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Anisotropic flow measurements in heavy-ion collisions provide important information on the properties of hot and dense matter. These measurements are based on analysis of azimuthal correlations and might be biased by contributions from correlations that are not related to the initial geometry, so called non-flow. To improve anisotropic flow measurements advanced methods based on multi-particle correlations (cumulants) have been developed to suppress non-flow contribution. These multi-particle correlations can be calculated by looping over all possible multiplets, however this quickly becomes prohibitively CPU intensive. Therefore, the most used technique for cumulant calculations is based on generating functions. This method involves approximations, and has its own biases, which complicates the interpretation of the results. In this paper we present a new exact method for direct calculations of multi-particle cumulants using moments of the flow vectors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Anisotropic flow is a response of the system created in a heavy-ion collision to the anisotropies in the initial geometry. Thus, anisotropic flow is very sensitive to the properties of the system at an early time of its evolution. The sizable azimuthal momentum-space anisotropy observed at RHIC energies (for a review, see [1, 2]) is the main evidence for the nearly perfect liquid behavior [3, 4] of the created matter. Quantitatively, anisotropic flow is characterized by coefficients in the Fourier expansion of the azimuthal dependence of the invariant yield of particles relative to the reaction plane [3, 4]:

\[
E \frac{d^4N}{dp^4} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\phi \int dp_y dp_t \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2v_n \cos(n(\phi - \Psi_R)) \right). \tag{1}
\]

Here \( E \) is the energy of particle, \( p_t \) is the transverse momentum, \( \phi \) is its azimuthal angle, \( y \) is the rapidity, and \( \Psi_R \) the reaction plane angle (see Fig 1). The first coefficient, \( v_1 \), is usually called directed flow, and the second coefficient, \( v_2 \), is called elliptic flow. In general the \( v_n = \langle \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_R)] \rangle \) coefficients are \( p_t \) and \( y \) dependent – in this context we refer to them as differential flow. The integrated flow is defined as a weighted average with the invariant distribution used as a weight:

\[
v_n \equiv \int_0^{\infty} v_n(p_t) \frac{dN}{dp_t} dp_t \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dN}{dp_t} dp_t.
\]

Since the reaction plane \( \Psi_R \) is not known experimentally, the anisotropic flow is estimated using azimuthal correlations between the observed particles. For example, using 2-particle azimuthal correlations:

\[
\langle \cos(n(\phi_1 - \phi_2)) \rangle = \langle e^{in(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \rangle = \langle v_n^2 \rangle + \delta_n, \tag{3}
\]

where the first term, \( \langle v_n^2 \rangle \), is the part due to anisotropic flow, and \( \delta_n \) represents the so called non-flow contribution, that comes from correlations not related to the initial system geometry. If non-flow is small, Eq. (3) can be used to measure \( v_n \), but in general the non-flow contribution is not negligible. To suppress non-flow one can exploit the collective nature of anisotropic flow using multi-particle cumulants. The method based on multi-particle cumulants (genuine multi-particle correlations) to measure anisotropic flow was proposed in [7-10]. This method allows to subtract non-flow effects from flow measurements order by order. Note that some experimental artifacts, such as track splitting, in the analysis also contribute to the two particle correlation; in this respect multi-particle techniques are also valuable, as they suppress such contributions as well.

One of the problems in using multi-particle correlations is the computing power needed to go over all possible particle multiplets, which practically prohibits calculations of correlations of order larger than \( k = 3 \) (three-particle correlations).
correlations). To avoid this problem, it was suggested in [7] to express cumulants in terms of moments of the magnitude of the corresponding flow vector \( Q_n \), defined as:

\[
Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^{M} e^{i n \phi_i},
\]

where \( M \) is the number of particles. Unfortunately, flow estimates from cumulants constructed in such a way were systematically biased by the interference between various harmonics. An improved cumulant method using the formalism of generating functions suggested in [8, 9] fixed the problem of interfering harmonics while keeping the number of operations still linear with multiplicity \( M \). For this approach the analytical calculations become rather tedious and therefore the solutions are obtained using interpolation formulae. Unfortunately this introduces numerical uncertainties and requires tuning of interpolating parameters for different values of the flow harmonics \( v_n \) and multiplicity. More recently a Lee-Yang-Zero’s sum method [11-14] has been developed to suppress non-flow contribution to all orders. Closely related to that are methods of Fourier and Bessel transforms of the \( Q \)-distributions [15], and the method of direct fitting of the \( Q \)-distribution. All these methods, while indeed being almost insensitive to non-flow, are biased by interference of different harmonics.

In this paper we present a new method to calculate multi-particle cumulants in terms of moments of (in general, different harmonics) \( Q \)-vectors. In our approach the cumulants are not biased by interference between various harmonics, interpolating formulae used in the formalism of generating functions are not needed, and, moreover, all detector effects can be disentangled from the flow estimates in a single pass over the data at the level of or better than any other method. The number of operations required in our approach is still \( \propto M \) for each \( k \). Since in our approach cumulants are calculated without any approximation and directly from the data we often call them direct cumulants (also referred to as \( Q \)-cumulants because they are expressed analytically in terms of different harmonic \( Q \)-vectors).

