

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

β -decay properties of the very neutron-rich isotopes 86 Ge and 86 As

 C. Mazzocchi, K. P. Rykaczewski, R. Grzywacz, P. Bączyk, C. R. Bingham, N. T. Brewer, C. J. Gross, C. Jost, M. Karny, A. Korgul, M. Madurga, A. J. Mendez, II, K. Miernik, D. Miller, S. Padgett, S. V. Paulauskas, A. A. Sonzogni, D. W. Stracener, and M. Wolińska-Cichocka Phys. Rev. C 92, 054317 — Published 19 November 2015 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.92.054317

β -decay properties of the very neutron-rich isotopes ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As^{*}

C. Mazzocchi,¹ K.P. Rykaczewski,² R. Grzywacz,^{3,2} P. Bączyk,¹ C.R. Bingham,^{3,2} N.T. Brewer,^{4,2,5}

C.J. Gross,² C. Jost,³ M. Karny,^{1,6} A. Korgul,¹ M. Madurga,³ A.J. Mendez II,² K. Miernik,^{2,1} D. Miller,³

S. Padgett,³ S.V. Paulauskas,³ A.A. Sonzogni,⁷ D.W. Stracener,² and M. Wolińska-Cichocka^{2,6,8}

¹Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, PL 02-093 Warsaw, Poland

²Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

Joint Institute for Nuclear Physics and Applications, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

⁶Oak Ridge Associated Universities, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

⁷National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

⁸Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, PL 02-093, Poland

The β decay properties of very neutron rich nuclei ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As were measured at the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Spectroscopic information on new excited states in ⁸⁶As and in ⁸⁶Se was obtained and is interpreted within an advanced shell model approach. These calculations, previously explaining well the structure of ⁸⁴Ge and ⁸⁵Ge, are not able to reproduce all the experimentally-determined features of the measured level schemes of ⁸⁶As and ⁸⁶Se. The Gamow-Teller decay of ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As is also investigated in a shell-model framework. The fission yield for ⁸⁶Ge is discussed.

PACS numbers: 23.40.-s, 23.20.lv, 27.50.+e, 25.85.Ge

I. INTRODUCTION

Measurement of the properties of nuclei with large N/Z ratios and theoretical description of their structure is one of the most important topics in modern nuclear physics. Excess of neutrons can give rise to evolution in the single particle energies and consequently lead to changes in the shell structure. The nuclear levels can be reorganized in a different energy sequence than they are in isotopes closer to the β -stability line. Such evolution is reflected also in the decay properties of these exotic nuclei. In the most recent years progress in experimental techniques has allowed access to very exotic isotopes and made possible detailed studies on nuclei never before investigated. In particular, the region of the chart of nuclei beyond the double shell closure at N=50 and Z=28 provided a very fertile playground for such investigations. The study of β -decay of these nuclei gives the unique possibility to probe our understanding of these very exotic isotopes by investigating the evolution of the structure of excited levels and decay properties, and testing theoretical predictions, in particular those given by the nuclear shell model.

In this context we have investigated the decay properties of the two very exotic A=86 isobars, ${}^{86}Ge$ and its

daughter ⁸⁶As. Figure 1 depicts the decay path followed by ⁸⁶Ge relevant to this work, i.e. ending with the selenium isotopes. Before this measurement, a few events of ⁸⁶Ge had been observed in projectile fission of ²³⁸U and a lower limit of 150 ns for its half-life was established [1]. Part of the data, namely the half-life measurement, was published in Ref. [2] and preliminary data on excited states in ⁸⁶Se in Ref. [3].

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

A high-purity beam of radioactive ⁸⁶Ge was produced at the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (HRIBF) [5]. The experimental technique was described in detail in Ref. [2]. In brief, the ⁸⁶Ge ions were produced in the proton-induced fission of 238 U and ionized to a charge state +1 in the Injector for Radioactive Ion Species 2 (IRIS2) ion source. Two-stage electromagnetic separation and ion-source chemistry suppressed many of the A=86 contaminants and resulted in a beam where 86 Ge constituted $\sim 18\%$ of the beam cocktail, with ⁸⁶As forming the remaining $\sim 82\%$. The beam was directed to the measuring station, where the detection set-up was positioned. The latter consisted of the moving tape collector (MTC), into which the ions were implanted. Its role was to periodically remove the accumulated sample from the collection region, thus suppressing the longer-lived daughter activity which could otherwise be observed by the detection system. Moreover, the beam was periodically deflected away by an electrostatic deflector. A cycle of 1.5 s beam-on, 1.0 s beam-off and 0.36 s tape-transport time was applied for this measurement. The implantation point was surrounded by two plastic scintillators and four HPGe clover detectors in close ge-

^{*} Notice: This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of the manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

ometry. The photo peak efficiency for the clover array was 6% at 1.3 MeV and 32% at 100 keV. The β -detection efficiency was determined for each of the transitions of interest by comparing the number of counts in the $\beta\gamma$ and γ -singles sum spectra for the given peak. When the lines in the γ -singles spectra were too weak or not visible, $\gamma\gamma$ coincident spectra were used: intensities of the γ -gated peaks from $\beta\gamma\gamma$ and $\gamma\gamma$ matrices were compared. The values of the β -detection efficiency were calculated for each γ -transition and all of them turned out to be compatible with ϵ_{β} =50%, within error bars. All signals were read out by a digital-electronics-based data acquisition system [6, 7] utilizing XIA Pixie16 Rev. D modules [8].

