}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ which, under a 3+1 splitting, has unit lapse, vanishing shift, and a time-independent spatial metric. In this case the only remaining background structure is the spatial connection whose components are $\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i \equiv {}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$. We also assume that the background spatial connection is flat, and in Eqs. (8), (9) use the notation

$$\Delta \Gamma_{jk}^i \equiv \Gamma_{jk}^i - \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i . \quad (10)$$

Finally, we let \bar{D}_i denote the covariant derivative built from the background connection.

Comparing the 3+1 GH equations (8a) and (8b) with the ADM equations (5), we find

$$(\partial_{\perp} g_{ij})_{GH} - (\partial_{\perp} g_{ij})_{ADM} = 0 , \quad (11a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{\perp} K_{ij})_{GH} - (\partial_{\perp} K_{ij})_{ADM} = & -\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\perp} K_{ij} - \alpha D_{(i} \mathcal{C}_{j)} \\ & - \kappa \alpha g_{ij} \mathcal{C}_{\perp} / 2 . \end{aligned} \quad (11b)$$

As expected, the difference is proportional to the constraints (9).

The constraint evolution system for the 3+1 generalized harmonic equations is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} \mathcal{C}_{\perp} = & -\alpha K \mathcal{C}_{\perp} + \alpha \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{C}^i D_i \alpha - \alpha D_i \mathcal{C}^i \\ & - 2\kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}_{\perp} , \end{aligned} \quad (12a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} \mathcal{C}_i = & \mathcal{C}_{\perp} D_i \alpha - \alpha D_i \mathcal{C}_{\perp} - 2\alpha \mathcal{M}_i - 2\alpha K_{ij} \mathcal{C}^j \\ & - \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}_i , \end{aligned} \quad (12b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} \mathcal{H} = & -2\alpha \pi \mathcal{H} + 2\alpha R \mathcal{C}_{\perp} - 4\mathcal{M}_i D^i \alpha \\ & - 2\alpha D^i \mathcal{M}_i + 2\alpha (K^{ij} - K g^{ij}) D_i \mathcal{C}_j \\ & - 2\kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}_{\perp} - 32\pi G \alpha \rho \mathcal{C}_{\perp} , \end{aligned} \quad (12c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp} \mathcal{M}_i = & -\mathcal{H} D_i \alpha + (K \delta_i^j - K_i^j) D_j (\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\perp}) - \frac{1}{2} \alpha D_i \mathcal{H} \\ & - \alpha \pi \mathcal{M}_i + D^j \alpha D_{[i} \mathcal{C}_{j]} + D_i (\alpha D_j \mathcal{C}^j) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \alpha R_{ij} \mathcal{C}^j - \alpha D^j D_j \mathcal{C}_i \\ & + \kappa D_i (\alpha \mathcal{C}_{\perp}) - 8\pi G \alpha j_i \mathcal{C}_{\perp} . \end{aligned} \quad (12d)$$

These results are found from the evolution equations (8) and (6) applied to the definitions (9).

The GH equations are symmetric hyperbolic. This can be shown by considering the second-order system (2a), or the fully first order system of Refs. [4, 5]. Gundlach and Martín–García [24] have given a definition of symmetric hyperbolicity that applies to quasilinear systems of partial differential equations with first-order time and second-order space derivatives. We can apply their definition to the 3+1 GH equations (8).

To begin, we assume that the matter fields are not derivatively coupled to gravity; that is, the matter Lagrangian does not contain derivatives of the metric. Then the matter variables ρ , j^i , and s_{ij} do not contain derivatives of the gravitational variables g_{ij} , K_{ij} , α , π , β^i , and ρ^i . We also assume, as discussed in Sec. 2, that the gauge sources H^i and H_{\perp} are directly specified in terms of the spacetime coordinates and the metric variables g_{ij} , α , and β^i , not on their derivatives.

The analysis can be described as follows. In effect, we assign weight 0 to the metric variables and weight 1 to the “velocities” K_{ij} , π , and ρ^i . One unit of weight is added for each derivative. We introduce the weight 1 variables

$$g_{mij} \equiv \partial_m g_{ij} , \quad (13a)$$

$$\alpha_i \equiv \partial_i \alpha , \quad (13b)$$

$$\beta_{ij} \equiv (\partial_i \beta^k) g_{kj} , \quad (13c)$$

defined as derivatives of the weight 0 variables, and compute their equations of motion by differentiating

Eqs. (8a), (8c) and (8d). Note that $\partial_i \alpha_j$, $\partial_i g_{jkl}$, and $\partial_i(\beta_{jk} g^{kl})$ are symmetric in i and j . The principal parts of the GH equations (8) are constructed from the highest weight terms in the equations of motion for the weight 1 variables; these are

$$\check{\partial}_t g_{mij} \cong 2\partial_m \beta_{(ij)} - 2\alpha \partial_m K_{ij} \quad (14a)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t K_{ij} \cong -\frac{1}{2}\alpha g^{mn} \partial_m g_{nij} + \alpha \partial_{(i} \rho_{j)} - \partial_i \alpha_j \quad (14b)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \alpha_i \cong \alpha^2 \partial_i \pi \quad (14c)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \pi \cong g^{ij} \partial_i \alpha_j \quad (14d)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \beta_{ij} \cong \alpha^2 \partial_i \rho_j - \alpha \partial_i \alpha_j \quad (14e)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \rho_i \cong \alpha \partial_i \pi + g^{jk} \partial_j \beta_{ki} , \quad (14f)$$

where \cong denotes equality apart from lower weight terms. Here we have defined the operator $\check{\partial}_t \equiv \partial_t - \beta^k \partial_k$.

We now define the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = M^{ijkl} & \left[\frac{1}{4} g^{mn} g_{mij} g_{nkl} \right. \\ & \left. + (K_{ij} - \beta_{(ij)}/\alpha)(K_{kl} - \beta_{(kl)}/\alpha) \right] \\ & + M^{ij} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha^2} g^{kl} \beta_{ki} \beta_{lj} \right. \\ & \left. + (\rho_i - \alpha_i/\alpha)(\rho_j - \alpha_j/\alpha) \right] \\ & + M \left[(\pi)^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} g^{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j \right] \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

where the tensors M^{ijkl} , M^{ij} and M (not related to one another) are positive definite. Direct calculation using Eqs. (14) shows that the time derivative of ε has a principal part that can be written as the gradient of a vector ϕ^i ; that is, $\partial_t \varepsilon \cong \partial_i \phi^i$. This shows that ε is a quadratic,

positive-definite energy density with flux ϕ^i . It follows from the theorems of Gundlach and Martín-García [24] that the system (8) is symmetric hyperbolic.

