

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Decay properties of _{97}^{243}Bk and _{97}^{244}Bk I. Ahmad, F. G. Kondev, J. P. Greene, and S. Zhu Phys. Rev. C **97**, 014324 — Published 31 January 2018

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.97.014324

Decay Properties of ${}^{243}_{97}$ Bk and ${}^{244}_{97}$ Bk

I. Ahmad,¹ F. G. Kondev,¹ J. P. Greene,¹ and S. Zhu¹

¹Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA

Abstract

Electron capture decays of ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk have been studied by measuring the γ -ray spectra of mass-separated sources and level structures of ²⁴³Cm and ²⁴⁴Cm have been deduced. In ²⁴³Cm, EC population to the ground state, $1/2^+[631]$, and $1/2^+[620]$ Nilsson states have been observed. The octupole $K^{\pi}=2^-$ band has been identified in ²⁴⁴Cm at 933.6 keV. In addition, spins and parities have been deduced for several other states and two-quasiparticle configurations have been tentatively assigned to them.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since it is usually difficult to determine the mass number of a new nuclide, it is, in most cases, identified by the excitation function of the nuclear reaction used for its production. The most definitive method for the characterization of a nuclide is to use a mass-separated source to determine its mass and use K x rays to define its atomic number. In electron capture decays, K x rays are produced and these are easily measured to characterize the element. But there are very few isotope separators available for the preparation of radioactive samples. At Argonne National Laboratory, there was such an electromagnetic isotope separator [1] which was used to mass separate isotopes of actinide elements from the 1960s to 1980s. Samples of Bk were produced in the ANL cyclotron and were mass separated in order to identify ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk isotopes and study their radiations. In this article we describe these measurements and the energy levels deduced from these observations.

The nuclides ²⁴³Bk and and ²⁴⁴Bk decay by electron capture (EC) with half-lives of 4.5 ± 0.1 h [2] and 5.02 ± 0.03 h [3] and decay energies of 1508 ± 5 keV and 2262 ± 14 keV [4], respectively. Their decays were first studied by Chetham-Strode [5] who measured their γ -ray spectra with a NaI (Tl) crystal. Only two γ rays were assigned to the decay of ²⁴³Bk, but several γ rays were identified in the ²⁴⁴Bk decay. With the limited information, no decay schemes could be proposed. Later, these isotopes were produced via the ²⁴³Am(α ,xn) reaction by Ahmad [6] and their EC decays were investigated with a Ge(Li) detector. Several γ rays were assigned to the decay of ²⁴⁴Bk but only two γ rays of 755 ± 2 keV and 946 ± 2 keV with relative intensities of 100 and ~80 were observed in ²⁴³Bk EC decay. Since no conversion-electron spectrum was measured and no γ - γ coincidence experiments were performed, EC decay schemes were not proposed for these two nuclides.

In the 1970s, the nuclide ²⁴³Bk was produced to measure the lifetime of the $1/2^+[631]$ state at 87.4 keV in ²⁴³Cm [7]. The γ -ray spectrum was measured with a Ge(Li) spectrometer but again no level scheme was postulated because no coincidence studies were performed. Recently, the EC decay of ²⁴⁴Bk was studied by Sodaye *et al.* [3] who reported γ rays which agreed with the measurements of Ref. [6]. In this work, the ²⁴⁴Bk source was produced by the ²³⁸U(¹¹B,5n) reaction. The source contained fission fragment impurities which did not allow them to measure intensities of lower-energy γ rays. The impurities also gave a few false coincidences in the γ - γ coincidence measurement. Using these γ - γ coincidence data, level

energies were derived but definite spin-parity assignments to ²⁴⁴Cm levels were not made.

Mass-separated sources of ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk were produced by us in the 1970s and their γ ray spectra were measured. Now that more information on the structures of actinide nuclei has become available, we have examined the old data and have proposed level schemes for ²⁴³Cm and ²⁴⁴Cm. In particular, a level at 840.9 keV in ²⁴³Cm has been assigned the $1/2^+$ [620] single-neutron configuration and the octupole K^{π}=2⁻ band has been identified at 933.6 keV in ²⁴⁴Cm. In this report we discuss the measurements and the respective level assignments.

II. SOURCE PREPARATION

The nuclides ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk were produced by irradiating an ²⁴¹Am target with α particles from the Argonne 152-cm cyclotron. Ion-exchange was used to isolate a chemically pure sample of Bk which was passed through the Argonne electromagnetic isotope separator [1] to provide isotopically pure sources of ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk. The details about the irradiation and the chemical separation of berkelium are given in Ref. [7].

