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The spin-orbit splitting is an essential ingredient for our understanding of the shell structure in nuclei. One of the most important advantages of relativistic mean-field (RMF) models in nuclear physics is the fact that the large spin-orbit (SO) potential emerges automatically from the inclusion of Lorentz-scalar and -vector potentials in the Dirac equation. It is therefore of great importance to compare the results of such models with experimental data. We investigate the size of 2p- and 1f-shell splittings for the isotone chain $^{40}$Ca, $^{36}$Ar, $^{34}$S, and $^{34}$Si in the framework of various relativistic and non-relativistic density functionals. They are compared with the results of non-relativistic models and with recent experimental data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Self-consistent mean field models in the framework of nuclear density functional theory provide a very successful way to study nuclear structure phenomena throughout the entire nuclear chart. The nucleons are treated as independent particles moving inside the nucleus under the influence of various potentials, derived from such functionals [1]. These methods are similar to those used in electronic systems where the form of the density functionals can be deduced ab-initio from the well known Coulomb force between the electrons [2, 3]. Contrary to that, at present, the nuclear density functionals are constructed phenomenologically. The form of those functionals is motivated by the symmetries of the underlying basic theories. The parameters of the model, however, are adjusted to experimental data in finite nuclei.

Within the concept of density functional theory, the full quantum mechanical nuclear many-body problem is mapped onto a single-particle problem, assuming that the exact ground state of the A-body system is determined by a Slater determinant and the corresponding single-particle density matrix generated from the products of A single-particle states. By imposing a variation principle on the energy functional with respect to this density one derives the equations of motion of the independently moving nucleons. The specific form of the phenomenological density functional leads to a certain form of the mean-field.

There are two general versions of this theory. The standard since almost fifty years ago, are non-relativistic functionals. The most widely known forms are the Skyrme type functionals, based on zero range interactions [4] and the Gogny type functionals of finite range interactions [5]. Later on covariant density functionals have been introduced. Their relativistic form is based on the simple model of Walecka [6, 7] and its density dependence has been introduced by non-linear meson couplings by Boguta and Bodmer [8].

Both relativistic and non-relativistic models have been very successful in describing bulk and structure properties of nuclei all along the beta stability line giving very similar results. However, going to nuclei close to the drip line with high isospin values there were significant differences in measuring special quantities. A characteristic case has been the failure of the standard Skyrme functionals used at the time, to reproduce the observed kink in the radii difference of the chain of Pb isotopes, whereas relativistic functionals were very successful at reproducing it [9]. It was afterwards recognised that this qualitatively distinct result was due to the different way the two methods treat the spin-orbit interaction. In the Skyrme Hartree Fock (SHF) models the large spin-orbit in nuclei, which is known since the early days of the shell model [10, 11], is included phenomenologically in the form of the functional with an additional parameter that has to be adjusted to the experimental data. In contrast the covariant treatment gives rise to the very large spin-orbit coupling in a natural way. It has its origin in the fact, that the nuclear Dirac equation contains a very large attractive scalar field and a very large repulsive vector field. For the normal potential these two fields compensate to a large extent, but their effects add up in the spin-orbit term [12, 13].

In all the conventional non-relativistic models the spin-orbit term is derived from a two-body spin-orbit interaction of zero range [4, 5, 14]. The corresponding Fock term leads to a strong isospin dependence of the spin-orbit
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splitting. This is the origin of the failure to reproduce the kink in the isotopic shifts mentioned above. In covariant models the spin-orbit splitting is a single-particle effect, derived directly from the Dirac equation. Its isospin dependence is given by the $\rho$-meson. Its strength is determined by the symmetry energy and it leads usually only to a weak isospin dependence [6, 12]. The use of an additional scalar isovector $\delta$-meson does not change very much this situation, because the contributions of the isovector mesons to the spin-orbit term are small as compared to the contributions of the isoscalar mesons [15].

Of course the strong isospin dependence of the spin-orbit term in conventional non-relativistic density functionals introduced by the Fock term can be avoided, if the assumption is given up that the density functional is derived as the expectation value of an effective Hamiltonian which leads inevitably to exchange terms. Therefore subsequent efforts to correct for this result have led to modified Skyrme schemes where the strength of the Fock-term in the functional is used as a fit-parameter [16, 17]. In this way an extension of the Skyrme functional was proposed [17] reproducing also the evolution of the nuclear radius with neutron number $N$ for the isotope series of Pb and Ca. The resulting functionals were able to correct for the initial failure by changing the density dependence of the neutron spin-orbit potential.

Another example for the differences of the models in the spin-orbit part has been observed in Ref. [18]. It was found out that in the framework of relativistic mean field theory there was a significant reduction in the spin-orbit potential in light drip line nuclei that have a large isospin value. This had an effect on the energy splittings of the same spin-orbit partners which were reduced for isotopes of Ne and Mg with the increase of neutron number. Again it was shown that a modification of the spin-orbit term in Skyrme has similar results with the relativistic mean-field.

There has been lately a renewed interest in experimental studies concerning the spin orbit part of the nuclear force. In particular two specific experiments [19, 20] were recently published, where the structure of the $N = 20$ nuclide $^{34}$Si nucleus is investigated. The reason why this particular nucleus was chosen is its unique bubble structure, unveiled in earlier theoretical calculations [21] using both relativistic and non-relativistic models. This bubble structure implies there is a large central depletion in the proton density, which is due to the fact that the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state is essentially empty. This is exactly what it was shown this time experimentally in the very recent study by Mutschler et.al. [20], where they used the one proton removal ($-1p$) method, to probe the interior of the $^{34}$Si nucleus and to show that the $2s_{1/2}$ is indeed empty.

Following, therefore, the identification of $^{34}$Si as a bubble nucleus [21] a very specific experiment by Burgunder et.al. [19] was conducted attempting to set an additional constraint on the strength of the spin-orbit force. Comparing these results with earlier experiments of nuclei within the $N = 20$ isotope chain such as in [22, 23], one was able to evaluate a reduction in the $2p_{3/2} - 2p_{1/2}$ splitting. This effect has been attributed to the occurrence of a bubble in the central proton density as one advances from $^{36}$S to $^{34}$Si. This is analogous to the case discussed in Ref. [18] where the addition of neutrons in Ni and Sn isotopes, leads to the weakening of the spin-orbit potential and to a subsequent reduction of the size of the spin-orbit splitting of the neutron subsystem. Therefore, it has been suggested, that this kind of specific measurement could work complementary to the aforementioned theoretical studies, in order to investigate further the spin-orbit force in various mean field models.

There has already been a study within the non-relativistic mean field approach [24], where the $2p$ and $1f$ neutron spin-orbit splittings in the $N = 20$ isotones $^{40}$Ca, $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si have been analyzed for various Skyrme and Gogny functionals. Inspired by this work, we carried out an investigation within self-consistent covariant density functional theory describing the same nuclei as well as $^{38}$Ar. Concentrating on the first $1f_{7/2}$, $2p_{3/2}$, $2p_{1/2}$ and $1f_{5/2}$ neutron states, we calculated the SO splittings of the $2p$ and $1f$ orbitals and compared them with the corresponding non-relativistic and experimental results. Our goal is to examine whether the different treatments of the spin-orbit force in relativistic and non-relativistic mean field models gives rise to significantly different results, as it has been the case for the investigations mentioned above [9, 17, 18].

We first neglect pairing correlations, as it has been done in the earlier non-relativistic work of Ref. [24] and calculate the single-particle energies in the relativistic Hartree model (RH) based on several modern nonlinear and density dependent covariant density functionals. Afterwards we go beyond these investigations in various aspects: we study the influence of pairing correlations within the relativistic Hartree-Bogolubov (RHB) scheme, we include tensor forces in relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) theory, and finally we go beyond mean field and include particle-vibration coupling (PVC).

Our article is organized in the following way: In Sect. II we present the theoretical methods and in Sect. III we introduce specific extensions. Sect. IV is devoted to numerical details of the calculations and in Sect. V we discuss the results of our investigations. Sect. VI contains conclusions and an outlook for future work.

II. THEORY

As described in Ref. [25] in the relativistic case nucleons are treated as 4-component Dirac spinors and the interaction is mediated by the exchange of virtual mesons. The minimal set of meson fields required to describe bulk and single-particle nuclear properties have the following quantum numbers and properties:

- $\sigma$ meson: $J^P, T = 0^+, 0$, medium range attraction
\( \bullet \) \( \omega \) meson: \( J^P, T = 1^-, 0 \), short range repulsion
\( \bullet \) \( \rho \) meson: \( J^P, T = 1^-, 1 \), isospin channel.

Inspired by ab-initio calculations [26] one has introduced in some models in addition an isovector scalar meson, the \( \delta \)-meson [15]:

\( \bullet \) \( \delta \) meson: \( J^P, T = 0^+, 1 \), isospin channel.

