



CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Pion production in a transport model based on mean fields from chiral effective field theory

Zhen Zhang and Che Ming Ko

Phys. Rev. C **98**, 054614 — Published 26 November 2018

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.98.054614](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.98.054614)

Pion production in a transport model based on mean fields from chiral effective field theory

Zhen Zhang*

*Cyclotron Institute and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA and*

Sino-French Institute of Nuclear Engineering and Technology, Sun Yat-Sen University, Zhuhai 519082, China[†]

Che Ming Ko[‡]

*Cyclotron Institute and Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, USA*

(Dated: October 17, 2018)

We develop a Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck transport model based on the $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$ energy density functional, which is constructed from fitting the nuclear equation of state and nucleon effective masses in asymmetric nuclear matter predicted by the two- and three-body chiral interactions as well as the binding energies of finite nuclei. This new χBUU transport model is then used to study how baryon mean-field potentials affect the kinematics of a scattering or decay process and the equilibrium properties of a hot $\text{N}-\Delta-\pi$ system in a box with periodic boundary conditions. We find that the inclusion of mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition for scattering and decay processes is necessary to maintain the equilibrium numbers of N , Δ and π . Although the baryon mean-field potentials have significant effects on the total Δ and π numbers, they only slightly affect the ratio of effective negatively to positively charged pions. We also study pion production in central $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at the incident energy of $E/A=400$ MeV, and compare the results with the experimental data from the FOPI Collaboration at GSI. We find that our model can well describe the experimental results, and the threshold effect due to baryon mean-field potentials does not affect much the charged pion ratio in this model. We further make predictions on pion production for the ongoing experiments of $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ at the incident energy of $E/A = 270$ MeV by the SPiRIT Collaboration at RIKEN in Japan.

PACS numbers: 25.75.Dw, 21.65.+f, 21.30.Fe, 24.10.Jv, 24.10.Lx

I. INTRODUCTION

Chiral effective field theory provides a systematic framework for constructing the nucleon-nucleon interactions based on the symmetries of QCD and their breakings [1, 2]. The resulting chiral nuclear interactions have been extensively used in various many-body methods, such as the no-core shell model [3], Quantum Monte-Carlo methods [4], coupled-cluster method [5], and in-medium similarity renormalization group theory [6], to study properties of light and medium-mass nuclei as well as infinite nuclear matter. Although significant progresses have been achieved in these ab initio calculations, it is still a challenge to use chiral nuclear interactions for studying more complicated nuclear systems, such as heavy nuclei and neutron stars. Also, there has not been any attempt to implement the chiral nuclear interactions in the transport model to study the dynamics of heavy-ion collisions. For these cases, the most feasible approach is to use the nuclear energy density functional constrained by chiral effective field theory [7–9]. Very recently, a new Skyrme energy density func-

tional, called $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$, has been constructed from fitting the equation of state of asymmetric nuclear matter and nucleon effective masses from chiral two- and three-body forces [10, 11] as well as the binding energies of finite nuclei, and it has been successfully used in studying the nuclear giant dipole resonance [8]. In this work, we employ the $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$ energy density functional in a Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck (BUU) transport model to study pion production in intermediate energy heavy ion collisions.

The study of pion production in heavy ion collisions near the threshold energy has recently attracted much attention since the charged pion ratio from these collisions has been proposed as a unique probe of the high-density behavior of nuclear symmetry energy [12]. The latter is essential for understanding the properties of neutron stars and the gravitational waves from spiraling neutron star binary, but is still poorly known [13–17]. During the last decade, a lot of efforts have been devoted to study and explore the possibility of determining from pion production in heavy ion collisions the properties of nuclear symmetry energy at high densities [18–32]. With soon to be available systematic experimental measurements of the pion yield from intermediate energy heavy ion collisions, which are being carried out by the FRIB-RIKEN SPiRIT Collaboration in Japan [33], theoretical studies of pion production becomes even more important.

Before employing the $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$ energy density functional to study pion production in heavy ion collisions, we first

*zhangzh275@mail.sysu.edu.cn

[†]Present address

[‡]ko@comp.tamu.edu

carry out a box calculation with periodic boundary conditions to investigate the effect of energy conservation in nucleon- Δ inelastic scattering, Δ decay, and pion absorption in the presence of mean-field potentials on the equilibrium properties of a hot $N-\Delta-\pi$ matter. We find that the inclusion of baryon mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition is necessary to maintain the equilibrium numbers and momentum distributions of N , Δ and π . Without taking into this account, the Δ and π equilibrium numbers would be significantly affected, although the charged pion ratio is only slightly modified. We then study pion production in central $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at the incident energy of $E/A = 400$ MeV. As in the case of box calculations, including baryon mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition in nucleon- Δ inelastic scattering, Δ decay, and pion absorption has a significant effect on the total pion yield but only slightly affects the charged pion ratio. By introducing a density dependence in the delta production cross section, our model can well reproduce the experimental data on both the total pion yield and the charged pion ratio. Finally, we make predictions on the double and subtracted charged pion ratio as well as the isoscaling pion yield ratio in mid-central $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ collisions at the incident energy of $E/A = 270$ MeV that are being studied in the ongoing experiment by the SPiRiT Collaboration.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give an introduction to our Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck transport model including the baryon mean-field potentials, the scattering cross sections and the in-medium delta decay width, and the detailed balance relations for the $NN \leftrightarrow N\Delta$ and $\Delta \leftrightarrow N\pi$ processes in medium. Results from box calculations and heavy ion collisions using this transport model are shown in Sec. III. Finally, we give a summary in Sec. IV.

II. THE BOLTZMANN-UEHLING-UHLENBECK MODEL

In the present work, the time evolution of the single-particle distribution functions $f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}; t)$, where i denotes N , Δ and π in various charge states, in phase space is described by the Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation, which can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_i + \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} E_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} f_i - \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} E_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}} f_i = \mathcal{C}. \quad (1)$$

In the above, E_i is the single-particle energy and \mathcal{C} denotes the collision integral, which includes baryon-baryon elastic scattering, inelastic scattering that produces or absorbs a Δ resonance, Δ decay and π absorption. Because of the nonrelativistic nature of Sk χ m* interaction, we treat nucleons and Δ resonances as nonrelativistic particles with their single particle energies given by $E_i = m_i + \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m_i} + U_i$, where m_i , \mathbf{p}_i and U_i are the

mass, momentum, and potential, respectively. For pions, they are treated as relativistic particles due to their small masses (138 MeV), and their single particle energies are taken to be $E_\pi = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + \mathbf{p}_\pi^2}$ by neglecting their potentials as in most transport models.

