



This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Time reversal invariance violating and parity conserving effects in neutron-deuteron scattering

Young-Ho Song, Rimantas Lazauskas, and Vladimir Gudkov Phys. Rev. C **84**, 025501 — Published 25 August 2011

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.84.025501

## Time Reversal Invariance Violating and Parity Conserving effects in Neutron Deuteron Scattering

Young-Ho Song,<sup>1,\*</sup> Rimantas Lazauskas,<sup>2,†</sup> and Vladimir Gudkov<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, 29208

<sup>2</sup>IPHC, IN2P3-CNRS/Université Louis Pasteur BP 28,

F-67037 Strasbourg Cedex 2, France

### Abstract

Time reversal invariance violating parity conserving effects for low energy elastic neutron deuteron scattering are calculated for meson exchange and EFT-type of potentials in a Distorted Wave Born Approximation, using realistic hadronic wave functions, obtained by solving three-body Faddeev equations in configuration space.

PACS numbers: 24.80.+y, 25.10.+s, 11.30.Er, 13.75.Cs

<sup>\*</sup> song25@mailbox.sc.edu

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ rimantas.lazauskas@ires.in2p3.fr

<sup>‡</sup> gudkov@sc.edu

#### I. INTRODUCTION

We consider phenomenological time reversal invariant violating (TRIV) and parity conserving (PC) interaction, to which we refer as TVPC interaction in order to distinguish it from TVPV interactions, which violate both time reversal invariance and parity. TVPC interaction was introduced for the first time in paper [1] as a possible explanation of CPviolation in  $K^0$ -meson decay. According to CPT theorem, the violation of CP invariance implies the TRIV. In spite of the fact that almost all known possible mechanisms of CP violation also violate parity, TVPC interactions have been a subject of experimental and theoretical studies for decades (see, for example, [2–18] and references therein), because they directly manifest phenomena beyond the Standard model. The most experimental constraints for this interactions were obtained by using low energy nuclear physics processes, which cover a large variety of nuclear reactions and nuclear decays. There are a number of advantages of the search for TRIV in that processes: for example, the possibility of enhancement of T-violating observables in neutron indused reactions by many orders of a magnitude due to complex nuclear structure (see, i.e. paper [10] and references therein), similar to the enhancement observed for parity violating effects. Another advantage to be mentioned is the existence of observables which cannot be imitated by final state interactions [19–21]. Then, the measurement of non-zero value for these observables directly indicate TRIV, similar to the case of neutron electric dipole measurements.

A promising process for a search for TRIV in nuclear reactions is a measurement of TVPC effects in transmission of polarized neutron through polarized target [3, 4]. These effects can be enhanced [9, 18] by a factor of 10<sup>6</sup>, and therefore, could be measured at new spallation neutron facilities, such as the SNS at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory or the J-SNS at J-PARC, Japan.

However, despite the advantage of the enhancement, complexity of nuclear system makes it difficult to directly relate observation of TRIV effects to nucleon TVPC coupling constants. Therefore, it is interesting to compare the calculations of TVPC effects in complex nuclei with the calculations of these effects in simplest few body systems, which could be useful for clarification of influence of nuclear structure on values of TVPC effects. Thus, as a first step to many body nuclear effects, we study TRIV and parity violating effects in one of the simplest available nuclear process, namely elastic neutron-deuteron scattering.

The calculations of these effects for a specific type of TVPC interaction in a short-range approximation [7] show strong dependence of TVPC observables on neutron energy, which gives the opportunity to improve existing constrains on TVPC interactions using simple few-body system. Therefore, it is desirable to calculate these effects for general case of TVPC interactions to clarify this opportunity.

In this paper we treat TVPC nucleon-nucleon interactions as a perturbation, while non-perturbed three-body wave functions are obtained by solving Faddeev equations for realistic strong interaction Hamiltonian, based on AV18+UIX interaction model. For description of TRIV potentials, we use both meson exchange model and effective field theory (EFT) approach. For different cases of symmetry violation we use following notations: TP for TVPC, TP for TVPV, and P for parity violating (PV) cases.