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND RESULTS

A. γ -ray spectroscopy

The γ -ray spectra of mass-separated ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk sources were measured with a 25cm³ coaxial Ge(Li) spectrometer. Only one spectrum of ²⁴³Bk was measured for 20 min, because we were mainly interested in the decay of ²⁴⁴Bk. The ²⁴³Bk source also contained a small amount of ²⁴³Cm, produced by the ²⁴¹Am(α ,pn) reaction. Energies of γ rays in the ²⁴³Cm decay were used for energy calibration. The source-to-detector distance for the ²⁴³Bk spectrum was 1.5 cm and for the ²⁴⁴Bk source it was 4.0 cm. Gamma rays are assigned to ²⁴³Bk on the basis of the chemical and isotopic purity of the sample, and the fact that the strong γ rays observed in the present spectrum were also observed in Refs. [5, 6]. Two spectra of the ²⁴⁴Bk sample were measured 16 hours apart and each spectrum was collected for 300 minutes. Gamma rays were assigned to ²⁴⁴Bk decay on the basis of the sample purity, their half-lives, and the fact that they were also observed in Refs. [3, 6]. The 744.1and 897.7-keV γ rays, assigned to the ²⁴⁴Bk decay, also occur in the the β^- -decay of the 10.1-h ²⁴⁴Am [8]. However, these γ rays cannot be due to ²⁴⁴Am, because it cannot be produced by the ²⁴¹Am(α ,xn) reaction used in this study. These two γ rays are assigned to ²⁴⁴Bk decay because they decayed with its characteristic half-life of 5.0 h and they were also observed in Ref. [6]. In the present work, the γ -ray energies have been measured with higher precision than in Ref. [6], but the precision in γ -ray intensities is comparable to that in the previous two measurements. The data on ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk γ rays are given in Table I and II, respectively. Also included in Table II are intensities measured in Refs. [3, 6]. Intensities of γ rays in both tables are given in relative units. Energies of γ rays not measured in the present work are taken from Ref. [3].

Absolute intensities of γ rays in ²⁴³Cm in photons per EC decay were obtained in the following way. Using the γ -ray intensities in Table I and the level scheme of Fig. 1, the EC intensity to an excited state was obtained from intensity balances by taking the difference between the intensities of γ rays and conversion electrons depopulating that level and the intensities of γ rays and conversion electrons feeding that level. The Curium K x-ray intensity at each excited state was calculated from the EC intensity at that level and the theoretical K/total EC capture ratio. The sum of all K x-ray intensities at excited levels and K x-ray intensity due to internal conversion of γ -ray transitions were subtracted from the measured K x-ray intensity. This difference gave the K x-ray intensity at the ground state, which was multiplied with the theoretical total/K capture ratio to obtain the EC intensity. The sum of EC intensities to all ²⁴³Cm levels was normalized to 100%. This gave a factor of 0.121 which should be multiplied to the relative γ -ray intensities in Table I to obtain absolute intensities. For the ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay, the γ rays in Table II should be multiplied by 0.505 to obtain absolute intensities. This factor was obtained by normalizing the total intensities of all γ rays decaying to the ground-sate band to 100%. We have used all γ rays in this calculation - those which are placed in the level scheme of Fig. 2 and also those which could not be placed in the level scheme. The γ rays observed in our experiments were also reported in Ref. [3] and their energies and intensities are in agreement with our values. However, since our source was chemically and isotopically pure, its spectrum had less Compton background and hence we were able to identify weak low-energy γ rays. The spectrum in Ref. [3] has some additional weak γ rays which are not placed in our level scheme.

IV. DISCUSSION

In the present experiment, as well as in previous studies, conversion coefficients of γ -ray transitions were not measured. In Ref. [3] a γ - γ coincidence measurement was made with a ²⁴⁴Bk source but only limited information was obtained. Energies of levels in ²⁴³Cm and ²⁴⁴Cm were deduced from the sums and differences of γ -ray transition energies. Spins and parities of levels were derived on the basis of the ground-state spins and parities, branching ratios of γ rays, and log *ft* values of EC transitions. Energies of single-particle states and log *ft* values, published in Ref. [9], have been used to deduce one-quasiparticle configurations in ²⁴³Cm and two-quasiparticle configurations in ²⁴⁴Cm. Measurements using proton and neutron transfer reactions have shown that in ²⁵⁰Cf two-proton and two-neutron configurations with K^{π} =5⁻ mix [10] and there is a strong transition between them [11]. In ²⁴⁴Cm two states at 1295.3 and 1374.3 keV are assigned K^{π} =4⁺ with two-neutron and two-proton configurations, and a strong γ ray is observed between them indicating mixing between the two states.