IV. GH EQUATIONS IN CONFORMAL VARIABLES

In 3+1 form the GH variables are g_{ij} , K_{ij} , α , π , β^i , ρ^i . Introduce a time-independent spatial density of weight 2, denoted $\bar{\gamma}$. As this notation suggests, $\bar{\gamma}$ can be chosen as the determinant of a background metric $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$, and this same background metric can be used to define the background connection $\tilde{\Gamma}^k_{ij}$. Now consider the conformal variables $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$, \tilde{A}_{ij} , φ , K , $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$, α , π , and β^i defined by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = (\bar{\gamma}/g)^{1/3} g_{ij} , \quad (16a)$$

$$\tilde{A}_{ij} = (\bar{\gamma}/g)^{1/3} \left[K_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} g_{ij} K \right] , \quad (16b)$$

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{12} \ln(g/\bar{\gamma}) , \quad (16c)$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^i = (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} \rho^i + \frac{1}{6} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{-2/3} D^i (g/\bar{\gamma}) . \quad (16d)$$

Note that the determinant of $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$ is $\bar{\gamma}$ and the trace of \tilde{A}_{ij} vanishes. The inverse relations are

$$g_{ij} = e^{4\varphi} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} , \quad (17a)$$

$$K_{ij} = e^{4\varphi} \left(\tilde{A}_{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} K \right) , \quad (17b)$$

$$\rho^i = e^{-4\varphi} \tilde{\Lambda}^i - 2e^{-4\varphi} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j \varphi . \quad (17c)$$

Indices on the new variables \tilde{A}_{ij} and $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$ are raised and lowered with the conformal metric $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$.

In terms of the conformal variables, the GH equations (8) are

$$\partial_\perp \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = -\frac{2}{3} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \bar{D}_k \beta^k - 2\alpha \tilde{A}_{ij} , \quad (18a)$$

$$\partial_\perp \varphi = \frac{1}{6} \bar{D}_k \beta^k - \frac{1}{6} \alpha K , \quad (18b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp K = \alpha \tilde{A}_{ij} \tilde{A}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} \alpha K^2 - e^{-4\varphi} & \left[\bar{D}^2 \alpha + 2\bar{D}^i \varphi \bar{D}_i \alpha \right] + \alpha \left(\mathcal{H} - KC_\perp - \bar{D}_i \mathcal{C}^i - 6\mathcal{C}^i \partial_i \varphi \right) \\ & - 3\alpha \kappa \mathcal{C}_\perp / 2 + 4\pi G \alpha (\rho + s) , \quad (18c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp \tilde{A}_{ij} = e^{-4\varphi} & \left[\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{ij} - 2\alpha \tilde{D}_i \tilde{D}_j \varphi + 4\alpha \tilde{D}_i \varphi \tilde{D}_j \varphi - \tilde{D}_i \tilde{D}_j \alpha + 4\tilde{D}_{(i} \alpha \tilde{D}_{j)} \varphi - 8\pi G \alpha s_{ij} \right]^{TF} \\ & - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{A}_{ij} \bar{D}_k \beta^k - 2\alpha \tilde{A}_{ik} \tilde{A}_j^k + \alpha K \tilde{A}_{ij} - \alpha \mathcal{C}_\perp \tilde{A}_{ij} + \alpha e^{-4\varphi} \left[4\mathcal{C}_{(i} \tilde{D}_{j)} \varphi - \mathcal{C}_k \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}_{(ij)}^k \right]^{TF} , \quad (18d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp \tilde{\Lambda}^i = \tilde{\gamma}^{kl} \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l \beta^i + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\Lambda}^i \bar{D}_k \beta^k + \frac{1}{3} \bar{D}^i (\bar{D}_k \beta^k) - 2\tilde{A}^{ik} \partial_k \alpha + 2\alpha \tilde{A}^{kl} \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}_{kl}^i + 12\alpha \tilde{A}^{ik} \partial_k \varphi \\ - \frac{4}{3} \alpha \tilde{D}^i K + \alpha \tilde{D}^i \mathcal{C}_\perp + \frac{2}{3} \alpha e^{4\varphi} K \mathcal{C}^i + \kappa \alpha e^{4\varphi} \mathcal{C}^i - 16\pi G \alpha e^{4\varphi} j^i , \quad (18e) \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_\perp \alpha = \alpha^2 \pi - \alpha^2 H_\perp , \quad (18f)$$

$$\partial_t \beta^i = \beta^j \bar{D}_j \beta^i + \alpha^2 H^i + \alpha^2 e^{-4\varphi} \left[\tilde{\Lambda}^i - 2\tilde{D}^i \varphi - \tilde{D}^i \alpha / \alpha \right], \quad (18g)$$

$$\partial_\perp \pi = -\alpha \tilde{A}_{ij} \tilde{A}^{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \alpha K^2 + e^{-4\varphi} \left(\tilde{D}^2 \alpha + 2\tilde{D}^i \varphi \tilde{D}_i \alpha \right) + \mathcal{C}^i \tilde{D}_i \alpha - \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}_\perp / 2 - 4\pi G \alpha (\rho + s), \quad (18h)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{ij} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{k\ell} \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_\ell \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} + \tilde{\gamma}^{k\ell} [\Delta \tilde{\Gamma}^m_{k\ell} \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}_{(ij)m} + 2\Delta \tilde{\Gamma}^m_{k(i} \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}_{j)ml} + \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}^m_{ik} \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}_{mj\ell}] + \tilde{\gamma}_{k(i} \bar{D}_{j)} \tilde{\Lambda}^k. \quad (19)$$

We have also defined

$$\Delta \tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk} = \tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk} - \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} \quad (20)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ are the Christoffel symbols built from the conformal metric.

In terms of conformal variables, the constraints (9) are

$$\mathcal{C}_\perp = \pi + K, \quad (21a)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_i = -\tilde{\Lambda}_i + \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk} \tilde{\gamma}^{jk}, \quad (21b)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{2}{3} K^2 - \tilde{A}_{ij} \tilde{A}^{ij} - 16\pi G \rho + e^{-4\varphi} [\tilde{R} - 8\tilde{D}^i \varphi \tilde{D}_i \varphi - 8\tilde{D}^2 \varphi], \quad (21c)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_i = \tilde{D}_j \tilde{A}_i^j - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{D}_i K + 6\tilde{A}_i^j \tilde{D}_j \varphi - 8\pi G j_i, \quad (21d)$$

where \tilde{R} is the Ricci scalar built from the conformal metric $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$. One must remember that the indices on \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{M}_i are raised and lowered with the physical metric g_{ij} . Thus, for example, $\mathcal{C}^i = e^{-4\varphi} (-\tilde{\Lambda}^i + \Delta \tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk} \tilde{\gamma}^{jk})$.