The model is defined by the Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_N + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}. 
\]

\( \mathcal{L}_N \) denotes the Lagrangian of the free nucleon

\[
\mathcal{L}_N = \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - M) \psi, 
\]

where \( M \) is the bare nucleon mass and \( \psi \) denotes the Dirac spinor. \( \mathcal{L}_m \) is the Lagrangian of the free meson fields and the electromagnetic field

\[
\mathcal{L}_m = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - \frac{1}{2} m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \omega_\mu \omega^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \delta_\mu \delta - \frac{1}{2} m_\delta^2 \delta^2 \\
- \frac{1}{4} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega_\mu \omega^\mu - \frac{1}{4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 \rho_\mu \rho^\mu \\
- \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, 
\]

with the corresponding masses \( m_\sigma, m_\omega, m_\rho, \) and \( \Omega_{\mu\nu}, \) \( R_{\mu\nu}, F_{\mu\nu} \) are the field tensors

\[
\Omega_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu, \\
R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \rho_\nu - \partial_\nu \rho_\mu, \\
F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. 
\]

The minimal set of interaction terms is contained in \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} \)

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -g_\sigma \bar{\psi} \psi \sigma - g_\delta \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi \delta \\
- g_\omega \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu \psi - g_\rho \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \rho_\mu \psi - e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu A_\mu \psi, 
\]

where \( e \) vanishes for neutrons. It was recognised that this linear model was not very successful for a quantitative description of nuclei. Therefore Boguta and Bodmer [8] introduced a density dependence by non-linear meson couplings replacing the quadratic term \( \frac{1}{2} m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 \) by a renormalizable \( \delta^4 \)-theory

\[
U(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3} g_2 \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{4} g_3 \sigma^4 
\]

Later on one has also introduced non-linear couplings in the \( \omega \)- and \( \rho \)-sector. As examples for such functionals we use in this investigation the parameter set NL3 [27], NL3* [28], and FSUGold [29].

Through the classical variation of the Lagrangian with respect to the different fields we find the equations of motion, the Dirac equation for the spinors and Klein-Gordon equations for the mesons. In the static case with time-reversal invariance we have

\[
(\alpha \cdot p + \beta (M + S) + V) \psi_i = \varepsilon_i \psi_i, 
\]

where the relativistic scalar and vector fields \( S \) and \( V \) are given by

\[
S = g_\sigma \sigma + g_\delta \delta \quad \text{and} \quad V = g_\omega \omega^0 + g_\rho \tau_3 \rho_3^0 + e A^0. 
\]

Varying the Lagrangian with respect to the meson fields we get the Klein-Gordon type equations. Using also the Lorentz gauge for the vector mesons they have the following form

\[
(-\Delta + m_\sigma^2) \sigma = -g_\sigma \bar{\psi} \psi_i - g_2 \sigma^2 + g_3 \sigma^3 
\]

\[
(-\Delta + m_\omega^2) \omega^0 = g_\omega \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi} \tau_3 \psi_i 
\]

\[
(-\Delta + m_\rho^2) \rho_3^0 = g_\rho \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi} \tau_3 \psi_i 
\]

\[
-\Delta A^0 = \frac{e}{2} \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi} (1 - \tau_3) \psi_i 
\]

The sources of the fields are the various densities as for instance the scalar density \( \rho_\sigma \) and the baryon density \( \rho \):

\[
\rho_\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^A \bar{\psi} \psi_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = \sum_{i=1}^A \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi} \psi_i, 
\]

and in a similar way we have the density for protons and neutrons \( \rho_n \) and \( \rho_p \). The summation runs always over the occupied states in the Fermi sea (no-sea approximation).

More modern functionals describe the density dependence not by non-linear meson couplings, but rather by density dependent coupling constants: \( g_i(\rho) \) (for \( i = \sigma, \delta, \omega, \rho \)). Instead of following the approach with non-linear terms, an idea to use density dependent couplings was first proposed by Brockman and Toki [30], who derived the density dependence from relativistic Brueckner-Hartree-Fock calculations in nuclear matter at various densities. Modern high precision functionals use various phenomenological forms for the density dependence as for instance the so-called Typel-Wolter ansatz [31]:

\[
g_i(\rho) = g_i(\rho_{\text{sat}}) f_i(x) \quad \text{for } i = \sigma, \omega \quad (15) \\
g_i(\rho) = g_i(\rho_{\text{sat}}) \exp[-a_i(x - 1)] \quad \text{for } i = \delta, \rho \quad (16)
\]

with

\[
f_i(x) = \frac{1 + b_i(x + d_i)}{1 + c_i(x + d_i)^2} \quad (17)
\]

being a function of \( x = \rho / \rho_{\text{sat}} \), where \( \rho_{\text{sat}} \) is the density at saturation of symmetric nuclear matter. The Typel-Wolter ansatz is used for the density functionals DD-ME2 [32] and DD-ME$\delta$ [15].
Meson exchange forces with finite meson masses are relatively complicated, in particular for triaxially deformed nuclei or for applications of time-dependent density functional theory for the description of excited states. Therefore, in analogy to the non-relativistic Skyrme functional, one has introduced forces with zero range, so-called point coupling models [33]. These are generalizations of the Nambu-Jona Lasinio model [34] including derivative terms and density dependent coupling constants. In this investigation we use the point coupling functionals PC-F1 [35] with a polynomial density dependence and the point coupling functional DD-PC1 [36, 37] with an exponential ansatz for the density dependence.

A. Isospin dependence of the spin-orbit force

As it is noted in [12, 13], the spin-orbit coupling arises naturally in the relativistic formalism from the addition of the two large fields, the vector field $V$ produced mainly by the short range repulsion of the $\omega$ meson, and the scalar field $S$ produced mainly by the attractive $\sigma$-mesons. The iso-vector mesons $\delta$ and $\rho$ contribute to the iso-vector dependence of the spin-orbit splitting [15].

In the non-relativistic expansion of the Dirac equation [38] the spin-orbit term obtains the form

$$V_{S.O.} = W \cdot (p \times \sigma)$$

with

$$W = \frac{1}{2M^2} \nabla (V - S)$$

and the effective mass

$$\hat{M} = M - \frac{1}{2} (V - S)$$

In the spherical case we have

$$V_{S.O.} = \frac{1}{4M^2} \frac{d(V - S)}{dr} \ell \cdot s$$

In order to have a rough estimate for the isospin dependence we make the following approximations: (i) we neglect non-linear meson couplings as well as the density dependence of the coupling constants, (ii) we neglect the difference between scalar and vector density, and (iii) we solve the Klein-Gordon equations in local density approximation, i.e. we neglect the Laplacians.

We thus obtain for the meson-coupling models with $C_i = g_i^2/m_i^2$

$$V - S = (C_\omega + C_\sigma)(\rho_\sigma + \rho_\omega) + \tau_3 (C_\rho + C_\delta)(\rho_\rho - \rho_\delta)$$

where for the meson-coupling models $C_i = g_i^2/m_i^2$ ($i = \sigma, \omega, \delta, \rho$) and for the point coupling models $C_i = \alpha_S, \alpha_V, \alpha_{TS}, \alpha_{TV}$. This leads to

$$W_r = W_1 \nabla \rho_r + W_2 \nabla \rho_{r' \neq r}$$

with $W_1$ very close to $W_2$:

$$\frac{W_1}{W_2} \approx 1 + 2 \frac{C_\rho + C_\delta}{C_\omega + C_\sigma}$$

Of course, there is also a small isospin-dependence in the effective mass $\hat{M}$ and, because of the density dependence, these parameters depend on $r$. However, in the relevant region, for all the models, the isovector coupling constants $C_\rho + C_\delta$ reach only 10-20 % of the isoscalar values.

In principle the fit to experimental data in finite nuclei does only allow to determine $C_\rho - C_\delta$ and not $C_\sigma$ and $C_\delta$ independently [15]. Therefore the $\delta$-meson is neglected in most of the successful parameter sets ($C_\delta = 0$). In principle $C_\rho + C_\delta$ could have a large value, as it happens in the isoscalar case with the extremely large scalar and vector potentials $S$ and $V$, which cancel in the normal mean field, but add up in the spin-orbit term. There are, however, strong indications from ab-initio calculations that this is not the case. In fact, in the parameter set DD-ME$\delta$ [15] the coupling $g_\delta (\rho)$ was adjusted to the splitting of the effective Dirac mass between protons and neutrons, as it has been calculated in relativistic Brueckner-Hartree-Fock calculations in nuclear matter by the Tuebingen group [26].

