



# CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Radiation reaction for nonspinning bodies at $4.5PN$ in the effective field theory approach

Adam K. Leibovich, Brian A. Pardo, and Zixin Yang

Phys. Rev. D **108**, 024017 — Published 10 July 2023

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.108.024017](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.024017)

# Radiation Reaction for Non-Spinning Bodies at 4.5PN in the Effective Field Theory Approach

Adam K. Leibovich,<sup>1</sup> Brian A. Pardo,<sup>1</sup> and Zixin Yang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Pittsburgh Particle Physics Astrophysics and Cosmology Center, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany*

## Abstract

We calculate the 2 post-Newtonian correction to the radiation reaction acceleration for non-spinning binary systems, which amounts to the 4.5 post-Newtonian correction to Newtonian acceleration. The calculation is carried out completely using the effective field theory approach. The center-of-mass corrections to the results are complicated and are discussed in detail. Non-trivial consistency checks are performed and we compare with corresponding results in the literature. Analytic results are supplied in the supplementary materials.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The tremendous success of the LIGO and VIRGO [1, 2] gravitational wave detectors and the plans for future, more sensitive, detectors are creating the need for extremely precise theoretical calculations of binary inspirals. During the early stage of the inspiral, it is possible to calculate perturbatively using the post-Newtonian (PN) expansion, which implements an expansion parameter of  $v^2/c^2$ , where  $v$  is the typical relative velocity of the binary constituents. This perturbative expansion is then matched onto numerical results, which are necessary during the late stages of the inspiral phase, due to the breakdown of the PN expansion. With more accurate theoretical calculations of the inspiral, it is potentially possible to extract large amounts of information from the gravitational waveform.

An effective field theory (EFT) framework named nonrelativistic general relativity (NRGR) [3] has proven to be a useful tool for calculating gravitational wave effects for a binary inspiral. Most of the calculations in the EFT so far have been in the potential sector, with the state of the art being the 4PN results [4, 5], which agree with the results calculated using other methods [6–8]. In the radiation sector, the EFT results have recently been calculated to 2PN [9], as compared to the 3PN results calculated using more traditional methods [10].<sup>1</sup> However, using the EFT result [9], in this paper we will calculate the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) non-spinning radiation-reaction force completely using EFT techniques. This amounts to a 4.5PN correction to the Newtonian acceleration.

Radiation reaction begins at 2.5PN order, first computed by Burke and Thorne [14, 15]. In the EFT approach, the incorporation of radiation reaction was developed in Refs. [16–18] by implementing the classical limit of the “in-in” approach [19, 20] (see also the formalism developed for nonconservative classical systems in Refs. [21, 22]). At 3.5PN order, the radiation-reaction force was first calculated in Refs. [23–31] and subsequently rederived using NRGR in Ref. [18]. The radiation-reaction force was first deduced at 4.5PN by using a flux-balance argument to derive a general form using free gauge parameters [32] consistent with the 2PN energy flux [33]. Recently, the 5PN memory and squared radiation-reaction effects were computed using the EFT approach [34]. The tail effect enters at 4PN order, calculated in Refs. [7, 8, 35] and subsequently in NRGR in Ref. [36–39]. The leading spin-orbit and

---

<sup>1</sup> Recent progress has been made in obtaining the dynamics through 4PN in the spin sector [11–13] from the EFT.

spin-spin effects were calculated in Refs. [40, 41] in traditional methods and in Refs. [42, 43] using the EFT.

In this paper, we calculate the non-spinning radiation-reaction force at 4.5PN order. The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we review the long-range EFT prescription of NRGR. We also give an overview of nonconservative Lagrangian mechanics that we use to calculate the radiation-reaction diagrams and ultimately derive the equations of motion. Next, in section III, we compute the radiation-reaction diagrams term-by-term in the multipole expansion and present the full 4.5PN acceleration in the center-of-mass frame, the main result of this paper. Finally, in section IV, we perform consistency checks on our result and compare with corresponding results from the literature, before concluding in V. We also include an appendix with a discussion of radiative center-of-mass corrections necessary for computing the full result. As the results are somewhat unwieldy, many of the analytical equations are supplied in the supplementary results file.

Throughout the paper, we will use the total binary mass  $m \equiv m_1 + m_2$ , the mass difference  $\delta m = m_1 - m_2$ , and the symmetric mass ratio  $\nu \equiv m_1 m_2 / m^2$ . We also use following notation for relative coordinates:  $\mathbf{x}^i \equiv \mathbf{x}_1^i - \mathbf{x}_2^i \equiv r \mathbf{n}^i$  as the relative position,  $\mathbf{v}^i \equiv \mathbf{v}_1^i - \mathbf{v}_2^i$  the relative velocity, and  $\mathbf{a}^i \equiv \mathbf{a}_1^i - \mathbf{a}_2^i$  the relative acceleration.

## II. EFT SETUP

The EFT is used to separate the relevant scales in the binary inspiral by successively integrating out the shorter distance scales, resulting in a hierarchy of EFTs [3]. The successive EFTs are related via matching calculations, which ensure that the long-distance behavior is accurately represented in each system. The short-distance physics is then encapsulated in Wilson coefficients of the operators in the EFT, which are constructed to respect the symmetries of the system (in this case general coordinate invariance).

For the calculation of the radiation reaction, we work with a diffeomorphism invariant effective action, which describes arbitrary gravitational wave sources in the long-wavelength approximation written in terms of multipole moments that live on the binary pair's worldline. In the center-of-mass (COM) frame, the action is given by [44]

$$S_{\text{rad}} = - \int dt \sqrt{g_{00}} \left[ M(t) + \frac{1}{2} L_{ij} \omega_0^{ij} - \sum_{\ell=2} \left( \frac{1}{\ell!} I^L(t) \nabla_{L-2} E_{i_{\ell-1} i_{\ell}} - \frac{2\ell}{(2\ell+1)!} J^L(t) \nabla_{L-2} B_{i_{\ell-1} i_{\ell}} \right) \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where  $L = (i_i \cdots i_\ell)$  is a multi-index tensor, and  $M(t)$  is the Bondi mass associated with the binary.  $I^L(t)$  and  $J^L(t)$  are the mass- and current-type source multipole moments, respectively, which depend on the positions  $\mathbf{x}_K$ ,  $K = 1, 2$ , of the massive bodies in the binary. The electric and magnetic components of the Weyl tensor,  $E_{ij}$  and  $B_{ij}$  depend only on the metric in the radiation region  $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ . See Refs. [44, 45] for more details.

### A. Calculation of diagrams

To calculate the nonconservative effects of radiation reaction using the action (2.1), we need to formally double the number of degrees of freedom following the approach in Refs. [16, 17]. We take

$$\mathbf{x}_K \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}_{K(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{K(2)}), \quad \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow (\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}), \quad (2.2)$$

where the (1) and (2) are the different “history” labels of the coordinates and fields. The action is constructed from these degrees of freedom as

$$S[\mathbf{x}_{K(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{K(2)}, \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}] = S[\mathbf{x}_{K(1)}, \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}] - S[\mathbf{x}_{K(2)}, \bar{h}_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}], \quad (2.3)$$

where  $S$  includes both the worldline action (2.1) and the Einstein–Hilbert action, with appropriate gauge fixing.<sup>2</sup> By integrating out the long-wavelength gravitational modes, we obtain the effective action for the open dynamics of the binary inspiral, which can be written as

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\mathbf{x}_{K(1,2)}] = \int dt (L[\mathbf{x}_{K(1)}] - L[\mathbf{x}_{K(2)}] + R[\mathbf{x}_{K(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{K(2)}]), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $L$  is the usual Lagrangian accounting for the conservative interactions and  $R$  is the term containing nonconservative effects. To obtain the radiation-reaction force, we vary the effective action and then take the physical limit, in which the doubled variables are identified with the physical variables, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{x}_{K(1)}, \mathbf{x}_{K(2)} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_K. \quad (2.5)$$

In this work, we make a convenient coordinate redefinition to “plus-minus” coordinates, defined by

$$\mathbf{x}_{K+} \equiv (\mathbf{x}_{K(1)} + \mathbf{x}_{K(2)})/2, \quad \mathbf{x}_{K-} \equiv \mathbf{x}_{K(1)} - \mathbf{x}_{K(2)}, \quad (2.6)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> We use linearized harmonic gauge in this work, see Refs. [3, 9] for details.