The system (18) is, of course, symmetric hyperbolic as long as H_\perp and H_i do not depend on the weight 1 variables (K , \tilde{A}_{ij} , $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$, π) or derivatives of the weight 0 variables ($\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$, φ , α , β^i). We can confirm this by defining

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{mij} \equiv \partial_m \tilde{\gamma}_{ij}, \quad (22a)$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_{ij} \equiv (\partial_i \beta^k) \tilde{\gamma}_{kj}, \quad (22b)$$

$$\alpha_i \equiv \partial_i \alpha, \quad (22c)$$

$$\varphi_i \equiv \partial_i \varphi, \quad (22d)$$

and computing the principal parts of the evolutions equations for the weight 1 variables:

$$\check{\partial}_t \tilde{\gamma}_{mij} \cong 2\partial_m \tilde{\beta}_{(ij)} - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \tilde{\gamma}^{kl} \partial_m \tilde{\beta}_{kl} - 2\alpha \partial_m \tilde{A}_{ij}, \quad (23a)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \varphi_m \cong \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_m \tilde{\beta}_{ij} - \frac{1}{6} \alpha \partial_m K, \quad (23b)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t K \cong \alpha e^{-4\varphi} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \left[\partial_i \tilde{\Lambda}_j - 8\partial_i \varphi_j - \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_i \alpha_j \right], \quad (23c)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \tilde{A}_{ij} \cong -\alpha e^{-4\varphi} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{kl} \partial_k \tilde{\gamma}_{\ell ij} + \alpha e^{-4\varphi} \left[\partial_i \tilde{\Lambda}_j - 2\partial_i \varphi_j - \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_i \alpha_j \right]^{TF}, \quad (23d)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \tilde{\Lambda}_i \cong \tilde{\gamma}^{kl} \partial_k \tilde{\beta}_{\ell i} + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\gamma}^{kl} \partial_i \tilde{\beta}_{kl} - \frac{1}{3} \alpha \partial_i \tilde{K} + \alpha \partial_i \pi, \quad (23e)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \alpha_i \cong \alpha^2 \partial_i \pi, \quad (23f)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \tilde{\beta}_{ij} \cong \alpha^2 e^{-4\varphi} \left[\partial_i \tilde{\Lambda}_j - 2\partial_i \varphi_j - \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_i \alpha_j \right], \quad (23g)$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \pi \cong e^{-4\varphi} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_i \alpha_j. \quad (23h)$$

One can show by explicit calculation that the positive definite energy density

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = & M^{ijkl} e^{4\varphi} \left[\frac{1}{4} \tilde{\gamma}^{mn} (\tilde{\gamma}_{mij} + 4\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \varphi_m) (\tilde{\gamma}_{nkl} + 4\tilde{\gamma}_{kl} \varphi_n) + e^{4\varphi} (\tilde{A}_{ij} + \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} K/3 - \tilde{\beta}_{(ij)}/\alpha) (\tilde{A}_{kl} + \tilde{\gamma}_{kl} K/3 - \tilde{\beta}_{(kl)}/\alpha) \right] \\ & + M^{ij} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{4\varphi} \tilde{\gamma}^{kl} \tilde{\beta}_{ki} \tilde{\beta}_{\ell j} + (\tilde{\Lambda}_i - 2\varphi_i - \alpha_i/\alpha) (\tilde{\Lambda}_j - 2\varphi_j - \alpha_j/\alpha) \right] \\ & + M \left[(\pi)^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} e^{-4\varphi} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j \right] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

satisfies the conservation equation $\partial_t \varepsilon \cong \partial_i \phi^i$.

V. COMPARISON WITH BSSN AND THE MOVING PUNCTURE GAUGE

The BSSN equations in covariant form are [25]

$$\partial_{\perp}\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = -\frac{2}{3}\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}\bar{D}_k\beta^k - 2\alpha\tilde{A}_{ij} , \quad (25a)$$

$$\partial_{\perp}\varphi = \frac{1}{6}\bar{D}_k\beta^k - \frac{1}{6}\alpha K , \quad (25b)$$

$$\partial_{\perp}K = \alpha\tilde{A}_{ij}\tilde{A}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3}\alpha K^2 - e^{-4\varphi} \left[\tilde{D}^2\alpha + 2\tilde{D}^i\varphi\tilde{D}_i\alpha \right] + 4\pi G\alpha(\rho + s) , \quad (25c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp}\tilde{A}_{ij} = e^{-4\varphi} & \left[\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{ij} - 2\alpha\tilde{D}_i\tilde{D}_j\varphi + 4\alpha\tilde{D}_i\varphi\tilde{D}_j\varphi - \tilde{D}_i\tilde{D}_j\alpha + 4\tilde{D}_{(i}\alpha\tilde{D}_{j)}\varphi - 8\pi G\alpha s_{ij} \right]^{TF} \\ & - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{A}_{ij}\bar{D}_k\beta^k - 2\alpha\tilde{A}_{ik}\tilde{A}_j^k + \alpha K\tilde{A}_{ij} , \end{aligned} \quad (25d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\perp}\tilde{\Lambda}^i = & -\tilde{\gamma}^{jk}\mathcal{C}_j\bar{D}_k\beta^i + \tilde{\gamma}^{kl}\bar{D}_k\bar{D}_l\beta^i + \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\gamma}^{jk}\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^i{}_{jk}\bar{D}_l\beta^l + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{D}^i(\bar{D}_k\beta^k) - 2\tilde{A}^{ik}\partial_k\alpha \\ & + 2\alpha\tilde{A}^{kl}\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^i{}_{kl} + 12\alpha\tilde{A}^{ik}\partial_k\varphi - \frac{4}{3}\alpha\tilde{D}^iK - 16\pi G\alpha e^{4\varphi}j^i . \end{aligned} \quad (25e)$$

The variables $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$ are the ‘‘conformal connection functions’’. If the background is flat and the coordinates are interpreted as Cartesian, then the background connection vanishes, $\bar{\Gamma}^i{}_{jk} = 0$. (We also have $\bar{D}_i = \partial_i$.) In this case it is common to use the notation $\tilde{\Gamma}^i \equiv \tilde{\Gamma}^i{}_{jk}\tilde{\gamma}^{jk}$ for these variables rather than $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$. Also observe that the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (25e), $-\tilde{\gamma}^{jk}\mathcal{C}_j\bar{D}_k\beta^i = \tilde{\Lambda}^j\bar{D}_j\beta^i - \tilde{\gamma}^{kl}\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}^j{}_{kl}\bar{D}_j\beta^i$, and the Lie derivative term on the left-hand side, $-\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\tilde{\Lambda}^i = -\beta^j\bar{D}_j\tilde{\Lambda}^i + \tilde{\Lambda}^j\bar{D}_j\beta^i$, combine to insure that only derivatives of $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$, and not $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$ itself, appear in Eq. (25e). This rule is discussed in Ref. [10] and is followed by most numerical relativity groups who use the BSSN system.