#### II. OBSERVABLES

We consider TVPC effects related to  $\sigma_n \cdot [\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{I}](\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{I})$  correlation, where  $\sigma_n$  is the neutron spin,  $\boldsymbol{I}$  is the target spin and  $\boldsymbol{p}$  is the neutron momentum, which can be observed in the transmission of polarized neutrons through a aligned (or tensor polarized) target. This correlation leads to the difference [3, 4] between the total neutron cross sections for neutron polarized perpendicular to the neutron momentum and averaged over elongated target states

$$\Delta \sigma^{TP} = \frac{4\pi}{p} \text{Im}(f_+ - f_-), \tag{1}$$

and neutron spin rotation angle [5, 9]  $\phi$  around the axis  $[\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{I}](\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{I})$ ,

$$\frac{d\phi^{TP}}{dz} = -\frac{2\pi N}{p} \operatorname{Re}(f_{+} - f_{-}). \tag{2}$$

Here,  $f_{+,-}$  are the zero angle scattering amplitudes for neutrons polarized parallel and antiparallel to the  $[\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{I}](\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{I})$  axis respectively, z is the target length, and N is a number of target nuclei per unit volume. It should be noted that for a non-zero value of this five-fold correlation, the spin I must be larger or equal to one, i.e. these TVPC effects require a tensor polarized target. Therefore, this correlation cannot be observed in nucleon-nucleon scattering.

The scattering amplitudes can be represented in terms of matrix  $\hat{R}$  which is related to scattering matrix  $\hat{S}$  as  $\hat{R} = \hat{1} - \hat{S}$ . In partial wave basis, we define  $R^J_{l'S',lS} = \langle l'S'|R^J|lS\rangle$ ,

where unprimed and primed parameters correspond to initial and final states, l is an orbital angular momentum between neutron and deuteron, S is a sum of neutron spin and deuteron total angular momentum, and J is the total angular momentum of the neutron-deuteron system. Since we are interested in low energy neutrons, one can consider only s, p, and d partial waves with mixing only between s and d, and p and p waves. Then, one can write the TVPC parameters as

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{d\phi^{TP}}{dz} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{10p^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[ 5\sqrt{2} R_{0\frac{1}{2},2\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 5\sqrt{2} R_{2\frac{3}{2},0\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + 5\sqrt{2} R_{1\frac{1}{2},1\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 5\sqrt{2} R_{1\frac{3}{2},1\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + 10R_{0\frac{3}{2},2\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} - 10R_{2\frac{1}{2},0\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2\sqrt{5} R_{1\frac{1}{2},1\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2\sqrt{5} R_{1\frac{3}{2},1\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right],$$
(3)

$$\Delta \sigma^{TP} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{5p^2} \text{Im} \left[ 5\sqrt{2} R_{0\frac{1}{2}, 2\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 5\sqrt{2} R_{2\frac{3}{2}, 0\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + 5\sqrt{2} R_{1\frac{1}{2}, 1\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} - 5\sqrt{2} R_{1\frac{3}{2}, 1\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ \left. + 10 R_{0\frac{3}{2}, 2\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} - 10 R_{2\frac{1}{2}, 0\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2\sqrt{5} R_{1\frac{1}{2}, 1\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2\sqrt{5} R_{1\frac{3}{2}, 1\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]. \tag{4}$$

The symmetry violating  $\hat{R}$  -matrix elements can be calculated with a high level of accuracy in Distorted Wave Born Approximation (DWBA) as

$$R_{l'\mathcal{S}',l\mathcal{S}}^{J} \simeq 4i^{-l'+l+1}\mu p^{(-)}\langle \Psi, (l'\mathcal{S}')JJ^z|V^{TP}|\Psi, (l\mathcal{S})JJ^z\rangle^{(+)}, \tag{5}$$

where  $\mu$  is a neutron-deuteron reduced mass,  $V^{TP}$  is TVPC nucleon-nucleon potential, and  $|\Psi,(l'S')JJ^z\rangle^{(\pm)}$  are solutions of 3-body Faddeev equations in configuration space for strong interaction Hamiltonian satisfying outgoing(incoming) boundary condition. The factor  $i^{-l'+l}$  in this expression is introduced to match the R-matrix definition in the modified spherical harmonics convention [22] with the wave functions expressed in spherical harmonics convention. The matrix elements of TVPC potential in spherical harmonics convention and R-matrix in modified spherical harmonics convention are antisymmetric under the exchange between initial and final states.