In the non-relativistic density functionals of Skyrme and Gogny type the spin-orbit term is derived from a zero-range two-body spin-orbit interaction of the form

$$V_{12}^{(SO)}(r_{12}) = iW_0(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \cdot (\hat{k} \cdot \delta(r_{12}) \hat{k})$$

with $r_{12} = r_1 - r_2$, and $\hat{k} = -(i/2)(\nabla_1 - \nabla_2)$. The parameter $W_0$, together with the remaining parameters, is determined phenomenologically through a fit to finite nuclei. Since these are Hartree-Fock calculations, the exchange term leads to a very specific isospin dependence and the spin-orbit term has the form of Eq. (18) with

$$W_r(r) = W_1 \nabla \rho_r + W_2 \nabla \rho_{r' \neq r}$$

here the parameters $W_1$ and $W_2$ are constants and because of the exchange term one finds

$$\frac{W_1}{W_2} = 2.$$
use general density functionals, where the exchange contribution contains a free parameter $x_w$. In this case the density functional, i.e. the expectation value of the energy, is determined in Hartree-approximation from a slightly modified spin-orbit term [17, 39]

$$V_{SO} = iW_0 \frac{1}{2} (1 + x_w \hat{P}^z) (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) \hat{k} \times \delta(r_{12}) \hat{k}. \quad (28)$$

When the single-particle field is derived from this functional we end up with a spin-orbit potential of the form (26) with $W_1 = W_0 (1 + x_w)/2$, $W_2 = W_0/2$. Using the modified Skyrme ansatz there is the ability to allow shifts of Pb nuclei.

B. Pairing correlations

The theory we have presented above remains in the Relativistic Mean-Field level and since we neglect any exchange terms we have a Relativistic Hartree approximation to describe the long-range particle-hole correlations in a nucleus. However, in open-shell nuclei we know that particle-particle correlations are important and one should have to take them into account explicitly. In the non-relativistic functionals this is done in the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) theory [40, 41] that provides a unified picture for the mean field and pairing correlations. The relativistic version of the transformation is a hybrid where the long-range interaction is given by the Lorenz-covariant Langrangians, we have given above, and the short-range interaction is produced by effective non-relativistic forces. Pairing correlations can be easily included in the framework of density functional theory, by using a generalized Slater determinant $\langle \Phi \rangle$ of the Hartree-Bogoliubov type. The ground state of a nucleus $\langle \Phi \rangle$ is represented as the vacuum with respect to independent quasi-particle operators

$$\alpha^+_k = \sum_l U_{lk} c^+_l + V_{lk} c_l, \quad (29)$$

where $U_{lk}$, $V_{lk}$ are the Hartree-Bogoliubov coefficients. They determine the hermitian single-particle density matrix

$$\hat{\varrho} = V^* V^T, \quad (30)$$

and the antisymmetric pairing tensor

$$\hat{\kappa} = V^* U^T. \quad (31)$$

The energy functional depends not only on the density matrix $\hat{\varrho}$ and the meson fields $\phi_m$, but also on the pairing tensor.

$$E[\hat{\varrho}, \hat{\kappa}, \phi_m] = E_{RMF}[\hat{\varrho}, \phi_m] + E_{pair}[\hat{\kappa}], \quad (32)$$

where $E_{RMF}[\hat{\varrho}, \phi]$ is the RMF-functional. The pairing energy $E_{pair}[\hat{\kappa}]$ is given by

$$E_{pair}[\hat{\kappa}] = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} [\hat{\kappa}^* V^{pp} \hat{\kappa}]. \quad (33)$$

$V^{pp}$ is a general two-body pairing interaction.

To get a static solution for ground states of open-shell nuclei in this framework, we have to solve the Hartree-Bogoliubov equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\h} - m - \lambda & \hat{\Delta} \\ -\hat{\Delta}^* & -\hat{\h} + m + \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_k(r) \\ V_k(r) \end{bmatrix} = E_k \begin{bmatrix} U_k(r) \\ V_k(r) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

This system of equations contains two average potentials: the self-consistent mean field $\hat{\h}$, which encloses all the long range particle-hole ($ph$) correlations, and the pairing field $\Delta$, which includes the particle-particle ($pp$) correlations. The single-particle potential $\hat{\h}$ results from the variation of the energy functional with respect to the hermitian density matrix $\hat{\varrho}$

$$\hat{\h} = \frac{\delta E}{\delta \hat{\varrho}}. \quad (35)$$

and the pairing field is obtained from the variation of the energy functional with respect to the pairing tensor

$$\hat{\Delta} = \frac{\delta E}{\delta \hat{\kappa}}. \quad (36)$$

The chemical potential $\lambda$ is determined by the particle number subsidiary condition in order that the expectation value of the particle number operator in the ground state equals the number of nucleons. The column vectors denote the quasi-particle wave functions, and $E_k$ are the quasi-particle energies. The dimension of the RHB matrix equation is two times the dimension of the corresponding Dirac equation. For each eigenvector $(U_k, V_k)$ with positive quasi-particle energy $E_k > 0$, there exists an eigenvector $(V_k^*, U_k^*)$ with quasi-particle energy $-E_k$. Since the baryon quasi-particle operators satisfy fermion commutation relations, the levels $E_k$ and $-E_k$ cannot be occupied simultaneously. For the solution that corresponds to a ground state of a nucleus with even particle number, one usually chooses the eigenvectors with positive eigenvalues $E_k$.

The eigen-solutions of Eq. (34) form a set of orthogonal (normalized) single quasi-particle states. The corresponding eigenvalues are the single quasi-particle energies. The self-consistent iteration procedure is performed in the basis of quasi-particle states. The resulting RHB-function is analyzed in the canonical basis [42], where it has the form of a BCS-function. In this basis the density matrix $R_{kk'} = \langle V_k(r)|V_{k'}(r) \rangle$ is diagonal and its eigenvalues are the BCS-occupation probabilities

$$v^2_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_\mu - \lambda}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon_\mu - \lambda)^2 + \Delta^2_\mu}} \right]. \quad (37)$$
Here the \( \varepsilon_\mu = \langle \mu | \hat{h} | \mu \rangle \) are the single-particle energies in the canonical basis and \( \Delta_\mu = \langle \mu | \hat{\Delta} | \mu \rangle \) are the corresponding gap-parameters.

If the pairing field \( \hat{\Delta} \) is diagonal and constant, HFB reduces to the BCS-approximation. The lower and upper components \( U_k(r) \) and \( V_k(r) \) are equivalent, with the BCS-occupation amplitudes \( u_k \) and \( v_k \) as proportionality constants. In that case we use the odd-even mass difference to obtain the value of the experimental gap parameter

\[
\Delta = \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{2} [E(N+2) - 2E(N+1) + E(N)] \tag{38}
\]

and the occupation probabilities are given by the BCS formula (37).

The problem with this simplified method is the ultraviolet divergence of the pairing field for high momenta. This means that it is necessary to have a fixed pairing window or an energy cut-off, which adds an extra parameter in the model that cannot be fixed experimentally.

This can be avoided for finite range effective pairing forces. One way suggested in [43] is using a non-relativistic pairing interaction based on the pairing part of the well known and very successful Gogny force [44],

\[
V_{PP}(1, 2) = \sum_{i=1,2} e^{-\left((r_1 - r_2)/\mu^2\right)} \times (W_i + B_i P^\sigma - H_i P^\tau - M_i P^\sigma P^\tau), \tag{39}
\]

with the set D1S [44] for the parameters \( \mu_1 \), \( W_1 \), \( B_1 \), \( H_1 \), and \( M_i \) \( (i = 1, 2) \). This force has been very carefully adjusted to the pairing properties of finite nuclei all over the periodic table. In particular, the basic advantage of the Gogny force is the finite range, which automatically guarantees a proper cut-off in momentum space.

This method has been very successful but it requires great computational effort. So an alternative was developed in [45] by Tian et al. (TMR), that has been formulated as a separable force in momentum space. Therefore it can be determined by two parameters adjusted to reproduce the pairing gap of the Gogny force in symmetric nuclear matter. In the \( ^1S_0 \) channel the gap equation reads

\[
\Delta(k) = -\int_0^\infty \frac{k'^2 dk'}{2\pi^2} \langle k \mid V^{^1S_0} \mid k' \rangle \frac{\Delta(k')}{2E(k')}, \tag{40}
\]

and the pairing force separable in momentum space is

\[
\langle k \mid V^{^1S_0} \mid k' \rangle = -Gp(k)p(k'). \tag{41}
\]

The two parameters determining the force are, the pairing strength \( G \) and \( \alpha \) that goes in the Gaussian ansatz \( p(k) = e^{-\alpha^2 k^2} \). Their value has been adjusted to \( G = 728 \) MeV fm\(^3\) and \( \alpha = 0.644 \) fm in order to reproduce the density dependence of the gap at the Fermi surface, calculated with the D1S parametrization of the Gogny force [46].