Flow fluctuations are an important part of an anisotropic flow study. It is believed that flow fluctuations are mostly determined by initial geometry fluctuations [16] of the system created in a collision. An important consequence of this is that the anisotropic flow develops relative to the so-called participant plane(s) instead of the reaction plane determined by the direction of the impact parameter [17]. We note that the method to calculate cumulants proposed in this paper is not influenced by how exactly the anisotropic flow is being developed. We have not discussed issues of the cumulant approach in general, such as multiplicity fluctuations, flow fluctuations, and low sensitivity for small flow values, but believe that our method will be helpful in investigating all these questions.

In our simulations we show results obtained up to the 8-th order cumulant, although we think that in practice there is little advantage to go higher than order six, because going to higher order does not remove the systematic uncertainty related to contribution from clusters exhibiting flow (see the discussion of systematic uncertainties associated with cumulant analysis in [18]). For example, in a 4-particle correlation analysis this bias corresponds to the situation when two particles are correlated because they are coming from the same cluster and, in addition, correlated with another two particles via flow.

The paper is organized as follows. After the main definitions are introduced in section II we describe how the so-called reference flow can be calculated. The reference flow is an average flow in some momentum window; it is needed for the calculation of the differential flow of particles of interest. To optimize the procedure, the reference flow can be calculated using weights, e.g. weighted with transverse momentum of the particle. Thus the reference flow can be noticeably different from integrated flow of the same particles. Section III describes how the differential flow is calculated. To show how the method works in different environments and how it compares to some other methods we show simulation results in section IV. Finally, we summarize the main features of the method. Technical details, including the derivation of the main equations, equations in case of using non-unity weights in the calculation of reference flow, and acceptance effects are provided in Appendices.

II. MULTI-PARTICLE AZIMUTHAL CORRELATIONS AND CUMULANTS

In this paper we discuss mostly 2- and 4-particle azimuthal correlations (formulae for 6-particle correlation are provided in the Appendix), but the generalization to azimuthal correlations involving more particles is straightforward. The method can be easily applied for calculations of mixed harmonics multi-particle correlations. In fact, mixed harmonics correlations are needed in our approach for calculations of any multi-particle correlations with order higher than 2. Presenting 4-particle correlations below, we also show how the 3-particle correlations, involving one particle of a double harmonic can be calculated. All the correlations are obtained by first averaging over all particles in a given event and then averaging over all events. The latter may involve weights depending on event multiplicity.

We define single-event average 2- and 4-particle azimuthal correlations in the following way:

\[
\langle 2 \rangle \equiv \langle e^{i n (\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \rangle = \frac{1}{P_{M,2}} \sum_{i,j} e^{i n (\phi_i - \phi_j)},
\]

\[
\langle 4 \rangle \equiv \langle e^{i n (\phi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3 - \phi_4)} \rangle = \frac{1}{P_{M,4}} \sum_{i,j,k,l} e^{i n (\phi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k - \phi_l)},
\]

where
where \( P_{n,m} = n!/(n-m)! \), and the prime in the sum \( \sum' \) means that all indices in the sum must be taken different.

The second step involves averaging over all events:

\[
\langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum (W_2)_{i} \langle 2 \rangle_i}{\sum (W_2)_{i}}, \quad (7)
\]

\[
\langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum (W_4)_{i} \langle 4 \rangle_i}{\sum (W_4)_{i}}, \quad (8)
\]

where by double brackets we denote an average, first over all particles and then over all events. \( W_2 \) and \( W_4 \) are the event weights, which are used to minimize the effect of multiplicity variations in the event sample on the estimates of 2- and 4-particle correlations. In general, the optimal choice of weights would be determined by the multiplicity dependence of \( v_n \). The best approach might be to calculate the cumulants at fixed \( M \) and then average over the entire event sample. In our calculations, with \( v_n \) independent of multiplicity, we use:

\[
W_2 = M(M-1),
\]

\[
W_4 = M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3). \quad (9, 10)
\]

The above choice for the event weights takes into account the number of different 2- and 4-particle combinations in an event with multiplicity \( M \).

The general formalism of cumulants was introduced for flow analysis by Olletitratu et al. [7,9]. We will use below the notations from those papers. The 2nd order cumulant, \( c_n \{2\} \), is simply an average of 2-particle correlation defined in Eq. (7):

\[
c_n \{2\} = \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle. \quad (11)
\]

As was pointed out first in [8] the genuine 4-particle correlation (i.e. 4-particle cumulant), is given by:

\[
c_n \{4\} = \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2. \quad (12)
\]

Expressions (11) and (12) are applicable only for detectors with uniform acceptance and will be generalized in Appendix B to extend their applicability for detectors with non-uniform acceptance.

Different order cumulants provide independent estimates for the same reference harmonic \( v_n \). In particular [8]:

\[
v_n \{2\} = \sqrt{c_n \{2\}}, \quad (13)
\]

\[
v_n \{4\} = -\sqrt{-c_n \{4\}}, \quad (14)
\]

where the notation \( v_n \{2\} \) is used to denote the reference flow \( v_n \) estimated from the 2nd order cumulant \( c_n \{2\} \), and \( v_n \{4\} \) stands for the reference flow \( v_n \) estimated from the 4th order cumulant \( c_n \{4\} \).