The BSSN equations are usually accompanied by the moving puncture gauge conditions,

$$\partial_t\alpha = \beta^i\partial_i\alpha - 2\alpha K , \quad (26a)$$

$$\partial_t\beta^i = \beta^j\bar{D}_j\beta^i + \frac{3}{4}\tilde{\Lambda}^i - \eta\beta^i , \quad (26b)$$

where η is a parameter, independent of the field variables. Eqs. (26a) and (26b) are the 1+log slicing [9] and the gamma-driver shift conditions, respectively. The gamma-driver shift is often written as a system of first-order equations for the shift vector β^i and an auxiliary field B^i [10]. As shown in Ref. [26], these equations can be integrated to yield the single equation (26b) for β^i .

By explicitly comparing the GH equations in conformal variables, Eqs. (18), with the BSSN equations (25), we find

$$(\partial_t\tilde{\gamma}_{ij})_{GH} - (\partial_t\tilde{\gamma}_{ij})_{BSSN} = 0 , \quad (27a)$$

$$(\partial_t\varphi)_{GH} - (\partial_t\varphi)_{BSSN} = 0 , \quad (27b)$$

$$(\partial_tK)_{GH} - (\partial_tK)_{BSSN} = \alpha(\mathcal{H} - KC_{\perp} - \tilde{D}_i\mathcal{C}^i - 6\mathcal{C}^i\partial_i\varphi) - 3\alpha\kappa\mathcal{C}_{\perp}/2 , \quad (27c)$$

$$(\partial_t\tilde{A}_{ij})_{GH} - (\partial_t\tilde{A}_{ij})_{BSSN} = -\alpha\mathcal{C}_{\perp}\tilde{A}_{ij} + \alpha e^{-4\varphi} \left[4\mathcal{C}_{(i}\tilde{D}_{j)}\varphi - \mathcal{C}_k\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}_{(ij)}^k \right]^{TF} , \quad (27d)$$

$$(\partial_t\tilde{\Lambda}^i)_{GH} - (\partial_t\tilde{\Lambda}^i)_{BSSN} = \tilde{\gamma}^{jk}\mathcal{C}_j\bar{D}_k\beta^i - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\gamma}^{ij}\mathcal{C}_j\bar{D}_k\beta^k + \alpha\tilde{D}^i\mathcal{C}_{\perp} + 2\alpha K\tilde{\gamma}^{ij}\mathcal{C}_j/3 + \kappa\alpha\tilde{\gamma}^{ij}\mathcal{C}_j . \quad (27e)$$

As expected, the differences between GH and BSSN are proportional to the constraints. Note that the terms proportional to \mathcal{C}_{\perp} simply exchange π for $-K$; likewise, the terms proportional to \mathcal{C}_i simply exchange $\tilde{\Lambda}^i$ for $\Delta\tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk}\tilde{\gamma}^{jk}$. Also observe that only a few of the terms on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (27) contribute to the

principal parts of the equations. In particular, we have

$$(\partial_tK)_{GH} - (\partial_tK)_{BSSN} \cong \alpha(\mathcal{H} - \tilde{D}_i\mathcal{C}^i) \quad (28a)$$

$$(\partial_t\tilde{\Lambda}^i)_{GH} - (\partial_t\tilde{\Lambda}^i)_{BSSN} \cong \alpha\tilde{D}^i\mathcal{C}_{\perp} . \quad (28b)$$

The principal parts of the GH and BSSN equations for $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}$, φ , and \tilde{A}_{ij} coincide.

The results (27) provide a simple prescription for converting a BSSN code into a GH code. First, add the

terms on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (27) to the BSSN equations of motion. Next, add the equation of motion (18h) for π . Finally, modify the evolution equations for α and β^i so that they take the form of Eqs. (18f) and (18g).

With an appropriate choice of the gauge sources H_\perp and H_i , we can adopt moving puncture gauge conditions within the generalized harmonic formalism.¹ In terms of conformal variables, we need

$$H_\perp = \pi + 2K/\alpha, \quad (29a)$$

$$H^i = e^{-4\varphi} \left(-\tilde{\Lambda}^i + 2\tilde{D}^i\varphi + \tilde{D}^i\alpha/\alpha \right) + \frac{3}{4\alpha^2}\tilde{\Lambda}^i - \frac{\eta}{\alpha^2}\beta^i, \quad (29b)$$

so that the GH equations (18f) and (18g) coincide with the moving puncture equations (26). In terms of the original 3+1 variables, we have

$$H_\perp = \pi + 2K/\alpha, \quad (30a)$$

$$H^i = \frac{3}{4\alpha^2} \left[(g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}\rho^i + \frac{1}{6}(g/\bar{\gamma})^{-2/3}D^i(g/\bar{\gamma}) \right] - \rho^i + D^i\alpha/\alpha - \frac{\eta}{\alpha^2}\beta^i, \quad (30b)$$

and the moving puncture gauge conditions read

$$\partial_t\alpha = \beta^i\partial_i\alpha - 2\alpha K, \quad (31a)$$

$$\partial_t\beta^i = \beta^j\bar{D}_j\beta^i - \eta\beta^i + \frac{3}{4} \left[(g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}\rho^i + \frac{1}{6}(g/\bar{\gamma})^{-2/3}D^i(g/\bar{\gamma}) \right]. \quad (31b)$$

With the moving puncture gauge, the H 's depend on weight 1 variables and derivatives of weight 0 variables. This spoils the symmetric hyperbolicity of the system. In Appendix B we analyze the GH equations with moving puncture gauge conditions and show that they are strongly hyperbolic as long as the condition $2\alpha \neq (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}$ is met. Note that one can use the constraint $\mathcal{C}^i = 0$ to exchange ρ^i for $\Delta\Gamma^i_{jk}g^{jk}$ in Eq. (31b). This does not affect the hyperbolicity of the system.

VI. SUMMARY

The generalized harmonic equations have been written in 3+1 form using as independent variables the spatial metric g_{ij} , extrinsic curvature K_{ij} , lapse function α and shift vector β^i , as well as fields π and ρ^i related to the time derivatives of α and β^i . The resulting set of evolution equations (8) and constraints (9) are a concise and elegant formulation of general relativity. The GH evolution system is symmetric hyperbolic with the conserved, positive definite energy density displayed in Eq. (15).