For calculations of wave functions, we used jj-coupling scheme instead of lS coupling scheme. We can relate R-matrix elements in lS coupling scheme to jj-coupling scheme using unitary transformation (see, for example [23])

$$|[l_{y} \otimes (s_{k} \otimes j_{x})_{\mathcal{S}}]_{JJ_{z}}\rangle = \sum_{j_{y}} |[j_{x} \otimes (l_{y} \otimes s_{k})_{j_{y}}]_{JJ_{z}}\rangle$$

$$\times (-1)^{j_{x}+j_{y}-J}(-1)^{l_{y}+s_{k}+j_{x}+J}[(2j_{y}+1)(2\mathcal{S}+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} l_{y} \ s_{k} \ j_{y} \\ j_{x} \ J \ \mathcal{S} \end{array} \right\}, (6)$$

where  $j_x$  is a spin (total angular momentum of the target,  $j_x = 1$  for the deutron),  $s_k$  is a spin of the projectile ( $s_k = \frac{1}{2}$  for the neutron). In  $l\mathcal{S}$  coupling scheme spins of the projectile and the target are added giving partial spin  $\mathcal{S}$  to which relative projectile-target angular momentum (l) is added to obtain total angular momentum (l) of the system. In contrary, in jj-coupling scheme, the relative projectile-target angular momentum (l) is added to the projectile spin ( $s_k$ ) giving intermediate angular momentum (l) before coupling it with target spin (l) in order to obtain total angular momentum (l) of the system.

#### III. TIME REVERSAL VIOLATING PARITY CONSERVING POTENTIALS

The most general form of time reversal violating and parity conserving part of nucleonnucleon Hamiltonian in the first order of relative nucleon momentum can be written as [24],

$$H^{TP} = (g_{1}(r) + g_{2}(r)\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2} + g_{3}(r)T_{12}^{z} + g_{4}(r)\tau_{+})\hat{r} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{m_{N}}$$

$$+ (g_{5}(r) + g_{6}(r)\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2} + g_{7}(r)T_{12}^{z} + g_{8}(r)\tau_{+})\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\hat{r} \cdot \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}$$

$$+ (g_{9}(r) + g_{10}(r)\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2} + g_{11}(r)T_{12}^{z} + g_{12}(r)\tau_{+})$$

$$\times \left(\hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2} + \hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1} - \frac{2}{3}\hat{r} \cdot \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\right)$$

$$+ (g_{13}(r) + g_{14}(r)\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2} + g_{15}(r)T_{12}^{z} + g_{16}(r)\tau_{+})$$

$$\times \left(\hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}\hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\hat{r} \cdot \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}} - \frac{1}{5}(\hat{r} \cdot \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2} + \hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2} + \hat{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1})\right)$$

$$+ g_{17}(r)\tau_{-}\hat{r} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\times} \times \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}) + g_{18}(r)\tau_{\times}^{z}\hat{r} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-} \times \frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}), \tag{7}$$

where exact form of  $g_i(r)$  depends on the details of a particular theory of TVPC.

One should note, that pions, being spin zero particles, do not contribute to TVPC onshell interaction [25]. Therefore to describe TVPC nucleon-nucleon interactions in meson exchange potential model, by assuming CPT conservation, one should consider contribution from heavier mesons:  $\rho(770)$ ,  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$  and  $h_1(1170)$ ,  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{+-})$  (see, for example [9, 11, 12] and references therein). For example, Lagrangians for  $\rho$  and  $h_1$  are

$$\mathcal{L}^{st} = -g_{\rho}\bar{N}(\gamma_{\mu}\rho^{\mu,a} - \frac{\kappa_{V}}{2M}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\nu}\rho^{\mu,a})\tau^{a}N - g_{h}\bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}h_{\mu}N, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{TP} = -\frac{\bar{g}_{\rho}}{2m_{N}} \bar{N} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{3ab} \tau^{a} \partial_{\nu} \rho_{\mu}^{b} N + i \frac{\bar{g}_{h}}{2m_{N}} \bar{N} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_{5} \partial_{\nu} h_{\mu} N, \tag{9}$$