III. RESULTS

The β -gated γ -ray spectrum acquired at mass 86 is displayed in Figure 2. Several new transitions were identified and assigned to the $\beta\gamma$ and β -delayed neutron- γ ($\beta n\gamma$) decay branches of ⁸⁶Ge (T_{1/2}=226(21) ms) and ⁸⁶As (T_{1/2}=861(64) ms) [2].

A. ⁸⁶Ge β -decay

The most intense line in ⁸⁶Ge β decay, at 112 keV, was assigned to its $\beta\gamma$ decay-branch on the basis of half-life

β

⁸⁵As

β

n>>

⁸⁵Se

⁸⁶Ge

 \sum

sented by the shaded regions.

⁸⁶As

considerations, ion-source chemistry and mass separation [2]. All the other transitions including those at 98, 119, 125 and 1965 keV visible in the $\beta\gamma$ spectrum (see Figure 2), as well as those detected only with the help of an additional γ coincidence (see, e.g., Figures 3 and 4), were assigned to the same decay by means of $\beta\gamma\gamma$ coincidences. Two transitions at 178 and 441 keV were also assigned to the decay of ⁸⁶Ge on the basis of their respective half-lives, 290(70) and 190(150) ms. They most likely deexcite levels in the $\beta\gamma$ daughter ⁸⁶As, but could not be placed in the level scheme.

Four transitions at 102, 116, 206 and 396 keV were identified as following the β n decay of ⁸⁶Ge to ⁸⁵As, the most intense of which are visible also in the $\beta\gamma$ spectrum (see Figure 2). Their assignment to the $\beta n\gamma$ decaybranch is based on the known properties of excited states in ⁸⁵As [9, 10] and on $\beta\gamma\gamma$ coincidences.

The partial β -decay scheme of ⁸⁶Ge (see Figure 5) could be reconstructed on the basis of the γ -transition assignments, coincidence schemes and relative intensities described above and summarized in detail in Table I. A proposal for tentative spin and parity for the lowest-lying levels in ⁸⁶As, see Figure 5, results from the following considerations.

For ${}^{86}_{33}$ As₅₃, low-energy transitions (E \leq 150 keV) with multipolarity E2 or larger are expected to have lifetimes longer than several hundreds of nanoseconds [13]. If we take into account the correction factors to the lifetimes from systematics [14, 15], the lifetime would still be long enough to be isomeric. Since no isomeric behavior with lifetimes longer than a hundred nanoseconds was observed in the data for the low energy transitions, dipole character could be inferred.



FIG. 2. Parts of the β -gated γ -ray spectrum of mass 86 (background subtracted). γ lines belonging to the $\beta\gamma$ (•) and $\beta n\gamma$ (\bigcirc) decay branches of ⁸⁶Ge are marked, as well as those belonging to the $\beta\gamma$ decay of ⁸⁶As (\triangle). Unmarked lines belong to room- and beam-induced background. See text for details.



In the spherical limit, the five protons and three neutrons outside the double shell closure at Z=28 and N=50 will occupy orbitals with negative ($\pi p_{3/2}$ or $\pi f_{5/2}$) and positive ($\nu g_{7/2}$ or $\nu d_{5/2}$) parity, generating low-lying negative-parity states. Indeed, no positive-parity levels are expected at energies lower than ~2.5 MeV [16]. Therefore the low-energy transitions at the bottom of ⁸⁶As level scheme will have predominantly M1 character.

The potential β -feeding of the ⁸⁶As ground state (g.s.) and of the two low-energy states at 7 keV and 21 keV was considered in the β feeding budget, see Figure 5. The apparent β -feeding (I $_{\beta}$) values were calculated taking the following into account.

- The β n decay-branch was measured to be $P_n=45(15)\%$ [11], which results in 55(15)% for β transitions to the states in ⁸⁶As.
- A first-forbidden (ff) character of β transitions to the g.s. and two lowest excited states was adapted, since no positive parity states are expected at such low excitation energies and the even-even ⁸⁶Ge has $I^{\pi}=0^+$ g.s. If, in line with the log(ft) values known in this region for ff transitions, we assume a typical log(ft) of 7.0–6.0 for the decay to any of these states, a feeding of 0.4–4% can be inferred to each of them.
- The relative γ intensities (see Table I) were normalized to the sum of all intensities feeding the three lowest-lying states in ⁸⁶As. The relatively intense 178 keV and 441 keV transitions, for which no $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences with any of the assigned lines were detected, were assumed to populate the low energy level "triplet" (g.s., 7 keV, 21 keV). Therefore, their intensities were added to the intensities of 119 keV, 112 keV and 98 keV transition when



FIG. 3. Parts of the β -gated γ -ray spectrum (background subtracted) in coincidence with the 112 keV transition. See text for details.



20

s¹⁵ Conuts O

60

80

100

FIG. 4. Low-energy part of the β -gated γ -ray spectrum (background subtracted) in coincidence with the 125 keV transition. See text for details.

120 140 Energy (keV) 160

180

200

TABLE I. Properties of the γ -ray transitions following the β decay of ⁸⁶Ge. The γ -ray intensities (I_{γ}^{TOT}) are normalized to the 112 keV transition.