A. Baryon mean-field potentials

We only include the mean-field potentials of baryons while treat pions as if they are in free space. The potentials for nucleons and Δ resonances are taken from the nonrelativistic Sk χ m* energy density functional, which is constructed from fitting the nuclear equation of state and nucleon effective masses from the chiral effective field theory and the binding energies of finite nuclei [8]. In uniform nuclear matter, the potential energy part of the Sk χ m* energy density functional has the form [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{\text{pot}}(\mathbf{r}) = & A_0 \rho^2 - A_1 (\rho_n^2 + \rho_p^2) \\ & + B_0 \rho^{\alpha+2} - B_1 \rho^\alpha (\rho_n^2 + \rho_p^2) \\ & + C \int d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{p}' f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2 \\ & + D \int d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{p}' [f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2 \\ & + f_p(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) f_p(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $f = f_p + f_n$ with $f_p(f_n)$ being the phase-space distribution function of proton (neutron), and $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_p(\mathbf{r}) + \rho_n(\mathbf{r})$ with $\rho_p(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3 \mathbf{p} f_p(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$ [$\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3 \mathbf{p} f_n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p})$] being the proton (neutron) density at \mathbf{r} . The coefficients A_0 , A_1 , B_0 , B_1 , C and D can be expressed in terms of the parameters in the conventional Skyrme interaction,

$$\begin{aligned} v(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = & t_0 (1 + x_0 P_\sigma) \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} t_1 (1 + x_1 P_\sigma) [\mathbf{p}'^2 \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) + \text{c.c.}] \\ & + t_2 (1 + x_2 P_\sigma) \mathbf{p}' \cdot \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \mathbf{p} \\ & + \frac{1}{6} t_3 (1 + x_3 P_\sigma) \rho^\alpha \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2}{2} \right) \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \\ & + i W_0 (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2) \cdot [\mathbf{p}' \times \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \mathbf{p}], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i$ is the Pauli spin operator, $P_\sigma = (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2)/2$ is the spin-exchange operator, $\mathbf{p} = -i(\nabla_1 - \nabla_2)/2$ is the relative momentum operator, and \mathbf{p}' is the conjugate

operator of \mathbf{p} acting on the left. Specifically, they are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &= \frac{1}{4}t_0(2+x_0), \\
A_1 &= \frac{1}{4}t_0(1+2x_0), \\
B_0 &= \frac{1}{24}t_3(2+x_3), \\
B_1 &= \frac{1}{24}t_3(1+2x_3), \\
C &= \frac{1}{16}[t_1(2+x_1)+t_2(2+x_2)], \\
D &= \frac{1}{16}[t_2(2x_2+1)-t_1(2x_1+1)]. \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Values of these Skyrme parameters can be found in Ref. [8].

The single-particle potential of a nucleon, which can be calculated from $\partial\epsilon_{\text{pot}}/\partial\rho_q$ or $\frac{\partial\epsilon_{\text{pot}}/\partial f_q}{\partial\rho_q/\partial f_q}$, is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
U_q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}) &= 2A_0\rho - 2A_1\rho_q + B_0(\alpha+2)\rho^{\alpha+1} \\
&\quad - B_1\alpha\rho^{\alpha-1}(\rho_n^2 + \rho_p^2) - 2B_1\rho^\alpha\rho_q \\
&\quad + 2C \int d^3\mathbf{p}'(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2 f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') \\
&\quad + 2D \int d^3\mathbf{p}'(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2 f_q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') \\
&= a_q\mathbf{p}^2 - \mathbf{b}_q \cdot \mathbf{p} + c_q, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $q = p, n$, and the coefficients a_q , \mathbf{p}_q and c_q are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
a_q &= 2C\rho + 2D\rho_q \\
\mathbf{b}_q &= 4C \int d^3\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}'f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') + 4D \int d^3\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}'_q f_q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}'_q) \\
c_q &= 2A_0\rho - 2A_1\rho_q + B_0(\alpha+2)\rho^{\alpha+1} \\
&\quad - B_1\alpha\rho^{\alpha-1}(\rho_n^2 + \rho_p^2) - 2B_1\rho^\alpha\rho_q \\
&\quad + 2C \int d^3\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}'^2 f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}') + 2D \int d^3\mathbf{p}'_q\mathbf{p}'_q^2 f_q(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}'_q). \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

For the potentials of Δ resonances, they are determined from those of nucleons according to the extensively used relations in literatures based on isospin considerations [16],

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{\Delta^{++}} &= U_p, \\
U_{\Delta^+} &= \frac{2}{3}U_p + \frac{1}{3}U_n, \\
U_{\Delta^0} &= \frac{1}{3}U_p + \frac{2}{3}U_n, \\
U_{\Delta^-} &= U_n. \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

For example, we have the coefficients $a_{\Delta^+} = 2a_p/3 + a_n/3$, $\mathbf{b}_{\Delta^+} = 2\mathbf{b}_p/3 + \mathbf{b}_n/3$ and $c_{\Delta^+} = 2c_p/3 + c_n/3$ for the Δ^+ resonance.




FIG. 1: (Color online) Momentum dependence of the nucleon single-particle potential in symmetric nuclear matter at saturation density from the Sk χ m* interaction. Also shown are the optical potential predicted by the chiral effective theory [35] and the empirical optical potential from Hama *et al.* [36, 37].

Figure 1 shows the momentum dependence of the nucleon single-particle potential in symmetric nuclear matter at saturation density from the Sk χ m* interaction. For comparison, we also show by filled circles the predictions from the chiral effective field theory [35] and by filled squares the empirical optical potential from Hama *et al.* [36, 37]. It is seen that the Sk χ m* interaction can reasonably describe these nucleon single-particle potentials up to momentum $p \sim 700$ MeV.

From Eq. (5), we find that baryons in the Sk χ m* interaction can be treated like free particles with the effective mass m_q^* , kinetic momentum \mathbf{p}^* and energy E^* defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2m_q^*} &= \frac{1}{2m_q} + a_q \\
\mathbf{p}_q^* &= \mathbf{p}_q - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q, \\
E_q^* &= E_q - \Sigma_q^0, \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_q^0 = c_q - \frac{m_q^* b_q^2}{2} + m_q - m_q^*$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q = m_q^* \mathbf{b}_q$. In terms of these quantities, the kinetic and canonical energies of a baryon can be expressed as $E_q^* = m_q^* + \mathbf{p}_q^{*2}/(2m_q^*)$ and $E_q = m_q^* + \mathbf{p}_q^{*2}/(2m_q^*) + \Sigma_q^0$, respectively. It should be noted that the total kinetic momentum \mathbf{p}^* and energy E^* in an inelastic collision, such as $N + N \leftrightarrow N + \Delta$, are not necessarily conserved due to the difference between initial and final potentials, although the total canonical momentum \mathbf{p} and energy E are conserved.