where we neglected terms, such as  $\bar{N}\gamma_5\partial^{\mu}h_{\mu}N$ , which are small at low energy, and g and  $\bar{g}$  represent strong and TVPC meson nucleon couplings respectively. Then, one can obtain TVPC potentials

$$V_{\rho}^{TP} = \frac{g_{\rho}\bar{g}_{\rho}m_{\rho}^{2}}{8\pi m_{N}}Y_{1}(m_{\rho}r)\tau_{\times}^{z}\hat{r}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{-}\times\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}),$$

$$V_{h_{1}}^{TP} = -\frac{g_{h}\bar{g}_{h}m_{h}^{2}}{2\pi m_{N}}Y_{1}(m_{h}r)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}\cdot\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\cdot\hat{r}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{2}\cdot\frac{\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}}{m_{N}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1}\cdot\hat{r}),$$

$$(10)$$

where  $Y_1(x) = (1 + \frac{1}{x})\frac{e^-x}{x}$ ,  $x_a = m_a r$ . Comparing these potentials with eq. (7), one can see that in this model, all  $g_i(r)^{ME} = 0$ , except for

$$g_5^{ME}(r) = \left(-\frac{4g_h \bar{g}_h}{3m_N}\right) \left(\frac{m_h^2}{4\pi} Y_1(m_h r)\right) = C_{5,h}^{TP} f_{5,h}^{TP}(r, \mu = m_h),$$

$$g_9^{ME}(r) = \left(-\frac{2g_h \bar{g}_h}{m_N}\right) \left(\frac{m_h^2}{4\pi} Y_1(m_h r)\right) = C_{9,h}^{TP} f_{9,h}^{TP}(r, \mu = m_h),$$

$$g_{18}^{ME}(r) = \left(\frac{g_\rho \bar{g}_\rho}{2m_N}\right) \left(\frac{m_\rho^2}{4\pi} Y_1(m_\rho r)\right) = C_{18,\rho}^{TP} f_{18,\rho}^{TP}(r, \mu = m_\rho),$$
(11)

where we introduced dimensional constants  $C_n^{TP}$  and scalar function  $f_n^{TP}(\mu) = \frac{\mu^2}{4\pi} Y_1(\mu r)$ , so that  $g_n(r)$  can be written as

$$g_n(r) = \sum_a C_{n,a}^{TP} f_{n,a}^{TP}(r).$$
 (12)

If we include iso-vector J = 1  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  mesons, which masses are close to the value of  $h_1$  mass, functions  $g_6$  and  $g_{10}$  will also contribute to TVPC potential.

In EFT approach we consider eq.(7) as a leading order of TVPC potential. Final result must not depend on the particular form of the  $g_n(r)$  functions as long as they are localized, like delta function or its derivative. Therefore, using EFT one can estimate the contribution of each term of the potential substituting  $g_n(r)$  functions by the corresponding products of low energy constants (LECs) and Yukawa functions  $Y_1(\mu r)$ . The mass scale  $\mu$  represents a regularization scale of EFT. For example, at low energy we can assume that  $\mu \simeq m_{\pi}$  for pionless EFT approach.

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For calculation of TRIV amplitudes in DWBA approach, we used the non-perturbed (time reversal invariance conserving) 3-body wave functions for neutron-deuteron scattering obtained by solving Faddeev equations in configuration space [26, 27]. The detailed procedure

for these calculations is described in our papers [23, 28]. As previously we employed AV18 nucleon-nucleon potential in conjunction with UIX three-nucleon force. Obtained contribution of each TVPC operator from eq. (7) to the matrix element of eq.(5) is summarized in Tables I and II, representing real and imaginary parts respectively. The matrix elements are evaluated using jj coupling scheme for the neutron-deutron center of mass energy equal  $E_{cm} = 100 \ keV$  and the regularization scale set by  $\mu = m_{\rho}$ , which is equal to the mass of the lightest meson contributing to TVPC interaction. It should be noted that each matrix element presented in these tables contains a sum of contributions from different Faddeev components of wave functions with a large number of partial waves. Therefore, the values of the matrix elements are strongly dependent on detailed behavior of exact wave functions. However, in spite of the fact of the possibility of a numerical suppression of matrix elements for some operators, the calculated values are stable enough to be used for estimations of TRIV effects.