A. ²⁴³Bk EC decay scheme

The ground state of ²⁴³Cm has been assigned to the $5/2^+[622]$ Nilsson state on the basis of its α decay to ²³⁹Pu [2]. Only one level at 87.4 keV was identified in ²⁴³Cm in the ²⁴³Bk EC decay study and it was assigned to the $1/2^+[631]$ Nilsson state [7] on the basis of the measured E2 multipolarity of the 87.4-keV transition. In the present work, we have observed the 87.4-keV γ ray and other high-energy γ rays. Because the spectrum was collected for a short time (20 min), only strong γ rays could be identified. The observed high-energy γ rays establish a level at 840.9 keV whose spin-parity has been deduced as $1/2^+$ on the basis of its decay pattern and given an assignment of $1/2^+[620]$. This state was also observed in the ²⁴⁴Cm(d,t) reaction [12] with the expected cross section. The assignment is further supported by the similarity of the decay pattern of this state to the decay of the 755.15-keV level in the isotone ²⁴¹Pu [13] and the decay of the 741.0-keV level in ²⁴⁵Cm [14] which have been well established as $1/2^+[620]$ Nilsson state. Levels at 870.9 and 890.0 keV have been tentatively assigned to the 3/2 and 5/2 members of the $1/2^+[620]$ band on the basis of the similarity of the level spacings and decay pattern in the isotone ²⁴¹Pu. The decay scheme of ²⁴³Bk is shown in Fig. 1. From the level energies in Fig. 1, we calculate the decoupling parameter $a=-0.657\pm0.004$ and the rotational constant of 6.60 ± 0.02 keV for the $1/2^{+}[631]$ band and $+0.447\pm0.005$ and 6.91 ± 0.02 keV for the $1/2^{+}[620]$ band. These values are in good agreement with the values of -0.543 and 6.747 keV for the $1/2^{+}[631]$ band and +0.493 and 6.461 keV for the $1/2^{+}[620]$ band in ²⁴¹Pu [13].

For the absolute intensities of γ rays and EC population to ²⁴³Cm levels, γ rays from table I that were not placed in the level scheme were also included. The EC intensities and log *ft* values deduced from the data in the present experiment are included in Fig. 1.

B. ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay scheme

In Ref. [3], level energies in ²⁴⁴Cm were derived from the measured γ -ray energies but their spins and parities, and configurations could not be deduced because the conversion coefficients were not measured. Many levels in ²⁴⁴Cm are known from β^- decays of the two ²⁴⁴Am isomers [8, 15, 16]. The low-spin isomer populates low-spin states up to spin 2 \hbar in ²⁴⁴Cm and the high-spin isomer decays to a K,I^{π} = 6,6⁺ state at 1040.2 keV which deexcites to the 6⁺ and 4⁺ members of the ²⁴⁴Cm ground-state band. From this information and the EC decay of ²⁴⁴Bk we can determine the range of spin values possible for the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state.

C. ²⁴⁴Bk ground state

In an electron capture decay, only EC transitions with $\Delta K = \Delta I = 0$ or 1 have a measurable EC population. Thus from the measured EC intensities and log ft values, we can determine the range of spin values for the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state. In the present work we do not observe any of the γ rays seen in the decay of the low-spin ²⁴⁴Am isomer [15, 16], which suggests that the ground-state spin of ²⁴⁴Bk is 3 \hbar or higher. We observe the γ rays depopulating the 6⁺ state at 1040.2 keV [8] with a very low EC intensity ($\leq 2.0\%$) indicating that it is not directly fed with high EC intensity. This limits the spin of the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state to 4 \hbar or lower. The ground states of the neighboring nuclei, ²⁴³Cm and ²⁴³Bk, are known to be the $5/2^+$ [622] ν and the $3/2^-$ [521] π Nilsson states, respectively. Thus a possible assignment for the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state is the $\{3/2^-$ [521] π ; $5/2^+$ [622] ν }₄- configuration, and since it lies within the range of spin values deduced above, we assign this configuration to the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state.

D. Spins and parities of ²⁴⁴Cm levels

Although a γ - γ coincidence experiment was performed with a ²⁴⁴Bk source in Ref. [3], it provides limited information for the postulation of energy levels in ²⁴⁴Cm. However, some information about energy levels can be deduced, because the energies of the members of the ²⁴⁴Cm ground-state band are known and also some excited levels are known from the decay of ²⁴⁴Am isomers. From the sums and differences of γ -ray transition energies, we have deduced a level scheme, shown in Fig. 2, which is very similar to that proposed in Ref. [3]. Using the placements of transitions in the level scheme we have determined the spin and parity of the levels.