### III. REFERENCE FLOW

To obtain the 2nd order cumulant it suffices to separate diagonal and off-diagonal terms in \( |Q_n|^2 \):

\[
|Q_n|^2 = M \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} e^{in(\phi_i-\phi_j)} = M + \sum_{i,j}^{'} e^{in(\phi_i-\phi_j)}, \quad (15)
\]

which can be trivially solved to obtain (2):

\[
\langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{|Q_n|^2 - M}{M(M-1)}. \quad (16)
\]

The event averaging is being performed via Eq. (7). The resulting expression for \( \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle \) is then used to estimate the 2nd order cumulant (see Eq. (11)), which in turn is used to estimate the reference flow harmonic \( v_n \) by making use of Eq. (13).

To obtain the 4th order cumulant we start with identifying the 4-particle correlations in the decomposition of \( |Q_n|^4 \) (for details, see Appendix A).

\[
|Q_n|^4 = Q_n Q_n^* Q_n^* Q_n^* = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{M} e^{in(\phi_i+\phi_j-\phi_k-\phi_l)}. \quad (17)
\]

This sum contains terms corresponding to four distinct combinations of the indices \( i, j, k \) and \( l \): they are all different (4-particle correlation), 2) three are different, 3) two are different or 4) they are all the same. Explicit expressions for all the terms are given in Eq. A6.

Note, that the case of three different indices corresponds to the so-called mixed harmonics 3-particle correlations, in many analyses of great interest by themselves [18,19]. Equations for 3-particle correlations are provided in Appendix A. Taking everything into account, we obtain the following analytic result for the single-event average 4-particle correlation defined in Eq. (6):

\[
\langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle = \frac{|Q_n|^4 + |Q_{2n}|^2 - 2 \cdot 9 \text{Re} |Q_{2n} Q_n^* Q_n^*|}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)} \frac{M}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)} \quad (18)
\]

The reason why the originally proposed cumulant analysis [7] was biased lies in the fact that the terms consisting of \( Q \)-vectors evaluated in different harmonics (for instance terms \( |Q_{2n}|^2 \) and \( \text{Re} [Q_{2n} Q_n^* Q_n^*] \)) have been neglected. As seen from Eq. (18), such terms do appear in the analytic results and are crucial in disentangling the interference between harmonics. In particular, if a higher harmonic \( v_{2n} \) is present than \( |Q_n|^4 \) picks up an additional contribution depending on that harmonic, namely \( v_2^2 M(M-1) + v_{2n}^2 v_{2n}^2 M(M-1)(M-2) \), which is exactly canceled out with the contribution of harmonic \( v_{2n} \) to \( |Q_{2n}|^2 \) and \( \text{Re} [Q_{2n} Q_n^* Q_n^*] \), which read \( M v_{2n}^2 (M-1) \) and \( M(M-1)(M-2) v_{2n}^2 + M(M-1) v_{2n}^2 \), respectively.

The final, event averaged 4-particle azimuthal correlation, \( \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle \), is then obtained by making use of Eqs. 8.
and \( \langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle \). Using \( \langle\langle 4 \rangle\rangle \) and \( \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle \) one can calculate the 4th order cumulant from Eq. \( \langle\langle 12 \rangle\rangle \).

The reference flow is mainly used to calculate differential flow. Therefore, one can optimize the calculation of reference flow to minimize the uncertainties in the final results. This is done by using different weights (e.g. particle transverse momentum) in the definition of flow vectors used in reference flow calculations. We provide all the equations necessary for calculations with weights in Appendix \[3]\.

The equations so far are applicable for an analysis with a detector with full uniform azimuthal coverage. In a non-ideal case one needs to take into account the acceptance corrections \[12] [20]. Acceptance affects the cumulants in three ways: (i) contributions from additional terms, e.g. proportional to \( \langle\langle \cos n \phi \rangle\rangle \) or \( \langle\langle \sin n \phi \rangle\rangle \), that for a detector with full uniform azimuthal coverage are identical to zero, (ii) contributions from other flow harmonics, and (iii) the cumulant might be rescaled, which at the end can affect the final extracted flow values. We refer to Refs. \[12] [20] for a more complete discussion of acceptance effects. In practice the most important correction is the first one, for which we provide the full set of equations for a 2- and 4-particle cumulant analysis.

The generalized 2nd order cumulant which can also be used for detectors with non-uniform acceptance is:

\[
c_{n \{2\}} = \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle - \Re \left\{ \langle\langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle + i \langle\langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle \right\} \\
\times \left[ \langle\langle \cos n \phi_2 \rangle\rangle - i \langle\langle \sin n \phi_2 \rangle\rangle \right] \\
= \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle - \langle\langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle^2 - \langle\langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle^2 ,
\]

where for the last line we have used the fact that for instance \( \langle\langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle \) and \( \langle\langle \cos n \phi_2 \rangle\rangle \) are the same quantities apart from the trivial relabeling. Remarkably, only two additional terms appear in Eq. \( \langle\langle 19 \rangle\rangle \), namely \( \langle\langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle^2 \) and \( \langle\langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle\rangle^2 \), which counterbalance the bias to \( \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle \) coming from very general detector inefficiencies. Further details on treating the acceptance effects, including formulae for the 4th order cumulant are provided in Appendix \[C\].

### IV. DIFFERENTIAL FLOW

Once the reference flow has been estimated with the help of the formalism from previous section, we proceed to the calculation of differential flow. For that, all particles selected for flow analysis are labeled as Reference Flow Particle, RFP, and/or Particle Of Interest, POI. These labels are needed because flow analysis is being performed in two steps. In the first step one estimates the reference flow by using only the RFPs, while in the second step we estimate the differential flow of POIs with respect to the reference flow of the RFPs obtained in the first step.