Energy	Decay	I_{γ}^{TOT}	Coincidences
keV	branch	%	keV
97.6(5)	$\beta\gamma$	$12(3)^{d}$	125.4, 1965.4
111.8(3)	$eta\gamma$	$100(7)^{d}$	125.4, 190.3, 283.6, 328.5,
			362.9, 1965.4, 2798
118.9(3)	$eta\gamma$	$60(8)^{d}$	125.4, 1965.4
125.4(3)	$eta\gamma$	$24(4)^{d}$	97.6, 111.8, 118.9
$190.3(3)^{a}$	$\beta\gamma$	$8(2)^{d}$	111.8
$283.6(5)^a$	$eta\gamma$	6(2)	111.8
$328.5(5)^a$	$eta\gamma$	14(4)	111.8
$362.9(5)^a$	$\beta\gamma$	12(3)	111.8
$1965.4(3)^{b}$	$\beta\gamma$	$67(11)^{f}$	97.6, 111.8, 118.9
$2798(1)^{a}$	$\beta\gamma$	21(10)	111.8
102.0(3)	β n	$50(6)^{e}$	116.4,206.3,395.5
116.4(3)	β n	$23(4)^{e}$	102.0, 395.5
$206.3(3)^{b}$	β n	$14(3)^{e,f}$	102.0
$395.5(5)^a$	β n	$8(2)^{f}$	102.0, 116.4
$178.1(3)^{c}$	$\beta\gamma$ or βn	$53(8)^{e}$	240.5, 295.2
$240.5(5)^{a,c}$	$\beta\gamma$ or βn	—	178.1
$295.2(5)^{a,b,c}$	$\beta\gamma$ or βn	—	178.1
$441.1(3)^{c}$	$\beta\gamma$ or βn	30(5)	_

^{*a*} Transition observed only in coincidence.

^b Doublet resolved with $\beta\gamma\gamma$ coincidences.

^c Transition not placed in the level scheme.

 d The intensity is corrected for internal conversion (IC) assuming M1 character (see text for details):

 $\alpha_{TOT}(98 \text{ keV})=0.0961(14), \ \alpha_{TOT}(112 \text{ keV})=0.0665(10), \ \alpha_{TOT}(119 \text{ keV})=0.0563(8), \ \alpha_{TOT}(125 \text{ keV})=0.0488(7), \ \alpha_{TOT}(190 \text{ keV})=0.0164(2) \ [12].$

 e Apparent intensity, value not corrected for IC.

^f Intensity obtained from coincidences.

4



FIG. 5. Schematic representation of ⁸⁶Ge β decay. Energies are given in keV, apparent β -feedings (I_{β}) in %. Q-values and neutron-separation energy (S_n) stem from [4], half-life from [2] and P_n from [11]. Neutron-unbound states are represented by the shaded regions. Drawing not to scale. See text for details.

making the β intensity budget. For the direct I_{β} of the triplet states we take an upper limit of 12%, leaving 43(15)% for the sum of 98 keV, 112 keV, 119 keV, 178 keV and 441 keV transitions.

Only those excited states with $I_{\beta} \sim 3\%$ or larger were considered for tentative spin/parity assignment. Apparent log(ft) values were calculated (see Figure 5) and the systematics of log(ft) values for $0^+ \rightarrow 0^-$, $0^+ \rightarrow 1^-$ and $0^+ \rightarrow 2^- \beta$ -transitions were considered for guidance [17].

The low-energy negative-parity states at 119 and 244 keV can be fed by means of first-forbidden (ff) transitions, hence $I^{\pi} = (0^{-}, 1^{-})$. The 2084 keV level might be populated by an allowed Gamow-Teller (GT) transition, hence $I^{\pi} = (1^{+})$, given the much larger I_{β} with respect to the other observed levels and considering that positive parity states cannot be excluded at these higher excitation energies. Any transition between the 21.3 keV, 7.1 keV and the g.s. levels is going to be converted and the feeding to the individual levels cannot be disentangled directly from the data. Considering that I_{β} to each of the three levels is $\leq 4\%$, ff-unique transitions cannot be excluded: $I^{\pi}(21.3, 7.1 \text{ keV}) = (0^{-}, 1^{-}, 2^{-})$. The appar-

ent g.s. of ⁸⁶As seems to decay with sizable I_{β} to the $I^{\pi}=2^+$ first excited state and to the $I^{\pi}=(2^+_2)$ at 1398.6 keV in ⁸⁶Se (see Section III B and Figure 6). This points towards $I^{\pi}=(1^-,2^-)$ for the g.s. of ⁸⁶As.

As far as the β n decay branch is concerned, feeding to several excited states in ⁸⁵As was observed. Unfortunately no spin/parity could be inferred to them, thus hindering the possibility to determine $\beta n\gamma$ intensities and delayed neutron branching to individual excited states (multi-polarities for the low-energy γ -transitions are not known).

B. ⁸⁶As β -decay

As-86 nuclei were present at the beam-implantation spot both as decay-daughter of ⁸⁶Ge and as a mass contaminant. Most arsenic was indeed highly suppressed by the combination of ion-source chemistry with the use of molecular beams and high-resolution mass-separation, yet about 82% of ⁸⁶As stemmed from isobaric contamination. This enabled the investigation of the β decay



FIG. 6. Schematic representation of ⁸⁶As β decay. The level at 1115 keV in ⁸⁵Se and the transition de-exciting it to the g.s. are depicted as dotted line and arrow, respectively. This is due to the fact that from this data it is not possible to disentangle the contributions to the 1115 keV transition from β n decay of ⁸⁶As and from β decay of ⁸⁵As (see Figure 1). Energies are given in keV, I_{β} in %; Q-values and S_n stem from [4], half-life from [2] and P_n from [18]. Upper limits for I_{β} were determined by assuming no decay to the g.s. of ⁸⁶Se and normalizing the relative γ intensities to the 704 keV transition. Neutron-unbound states are represented by the shaded regions. Drawing not to scale. See text for details.

of ⁸⁶As, leading to considerable improvement of the ⁸⁶Se level scheme. Ten transitions were firmly assigned to the de-excitation of excited states in ⁸⁶Se, only three of which were previously known either from β -decay studies of ⁸⁶As (704 keV [19]) or prompt- γ measurements in spontaneous fission of ²⁵²Cf (704, 863 and 505 keV [20, 21]). Correspondingly 8 new energy levels were established, see



FIG. 7. Portions of the β -gated γ -ray spectrum (background subtracted) in coincidence with the 704 keV transition. See text for details.