### III. SPECIFIC EXTENSIONS

#### A. Tensor forces

It is generally acknowledged that the tensor part of the nuclear force plays an essential role in the description of the several nuclear properties. The standard formulation of covariant density functionals is based on the Relativistic Hartree approximation, i.e. exchange terms are not taken into account explicitly. This is in most cases a good approximation, because the coupling constants in the various spin-isospin channels are adjusted to experimental data. For zero-range forces the Fierz theorem shows, that exchange terms can be expanded over direct terms with new effective coupling constants being linear combinations of the old coupling constants in the different spin-isospin channels. For meson-exchange forces with heavy meson masses, such as the \( \sigma \)-, the \( \omega \)-, the \( \delta \)- and the \( \rho \)-meson, the corresponding ranges are short and therefore this is still a reasonable approximation.

Following this arguments, in conventional covariant density functional theory the contributions of the exchange terms are taken into account effectively through the adjustment of the parameters to experimental data. As we already mentioned, this model has been extremely successful in describing a vast range of nuclear bulk properties, such as binding energies, radii, deformation parameters, giant resonances etc. [47].

Of course, the pion mass is small and, therefore, its exchange term should be taken into account explicitly. There have been also recent studies, which found that the inclusion of a tensor force has an effect on very specific single-particle observables. It has been shown, for instance, in Ref. [48], that tensor forces are responsible for the shift of effective single-particle levels in shell model calculations for exotic nuclei. Furthermore, the spin-orbit alignment is crucial to the strongly repulsive or attractive character of the tensor force between proton or neutrons. So, in our case, where we want to study the spin-orbit coupling, the effect of tensor forces may prove to have some quantitative importance, as this has also been investigated in the non-relativistic study in [24].

In the relativistic scheme tensor terms usually show up, if one takes into account exchange terms. Relativistic Hartree-Fock (RHF) theory including tensor terms has a long history [50–52], but such calculations require a considerable computational effort. Therefore, for a long time the computer power was too limited to determine in a consistent and successful way the parameters of a relativistic density functional containing tensor terms. In the mean time two groups have overcome these problems. Long et al. developed a spherical RHF-code [53–55] in \( r \)-space containing all the exchange terms for the \( \sigma \)-, \( \omega \)-, and \( \rho \)-mesons and for the \( \pi \)-meson with density dependent coupling constants. By adjusting the corresponding parameters to the usual data of binding energies and radii in finite nuclei they determined several successful parameter sets for the RHF description of nuclei all over the
periodic table. Serra et al. [56, 57] developed an RHF-code in oscillator space taking into account only the exchange term of the $\pi$-meson because the other mesons $\sigma$, $\omega$, and $\rho$ are relatively heavy and the corresponding force is of short range. Therefore, as discussed before, the exchange terms of these mesons can be represented in the static case to a good approximation by direct terms with effective coupling constants.

In this work we follow this method to take into account tensor terms in the relativistic scheme. Basically two terms are added in the Lagrangian of the system, the first is the term of the free pion field included in $\mathcal{L}_m$ as given in (3),

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \bar{\pi} \partial^\mu \pi - m_\pi^2 \right) \bar{\pi}^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where the mass of the pion is set to its experimental value $m_\pi = 138$ MeV. The second term is the pseudovector Yukawa type of force included in $\mathcal{L}_{int}$ as given in (5)

$$\mathcal{L}_{pv} = -\frac{f_\pi}{m_\pi} \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu \bar{\pi} \psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

$f^2_\pi = \lambda f^2_\pi^{free}$ is the strength of the one-pion-exchange interaction in this model and $f^2_\pi^{free}$ is the experimental value of pion-nucleon coupling in free space. A factor $\sqrt{\lambda}$ is used as a multiplier to vary the coupling constant of the pion from zero ($\lambda=0$) to its free value $f^2_\pi^{free}$ ($\lambda=1$). This comprises now a Relativistic Hartree-Fock model and its parameters have been readjusted for different values of $\lambda$. This has been done following the same procedure that was used to adjust the parameters of NL3 [27].

Concentrating in this fit only to binding energies and radii of finite nuclei, it was shown that the optimal fit was achieved for $\lambda = 0$. i.e. for vanishing pion-nucleon interaction. However, a parameter set NL3RHF0.5 with half the strength of the free pion ($\lambda=0.5$) describes in addition to the other data the evolution of single-particle structure in the tin isotopes measured by the Argonne group [58] in $(\alpha,t)$ transfer reactions .

B. Particle-Vibrational Coupling

So far we discussed only mean field methods to describe single-particle energies. In this description of the nuclear many-body system the nucleons move independently. In the next step we go beyond the mean field description and include correlations by the method of particle-vibration coupling (PVC). This is important for our investigation of single-particle excitations, since the coupling of the single-particle motion to the low-lying phonons leads to a fragmentation of the single-particle spectrum, a feature most prominent in spherical nuclei [59]. Even though conventional DFT reproduce fairly well the gross structure of the SO splitting, the inclusion of particle-vibration coupling produces a denser spectrum near the Fermi surface which is in better agreement with experimental observations.

In fact, it is well known from Landau-Migdal theory [60, 61] that particles in the many-body system can interact with low-lying surface phonons and form Landau quasi-particles surrounded by a cloud of excitons. Such phenomena lead to a fragmentation of the single-particle energies. In DFT such effects can be taken into account in the framework of time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) [62]. In contrast to static DFT, which depends only on the exact static density $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$, its basis is the exact time-dependent density $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$, which depends on four variables. In static Kohn-Sham theory the static density $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$ is mapped onto a static single-particle potential, the Kohn Sham potential or the static self-energy $\Sigma_{KS}$, which is easy to diagonalize and whose local single-particle density is identical to the exact local ground state density $\rho_0(\mathbf{r})$. In full analogy to the static DFT, in the time-dependent case there exists a time-dependent single-particle field, the time-dependent self-energy $\Sigma(\mathbf{r},t)$ with a time-dependent density identical to the exact local single-particle density $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$ of the time-dependent many-body problem. This is the Runge-Gross theorem [63]. The problem is, that we know very little about this time-dependent self-energy. It is very complicated because it contains all the memory effects of the system.

In the case, where the time-dependent motion is of a small-amplitude character, one can apply linear response theory and determine the time-dependent self-energy in a perturbative approach. In Fourier space one ends up with a self-energy depending on the energy $\omega$. Greens-function techniques and diagrammatic expansions are used to provide a model for the energy dependent self-energy $\Sigma(\mathbf{r},\omega)$.

In a first step one starts with the ground state of the even system determined by static DFT and allows for small amplitude vibrations around this static solution. In the adiabatic approximation one assumes that at each time the self-energy is identical to the static self-energy calculated with the density $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$. This leads to time-dependent mean field theory and in the limit of small amplitudes to the well known random phase approximation (RPA), in the relativistic case to relativistic RPA (RRPA) and in the case of pairing to quasi-particle RPA (QRPA). In this way one calculates collective excitations as, for instance, the surface phonons, which are linear superpositions of $ph$-excitations, by the diagonalization of the RPA-matrix. The interaction between these $ph$-pairs is given as the second derivative of the energy density functional with respect to the density

$$V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \frac{\delta^2 E[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}_1) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}_2)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

One obtains harmonic vibrations $|\mu\rangle$ with the eigenfrequencies $\Omega_\mu$ and the transition densities $\delta \rho^\mu_{12} = \langle \mu | a_2^\dagger a_1 | 0 \rangle$. 
In the next step one goes back to the description of single-particle motion in the presence of the collective vibrations. Starting from the static mean field in the self-energy, one adds terms which describe the coupling of single-particle motion to the vibrations. These terms are energy dependent. The coupling is provided by the vertices of the form
\[ \gamma_{12} = \sum_{34}(14|V|23)\delta\rho_{34}^{\mu}, \] (45)
where \( (14|V|23) \) are the matrix elements of the interaction (44) and \( \delta\rho_{34}^{\mu} \) are the transition densities of the corresponding phonons.

Finally, the energy-dependent part of the self-energy \( \Sigma(\omega) \) is found in second order of the particle-vibration coupling:
\[ \Sigma_{12}(\omega) = \sum_{k\mu} \left( \frac{\gamma_{1k}^\mu \gamma_{2k}^{\mu*}}{\omega - \varepsilon_3 - \Omega_\mu + i\eta} + \frac{\gamma_{k1}^\mu \gamma_{k2}^{\mu*}}{\omega - \varepsilon_3 + \Omega_\mu - i\eta} \right), \] (46)
where a virtual phonon with the frequency \( \Omega_\mu \) is emitted moving the particle from level 1 to level \( k \). More details can be found in Refs. [64, 65] as well as an extension of the approach to superfluid systems in Refs. [66, 67].