The 3+1 GH equations are written in terms of conformal variables in Eqs. (18) and (21). This allows for a direct comparison with the BSSN formulation of Einstein's theory, and provides a simple prescription for converting a BSSN code into a GH code. The moving puncture gauge conditions cannot be used with the GH equations without spoiling symmetric hyperbolicity. Nevertheless, the GH system with moving puncture gauge has the same level of hyperbolicity as the BSSN system with moving puncture gauge.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NSF Grant PHY-0758116 to North Carolina State University. I would like to thank Peter Diener, Carlos Lousto and Jeffrey Winicour for helpful questions and comments.

Appendix A: 3+1 splitting

In this appendix we derive the equations of motion (8) and the constraints (9) by carrying out a 3+1 splitting of the spacetime generalized harmonic equations (2).

Let $n_\mu = -\alpha\delta_\mu^t$ denote the covariant normal to the spacelike hypersurfaces $t = \text{const}$; the contravariant form is $n^\mu = (\delta_\mu^t - \beta^i\delta_\mu^i)/\alpha$. Also introduce the operator $X_i^\mu = \delta_i^\mu$ that projects spacetime covectors into spatial covectors. The covariant form of this operator is $X_\mu^i = \delta_\mu^i + \beta^i\delta_\mu^t$; it satisfies $X_\mu^i X_j^\mu = \delta_j^i$ and $X_\mu^i n^\mu = 0$.

The spacetime metric is written in terms of the normal, spatial projection operator, and spatial metric as

$${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu} = g_{ij}X_\mu^i X_\nu^j - n_\mu n_\nu \quad (A1)$$

Spacetime indices μ, ν , *etc.* are always raised and lowered with the spacetime metric ${}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$ and its inverse ${}^{(4)}g^{\mu\nu}$, while spatial indices i, j , *etc.* are always raised and lowered with the spatial metric g_{ij} and its inverse g^{ij} .

The spacetime Christoffel symbols can be written in terms of 3+1 quantities as

$$n_\mu {}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} n^\sigma n^\rho = -(\partial_\perp\alpha)/\alpha^2, \quad (A2a)$$

$$X_\mu^i {}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} n^\sigma n^\rho = (\partial_t\beta^i - \beta^j\partial_j\beta^i)/\alpha^2 + (D^i\alpha)/\alpha, \quad (A2b)$$

$$n_\mu {}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} X_i^\sigma X_j^\rho = K_{ij}, \quad (A2c)$$

$$X_\mu^i {}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} X_j^\sigma X_k^\rho = \Gamma^i_{jk}, \quad (A2d)$$

$$n_\mu {}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} n^\sigma X_i^\rho = -(\partial_i\alpha)/\alpha, \quad (A2e)$$

$$X_\mu^i {}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} n^\sigma X_j^\rho = -K_j^i + (\partial_j\beta^i)/\alpha, \quad (A2f)$$

where $\partial_\perp \equiv \partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta$ is the time derivative operator used in the main text. The results (A2) are obtained by computing the normal and tangential projections of derivatives of the spacetime metric, $\partial_\sigma {}^{(4)}g_{\mu\nu}$, and using the definition of the Christoffel symbols. Also note that we have

¹ Moving puncture gauge conditions for the Z4 formulation of general relativity have been discussed in Refs. [16, 17, 27].

used the relation $\partial_\perp g_{ij} \equiv -2\alpha K_{ij}$ that defines the extrinsic curvature. This is the equation of motion (8a) for the spatial metric.

We will also need the splitting of the Ricci tensor,

$${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu}n^\mu n^\nu = (\partial_\perp K + D_i D^i \alpha)/\alpha - K_{ij} K^{ij}, \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu}X_i^\mu X_j^\nu = R_{ij} + K K_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K_j^k - (\partial_\perp K_{ij})/\alpha - (D_i D_j \alpha)/\alpha, \quad (\text{A3b})$$

$${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu}X_i^\mu n^\nu = -D_j K_i^j + D_i K, \quad (\text{A3c})$$

and the curvature scalar:

$${}^{(4)}R = R + K_{ij} K^{ij} + K^2 - 2(\partial_\perp K)/\alpha - 2(D_i D^i \alpha)/\alpha. \quad (\text{A4})$$

These results can be obtained from the definition of the Riemann tensor in terms of covariant derivatives, ${}^{(4)}R_{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}V^\rho = \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu V_\sigma - \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu V_\sigma$, or from the definition of Riemann in terms of Christoffel symbols and the results (A2).

The GH constraint $\mathcal{C}_\mu \equiv H_\mu + ({}^{(4)}\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\rho} - ({}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^\mu_{\sigma\rho})g^{\sigma\rho}$ must be split into a normal component, $\mathcal{C}_\perp \equiv \mathcal{C}_\mu n^\mu$, and a tangential component, $\mathcal{C}_i \equiv \mathcal{C}_\mu X_i^\mu$. These calculations depend on the 3+1 splitting of the background connection

$\bar{\Gamma}^\mu_{\sigma\rho}$. Let us assume that the background connection is constructed from a background metric ${}^{(4)}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. This metric can be split with respect to the $t = \text{const}$ hypersurfaces into the 3+1 quantities \bar{g}_{ij} , $\bar{\alpha}$, and $\bar{\beta}^i$. The results (A2), applied to the background geometry, can be rearranged to give the components of the background connection:

$${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^t_{tt} = (\partial_t \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}^j \partial_j \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}^i \bar{\beta}^j \bar{K}_{ij})/\bar{\alpha}, \quad (\text{A5a})$$

$${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^t_{ti} = (\partial_i \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}^j \bar{K}_{ij})/\bar{\alpha}, \quad (\text{A5b})$$

$${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^t_{ij} = -\bar{K}_{ij}/\bar{\alpha}, \quad (\text{A5c})$$

$${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{tt} = \bar{\alpha} \bar{D}^i \bar{\alpha} - 2\bar{\alpha} \bar{\beta}^j \bar{K}_{jk} \bar{g}^{ki} - \bar{\beta}^i (\partial_t \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}^j \partial_j \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}^j \bar{\beta}^k \bar{K}_{jk})/\bar{\alpha} + \partial_t \bar{\beta}^i + \bar{\beta}^j \bar{D}_j \bar{\beta}^i, \quad (\text{A5d})$$

$${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jt} = -\bar{\beta}^i (\partial_j \bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta}^k \bar{K}_{kj})/\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\alpha} \bar{K}_{jk} \bar{g}^{ki} + \bar{D}_j \bar{\beta}^i, \quad (\text{A5e})$$

$${}^{(4)}\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij} = \bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij} + \bar{\beta}^k \bar{K}_{ij}/\bar{\alpha}. \quad (\text{A5f})$$

Here, the background extrinsic curvature is defined by $(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\beta}})\bar{g}_{ij} \equiv -2\bar{\alpha}\bar{K}_{ij}$.

