


$$\mathbf{math}$$

Haesom Sung, Sungtae Cho, Che Ming Ko, Su Houng Lee, and Sanghoon Lim

Phys. Rev. C **109**, 044911 — Published 17 April 2024

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevC.109.044911](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.109.044911)

K_1/K^* enhancement in heavy ion collisions and the restoration of chiral symmetry

Haesom Sung,^{1,2,*} Sungtae Cho,^{3,†} Che Ming Ko,^{2,4,‡} Su Houn Lee,^{1,§} and Sanghoon Lim^{5,¶}

¹*Department of Physics and Institute of Physics and Applied Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea*

²*Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

³*Division of Science Education, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 24341, Korea*

⁴*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

⁵*Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea*

(Dated: March 13, 2024)

We extend the recent study of K_1/K^* enhancement as a signature of chiral symmetry restoration in heavy ion collisions at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) via the kinetic approach to include the effects due to non-unity hadron fugacities during the evolution of produced hadronic matter and the temperature-dependent K_1 mass. Although including non-unity pion and kaon fugacities reduces slightly the K_1/K^* enhancement found in previous study due to chiral symmetry restoration, adding temperature-dependent K_1 mass leads to a substantial further reduction of the K_1/K^* enhancement. However, the final K_1/K^* ratio in peripheral collisions still shows a factor of 2.4 enhancement compared to the case without chiral symmetry restoration, confirming its use as a good signature for chiral symmetry restoration in the hot dense matter produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to lattice QCD calculations, the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) to hadronic matter (HM) transition at vanishing baryon chemical potential is a smooth crossover with a critical temperature T_C at about 156 MeV [1]. This temperature coincides with the chemical freeze-out temperature in the statistical model for particle production in relativistic heavy ion collisions at energies available from the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the LHC [2–4]. Since the chiral symmetry is restored above this temperature, masses of chiral partners are expected to become degenerate near T_C as indicated in studies based on the QCD sum rules for the axial vector meson $K_1(1270)$ and vector meson $K^*(890)$ masses [5] as well as the lattice QCD [6] and the functional renormalization group [7] calculations for the axial vector meson $a_1(1260)$ and vector meson $\rho(770)$ masses. Because of the shorter lifetimes of $K_1(1270)$ and $K^*(890)$, which have vacuum decay widths of 90 MeV and 47 MeV, respectively, than that of the hadronic stage of relativistic heavy ion collisions, their yield ratio K_1/K^* in these collisions is expected to depend on the degree of chiral symmetry restoration in the produced matter. A recent study by some of the present authors [8] has indeed found this effect in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Using the K_1 number at T_C obtained from the statistical hadronization model by taking the masses of K_1 and K^* to be $m_{K_1} = m_{K^*} = 890$ MeV according to a QCD sum rule calculation [9] and assuming that the K_1 mass immediately changes to its vacuum mass in the produced hadronic matter, they

have studied the effect of hadronic scatterings on the yield ratio K_1/K^* via a kinetic approach. Based on a schematic hydrodynamic model for the evolution of produced hot dense matter using the lattice equation of state for the QGP and the resonance hadron gas model for the HM [10], the time evolution of K_1 and K^* numbers are studied by taking into account the reactions $K_1\pi \leftrightarrow K\pi$, $K_1\pi \leftrightarrow K^*\rho$, $K_1\rho \leftrightarrow K^*\pi$, $K_1\rho \leftrightarrow K\rho$, $K_1 \leftrightarrow K^*\pi$ and $K_1 \leftrightarrow K\rho$ that involve the K_1 meson as well as the reactions $K^*\pi \leftrightarrow K\rho$, $K^*\rho \leftrightarrow K\pi$ and $K^* \leftrightarrow K\pi$ that involve the K^* meson. Their results show that the ratio K_1/K^* is increased by a factor of 3 in mid-central collisions (40-50% centrality) and by a factor of 6 in peripheral collisions (70-80% centrality) compared to that without including the effect of chiral symmetry restoration, although it is not affected much in central collisions (0-5% centrality).