which simplifies the procedure for deriving the dynamics. We can then vary the action with respect to the minus degrees of freedom, and then take the physical limit by simply setting

$$\mathbf{x}_{K+} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_K, \quad \mathbf{x}_{K-} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The nonconservative acceleration is then given by varying  $R$  in Eq. (2.3) as

$$\mathbf{a}_K^i(t) = \frac{1}{m_K} \frac{\delta R}{\delta \mathbf{x}_{K-}^i} - \frac{1}{m_K} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta R}{\delta \mathbf{v}_{K-}^i} + \dots \Bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}_{K-} \rightarrow 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_{K+} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_K}}. \quad (2.8)$$

The topologies that we need to consider up to 4.5PN order are given by<sup>3</sup>

$$iS_{\text{eff}}[\mathbf{x}_K^\pm] = iS_{\text{con}} + \sum_{l \geq 2} \left[ \text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} \right]. \quad (2.9)$$

We consider the contributions from the conservative action,  $S_{\text{con}}$ , separately, focusing now on the dissipative terms. For this topology, we can find a general expression in terms of multipole moments and their derivatives given by [37]

$$\int dt R = \sum_{\ell \geq 2} \frac{(-1)^{\ell+1} (\ell+2) G}{(\ell-1)} \int dt \left[ \frac{2^\ell (\ell+1)}{\ell(2\ell+1)!} I_-^L(t) I_+^{L(2\ell+1)}(t) + \frac{2^{\ell+3} \ell}{(2\ell+2)!} J_-^L(t) J_+^{L(2\ell+1)}(t) \right], \quad (2.10)$$

where  $I_-^L \equiv I_{(1)}^L - I_{(2)}^L$  and  $I_+^L \equiv (I_{(1)}^L + I_{(2)}^L)/2$ , and  $I_A^L$  for  $A = (1), (2)$  are the different history versions of the mass-type multipoles, with similar expressions for the current-type multipoles.

The leading order nonconservative acceleration, entering at 2.5PN, can be computed from the mass-quadrupole component of Eq. (2.10) given by  $R_{2.5\text{PN}} = -\frac{G}{5} I_-^{ij} I_+^{ij(5)}$  using Eq. (2.8); the result is simply the usual Burke–Thorne equation

$$\mathbf{a}_K^i = -\frac{2G}{5} \mathbf{x}_K^j I_{0\text{PN}}^{ij(5)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly, the 3.5PN acceleration was computed in Ref. [18].

---

<sup>3</sup> Note that we only have to consider diagrams to linear order in the radiation modes, neglecting nonlinear radiative effects that only contribute starting at the 5PN order [34]. It is worth observing, however, that nonlinear gravitational effects enter through the multipole moments themselves within the potential regime. Furthermore, there is a new topology at 4PN that accounts for the tail term, which we do not consider here. See Ref. [36, 37] for details.

### III. RADIATION REACTION THROUGH 4.5PN

During the inspiral phase, the relative velocity  $v$  of the bodies is small, so we can expand the acceleration in the PN expansion. For non-spinning binaries, we have

$$\mathbf{a}^i = \mathbf{a}_{0\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{1\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{2\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{2.5\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{3\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{4\text{PN}}^i + \mathbf{a}_{4.5\text{PN}}^i + \dots, \quad (3.1)$$

where the subscript denotes the PN order of the term. The leading term,  $\mathbf{a}_{0\text{PN}}^i$ , is just the Newtonian acceleration. The 1PN correction is the Einstein–Infeld–Hoffmann correction, which scales as  $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$ , while the 2PN correction scales as  $\mathcal{O}(v^4)$ . At 2.5PN order, we have the leading order radiation reaction, or Burke–Thorne, term. This is the first nonconservative piece of the acceleration. At 3PN order, we again have a conservative correction. At 3.5PN, we have the first correction to the Burke–Thorne term. At 4PN, we have a mix between conservative and nonconservative contributions, including the leading tail contribution. These contributions have all been calculated using traditional methods [6–8, 14, 15, 23–31] and using the EFT approach [4, 5, 16–18, 37]. Finally, at 4.5PN we get the 2PN correction to the Burke–Thorne term, which is the focus of this paper.

In this section, we compute the radiation-reaction equations of motion at 4.5PN order. For simplicity, we break the calculation into distinct multipole terms as well as contributions from the conservative sector arising from order-reduced accelerations. Explicitly, through the 4.5PN order, the action that yields the radiation-reaction acceleration can be written as

$$R_{4.5\text{PN}} = -\frac{G}{5} I_-^{ij} I_+^{ij(5)} - \frac{16G}{45} J_-^{ij} J_+^{ij(5)} + \frac{G}{189} I_-^{ijk} I_+^{ijk(7)} + \frac{G}{84} J_-^{ijk} J_+^{ijk(7)} - \frac{G}{9072} I_-^{ijkl} I_+^{ijkl(9)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the first term enters at 2.5PN and the first three terms contribute up to order 3.5PN. Due to PN corrections to these multipoles, these terms also contribute at 4.5PN. We now proceed to compute the 4.5PN acceleration term by term.

## A. Mass quadrupole

The mass quadrupole can be expanded as<sup>4</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
I^{ij} &= I_{0\text{PN}}^{ij} + \epsilon I_{1\text{PN}}^{ij} + \epsilon^2 I_{2\text{PN}}^{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2.5}) \\
&= \sum_{K \neq L} m_K \left\{ \mathbf{x}_K^i \mathbf{x}_K^j + \left( \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{v}_K^2 - \sum_{L \neq K} \frac{Gm_L}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}_K^i \mathbf{x}_K^j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{11}{42} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\mathbf{x}_K^2 \mathbf{x}_K^i \mathbf{x}_K^j) - \frac{4}{3} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{x}_K \cdot \mathbf{v}_K \mathbf{x}_K^i \mathbf{x}_K^j) \right\}_{\text{TF}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon$  counts the PN order and the  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$  expression can be found in Ref. [9]. Then through 4.5PN, the mass quadrupole component of the action can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{mq}} &= -\frac{G}{5} \int dt [I_{0-}^{ij} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} + \epsilon (I_{0-}^{ij} I_{1+}^{ij(5)} + I_{1-}^{ij} I_{0+}^{ij(5)}) \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 (I_{0-}^{ij} I_{2+}^{ij(5)} + I_{2-}^{ij} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} + I_{1-}^{ij} I_{1+}^{ij(5)}) + \dots], \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where the numeric subscript on the multipole moments is its PN order. The  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^1)$  terms correspond to the 2.5PN and 3.5PN radiation-reaction mass quadrupole contributions, respectively. However, upon variation, these terms also contribute to the 4.5PN acceleration through order reduction of accelerations. Looking specifically at the terms that depend on  $I_{0-}^{ij}$ , after varying the action, we find

$$\mathbf{a}_K^i = -\frac{2G}{5} \mathbf{x}_K^j (I_0^{ij(5)} + \epsilon I_1^{ij(5)} + \epsilon^2 I_2^{ij(5)}). \tag{3.5}$$

Each of these terms contributes at 4.5PN, as each of these terms is itself dependent on accelerations and higher time derivatives that are not of definite PN order. The first term receives corrections through order reduction using the 2PN conservative acceleration or two order-reduced 1PN accelerations. The second term has corrections from the 1PN acceleration. The final term only requires order reduction using the Newtonian acceleration. We must of course also vary the terms that depend upon  $I_{1-}^{ij}$  and  $I_{2-}^{ij}$ . These are more complicated, but follow similarly to the above. For instance, for the  $I_{1-}^{ij} I_{0+}^{ij(5)}$  term, one will again need the order-reduced 1PN acceleration.