Figure 6. The assignment of the transitions is based on the coincidence scheme (see Table II and Figure 7). Two more transitions at 973 and 1399 keV were identified and assigned to de-excitations in ⁸⁶Se on the basis of weak coincidences, level-energy differences and of the half-life value of 0.62(24)s for the 973 keV transition (see Table II). Moreover, the 1399 keV line was observed in the $\beta n\gamma$ decay of ⁸⁷As [10].

The spin and parity of the 704.1, 1567.4 and 2071.5 excited states was determined before by Kratz et al. [19], Jones et al. [20] and Czerwiński et al. [21], respectively. Tentative $I^{\pi}=(2^+)$ could be inferred for the 1398.6 keV level by taking into account:

- the apparent β feeding, substantially larger than for other levels;
- the observation of a cross-over transition deexciting from the 1398.6 level directly to the $I^{\pi}=0^+$ g.s.;
- the observation of this transition in the $\beta n\gamma$ decay of ⁸⁷As [10];
- the fact that the level was not observed in the prompt- γ fission data [21], where high-spin states yrast are expected to be populated.

TABLE II. Properties of the γ -ray transitions following the β decay of ⁸⁶As. The γ -ray intensities (I $_{\gamma}$) are normalized to the 704 keV transition.

Energy	Decay	I_{γ}	Coincidences
keV	branch	%	keV
505.1(5)	$\beta\gamma$	3(1)	704.1, 863.3
$613.3(5)^{a}$	$\beta\gamma$	3(1)	704.1
694.5(3)	$\beta\gamma$	18(2)	704.1
704.1(3)	$\beta\gamma$	100(9)	504.1,613.3,694.5,839.3,863.3,
			$1504.0,\ 1667.7,\ 1944,\ 3025,\ 3532$
839.3(3)	$\beta\gamma$	4(1)	704.1
863.3(3)	$\beta\gamma$	8(1)	505.1, 704.1
973.2(5)	$\beta\gamma$	3(1)	694.5, 704.1, 1399
$1399(1)^{b}$	$\beta\gamma$	_	973.3
1504.0(3)	$\beta\gamma$	8(1)	704.1
1667.9(5)	$\beta\gamma$	12(1)	704.1
$1944(1)^{a}$	$\beta\gamma$	4(1)	704.1
$3025(1)^{a}$	$\beta\gamma$	4(1)	704.1
3532(1)	$\beta\gamma$	4(1)	704.1
1115.5(3)	βn	3.1(5)	-

^{*a*} Transition observed only in coincidence.

^b Doublet resolved by means of $\beta\gamma\gamma$ coincidences.

C. ⁸⁶Ge fission yield

On the basis of the apparent decay scheme of ⁸⁶Ge described above, we deduced a beam intensity for ⁸⁶Ge at the measuring station of the order of 1 ion/s. This value, corrected for ion source efficiency (0.0002) for ⁸⁶Ge (T_{1/2}=226(21) ms), for the sulfide formation probability (0.3), for the charge-exchange efficiency (0.3) and the transmission to the measuring station (0.5), gave a production yield of the order of 9×10^3 pps/ μ A for 50 MeV proton beam, which is more than 4 orders of magnitude lower than the production rate for the ⁸⁶Br isobar (4×10⁸ pps/ μ A for 50 MeV proton beam [22]).

Ground-state β -decay properties of ⁸⁶Ge such as its half-life and β n branching ratio, together with production yields of this isotope in thermal-neutron induced ²³⁵U fission listed in the ENDF, JENDL and JEFF data bases [23–25], were used recently for the sensitivity analysis for the kinetic behavior of a nuclear fission reactor [26]. The ⁸⁶Ge g.s. properties input data for the calculations were taken from the JENDL compilation [24], i.e. T_{1/2}=88 ms and P_n=6%, while JEFF and ENDF assume T_{1/2}=300 ms and P_n=0 [25], and T_{1/2}=95 ms and P_n=5% [23], respectively. The conclusion of that work was that both yields and β -delayed properties of ⁸⁶Ge should be verified and improved in order to obtain more reliable analysis. Recently we measured the half-life of ⁸⁶Ge to be 226(21) ms [2] and estimated the delayed-neutron branching ratio as 45(15)% [11]. While the measured decay properties of 86 Ge clearly differ from data bases values used in the sensitivity analysis [26], differences that are even larger arise if fission yields for 86 Ge are taken from different data bases.

The independent fission yield for ⁸⁶Ge in $n_{thermal}+^{235}$ U is quoted as about 6.3×10^{-3} in JENDL and ENDF, while it is three orders of magnitude lower in JEFF, 3×10^{-6} . Such discrepancy is not present in the isobar ⁸⁶Br, which has yields at the level of 5×10^{-3} to 7×10^{-3} in all three data bases. It means that ENDF/JENDL list similar fission yields for ⁸⁶Br (N=51) and for the much more neutron-rich nucleus ⁸⁶Ge (N=54). Interestingly, the anomalously large yield for ⁸⁶Ge triggered doubts as early as 1997 [27], but this value and similarly doubtful scattered yield values [28] still need to be verified.