The study in Ref. [8] has, however, neglected two important effects, namely, 1) the constancy of effective pion, kaon and nucleon numbers during the hadronic evolution after including those from resonance decays, which is supported by the success of the statistical hadronization model that these effective numbers are fixed at T_C when the chemical freeze out takes place, and 2) the temperature-dependent K_1 mass in the hadronic matter [5]. As shown in a study based on a multi-phase transport (AMPT) model [11], constant effective pion, kaon and nucleon numbers is accompanied by a constant entropy per particle during the hadronic evolution, indicating non-unity pion, kaon and nucleon fugacities if the hadronic matter is modeled by a thermally equilibrated fireball that cools as it expands. Non-unity pion and nucleon fugacities during the hadronic stage of high energy heavy ion collisions is essential for understanding the observed enhancement of antibaryon production in high energy heavy ion collisions as shown in Ref. [12]. In the present study, we extend the study of Ref. [8] to include this effect and also the temperature-dependent K_1 mass given in Ref. [5] by using the temperature-dependent quark con-

* ioussom@yonsei.ac.kr

† sungtae.cho@kangwon.ac.kr

‡ ko@comp.tamu.edu

§ suhoun@yonsei.ac.kr

¶ shlim@pusan.ac.kr

densate from Ref. [13]. Including these two effects in the kinetic equations allow us to study more realistically the K_1/K^* ratio in relativistic heavy ion collisions. Although results from present study show a smaller K_1/K^* ratio than in Ref. [8], they do not change the conclusion that an enhanced K_1/K^* ratio than that predicted by the statistical hadronization model can serve as a good signature for the chiral symmetry restoration in the hot dense matter produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions.

The present paper is organized as follows. We first review in Sec. II the temperature dependence of K_1 mass in a hadronic matter at finite temperature and then use it in Sec. III to calculate the cross sections for K_1 and K^* reactions with pion and rho meson as well as their thermal averages. In Sec. IV, we determine the temperature dependence of the pion, kaon, and nucleon fugacities by requiring the effective pion, kaon and nucleon numbers, which included those from resonance decays, as well as the entropy per particle to remain unchanged during the hadronic evolution. The kinetic equations for the time evolution of the K_1 and K^* numbers are then given in Sec. V, with the results on the yield ratio K_1/K^* in Pb+Pb collisions presented in Sec. VI and followed by discussions in Sec. VII. Finally, a brief summary is given in Sec. VIII.

II. TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENT K_1 MESON MASS




FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of K_1 mass. Solid line is from the QCD sum rule calculations of Ref. [5], while dotted line is the one assumed in Ref. [8] with $T_C = 156$ MeV.

According to the QCD sum rule study of Ref. [5], the mass difference between K_1 and K^* mesons in a hot hadronic matter depends on the quark condensate $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T$ as

$$m_{K_1}^2(T) = m_{K^*}^2 + \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_T}{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0} (m_{K_1}^2 - m_{K^*}^2), \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_0$ is the quark condensate in the vacuum. Neglecting the small change of K^* mass with temperature [9] and using $m_{K_1}=1.25$ GeV, $m_{K^*}=0.892$ GeV, and the temperature-dependent quark condensate from Ref. [13] based on the Polyakov Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (PNJL) model [14], the temperature dependence of K_1 mass is shown in Fig. 1. It is seen that the K_1 mass at $T_C = 156$ MeV is about 1.08 GeV, instead of the K^* free-space mass of 0.892 GeV assumed in Ref. [8], and then gradually increases to its free-space value of 1.25 GeV.

III. K_1 AND K^* REACTION CROSS SECTIONS




FIG. 2. Isospin averaged cross sections for $K_1\pi \rightarrow K\pi$ (panel (a)), $K_1\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho$ (panel (b)), $K_1\rho \rightarrow K\rho$ (panel (c)), and $K_1\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi$ (panel (d)) as functions of center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ with $\sqrt{s_0}$ denoting the threshold of a reaction and temperature.