The contributions from each TVPC operator to the difference of scattering amplitudes  $f_{+,-}$  are summarized in Table III, where we distinguish three columns representing result with a different choice of the characteristic mass scale for  $g_i(r)$  functions. Thus, for example, the column  $\frac{\Delta f^{\pi}}{p}$  corresponds the description of TVPC potential in pionless EFT. (As it was mentioned above,  $\pi$ -meson exchange cannot lead to TVPC interaction.)

It should be noted that in spite of the fact that all results of calculations are presented only for neutron energy  $E_{cm} = 100 \ keV$ , they can be easily extrapolated for any value of neutron energy below 1 MeV since they have a simple dependence on neutron energy E as:

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\Delta f^{TP}}{p} \sim \sqrt{E}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \frac{\Delta f^{TP}}{p} \sim E.$$
 (13)

This is because these TVPC observables are the result of a mixing of initial and final p-waves, or s- and d-waves, by TVPC interactions in scattering amplitudes.

To have insights into the structure of TVPC scattering amplitudes, one can compare them with strong, PV, and TVPV amplitudes at the same energy  $E_{cm} = 100 \ keV$  ( $p = 0.567 \times 10^{-1} \ \text{fm}^{-1}$ ), and  $\mu = m_{\pi}$ , which corresponds to pionless EFT potential. Then, strong s-wave scattering amplitude  $f^{st}$  is

$$\frac{f^{st}}{n} = (72.2 + i24.8) fm^2, (14)$$

giving the total cross section  $\sigma_{tot} = \frac{4\pi}{p} \text{Im} f^{st}(p) = 3.11 \text{ b. PV}$  difference of scattering

TABLE I. Representative contribution of each TVPC potential term to the real part of the matrix element (Re $\frac{\langle (l'j'),J|V^{TP}|\langle lj\rangle,J\rangle}{p^2}$ ). Results are presented using jj-coupling scheme for wave functions obtained using AV18+UIX interaction at  $E_{cm}=100$  keV. For all operators a scalar function  $\frac{m_\rho^2}{4\pi}Y_1(m_\rho r)$  has been used. Operators 3,7,11 and 15 are null due to the isospin selection rules. All data are in  $fm^2$ .

n	$\langle 2\tfrac{3}{2} v^{\frac{1}{2}} 0\tfrac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 1\tfrac{3}{2} v^{\frac{1}{2}} 1\tfrac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 2\tfrac{3}{2} v^{\tfrac{3}{2}} 0\tfrac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 1\tfrac{3}{2} v^{\tfrac{3}{2}} 1\tfrac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 2\tfrac{5}{2} v^{\frac{3}{2}} 0\tfrac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$
1	$-0.278 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.219 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.710 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.148 \times 10^{-07}$	$0.307 \times 10^{-05}$
2	$0.107 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.876 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.415 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.470 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.423 \times 10^{-05}$
4	$-0.329 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.314 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.673 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.158 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.166 \times 10^{-05}$
5	$-0.108 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.939 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.216 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.487 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.146 \times 10^{-05}$
6	$0.664 \times 10^{-07}$	$-0.371 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.256 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.682 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.897 \times 10^{-05}$
8	$0.108 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.951 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.131 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.465 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.445 \times 10^{-05}$
9	$0.609 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.407 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.223 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.186 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.103 \times 10^{-03}$
10	$-0.180 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.122 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.672 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.557 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.308 \times 10^{-03}$
12	$-0.823 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.140 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.992 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.804 \times 10^{-08}$	$0.749 \times 10^{-07}$
13	$-0.490 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.157 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.133 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.602 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.662 \times 10^{-05}$
14	$0.149 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.468 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.401 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.189 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.199 \times 10^{-04}$
16	$-0.682 \times 10^{-07}$	$-0.844 \times 10^{-08}$	$0.248 \times 10^{-07}$	$-0.266 \times 10^{-07}$	$0.269 \times 10^{-07}$
17	$0.182 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.434 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.355 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.784 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.853 \times 10^{-06}$
18	$0.547 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.384 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.407 \times 10^{-06}$	$-0.700 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.108 \times 10^{-06}$

amplitudes in EFT is [23]

$$\frac{1}{m_N C_n^{p}} \frac{\Delta f^{p}(\mu = m_\pi)}{p} = \left[ (-1.93 \cdots 2.42) + i(-0.22 \cdots 0.67) \right] f m^2, \tag{15}$$