In our studies of proton-induced fission of 238 U we observe a yield for the production of 86 Br that is almost five orders of magnitude larger than for 86 Ge. This is close to the yield-ratio estimate given in JEFF, but very different from that obtained from the respective ENDF and JENDL values. The different fission mechanism (proton versus thermal neutron induced fission) and fissioning isotope (238 U versus 235 U) is very unlikely to account for more than 4 orders of magnitude difference in the production yields.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Low-energy excited states

In order to interpret the structure of the low-energy levels, we performed shell-model calculations for ⁸⁶As and ⁸⁶Se with the NuShellX code [16] using a model space containing all the active orbitals outside the ⁷⁸Ni core and the N3LO residual interaction based on [29, 30] nucleon-nucleon forces. Similar calculations gave reliable predictions for excited states in very neutron-rich gallium isotopes [31].

In Figure 8 the prediction for the excitation energy of the lowest-lying excited states in ⁸⁶As is plotted. Several low-spin states are expected at low-excitation energies in agreement with the experimental evidence. Nevertheless, the calculations do not manage to reproduce the level separation and grouping of states near the ground state as observed in the experiment, see Figure 5, nor the ⁸⁶As g.s. spin, which is predicted to be 0⁻ and was determined to be (1⁻,2⁻), see Section III A. Candidates for I^{π}=0⁻ are present close to the g.s. and such discrepancies are within the accuracy of the shell model predictions. It should not be forgotten that, since the radioactive ⁸⁶Ge beam was not 100% pure (⁸⁶As contamination was present in the beam), the presence of β -decaying isomeric activities like ^{86m}As in the A=86 beam cannot be excluded.

In Figure 9 the calculations performed for ⁸⁶Se are shown. These predictions show better agreement with the experimental data displayed in Figure 6. The ex-



FIG. 8. Lowest-lying excited states in ⁸⁶As predicted in shellmodel calculations (SM) in comparison with the results of this work (EXP). The vertical (energy) axis is plotted to scale. See text and Fig. 5 for details.

citation energy of the first 4^+ level is explained within 200 keV. Its energy is slightly underestimated both in the present calculations and in those shown in Figure 19 of Ref. [21], pointing towards a problem with the interactions used so far away from the N=50 and Z=28 shell closure.

Calculations with the same model were proven to be rather robust and reliable for exotic, neutron-rich Ga isotopes [31]. The comparison of analogous predictions for ⁸⁶As and ⁸⁶Se with the experimental data discussed above, shows that the limit of their applicability might be reached when adding particles (5(6) protons and 3(2) neutrons, respectively) to the Z=28, N=50 doubly magic

FIG. 9. Excited states in ⁸⁶Se predicted in shell-model calculations (SM) in comparison with the results of this work (EXP). The vertical (energy) axis is plotted to scale. See text and Fig. 6 for details.

⁷⁸Ni core.

B. Gamow-Teller decay of ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As

Very neutron-rich isotopes with N>50 and Z>28 are characterized by large Q_{β} decay-energy window and low S_n energy threshold in the daughter nuclei. In the singleparticle limit, the allowed GT decays of these nuclei will proceed only between fpg neutrons and the respective spin/orbit partner proton orbitals. The GT transformation of the valence neutrons, occupying the d5/2 and s1/2orbitals are not possible in β decay due to the limited Q_{β} value. The fpg neutron states that participate in the decay, are very deeply bound and, as a result, the GT decay transformation will populate mostly highly excited states. The lower-lying states will be fed in forbidden decays. This general picture emerges from the number of experimental results in this region of the chart of nuclei, [32–34].

The detailed analysis of the strength distribution can be related to the size of the N=50 shell gap. In the case of the N=50+2 isotope 82 Zn, the analysis of its decay shows that the bulk of GT strength resides above the S_n as determined by the shell gap of about 3.9 MeV [34]. Low-lying states, at about 2 MeV excitation energy, were identified with relatively low log ft value and were interpreted as 1^+ states populated in the GT decay. This observation was interpreted as evidence of GT transitions to low-energy 1^+ states proceeding through admixtures of the $d5/2^2((\pi f5/2)^2 (\pi p3/2)^1, (\nu p1/2)^{-1})$ configuration with the main configuration $d5/2^2((\pi f5/2)^3, (\nu p1/2)^{-1})$. The latter configuration cannot be connected to the 82 Zn ground state with the GT operator. This small portion of the GT-decay strength linked the ⁸²Zn g.s. to the ⁸²Ga neutron-bound states and was detected in traditional $\beta\gamma$ spectroscopy [34]. We expect a similar mechanism to be present in the decay of ⁸⁶Ge.

Both ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As decay mostly to highly excited states and are characterized by large P_n probabilities (45% and 35%, respectively). Nevertheless, there is a compelling evidence of GT decay to neutron-bound states in the daughter nuclei as shown in Figure 5. In order to continue the investigation of the role of the N=50 shellgap into the decay of such exotic nuclei while departing further from the N=50 line, we have performed B(GT)calculations with NuShellX [16, 35]. An approach similar to the one that was developed to describe the results presented in [34] was used in the calculations. To model the ⁷⁸Ni core decays, the calculations employed a ⁵⁶Ni core and "blocking" of the valence neutrons in the d5/2 orbital to reduce the numerical complexity of the calculations. This approach is justified because at low excitation energies neutrons occupying these orbitals can be considered as spectators in the GT decay of ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As. Calculations were performed using a ⁵⁶Ni core with the hybrid interaction and *nominal* single-particle energies (s.p.e.), as used in [34]: -8.39 MeV (f5/2), -8.54 MeV (p3/2), -7.21 MeV (p1/2), -5.86 MeV (q9/2) and -1.98 MeV (d5/2)for neutrons, and -14.94 MeV (f5/2), -13.44 MeV (p3/2), -12.04 MeV (p1/2) and -8.91 MeV (q9/2) for protons, as proposed by Grawe [36].