Combining this energy dependent part of the self-energy with the static part we obtain the full self-energy \( \Sigma \). It contains all the forces that act on a single nucleon. It is non-local in space and time coordinates which gives rise to an energy dependence of its Fourier transform:
\[ \Sigma(r, r'; \omega) = \tilde{\Sigma}(r)\delta(r - r') + \Sigma^{(e)}(r, r'; \omega) \] (47)
with the static part \( \tilde{\Sigma} \) of the self-energy, i.e. the Dirac hamiltonian of the ground state reads:
\[ \hat{h} = \alpha p + \beta(m + S) + V = \alpha p + \beta m + \Sigma. \] (48)
This leads to the Dyson equation describing the motion of the quasi-particles in the presence of the vibrating mean field. It can be written in terms of Green’s function as
\[ (\varepsilon - \hat{h} - \Sigma(\varepsilon))G(\varepsilon) = \beta, \] (49)
and in the Dirac basis, which diagonalizes the energy-independent part of the Dirac equation, it is rewritten as follows:
\[ \sum_{l}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_k)\delta_{kl} - \Sigma_k(\varepsilon)}G_{lk}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{kk'}. \] (50)
In the diagonal approximation it has the form
\[ (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_k - \Sigma_k(\varepsilon))G_k(\varepsilon) = 1. \] (51)

For each quantum number \( k \), there exist several solutions \( \varepsilon_k^{(\lambda)} \), characterized by the index \( \lambda \). So, the inclusion of a coupling between the single-particle states and the vibrations leads to the fragmentation of each single-particle state \( k \). Taking into account the pole structure of the self-energy (46), we get as an outcome an effective spectroscopic factor \( S_k^{(\lambda)} \) which determines the occupation probability for each fragment \( \lambda \) of the state \( k \).

IV. NUMERICAL DETAILS

Throughout this work, the Dirac equation (7) and the Klein-Gordon equations (9)-(13) are solved by an expansion of the large and small components of the Dirac spinors and of the meson fields in a spherical oscillator basis (see Ref. [68]) with the frequency \( \hbar\omega = 41A^{-1/3} \). Since these eigenfunctions form an infinite set it is necessary to truncate this basis to \( N_F = N_\mu = 20 \) major oscillator shells for the fermion and the meson fields respectively. As explained in the following, in order to study states that belong to the continuum, we have been changing the number \( N_F \) to vary from \( N_F = 14 - 20 \) shells.

In the case of tensor forces (see Sect. III A) the Dirac-Hartree-Fock equations are solved in the same spherical oscillator basis with \( N_F = 20 \) major oscillator shells and, as discussed in Refs. [56, 57], the matrix elements of the exchange term are evaluated in this basis.

For the calculations with particle-vibration coupling a seniority zero pairing force was used. In this case the pairing potential is a multiple of the unity matrix and the RHB-equations are identical to the RMF+BCS equations. The strength of the coupling constant of the pairing force is adjusted in such a way, that the resulting gap parameter is \( \Delta = 2 \text{ MeV} \) which is close to its empirical value. Further details of the particle-vibration coupling are given in Refs. [64, 66, 67]. Non-spin-flip phonons \( \mu \) with natural parities, angular momenta \( L_\mu \leq 6 \), and frequencies \( \Omega^\mu \leq 20 \text{ MeV} \) have been included into the self-energy (46) if their reduced transition probabilities exceed 5% of the maximal ones for each \( L_\mu \). This has been established as a standard truncation scheme for the relativistic PVC calculations.

V. RESULTS

As mentioned in the introduction we concentrate our study to the series of \( N = 20 \) isotones. We start with the nucleus \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) with \( Z = 20 \) protons, where the last four protons fill the \( 1d_{3/2} \) orbit. By removing two protons we go to \(^{38}\text{Ar}\) and by removing two more we reach \(^{36}\text{S}\) which has its last two protons in the \( 2s_{1/2} \) orbit. The density distribution of this state is peaked in the center of the nucleus and the removal of the two protons, as we go to \(^{34}\text{Si}\), leads to an occupation probability close to zero. Therefore we have a central depletion in the proton density and the formation of a dimple around the centre of the nuclear charge density. This is shown in Fig.1, where we have plotted the proton densities with natural parities, angular momenta \( L_\mu \leq 6 \), and frequencies \( \Omega^\mu \leq 20 \text{ MeV} \) have been included into the self-energy (46) if their reduced transition probabilities exceed 5% of the maximal ones for each \( L_\mu \). This has been established as a standard truncation scheme for the relativistic PVC calculations.
functionals and certain tensor extensions of those functionals. The neutron $f$ and $p$ splittings for the nuclei $^{40}$Ca, $^{36}$S, and $^{34}$Si, were studied for the pure mean field Hartree-Fock level. The corresponding results are shown in Table I.

Following the above experimental and theoretical studies we calculate the energies of the same neutron states and also the occupation probabilities of the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state in $^{36}$S and in $^{34}$Si for several covariant density functionals.

As in the non-relativistic case [24] the state $1f_{5/2}$ in the nuclei $^{40}$Ca, $^{38}$Ar, and $^{36}$S and the states $1f_{5/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ in $^{34}$Si are unbound for all forces we have used. In contrast to Ref. [24] where the Schroedinger equation was diagonalized in a box with finite radius, we expand the single-particle solutions in an oscillator basis. So instead of increasing the box radius, we change the number of oscillator shells. To determine the energies of the unbound states we follow the same criteria mentioned in Ref. [24]. More specifically the energies of the single-particle resonant states should not change within their width by changing the number of oscillator shells. Also the radial profile of those states is similar to that of bound states. As an example we shown in Fig. 2 the radial profiles of the wave-functions of the states $1f_{5/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ calculated with DD-ME2. For $^{40}$Ca they are bound and for $^{34}$Si they are unbound.

### A. Pure mean-field effects

We begin our investigations with simple mean field calculations without pairing: we solve the Relativistic Hartree equations and investigate the behaviour of the single-neutron energies in the $N = 20$ isotope chain. In this case the single-particle orbits are either fully occupied or completely empty. Thus the occupancy of the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state is 2 for the nuclei $^{40}$Ca, $^{38}$Ar, and $^{36}$S and 0 for $^{34}$Si. This will give us the pure relativistic mean field effect on the spin-orbit splittings.

The results for this case are given in Table II. In the

### Table I. Sizes and relative reductions of neutron $p$ and $f$ splittings for the non-relativistic case as, given in Ref. [24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{40}$Ca</th>
<th>$^{36}$S</th>
<th>$^{34}$Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Splitting</td>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy5</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>7.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1S</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{40}$Ca</th>
<th>$^{36}$S</th>
<th>$^{34}$Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Splitting</td>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy5</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1S</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 1. (color online) Proton densities of the nuclei $^{40}$Ca, $^{38}$Ar, $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si for the functional DD-ME2.
for each of the nuclei lower part we present the relative reduction of the $^{40}\text{Ca}$ neutron state for $^{40}\text{Ca}$ from splittings again for every functional, first as we move upper part we show the $f = 1f_{5/2} - 1f_{3/2}$ and $p = 2p_{3/2} - 2p_{1/2}$ energy splittings for each specific functional and for each of the nuclei $^{40}\text{Ca}$, $^{38}\text{Ar}$, $^{36}\text{S}$, and $^{34}\text{Si}$. In the lower part we present the relative reduction of the $f$ and $p$ splittings again for every functional, first as we move from $^{40}\text{Ca}$ to $^{36}\text{S}$ and then as we go from $^{36}\text{S}$ to $^{34}\text{Si}$. We also show in the last row the experimental values of the splittings and the reductions for $^{40}\text{Ca}$ [22], $^{36}\text{S}$ [23], and $^{34}\text{Si}$ [19].

For $^{40}\text{Ca}$ we use the values of the centroids for the distribution of the respective fragments. These data can be compared directly with our theoretical results. In the other two cases this is not possible, because the experimental centroids are not known. Therefore for the $2p_{3/2} - 2p_{1/2}$ in both $^{36}\text{S}$ and $^{34}\text{Si}$ we use the major fragment of each state. For the $1f_{5/2}$ state in $^{36}\text{S}$ we use the major contribution that comes from three states centered at 5.61 MeV with a total spectroscopic factor $SF = 0.36$, and in $^{34}\text{Si}$ the broad structure around 5.5 MeV with a calculated $SF = 0.32$. Even though this is not directly comparable with our results, we use it as an indication of the size of the reduction we should expect.

A schematic representation of our results together with the results for the non-relativistic SLy5 and D1S models, is given in Fig. 3. For all the models we plot the evolution of the $p$ and the $f$ spin-orbit splittings as a function of the mass number $A$.

In this first approach we observe a gradual reduction in the $f$ splittings of about 0.3-0.4 MeV at each step as we move down the chain of isotones. This is also apparent from the fact that the curves that show the evolution of the $f$-splitting in Fig. 3 have a similar slope for the different functionals. The total relative reduction is between 15-19% and around 5-7% at each step.

In contrast to the $f$-splittings, the $p$ splittings change only slightly for the three first nuclei, the only exception being the functional DD-MEδ. Only when we move from $^{36}\text{S}$ to $^{34}\text{Si}$ we find a large reduction for the $p$ splittings of the order of 40% to 60%. Qualitatively this picture is in line with the experiment. However, the absolute size of the $p$-splitting in $^{34}\text{Si}$ for most of our models is smaller than the respective experimental value. This leads in certain cases to an even larger relative reduction than what we should expect.