In this Section, we review the K_1 and K^* reaction cross sections with pion and rho meson, whose abundance dominate in the hadronic matter. These reactions include $K_1 + \pi \rightarrow K + \pi$, $K_1 + \pi \rightarrow K^* + \rho$, $K_1 + \rho \rightarrow K + \rho$, and $K_1 + \rho \rightarrow K^* + \pi$ for the K_1 meson, and their cross sections have been calculated in Ref. [8] using the massive Yang-Mills approach with a Lagrangian involving spin-0 and spin-1 mesons [15]. Shown in Fig. 2 are the center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ and temperature dependence of their isospin averaged cross sections. The most important channel for K_1 annihilation is the endothermic reaction $K_1 + \pi \rightarrow K^* + \rho$, except near its threshold where other reactions dominate because of their exothermic nature. In calculating the pion-exchange t -channel diagram in the reaction $K_1 + \pi \rightarrow K^* + \rho$, the pion can be on shell at certain reaction energy. In this case, the reaction $K_1 + \pi \rightarrow K^* + \rho$ is the same as the two-step process of $K_1 \rightarrow K^* + \pi$ followed by $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \rho$. Since the process $K_1 \rightarrow K^* + \pi$ is explicitly included in the kinetic equations used in our study, we therefore exclude the contribution of on-shell pion to the pion-exchange t -channel diagram of the reaction $K_1 + \pi \rightarrow K^* + \rho$ as in

Ref. [8].




FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of thermal averaged cross sections $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ for the reactions $K_1\pi \rightarrow K\pi$ (dotted line), $K_1\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho$ (solid line), $K_1\rho \rightarrow K\rho$ (dash-dash-dot-dotted line), and $K_1\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi$ (dash-dot-dotted line), and thermal averaged decay widths $\langle\Gamma\rangle$ of $K_1 \rightarrow K\rho$ (dash-dash-dotted line) and $K_1 \rightarrow K^*\pi$ (dash-dotted line).

The above reactions enter the kinetic equations, which are given in Sec. V, through their thermal average over the momentum distributions of the particles in the initial state, i.e.,

$$\langle\sigma_{ab\rightarrow cd}v_{ab}\rangle = \frac{\int d^3\mathbf{p}_a d^3\mathbf{p}_b f_a(\mathbf{p}_a) f_b(\mathbf{p}_b) \sigma_{ab\rightarrow cd} v_{ab}}{\int d^3\mathbf{p}_a d^3\mathbf{p}_b f_a(\mathbf{p}_a) f_b(\mathbf{p}_b)} \quad (2)$$

In the above, $f_i(\mathbf{p}_i)$ is the Boltzman momentum distribution of particle species $i = a, b$, i.e., $f_i(\vec{p}_i) = e^{-\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_i^2 + m_i^2}/T}$ with m_i being the particle mass, which we take as their vacuum masses for pion, kaon, rho meson, and K^* and the temperature-dependent mass for K_1 . The v_{ab} in the above equation is the relative velocity between the two initial particles a and b . The temperature-dependent thermal averaged cross sections for K_1 annihilation by pion and rho meson are shown in Fig. 3, where it is seen that $\langle\sigma_{K_1\pi\rightarrow K^*\rho}\rangle$ dominates over other thermal averaged cross sections at the temperature range of interest for the present study. Also shown in Fig. 3 are the thermal averaged decay widths of K_1 meson to $K\rho$ and $K^*\pi$, which are computed according to $\langle\Gamma_{K_1}\rangle = \Gamma_{K_1}(m_{K_1}) K_1(m_{K_1}/T)/K_2(m_{K_1}/T)$ with $\Gamma_{K_1}(m_{K_1})$ evaluated with the inclusion of the ρ mass distribution in the final state, where $K_1(x)$ and $K_2(x)$ are modified Bessel functions of first and second kind, respectively, to take into account its temperature-dependent mass and the effect of time dilation. The $\langle\Gamma_{K_1\rightarrow K\rho}\rangle$ is seen to have a larger value than $\langle\Gamma_{K_1\rightarrow K^*\pi}\rangle$.