The difference of TRIV amplitudes with parity violation in EFT is [28]

$$\frac{1}{m_N C_n^{TP}} \frac{\Delta f^{TP}(\mu = m_\pi)}{p} = \left[ (-1.63 \cdots 0.66) + i(-0.063 \cdots 0.22) \right] fm^2, \tag{16}$$

and for TVPC ones is

$$\frac{1}{m_N C_n^{TP}} \frac{\Delta f^{TP}(\mu = m_\pi)}{p} = \left[ (-0.01 \cdots 0.03) + i(-0.0013 \cdots 0.0004) \right] fm^2.$$
 (17)

Here,  $C_n^{\not p}$ ,  $C_n^{\not T\not p}$ , and  $C_n^{\not TP}$  are low energy constants for PV, TVPV, and TVPC interactions, correspondingly, with a separated factor  $1/m_N$  to match dimensions of the final result and

TABLE II. Same as in Table I but for the imaginary part of the matrix element  $(\operatorname{Im} \frac{\langle (l'j'), J | V^{TP} | (lj), J \rangle}{p^2})$ .

n	$\langle 2\frac{3}{2} v^{\frac{1}{2}} 0\frac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 1\frac{3}{2} v^{\frac{1}{2}} 1\frac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 2\frac{3}{2} v^{\frac{3}{2}} 0\frac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 1\frac{3}{2} v^{\frac{3}{2}} 1\frac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$	$\langle 2\frac{5}{2} v^{\frac{3}{2}} 0\frac{1}{2}\rangle/p^2$
1	$-0.541 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.168 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.193 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.909 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.839 \times 10^{-05}$
2	$0.209 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.672 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.113 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.288 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.115 \times 10^{-04}$
4	$-0.642 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.241 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.184 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.968 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.454 \times 10^{-05}$
5	$-0.211 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.720 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.590 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.298 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.399 \times 10^{-05}$
6	$0.129 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.284 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.696 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.417 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.245 \times 10^{-04}$
8	$0.210 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.730 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.358 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.284 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.122 \times 10^{-04}$
9	$0.119 \times 10^{-02}$	$0.312 \times 10^{-02}$	$-0.609 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.114 \times 10^{-02}$	$-0.281 \times 10^{-03}$
10	$-0.351 \times 10^{-02}$	$-0.939 \times 10^{-02}$	$0.183 \times 10^{-02}$	$0.341 \times 10^{-02}$	$0.842 \times 10^{-03}$
12	$-0.160 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.107 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.271 \times 10^{-05}$	$-0.493 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.203 \times 10^{-06}$
13	$-0.955 \times 10^{-04}$	$-0.120 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.364 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.369 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.181 \times 10^{-04}$
14	$0.290 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.359 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.109 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.116 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.545 \times 10^{-04}$
16	$-0.133 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.647 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.678 \times 10^{-07}$	$0.163 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.734 \times 10^{-07}$
17	$0.355 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.333 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.967 \times 10^{-06}$	$0.480 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.233 \times 10^{-05}$
18	$0.107 \times 10^{-04}$	$0.295 \times 10^{-03}$	$-0.111 \times 10^{-05}$	$0.429 \times 10^{-03}$	$0.294 \times 10^{-06}$

retain dimension of LECs  $C_n$  in [fm]. The range of values for real and imaginary parts of PV and TRIV amplitudes is defined by a value of possible contribution from each PV, TVPV or TVPC operator.

Aforementioned amplitudes follow the simple kinematic rule of the suppression  $\sim (pR_{nuc})$  for an additional p-wave involved in PV and TVPV amplitudes, and  $\sim (pR_{nuc})^2$  for two p-waves or one d-wave for the case of TVPC amplitudes. (Here,  $R_{nuc}$  is an effective range of strong interaction, which leads to  $\sim (pR_{nuc}) \sim 0.1$  for neutron energy  $E_{cm} = 100 \; keV$ .) In addition to this kinematic factor, TVPC scattering amplitudes are suppressed, as compared to PV or TVPV ones, by a factor  $\frac{\bar{p}}{m_N} \sim 0.1$ , which results from an extra momentum dependence of all operators in TVPC potential. By increasing neutron energy, one can easily increase the kinematic factor up to one. Then, the only suppression of TVPC matrix elements in the amplitude will be left due to  $\frac{\bar{p}}{m_N} \sim 0.1$ . It should be noted that this