B. Scattering cross sections and Delta decay width

Following the relativistic Valsov-Uehling-Uhlenbeck (RVUU) model [38, 39] used in Ref. [27], we use the baryon-baryon elastic cross sections parameterized in

Ref. [40], and the Δ production cross section from the one-boson exchange model [41]. As discussed in Ref. [27], the baryon mean-field potentials modify the Δ production threshold and also the total energy of two colliding nucleons, leading to a change in the Δ production cross section called the threshold effect [26, 27]. For the $N + N \rightarrow N + \Delta$ reaction in the presence of mean-field potentials, the energy in the final state is

$$\begin{aligned} E_f &= m_3^* + m_4^* + \frac{\mathbf{p}_3^{*2}}{2m_3^*} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_4^{*2}}{2m_4^*} + \Sigma_3^0 + \Sigma_4^0 \\ &= m_3^* + m_4^* + \frac{(\mathbf{p}_3^* + \mathbf{p}_4^*)^2}{2(m_3^* + m_4^*)} + \frac{\mathbf{k}^{*2}}{2\mu_{34}} \\ &\quad + \Sigma_3^0 + \Sigma_4^0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with m_3^* and \mathbf{p}_3^* being the effective mass and kinetic momentum of nucleon, and m_4^* and \mathbf{p}_4^* being those of Δ . The second line with the reduced effective mass $\mu_{34} = m_3^*m_4^*/(m_3^* + m_4^*)$ follows after introducing the relative kinetic momentum $\mathbf{k}^* = \mathbf{p}_3^* - m_3^*\frac{\mathbf{p}_3^* + \mathbf{p}_4^*}{(m_3^* + m_4^*)} = -\left[\mathbf{p}_4^* - m_4^*\frac{\mathbf{p}_3^* + \mathbf{p}_4^*}{(m_3^* + m_4^*)}\right]$. The threshold energy can then be determined by taking $\mathbf{p}_3^* + \mathbf{p}_4^* = 0$ and $\mathbf{k}^* = 0$ [27], leading to the following invariant threshold energy

$$\sqrt{s_{\text{th}}} = \sqrt{(m_3^* + m_4^* + \Sigma_3^0 + \Sigma_4^0)^2 - (\Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4)^2}. \quad (10)$$

As an example, for a head-on collision in the local rest frame, i.e., $\mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4 \simeq 0$, one has $\mathbf{b}_{3,4} \simeq 0$. As a result, $\Sigma_{3,4} = 0$ and $\Sigma_{3,4}^0 = c_{3,4} + m_{3,4} - m_{3,4}^*$, and the difference of the total energy and the threshold energy in the frame $\mathbf{p}_3^* + \mathbf{p}_4^* = 0$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{s_{\text{in}}} - \sqrt{s_{\text{th}}} &\simeq m_1 + m_2 - m_3 - m_4 \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^2}{2m_1^*} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_2^2}{2m_2^*} + c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - c_4, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where m_1 and m_2 denote the masses of initial two nucleons in the reaction. For nuclear matter at zero temperature and of density $\rho_N = 1.5\rho_0$ and isospin asymmetry $\delta = 0.2$, the Sk χ m * interaction gives $m_n^* = 687.7$ MeV, $m_p^* = 659.4$ MeV, $c_n = -64.10$ MeV and $c_p = -77.05$ MeV. Due to the $c_1 + c_2 - c_3 - c_4$ term in Eq.(11), the energy difference is increased (reduced) by 12.95 MeV for the reaction $n + n \rightarrow p + \Delta^-$ ($p + p \rightarrow n + \Delta^{++}$) reactions. This would lead to enhanced and suppressed production cross sections for Δ^- and Δ^{++} in neutron-rich nuclear matter, respectively, if the scattering amplitudes for these reactions are assumed to be not affected by the medium. Since nucleon effective masses in nuclear medium are less than their bare masses, the energy difference turns out to always increase, leading thus to enhanced Δ production cross sections in nuclear medium. In particular, for $p_1 = p_2 \approx 360$ MeV, which is roughly the minimum momentum that can produce a Δ resonance in a head-on nucleon-nucleon collision in vacuum,

the energy difference increases by about 55 MeV due to the change of nucleon effective masses, which can lead to a significant enhancement of Δ production in all channels. Because of $m_n^* > m_p^*$, the effective mass effect enhances Δ^{++} production more than Δ^- production. For the π^-/π^+ ratio in heavy ion collisions, there is therefore a competition between the effects of the baryon effective masses and the momentum-independent part of baryon mean-field potentials.

For a Δ resonance of mass m_Δ and in isospin state m_T , we take its decay width to have the following form [42]:

$$\Gamma = \sum_{m_t} \frac{0.47Cq^3}{m_\pi^2 + 0.6q^2}, \quad (12)$$

where m_t is the isospin state of the emitted pion; $C = \left|\left\langle \frac{3}{2}, m_T \left| 1, m_t; \frac{1}{2}, m_T - m_t \right\rangle\right|^2$ is the square of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient from the isospin coupling; and q is the magnitude of the momentum of pion or nucleon in the rest frame of Δ and is given by

$$q = \frac{\sqrt{[m_\Delta'^2 - (m_N' + m_\pi)^2][m_\Delta'^2 - (m_N' - m_\pi)^2]}}{2m_\Delta'}. \quad (13)$$

Here the in-medium mass m_q' is defined as $E_q(p_q = 0)$ to include the effect of mean-field potentials on the Δ decay width. The spectral function of Δ resonance is then given by

$$\mathcal{A}(m') = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \frac{4m_0'^2 \Gamma(m')}{(m'^2 - m_0'^2)^2 + m_0'^2 \Gamma^2(m')}, \quad (14)$$

where m_0' is the pole mass (1.232 GeV) of the Δ resonance shifted by the mean-field potential and \mathcal{N} is the normalization factor determined by $\int \frac{dm}{2\pi} \mathcal{A}(m) = 1$.

C. Detailed balance relations in nuclear medium

In the last section, we have introduced the Delta production cross section and decay width in nuclear medium. For the inverse processes, they can be determined by the detailed balance relations.

Considering the reaction $N + N \rightarrow N + \Delta$ of nucleons and Delta in certain isospin states and labeling the initial two nucleons by 1 and 2 and the final nucleon and Delta by 3 and 4, respectively, the total cross section in the nonrelativistic approximation can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta} &= \int \frac{dm_4}{2\pi} \mathcal{A}(m_4) \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_3^*}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_4^*}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta}|^2}{|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2|} \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2$ is the squared invariant scattering amplitude after averaging over the spins of initial particles and summing over the spins of final particles, m_4 is the mass of Δ , p_i (p_i^*) is the canonical (kinetic) four momentum of

particle i ($i=1,2,3,4$), and $|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2| = |\mathbf{p}_1^*/m_1^* - \mathbf{p}_2^*/m_2^*|$ is the relative velocity of particles 1 and 2. In terms of their relative kinetic momentum

$$\mathbf{k}_i^* = \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^*}{m_1^*} - m_1^* \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^* + \mathbf{p}_2^*}{m_1^* + m_2^*} = - \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_2^*}{m_2^*} - m_2^* \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^* + \mathbf{p}_2^*}{m_1^* + m_2^*} \right) \quad (16)$$