From the transition (γ -ray and conversion electron) intensity balance, we can determine at which member of the ground-state band a high-energy γ ray terminates. Since, with the spin-parity of 4⁻ for the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state, no direct EC population is expected to any member of the ground-state band, the intraband transitions in the ground-state band must be fed by higher-energy transitions. The intensities of the 153.8-keV $(6^+ \rightarrow 4^+)$ and 99.2-keV $(4^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ transitions were calculated from the measured γ -ray intensities and their theoretical conversion coefficients [17] for E2 multipolarity. The measured intensity of the 153.8-keV (E2; 2.8) transition is 7.1% and it is fed by the known 744.1-keV (M1+E2; 0.10) transition with an intensity of 4.9%. In the parentheses, the transition multipolarity and the total conversion coefficient are given. The remaining 2.2% intensity could be due to the 153.5-keV transition observed in the coincidence data [3] and placed in the level scheme or due to other unobserved γ rays. The measured intensity of the 99.2-keV (E2; 19.4) transition is 34.0% and 20.0% of this intensity is fed by the 153.8- (E2; 2.8), 869.0-(E1; 0.006), 897.7-(E2; 0.017), 1041.1-(M1; 0.049), 1078.1-(M1; 0.045), 1152.8-(M1; 0.037), and 1232.1-keV (M1; 0.031) transitions placed in the level scheme shown in Fig. 2. Thus, the remaining 14% of the 99.2-keV transition intensity is assumed to be fed by other high-energy γ rays. The γ rays listed in Table II which are not placed in the level scheme have a total intensity of 10.2% and the 920.5-keV (E1; 0.005) γ ray has an intensity of 10.7%.

Systematics and expected transition rates can be used to limit the spin and parity values.

Any excited state in ²⁴⁴Cm with spin-parity 0⁺, 1⁺, 2⁺, and 1⁻ will decay to the 0⁺ ground state. Thus the absence of such transitions rules out these spin-parity values. The decay pattern of the members of the K^{π}=2⁻ band is known [14] in ²⁴⁶Cm. A member of this band with even spin decays to the member of the ground-state band with the same spin and to no other member. On the other hand, a state with odd spin I of this band decays to the I-1 and I+1 members of the ground-state band with comparable intensities. We observe a strong transition of 890.6 keV with an intensity of 54.2% per ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay which cannot terminate at the 6⁺ or 4⁺ member of the ground-state band because of its high intensity. This transition decays either to the ground state or to the 2⁺ member of the ground-state band. Any transition decaying to the ground state has usually an accompanying transition to the 2⁺ member. Thus the 890.6-keV transition should connect a 933.6-keV level to the 2⁺ member of the ground-state band, this level must have spin-parity 2⁻, with K^{π}=2⁻. We interpret it as the 2⁻ octupole vibrational band head and its energy is in good agreement with the theoretical predictions of 960 keV [18] and 864 keV [19] for this band.

There is a strong transition of 217.5 keV with an intensity of 57.2% per ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay which is the same as that of the 890.6-keV γ ray and these two γ rays are found to be in coincidence with each other [3]. In Ref. [3], the γ -ray spectrum gated by the 217.5-keV γ ray contains peaks at 995.6, 999.3 and 1014.6 keV. These are, most likely, 890.6-Cm K x-ray sum peaks, not transitions in ²⁴⁴Cm. This spectrum contains only an 890.6-keV peak above 600 keV, indicating that this transition connects to the ground-state band. These observations point to a level at 1151.1 keV which decays to the K^{π}=2⁻ level at 933.6 keV. From the intensity balance, the multipolarity of the 217.5-keV transition is deduced to be E1 and hence the 1151.1-keV level should have spin-parity 1⁺, 2⁺ or 3⁺. Since the 1151.1-keV state does not decay to the ground-state, it must have spin-parity 3⁺. The 1107.6-keV γ -ray was seen in Ref. [3] and interpreted as the 1151.1 \rightarrow 42.97 transition, but it is not observed in our work. The intensity of this peak indicates that it is most likely the 890.6+217.5 sum peak.

The 920.5-keV γ ray is in coincidence with the 187.5-keV γ ray and their intensities are almost equal. Gamma-ray transition intensity balance establishes the multipolarity of the 187.5-keV transition as E1. Since the 890.6+217.5 sum is equal to the 920.5+187.5 sum, the two cascades should start and terminate at the same levels, namely the 1151.1-keV level and the 42.97-keV, 2^+ member of the ground-state band. A 144.2-keV γ ray, shown in Fig. 2, is in coincidence with both the 217.5- and the 187.5-keV γ rays which indicates that 217.5- and 187.5-keV transitions deexcite the same level, namely the 1151.1-keV level. These observations suggest that the 920.5-keV γ ray deexcites a negative-parity level at 963.5 keV which is fed from the 3^+ , 1151.1-keV level by an 187.5-keV E1 transition. Hence, the spin-parity of the 963.5-keV level should be 2^- or 3^- . This level fits as the 3^- member of the 933.6-keV band, but its decay only to the 2^+ member of the ground-state suggest 2^- spin-parity. We have tentatively assigned spin-parity of 3^- to this level because no 2^- state is expected in this energy region. The level at 1011.4-keV decays to the 4^+ member of the ground-state band and it is interpreted as the 4^- member of the octupole band.

The 1374.3-keV level decays to the 2^+ and 4^+ members of the ground-state band and to the 6^+ level at 1040.2 keV. Hence it must have a spin-parity of 4^+ .