TABLE III. Difference of scattering amplitudes,  $(f_+ - f_-)/p$  for TVPC potential from each operators and mass scales at  $E_{cm} = 100$  keV. Note that pion mass scale does not corresponds to physical meson exchange potential. Operators with n = 3, 7, 11, 15 are equal to zero due to isospin selection rules. All data are in  $fm^2$ .

n	$\frac{\Delta f^{\pi}}{p}$	$rac{\Delta f^{ ho}}{p}$	$rac{\Delta f^{h_1}}{p}$
1	$0.49 \times 10^{-5} - i0.25 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.82 \times 10^{-5} - i0.88 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.58 \times 10^{-5} - i0.55 \times 10^{-6}$
2	$0.46 \times 10^{-2} + i0.49 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.16 \times 10^{-3} + i0.64 \times 10^{-5}$	$0.37\times 10^{-4} + i0.27\times 10^{-5}$
4	$-0.15 \times 10^{-2} - i0.14 \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.62 \times 10^{-4} - i0.12 \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.18 \times 10^{-4} - i0.35 \times 10^{-6}$
5	$-0.50 \times 10^{-2} - i0.16 \times 10^{-3}$	$-0.18 \times 10^{-3} - i0.51 \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.49 \times 10^{-4} - i0.16 \times 10^{-5}$
6	$0.23 \times 10^{-2} - i0.13 \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.76 \times 10^{-5} + i0.25 \times 10^{-5}$	$-0.14 \times 10^{-4} + i0.16 \times 10^{-5}$
8	$0.43\times 10^{-2}+i0.17\times 10^{-3}$	$0.18\times 10^{-3} + i0.42\times 10^{-5}$	$0.54\times 10^{-4} + i0.11\times 10^{-5}$
9	$-0.43 \times 10^{-1} + i0.19 \times 10^{-2}$	$-0.14\times 10^{-2}+i0.71\times 10^{-4}$	$-0.43\times 10^{-3}+i0.21\times 10^{-4}$
10	$0.13 \times 10^{+0} - i0.62 \times 10^{-2}$	$0.44 \times 10^{-2} - i0.21 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.13 \times 10^{-2} - i0.65 \times 10^{-4}$
12	$0.56 \times 10^{-3} + i0.79 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.90 \times 10^{-6} - i0.11 \times 10^{-6}$	$0.11 \times 10^{-9} - i0.21 \times 10^{-7}$
13	$-0.99\times10^{-3}+i0.41\times10^{-4}$	$0.72\times 10^{-4} - i0.50\times 10^{-5}$	$0.25\times 10^{-4} - i0.17\times 10^{-5}$
14	$0.24\times 10^{-2}-i0.92\times 10^{-4}$	$-0.22\times10^{-3}+i0.15\times10^{-4}$	$-0.77\times10^{-4}+i0.51\times10^{-5}$
16	$0.18\times 10^{-3} - i0.10\times 10^{-4}$	$0.47\times 10^{-6} - i0.27\times 10^{-7}$	$0.30\times 10^{-7} - i0.25\times 10^{-8}$
17	$-0.19 \times 10^{-3} - i0.17 \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.78 \times 10^{-5} - i0.14 \times 10^{-7}$	$-0.23 \times 10^{-5} + i0.10 \times 10^{-8}$
18	$-0.26 \times 10^{-3} + i0.21 \times 10^{-4}$	$-0.88 \times 10^{-5} + i0.31 \times 10^{-6}$	$-0.22 \times 10^{-5} + i0.74 \times 10^{-7}$

suppression factor is well known [8–10, 18] for TVPC matrix elements in nuclei.

It is noteworthy that our calculations are in good agreement with results [7], obtained using zero range force approximation for calculations of TVPC effects in n-d scattering. For example, using eq.(8) of paper [7] one can obtain for  $E_{cm} = 100 \text{ keV}$ 

$$\frac{\Delta f^{TP}}{p} = g'(0.0004 + i0.0013) fm^2, \tag{18}$$

where g' is unknown TVPC nucleon-nucleon coupling constant.