$1. ^{86}Ge.$

The GT decay of the 0⁺ g.s. of ⁸⁶Ge is going to populate 1⁺ states in the daughter nucleus ⁸⁶As. The transformations energetically most favorable, thus with a large B(GT), will proceed via the $\nu p1/2 \rightarrow \pi p3/2$ channel connecting the neutron and proton spin-orbit partners, which are closest to the Fermi energy. As in 82 Zn, additional 1⁺ states could be also generated through $\nu p 1/2 \ (\pi f 5/2)^n$ particle-hole configurations producing small B(GT) to them.

The experimental value for the apparent B(GT) feeding of the lowest (1⁺) excited states in ⁸⁶As with $logft\sim 5$, corresponds to B(GT) ~ 0.05 MeV⁻¹, see Figure 10. Our calculations with *nominal s.p.e.* produce a very large B(GT) to a 1⁺ level between 3 and 4 MeV (see Figure 10a)) which is not observed experimentally and is different from the predictions for ⁸²Zn decay. A closer inspection of the wavefunctions of the 1⁺ states involved in this decay reveals that here the configuration energetically most favorable is $(\nu p 1/2)^{-1}$ $(\pi f 5/2)^4$ $(\pi p 3/2)^1$, which naturally results in a very large B(GT) due to the strong $\nu p 1/2 \rightarrow \pi p 3/2$ transition.

Further investigations employed another set of interactions, which were constructed on the basis of jj44bpn [37] and jj45pna [30, 35]. These were developed for the ⁵⁶Ni and ⁷⁸Ni cores, respectively. Only the residual pn interactions between sd neutrons and fp protons are taken from jj45pna and the same method of calculation, with "blocking" of the neutrons in the d5/2 orbital, and s.p.e. were used as in Ref. [34]. The calculations which allowed scattering to the s1/2 orbital are possible, but they did not change the result significantly. This new hybrid set of interactions, should in principle be more reliable since the cross-shell matrix elements are not as "schematic" as in our previous work [34]. The results of this new set of calculations show a very large B(GT) between 2 and 3 MeV. This is due essentially to the same structure effects as in the previously used set of interactions, and again these theoretical predictions do not agree with the experimental data, see Figure 10. This result however illustrates the important role of the proton-neutron interactions in making reliable predictions of the decay strength distribution. Both sets of interactions predict that the decay will be dominated by the $\nu p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi p_{3/2}$ transformation to a neutron-bound state in the daughter nucleus. In both cases, the shell model generates a strongly bound J=1⁺ state with $(\pi f5/2)^4 (\pi p3/2) \otimes (\nu d5/2)^4$ configuration. This situation is different for the decay of the $N=52^{82}Zn$, where most likely the shell model predicts the lowest 1⁺ to be dominated by $(\pi f5/2)^3 \otimes (\nu d5/2)^2$ configuration and small B(GT). In order to investigate a possible microscopic mechanism for this behavior, we have modified the T=0 elements of the residual interactions with the goal of achieving a qualitative agreement with experimental data. For this exercise, we used the interactions developed from *jj44bpn*. In order to push the 1^+ state with large B(GT) above the neutron separation energy in ⁸⁶Ge, we had to significantly weaken the diagonal T=0 matrix elements between $\nu d5/2$ and $\pi p3/2$ (by 1 MeV), which was generating the strongly bound 1^+ state with large B(GT). Conversely, in order to generate low lying 1⁺ states with weak B(GT) the T=1 $\nu p1/2$ and $\pi f_5/2$ had to be significantly strengthened, here by about 0.4 MeV. The results of the B(GT) calculations,

with such modified interactions are shown in panel c) of Figure 10. One may notice, that now the 1^+ states with large B(GT) are neutron unbound and the model is producing small B(GT) to the bound states in ⁸⁶As, although the theoretical B(GT) is still much smaller than observed experimentally. We didn't continue further this empirical procedure. Interestingly this empirical modification, did not dramatically change the ⁸²Zn result. A set of interactions with a better microscopic foundation needs to be developed to continue a more meaningful analysis. We merely emphasize the important role of the proton-neutron residual interactions in describing these very neutron-rich isotopes. The strong T=0 matrix elements might generate low-energy, doorway states which are neutron bound and could lead to dramatic increase of the decay lifetimes. In view of the experimental results, while we observe GT decays to states at low energies, with excitation energies lower than the shell gap, the B(GT) is very small and will not dramatically affect the nuclear lifetimes. A more complete empirical verification can be provided from β n spectroscopy which could identify directly the location of states with the large B(GT).

$2. {}^{86}As.$

Excited states in ⁸⁶Se with energies up to ~ 4.2 MeV were observed in the decay of ⁸⁶As, and no obvious evidence of strong GT decays was detected. However, the states at high excitation energies could be populated by similar transitions as those observed at high excitation



FIG. 10. (Color online) B(GT) strength distribution for ⁸⁶Ge. The black dots represent the apparent B(GT) calculated from the present data and the histogram shows the results of the calculation. The part plotted in blue is multiplied by 20. All calculations use *nominal s.p.e.* and use a) interactions constructed from *jj44pn*; b) interaction constructed on the basis of the *jj45pn*; and c) as in a) but with modified T=0 parts interaction. The horizontal-axis represents β energies from 0 to Q_{\beta}=9.2(3) MeV. The black vertical line highlights the S_n value. See text for details.