For the K^* annihilation processes, they include the reactions $K^*\pi \rightarrow K\rho$ and $K^*\rho \rightarrow K\pi$ and the decay process $K^* \rightarrow K\pi$. Their values and thermal averages have been calculated in Ref. [16] by using the free-space K^* mass, which we will use since we also neglect the small temperature dependence of the K^* mass in the present study.

IV. FUGACITIES OF PION, KAON AND NUCLEON




FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of pion (solid line), kaon (dashed line) and nucleon (dash-dotted line) fugacities as well as the volume ratio of hadronic matter (solid line in the inset).

According to the statistical model for particle production in relativistic heavy ion collisions, particle yields including contributions from resonances decays, i.e., their effective numbers, are determined at the chemical freeze-out temperature, which coincides with the QGP to HM phase transition temperature [2–4]. To maintain the effective pion, kaon and nucleon numbers, which are relevant in the present study, during the expansion and cooling of the hadronic matter, it is necessary for them to acquire non-unity fugacity, as shown in Ref. [11]. In this case, the pion, kaon and nucleon momentum distributions in the Boltzmann approximation need to be multiplied by their fugacity z_i , i.e., $z_i f_i(\mathbf{p})$. In terms of the thermally equilibrated density $n_i^{(0)} = \frac{g_i}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3\mathbf{p} f_i(\mathbf{p})$ of particle species i , where g_i is its spin and isospin degeneracies, the effective pion, kaon and nucleon densities in a hadronic matter of temperature T is then given by the sum of the densities of free pions, kaons, and nucleons as well as those from resonance decays, i.e.,

$$n_\pi^{\text{eff}}(T) = z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} + z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)} + z_\pi z_K n_{K^*}^{(0)} + z_\pi^2 z_K n_{K_1}^{(0)} + z_\pi z_N n_\Delta^{(0)} + \dots, \quad (3)$$

$$n_K^{\text{eff}}(T) = z_K n_K^{(0)} + z_\pi z_K n_{K^*}^{(0)} + z_\pi^2 z_K n_{K_1}^{(0)} z_K^2 n_\phi^{(0)} + \dots, \quad (4)$$

$$n_N^{\text{eff}}(T) = z_N n_N^{(0)} + z_\pi z_N n_\Delta^{(0)} + \dots. \quad (5)$$

In the above, $\dots$ denotes the contribution from strong decays of other resonances, which we include all particles of masses up to 2 GeV in the particle data book. In obtaining the above equations, we have also used the relations $z_\rho = z_\pi^2$, $z_{K^*} = z_\pi z_K$, $z_{K_1} = z_\pi^2 z_K$, $z_\Delta = z_\pi z_N$, etc. because of expected chemical equilibrium between π

and ρ , among K^* , K and π , among Δ , N and π , etc. as a result of the large cross sections for the reactions $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow \rho$, $K^* \leftrightarrow K\pi$, $\Delta \leftrightarrow N\pi$ etc. In terms of the pion, kaon and nucleon fugacities, the entropy and particle densities of a hadronic matter at temperature T are then given by

$$s(T) = - \sum_i g_i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} (z_i f_i) \ln(z_i f_i), \quad (6)$$

$$n(T) = \sum_i z_i n_i^{(0)}, \quad (7)$$

where the summation i again includes all particles of masses up to 2 GeV. As shown in Eq.(6), the relativistic Boltzmann distribution is used to evaluate the entropy density as in the calculation of the thermal averaged cross sections and decay widths given by Eq.(2), the effective pion, kaon and nucleon densities in Eqs.(3)-(5) as well as in the total particle density in Eq.(7).