The calculations for the normal and tangential components of the constraint yield

$$\mathcal{C}_\perp = H_\perp + K + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \partial_\perp \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} g^{ij} \bar{K}_{ij} - \frac{1}{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\beta}}) \bar{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \Delta \beta^i \Delta \beta^j \bar{K}_{ij} + \frac{2}{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} \Delta \beta^i \partial_i \bar{\alpha}, \quad (\text{A6a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_i = & H_i + g_{ij} g^{kl} \Delta \Gamma^j_{kl} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_i \alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} g_{ij} (\partial_t \beta^j - \beta^k \bar{D}_k \beta^j) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha^2} g_{ij} \bar{g}^{kl} \partial_k \bar{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} g_{ij} (\partial_t \bar{\beta}^j - \bar{\beta}^k \bar{D}_k \bar{\beta}^j) \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \bar{\alpha}} g_{ij} \Delta \beta^j [\partial_t \bar{\alpha} - (2\beta^k - \bar{\beta}^k) \partial_k \bar{\alpha} + \alpha^2 g^{kl} \bar{K}_{kl}] - \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \bar{\alpha}} g_{ij} \Delta \beta^k [\Delta \beta^j \Delta \beta^\ell - 2\bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{g}^{j\ell}] \bar{K}_{kl}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6b})$$

Here we have defined $H_\perp \equiv H^\mu n_\mu$ and $\Delta \beta^i \equiv \beta^i - \bar{\beta}^i$.

Note that each term in Eq. (A6a) is a spatial scalar, and each term in Eq. (A6b) is a spatial covector. In these equations we can absorb terms that depend on the physical tensors g_{ij} , α , β^i , the background tensors \bar{g}_{ij} , $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\beta}^i$, and derivatives of these background tensors into H_\perp and H_i . We cannot absorb terms that depend on derivatives of g_{ij} , α or β^i because this would change the hyperbolicity of the GH system. Thus, we have the following results:

$$\mathcal{C}_\perp = H_\perp + K + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \partial_\perp \alpha, \quad (\text{A7a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_i = & H_i + \Delta \Gamma_{ijk} g^{jk} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_i \alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{\alpha^2} g_{ij} (\partial_t \beta^j - \beta^k \bar{D}_k \beta^j). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7b})$$

Let us define

$$\pi \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \partial_\perp \alpha + H_\perp, \quad (\text{A8a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i \equiv & \frac{1}{\alpha^2} g_{ij} (\partial_t \beta^j - \beta^k \bar{D}_k \beta^j) \\ & + \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_i \alpha - H_i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8b})$$

When rearranged, these definitions become the equations of motion (8c) and (8d) for α and β^i . The constraints become

$$\mathcal{C}_\perp = \pi + K, \quad (\text{A9a})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_i = -\rho_i + \Delta \Gamma_{ijk} g^{jk}, \quad (\text{A9b})$$

which are Eqs. (9a) and (9b) from the main text.

Our next task is to split the terms $\nabla_{(\mu} \mathcal{C}_{\nu)}$. The normal

and tangential projections are

$$n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_{(\mu} \mathcal{C}_{\nu)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\partial_\perp \mathcal{C}_\perp - \mathcal{C}^i \partial_i \alpha), \quad (\text{A10a})$$

$$X_i^\mu X_j^\nu \nabla_{(\mu} \mathcal{C}_{\nu)} = D_{(i} \mathcal{C}_{j)} + K_{ij} \mathcal{C}_\perp, \quad (\text{A10b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} n^\mu X_i^\nu \nabla_{(\mu} \mathcal{C}_{\nu)} &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} (\partial_\perp \mathcal{C}_i - \mathcal{C}_\perp \partial_i \alpha) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \mathcal{C}_\perp + K_{ij} \mathcal{C}^j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10c})$$

The projections of the spacetime GH equation (2a) are obtained from the Eqs. (A3), (A9) and (A10) above. The result for the normal-normal projection is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp \pi &= -\alpha K_{ij} K^{ij} + D_i D^i \alpha + \mathcal{C}^i D_i \alpha \\ &\quad - \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}_\perp / 2 - 4\pi G \alpha (\rho + s), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

which is Eq. (8e) from the main text. The tangential-tangential projection yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp K_{ij} &= \alpha [R_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K_j^k - \pi K_{ij}] - D_i D_j \alpha \\ &\quad - \alpha D_{(i} \mathcal{C}_{j)} - \kappa \alpha g_{ij} \mathcal{C}_\perp / 2 \\ &\quad - 8\pi G \alpha [s_{ij} - g_{ij}(s - \rho) / 2], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

which is Eq. (8b).

The normal-tangential projection of the spacetime GH equation leads to the result

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp \rho^i &= g^{k\ell} \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_\ell \Delta \beta^i + \alpha D^i \pi - \pi D^i \alpha - 2K^{ij} D_j \alpha \\ &\quad + 2\alpha K^{jk} \Delta \Gamma_{jk}^i + \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}^i - 16\pi G \alpha j^i \\ &\quad + \bar{g}^{ij} g^{k\ell} [2\bar{D}_k (\bar{\alpha} \bar{K}_{j\ell}) - \bar{D}_j (\bar{\alpha} \bar{K}_{k\ell}) \\ &\quad - \Delta \beta^m \bar{R}_{mk\ell j}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

We now assume the background lapse is unity, $\bar{\alpha} = 1$, and the background shift vanishes, $\bar{\beta}^i = 0$. We also assume that the background spatial metric \bar{g}_{ij} is flat and time independent. These assumptions imply that the background extrinsic curvature \bar{K}_{ij} and background Riemann tensor $\bar{R}_{mk\ell j}$ vanish. Then the normal-tangential projection becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\perp \rho^i &= g^{k\ell} \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_\ell \beta^i + \alpha D^i \pi - \pi D^i \alpha - 2K^{ij} D_j \alpha \\ &\quad + 2\alpha K^{jk} \Delta \Gamma_{jk}^i + \kappa \alpha \mathcal{C}^i - 16\pi G \alpha j^i, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

which is Eq. (8f) from the main text.

The analysis shows that the spacetime GH equations (2) are equivalent to the evolution equations (8) plus the constraints $\mathcal{C}_\perp = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_i = 0$. The constraint evolution system (9) shows that $\mathcal{C}_\perp = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}_i = 0$ will hold for all time if and only if all of the constraint functions \mathcal{C}_\perp , \mathcal{C}_i , \mathcal{H} , and \mathcal{M}_i vanish. It is sufficient to impose these constraints at the initial time; the evolution equations will insure that they continue to hold into the future.