#### A. Reduced multi-particle azimuthal correlations

For reduced single-event average 2- and 4-particle azimuthal correlations we use the following notations and definitions:

\[
\langle\langle 2' \rangle\rangle \equiv \langle\langle e^{i n (\psi_1 - \phi_2)} \rangle\rangle \\
\equiv \frac{1}{m_p M - m_q} \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} \sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{i n (\psi_i - \phi_j)} , \tag{20}
\]

\[
\langle\langle 4' \rangle\rangle \equiv \langle\langle e^{i n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3 - \phi_4)} \rangle\rangle \\
\equiv \frac{1}{(m_p M - 3 m_q)(M-1)(M-2)} \times \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} \sum_{j=1}^{M} e^{i n (\psi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k - \phi_l)} , \tag{21}
\]

where \( m_p \) is the total number of particles labeled as POI (some of which might have been also labeled additionally as RFP), \( m_q \) is the total number of particles labeled both as RFP and POI, \( M \) is the total number of particles labeled as RFP (some of which might have been also labeled additionally as POI) in the event, \( \psi_i \) is the azimuthal angle of the \( i \)-th particle labeled as POI and taken from the phase window of interest (taken even if it was also additionally labeled as RFP), \( \phi_j \) is the azimuthal angle of the \( j \)-th particle labeled as RFP (taken even if it was also additionally labeled as POI). \( \sum' \), as before, denotes the sum with all indices taken different.

Finally, event averaged reduced 2- and 4-particle correlations are given by:

\[
\langle\langle 2'' \rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{\sum_{\text{events}} (w_{\langle 2' \rangle})_{i}}{\sum_{\text{events}} (w_{\langle 2 \rangle})_{i}} , \tag{22}
\]

\[
\langle\langle 4'' \rangle\rangle \equiv \frac{\sum_{\text{events}} (w_{\langle 4' \rangle})_{i}}{\sum_{\text{events}} (w_{\langle 4 \rangle})_{i}} . \tag{23}
\]

In our calculations we use event weights \( w_{\langle 2' \rangle} \) and \( w_{\langle 4' \rangle} \) defined as:

\[
w_{\langle 2' \rangle} \equiv m_p M - m_q , \tag{24}
\]

\[
w_{\langle 4' \rangle} \equiv (m_p M - 3 m_q)(M-1)(M-2) . \tag{25}
\]

#### B. Differential cumulants

We derive equations for the differential equations with the help of \( p- \) and \( q- \)vectors; the former built out of all POIs (\( m_p \) in total), and the second only from POI labeled as RFP (\( m_q \) in total):

\[
p_n \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} e^{in \psi_i} , \tag{26}
\]
\[ q_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m_q} e^{i n \psi_i}. \]  

The \( q \)-vector is introduced here in order to subtract effects of autocorrelations. Using the \( p \)- and \( q \)-vector, we have obtained the following equations for the average reduced single- and all-event 2-particle correlations:

\[ \langle 2' \rangle = \frac{p_n Q_n}{m_p M} - m_q, \]  
\[ \langle \langle 2' \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (w(2'))_i \langle 2' \rangle_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (w(2'))_i}. \]

For detectors with uniform azimuthal acceptance the differential 2\textsuperscript{nd} order cumulant is given by

\[ d_n(2) = \langle \langle 2' \rangle \rangle, \]  

where, again we use notation from Ref. [8]. We present estimates for the case of detectors with non-uniform acceptance in Appendix C.

Estimates of differential flow \( v' \) are being denoted as \( v'_n(2) \) and are given by [8]:

\[ v'_n(2) = \frac{d_n(2)}{c_n(2)}. \]

Below we present the corresponding formulae for reduced 4-particle correlations:

\[ \langle 4' \rangle = \left[ p_n Q_n Q'_n Q^*_n - q_n Q^*_n \right] - 2 \cdot m_q Q^*_n M - 6 \cdot m_q \]
\[ - Q_n q^*_n + q_n Q'_n + 2 \cdot p_n Q^*_n \]
\[ + 2 \cdot m_q M - 6 \cdot m_q \]
\[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (w(4'))_i \langle 4' \rangle_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (w(4'))_i}. \]

The 4\textsuperscript{th} order differential cumulant is given by [8]:

\[ d_n(4) = \langle \langle 4' \rangle \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2' \rangle \rangle \cdot 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2' \rangle \rangle. \]

Equations for the case of detectors with non-uniform acceptance are again presented in Appendix C.

Having obtained estimates for \( d_n(4) \) and \( c_n(4) \), we can estimate differential flow [8]:

\[ v'_n(4) = \frac{-d_n(4)}{(c_n(4))^{3/2}}. \]

Similarly to reference flow, we use the notation \( v'_n(4) \) for differential flow harmonics \( v'_n \) obtained from 4\textsuperscript{th} order cumulants. \( v'_n(4) \) and \( v'_n(2) \) are independent estimates for the same differential flow harmonic \( v'_n \).

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

We have tested the new method with extensive simulations. The results, presented below, show that the method effectively suppresses non-flow contributions, illustrate the ability to remove the interference of the different harmonics, show the applicability for detectors having significant acceptance “holes”, and give an example of a differential flow analysis. In the figures, \( v_2 \{MC\} \), shown in the first bin, represents the Monte Carlo estimate for \( v_2 \), which was obtained using the known reaction plane event-by-event. Other estimates in the figures are obtained without using this information.