In this general frame, this completes the 4.5PN mass quadrupole contribution. However, we must consider additional terms that arise when making the coordinate transformation to

---

<sup>4</sup> We neglect spin dependence in the multipole moments, which enter here at 1.5PN for spin-orbit couplings [46] and 2PN for spin-spin couplings [12, 13, 47].

the COM frame, in which we compute the relative acceleration  $\mathbf{a}^i = \mathbf{a}_1^i - \mathbf{a}_2^i$ . The coordinate shift can be written as

$$\mathbf{x}_1^i = \frac{m_2}{m} \mathbf{x}^i + \delta \mathbf{x}^i, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_2^i = -\frac{m_1}{m} \mathbf{x}^i + \delta \mathbf{x}^i, \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\delta \mathbf{x}^i$  is given order by order in a PN expansion as

$$\delta \mathbf{x}^i = \epsilon \delta \mathbf{x}_{1\text{PN}}^i + \epsilon^2 \delta \mathbf{x}_{2\text{PN}}^i + \epsilon^{2.5} \delta \mathbf{x}_{2.5\text{PN}}^i + \epsilon^3 \delta \mathbf{x}_{3\text{PN}}^i + \epsilon^{3.5} \delta \mathbf{x}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4). \quad (3.8)$$

The terms  $\delta \mathbf{x}_{2\text{PN}}^i$  was recently computed using EFT methods in Ref. [9], while  $\delta \mathbf{x}_{2.5\text{PN}}^i$  vanishes in our gauge.<sup>5</sup> The 3PN COM shift has yet to be computed in our gauge, but is beyond the scope of this paper as it does not contribute at the 4.5PN order in the dissipative sector. The relevant terms, which we reproduce here for convenience, are

$$\delta \mathbf{x}_{1\text{PN}}^i = \frac{\nu \delta m}{2m} \mathbf{x}^i \left( \mathbf{v}^2 - \frac{Gm}{r} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbf{x}_{2\text{PN}}^i = \frac{\nu \delta m}{2m} \left\{ \mathbf{x}^i \left[ \left( \frac{3}{4} - 3\nu \right) \mathbf{v}^4 + \frac{Gm}{r} \left( \left( \frac{19}{4} + 3\nu \right) \mathbf{v}^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left( -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3\nu}{2} \right) \dot{r}^2 + \left( \frac{7}{2} - \nu \right) \frac{Gm}{r} \right] - \mathbf{v}^i \left[ \frac{7}{2} Gm \dot{r} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Note that  $\delta \mathbf{x}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i$  is nonvanishing in our gauge (see Appendix A), and will be discussed in section III E as it contributes at 4.5PN when shifting to the COM frame within the conservative sector.

We apply these COM coordinate transformations to the 2.5PN and 3.5PN mass quadrupole terms in the acceleration in relative coordinates. Working with the multipole moments in the COM frame, we have a contribution given by

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{COM}}^i = -\frac{2G}{5} \mathbf{x}^j \frac{d^5}{dt^5} (m \delta \mathbf{x}_{1\text{PN}}^i \delta \mathbf{x}_{1\text{PN}}^j - \frac{1}{3} m \delta \mathbf{x}_{1\text{PN}}^2 \delta^{ij}), \quad (3.11)$$

where the 2PN shift does not contribute due to the symmetry of the mass quadrupole moment. Additionally, we find that there will be COM corrections to expressions containing the 1PN multipole moment when applied after variation with respect to the minus coordinates. Adding these corrections to our result yields a final expression for the COM frame mass quadrupole contribution, which can be found in the supplemental file.

---

<sup>5</sup> Contrast this with the nonzero COM correction at 2.5PN computed in Ref. [48] using harmonic coordinates.

## B. Mass octupole

The mass octupole can be written as

$$I^{ijk} = I_0^{ijk} + \epsilon I_1^{ijk} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{A \neq B} m_A \left\{ \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{v}_A^2 - \sum_{B \neq A} \frac{Gm_B}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k + \frac{1}{18} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\mathbf{x}_A^2 \mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k) \right]_{\text{STF}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{7}{9} \frac{d}{dt} [(\mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k \mathbf{x}_A^l)_{\text{STF}} \mathbf{v}^l] \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

again neglecting spin. Then the octupole contributions to the action, using Eq. (3.2), are

$$S_{\text{mo}} = \frac{G}{189} \int dt [\epsilon I_{0-}^{ijk} I_{0+}^{ijk(\tau)} + \epsilon^2 (I_{1-}^{ijk} I_{0+}^{ij(\tau)} + I_{0-}^{ijk} I_{1+}^{ij(\tau)}) + \dots]. \quad (3.14)$$

The  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  term contributes to the 3.5PN radiation-reaction acceleration, as well as to the 4.5PN acceleration upon reducing accelerations using the 1PN acceleration. As an example, after varying the third term, proportional to  $I_{0-}^{ijk} I_{1+}^{ij(\tau)}$ , we find that

$$\mathbf{a}_1^i = \frac{G}{63} \mathbf{x}_1^j \mathbf{x}_1^k I_1^{ijk(\tau)}. \quad (3.15)$$

We additionally must consider the first term in Eq. (3.14) with 1PN acceleration reductions and the second term with Newtonian acceleration, which together with the above yield the 4.5PN acceleration. This completes the octupole term in the original frame. When transforming to the COM frame, we pick up an additional 4.5PN piece from the 1PN COM shift in the 3.5PN term. The mass octupole expression for  $\mathbf{a}^i$  in the COM frame can be found in the supplementary file.

### C. Current quadrupole

The current quadrupole can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{ij} &= \epsilon^{0.5} J_0^{ij} + \epsilon^{1.5} J_1^{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2.5}) \tag{3.16} \\
&= \sum_A m_A \left( 1 + \frac{\mathbf{v}_A^2}{2} \right) [(\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{v}_A)^i \mathbf{x}_A^j]_{\text{STF}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{A \neq B} \frac{G m_A m_B}{r} \left[ 2(\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{v}_A)^i \mathbf{x}_A^j - \frac{11}{4} (\mathbf{x}_B \times \mathbf{v}_A)^i \mathbf{x}_B^j - \frac{3}{4} (\mathbf{x}_B \times \mathbf{v}_A)^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + (\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{v}_A)^i \mathbf{x}_B^j + \frac{7}{4} (\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{x}_B)^i \mathbf{v}_A^j + \frac{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}}{4r^2} (\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{x}_B)^i (\mathbf{x}_A^j + \mathbf{x}_B^j) \right]_{\text{STF}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{28} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \sum_A m_A (\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{v}_A)^i (3\mathbf{x}_A^2 \mathbf{v}_A^j - \mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A \mathbf{x}_A^j) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \sum_{A \neq B} \frac{G m_A m_B}{2r^3} \mathbf{x}_A^i (\mathbf{x}_A \times \mathbf{x}_B)^j (6\mathbf{x}_A^2 - 7\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B + 7\mathbf{x}_B^2) \right]_{\text{STF}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2.5}), \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus the term in the action that contributes through 4.5PN is given by

$$S_{\text{cq}} = -\frac{16G}{45} \int dt [\epsilon J_{0-}^{ij} J_{0+}^{ij(5)} + \epsilon^2 (J_{1-}^{ij} J_{0+}^{ij(5)} + J_{0-}^{ij} J_{1+}^{ij(5)}) + \dots]. \tag{3.18}$$

The current quadrupole term first enters the acceleration at 3.5PN through the first term in Eq. (3.18). The 4.5PN acceleration contains three contributions: two pieces from the 1PN current quadrupole in either the plus or minus coordinates, and a third from reducing accelerations in the 3.5PN acceleration with the 1PN conservative acceleration. Upon shifting to the frame of the COM, we find an additional contribution from the 1PN coordinate correction in the 3.5PN acceleration. The full expression can be found in the supplementary file.

### D. Mass hexadecapole

The mass hexadecapole term contributes first at the 4.5PN order and is given by

$$S_{\text{mh}} = -\frac{G}{9072} \int dt \epsilon^2 I_{0-}^{ijkl} I_{0+}^{ijkl(9)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, we only need the leading order expression of the mass hexadecapole, given by

$$I_0^{ijkl} = \sum_A m_A [\mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k \mathbf{x}_A^l]_{\text{STF}}. \tag{3.20}$$

Upon variation, we find that

$$\mathbf{a}_{1\text{mh}}^i = -\frac{G}{2268} \mathbf{x}_1^j \mathbf{x}_1^k \mathbf{x}_1^l I_0^{ijkl(9)}, \quad (3.21)$$

and in the COM frame

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{mh}}^i = -\frac{G}{2268} (1 - 3\nu) \mathbf{x}^j \mathbf{x}^k \mathbf{x}^l I_0^{ijkl(9)}, \quad (3.22)$$

which can be found in the supplementary file.