The decay of the 1295.3- keV state to the 2^+ and 4^+ members of the ground-state band and to the 3^+ level at 1151.1 keV restricts the spin of the state to 3 and 4. The fact that it does not decay to the 2^- state favors a 4^+ assignment.

The coincidence between the 1252.5- and the 489.4-keV γ rays indicates a level at 1784.7 keV. The decay pattern and the direct EC population suggest possible spin values of 3, 4, or 5. In the coincidence table in Ref. [3] there is a 233.8-keV γ ray which is in coincidence with the 920.5-keV γ ray only which indicates a level at 1197.5 keV. The coincidence data also show a 176.8-keV γ ray which is in coincidence with all γ rays deexciting the 1151.1-keV level. Thus this γ ray decays to the 1151.1-keV level or to its rotational member. An energy fit suggests this transition as the 1374.3 \rightarrow 1197.5 transition. We interpret the 1197.5-keV level as the I=4 member of the 3⁺ band at 1151.1 keV.

In addition to the levels discussed above, we have weak evidence for 1653.8-, 1220.5-, and 1183.5-keV levels. The decay pattern of these states suggests the most likely spin of 3 or 4 \hbar and they are included in Fig. 2.

E. EC intensities and $\log ft$ values

The EC intensity to a level is determined from the difference between the intensities of γ rays and conversion electrons depopulating that level and the intensities of γ rays and conversion electrons feeding that level. Conversion electron intensities were calculated from

the measured γ -ray intensities and their theoretical conversion coefficients [17]. We used E1 multipolarity for the 217.5-, 187.5-, and 139.7-keV transitions, and M1 multipolarity for the 144.2-, 176.8-, and 223.3-keV transitions, which are based on the spin-parity assignments given in Fig. 2. However, since the level scheme is not complete and multipolarities of transitions have not been measured, it is not possible to determine accurate EC feeding intensities at each level.

Absolute intensities of γ rays in ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay have been determined by normalizing the total intensities of high-energy γ rays depopulating the excited levels to the ²⁴⁴Cm ground-state band to 100%. We have used the γ rays placed in the level scheme, as well as those that were not placed in the level scheme of Fig. 2. Because of the spin 4 \hbar for the ²⁴⁴Bk ground state, we assume there is no direct EC decay to the ground-state band. The high intensity of γ rays deexciting the 1151.1-keV level suggests that most of the EC decay occurs at the 1151.1-keV level or at higher levels. Since we do not observe any γ ray populating the 1784.7- and 1653.8-keV levels, the intensities of the transitions decaying these levels give the EC intensities. The EC intensities to the 1151.1-, 1295.3-, and 1374.3-keV levels have been deduced using the level scheme in Fig. 2 and the assumed multipolarities of the γ -ray transitions. We find an intensity of 12.9% per ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay for the 1151.1-keV level and a total of 68.0% for the 1295.3- and 1374.3-keV levels. The corresponding intensities and the log*ft* values are shown in Fig. 2.

The measured Cm K x-ray intensity of $(115\pm4)\%$ per ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay agrees with the Cm K x-ray intensity of $(123\pm8)\%$ per ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay deduced from the EC intensities to the ²⁴⁴Cm levels and K conversion coefficients of γ -ray transitions. This provides further support for the proposed level scheme.

F. Two-quasiparticle state assignments

Energies of the two-quasiparticle states in 244 Cm can be estimated from the known energies of single-particle states near the Fermi surface in the adjacent odd-neutron and odd-proton nuclei. The lowest neutron states known in 243 Cm are the 5/2⁺[622] (0 keV), 1/2⁺[631] (87.4 keV), 7/2⁺[624] (133 keV), and 1/2⁺[620] (841 keV) and the lowest proton states identified in 243 Am are the 5/2⁻[523] (0 keV), 5/2⁺[642] (84.0 keV), 3/2⁻[521] (267.0 keV), and 7/2⁺[633] (465.7 keV). The configuration $\{5/2^+[622]\nu;7/2^+[624]\nu\}_{6^+}$ in ²⁴⁴Cm is already known at 1040.2 keV from the β^- decay study of the high-spin ²⁴⁴Am isomer and its energy is reproduced by theoretical calculations [18]. The energy of the $\{1/2^+[631]\nu;7/2^+[624]\nu\}_{4^+,3^+}$ state is calculated [18] to be 1200 keV and the energy of the $\{5/2^+[622]\nu;1/2^+[631]\nu\}_{3^+,2^+}$ state should be similar (not calculated in Ref. [18]) because the $5/2^+[622]\nu;1/2^+[631]\nu\}_{3^+,2^+}$ state should state. Since the spin-parity of the 1151.1-keV level has been deduced as 3^+ and its energy is close to the calculated value, it is given the $\{5/2^+[622]\nu;1/2^+[631]\nu\}_{3^+}$ assignment.