![FIG. 2. Elliptic flow extracted by different methods for 10^5 simulated events with multiplicity M = 500, v_2 = 0.05 and at the same time v_4 = 0.1. MC denotes Monte Carlo estimate for v_2, QC stands for Q-cumulant estimates, FQD denotes estimate obtained from fitting Q-distribution and finally LYZS marks estimate from Lee-Yang Zeros' Sum method (sum generating function).](image-url)

Figure 2 shows the results from a simulation of events with anisotropic flow present in two harmonics, the second and the fourth. Elliptic flow estimated by different methods is shown in the figure. A clear bias is observed in the estimates from fitting of the Q-distribution method and the Lee-Yang Zero's Sum method, labeled as \( v_2 \{FQD\} \) and \( v_2 \{LYZS\} \), respectively. Results obtained with direct cumulants of different order, labeled as \( v_2 \{k, QC\} \), are unaffected by \( v_4 \) interference.

To demonstrate that the method works well even in cases with rather bad acceptance we simulated 10^7 events with \( v_2 = 0.05 \) for a detector that had two large “holes” (see Fig. 3a). Figure 3b shows the obtained \( v_2 \) estimates using Eqs. (11) and (12) which are valid for detectors with perfect acceptance using open markers. Clearly these values are strongly biased. The \( v_2 \) estimates obtained from the more general equations for cumulants,
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FIG. 4. Reference flow extracted from particles labeled as RFPs (pions in Therminator)

\(v_t\)

differential flow of POIs (in this example protons were labeled as POIs) with respect to the reference flow of RFPs estimated in the first step. For each \(v_t\) bin we evaluate \(d_n\{2\}\) and \(d_n\{4\}\), and use equations (31) and (35) to estimate differential flow. The differential flow results for protons are presented in Fig. 5. The resulting \(p_t\)-integrated flow of protons calculated by making use of Eq. (2) is presented in Fig. 6. The figures for the integrated flow of the RFPs and POIs clearly show that the 2nd order cumulant is biased by nonflow while the higher order cumulants are in perfect agreement with the Monte Carlo.

VI. SUMMARY

In summary, we propose a new method to calculate multi-particle azimuthal correlations, which provides fast (in a single scan over the data) and exact (no approximations) non-biased (no interference between different harmonics) estimates for correlators. In the paper, we provide the correspondingformulae for correlations up to the 6-th order, but the method, if needed, can be generalized for higher orders.

The proposed method has been extensively tested in simulations and has been used for real data analysis by the STAR and ALICE Collaborations [22][24].|
FIG. 6.  

and closed squares denote 4th order estimates (Eq. (35)) and open circles denote 2nd order estimate (Eq. (31)) and closed squares denote 4th order estimate (Eq. (35)).

FIG. 5. Differential flow extracted for particles labeled as POIs from Therminator events (in this example we used protons). The open circles denote 2nd order estimate (Eq. (31)) and closed squares denote 4th order estimate (Eq. (35)).
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Appendix A: Equations for 3-, 4- and 6- particle correlations

Below we use the following definitions:

\[ \langle Q^2 \rangle = \langle Q^2 \rangle_{n,n,n} = \frac{1}{P_{M,2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} e^{in(\phi_i-\phi_j)} \]  

(A1)

\[ \langle Q^2 \rangle_{2n} = \frac{1}{P_{M,2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} e^{i2n(\phi_i-\phi_j)} \]  

(A2)

\[ \langle Q^2 \rangle_{2n|2n} = \frac{1}{P_{M,3}^2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{M} e^{in(\phi_i-\phi_j-\phi_k)} \]  

(A3)

\[ \langle Q^2 \rangle_{3n|2n} = \frac{1}{P_{M,4}^2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{M} e^{in(\phi_i+\phi_j-\phi_k-\phi_l)} \]  

(A4)

\[ \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle_{n,n,n,n} = \frac{1}{P_{M,4}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{M} e^{i4n(\phi_i-\phi_j-\phi_k+\phi_l)} \]  

(A5)

Using this notation one finds:

\[ |Q_n|^4 = \langle 4 \rangle_{n,n,n,n} \cdot P_{M,4} \]

\[ + \left[ \langle Q^2 \rangle_{2n|2n} + \langle Q^2 \rangle_{n,n|2n} \right] \cdot P_{M,3} \]

\[ + \left[ \langle Q^2 \rangle_{2n|2n} \cdot 4P_{M,2}(M-1) \right] + \langle Q^2 \rangle_{2n|2n} \cdot P_{M,2} + 2P_{M,2} + M. \]  

(A6)

The 2-particle correlations \( \langle Q^2 \rangle_{n,n} \) was already expressed in terms of the Q-vector evaluated in harmonic \( n \), see Eq. (16):

\[ \langle 2 \rangle_{2n|2n} = \frac{|Q_{2n}|^2}{P_{M,2}} . \]  

(A7)

To obtain \( \langle 3 \rangle_{2n|2n} \) and \( \langle 3 \rangle_{n,n|2n} \) we have to decompose

\[ Q_{2n} Q_{2n}^* = \langle 3 \rangle_{2n|2n} \cdot P_{M,4} + \langle 2 \rangle_{2n|2n} \cdot 2P_{M,2} \]

\[ + \langle 2 \rangle_{2n|2n} \cdot P_{M,2} + 1 \cdot M. \]  