### E. Current octupole

The current octupole term contributes first at the 4.5PN order as well and is given by

$$S_{\text{co}} = \frac{G}{84} \int dt \epsilon^2 J_{0-}^{ijk} J_{0+}^{ijk(7)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3). \quad (3.23)$$

Thus, we only need the leading order expression of the current octupole, given by

$$J_0^{ijk} = \sum_A m_A [\epsilon^{ilm} \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k \mathbf{x}_A^l \mathbf{v}_A^m]_{\text{STF}} = m\nu(1 - 3\nu) [\epsilon^{ilm} \mathbf{x}^j \mathbf{x}^k \mathbf{x}^l \mathbf{v}^m]_{\text{STF}}. \quad (3.24)$$

Upon variation, we find that the contribution to the acceleration from the current octupole is

$$\mathbf{a}_{1\text{co}}^i = \frac{G}{84} \mathbf{x}_1^j \mathbf{x}_1^k [2(\epsilon^{ilm} J_0^{jkm(7)} + \epsilon^{ijm} J_0^{klm(7)} + \epsilon^{jlm} J_0^{ikm(7)}) \mathbf{v}_1^l + \epsilon^{ijm} \mathbf{x}_1^l J_0^{klm(8)}], \quad (3.25)$$

and in relative coordinates

$$\mathbf{a}_{\text{co}}^i = \frac{G}{84} (1 - 3\nu) \mathbf{x}^j \mathbf{x}^k [2(\epsilon^{ilm} J_0^{jkm(7)} + \epsilon^{ijm} J_0^{klm(7)} + \epsilon^{jlm} J_0^{ikm(7)}) \mathbf{v}^l + \epsilon^{ijm} \mathbf{x}^l J_0^{klm(8)}]. \quad (3.26)$$

Again, the COM contribution can be found in the supplementary file.

### F. Conservative acceleration reductions

In this section, we discuss 4.5PN terms that result from corrections to the conservative accelerations at lower orders. Variation of the conservative Lagrangian yields accelerations that are themselves acceleration dependent. Order reducing these conservative terms with nonconservative accelerations yields additional nonconservative corrections at 4.5PN. Additionally, since the COM is no longer conserved at 3.5PN, there arise nonconservative corrections at 4.5PN in relative coordinates when shifting to the COM frame.

In the 1PN acceleration, we obtain a reduced contribution from inserting the 3.5PN acceleration as

$$\mathbf{a}_1^{(\text{red})} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{Gm_2}{r} (\mathbf{a}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n}^i - (\mathbf{a}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1) \mathbf{v}_1^i - \mathbf{a}_1^i \left( 3 \frac{Gm_2}{r} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_1^2 \right) + \frac{7}{2} \frac{Gm_2}{r} \mathbf{a}_2^i \right]_{\mathbf{a}_{3.5\text{PN}}}. \quad (3.27)$$

The full 3.5PN acceleration can be found in the supplementary materials. There is an additional piece resulting from order reducing accelerations and higher coordinate derivatives in the 2PN acceleration using the leading order Burke–Thorne acceleration at 2.5PN, yielding a 4.5PN correction. This concludes the calculation of the 4.5PN acceleration in the original coordinate system.

When shifting to relative coordinates in the COM frame, we must consider one additional contribution. Naively, we would expect to have a 2.5PN COM correction to the 2PN acceleration; however, this vanishes because there is no 2.5PN COM shift in our gauge. However, there is a nonzero 3.5PN correction, as discussed in Appendix A. Applying this to the 1PN acceleration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{\text{COM}}^i = \frac{\delta m}{m} \left[ \left( -\frac{G}{2r} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 \right) \delta \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i - \frac{Gm}{2r^3} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \delta \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{3.5\text{PN}}) \mathbf{x}^i + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{3.5\text{PN}}) \mathbf{v}^i \right. \\ \left. - \frac{Gm}{r^3} \left( 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{3.5\text{PN}}) \mathbf{v}^i + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{3.5\text{PN}}) \mathbf{x}^i \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where  $\delta \mathbf{x}_{3.5\text{PN}} = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}_{3.5\text{PN}}) \mathbf{n}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \mathbf{x}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i = \frac{\delta m}{m} \left\{ \left[ \frac{212G^3m^3\nu^2}{105r^3} \dot{r} + \frac{G^2m^2\nu^2}{r^2} \left( \frac{78}{7} \dot{r} \mathbf{v}^2 - \frac{26}{5} \dot{r}^3 \right) \right] \mathbf{x}^i \right. \\ \left. + \left[ -\frac{8}{35} Gm \mathbf{v}^4 \nu^2 - \frac{48G^3m^3\nu^2}{35r^2} - \frac{G^2m^2\nu^2}{r} \left( \frac{58}{105} \mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{398}{105} \dot{r}^2 \right) \right] \mathbf{v}^i \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

is the 3.5PN COM correction.

## G. Full result

We now arrive at a full 4.5PN result by summing over all contributions as described above. The full result in relative coordinates can be written as

$$\mathbf{a}_{4.5\text{PN}}^i = \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}^i + \mathcal{B} \mathbf{v}^i, \quad (3.30)$$

where the coefficients  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} = & \frac{G^5 m^5 \nu \dot{r}}{r^7} \left( -\frac{73178}{135} - \frac{56416}{105} \nu - \frac{3040}{21} \nu^2 \right) \\
& + \frac{G^4 m^4 \nu \dot{r}}{r^6} \left[ \left( \frac{493214}{315} + \frac{207052}{105} \nu + \frac{9932}{35} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^2 - \left( \frac{2177438}{945} + \frac{1028644}{189} \nu + \frac{96436}{105} \nu^2 \right) \dot{r}^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{G^3 m^3 \nu \dot{r}}{r^5} \left[ \left( -\frac{20747}{105} - 1774 \nu + \frac{3928}{3} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^4 + \left( -\frac{19151}{105} + \frac{108773}{15} \nu - \frac{19756}{7} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^2 \dot{r}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( \frac{21508}{21} - \frac{716027}{105} \nu + \frac{83414}{105} \nu^2 \right) \dot{r}^4 \right] \\
& + \frac{G^2 m^2 \nu \dot{r}}{r^4} \left[ \left( -\frac{46372}{105} + \frac{74759}{35} \nu - \frac{51532}{35} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^6 + \left( \frac{54085}{21} - \frac{258268}{21} \nu + \frac{186635}{21} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^4 \dot{r}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( -\frac{12274}{3} + \frac{56683}{3} \nu - \frac{40526}{3} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^2 \dot{r}^4 + (1980 - 8658 \nu + 5823 \nu^2) \dot{r}^6 \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B} = & \frac{G^5 m^5 \nu}{r^6} \left( \frac{927826}{2835} + \frac{73772}{105} \nu + \frac{3008}{35} \nu^2 \right) \\
& + \frac{G^4 m^4 \nu}{r^5} \left[ \left( -\frac{89926}{315} - \frac{305576}{315} \nu - \frac{17972}{105} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^2 + \left( \frac{33926}{45} + \frac{13504}{3} \nu + \frac{4380}{7} \nu^2 \right) \dot{r}^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{G^3 m^3 \nu}{r^4} \left[ \left( -\frac{673}{21} + \frac{173872}{315} \nu - \frac{2376}{35} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^4 + \left( \frac{75211}{105} - \frac{1332301}{315} \nu - \frac{79052}{105} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^2 \dot{r}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( -\frac{46224}{35} + \frac{177399}{35} \nu + \frac{140618}{105} \nu^2 \right) \dot{r}^4 \right] \\
& + \frac{G^2 m^2 \nu}{r^3} \left[ \left( \frac{18124}{315} - \frac{9621}{35} \nu + \frac{5004}{35} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^6 + \left( -\frac{23053}{35} + \frac{98632}{35} \nu - \frac{39359}{35} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^4 \dot{r}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left( \frac{28274}{21} - \frac{104015}{21} \nu + \frac{19774}{21} \nu^2 \right) \mathbf{v}^2 \dot{r}^4 + \left( -\frac{2324}{3} + \frac{7070}{3} \nu + \frac{833}{3} \nu^2 \right) \dot{r}^6 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

The full result can also be found in the supplementary file.