![Graph](image-url)

**FIG. 3.** (Color online) Evolution of spin-orbit splittings for the neutron levels $p$ (left panel) and $f$ (right panel) with respect to the mass number $A$, without pairing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ca}$/ $^{38}\text{Ar}$/ $^{36}\text{S}$/ $^{34}\text{Si}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ca}$/ $^{38}\text{Ar}$/ $^{36}\text{S}$/ $^{34}\text{Si}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL3</td>
<td>1.11 1.07 1.32 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3*</td>
<td>1.11 1.07 1.32 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUGold</td>
<td>1.03 1.07 1.32 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-ME2</td>
<td>1.07 1.07 1.32 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-MEδ</td>
<td>1.07 1.07 1.32 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-PC1</td>
<td>1.07 1.07 1.32 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-PF1</td>
<td>1.11 1.11 1.11 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>6.98 5.61 5.61 5.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $^{40}\text{Ca}$ $^{38}\text{Ar}$ $^{36}\text{S}$ $^{34}\text{Si}$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| NL3 | 11% | 3% | 7% |
| NL3* | 11% | 3% | 7% |
| FSUGold | 13% | 3% | 7% |
| DD-ME2 | 12% | 3% | 7% |
| DD-MEδ | 9% | 3% | 7% |
| DD-PC1 | 9% | 3% | 7% |
| PC-PF1 | 9% | 3% | 7% |
| Exp. | 20% | 20% | 20% |

| $^{40}\text{Ca}$ $^{38}\text{Ar}$ $^{36}\text{S}$ $^{34}\text{Si}$ |
|---|---|---|---|
| $f$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $p$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

**TABLE II.** Spin-orbit splittings in MeV (Upper part) and their relative reductions (Lower part) for $f$ and $p$ neutron states in the case of no pairing.
splittings in $^{40}$Ca to $^{38}$S the $f$- and $p$- splittings are only slightly decreasing with relative reductions 6% and 8% to 8% and 13%. In the transition from $^{36}$S to $^{34}$Si there is also the sudden and relatively large reduction in the size of the $p$-splitting of about 43%, but also a bigger reduction of the size of the $f$-splittings.

When we compare relativistic and non-relativistic results, we observe the following differences. In general, the sizes of the splittings in all the relativistic models are smaller than the respective splittings in non-relativistic SLy5 and D1S models. More specifically in the nuclei $^{40}$Ca and $^{36}$S, where the proton density has the normal profile, i.e. no central depletion, the difference in the size of $f$-splittings is in the order of 1-2 MeV and the size of the $p$-splittings is around 0.5 MeV.

In the interesting case of the bubble nucleus $^{34}$Si, the $f$-splittings are of the same size because of the bigger relative reduction that appears in the non-relativistic case. This is not present in the relativistic models. However there is a difference in the $p$-splittings which are relatively small in size for all the relativistic functionals. This is translated into a relative reduction of the $p$-splitting when we go from $^{36}$S to $^{34}$Si, which is larger for most of the relativistic models as compared to the relative reduction for non-relativistic models (see tables I and II).

In order to understand all these results we have to investigate explicitly the spin-orbit force and especially its isospin dependence which is very important in the case of $^{34}$Si with a large neutron-to-proton asymmetry. As we discussed in II A, in both relativistic and non-relativistic models this force can be approximately written as in Eq. (18)

$$V_{S,O} = W \cdot (p \times \sigma).$$

Here $W$ is given by the expression

$$W_\tau = W_1 \nabla \rho_\tau + W_2 \nabla \rho_{\tau',\neq \tau}.$$  (53)

In most of the nuclei the properties of the nuclear force lead to an almost constant density in the interior of the nucleus. The spin-orbit force is mostly determined by the gradient of the densities and, therefore, by the surface diffuseness. This creates an attractive potential peaked at the surface. States with large $\ell$-values have larger $\ell s$ values. In addition, they are peaked near the surface and, therefore, they are influenced more by this force. This produces the large $f$-splittings and the much smaller $p$-splittings in $^{40}$Ca, $^{38}$Ar, and $^{36}$S.

On the other hand, bubble nuclei like $^{34}$Si have a central density depletion, which provides an additional component to the spin-orbit force in the interior of the nucleus with the opposite sign, since the derivative of the density is positive at the origin. So, together with the attractive well around the surface we also have a repulsive peak close to the center of the nucleus, see also [70, 71]. Neutron states with low angular momentum have larger amplitudes near the center, as one can see in Fig. 4. This implies that they feel a much weaker spin-orbit force and it explains the sudden reduction of the $p$-splittings when we go from $^{36}$S to $^{34}$Si as it is shown in the left panel of Fig. 3. This effect is not seen for the $f$-splittings in the relativistic models (right panel of Fig. 3).

To understand the aforementioned differences between relativistic and non-relativistic models, we concentrate on the isospin dependence of the SO term $W$, which is determined by the ratio between the two parameters $W_1$ and $W_2$. In the relativistic models the value of this ratio depends on the density and can take different values for various nuclei, especially for functionals where the coupling constants are also density dependent, as explained in Ref. [72]. In that reference there is a calculation of this ratio for several nuclei, including $^{34}$Si, as a function of the nuclear radius. For the functionals DD-ME2 and DD-PC1 at the nuclear center one has $W_1/W_2 \approx 1.07$. We also give in Table II a rough estimate of this ratio for the non-linear models, using equation (24) and neglecting its density dependence. In general, for the relativistic density functionals, the value of this ratio is close to unity and the isospin dependence is very weak. On the other hand for the standard Skyrme and Gogny models one has $W_1/W_2 = 2$ and a stronger isospin dependence. As it was concluded in Ref. [17], the additional isospin dependence in the non-relativistic models creates a stronger spin-orbit force around the surface and produces larger splittings for states with large angular momentum.

This picture is reversed in the case of a bubble nucleus, where the size of the repulsive peak is bigger for the relativistic models, as it is very clearly shown in Ref. [71]. As a result the SO force will be even weaker and the size of the splitting of the $p$-states is more dramatically reduced than in the standard non-relativistic forces. Our results lead to the same conclusion.

**B. The effect of pairing correlations**

Pairing correlations and the related pairing gap can affect the size of the SO splittings. Already in Ref. [21] it was shown within the framework of Relativistic Hartree Bogoliubov calculations that pairing correlations reduce the size of the bubble in $^{34}$Si. According to this result and based on the previous discussion we expect to see a weakening of the bubble effect therefore larger absolute sizes and smaller relative reductions of the $p$-splitting, as compared to the pure Hartree-calculations without pairing.

As discussed in section II B, in superfluid nuclei we deal with quasi-particles. The occupancy of each state is calculated self-consistently. It is determined by the strength of the pairing force. Obviously, for cases with zero pairing the occupation probability is one for occupied states below the Fermi surface and zero for unoccupied states above the Fermi surface. Subsequently, in the present work, we introduce pairing correlations in the proton sub-
system and evaluate again the single-particle energies of the same neutron states as before. This is done for each nucleus, except from the case of $^{40}$Ca which is a doubly magic nucleus. We also calculate the occupation probabilities of the proton $2s_{1/2}$ state for $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si, since the bubble structure in $^{34}$Si is created because of this state being almost empty.

In this context we use the TMR separable pairing force of Ref. [45] for the short range correlations. As we mentioned in Sect. II B, this kind of separable pairing force has been adjusted to reproduce the pairing gap of the Gogny force D1S in symmetric nuclear matter [45]. Both forces are of finite range and therefore they show no ultraviolet divergence and do not depend on a pairing cutoff. They provide a very reasonable description of pairing correlations all over the periodic table with a fixed set of parameters. However, careful investigations of the size of these pairing correlations by comparing theoretical results with experimental odd-even mass differences and experimental rotational moments of inertia [73] have shown that the pairing correlations produced by these forces are slightly too strong for heavy nuclei and slightly too week for light nuclei. In order to avoid such problems in details of the description of pairing correlations in our relatively light isotonic chain and following the prescription of Ref. [73] we have introduced a scaling factor for the strength of the TMR-force. In order to adjust this factor in the proton channel we have used the version of the 3-point odd-even staggering (OES) formula proposed in Ref. [74]

$$
\Delta^{(3)} C(N) = \frac{1}{2} [B(N, Z) + B(N - 2, Z) - 2B(N - 1, Z)]
$$

This is actually equivalent to the original 3-pt gap formula Eq. (38) but given for odd nuclei $\Delta^{(3)}(N - 1)$ (see Ref. [75]). The binding energies were taken from the atomic mass evaluation in Ref. [76] and the resulting gaps are shown in table III.