(A8)

and \( Q_n Q_n^* Q_n^* \). After inserting results for \( \langle 2 \rangle_{n,n} \) and \( \langle 2 \rangle_{2n|2n} \) given in Eqs. (16) and (A7), we arrive at the following equality:

\[ \langle 3 \rangle_{n,n|2n} + \langle 3 \rangle_{2n|2n} = 2 \Re \left[ \frac{Q_{2n} Q_n^* Q_n^* - 2 \cdot |Q_n|^2}{M(M-1)(M-2)} \right] - 2 \frac{|Q_{2n}|^2 - 2M}{M(M-1)(M-2)}. \]  

(A9)
After inserting Eqs. (16), (17) and (19) into Eq. (16) and solving the resulting expression for \( (4)_{n,n/n,n} \) the single-event average 4-particle correlations (Eq. (18)) follows.

This derivation can be generalized to obtain analytic results for any higher order multi-particle azimuthal correlations. Below we provide the expression for the 6-particle correlation:

\[
\langle 6 \rangle = \frac{1}{P_{M6}} \sum_{i,j,k,l,m,n=1}^{M'} e^{i n (\phi_i + \phi_j + \phi_k - \phi_l - \phi_m - \phi_n)} = \\
\frac{|Q_n|^6 + 9 \cdot |Q_{2n}|^2 |Q_n|^2 - 6 \cdot \text{Re} \{Q_{2n}Q_n^*Q_m^*Q_n^*\}}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)(M-4)(M-5)} + 4 \cdot \text{Re} \{Q_{3n}Q_n^*Q_m^*Q_m^*\} \cdot 3 \cdot \text{Re} \{Q_{3n}Q_{2n}Q_n^*\} \\
+ 2 \cdot \frac{9(M-4) \cdot \text{Re} \{Q_{2n}Q_n^*Q_m^*\} + 2 \cdot |Q_{3n}|^2}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)(M-4)(M-5)} \cdot \\
- \frac{|Q_n|^4 + |Q_{2n}|^2}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)(M-4)} - 9 \cdot \frac{|Q_n|^2}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)(M-4)} \\
- \frac{(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)}{6}.
\]

(A10)

With that, the 6th order cumulant is given by

\[
c_n \{6\} = \langle 6 \rangle - 9 \cdot \langle 2 \rangle \langle 4 \rangle + 12 \cdot \langle 2 \rangle^3, \quad \text{(A11)}
\]

and the reference flow \( v_n \) is estimated as

\[
v_n \{6\} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{1}{4} c_n \{6\}}. \quad \text{(A12)}
\]

### Appendix B: Particle weights

Below we provide formulae to use for the case when the reference flow is calculated using particle weights. For that we introduce a weighted \( Q \)-vector evaluated in harmonic \( n \):

\[
Q_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} w_i^k e^{i n \phi_i}, \quad \text{(B1)}
\]

where \( w_i \) is a particle weight of the \( i \)-th particle labeled as RFP and \( M \) is the total number of RFPs in an event. In general, we will need flow vectors with power \( k \) up to the order of multi-particle correlations. Similarly, we define

\[
p_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} w_i^k e^{i n \psi_i}. \quad \text{(B2)}
\]

Note that only particles which have a RFP label, have a non-unit weight, while for the particles labeled as POI only, \( w_i = 1 \). For the subset of POIs which consists of all particles labeled both as POI and RFP \( (m_q \) in total) we introduce

\[
q_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_q} w_i^k e^{i n \psi_i}. \quad \text{(B3)}
\]

For RFPs we also introduce:

\[
S_{p,k} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{M} w_i^k \right]^{p}, \quad \text{(B4)}
\]

\[
M_{ab,cd,...} = \sum_{i,j,k,l,...=1}^{M} w_i^a w_j^b w_k^c w_l^d \ldots. \quad \text{(B5)}
\]

For all particles labeled both as RFP and POI we evaluate the following quantities:

\[
s_{p,k} = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} w_i^k \right]^{p}, \quad \text{(B6)}
\]

\[
M_{ab,cd,...} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} \sum_{j,k,...=1}^{M} w_i^a w_j^b w_k^c w_l^d \ldots. \quad \text{(B7)}
\]

Using the definitions presented above the weighted single-event 2- and 4-particle correlations are given by:

\[
\langle 2 \rangle = \frac{1}{M_{11}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} w_i w_j e^{i (\phi_i - \phi_j)}, \quad \text{(B8)}
\]

\[
\langle 4 \rangle = \frac{1}{M_{1111}} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{M} w_i w_j w_k w_l e^{i (\phi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k - \phi_l)}. \quad \text{(B9)}
\]

The event weights \( \langle 9 \rangle \) and \( \langle 10 \rangle \) now read

\[
W_{(2)} = M_{11}, \quad \text{(B10)}
\]

\[
W_{(4)} = M_{1111}. \quad \text{(B11)}
\]

Analogously, the reduced single-event multi-particle correlations now read:

\[
\langle 2'\rangle = \frac{1}{M_{01}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} \sum_{j=1}^{M} w_j e^{i n (\psi_i - \phi_j)}, \quad \text{(B12)}
\]

\[
\langle 4'\rangle = \frac{1}{M_{0111}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_p} \sum_{j,k,l=1}^{M} w_i w_k w_l e^{i n (\psi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k - \phi_l)}, \quad \text{(B13)}
\]

where the event weights \( \langle 24 \rangle \) and \( \langle 25 \rangle \) are now:

\[
w_{(2')} = M_{01}, \quad \text{(B14)}
\]

\[
w_{(4')} = M_{0111}. \quad \text{(B14)}
\]

The weighted average 2-particle correlations are given by
where the weighted $Q$-vector, $Q_{n,k}$, was defined in Eq. (B1) and $S_{p,k}$ in Eq. (B4).