## IV. CONSISTENCY CHECKS ON RESULTS

### A. Quasicircular limit

In this section, we consider the special case of quasicircular orbits. In particular, we will use the acceleration through 4.5PN order to compute the expression  $\dot{\omega}/\omega^2$ , where  $\omega$  is a well-defined orbital frequency for the special case of quasicircular orbits. This expression, when written as a function of  $\omega$ , is gauge independent under a large class of gauge transformations and will allow comparison with the general results derived in Ref. [32]. Additionally, one

can use flux-balance arguments to compute  $\dot{\omega}/\omega^2$  exclusively from the far-field, allowing a direct comparison between the near-field and far-field EFT regimes.<sup>6</sup>

We follow the approach of Ref. [32]. The quasicircular orbit limit is defined by the relations

$$r\omega^2 = -\langle \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a} \rangle, \quad (4.1)$$

$$v = r\omega, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\dot{r} = 0 + \mathcal{O}(v^5). \quad (4.3)$$

Using these relations, and defining  $\gamma \equiv Gm/r$ , we can write

$$\frac{v^2}{r^2} = \omega^2 = \gamma \left[ 1 + \gamma(-3 + \nu) + \gamma^2 \left( \frac{41}{4}\nu + \nu^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(v^5) \right] \quad (4.4)$$

using the conservative equations of motion through 2PN, which can be inverted and written in terms of either  $\omega$  or  $v$  as

$$\gamma = (Gm\omega)^{2/3} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{\nu}{3} \right) (Gm\omega)^{2/3} + \left( 3 - \frac{65\nu}{12} \right) (Gm\omega)^{4/3} + \mathcal{O}(v^5) \right], \quad (4.5)$$

$$= v^2 \left[ 1 + (3 - \nu)v^2 + \left( 18 - \frac{89}{4}\nu + \nu^2 \right) v^4 + \mathcal{O}(v^5) \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

Taking the circular limit of the radiation-reaction acceleration in Eq. (3.30) and using Eq. (4.4), we have

$$(\mathbf{a}^i)_{\text{circ}}^{\text{RR}} = \frac{32\gamma^4\nu\mathbf{v}^i}{5m^3} \left[ 1 + \left( -\frac{3431}{336} + \frac{5}{4}\nu \right) \gamma + \left( \frac{659217}{18144} + \frac{26095}{2016}\nu - \frac{7}{4}\nu^2 \right) \gamma^2 + \mathcal{O}(v^{10}) \right]. \quad (4.7)$$

Taking a time derivative of Eq. (4.6) and solving for  $\dot{r}$ , reducing the acceleration terms using the conservative equations of motion through 2PN and nonconservative equations of motion in Eq. (4.7), we find

$$\dot{r} = -\frac{64}{5}\nu\gamma^3 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1751}{336} + \frac{7\nu}{4} \right) \gamma + \left( \frac{230879}{18144} + \frac{40981\nu}{2016} + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \right) \gamma^2 + \mathcal{O}(v^{10}) \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

Finally, taking a time derivative of Eq. (4.4) and solving for  $\dot{\omega}/\omega^2$  as a function of  $\omega$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega^2} = \frac{96}{5}\nu(Gm\omega)^{5/3} & \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{743}{336} + \frac{11}{4}\nu \right) (Gm\omega)^{2/3} \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{34103}{18144} + \frac{13661}{2016}\nu + \frac{59}{18}\nu^2 \right) (Gm\omega)^{4/3} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

This exactly reproduces the near-field results of Ref. [32] and far-field expression in Ref. [33], a nontrivial check on our results.

---

<sup>6</sup> Note that we neglect the contribution from the tail term, which does not mix with our results here.

## B. Energy flux-balance equation through NNLO

In this section, we use the energy flux-balance equations as a consistency check on the radiative equations of motion. We expect the locally-induced power loss to be equivalent to the energy flux at infinity up to a total derivative that time-averages to zero. This total time derivative amounts to a redefinition of the local conserved energy, akin to a ‘‘Schott’’ term in electrodynamics [49], which vanishes in the far-field regime. Through NNLO, the energy flux-balance equation is given by [50]

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = - \left( \frac{G}{5} I_{ij}^{(3)} I_{ij}^{(3)} + \frac{G}{189} I_{ijk}^{(4)} I_{ijk}^{(4)} + \frac{16G}{45} J_{ij}^{(3)} J_{ij}^{(3)} + \frac{G}{84} J_{ijk}^{(4)} J_{ijk}^{(4)} + \frac{G}{9072} I_{ijkl}^{(5)} I_{ijkl}^{(5)} + \dots \right). \quad (4.10)$$

We first show that the LO radiation-reaction acceleration is consistent with the energy flux-balance equation. With the LO radiation reaction  $\mathbf{a}_K^i = -2G/5\mathbf{x}_K^j I_0^{ij(5)}$ , the 2.5PN energy flux-balance equation is given by

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{2G}{5} \sum_A m_A \mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{v}_A^j I_0^{ij(5)} = -\frac{G}{5} I_0^{ij(1)} I_0^{ij(5)}, \quad (4.11)$$

which agrees with the mass quadrupole term in Eq. (4.10) modulo a total time-derivative.

At NLO, the acceleration, as derived from the nonconservative Lagrangian, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_A^i = & -\frac{16G}{45} \epsilon^{ikl} \left( \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k J_0^{jl(6)} + 3\mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{v}_A^k J_0^{jl(5)} \right) + \frac{G}{63} \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k I_0^{ijk(7)} \\ & - \frac{2G}{5} \mathbf{x}_A^j I_1^{ij(5)} - \frac{G}{5} \left( \frac{\partial I_{1-}^{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{A-}^i} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial I_{1-}^{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{A-}^i} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} \right)_{\text{PL}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

We do not need to consider the order-reduced accelerations arising from substituting the 2.5PN acceleration in the 1PN conservative acceleration; these do not contribute to energy loss due to energy conservation. Integrating by parts liberally, for example with  $I_{ij,0}^{(6)}$  and  $I_{ij,0}^{(7)}$ , the NLO energy flux becomes

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{16G}{45} J_{jl,0} J_{ij,0}^{(6)} + \frac{G}{189} I_{ijk,0}^{(1)} I_{ijk,0}^{(7)} - \frac{G}{5} I_{ij,0}^{(1)} I_{ij,1}^{(5)} - \frac{G}{5} I_{ij,1}^{(1)} I_{ij,0}^{(5)}, \quad (4.13)$$

which again agrees with the energy-flux balance equation, Eq. (4.10), at NLO modulo a total time-derivative.

Similarly, given the NNLO accelerations in sections III A–III E (again neglecting the

reduced conservative equations of motion) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dE}{dt} = & -\frac{G}{84} \sum_A m_A \epsilon^{ijk} \mathbf{v}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^k \mathbf{x}_A^l \mathbf{x}_A^m J_0^{jlm(8)} - \frac{G}{2268} \sum_A m_A \mathbf{v}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k \mathbf{x}_A^l I_0^{ijkl(9)} \\
& + \frac{16G}{45} J_0^{jl} J_1^{ij(6)} + \frac{G}{189} I_0^{ijk(1)} I_1^{ijk(7)} - \frac{G}{5} I_0^{ij(1)} I_2^{j(5)} - \frac{G}{5} I_1^{ij(1)} I_1^{ij(5)} \\
& + \sum_A \mathbf{v}_A^i \left[ -\frac{G}{5} \left( \frac{\partial I_{2-}^{jk}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{A-}^i} I_{0+}^{jk(5)} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial I_{2-}^{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{A-}^i} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} \right) - \frac{16G}{45} \left( \frac{\partial J_{1-}^{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{A-}^i} J_{0+}^{ij(5)} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial J_{1-}^{ij}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{A-}^i} J_{0+}^{ij(5)} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{G}{189} \left( \frac{\partial I_{1,-}^{jk}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{A-}^i} I_{0+}^{ijk(7)} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial I_{1,-}^{jk}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{A-}^i} I_{0+}^{ijk(7)} \right) \right]_{\text{PL}}. \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

To show that this is consistent with the right-hand side of Eq. (4.10), we must simplify this expression. We collect the higher time-derivative terms, explicitly calculate the variations and rewrite them in terms of multipole moments. This is a lengthy but straightforward process; it can be shown that left-hand side of the NNLO energy flux then becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dE}{dt} = & -\frac{G}{84} J_{ijk,0} J_{ijk,0}^{(8)} - \frac{G}{9072} I_{ijkl,0}^{(1)} I_{ijkl,0}^{(9)} + \frac{16G}{45} \left( J_{jl,0} J_{ij,1}^{(6)} + J_{jl,1} J_{ij,0}^{(6)} \right) \\
& + \frac{G}{189} \left( I_{ijk,0}^{(1)} I_{ijk,1}^{(7)} + I_{ijk,1}^{(1)} I_{ijk,0}^{(7)} \right) - \frac{G}{5} \left( I_{ij,0}^{(1)} I_{ij,2}^{(5)} + I_{ij,1}^{(1)} I_{ij,1}^{(5)} + I_{ij,2}^{(1)} I_{ij,0}^{(5)} \right), \tag{4.15}
\end{aligned}$$

which again agrees the energy flux-balance equation, Eq. (4.10), at NNLO modulo a total time derivative. This check helps establish the validity of the multipole moments in use and the nonconservative action approach for the derivation of the radiation-reaction effects.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we calculate the radiation reaction force at the 4.5PN order for non-spinning compact binary inspiral completely in the EFT approach. This amounts to a 2PN correction to the leading Burke–Thorne radiation-reaction term. To accomplish this, we used the recent EFT calculation of the 2PN correction to the mass quadrupole, calculated in Ref. [9].