The results of table III can also be used to express TVPC parameters in terms of meson exchange model. Since TVPC meson exchange model does not allow pion exchanges, the lightest mesons to be considered are  $\rho$  and  $h_1$  mesons. Then, assuming only contributions

from these mesons, one can obtain for  $E_{cm} = 100 \ keV$ 

$$\Delta \sigma^{TP} = 10^{-6} [g_h \bar{g}_h(-1.09) + g_\rho \bar{g}_\rho (4.20 \cdot 10^{-3})] \text{ b},$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{d\phi^{TP}}{dz} = -10^{-3} [g_h \bar{g}_h (1.24) - g_\rho \bar{g}_\rho (5.81 \cdot 10^{-3})] \text{ rad fm}^2.$$
(19)

Finally, we conclude that neutron-deuteron scattering is a promising process to improve current experimental constraints on TVPC interactions. The TVPC observables can be large enough to be measured at neutron energy of hundreds of keV due to strong energy dependence. On the other hand they can be precisely calculated, providing the possibility to extract the TVPC nucleon coupling constants from the experiment.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the DOE grants no. DE-FG02-09ER41621. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of IDRIS under the allocation 2009-i2009056006 made by GENCI (Grand Equipment National de Calcul Intensif). We thank the staff members of the IDRIS for their constant help.

- [1] L. B. Okun, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 1, 670 (1965).
- [2] R. J. Blin-Stoyle, Fundamental Interactions and the Nucleus (American Elsevier, 1973).
- [3] P. K. Kabir, In The investigation of fundamental interaction with cold neutrons, edited by G. L. Greene (NBS Special Pub., 711, 1985), p.81.
- [4] A. L. Barabanov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 44, 775 (1986).
- [5] V. P. Gudkov, In Fundamental symmetries and nuclear structure, edited by J. N. Ginocchio and S. P. Rosen (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988), p.21-35.
- [6] A. N. Moskalev and S. G. Prsev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 49, 789 (1989).
- [7] V. P. Gudkov, Z.Phys. **A337**, 247 (1990).
- [8] I. B. Khriplovich, Nucl. Phys. **B352**, 385 (1991).
- [9] V. P. Gudkov, Nucl. Phys. **A524**, 668 (1991).
- [10] V. P. Gudkov, Phys. Rept. **212**, 77 (1992).
- [11] W. C. Haxton and A. Horing, Nucl. Phys. **A560**, 469 (1993).

- [12] W. C. Haxton, A. Horing, and M. J. Musolf, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 3422 (1994).
- [13] P. R. Huffman et al., Phys. Rev. C55, 2684 (1997).
- [14] J. Engel, P. H. Frampton, and R. P. Springer, Phys. Rev. D 53, 5112 (1996).
- [15] E. D. Davis and C. R. Gould, Phys. Lett. **B447**, 209 (1999).
- [16] M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, In Workshop on Fundamental Physics with Pulsed Neutron Beams (FPPNB 2000), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 1-3 Jun 2000.
- [17] A. Kurylov, G. C. McLaughlin, and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 076007 (2001).
- [18] A. L. Barabanov and A. G. Beda, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 31, 161 (2005).
- [19] V. E. Bunakov and V. P. Gudkov, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 45, C3 (1984).
- [20] P. K. Kabir, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 1856 (1988).
- [21] V. P. Gudkov, Phys. Rev. C46, 357 (1992).
- [22] D. A. Varshalovich, A. N. Moskalev, and V. K. Khersonskii, Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum (World Scientific, 1988).
- [23] Y.-H. Song, R. Lazauskas, and V. Gudkov, Phys. Rev. C83, 015501 (2011).
- [24] P. Herczeg, Nucl. Phys. **75**, 655 (1966).
- [25] M. Simonius, Phys. Lett. **B58**, 147 (1975).
- [26] L. D. Faddeev, Sov. Phys. JETP 12, 1014 (1961).
- [27] R. Lazauskas and J. Carbonell, Phys. Rev. C70, 044002 (2004).
- [28] Y.-H. Song, R. Lazauskas, and V. Gudkov, (2011), arXiv:1104.3051 [nucl-th].