The 4⁺ states at 1295.3 keV and 1374.3 keV are most likely the $\{1/2^+[631]\nu;7/2^+[624]\nu\}_{4^+}$ and $\{3/2^-[521]\pi;5/2^-[523]\pi\}_{4^+}$ configurations. The 78.9-keV γ ray, observed in the present work, is interpreted as the 1374.3 \rightarrow 1295.3 transition. The decay of the 1374.3-keV state to the 1295.3-keV level indicates that the two $K^{\pi}=4^+$ two-proton and two-neutron states mix. Similar mixing was observed in the decay of the $K^{\pi} = 5^-$ two-proton state to the $K^{\pi} = 5^-$ two-neutron state in ²⁵⁰Cf [10, 11]. The higher EC intensity to the 1374.3-keV level favors the $\{3/2^-[521]\pi;5/2^-[523]\pi\}_{4^+}$ configuration assignment to this state and the $\{1/2^+[631]\nu;7/2^+[624]\nu\}_{4^+}$ configuration assignment to the 1295.3-keV level.

The low log ft values of the 1784.7- and 1653.8-keV levels indicate that one component of two-quasiparticle configurations for these levels should be the same as the one single-particle component in the ²⁴⁴Bk ground-state configuration. Thus possible configurations for 1784.7- and 1653.8-keV levels, which are calculated to have similar energies, are $\{5/2^+[622]\nu;1/2^+[620]\nu\}_{3^+}, \{5/2^+[622]\nu;3/2^+[622]\nu\}_{4^+}, \text{ and } \{3/2^-[521]\pi;5/2^+[642]\pi\}_{4^-}.$ No assignments have been made to the weakly populated states at 1183.5 and 1220.5 keV.

G. Summary

Gamma-ray spectra of mass-separated ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk sources have been measured with a high-resolution germanium spectrometer. The strong γ rays of these sources can be used for the identification of ²⁴³Bk and ²⁴⁴Bk isotopes. In ²⁴³Cm, the 1/2⁺[620] Nilsson orbital has been identified and its energy provides information about the gap at the N=152 neutron subshell. In ²⁴⁴Cm, the octupole vibrational band K^{π}=2⁻ has been identified at 933.6 keV. Several two-quasiparticle states have also been observed in ²⁴⁴Cm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract number DE-AC02-06CH11357. The authors are also indebted for the use of ²⁴¹Am to the Office of Nuclear Physics, U.S. Department of Energy, through the transplutonium element production facilities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

- [1] J. Lerner, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **102**, 373 (1972).
- [2] E. Browne and J. K. Tuli, Nuclear Data Sheets **112**, 447 (2011).
- [3] S. Sodaye, R. Tripathi, K. Sudarshan, S. K. Sharma, P. K. Pujari, R. Palit, and S. Mukhopadhyay, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 41, 125103 (2014).
- [4] M. Wang, G. Audi, F.G. Kondev, W.J. Huang, S. Naimi, and X. Xu, Chin. Phys. C 41, 030003 (2017).
- [5] A. Chetham-Strode, Jr., University of California, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-3322 (1956), unpublished.
- [6] I. Ahmad, University of California, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-16888 (1966), unpublished.
- [7] S. W. Yates, I. Ahmad, A. M. Friedman, F. J. Lynch, and R. E. Holland, Phys. Rev. C 11, 599 (1975).
- [8] R. Vandenbosch, P. R. Fields, S. E. Vandenbosch, and D. Metta, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 26, 219 (1964).
- [9] R. R. Chasman, I. Ahmad, A. M. Friedman, and J. R. Erskine, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 833 (1977).
- [10] S. W. Yates, I. Ahmad, A. M. Friedman, K. Katori, C. Castaneda, and T. E. Ward, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36, 1125 (1976).
- [11] M. S. Freedman, I. Ahmad, F. T. Porter, R. K. Sjoblom, R. F. Barnes, J. Lerner, and P. R. Fields, Phys. Rev. C 15, 760 (1977).
- [12] T. H. Braid, R. R. Chasman, J. R. Erskine, and A. M. Friedman, Phys. Rev. C 4, 247 (1971).

- [13] D. H. White, R. W. Hoff, H. G. Börner, K. Schreckenbach, F. Hoyler, G. Colvin, I. Ahmad, A. M. Friedman, and J. R. Erskine, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1112 (1998).
- [14] I. Ahmad, D. D. Sharma, and R. K. Sjoblom, Nucl. Phys. A258, 221 (1976).
- [15] L. G. Multhauf, K. G. Tirsell, R. G. Morrow, and R. A. Meyer, Phys. Rev. C 3, 1338 (1971).
- [16] R. W. Hoff, T. von Egidy, R. W. Lougheed, D. H. White, H. G. Börner, K. Schreckenbach, G. Barreau, and D. D. Warner, Phys. Rev. C 29, 618 (1984).
- [17] I. M. Band, M. B. Trzhaskovskaya, C. W. Nestor, Jr., P. O. Tikkanen, and S. Raman, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 81, 1 (2002).
- [18] S. P. Ivanova, A. L. Komov, L. A. Malov, and V. G. Soloviev, Sov. J. Part, Nucl. 7, 175 (1976).
- [19] R. V. Jolos, L. A. Malov, N. Yu. Shirikova, and A. V. Sushkov, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 38, 115103 (2011).