and evaluating the integral in the center-of-mass frame, the cross section becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int dm_4 \mathcal{A}(m_4) \int 4\pi k_f^{*2} dk_f^* \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta}}|^2}{k_i^*/\mu_i} \\ & \times \delta \left(E_f' - \frac{k_f^{*2}}{2\mu_f} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{\mu_i}{k_i^*} \int dm_4 \mathcal{A}(m_4) k_f^* \mu_f |\overline{\mathcal{M}_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta}}|^2, \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_i = m_1^* m_2^*/(m_1^* + m_2^*)$ is the reduced effective mass of initial particles, and $\mu_f = m_3^* m_4^*/(m_3^* + m_4^*)$ and k_f^* are, respectively, the reduced effective mass of final particles and their relative momentum introduced below Eq.(9), which can be determined from energy and momentum conservations. The mass of Δ resonance produced in the reaction $N + N \rightarrow N + \Delta$ can have various values with their distribution given by its spectral function $\mathcal{A}(m_\Delta)$ [Eq.(14)], and is determined according to the probability

$$P(m_\Delta) = \frac{\mathcal{A}(m_\Delta) k_f^* \mu_f}{\int dm_\Delta \mathcal{A}(m_\Delta) k_f^* \mu_f}. \quad (18)$$

For a Δ resonance of mass m_Δ , its absorption cross section by a nucleon in nucleon medium via the inverse reaction $N + \Delta \rightarrow N + N$ is given by

$$\sigma_{N\Delta \rightarrow NN} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{k_i^*}{k_f^*} \mu_i \mu_f |\overline{\mathcal{M}_{N\Delta \rightarrow NN}}|^2. \quad (19)$$

Comparing Eq. (17) and (19) and using the relation $|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta}}|^2 = 2|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{N\Delta \rightarrow NN}}|^2$, we obtain the detailed balance relation as

$$\sigma_{N\Delta \rightarrow NN} = \frac{\sigma_{12}}{2(1 + \delta_{12})} \frac{k_i^{*2}}{k_f^*} \int \frac{dm'}{2\pi} k_f^{*'} \mu_f' \mathcal{A}(m'). \quad (20)$$

For the total decay width of a Delta in nuclear medium, it is already given in Eq.(12) as the sum of its partial widths. As to the cross section of the inverse process $\pi + N \rightarrow \Delta$ in a particular isospin channel, we can obtain it from the partial decay width of the Delta in this isospin channel by using the detailed balance relation as described in the following. Since the $N + \pi$ center-of-mass frame cannot be trivially defined when nucleons and pions are treated differently with nucleons as non-relativistic particles and pions as relativistic particles, we therefore treat both Δ decay and pion absorption in

the nuclear matter frame and express the decay width of Δ in terms of the squared invariant scattering amplitude $|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2$ averaged over the spin of Δ and summed over the spin of nucleon, that is

$$\Gamma = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_N^*}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2}{2\omega} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_N + p_\pi - p_\Delta), \quad (21)$$

with $\omega(p) = \sqrt{m_\pi^2 + p^2}$ being the pion energy. Taking the direction of $\mathbf{P}^* = \mathbf{p}_N^* + \mathbf{p}_\pi = \mathbf{p}_\Delta - \Sigma_N$ as the z -axis, the decay width can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int d^3 \mathbf{p}_\pi \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2}{\omega} \\ & \times \delta \left(E_\Delta - m_N - \frac{(\mathbf{P}^* - \mathbf{p}_\pi)^2}{2m_N^*} - \Sigma_N^0 - \omega \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int p_\pi^2 dp_\pi \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2}{\omega} \frac{m_N^*}{P^* p_\pi} \\ &= \frac{m_N^* |\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2}{4\pi P^*} \int \frac{p_\pi}{\omega} dp_\pi \\ &= \frac{m_N^* |\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2}{4\pi P^*} [\omega(p_{\max}) - \omega(p_{\min})], \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

where p_{\min} and p_{\max} are the allowed minimum and maximum pion momenta and can be analytically determined from the energy conservation in Δ decay and the condition of $-1 \leq \cos\theta \leq 1$, with θ being the angle between the pion momentum and the z -axis. In the above, we have assumed that the squared invariant transition matrix element $|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2$ is independent of the pion momentum \mathbf{p}_π . The decay width of Δ of certain charge state can be obtained from Eq.(22) by taking into consideration of appropriate isospin factors.

The spin averaged cross section for the process $N + \pi \rightarrow \Delta$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{N\pi \rightarrow \Delta} &= \int \frac{dm}{2\pi} \mathcal{A}(m) \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_\Delta^*}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{N\pi \rightarrow \Delta}}|^2}{2\omega |\mathbf{v}_N - \mathbf{v}_\pi|} \\ & \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_N + p_\pi - p_\Delta), \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{A}(m) |\overline{\mathcal{M}_{N\pi \rightarrow \Delta}}|^2}{2\omega |\mathbf{v}_N - \mathbf{v}_\pi|} \frac{1}{|1 - \frac{p_\Delta}{m_\Delta}|} \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_N = \mathbf{p}_N^*/m_N^*$ and $\mathbf{v}_\pi = \mathbf{p}_\pi/\omega$. Using the relation $2|\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\Delta \rightarrow N\pi}}|^2 = |\overline{\mathcal{M}_{N\pi \rightarrow \Delta}}|^2$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{N\pi \rightarrow \Delta} &= \frac{4\pi P^*}{m_N^* |\mathbf{v}_N - \mathbf{v}_\pi| \left| 1 - \frac{p_\Delta}{m_\Delta} \right|} \\ & \times \frac{\mathcal{A}(m_\Delta) \Gamma(m_\Delta)}{\omega(p_\pi) [\omega(p_{\max}) - \omega(p_{\min})]}, \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

where the Δ mass is determined by $m_\Delta = P^2/2(E - a_\Delta P^2 + \mathbf{b}_\Delta \cdot \mathbf{P} - c_\Delta)$ with E and \mathbf{P} being, respectively, the total energy and momentum of the colliding nucleon and pion. We note again that both $\sigma_{N\pi \rightarrow \Delta}$ and $\Gamma(m_\Delta)$ refer to the same isospin channel of the processes $\Delta \leftrightarrow N\pi$, while the Delta width in $\mathcal{A}(m_\Delta)$ given in Eq.(14) refers to its total width.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we present results obtained from calculations using the χ BUU transport model for pion production in a hot neutron-rich nuclear matter in a box and from heavy ion collisions. They are obtained by solving the BUU equation using the test particle method [40, 43]. In this method, the time evolution of baryon phase-space distribution functions is described by following equations of motion for test baryons:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{\mathbf{p}^*}{m^*}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{p}} &= \nabla \left(m^* + \frac{\mathbf{p}^{*2}}{2m^*} + \Sigma^0 \right),\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

with the baryon mean-field potentials calculated self-consistently according to the local nucleon and Δ distributions determined from corresponding test particles. The same is for the phase-space distribution functions of pions except that the test pions move freely with the constant velocity $\mathbf{p}/\sqrt{m_\pi^2 + p^2}$ as we have neglected the pion potentials in the present study.