We can write the results in terms of the acceleration of one of the binary constituents or in terms of the relative coordinates. In order to write in terms of the relative coordinates, we need to transform into the center-of-mass frame. For the 4.5PN result, we need to include the 3.5PN radiative correction to the center-of-mass, which is nonzero in our chosen gauge. We calculate this correction to the center-of-mass in the appendix, and then use this to write the results in terms of the relative coordinates.

As a first consistency check, we calculated the adiabatic parameter  $\dot{\omega}/\omega^2$  in the quasicircular limit. Since this expression is gauge independent under a large class of gauge transformations, we can compare to other calculations and find agreement with the results presented in Ref. [32]. As a second consistency check, we calculate the flux-balance equation at NNLO and find agreement up to a total time derivative, as expected.

Combining the results in this paper with previous results, we have completed the nonconservative corrections to the equations of motion for spinning compact binaries through 4.5PN order derived entirely using the EFT approach. This is an important step towards completing the equations of motion through 5PN for use in producing templates for gravitational wave detectors now and in the future.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.K.L. and B.P. are supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-2112829. Z.Y. is supported by ERC Consolidator Grant “Precision Gravity: From the LHC to LISA,” provided by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s H2020 research and innovation programme, grant No.817791. We are grateful for all the early work on this project from Ira Rothstein and Natália Maia. We acknowledge extensive use of the xAct packages [51].

### Appendix A: Radiative center-of-mass corrections

Energy and momentum loss due to gravitational radiation emission leads to a shift in the COM momentum and position of a binary system [50]. In our gauge, the leading radiative corrections to the COM are 3.5PN effects, which enter the PN equations of motion at 4.5PN.

The conservative definition of the COM vector  $\mathbf{G}^i$  relates to the linear momentum  $\mathbf{P}^i$  through the Noetherian integral  $\mathbf{K}^i = \mathbf{G}^i - t\mathbf{P}^i$ . The invariance of the conservative Lagrangian under the Lorentz boost leads to the conservation of  $\mathbf{K}^i$ , which implies that [48]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{G}^i}{dt} = \mathbf{P}^i, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $\mathbf{P}^i$  remains constant, i.e.,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}^i}{dt} = 0 \quad (\text{A2})$$

for all conservative PN orders. This relation no longer holds upon the inclusion of dissipative effects. With radiation reaction considered in the binary dynamics, the flux-balance equations for the energy, angular momentum, and linear momentum can be combined to compute the averaged secular evolution of the binaries. In this section, we focus on solving for a COM position  $\mathbf{G}^i$  at 3.5PN that is consistent with the flux-balance equation results in Ref. [52].

At the leading 2.5PN order, the balance equation for total linear momentum including the net force can be written as

$$\sum_A m_A \mathbf{a}_{A,2.5\text{PN}}^i + \left. \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{2.5\text{PN}}^i}{dt} \right|_{\mathbf{a}_{0\text{PN}}} = -\frac{2G}{5} I^j I^{jj(5)}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where the mass-type dipole moment  $I^i = \int d^3\mathbf{x} T^{00} \mathbf{x}^i$  corresponds to the conserved COM  $\mathbf{G}^i$ . The  $\mathbf{P}_{2.5\text{PN}}^i$  is a possible linear momentum term at 2.5PN that can be solved by the equation above, similar to the ‘‘Schott’’ term in electromagnetism [49]. The Schott-like momentum depends on the expression of the radiation-reaction force on the right-hand side of Eq. (A3). We can rewrite some of the time derivatives on the multipole moments with the addition of a total time derivative that can be absorbed into the left-hand side, equivalent to a gauge transformation.

Using the Burke–Thorne acceleration (2.11) and the leading mass dipole  $I^i = \sum_A m_A \mathbf{x}_A^i$ , it can be shown from Eq. (A3) that there is no net radiation effects on the linear momentum at 2.5PN related by some gauge transformation, i.e.,  $\mathbf{P}_{2.5\text{PN}}^i = 0$ . With the 3.5PN radiation reaction included, the balance equation for linear momentum is given by [52]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}^i}{dt} = -\frac{2G}{5} I_j I_{ij}^{(5)} - \left( \frac{2G}{63} I_{ijk}^{(4)} I_{jk}^{(3)} + \frac{16G}{45} \epsilon_{ijk} I_{jm}^{(3)} J_{km}^{(3)} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{4.5}), \quad (\text{A4})$$

where the net force at 3.5PN contains contributions from

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbf{P}^i}{dt} \right|_{3.5\text{PN}} = \sum_A m_A \mathbf{a}_{A,3.5\text{PN}}^i + \left. \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{1\text{PN}}^i}{dt} \right|_{\mathbf{a}_{2.5\text{PN}}} + \left. \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i}{dt} \right|_{\mathbf{a}_{0\text{PN}}}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

with  $\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i$  a possible Schott term modification to the linear momentum at 3.5PN. Equating Eq. (A4) and Eq. (A5) to solve for  $\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i$  leads to an explicit expression for the secularly evolving linear momentum consistent with the flux-balance equations. At 3.5PN order, Eq. (A4) includes

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbf{P}^i}{dt} \right|_{3.5\text{PN}} = -\frac{2G}{5} I_{j,0} I_{ij,1}^{(5)} - \frac{2G}{5} I_{j,1} I_{ij,0}^{(5)} - \left. \frac{2G}{5} I_{j,0} I_{ij,0}^{(5)} \right|_{\mathbf{a}_{1\text{PN}}} - \frac{2G}{63} I_{ijk}^{(4)} I_{jk}^{(3)} - \frac{16G}{45} \epsilon_{ijk} I_{jm}^{(3)} J_{km}^{(3)}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

with the LO mass octupoles and current quadrupoles. For the terms in Eq. (A5), the radiation-reaction force  $\sum_A m_A \mathbf{a}_{A,3.5\text{PN}}^i$  taken from Ref. [18] includes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_A m_A \mathbf{a}_{A,3.5\text{PN}}^i &= \sum_A \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{x}_{A-}^i} \left( -\frac{G}{5} I_{0-}^{ij} I_{1+}^{ij(5)} - \frac{G}{5} I_{1-}^{ij} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} - \frac{16G}{45} J_{0-}^{ij} J_{0+}^{ij(5)} + \frac{G}{189} I_{0-}^{ijk} I_{0+}^{ijk(7)} \right)_{\text{PL}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2G}{5} m_A \mathbf{x}_A^j I_{ij}^{(5)} \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_{1\text{PN}}} + \left( \frac{\partial L_{1\text{PN}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_A^i} - \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L_{1\text{PN}}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_A^i} \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_{2.5\text{PN}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where  $L_{1\text{PN}}$  is the 1PN conservative Lagrangian, and the 1PN momentum can be derived from

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}_{1\text{PN}}^i}{dt} \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_{2.5\text{PN}}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_A \frac{\partial L_{1\text{PN}}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_A^i} \right) \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_{2.5\text{PN}}}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

with  $\sum_A \partial L_{1\text{PN}} / \partial \mathbf{x}_A^i = 0$ . Cancellations from Eq. (A5) and Eq. (A6) give

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_A \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{x}_{A,-}^i} \left( -\frac{G}{5} I_{1-}^{ij} I_{0+}^{ij(5)} - \frac{16G}{45} J_{0-}^{ij} J_{0+}^{ij(5)} + \frac{G}{189} I_{0-}^{ijk} I_{0+}^{ijk(7)} \right)_{\text{PL}} + \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i}{dt} \\ &= -\frac{2G}{5} I_1^j I_0^{ij(5)} - \frac{2G}{63} I_0^{ijk(4)} I_0^{jk(3)} - \frac{16G}{45} \epsilon^{ijk} I_0^{jm(3)} J_0^{km(3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