Appendix B: Hyperbolicity of the GH equations with moving puncture gauge

In this section we analyze the hyperbolicity of the generalized harmonic equations with the moving puncture

gauge conditions (31). That is, we consider Eqs. (8) with the gauge sources H_\perp and H_i given by Eqs. (30). Symmetric hyperbolicity is spoiled by the presence of π , K_{ij} , ρ^i and derivatives of g_{ij} and α in the H 's. Nevertheless, the equations form a quasilinear system of partial differential equations with first order time and second order space derivatives. We can apply the pseudo-differential reduction techniques of Refs. [28–30] to check for strong hyperbolicity.

The principal parts of the equations are constructed from the highest weight terms. We identify the ‘‘coordinate variables’’ g_{ij} , α and β^i as weight 0 and the ‘‘velocity variables’’ K_{ij} , π and ρ^i as weight 1. Each derivative adds a unit of weight. The principal parts of the GH equations with moving puncture gauge conditions are

$$\check{\partial}_t g_{ij} \cong 2g_{k(i} \partial_{j)} \beta^k - 2\alpha K_{ij}, \quad (\text{B1a})$$

$$\check{\partial}_t K_{ij} \cong -\frac{\alpha}{2} g^{k\ell} \partial_k \partial_\ell g_{ij} + \alpha \partial_{(i} \rho_{j)} - \partial_i \partial_j \alpha, \quad (\text{B1b})$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \alpha \cong -2\alpha K, \quad (\text{B1c})$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \beta^i \cong \frac{3}{4} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} \left[\rho^i + \frac{1}{6} g^{ij} g^{k\ell} \partial_j g_{k\ell} \right], \quad (\text{B1d})$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \pi \cong g^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \alpha, \quad (\text{B1e})$$

$$\check{\partial}_t \rho^i \cong \alpha g^{ij} \partial_j \pi + g^{jk} \partial_j \partial_k \beta^i, \quad (\text{B1f})$$

where $\check{\partial}_t \equiv \partial_t - \beta^i \partial_i$.

Let n_i denote a covector normalized by the spatial metric: $n_i g^{ij} n_j = 1$. The principal symbol for the system (B1) above is defined by

$$\check{\mu} \hat{g}_{ij} = 2g_{k(i} n_{j)} \hat{\beta}^k - 2\alpha \hat{K}_{ij}, \quad (\text{B2a})$$

$$\check{\mu} \hat{K}_{ij} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \hat{g}_{ij} + \alpha g_{k(i} n_{j)} \hat{\rho}^k - n_i n_j \hat{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B2b})$$

$$\check{\mu} \hat{\alpha} = -2\alpha g^{ij} \hat{K}_{ij}, \quad (\text{B2c})$$

$$\check{\mu} \hat{\beta}^i = \frac{3}{4} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} \left[\hat{\rho}^i + \frac{1}{6} n^i g^{k\ell} \hat{g}_{k\ell} \right], \quad (\text{B2d})$$

$$\check{\mu} \hat{\pi} = \hat{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B2e})$$

$$\check{\mu} \hat{\rho}^i = \alpha n^i \hat{\pi} + \hat{\beta}^i, \quad (\text{B2f})$$

where $\check{\mu} \equiv \mu - \beta^i n_i$. The proper speed (proper distance per unit proper time as measured by observers at rest in the $t = \text{const}$ slices) of a characteristic mode is given by $(\beta^\perp - \mu)/\alpha$. (See, for example, the discussion in Ref. [31]).

Now introduce an orthonormal triad consisting of n_i and unit vectors e_A^i , with $A = 1, 2$. These vectors satisfy $n_i e_A^i = 0$ and $e_A^i g_{ij} e_B^j = \delta_{AB}$. When we project equations (B2) into the triad directions n^i and e_A^i , the principal symbol separates into blocks that have common transformation properties under rotations about the

plane orthogonal to n^i . The scalar block is

$$\mu \hat{g}_{\perp\perp} = 2\hat{\beta}^\perp - 2\alpha \hat{K}_{\perp\perp}, \quad (\text{B3a})$$

$$\mu \hat{g}_{AB} \delta^{AB} = -2\alpha \hat{K}_{AB} \delta^{AB}, \quad (\text{B3b})$$

$$\mu \hat{K}_{\perp\perp} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \hat{g}_{\perp\perp} + \alpha \hat{\rho}^\perp - \hat{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B3c})$$

$$\mu \hat{K}_{AB} \delta^{AB} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \hat{g}_{AB} \delta^{AB}, \quad (\text{B3d})$$

$$\mu \hat{\alpha} = -2\alpha (\hat{K}_{\perp\perp} + \hat{K}_{AB} \delta^{AB}), \quad (\text{B3e})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \hat{\beta}^\perp &= \frac{3}{4} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} \hat{\rho}^\perp \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} (\hat{g}_{\perp\perp} + \hat{g}_{AB} \delta^{AB}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3f})$$

$$\mu \hat{\pi} = \hat{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B3g})$$

$$\mu \hat{\rho}^\perp = \alpha \hat{\pi} + \hat{\beta}^\perp. \quad (\text{B3h})$$

Here and below, the \perp and upper case Latin indices are defined, for example, by $\hat{g}_{\perp\perp} \equiv \hat{g}_{ij} n^i n^j$ and $\hat{g}_{AB} \equiv \hat{g}_{ij} e_A^i e_B^j$. The vector block is

$$\mu \hat{g}_{\perp A} = \hat{\beta}_A - 2\alpha \hat{K}_{\perp A}, \quad (\text{B4a})$$

$$\mu \hat{K}_{\perp A} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \hat{g}_{\perp A} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \hat{\rho}_A, \quad (\text{B4b})$$

$$\mu \hat{\beta}_A = \frac{3}{4} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} \hat{\rho}_A, \quad (\text{B4c})$$

$$\mu \hat{\rho}_A = \hat{\beta}_A. \quad (\text{B4d})$$

The tensor block is

$$\mu \hat{g}_{AB}^{tf} = -2\alpha \hat{K}_{AB}^{tf}, \quad (\text{B5a})$$

$$\mu \hat{K}_{AB}^{tf} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \hat{g}_{AB}^{tf}, \quad (\text{B5b})$$

where the superscript tf indicates that the tensor is trace-free in the two-dimensional surface orthogonal to n_i .

A quasilinear system is strongly hyperbolic if its principal symbol possesses a complete set of eigenvectors with real eigenvalues μ . The tensor block (B5) meets these

criteria with eigenvalues $\mu = \beta^\perp \pm \alpha$. These eigenvalues correspond to proper speeds of ± 1 . The vector block also meets the criteria for strong hyperbolicity with $\mu = \beta^\perp \pm \alpha$ and $\mu = \beta^\perp \pm \sqrt{3(g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}}/2$. The proper speeds for the vector modes are ± 1 and $\pm \sqrt{3(g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}}/(2\alpha)$.