The SO splittings and the respective reductions found in these calculations are shown in Table IV. In Fig. 4 we present again a schematic representation of the evolution of SO splittings for all the forces with respect to the mass number.

Comparing the results of the calculations including pairing with the previous pure mean-field results we get the same qualitative picture. The $f$-splittings show again a gradual reduction as we go down the chain of isotones. The $p$-splittings stay roughly in the same size between the first three nuclei and are reduced dramatically for the last nucleus where there is the bubble structure. The inclusion of pairing correlation increases the $f$- splittings and reduces the $p$-splittings in $^{38}$Ar and $^{36}$S from the respective splittings in the pure mean field calculations. This change is very small for $^{38}$Ar and slightly bigger for $^{36}$S for the $p$-states and the other way around for the $f$-splittings, where in the case of $^{36}$S they are practically un-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^{38}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$S</th>
<th>$^{34}$Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{(3)}_{C}$ (MeV)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III. Gap values calculated with the odd-even mass formula in Eq. (54).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$^{40}$Ca</th>
<th>$^{38}$Ar</th>
<th>$^{36}$S</th>
<th>$^{34}$Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3*</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUGold</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-ME2</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-ME6</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>6.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-PC1</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-PF1</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV. Same as Table II but for the case of TMR pairing.
TABLE V. Occupation probabilities of the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state in $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si for the TMR pairing force.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{36}$S</th>
<th>$^{34}$Si</th>
<th>$\Delta(2S_{1/2})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL3</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3*</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSUGold</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-ME2</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-MEδ</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD-PC1</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-PF1</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp. [20]</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

changed. For the last nucleus $^{34}$Si this picture is reversed and one gets smaller $f$-splittings and larger $p$-splittings again in the same order of magnitude of 0.1 MeV. This last effect corrects for the enhanced effect of the bubble structure and the sudden reduction of the $p$-splitting as one goes from $^{36}$S to $^{34}$Si.

For a better understanding how pairing correlations lead to this differences we present in Table V the occupation factors of the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state in $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si.

In addition we compare in Fig. 5 the radial profiles of the total and proton densities of $^{38}$Ar, $^{36}$S, and $^{34}$Si with and without pairing for the parameter set NL3.

For $^{38}$Ar, pairing affects mostly the $1d$ proton orbit with its two last protons in the $1d_{3/2}$ state. Here the surface density becomes more diffused and the spin-orbit force has a greater overlap with the $f$ neutron states making the corresponding splittings slightly bigger. In the $^{36}$S pairing influences the central densities reducing the size of the peak with a tendency to flatten it out. This can also be seen by the reduced occupancy of the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state which is now smaller than 2. This creates a less attractive SO force around the center and so the splittings of the neutron $p$ states appear somewhat smaller.

For the case of $^{34}$Si pairing reduces the dip at the center of the bubble as it has been noted already in Ref. [21]. This is caused by the increasing occupancy of the previously empty $2s_{1/2}$ proton state, as shown in Table V. As we have seen, this reduction of the bubble leads to an increase of the $p$-splittings by almost 0.1 MeV. Together with the previous discussion about $^{38}$S the relative reduction of this splitting comes closer to the experimental value deduced from the major fragments.

The above analysis shows that there is a direct relation between the size of $p$-splittings and the occupancy of the $2s_{1/2}$ proton state. In order to elaborate this effect in more detail we carry out RHB-calculations with varying pairing strength by gradually increasing the scaling factor in the TMR-force. As discussed this leads on one side to a reduction of the corresponding occupancy change $\Delta(2s_{1/2})$ between $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si and on the other side to

FIG. 5. Radial dependence of the total density $\rho_{\text{tot}}$ and the proton density $\rho_p$ for NL3 with and without pairing correlations for the nuclei $^{38}$Ar, $^{36}$S, and $^{34}$Si.

FIG. 6. Neutron $2p_{1/2} - 2p_{3/2}$ splitting relative reduction with respect to occupation change in the proton state $2s_{1/2}$ going from $^{36}$S to $^{34}$Si. More details are given in the text.
a reduction of the relative change in the SO-splitting for the \( p \)-levels.

As the pairing force increases the bubble structure becomes less dramatic. Therefore, by studying the corresponding change in the relative reduction of the \( 2p_{3/2} - 2p_{1/2} \) neutron spin-orbit splitting we get an additional method to further investigate the isospin dependence of the effective spin-orbit interaction for the different covariant density functionals. This has been done in the case of the TMR paring force and for all the relativistic models we have used in our previous calculations and the results are shown in Fig. 6. The empty symbols depict the results we got using the 3-pt gap formula to adjust the pairing force. For comparison we show the combined results from the experiments in Refs. [19, 20]. This helps to distinguish amongst the various models. We find that DD-ME2, DD-PC1 and PC-PF1 are the most successful in reproducing the experimental results.

C. Extensions: Tensor Forces and Particle-Vibration Coupling

In this last part we extend the standard formulation of the covariant density functional models in two ways. First we include explicitly a tensor term as it is discussed in section III A. This extension remains on the mean-field level. In the second case we go beyond mean-field by taking into consideration the coupling of the single-particle states to the low-lying surface modes, as discussed in section III B.

1. The effect of the tensor force

As we have already stated the tensor part of the nuclear force plays an essential role in the description of the several nuclear properties. In our case it affects the single-particle structure [48, 49, 57]. As discussed in section III A in covariant density functional theory exchange approximations for the heavy mesons \( \sigma, \omega, \) and \( \rho \), which lead to forces of relatively short range. The direct term of the pion does not contribute because of parity conservation, but its mass is small and, therefore, its exchange term should be taken into account explicitly. It leads to a tensor term in the functional. In the following we show results of relativistic Hartree-Fock calculations as discussed in section III A and in Ref. [57].

In particular, we investigate in the specific case of the bubble nucleus \( ^{34}\text{Si} \) and the corresponding dramatic reduction in the \( p \)-splitting as compared with \( ^{36}\text{S} \), whether the explicit inclusion of the tensor force changes the size of the splitting and the amount of the reduction.

The effect of the tensor force between neutrons and protons has been investigated in great detail in the configuration interaction (CI) calculations [48] and in the mean field calculations [49]. The spin-orbit alignment is very crucial for the attractive or repulsive character of this interaction. Nucleons occupying, for instance, a proton orbit \( j_\uparrow \) (where \( j_{\uparrow<} = \ell \pm 1/2 \) can change the effective single-particle energies of neutrons occupying the orbit \( j_\downarrow \) or the orbit \( j_\downarrow \) through the monopole effect of the tensor force. If the spins of the two states are antiparallel, the force is attractive and, if they are parallel, the force is repulsive. In the particular case of the one-pion-exchange this specific effect has been also identified in the RHF calculations of Ref [57]. The effect of the tensor force is mostly important between neutrons and protons, it increases with the orbital angular momentum \( \ell \) and also with the radial overlap between the orbits.

In Fig. 7 we show in a schematic way the positions of the neutron states \( 2p_{3/2}, 2p_{1/2} \) and \( 1f_{5/2} \) using the \( 1f_{7/2} \) as reference state, calculated with the relativistic interaction NL3RHF0.5 which includes the one-pion-exchange tensor force with half the strength of the free one-pion-exchange force, as discussed in Sect. III A. These calculations have been carried out within the frozen gap approximation for the pairing channel and with the values of the proton gap parameters given in Table III. In Table VI we compare these results with calculation done with NL3 on the Hartree level with the same pairing scheme of frozen gap and to those of non-relativistic Skyrme and Gogny in-

\[ \text{FIG. 7. The evolution of the energy splittings for the } \text{NL3RHF0.5 functional, where boxes (a), (b), (c) and (d) correspond to } ^{40}\text{Ca, } ^{38}\text{Ar, } ^{36}\text{S and } ^{34}\text{Si, respectively. The red dashed lines represent the experimental values of the centroids for } ^{40}\text{Ca [22]}. \]
state is shifted upwards, from its starting point in the \( \pi \) effects leads to an enhanced quenching of the as we go from \( ^{40}Ca \) to \( ^{36}S \). This is also seen by the much steeper blue line that corresponds to NL3RHF0.5 case of tensor forces. For comparison we also show the results from Ref. [24].

We observe that the inclusion of the tensor force has a more pronounced effect in the transition from \( ^{40}Ca \) to \( ^{36}S \) than in the transition from \( ^{36}S \) to \( ^{34}Si \). Following the rule that we described in the beginning of the current section, we recognize that as we move from \( ^{40}Ca \) to \( ^{36}S \) and remove the four protons from the \( j< \) proton state \( \pi d_3/2 \), the attractive effect of the tensor interaction on the \( j< \) neutron state \( \nu 1f_7/2 \) is reduced and, thus, this state is shifted upwards, from its starting point in \( ^{40}Ca \). On the other side the \( j> \); \( \nu 1f_5/2 \) state, which in \( ^{40}Ca \) is repelled by the protons of the \( \pi d_1/2 \) state, is shifted downwards as we go to \( ^{36}S \). The combination of all these effects leads to an enhanced quenching of the \( f \)-splitting as we go from \( ^{40}Ca \) to \( ^{36}S \). This is also seen by the much steeper blue line that corresponds to NL3RHF0.5 case in the right panel in Fig. 9. The same behaviour can be observed also for the \( j< \); \( \nu 2p_{3/2} \) and the \( j< \); \( \nu 2p_{1/2} \) neutron states, although the effect on the absolute size of the splitting is smaller in those cases.