Integrating by parts liberally and performing the variation of the minus coordinates, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{G}{63} (-I_{ijk}^{(6)} I_{jk} + I_{ijk}^{(5)} I_{jk}^{(1)} - I_{ijk}^{(4)} I_{jk}^{(2)} - I_{ijk}^{(3)} I_{jk}^{(3)} + I_{ijk}^{(2)} I_{jk}^{(4)}) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{8G}{45} \epsilon_{ijk} (2I_{jl} J_{kl}^{(5)} + I_{jl}^{(1)} J_{kl}^{(4)} - I_{jl}^{(2)} J_{kl}^{(3)} - I_{jl}^{(3)} J_{kl}^{(2)} + I_{jl}^{(4)} J_{kl}^{(1)}) + \frac{8G}{15} J_j J_{ij}^{(4)} \\ &\quad + \frac{G}{105} \sum_A m_A \left[ (11\mathbf{x}_A^2 \mathbf{x}_A^j \delta^{ik} - 17\mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k) I_{jk}^{(6)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (34(\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \mathbf{x}_A^j \delta^{ik} - 11\mathbf{x}_A^2 \mathbf{v}_A^j \delta^{ik} - 46\mathbf{v}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k - 22\mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{v}_A^k) I_{jk}^{(5)} \right] \\ &\quad - \sum_A \frac{8G}{15} m_A \epsilon_{jkl} \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{a}_A^k J_{il}^{(4)} - I_{jk}^{(5)} \left[ \frac{G}{63} I_{ijk}^{(2)} + \frac{8G}{45} \epsilon_{ijl} J_{kl}^{(1)} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{G}{105} \sum_A m_A \left( -22\mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{v}_A^j \mathbf{v}_A^k - 22\mathbf{x}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{a}_A^k + 12\mathbf{v}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{v}_A^k + 17\mathbf{a}_A^i \mathbf{x}_A^j \mathbf{x}_A^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \delta^{ik} \left( 8\mathbf{v}_A^2 \mathbf{x}_A^j + 34(\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{a}_A) \mathbf{x}_A^j + 12(\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A) \mathbf{v}_A^j - 11\mathbf{x}_A^2 \mathbf{a}_A^j + \frac{21Gm_B \mathbf{x}_A^j}{r} \right) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

in which the terms outside the total time derivative vanish after substituting the Newtonian equations of motion and the LO multipole moments. Therefore an explicit linear momentum

$P^i$  that obeys the flux-balance equation at the 3.5PN order is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i &= \frac{G}{63}(-I_{ijk}^{(6)}I_{jk} + I_{ijk}^{(5)}I_{jk}^{(1)} - I_{ijk}^{(4)}I_{jk}^{(2)} - I_{ijk}^{(3)}I_{jk}^{(3)} + I_{ijk}^{(2)}I_{jk}^{(4)}) \\
&+ \frac{8G}{45}\epsilon_{ijk}(2I_{jl}J_{kl}^{(5)} + I_{jl}^{(1)}J_{kl}^{(4)} - I_{jl}^{(2)}J_{kl}^{(3)} - I_{jl}^{(3)}J_{kl}^{(2)} + I_{jl}^{(4)}J_{kl}^{(1)}) + \frac{8G}{15}J_jJ_{ij}^{(4)} \\
&+ \frac{G}{105}\sum_A m_A \left[ (11\mathbf{x}_A^2\mathbf{x}_A^j\delta^{ik} - 17\mathbf{x}_A^i\mathbf{x}_A^j\mathbf{x}_A^k)I_{jk}^{(6)} \right. \\
&\left. + (34(\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_A)\mathbf{x}_A^j\delta^{ik} - 11\mathbf{x}_A^2\mathbf{v}_A^j\delta^{ik} - 46\mathbf{v}_A^i\mathbf{x}_A^j\mathbf{x}_A^k - 22\mathbf{x}_A^i\mathbf{x}_A^j\mathbf{v}_A^k)I_{jk}^{(5)} \right]. \quad (\text{A11})
\end{aligned}$$

Next, the 3.5PN COM position  $\mathbf{G}^i$  is related to  $\mathbf{P}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i$  by [53]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{G}^i}{dt} = \mathbf{P}^i - \frac{2G}{21}I^{ijk(3)}J^{jk(3)}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

We equate Eq. (A12) with the total 3.5PN expansion of the flux of the COM position, which can be constructed by some total time derivatives,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathbf{G}^i}{dt} &= \frac{d\mathbf{G}_{3.5\text{PN}}^i}{dt} + \frac{d\mathbf{G}_{1\text{PN}}^i}{dt} \Big|_{\mathbf{a}_{2.5\text{PN}}} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{G}{63}(-I_{jk}I_{ijk}^{(5)} + 2I_{jk}^{(1)}I_{ijk}^{(4)} - 3I_{jk}^{(2)}I_{ijk}^{(3)} - 4I_{jk}^{(3)}I_{ijk}^{(2)} + 5I_{jk}^{(4)}I_{ijk}^{(1)}) \right. \\
&+ \frac{8G}{45}\epsilon^{ijk}(2I_{jm}J_{km}^{(4)} - I_{jm}^{(1)}J_{km}^{(3)} - I_{jm}^{(3)}J_{km}^{(1)} + 2I_{jm}^{(4)}J_{km}) + \frac{8G}{15}J^k J^{ik(3)} \\
&\left. + \frac{G}{105}I_{kl}^{(5)}\sum_A m_A(11\delta^{ik}\mathbf{x}_A^2\mathbf{x}_A^l - 17\mathbf{x}_A^i\mathbf{x}_A^l\mathbf{x}_A^k) \right\} - \frac{8G}{15}\epsilon^{jkl}\sum_A m_A\mathbf{x}_A^k\mathbf{a}_A^l J^{ij(3)}, \quad (\text{A13})
\end{aligned}$$

where all multipole moments are their LO expressions. The last term outside the total derivative vanishes after substituting the Newtonian equations of motion. Therefore, the COM position  $\mathbf{G}^i$  at 3.5PN can be determined as the Schott terms inside the time derivative of Eq. (A13), which contributes to a 4.5PN piece of corrections to the 1PN acceleration.

- 
- [1] J. Aasi et al. Advanced LIGO. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 32:074001, 2015.
  - [2] F. Acernese et al. Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 32(2):024001, 2015.
  - [3] Walter D. Goldberger and Ira Z. Rothstein. An Effective field theory of gravity for extended objects. *Phys. Rev.*, D73:104029, 2006.
  - [4] Stefano Foffa and Riccardo Sturani. Dynamics of the gravitational two-body problem at fourth post-Newtonian order and at quadratic order in the Newton constant. *Phys. Rev. D*, 87(6):064011, 2013.

- [5] Stefano Foffa, Rafael A. Porto, Ira Rothstein, and Riccardo Sturani. Conservative dynamics of binary systems to fourth Post-Newtonian order in the EFT approach II: Renormalized Lagrangian. *Phys. Rev.*, D100(2):024048, 2019.
- [6] Donato Bini and Thibault Damour. Analytical determination of the two-body gravitational interaction potential at the fourth post-Newtonian approximation. *Phys. Rev.*, D87(12):121501, 2013.
- [7] Thibault Damour, Piotr Jaranowski, and Gerhard Schäfer. Nonlocal-In-Time Action for the Fourth Post-Newtonian Conservative Dynamics of Two-Body Systems. *Phys. Rev. D*, 89:064058, 2014.
- [8] Laura Bernard, Luc Blanchet, Alejandro Bohe, Guillaume Faye, and Sylvain Marsat. Energy and periastron advance of compact binaries on circular orbits at the fourth post-Newtonian order. *Phys. Rev.*, D95(4):044026, 2017.
- [9] Adam K. Leibovich, Natalia T. Maia, Ira Z. Rothstein, and Zixin Yang. Second post-Newtonian order radiative dynamics of inspiralling compact binaries in the Effective Field Theory approach. *Phys. Rev. D*, 101(8):084058, 2020.
- [10] Luc Blanchet, Guillaume Faye, Bala R. Iyer, and Benoit Joguet. Gravitational wave inspiral of compact binary systems to 7/2 post-Newtonian order. *Phys. Rev.*, D65:061501, 2002. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* 71, 129902 (2005)].
- [11] Brian A. Pardo and Natália T. Maia. Next-to-leading order spin-orbit effects in the equations of motion, energy loss and phase evolution of binaries of compact bodies in the effective field theory approach. *Phys. Rev. D*, 102:124020, 2020.
- [12] Gihyuk Cho, Brian Pardo, and Rafael A. Porto. Gravitational radiation from inspiralling compact objects: Spin-spin effects completed at the next-to-leading post-Newtonian order. *Phys. Rev. D*, 104(2):024037, 2021.
- [13] Gihyuk Cho, Rafael A. Porto, and Zixin Yang. Gravitational radiation from inspiralling compact objects: Spin effects to the fourth post-Newtonian order. *Phys. Rev. D*, 106(10):L101501, 2022.
- [14] Kip S. Thorne. Nonradial Pulsation of General-Relativistic Stellar Models.IV. The Weakfield Limit. *Astrophys. J.*, 158:997, 1969.
- [15] William L. Burke. Gravitational Radiation Damping of Slowly Moving Systems Calculated Using Matched Asymptotic Expansions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 12:401–418, 1971.