Starting with an initial temperature T_C and volume V_C at hadronization of the QGP produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions, when all particles have unity fugacities according to the statistical model for particle production, the volume $V(T)$ of the hadronic matter and the pion, kaon and nucleon fugacities z_π , z_K and z_N at a later time when the temperature drops to T can be obtained from the constancy of entropy per particle and the effective pion, kaon and nucleon numbers by solving the four equations, $n_{\pi,K,N}^{\text{eff}} V(T) = n_{\pi,K,N}^{\text{eff}}(T_C) V(T_C)$ and $s(T)/n(T) = s(T_C)/n(T_C)$. In Fig. 4, we show the temperature dependence of z_π , z_K , z_N and $V(T)/V(T_C)$. It is seen that their values all increase with decreasing temperature of the hadronic matter, with z_N increasing faster than z_K and z_K increasing faster than z_π . As Eqs.(6) and (7) indicate, the entropy per particle, which is given by the ratio $s(T)/n(T)$ and has a value of 6.1 in our study, depends only on the temperature of the hadronic matter and the pion, kaon and nucleon fugacities. Its independence of the collision centrality is due to our assumption that the QGP is produced in all three considered collision centralities. The latter is justified by hydrodynamic simulations of Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, where one finds that the fraction of the transverse area of the collisions where the initial temperature at $\tau_0 = 0.5$ fm/c is higher than the critical temperature $T_C = 156$ MeV for QGP formation depends only weakly on the collision centrality [8].

V. KINETIC EQUATIONS FOR K_1 , K^* AND K

Neglecting the creation and annihilation of strange hadrons, such as the reaction $\pi\pi \leftrightarrow K\bar{K}$, which has little effect on the results in the present study, then $N_0 = N_{K_1} + N_{K^*} + N_K$ is a constant during the hadronic evolution. In this case, the kinetic equation for the time evolution of K_1 number can be written as

$$\frac{dN_{K_1}}{dt} = \gamma_{K_1,K_1} N_{K_1} + \gamma_{K_1,K^*} N_{K^*} + \gamma_{K_1,K} N_K, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{K_1,K_1} = & -(\langle\sigma_{K_1\pi \rightarrow K\pi}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K_1\pi \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle) z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} \\ & -(\langle\sigma_{K_1\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K_1\rho \rightarrow K\rho v}\rangle) z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)} \\ & -\langle\Gamma_{K_1 \rightarrow K^*\pi}\rangle - \langle\Gamma_{K_1 \rightarrow K\rho}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\gamma_{K_1,K^*} = \langle\sigma_{K^*\rho \rightarrow K_1\pi v}\rangle z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)} + (\langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K_1\rho v}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K_1 v}\rangle) z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} \quad (10)$$

$$\gamma_{K_1,K} = \langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K_1\pi v}\rangle z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} + (\langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K_1\rho v}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K_1 v}\rangle) z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)}. \quad (11)$$

For the thermal averaged cross sections in Eq.(10) and (11), which describe the regeneration of K_1 meson, they are related to the thermal averaged cross sections and decay widths in Eq.(9), which describe the annihilation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{of } K_1 \text{ meson, by } \langle\sigma_{K^*\rho \rightarrow K_1\pi v}\rangle &= \langle\sigma_{K_1\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho v}\rangle \frac{n_{K_1}^{(0)} n_\pi^{(0)}}{n_{K^*}^{(0)} n_\rho^{(0)}}, \\ \langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K_1\rho v}\rangle &= \langle\sigma_{K_1\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle \frac{z_\pi^2 n_{K_1}^{(0)} n_\rho^{(0)}}{n_{K^*}^{(0)} n_\pi^{(0)}}, \quad \langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K_1 v}\rangle = \\ \langle\Gamma_{K_1 \rightarrow K^*\pi}\rangle &= \frac{n_{K_1}^{(0)}}{n_{K^*}^{(0)} n_\pi^{(0)}}, \quad \langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K_1\pi v}\rangle = \langle\sigma_{K_1\pi \rightarrow K\pi v}\rangle \frac{z_\pi^2 n_{K_1}^{(0)}}{n_K^{(0)}}, \\ \langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K_1\rho v}\rangle &= \langle\sigma_{K_1\rho \rightarrow K\rho v}\rangle \frac{z_\pi^2 n_{K_1}^{(0)}}{n_K^{(0)}}, \text{ and } \langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K_1 v}\rangle = \\ \langle\Gamma_{K_1 \rightarrow K\rho}\rangle &= \frac{n_{K_1}^{(0)}}{n_K^{(0)} n_\rho^{(0)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the kinetic equation for the time evolution of K^* number is given by