FIG. 11. (Color online) B(GT) strength distribution for ⁸⁶As. The histogram shows the results of the calculation, the part plotted in blue is multiplied by 20. All calculations use nominal s.p.e. and use a) interactions constructed from jj44pn; b) interaction constructed on the basis of the jj45pn; and c) as in a) but with modified T=0 parts interaction. The horizontal-axis represents β energies from 0 to $Q_{\beta}=11.541(4)$ MeV. The black vertical line highlights the S_n value. See text for details.

energies in the decay of 86 Ge.

We performed the same calculations for ⁸⁶As decay, as for ⁸⁶Ge, assuming $J=2^-$ to be the ground state of ⁸⁶As. The results are shown in Figure 11 for the same 3 scenarios. Similarly, for a) and b) the lowest energy strong B(GT) transitions can be traced back to $\nu p_{1/2} \rightarrow \pi p_{3/2}$ with the same microscopic mechanism. The empirical modification is necessary to reproduce the possible GT states below the neutron separation energy. Here, a new measurement, which would measure the absolute branching ratios below and above neutron separation energy is required, in order to make a more quantitative benchmarking of the model.

V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

The very neutron-rich isotopes ⁸⁶Ge and its β -decay daughter $^{\tilde{8}6}$ As were produced in an experiment at the HRIBF and their β -decay properties were measured. First information on excited states populated in ⁸⁶As by ⁸⁶Ge β -decay was obtained, while the decay scheme of ⁸⁶As to ⁸⁶Se was largely extended. Comparison of the experimental data with shell-model calculations showed the limits of applicability for such calculations when departing from the double shell closure at N=50, Z=28. Calculations of GT strength highlighted the importance of the role of the proton-neutron residual interactions in describing these very neutron rich isotopes. Total γ absorption and β -delayed neutron energy spectroscopy would be crucial to establish the true β decay intensity pattern for β decays of ⁸⁶Ge and ⁸⁶As. In particular, the direct β feeding to the ⁸⁶Se g.s. and 2⁺ excited states is needed to distinguish between the (1^-) and (2^-) assignments for the ⁸⁶As ground state.

The very low production rate observed for ⁸⁶Ge in the proton-induced fission of ²³⁸U together with the discrepancies in the fission yields for ⁸⁶Ge reported in different data bases call for more accurate reactor yield measurements for this isotope [26, 38].

Note. While writing this paper we learned of a parallel work on the low-energy level scheme of 86 Se [39].

We wish to acknowledge the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility (HRIBF) staff for their assistance with the experiments and providing excellent quality neutron-rich radioactive beams. This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics and this research used resources of the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, which was a DOE Office of Science User Facility. This is supported in part under US DOE grants DE-AC05-00OR22725 (ORNL), DE-FG02-96ER40983 (UTK), DE-AC05-06OR23100 (ORAU), and DE-FG05-88ER40407 (Vanderbilt); in part by the National Nuclear Security Administration Grant No. DEFC03-03NA00143 and under the Stewardship Science Academic Alliance program through DOE Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FG52-08NA28552 (UTK).

- M. Bernas, S. Czajkowski, P. Armbruster, H. Geissel, Ph. Dessagne, C. Donzaud, H.ĐR. Faust, E. Hanelt, A. Heinz, M. Heese, C. Kozhuharov, Ch. Miehe, G. Munzenberg, M. Pfützner, C. Rohl, K.ĐH. Schmidt, W. Schwab, C. Stephan, K. Summerer, L. TassanĐGot, and B. Voss. *Phys. Lett.*, 331B:19, 1994.
- [2] C. Mazzocchi, K.P. Rykaczewski, A. Korgul, R. Grzywacz, P. Bączyk, C. Bingham, N.T. Brewer, C.J. Gross, C. Jost, M. Karny, M. Madurga, A.J. Mendez II, K. Miernik, D. Miller, S. Padgett, S.V. Paulauskas, D.W. Stracener, M. Wolińska-Cichocka, and I.N. Borzov. *Phys. Rev. C*, 87:034315, 2013.
- [3] C. Mazzocchi, A. Korgul, K.P. Rykaczewski, R. Grzywacz, P. Bączyk, C.R. Bingham, N.T. Brewer, C.J. Gross, C. Jost, M. Karny, M. Madurga, A.J. Mendez II, K. Miernik, D. Miller, S. Padgett, S.V. Paulauskas, D.W. Stracener, and M. Wolińska-Cichocka. *Acta Phys. Pol. B*, 46:713, 2015.
- [4] M. Wang, G. Audi, A.H. Wapstra, F.G. Kondev, M. Mac-Cormick, X. Xu, and B. Pfeiffer. *Chin. Phys. C*, 36:1603, 2012.
- [5] J.R. Beene, D.W. Bardayan, A. Galindo Uribarri, C.J. Gross, K.L. Jones, J.F. Liang, W. Nazarewicz, D.W. Stracener, B.A. Tatum, and R.L. Varner. J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys., 38:024002, 2011.
- [6] R. Grzywacz. Nucl. Instr. Methods in Phys. Res., B204:649, 2003.
- [7] R. Grzywacz. Nucl. Instr. Methods in Phys. Res., B261:1103, 2007.
- [8] $http://www.xia.com/DGF_Pixie 16.html.$
- [9] J.P. Omtvedt, P. Hoff, M. Hellström, L Spanier, and B. Fogelberg. Z. Phys., A338:241, 1991.
- [10] A. Korgul et al., submitted to Phys. Rev. C.
- [11] K. Miernik, K. P. Rykaczewski, C. J. Gross, R. Grzywacz, M. Madurga, D. Miller, J. C. Batchelder, I. N. Borzov, N. T. Brewer, C. Jost, A. Korgul, C. Mazzocchi, A. J. Mendez, Y. Liu, S. V. Paulauskas, D. W. Stracener, J. A. Winger, M. Wolińska-Cichocka, and E. F. Zganjar. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111:132502, 2013.
- [12] http://bricc.anu.edu.au/index.php.
- [13] J. Kantele. Handbook of nuclear spectrometry, Academic Press Ltd., London, 1995.
- [14] P.M. Endt. At. Data Nucl. Data Tables, 23:547, 1979.
- [15] P.M. Endt. At. Data Nucl. Data Tables, 26:47, 1981.