For particle collisions, they are treated using the standard geometric method described in Ref. [40]. However, instead of using the parallel ensemble method, in which only physical particles in each event can collide although particles from many parallel events are used as the test particles for calculating the mean-field potentials, we adopt the partition method [44] by using in addition N_T test particles for a physical particle in an event and reducing accordingly all scattering cross sections by N_T . As to the Δ decay probability in each time step dt , it is determined by its width according to

$$P = 1 - \exp(-\Gamma dt). \quad (26)$$

For both box and heavy ion collisions calculations discussed in the following, we use $N_T = 10$ and $dt = 0.1\text{fm}/c$.

A. Pion production in a box

As in Ref. [45], we first study the role of baryon mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition of collision and decay processes and their effect on pion production in a hot neutron-rich matter. Specifically, we study the final equilibrium numbers of N , Δ and π for the two cases of with and without baryon mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition.

For a static and uniform hadronic matter in a box, the baryon vector potentials \mathbf{b}_i due to the flow effect are absent. For simplicity, we ignore the quantum nature of N , Δ , and π , and take their momentum distributions at thermal equilibrium to be Boltzmann-like. For pions and nucleons, their momentum distributions at temperature

T are then given by

$$f_i(\mathbf{p}_i) = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} \exp \left[-\frac{E_i - \mu_i}{T} \right], \quad (27)$$

where $i = n, p, \pi^+, \pi^0, \pi^-$, $g_i = 1(2)$ is the spin degeneracy of pion (nucleon), μ_i is the chemical potential of particle i and E_i is its energy. For Δ resonances with a mass distributions, their momentum distributions are

$$f_i(\mathbf{p}_i) = 4 \int \frac{dm}{(2\pi)^4} \mathcal{A}(m) \exp \left[-\frac{E_i - \mu_i}{T} \right], \quad (28)$$

where $i = \Delta^{++}, \Delta^+, \Delta^0, \Delta^-$, and the factor 4 is due to the spin degeneracy of Δ .

For N , Δ , and π in chemical equilibrium, their chemical potentials satisfy following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\Delta^{++}} &= 2\mu_p - \mu_n, \\ \mu_{\Delta^+} &= \mu_p, \\ \mu_{\Delta^0} &= \mu_n, \\ \mu_{\Delta^-} &= 2\mu_n - \mu_p, \\ \mu_{\pi^+} &= \mu_p - \mu_n, \\ \mu_{\pi^0} &= 0, \\ \mu_{\pi^-} &= \mu_n - \mu_p.\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

Given the temperature T , baryon density ρ_B , and isovector density $\rho_I = \rho_p - \rho_n + \rho_{\Delta^{++}} + \rho_{\Delta^+}/3 - \rho_{\Delta^0}/3 - \rho_{\Delta^-}$, the mean-field potentials of baryons and their numbers can be obtained by solving above questions iteratively.

In our box calculation, all particles are confined in a cubic box of volume $10 \times 10 \times 10 \text{ fm}^3$ with periodic boundary conditions, and both the Coulomb interaction and the Pauli blocking effect are neglected. Initially, the temperature, baryon and isovector densities are taken to be $T = 60 \text{ MeV}$, $\rho = 0.24 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, and $\rho_I = 0.096 \text{ fm}^{-3}$, respectively, which resemble the conditions of the dense matter in intermediate energy heavy ion collisions where most pions are produced [22]. The resulting parameters in Eq.(6) for nucleon mean-field potentials are $a_p = 0.2617 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $c_p = -59.87 \text{ MeV}$, $a_n = 0.2360 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $c_n = -50.34 \text{ MeV}$. The initial numbers of N , Δ and π of various charges are shown in the first row of Table I. For the initial momentum spectra, which are determined by Eqs. (27) and (28), they are shown by solid lines in Figs. 2 (a), (b) and (c) for neutron, Δ^- and π^- , respectively.

Evaluating baryon mean fields using test particles from 20 parallel events and averaging results from 20 such parallel ensemble calculations, we have studied the time evolutions of Δ and π numbers for the two cases of with and without baryon mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition of scattering and decay processes. As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, the numbers of Δ and π in the ‘Sk χ m*’ case remain almost unchanged except small statistical fluctuations due to the finite numbers of test particles and events used in the calculations. In the ‘free’ case without including the baryon mean-field potentials

TABLE I: Temperature T , chemical potentials of neutron μ_n and proton μ_p , multiplicities of nucleons, Deltas, and pions, pion-like particle multiplicity π_{like} , and effective charged pion ratio $(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{\text{like}}$ in initial and final states in the ‘free’ case (see text for details) from thermal model calculations.

	T (MeV)	μ_n (MeV)	μ_p (MeV)	N	Z	Δ^{++}	Δ^+	Δ^0	Δ^-	π^+	π^0	π^-	π_{like}	$(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{\text{like}}$
initial	60	891.7	865.6	134.1	96.9	1.12	1.67	2.49	3.72	0.79	1.22	1.88	12.9	2.61
final (free)	47.2	934.6	916.7	140.6	96.2	0.42	0.61	0.89	1.30	0.28	0.40	0.59	4.48	2.44




FIG. 2: (Color online) Momentum distributions of neutron (left panel), Δ^- (middle panel) and π^- (right panel) in a box at $t = 50$ fm/c. Solid lines are theoretical momentum distributions from the thermal model with the inclusion of baryon potentials at $T = 60$ MeV, and dash lines are those in the final state of the ‘free’ case (see text for details). Solid circles are results from χ BUU model including the effect of baryon potentials in the energy conservation condition in elementary reactions (Sk χ m*), and open circles are those without including this effect (free).

in the energy conservation conditions of scattering and decay processes, the numbers of Δ and π decrease with time. The final equilibrium numbers in the system for the ‘free’ case can also be determined by thermal model calculations using the conditions of energy, baryon density and isospin density conservations. These results are given in the second rows of Tab. I, and also shown in Figs. 3 and 4 by open circles. It is seen that the box calculations well reproduce the thermal model results with a deviation less than $\sim 2\%$. The momentum distributions of neutron, Δ^- and π^- in the box at $t = 50$ fm/c for the two cases are shown by solid and open circles in Figs. 2 (a), (b) and (c), respectively, while corresponding momentum spectra from the thermal model are exhibited as curves. It is seen that in both cases the $N - \Delta - \pi$ system is at the expected thermal equilibrium states.