Energy (keV)	Relative intensity	Transition (initial level \rightarrow final level)
87.4±0.1	$10.5 {\pm} 0.9$	87.4→0.0
$104.6 {\pm} 0.1$	$197{\pm}15$	$Cm K_{\alpha 2}$
$109.3 {\pm} 0.1$	$294{\pm}18$	$Cm K_{\alpha 1}$
$123.0 {\pm} 0.1$	$97{\pm}6$	${ m Cm}~{ m K}_{\beta1'}$
$127.0{\pm}0.1$	$35{\pm}5$	$\mathrm{Cm}~\mathrm{K}_{\beta 2'}$
$188.0 {\pm} 0.2$	1.2 ± 0.2	not assigned
$255.7{\pm}0.2$	$2.7{\pm}0.4$	$1024.2 \rightarrow 768.5?$
$447.6 {\pm} 0.2$	$5.1 {\pm} 0.6$	not assigned
$632.5 {\pm} 0.3$	$5.1{\pm}1.0$	$726.5 \rightarrow 94.2$?
$692.7{\pm}0.3$	$8.8{\pm}0.9$	$840.9 \rightarrow 148.9$
$700.2 {\pm} 0.3$	$11.5{\pm}1.1$	870.9→170.7?
$722.0{\pm}0.2$	42 ± 3	$870.9 {\rightarrow} 148.9$
$732.1 {\pm} 0.2$	$16{\pm}1.4$	not assigned
$741.1 {\pm} 0.2$	23 ± 2	$890.0 \rightarrow 148.9$
$753.4{\pm}0.2$	100(norm)	840.9→87.4
776.7 ± 0.2	$17{\pm}1.6$	$870.9 { ightarrow} 94.2$
791.0 ± 0.2	$13.4{\pm}1.4$	not assigned
$840.9 {\pm} 0.2$	$21{\pm}2$	840.9→0.0
$848.0 {\pm} 0.2$	14.5 ± 1.5	$890.0 { o} 42.0$
$870.9 {\pm} 0.2$	$8.2{\pm}0.9$	870.9→0.0
$936.8{\pm}0.2$	$5.9{\pm}1.2$	$1024.2 \rightarrow 87.4?$
$944.9 {\pm} 0.2$	73 ± 6	944.9→0.0?

TABLE I. Energies and intensities of γ rays produced in $^{243}\mathrm{Bk}$ EC decay.

TABLE II: Energies and intensities of γ rays produced in ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay. Energies of the highest three γ rays are taken from Ref. 3. The 153.5-keV γ -ray was seen in the coincidence spectrum (Ref. [3]) and its intensity was not measured.