- [16] W. Rae, NUSHELLX shell-model code, http://www.garsington.eclipse.co.uk/.
- [17] B. Singh, J.L. Rodriguez, S.S.M. Wong, and J.K. Tuli. Nuclear Data Sheets, 84:487, 1998.
- [18] J. Agramunt et al. Nuclear Data Sheets, 120:74, 2014.
- [19] J.V. Kratz, H. Franz, N. Kaffrell, and G. Herrmann. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 250:13, 1975.
- [20] E.F. Jones, P.M. Gore, J.H. Hamilton, A.V. Ramayya, J.K. Hwang, A.P. deLima, S.J. Zhu, C.J. Beyer, Y.X. Luo, W.C. Ma, J.O. Rasmussen, I.Y. Lee, S.C. Wu, T.N. Ginter, M. Stoyer, J.D. Cole, A.V. Daniel, G.M. Ter-Akopian, and R. Donangelo. *Phys. Rev. C*, 73:017301, 2006.
- [21] M. Czerwiński, T. Rząca-Urban, K. Sieja, H. Sliwińska, W. Urban, A.G. Smith, J.F. Smith, G.S. Simpson, I. Ahmad, J.P. Greene, and T. Materna. *Phys. Rev. C*, 88:044314, 2013.
- [22] K. Tsukada, unpublished calculations based on data from Y. Zhao, Doctoral Thesis, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1996.
- [23] https://www-nds.iaea.org/exfor/endf.htm.
- [24] J. Katakura, JENDL FP decay data file 2011 and fission yields data file 2011, JAEA – Data/Code2011 – 025, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, (2011).
- [25] M. A. Kellet et al., The JEFF 3.1/ 3.1.1 radioactive decay data and fission yields sub-libraries, https : $//www.oecd nea.org/dbdata/nds_jefreports/jefreport 20/nea6287 jeff 20.pdf.$
- [26] G. Chiba, M. Tsuji, and T. Narabayashi. Nuclear Data Sheets, 118:401, 2014.
- [27] T. Miyazono, M. Sagisaka, H. Ohta, K. Oyamatsu, and M. Tamaki. Proceedings of the 1996 Symposium on Nuclear Data, JAERI-Conf 97-005:83, 1997.
- [28] http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/fyields/.
- [29] R. Machleidt, arXiv:0704.0807.
- [30] M. Hjorth-Jensen, T. T. S. Kuo, and E. Osnes. *Physics Reports*, 261:125, 1995.
- [31] R. Grzywacz, Gamow-Teller decays of nuclei beyond N>50, 22nd ASRC International Workshop "Nuclear Fission and Exotic Nucle" Advanced Science Research Center (ASRC), Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Tokai, Japan, December 3rd-5th, 2014.
- [32] J. A. Winger, John C. Hill, F. K. Wohn, R. L. Gill, X. Ji,

- [33] S. Padgett, M. Madurga, R. Grzywacz, I. G. Darby, S. N. Liddick, S. V. Paulauskas, L. Cartegni, C. R. Bingham, C. J. Gross, K. Rykaczewski, D. Shapira, D. W. Stracener, A. J. Mendez II, J. A. Winger, S. V. Ilyushkin, A. Korgul, W. Królas, E. Zganjar, C. Mazzocchi, S. Liu, J. H. Hamilton, J. C. Batchelder, and M. M. Rajabali. *Phys. Rev. C*, 82:064314, 2010.
- [34] M. F. Alshudifat et al., submitted to Physical Review C.
- [35] B.A. Brown and W. Rae. Nuclear Data Sheets, 120, 2014.
- [36] H. Grawe, Shell Model from a Practitioners Point of View, Lecture Notes I-II.
- [37] B. Cheal, E. Mané, J. Billowes, M. L. Bissell, K. Blaum, B. A. Brown, F. C. Charlwood, K. T. Flanagan, D. H. Forest, C. Geppert, M. Honma, A. Jokinen, M. Kowalska, A. Krieger, J. Krämer, I. D. Moore, R. Neugart, G. Neyens, W. Nörtershäuser, M. Schug, H. H. Stroke, P. Vingerhoets, D. T. Yordanov, and M. Žáková. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 104:252502, 2010.
- [38] A.A. Sonzogni, E.A. McCutchan, T.D. Johnson, and P. Dimitriou, to be published.
- [39] T. Materna et al., Phys. Rev. C, 92:034305, 2015.