Weighted reduced 2- and 4-particle azimuthal correlations are given by the following formulas:

\[
\langle 2 \rangle = \frac{Q_{n,1}^2 - S_{1,2}}{S_{2,1} - S_{1,2}},
\]

\[
\langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_{111})_i (2)_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_{111})_i},
\]

\[
M_{111} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} w_i w_j
= S_{2,1} - S_{1,2},
\]

(B15)

and the weighted average 4-particle correlations are given by:

\[
\langle 4 \rangle = \left[ |Q_{n,1}|^4 + |Q_{2n,2}|^2 - 2 \cdot \Re \left[ Q_{2n,2} Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,1}^* \right] + 8 \cdot \Re \left[ Q_{n,3} Q_{n,1}^* \right] - 4 \cdot S_{1,2} |Q_{n,1}|^2
- 6 \cdot S_{1,4} - 2 \cdot S_{2,2} \right] / M_{11111},
\]

\[
M_{11111} \equiv \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{M} w_i w_j w_k w_l
= S_{4,1} - 6 \cdot S_{1,2} S_{2,1} + 8 \cdot S_{1,3} S_{1,1} + 3 \cdot S_{2,2} - 6 \cdot S_{1,4},
\]

\[
\langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_{11111})_i (4)_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (M_{11111})_i},
\]

(B16)

where the weighted $Q$-vector, $Q_{n,k}$, was defined in Eq. (B1) and $S_{p,k}$ in Eq. (B4).

We note that to evaluate all quantities appearing on the right hand sides in analytic expressions (B15–B18) only a single loop over data is required.

**Appendix C: Non-uniform acceptance**

Building cumulants from multi-particle correlations we have so far omitted terms which vanish for the detectors with uniform acceptance. For a more general case they have to be kept \([7, 8, 20, 25]\). The more general 2nd order cumulant now reads:

\[
c_n(2) = \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle - \left[ \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle^2 + \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle^2 \right].
\]

(C1)

The correction terms can be expressed in terms of the real and imaginary parts of the $Q$-vector \([4]\):

\[
\langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Re \left[ Q_{n,1} \right]_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i},
\]

(C2)

\[
\langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Im \left[ Q_{n,1} \right]_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i}.
\]

(C3)

When particle weights are used the average 2-particle correlation $\langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle$ is determined from Eqs. (B15), while Eqs. (C2) and (C3) generalize into:

\[
\langle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Re \left[ Q_{n,1} \right]_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (S_{1,1})_i},
\]

(C4)

\[
\langle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Im \left[ Q_{n,1} \right]_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (S_{1,1})_i}.
\]

(C5)

where $Q_{n,1}$ can be determined from the definition of the weighted $Q$-vector \([B1]\) and $S_{1,1}$ from definition \([B4]\).
The generalized 4th order cumulant reads:

\[ c_n \{ 4 \} = \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2 - \\
- \{4 \cdot (\cos n \phi_1) \} \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle \rangle \\
+ 4 \cdot (\langle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle \rangle \\
\}

\times \left[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle \right] \\
+ 8 \cdot (\langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \\
+ 8 \cdot (\langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \\
\}

\times \left[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1) \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1) \rangle \rangle \right] \\
- 6 \left[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1) \rangle \rangle^2 + \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1) \rangle \rangle^2 \right]^2. \quad (C6)

The terms starting from the second line in Eq. (C6) counter balance the bias coming from non-uniform acceptance so that \( c_n \{ 4 \} \) is unbiased. These terms can be expressed in terms of Q-vectors:

\[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Re [Q_n Q_n - Q_{2n}] \rangle \rangle \}}{M_i(M_i - 1)}, \quad (C7) \]

\[ \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Im [Q_n Q_n - Q_{2n}] \rangle \rangle \}}{M_i(M_i - 1)}, \quad (C8) \]

\[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Re [Q_n Q_n^* Q_n^* - Q_n Q_{2n}] \rangle \rangle \}}{M_i(M_i - 1)} \\
- 2(M-1)\Re [Q_n^*] \bigg \} \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i(M_i - 1)(M_i - 2), \quad (C9) \]

\[ \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Im [Q_n Q_n^* Q_n^* - Q_n Q_{2n}] \rangle \rangle \}}{M_i(M_i - 1)(M_i - 2)} \\
- 2(M-1)\Im [Q_n^*] \bigg \} \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i(M_i - 1)(M_i - 2). \quad (C10) \]