Energy	Be	lative intens	ity	Transition
(keV)	present	Ahmad [6]	Sodaye [3]	(initial level \rightarrow final level)
78.9 ± 0.2	5 5+0 5			1374 3→1995 3
99.2 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.3			$142.35 \rightarrow 42.97$
104.7 ± 0.1	71 ± 5	85 ± 10 120 + 15		$\operatorname{Cm}_{\mathrm{Cm}} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha 2}$
109.5 ± 0.1 123.0 ± 0.1	34+3	130 ± 15 48 ± 6		$\operatorname{Cm} \operatorname{K}_{\alpha 1}$
127.1 ± 0.1	12+1	20+4		$Cm K_{\beta\gamma}$
139.7 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.2			$1151.1 \rightarrow 1011.4$
144.2 ± 0.1	$7.5 {\pm} 0.6$	$7.4{\pm}0.4$		$1295.3 \rightarrow 1151.1$
153.8 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.3	$3.7{\pm}0.4$	$5.4{\pm}0.8$	$1374.3 \rightarrow 1220.5$ $296.21 \rightarrow 142.35$
100.4 ± 0.2 176.8 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1 4.0 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.4	$1374.3 \rightarrow 1197.5$
187.5 ± 0.1	17.0 ± 1.5	16.5 ± 1.5	16.5 ± 0.5	$1151.1 \rightarrow 963.5$
196.9 ± 0.2 200 5 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.1 0.4 ±0.1			$Cm K_{a} \perp Cm K_{a}$ sum
209.5 ± 0.2 217.5 ± 0.1	100 (norm)	100 (norm)	100 (norm)	$1151.1 \rightarrow 933.6$
223.3 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.3			$1374.3 \rightarrow 1151.1$
233.8 ± 0.1 322.0 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.4 1 2 ± 0.2	$2.9{\pm}0.4$	1.8 ± 0.2	$1197.5 \rightarrow 963.5$ $217.5 \pm Cm K_{22}$ sum
326.9 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2 1.8 ± 0.3			$217.5 + \text{Cm } \text{K}_{\alpha 1} \text{ sum}$
334.0 ± 0.1	9.0 ± 1.0	10.0 ± 1.5	11.3 ± 0.4	$1374.3 \rightarrow 1040.2$
411.0 ± 0.3 431.7 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.3 1.8 ± 0.2		4.0 ± 0.2 3.5 ± 0.3	$1184.1 \rightarrow 1314.3$
470.0 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.2	1019	3.0 ± 0.2	$1653.8 \rightarrow 1183.5?$
469.4 ± 0.3 565.2 ± 0.2	$10{\pm}1.0$	10±2	14.0 ± 0.0 1.8 ± 0.3	$1784.7 \rightarrow 1295.3$ $1784.7 \rightarrow 1220.5?$
607.8 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.4		2.9 ± 0.3	not assigned
624.8 ± 0.3 642.4 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.3 1 9\pm0.2		1.3 ± 0.2 1.8\pm0.3	$1653 8 \rightarrow 1011 4$
690.7±0.3	1.0±0.2	0.1.1	0.4 ± 0.2	$1653.8 \rightarrow 963.5$
744.1 ± 0.1 846 7±0 1	8.8 ± 0.7	8 ± 1	1.0 ± 0.2	$1040.2 \rightarrow 296.21$ not assigned
869.0 ± 0.2	$5.5 {\pm} 0.3$	7 ± 1	5.6 ± 0.3	$1011.4 \rightarrow 142.35$
890.6 ± 0.2	108 ± 10 4.6 ± 0.5	114 ± 12	106.1 ± 3.7	$933.6 \rightarrow 42.97$ 1040 2 $\rightarrow 142.35$
908.9 ± 0.3	3.0 ± 0.3	$3.0{\pm}0.5$	$3.0{\pm}0.2$	not assigned
920.5 ± 0.2	21 ± 2	22 ± 3	$23.8 {\pm} 0.9$	$963.5 \rightarrow 42.97$
944.4 ± 0.2 985.6 ± 0.2	50 ± 0.6	~ 3 5+1	25+11	not assigned
1041.1 ± 0.3	3 ± 1	~ 3	$\tilde{2}.1\pm0.2$	$1183.5 \rightarrow 142.35?$
1068.7 ± 0.3 1078.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3			not assigned 1220.5 ± 142.25
1078.1 ± 0.3 1107.6 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.2		$2.4{\pm}0.1$	$1220.3 \rightarrow 142.33$ $1151.1 \rightarrow 42.97?$
1138.0 ± 0.5		~ 1.5	2.0 ± 0.2	not assigned
1140 ± 2 1152.8 ± 0.3	$9.0\pm~0.9$	9.5 ± 1.4	$9.9{\pm}0.3$	$1183.5 \rightarrow 42.97?$ $1295.3 \rightarrow 142.35$
1173 ± 2		~ 0.7	1.0 ± 0.1	not assigned
$11(1.5\pm0.3)$ 1205.3 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.8 ~1	3.9 ± 0.2 1.3 ± 0.2	not assigned $1220.0 \rightarrow 42.97$
1212.1 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.2	~ 1.3	1.3 ± 0.1	not assigned
1232.1 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.8	1.5 ± 0.4 2.7 ± 0.1	$1374.3 \rightarrow 142.35$ 1205 3 $\rightarrow 42.07$
1331.6 ± 0.6		1.2 ± 0.2	2:1±0:1	$1374.3 \rightarrow 42.97$
1502.3 ± 0.7		~ 3	$1.2{\pm}0.1$	$1644.8 \rightarrow 142.35?$



FIG. 1. Partial decay scheme of ²⁴³Bk deduced from the present work. The 87.4-keV level was identified in Ref. [7]. Gamma-ray intensities are given in relative units and can be converted to photons per ²⁴³Bk EC decay by multiplying them with 0.121. The total EC intensity in the figure does not add up to 100% because 4.7% belongs to γ rays which are not placed in the level scheme and 9.3% belongs to a tentative 944.9-keV level, not shown in the figure.



FIG. 2. Partial decay scheme of ²⁴⁴Bk deduced from the present data. The labels shown on the right side of the levels denote the projection K of the total angular momentum I on the nuclear symmetry axis and the parity of the level π . The 6⁺ state at 1040.2 keV was known previously [8]. Gammaray intensities are given in relative units and can be converted to photons per ²⁴⁴Bk EC decay by multiplying them with 0.505. The 1151.1-keV state is given the two-quasiparticle configuration assignment of $\{5/2^+[622]\nu;1/2^+[631]\nu\}_{3^+}$. The energies of the members of the ground-state band are taken from Ref. [16]. Levels placed on weaker evidence are shown as dash lines.