- [16] Chad R. Galley and B. L. Hu. Self-force on extreme mass ratio inspirals via curved spacetime effective field theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 79:064002, 2009.
- [17] Chad R. Galley and Manuel Tiglio. Radiation reaction and gravitational waves in the effective field theory approach. *Phys. Rev. D*, 79:124027, 2009.
- [18] Chad R. Galley and Adam K. Leibovich. Radiation reaction at 3.5 post-Newtonian order in effective field theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:044029, Aug 2012.
- [19] Julian S. Schwinger. Brownian motion of a quantum oscillator. *J. Math. Phys.*, 2:407–432, 1961.
- [20] L. V. Keldysh. Diagram technique for nonequilibrium processes. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, 47:1515–1527, 1964. [Sov. Phys. JETP20,1018(1965)].
- [21] Chad R. Galley. Classical Mechanics of Nonconservative Systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 110(17):174301, 2013.
- [22] Chad R. Galley, David Tsang, and Leo C. Stein. The principle of stationary nonconservative action for classical mechanics and field theories. 2014.
- [23] Bala R. Iyer and C. M. Will. Post-Newtonian gravitational radiation reaction for two-body systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 70:113–116, 1993.
- [24] L. Blanchet. Time asymmetric structure of gravitational radiation. *Phys. Rev.*, D47:4392–4420, 1993.
- [25] Bala R. Iyer and C. M. Will. Post-Newtonian gravitational radiation reaction for two-body systems: Nonspinning bodies. *Phys. Rev.*, D52:6882–6893, 1995.
- [26] Luc Blanchet. Energy losses by gravitational radiation in inspiraling compact binaries to five halves post-Newtonian order. *Phys. Rev. D*, D54:1417–1438, 1996. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* 71, 129904 (2005)].
- [27] Piotr Jaranowski and Gerhard Schaefer. Radiative 3.5 postNewtonian ADM Hamiltonian for many body point - mass systems. *Phys. Rev. D*, 55:4712–4722, 1997.
- [28] Christian Konigsdorffer, Guillaume Faye, and Gerhard Schaefer. The Binary black hole dynamics at the third-and-a-half postNewtonian order in the ADM formalism. *Phys. Rev. D*, 68:044004, 2003.
- [29] Samaya Nissanke and Luc Blanchet. Gravitational radiation reaction in the equations of motion of compact binaries to 3.5 post-Newtonian order. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 22:1007–1032, 2005.

- [30] Michael E. Pati and Clifford M. Will. PostNewtonian gravitational radiation and equations of motion via direct integration of the relaxed Einstein equations. 2. Two-body equations of motion to second postNewtonian order, and radiation reaction to 3.5 postNewtonia order. *Phys. Rev. D*, 65:104008, 2002.
- [31] Yousuke Itoh. Third-and-a-half order post-Newtonian equations of motion for relativistic compact binaries using the strong field point particle limit. *Phys. Rev. D*, 80:124003, 2009.
- [32] A. Gopakumar, Bala R. Iyer, and Sai Iyer. Second post-Newtonian gravitational radiation reaction for two-body systems: Nonspinning bodies. *Phys. Rev. D*, D55:6030–6053, 1997. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* 57, 6562 (1998)].
- [33] Luc Blanchet, Thibault Damour, Bala R. Iyer, Clifford M. Will, and Alan G. Wiseman. Gravitational radiation damping of compact binary systems to second postNewtonian order. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74:3515–3518, 1995.
- [34] Gabriel Luz Almeida, Stefano Foffa, and Riccardo Sturani. Gravitational radiation contributions to the two-body scattering angle. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107(2):024020, 2023.
- [35] Tanguy Marchand, Luc Blanchet, and Guillaume Faye. Gravitational-wave tail effects to quartic non-linear order. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 33(24):244003, 2016.
- [36] S. Foffa and Riccardo Sturani. Tail terms in gravitational radiation reaction via effective field theory. *Phys. Rev.*, D87(4):044056, 2013.
- [37] Chad R. Galley, Adam K. Leibovich, Rafael A. Porto, and Andreas Ross. Tail effect in gravitational radiation reaction: Time nonlocality and renormalization group evolution. *Phys. Rev. D*, 93:124010, 2016.
- [38] Stefano Foffa and Riccardo Sturani. Hereditary terms at next-to-leading order in two-body gravitational dynamics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 101(6):064033, 2020. [Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* 103, 089901 (2021)].
- [39] Alex Edison and Michèle Levi. A tale of tails through generalized unitarity. *Phys. Lett. B*, 837:137634, 2023.
- [40] Clifford M. Will. Post-Newtonian gravitational radiation and equations of motion via direct integration of the relaxed Einstein equations. III. Radiation reaction for binary systems with spinning bodies. *Phys. Rev. D*, 71:084027, 2005.
- [41] Han Wang and Clifford M. Will. Post-Newtonian gravitational radiation and equations of motion via direct integration of the relaxed Einstein equations. IV. Radiation reaction for

- binary systems with spin-spin coupling. *Phys. Rev. D*, 75:064017, 2007.
- [42] Natalia T. Maia, Chad R. Galley, Adam K. Leibovich, and Rafael A. Porto. Radiation reaction for spinning bodies in effective field theory I: Spin-orbit effects. *Phys. Rev.*, D96(8):084064, 2017.
- [43] Natalia T. Maia, Chad R. Galley, Adam K. Leibovich, and Rafael A. Porto. Radiation reaction for spinning bodies in effective field theory II: Spin-spin effects. *Phys. Rev.*, D96(8):084065, 2017.
- [44] Walter D. Goldberger and Andreas Ross. Gravitational radiative corrections from effective field theory. *Phys. Rev.*, D81:124015, 2010.
- [45] Andreas Ross. Multipole expansion at the level of the action. *Phys. Rev.*, D85:125033, 2012.
- [46] Rafael A. Porto, Andreas Ross, and Ira Z. Rothstein. Spin induced multipole moments for the gravitational wave amplitude from binary inspirals to 2.5 Post-Newtonian order. *JCAP*, 1209:028, 2012.
- [47] Rafael A. Porto, Andreas Ross, and Ira Z. Rothstein. Spin induced multipole moments for the gravitational wave flux from binary inspirals to third post-Newtonian order. *JCAP*, 03:009, 2011.
- [48] Luc Blanchet and Bala R. Iyer. Third postNewtonian dynamics of compact binaries: Equations of motion in the center-of-mass frame. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 20:755, 2003.
- [49] G. A. Schott. VI. On the motion of the lorentz electron. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 29(169):49–62, 1915.
- [50] Geoffrey Compère, Roberto Oliveri, and Ali Seraj. The Poincaré and BMS flux-balance laws with application to binary systems. *JHEP*, 10:116, 2020.
- [51] J. M. Martín-García. xAct: Efficient tensor computer algebra for the Wolfram Language. <https://www.xAct.es>.
- [52] Luc Blanchet. Gravitational radiation reaction and balance equations to postNewtonian order. *Phys. Rev. D*, 55:714–732, 1997.
- [53] Luc Blanchet and Guillaume Faye. Flux-balance equations for linear momentum and center-of-mass position of self-gravitating post-Newtonian systems. *Class. Quant. Grav.*, 36(8):085003, 2019.