We note that including mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition in elementary reactions is also needed to guarantee the conservation of the total energy of the system, which can be evaluated according to

$$E = \sum_i \left(m_i + \frac{p_i^2}{2m_i} + \frac{1}{2}U_i \right) + \sum_j \sqrt{m_j^2 + p_j^2} + E_r \quad (30)$$

with $i = n, p, \Delta^{++}, \Delta^+, \Delta^0, \Delta^-$, and $j = \pi^+, \pi^0, \pi^-$.

Here the rearrangement energy E_r is given by

$$E_r = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \rho^\alpha [B_0 \rho^2 - B_1(\rho_p^2 + \rho_n^2)] \quad (31)$$

with the integration over the volume of the box. It is found that with an initial total energy of 237.2 GeV, the total energy increases by only 120 MeV after 50 fm/c for the Sk χ m* case but by 1.02 GeV for the ‘free’ case.

It is known in heavy ion collisions that the effective pion number, which includes all pion-like particles, changes very little after the colliding nuclear matter reaches maximum compression [46, 47]. In our case, the effective pion number is given by the sum of Δ resonance and pion numbers, i.e., $\pi_{\text{like}} = \pi^- + \pi^0 + \pi^+ + \Delta^{++} + \Delta^+ + \Delta^0 + \Delta^-$ as shown in Tab. I. Also shown in Tab. I is the effective charged pion ratio $(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{\text{like}}$ defined as

$$(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{\text{like}} = \frac{\pi^- + \Delta^- + \Delta^0/3}{\pi^+ + \Delta^{++} + \Delta^+/3}. \quad (32)$$

Comparing results with and without baryon potentials, we find that omitting the baryon potentials in the energy conservation condition significantly decreases the effective pion number by a factor of 3 but decreases the effective charged pion ratio by only about 6.5%. The reduction of total pion number by baryon mean-field potentials




FIG. 3: (Color online) Time evolutions of Δ numbers in box calculations for the two cases of with and without mean-field potentials in the energy conservation condition of scattering and decay processes. For comparison, results from the thermal model are shown as open circles.




FIG. 4: (Color online) Same as Fig. 3 for π numbers.

can be understood from the nucleon momentum distribution

$$f(p) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2m^*T}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\mu - m - c}{T}\right). \quad (33)$$

It shows that removing baryon potentials increases the effective mass of baryons and thus decreases the temperature of the system compared to the ‘Sk χ m*’ case as shown in Tab.I, leading to a significant reduction of effective pion numbers. The small decrease of the effective pion ratio can be understood from the following relation based on thermal and chemical equilibrium,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi^-}{\pi^+} &= \exp\left[\frac{2(\mu_n - \mu_p)}{T}\right] \\ &= \frac{N^2}{Z^2} \left(\frac{m_p^*}{m_n^*}\right)^3 \exp\left[\frac{2(c_n - c_p)}{T}\right], \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where N and Z are the neutron and proton numbers, respectively. For the Sk χ m* energy density functional, $m_n^*(c_n)$ is larger than $m_p^*(c_p)$ in neutron-rich nuclear

matter. Compared with the case without baryon potentials, $(m_p^*/m_n^*)^3$ increases but $\exp\left[\frac{2(c_n - c_p)}{T}\right]$ decreases after including the potentials. The cancellation of the two contributions then leads to a smaller decrease of effective pion ratio.

We note that the decrease of the effective pion ratio after removing baryon potentials is much smaller than that based on the RVUU model, where the effective pion ratio is reduced by 26.7% [45]. As discussed in Sec. II B, the baryon mean-field potentials can lead to a change in the energy difference between the center-of-mass energy of two colliding nucleons and the threshold energy for Δ production and thus affect the Δ production cross sections in nuclear medium. For a system confined in a box as in the present study, changing these cross sections only influences the time for the system to reach a new equilibrium after neglecting the potentials, but does not affect the final equilibrium particle numbers. However, in nuclear collisions, where thermal and chemical equilibria may not be completely reached, the change of cross sections could have a large effect on the final pion

number and the charged pion ratio [27]. In next section, we further study such non-equilibrium effects in heavy ion collisions.

B. Heavy ion collisions

In this section, we employ the transport model to study pion production in heavy ion collisions. For the initial positions of nucleons inside in each nucleus, they are distributed according to the density distribution obtained from the self-consistent Hartree-Fock calculation using the Sk χ m* interaction. As to their momentum-space distributions, we use the Fermi gas model with the Fermi momentum determined by the local density. Unlike the box calculation, the effect of electromagnetic fields and Pauli blocking are both included. The electric and magnetic fields acting on a charged particle i are evaluated according to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}_i) &= \frac{e}{4\pi N_{\text{test}}} \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^3}, \\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i) &= \frac{e}{4\pi N_{\text{test}}} \sum_{j \neq i} q_j \frac{\mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $e^2/(4\pi) = 1/137$, $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$, \mathbf{v}_j is the velocity of particle j , q_j is its electric charge in units of e , and N_{test} is the number of test particles used for representing a physical particle in the calculation. Here the index j runs over all test particles. In all collision (or decay) processes, the Pauli blocking for final-state baryons is included via the same method used in the RVUU model [27, 40, 48, 49], except that the phase-space radii Δr and Δp are taken to be 1.5 fm and 150 MeV, respectively.

In the following, we show results obtained with mean-field potentials calculated using test particles from 20 parallel events as in the box calculations, and from averaging 20 and 300 such parallel ensemble calculations for $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at $E/A = 400$ MeV and Sn+Sn collisions at $E/A = 270$ MeV, respectively.

1. $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at $E/A=400$ MeV

We first study central $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at incident energy of $E/A = 400$ MeV and compare the results with experimental data measured by the FOPI Collaboration [50]. Fig. 5 shows the time evolutions of the effective π^- and π^+ numbers, namely $(\pi^-)_{\text{like}} = \pi^- + \Delta^- + \Delta^0/3$ and $(\pi^+)_{\text{like}} = \pi^+ + \Delta^{++} + \Delta^+/3$, in collisions at the impact parameter of 1 fm for the ‘free’ case without including the effect of baryon mean-field potentials in treating their collisions and decays, although it is included in their propagations. The central nucleon density ρ_c divided by the saturation density in the dinuclear system is also shown as the black dash-dot line. It is seen that pion-like particles are mostly produced during the high density stage and their numbers change very little

TABLE II: π^- and π^+ yields in $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at the impact parameter of 1 fm and the incident energy of $E/A=400$ MeV. Experimental data from the FOPI Collaboration [50] are also listed for comparison.