When particle weights are used the average 2-particle correlation \( \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle \) is determined from Eqs. (C15), the average 4-particle correlation \( \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle \) is determined from Eqs. (C16), the Eqs. (C7) and (C8) generalize into:

\[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Re [Q_n Q_{2n} - Q_{11}] \rangle \rangle \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathcal{M}_{11})_i}, \]

\[ \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Im [Q_n Q_{2n} - Q_{11}] \rangle \rangle \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathcal{M}_{11})_i}, \]

\[ \mathcal{M}_{11} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} w_i w_j = S_{2,1} - S_{1,2}, \quad (C11) \]

and the Eqs. (C9) and (C10) generalize into

\[ \langle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Re [Q_n Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,1}^* - Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,2}^*] \rangle \rangle \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathcal{M}_{11})_i}, \]

\[ \langle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Im [Q_n Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,1}^* - Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,2}^*] \rangle \rangle \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathcal{M}_{11})_i}, \]

\[ \mathcal{M}_{11} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} w_i w_j = S_{3,1} - 3S_{1,2}S_{1,1} + 2S_{1,3}. \quad (C12) \]

The generalizes 2nd order differential cumulant reads

\[ d_n \{ 2 \} = \langle \langle 2' \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \cos n \phi_2 \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \sin n \phi_2 \rangle \rangle \quad (C13) \]

Expressions for \( \langle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \) and \( \langle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \rangle \) were already given in Eqs. (C2) and (C3), respectively (when particle weights are being used in Eqs. (C14) and (C15), respectively). Similarly:

\[ \langle \langle \cos n \psi_1 \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Re [p_n] \rangle \rangle \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p)_i}, \quad (C14) \]

\[ \langle \langle \sin n \psi_1 \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\{ \langle \langle \Im [p_n] \rangle \rangle \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p)_i}, \quad (C15) \]

where \( p_n \) and \( m_p \) were defined in Section IV. The Eqs. (C14) and (C15) remain unchanged when particle weights are being used.
The generalized 4th order differential cumulant reads:

\[
d_{n}(4) = \langle 4' \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle 2' \rangle \langle 2 \rangle \tag{C16}
\]

\[
- \langle \cos n \psi_1 \rangle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle
+ \langle \sin n \psi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle
- \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \cos n (\psi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle
+ \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n (\psi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle
- 2 \cdot \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \cos n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle
- 2 \cdot \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle
- \langle \cos n (\psi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \langle \cos n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle
- \langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \langle \sin n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle
+ 2 \cdot \langle \cos n (\phi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle
\]

\[
\times \left[ \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle^2 - \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle^2 \right]
+ 4 \cdot \langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle
\]

\[
+ 4 \cdot \langle \cos n (\psi_1 - \phi_2) \rangle \left[ \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle^2 + \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle^2 \right]
- 6 \cdot \left[ \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle^2 - \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle^2 \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ \langle \cos n \psi_1 \rangle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle - \langle \sin n \psi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle \right]
- 12 \cdot \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle
+ \langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle \langle \cos n \phi_1 \rangle \langle \sin n \phi_1 \rangle .
\]

The terms starting from the second line in Eq. \eqref{eq:C16} counter balance the bias coming from non-uniform acceptance. Some of the new terms appearing in this expression can be expressed again in products of flow vectors:

\[
\langle \cos n (\psi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Re \left[ n_p Q_{n,k} - q_{2n,k} \right] \right) ,
\]

\[
\langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Im \left[ n_p Q_{n,k} - q_{2n,k} \right] \right) , \tag{C17}
\]

\[
\langle \cos n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Re \left[ n_p \left| Q_{n,1} \right|^2 - S_{1,2} \right] \right) \right\} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p Q_{n,1} - q_{2n,2}) \right) ,
\]

\[
- \Re \left[ q_{2n,1} Q_{n,1}^* + s_{1,1} Q_{n,1} - 2q_{2n,2} \right] \right) ,
\]

\[
\langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Im \left[ n_p \left| Q_{n,1} \right|^2 - S_{1,2} \right] \right) \right\} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p Q_{n,1} - q_{2n,2}) \right) , \tag{C18}
\]

\[
\langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Im \left[ n_p \left| Q_{n,1} \right|^2 - S_{1,2} \right] \right) \right\} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p Q_{n,1} - q_{2n,2}) \right) ,
\]

\[
- \Im \left[ q_{2n,1} Q_{n,1}^* + s_{1,1} Q_{n,1} - 2q_{2n,2} \right] \right) ,
\]

\[
\langle \sin n (\psi_1 + \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Im \left[ n_p \left| Q_{n,1} \right|^2 - S_{1,2} \right] \right) \right\} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p Q_{n,1} - q_{2n,2}) \right) , \tag{C21}
\]
and finally, Eqs. (C19) generalize into:

\[
\langle \cos n(\psi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Re \left[ p_n (Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,1}^* - Q_{2n,2}^* ) \right] \right) - 2 \cdot \Re \left[ s_{1,1} Q_{n,1}^* q_{n,2}^* \right] \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p(S_{2,1} - s_{1,2}) - 2 \cdot (s_{1,1} S_{1,1} - s_{1,2})) \right\},
\]

\[
\langle \sin n(\psi_1 - \phi_2 - \phi_3) \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \Im \left[ p_n (Q_{n,1}^* Q_{n,1}^* - Q_{2n,2}^* ) \right] \right) - 2 \cdot \Im \left[ s_{1,1} Q_{n,1}^* q_{n,2}^* \right] \right\} / \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (m_p(S_{2,1} - s_{1,2}) - 2 \cdot (s_{1,1} S_{1,1} - s_{1,2})) \right\}. \tag{C22}
\]