The eigenvalues for the scalar block are $\mu = \beta^\perp \pm \alpha$ (with multiplicity two), $\mu = \beta^\perp \pm \sqrt{2\alpha}$, and $\mu = \beta^\perp \pm (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/6}$. These correspond to proper speeds ± 1 (with multiplicity two), $\pm \sqrt{2/\alpha}$, and $\pm (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/6}/\alpha$. The eigenvectors are complete unless the eigenvalues $\beta^\perp \pm \sqrt{2\alpha}$ and $\beta^\perp \pm (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/6}$ coincide. That is, the scalar block meets the criteria for strong hyperbolicity as long as $2\alpha \neq (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}$.

The GH system with moving puncture gauge conditions is strongly hyperbolic everywhere, except for regions of spacetime in which $2\alpha = (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}$. This restriction on strong hyperbolicity also applies to BSSN with the moving puncture gauge [32]. In fact, the characteristic speeds for GH with moving puncture gauge are precisely the same as for BSSN with moving puncture gauge. It is recognized from studies with the BSSN equations that the condition $2\alpha \neq (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}$ is typically violated in black hole spacetimes on a 2-dimensional surface in space [31, 32]. The breakdown of strong hyperbolicity does not appear to cause problems for finite difference codes. On the other hand, the lack of hyperbolicity can create serious problems for spectral codes that rely on the passing of characteristic information between spatial domains [33].

Recall that the moving puncture gauge conditions (31) can be modified by using the constraint $C^i = 0$ to replace ρ^i with $\Delta \Gamma^i_{jk} g^{jk}$. With this replacement Eq. (B1d) becomes

$$\check{\partial}_t \beta^i \cong \frac{3}{4} (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3} \left[g^{ij} g^{k\ell} \partial_k g_{\ell j} - \frac{1}{3} g^{ij} g^{k\ell} \partial_j g_{k\ell} \right]. \quad (\text{B6})$$

The principal symbol (B2) along with its scalar and vector blocks are modified accordingly. However, the eigenvalues are not changed, and once again the eigenvectors are complete if $2\alpha \neq (g/\bar{\gamma})^{1/3}$.

-
- [1] H. Friedrich, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100**, 525 (1985).
[2] D. Garfinkle, *Phys. Rev.* **D65**, 044029 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0110013.
[3] F. Pretorius, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, S529 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0602115.
[4] K. Alvi, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19**, 5153 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0204068 [gr-qc].
[5] L. Lindblom, M. A. Scheel, L. E. Kidder, R. Owen, and O. Rinne, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, S447 (2006), gr-qc/0512093.
[6] B. Szilagyi, D. Pollney, L. Rezzolla, J. Thornburg, and J. Winicour, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, S275 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0612150 [gr-qc].
[7] M. Shibata and T. Nakamura, *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 5428 (1995).
[8] T. W. Baumgarte and S. L. Shapiro, *Phys. Rev.* **D59**, 024007 (1999), gr-qc/9810065.
[9] C. Bona, J. Masso, E. Seidel, and J. Stela, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 600 (1995), arXiv:gr-qc/9412071 [gr-qc].
[10] M. Alcubierre, B. Bruegmann, P. Diener, M. Koppitz, D. Pollney, E. Seidel, and R. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev.* **D67**, 084023 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0206072 [gr-qc].
[11] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C.W. Misner, in *Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research* edited by L. Witten (Wiley, 1962) 227–265.

- [12] M. Alcubierre, *Introduction to 3+1 Numerical Relativity* (Oxford University Press, 2008).
- [13] T. W. Baumgarte and S. L. Shapiro, *Numerical Relativity: Solving Einstein's Equations on the Computer* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [14] H. Friedrich and A. D. Rendall, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **540**, 127 (2000), arXiv:gr-qc/0002074 [gr-qc].
- [15] P. Mösta, *Puncture evolutions within the harmonic framework*, Ph.D. Thesis, Albert Einstein Institute, Potsdam–Golm, Germany (2008).
- [16] S. Bernuzzi and D. Hilditch, *Phys.Rev.* **D81**, 084003 (2010), arXiv:0912.2920 [gr-qc].
- [17] D. Alic, C. Bona-Casas, C. Bona, L. Rezzolla, and C. Palenzuela, (2011), * Temporary entry *, arXiv:1106.2254 [gr-qc].
- [18] C. Bona, T. Ledvinka, C. Palenzuela, and M. Zacek, *Phys. Rev.* **D67**, 104005 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0302083.
- [19] J. D. Brown, *Phys.Rev.* **D84**, 084014 (2011), arXiv:1008.3177 [gr-qc].
- [20] L. Lindblom, K. D. Matthews, O. Rinne, and M. A. Scheel, *Phys.Rev.* **D77**, 084001 (2008), arXiv:0711.2084 [gr-qc].
- [21] B. Szilagyi, L. Lindblom, and M. A. Scheel, *Phys.Rev.* **D80**, 124010 (2009), arXiv:0909.3557 [gr-qc].
- [22] J.W. York, in *Sources of Gravitational Radiation* edited by L. Smarr (Cambridge, 1979) 83–126.
- [23] L. Smarr and J. W. York, *Phys.Rev.* **D17**, 2529 (1978).
- [24] C. Gundlach and J. M. Martin-Garcia, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, S387 (2006), gr-qc/0506037.
- [25] J. D. Brown, *Phys.Rev.* **D79**, 104029 (2009), arXiv:0902.3652 [gr-qc].
- [26] J. R. van Meter, J. G. Baker, M. Koppitz, and D.-I. Choi, *Phys. Rev.* **D73**, 124011 (2006), gr-qc/0605030.
- [27] C. Bona, C. Bona-Casas, and C. Palenzuela, *Phys.Rev.* **D82**, 124010 (2010), arXiv:1008.0747 [gr-qc].
- [28] H. O. Kreiss and O. E. Ortiz, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **604**, 359 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0106085 [gr-qc].
- [29] G. Nagy, O. E. Ortiz, and O. A. Reula, *Phys. Rev.* **D70**, 044012 (2004), gr-qc/0402123.
- [30] H. O. Kreiss and J. Winicour, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, S405 (2006), gr-qc/0602051.
- [31] J. D. Brown, *Phys.Rev.* **D80**, 084042 (2009), arXiv:0908.3814 [gr-qc].
- [32] H. R. Beyer and O. Sarbach, *Phys.Rev.* **D70**, 104004 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0406003 [gr-qc].
- [33] J.D. Brown, P. Diener, F. Herrmann, L.E. Kidder, A.H. Mroué, O. Sarbach, E. Schnetter and M. Tiglio, in preparation.