In the case of the transition from \( ^{36}S \) to the bubble nucleus \( ^{34}Si \) we see in Fig. 8 that both the \( f \) and \( p \) states stay at the same distance relative to the NL3 calculations. This shows that the large reduction of the \( p \)-splitting is a pure spin-orbit effect, a picture that also agrees with the non-relativistic results.

Finally, we have measured an occupancy of the \( 2s_{1/2} \) proton state of 0.18 with the NL3RHF0.5, which is larger than the 0.10 value in the case of NL3 on the RH level for the same pairing scheme. This indicates that the tensor force counteracts to some extent the effect of pairing that we described in the previous section, and leads to a smaller size, from 0.74 MeV to 0.66 MeV and a slightly larger reduction, from 56% to 60%, for the particular \( p \)-splitting.

### Table VI. Spin-orbit splittings of \( f \) and \( p \) neutron states (upper part) and relative reductions (bottom part), for the case of tensor forces. For comparison we also show the results from Ref. [24].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass number ( A )</th>
<th>( ^{40}Ca \rightarrow ^{36}S )</th>
<th>( ^{36}S \rightarrow ^{34}Si )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( f )</td>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>( f )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3RHF0.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLy5( T_{-2013} )</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1ST( 2c_{-2013} )</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>-20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fig. 8. Change of the single-particle energies of the \( 1f_{5/2} \) and \( 1f_{7/2} \) (panel I) and of the \( 2p_{1/2} \) and \( 2p_{3/2} \) (panel II) neutron states as we move down the chain of isotopes \( N = 20 \). The red lines correspond to the tensor results and the blue lines to the standard NL3 with frozen \( \Delta \).

### Fig. 9. Evolution of the \( p \) and \( f \) SO splittings for NL3.
2. The effect of particle-vibration coupling

As we mentioned in section III B, the coupling of the single-particle states to low-lying phonons leads to a fragmentation of the single-particle levels and, therefore, sometimes to considerable shifts of the major components, i.e. of the components with the largest spectroscopic factor. This is, in particular, important for states close to the Fermi surface. For our calculations we used the density functional NL3* [28] and a constant pairing gap of $\Delta = 2$ MeV, which is consistent with its empirical value of $12.0/\sqrt{A}$ for the considered mass region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{36}$S</th>
<th>$^{34}$Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Splitting</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NL3* with PVC</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VII. Comparison for the spin-orbit splittings (Upper part) and their relative reductions (Lower part) of the major fragments between the relativistic PVC model and the corresponding experimental results.

After the solution of the Dyson equation (51) we have the ability to isolate the major contributions to each s.p. state and compare its energy directly with the experimental results from Ref. [19], as shown in table VII. This is also done schematically in Fig. 10 where we compare the results of the PVC calculations for the nuclei $^{36}$S and $^{34}$Si with the experimental values of Ref. [19]. More specifically, we show the positions of the major fragments and the splittings between the $f$ and $p$ states as well as their spectroscopic factors. The experimentally observed reduction of the spin-orbit splitting is 43% for the $p$ states. It is in rather good agreement with the results obtained from the theoretical PVC calculations, which show a reduction of 39%. In both cases these are the splittings for the major fragments. Notice, that in the PVC calculations we have not included isospin-flip phonons as it is done, for instance, in Ref. [77]. It has been observed that the inclusion of such phonons causes an additional fragmentation and shifts of the dominant fragments, bringing the results to a better agreement with data. However, the latter approach is, so far, not yet adopted to the case of open-shell nuclei. It will be considered in the future.

In Fig. 10 we show in analogy to Fig. 7 the positions of the neutron states $2p_{1/2}$, $2p_{3/2}$ and $1f_{5/2}$ using the $1f_{7/2}$ as reference state for the nuclei $^{37}$S (panel (a,b,c)) and $^{35}$Si (panel (d,e,f)). The experimental data of Ref. [19] in panels (a) and (d) are compared with results of PVC-calculations with the density functional NL3* in panels (b) and (e). In this figure the experimental energies as well as the energies of the PVC-calculations correspond to the major components of the corresponding fragmented level. Only for the $1f_{5/2}$ orbits we show in panel (a) the experimental fragmentation and in panel (d) the area of the experimental fragmentation. In order to study the effect of particle-vibration coupling we show in panels (c) and (f) calculations with the same density functional without particle-vibration coupling.

We find that in both nuclei the SO-splitting of the $1f$-orbitals is reproduced relatively well. Particle vibrational coupling has only a small influence on this splitting. On the other side, all $2p$-orbit are shifted downwards closer to the $1f_{7/2}$-orbit as it is also observed in the experiment. It is well known, that this effect is in particular large for levels close to the Fermi surface, i.e. larger for the $2p_{3/2}$-orbit than for the $2p_{1/2}$-orbit. As a result, the SO-splitting of the $2p$ orbits is increased considerably by particle-vibration coupling. As compared to the much too small SO-splitting for the $2p$ orbits without PVC, it is now much closer to the experimental value.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this study we have calculated the single-particle energies of the spin-orbit doublets \(1f_{7/2} \rightarrow 1f_{5/2}\) and \(2p_{3/2} \rightarrow 2p_{1/2}\) in order to investigate the spin-orbit splittings and their evolution as we move along the chain of isotopes with \(N=20: {^{40}\text{Ca}}, {^{38}\text{Ar}}, {^{36}\text{S}},\) and \(^{34}\text{Si}\). We used several relativistic functionals of three different types: non-linear meson-coupling, density-dependent meson coupling and density-dependent point-coupling models. Furthermore, we used the separable TMR pairing force of finite range, which is essentially equivalent to the pairing part of the Gogny force D1S, in order to determine the effect of pairing on the size and on the reduction of the SO splittings. Finally, we considered specific extensions that go beyond the simple Hartree case, namely the inclusion of one-pion exchange which induces a tensor force and particle-vibration coupling that takes into account correlations between single-particle states going beyond the mean field approximation.

In general, we observe a significant reduction of the \(2p_{3/2} - 2p_{1/2}\) splitting for neutron states when we go from \(^{36}\text{S}\) to \(^{34}\text{Si}\) as it is observed in the experiment. On the pure mean-field level most of the forces show a relatively large reduction. When we include pairing, this reduction becomes less and less dramatic with increasing pairing correlations, because the occupation of the \(2s_{1/2}\) proton-orbit changes less rapidly between \(^{36}\text{S}\) and \(^{34}\text{Si}\). The isospin dependence of the effective spin-orbit force is weaker in the relativistic models and, therefore, the reduction is also less pronounced in these models than in the non-relativistic ones.

Finally, we went beyond the conventional Hartree level and included two effects, which have a strong influence on the single-particle structure, the tensor term and particle-vibration coupling.

Here we found that the tensor term induced by the one-pion-exchange force has a relatively small effect. It acts to some extent in the opposite direction of pairing. It increases the quenching of the spin-orbit distinctly for the \(f\) and to a smaller extent for the \(p\) states when going from \(^{40}\text{Ca}\) to \(^{36}\text{S}\), showing the tensor character of those reductions. On the other hand, for the transition from \(^{36}\text{S}\) to \(^{34}\text{Si}\) the sizes of the splittings are only slightly reduced for both nuclei and, thus, the relative reductions remain practically unchanged, indicating that they come purely from the spin-orbit interaction. Such an effect is also observed in the non-relativistic case \([24]\) as it is seen in Tables I and VI. However, particle-vibration coupling acts in the same direction as pairing. We find that the relative reduction of the splitting between \(2p_{3/2}\) and \(2p_{1/2}\) neutron states decreases. This is consistent with the general effect of PVC to produce a more dense spectrum near the Fermi surface. Finally, PVC leads to a reasonable agreement with the experimental data in the isotope chain with \(N=20\).

Of course, there are many open questions: Nearly all of the functionals used here have been adjusted to experimental bulk properties, such as binding energies and radii. Only the strength of the relativistic tensor force in the functional NL3RHF0.5 has been optimized at the same time by comparing with the single-particle structure of tin isotopes. In principle, the parameters of all these functionals should be adjusted only after including the additional effects of tensor correlations and particle-vibration coupling. This is a very ambitious task for the future, but we have shown in this investigation at least the influence and the relative importance of several corrections beyond the conventional Hartree level for a successful description of the spin-orbit splitting and its isospin dependence.
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