	FOPI [50]	free		Sk χ m*	
		$A = 0$	$A = 0$	$A = 0$	$A = 1.9$
π^-	2.80(14)	2.70(3)	7.84(4)	2.96(2)	
π^+	0.95(8)	0.90(2)	2.57(2)	0.92(1)	
$\pi^- + \pi^+$	3.75(22)	3.60(5)	10.41(6)	3.88(3)	
π^-/π^+	2.95(29)	3.02(6)	3.05(3)	3.2(5)	




FIG. 5: (Color online) Time evolutions of the central density ρ_c and effective π^- and π^+ numbers in Au+Au collisions at impact parameters of 1 fm and incident energy of $E/A=400$ MeV. The mean-field potential effects in the collision terms are not included.

during later expansion of the system. The final charged pion numbers and ratio π^-/π^+ are listed in the second column (free/ $A = 0$) of Tab. II together with their experimental values measured by the FOPI collaboration shown in the first column [50]. The theoretical results in this case are seen to well reproduce the charged pion ratio but underestimate the total charged pion yield by about 13%.

We further include mean-field potentials of baryons in determining the collision kinematics and list the results in the third column (Sk χ m*/ $A = 0$) in Tab. II. Similar to the case of box calculations, the inclusion of mean-field potential effects on the collision kinematics increases both π^- and π^+ numbers by a factor of about 3, although the charged pion ratio remains almost unchanged. To reproduce the experimental data, we follow Ref. [27] by taking into account the medium modification of Δ production cross section, and introduce the following density dependence:

$$\sigma_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta}(\rho) = \sigma_{NN \rightarrow N\Delta}(0) \exp(-A\rho_N/\rho_0), \quad (36)$$

where the ρ_N is the nucleon density and A is a fitting parameter. Cross sections for the inverse reactions are accordingly modified through the detailed balance relation introduced in Sec. IIC. We find that taking $A = 1.9$ in the ‘Sk χ m*’ case, our model can well reproduce the experimentally measured total charged pion yield. With the density-dependent cross sections, the resulting charged pion ratio is slightly increased by about 5% and becomes 3.2, which is consistent with the experimental value within the error bar [50]. These results thus provide an empirical validation of the behavior of nuclear symmetry energy at high density predicted by the Sk χ m* energy density functional.

The significant increase of the pion yield due to the threshold effect is consistent with previous results from the RVUU model based on the relativistic NL ρ mean-field model [27]. In both studies, this is because the smaller baryon effective masses in nuclear medium increase the difference between the collision energy of two nucleons and the threshold energy for Δ production. The small change in the charged pion ratio is, however, in stark contrast with the RVUU model calculations, which shows a much larger change, and this is due to the fact that in neutron-rich nuclear matter, one has $m_n^* > m_p^*$ in the Sk χ m* energy density functional but $m_n^* = m_p^*$ in the NL ρ model. As discussed in Sec. IIB, a positive neutron-proton effective mass splitting would lead to a smaller charged pion ratio.

2. $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ collisions at $E/A = 270$ MeV

Including both the effect of baryon mean-field potentials on scattering and decay processes and the medium modification of Δ production cross sections, we further study pion production in $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ collisions at the incident energy of $E/A = 270$ MeV and the impact parameter of 3 fm, which is being studied experimentally by the SPiRIT Collaboration at the Radioactive Isotope Beam Facility (RIBF) at RIKEN in Japan. As in Au+Au collisions at $E/A = 400$ MeV studied in the previous subsection, pions are mostly produced during the compression stage of the reactions, which can lead to a maximum central density of $0.27/\text{fm}^3$ ($1.7 \rho_0$), and their effective numbers remain essentially constant during the expansion of the system. The obtained total π^- and π^+ numbers and the charged pion ratio π^-/π^+ are, respectively, 0.273, 0.136 and 2.01 for $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ collisions, and 0.508, 0.109 and 4.68 for $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ collisions. The charged pion ratio π^-/π^+ in the neutron-richer system ($^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$) is thus larger as a result of more neutron-neutron collisions. As in Ref. [31], we select charged pions with the polar angles θ_{cm} of their momenta relative to the incident beam direction lying in the range of $60^\circ < \theta_{\text{cm}} < 120^\circ$ and shows their kinetic energy spectra in Fig. 6. In both collisions, the charged pion ratio decreases with pion kinetic energy. The very

large π^-/π^+ ratio at low kinetic energy is mainly due to the Coulomb potential, which is repulsive for π^+ but attractive for π^- [32].




FIG. 6: (Color online) π^-/π^+ ratios as functions of pion kinetic energy from central ($b=3$ fm) collisions of $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ at the incident energy of $E/A = 270$ MeV. θ_{cm} is the polar angle of pion momentum relative to the incident beam direction.




FIG. 7: (Color online) Same as Fig. 6 for double $[(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{132+124}/(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{108+112}]$ and subtracted $[(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{132+124} - (\pi^-/\pi^+)_{108+112}]$ π^-/π^+ ratios.

To reduce the Coulomb effect as well as other isospin-independent effects, the double $[(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{132+124}/(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{108+112}]$ [51] and subtracted $[(\pi^-/\pi^+)_{132+124} - (\pi^-/\pi^+)_{108+112}]$ [31] π^-/π^+ ratios of the two reaction systems have been proposed as better probes of nuclear symmetry energy. In Fig. 7, we show




FIG. 8: (Color online) Same as Fig. 6 for isoscaling [$\pi_{132+124}/\pi_{108+112}$] ratios of π^- and π^+ .

the double and subtracted π^-/π^+ ratios as functions of the pion kinetic energy. We find that the double ratio is flat with respect to the pion kinetic energy, while the subtracted ratio decreases with increasing pion kinetic energy. Because of its negative charge, π^- should be easier to detect than π^+ . Therefore, the isoscaling ratio $\pi_{132+124}/\pi_{108+112}$ of π^- from these two reactions may be a more sensitive observable for probing the nuclear symmetry energy at high density [31]. Figure 8 shows the isoscaling ratio of π^- and π^+ for these two reactions, and it indicates that both are flat with respect to the pion kinetic energy with the isoscaling ratio of π^- larger than that of π^+ .

We note that if the effect of mean-field potentials is not included in collisions and decays, the total π^- and π^+ numbers and the charged pion ratio π^-/π^+ would, respectively, be 0.126, 0.063 and 2.01 for $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ collisions, and 0.233, 0.053 and 4.40 for $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ collisions. As in Au+Au collisions at $E/A = 400$ MeV, the charged pion ratio is only slightly affected by the effect of baryon mean-field potentials in treating their collisions and decays. Although this is also the case for the kinetic energy spectra of double, subtracted and isoscaling ra-

tios, the total pion number is, however, reduced by about a factor of two without including the baryon mean-field potentials in their collisions and decays.

IV. SUMMARY

We have developed a BUU transport model based on the $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$ interaction, which is constructed from fitting the equation of state and nuclear effective masses predicted by the chiral effective theory as well as the binding energies of finite nuclei. This χBUU model is then used to study the effect of energy conservation in the collision (or decay) kinematics due to baryon mean-field potentials on the equilibrium properties of a $N - \Delta - \pi$ system in a box with periodic conditions. We have found that the inclusion of baryon mean-field potentials in the energy conservation is necessary to maintain the equilibrium state of the $N - \Delta - \pi$ system, and neglecting this effect would significantly decrease the number of pion-like particles, although only slightly decreases the effective charged pion ratio.

We have also used the χBUU transport model to study pion production in central $^{197}\text{Au}+^{197}\text{Au}$ collisions at the incident energy of $E/A = 400$ MeV. It is found that the χBUU model can well reproduce the experimental measurement by the FOPI Collaboration, thus providing an empirical validation of the behavior of nuclear symmetry energy at high density predicted by the $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$ energy density functional. We have further predicted the pion kinetic energy dependence of the double and subtract π^-/π^+ ratio as well as the isoscaling pion ratio in central collisions ($b = 3$ fm) of $^{132}\text{Sn}+^{124}\text{Sn}$ and $^{108}\text{Sn}+^{112}\text{Sn}$ at the incident energy of $E/A = 270$ MeV. Comparing our predictions with future experimental data from the ongoing experiments at RIKEN in Japan by the SPiRIT Collaboration will provide further check on the validity of the $\text{Sk}\chi\text{m}^*$ energy density functional at high density.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-SC0015266 and the Welch Foundation under Grant No. A-1358.

[1] E. Epelbaum, H.-W. Hammer, and U.-G. Meissner, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1773 (2009).
 [2] R. Machleidt and D. R. Entem, *Phys. Rept.* **503**, 1 (2011).
 [3] B. R. Barrett, P. Navratil, and J. P. Vary, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **69**, 131 (2013).
 [4] J. Carlson, S. Gandolfi, F. Pederiva, S. C. Pieper, R. Schiavilla, K. E. Schmidt, and R. B. Wiringa, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 1067 (2015).

[5] G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock, M. Hjorth-Jensen, and D. J. Dean, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **77**, 096302 (2014).
 [6] H. Hergert, S. K. Bogner, T. D. Morris, A. Schwenk, and K. Tsukiyama, *Phys. Rept.* **621**, 165 (2016).
 [7] Y. Lim and J. W. Holt, *Phys. Rev.* **C95**, 065805 (2017).
 [8] Z. Zhang, Y. Lim, J. W. Holt, and C. M. Ko, *Phys. Lett.* **B777**, 73 (2018).
 [9] R. Navarro Pérez, N. Schunck, A. Dyhdalo, R. J. Furnstahl, and S. K. Bogner, *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 054304 (2018).

- [10] C. Wellenhofer, J. W. Holt, and N. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 015801 (2015).
- [11] C. Wellenhofer, J. W. Holt, and N. Kaiser, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 055802 (2016).
- [12] B.-A. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 192701 (2002).
- [13] A. W. Steiner, M. Prakash, J. M. Lattimer, and P. J. Ellis, Phys. Rep. **411**, 325 (2005).
- [14] J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, Phys. Rep. **442**, 109 (2007).
- [15] B.-A. Li, C. M. Ko, and W. Bauer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **E7**, 147 (1998), nucl-th/9707014.
- [16] B. A. Li, L. W. Chen, and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rep. **464**, 113 (2008).
- [17] F. J. Fattoyev, W. G. Newton, and B. A. Li, Eur. Phys. J. A **50**, 45 (2014).
- [18] Z. Xiao, B. A. Li, L. W. Chen, G. C. Yong, and M. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 062502 (2009).
- [19] Z. Q. Feng and G. M. Jin, Phys. Lett. B **683**, 140 (2010).
- [20] W. J. Xie, J. Su, L. Zhu, and F. S. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 1510 (2013).
- [21] J. Xu, C. M. Ko, and Y. Oh, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 024910 (2010).
- [22] J. Xu, L. W. Chen, C. M. Ko, B. A. Li, and Y. G. Ma, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 067601 (2013).
- [23] M. D. Cozma, Phys. Rev. C **95**, 014601 (2017).
- [24] Z. Zhang and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **95**, 064604 (2017).
- [25] B. A. Li, Phys. Rev. C **92**, 034603 (2015).
- [26] G. Ferini, M. Colonna, T. Gaitanos, and M. Di Toro, Nucl. Phys. A **762**, 147 (2005).
- [27] T. Song and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 014901 (2015).
- [28] B. A. Li, W. J. Guo, and Z. Shi, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 044601 (2015).
- [29] G.-F. Wei, B.-A. Li, J. Xu, and L.-W. Chen, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 014610 (2014).
- [30] G.-C. Yong, Phys. Rev. **C96**, 044605 (2017).
- [31] M. B. Tsang, J. Estee, H. Setiawan, W. G. Lynch, J. Barney, M. B. Chen, G. Cerizza, P. Danielewicz, J. Hong, P. Morfouace, et al. ($S\pi$ RIT Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **95**, 044614 (2017).
- [32] N. Ikeno, A. Ono, Y. Nara, and A. Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 044612 (2016).
- [33] *Symmetry energy project*, <https://groups.nucl.msu.edu/hira/sepweb/pages>.
- [34] Y. Zhang, M. B. Tsang, and Z. Li, Phys. Lett. **B749**, 262 (2015).
- [35] J. W. Holt, N. Kaiser, G. A. Miller, and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. **C88**, 024614 (2013).
- [36] S. Hama, B. C. Clark, E. D. Cooper, H. S. Sherif, and R. L. Mercer, Phys. Rev. C **41**, 2737 (1990).
- [37] E. D. Cooper, S. Hama, B. C. Clark, and R. L. Mercer, Phys. Rev. C **47**, 297 (1993).
- [38] C. M. Ko, Q. Li, and R.-C. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1084 (1987).
- [39] C. M. Ko and Q. Li, Phys. Rev. **C37**, 2270 (1988).
- [40] G. F. Bertsch and S. Das Gupta, Phys. Rep. **160**, 189 (1988).
- [41] S. Huber and J. Aichelin, Nucl. Phys. A **573**, 587 (1994).
- [42] Y. Kitazoe, M. Sano, H. Toki, and S. Nagamiya, Phys. Lett. B **166**, 35 (1986).
- [43] C.-Y. Wong, Phys. Rev. **C25**, 1460 (1982).
- [44] B. Zhang, M. Gyulassy, and Y. Pang, Phys. Rev. C **58**, 1175 (1998).
- [45] Z. Zhang and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. **C97**, 014610 (2018).
- [46] L. Xiong, C. M. Ko, and V. Koch, Phys. Rev. C **47**, 788 (1993).
- [47] J. Xu and C. M. Ko, Phys. Lett. B **772**, 290 (2017).
- [48] J. Xu et al., Phys. Rev. C **93**, 044609 (2016).
- [49] Y.-X. Zhang et al., Phys. Rev. **C97**, 034625 (2018).
- [50] W. Reisdorf et al. (FOPI), Nucl. Phys. **A848**, 366 (2010).
- [51] G.-C. Yong, B.-A. Li, L.-W. Chen, and W. Zuo, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 034603 (2006).