$$\frac{dN_{K^*}}{dt} = \gamma_{K^*,K_1} N_{K_1} + \gamma_{K^*,K^*} N_{K^*} + \gamma_{K^*,K} N_K, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\gamma_{K^*,K_1} = \langle\sigma_{K_1\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho v}\rangle z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} + \langle\sigma_{K_1\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)} + \langle\Gamma_{K_1 \rightarrow K^*\pi}\rangle, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{K^*,K^*} = & -(\langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K\rho v}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K_1\rho v}\rangle) \\ & + \langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K_1 v}\rangle z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} \\ & -(\langle\sigma_{K^*\rho \rightarrow K\pi v}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K^*\rho \rightarrow K_1\pi v}\rangle) z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)} \\ & -\langle\Gamma_{K^* \rightarrow K\pi}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\gamma_{K^*,K} = (\langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho v}\rangle + \langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K^* v}\rangle) z_\pi n_\pi^{(0)} + \langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle z_\pi^2 n_\rho^{(0)}. \quad (15)$$

As in the case for the K_1 meson, the thermal averaged cross sections $\langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho v}\rangle$, $\langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle$, and $\langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K^* v}\rangle$ in Eq.(15) are related to the thermal averaged cross sections $\langle\sigma_{K^*\rho \rightarrow K\pi v}\rangle$ and $\langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K\rho v}\rangle$ and the thermal averaged width $\langle\Gamma_{K^* \rightarrow K\pi}\rangle$ in Eq.(14), which we take from Ref. [16], by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K^*\rho v}\rangle &= \langle\sigma_{K^*\rho \rightarrow K\pi v}\rangle \frac{z_\pi^2 n_{K^*}^{(0)} n_\rho^{(0)}}{n_K^{(0)} n_\pi^{(0)}}, \quad \langle\sigma_{K\rho \rightarrow K^*\pi v}\rangle = \\ \langle\sigma_{K^*\pi \rightarrow K\rho v}\rangle &= \frac{n_{K^*}^{(0)} n_\pi^{(0)}}{n_K^{(0)} n_\rho^{(0)}}, \quad \langle\sigma_{K\pi \rightarrow K^* v}\rangle = \langle\Gamma_{K^* \rightarrow K\pi}\rangle \frac{n_{K^*}^{(0)}}{n_K^{(0)} n_\pi^{(0)}}. \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 5. The yield ratio K_1/K^* in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV at three centralities of 0-5%, 40-50% and 70-80% for various scenarios.

VI. RESULTS

We solve the kinetic equations Eq.(8) and (12) in Sec. V using the thermal averaged K_1 and K^* reaction cross sections and K_1 decay widths given in Sec. III and the thermal averaged K^* and K reaction cross sections and K^* decay width from Ref. [8]. For the time dependence of the temperature of the hadronic matter after the QGP to HM phase transition in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, we take it from Ref. [8] based on a schematic ideal hydrodynamics with an equation of state from the LQCD [17]. Although keeping constant entropy per particle as in the present study automatically takes into account the strong viscous effect in the hadronic matter because of the increase of total particle number from the decay of resonances, it has been shown in Ref. [10] that adding viscosity in the expanding hadronic matter does not affect much the time evolution of the temperature of the hadronic matter. With an initial chemical freeze-out temperature $T_C = 156$ MeV as in Ref. [8] and the initial volume of $6,076 \text{ fm}^3$, 938 fm^3 , and 135 fm^3 from Ref. [8] for the three collision centralities of 0-5%, 40-50% and 70-80%, respectively, the effective pion, kaon, and nucleon numbers, which remain unchanged during the hadronic evolution in our study, agree with those measured by the ALICE Collaboration [18]. For the kinetic freeze-out temperatures, we take their values to be 90 MeV, 108 MeV, and 147 MeV, respectively, for the three centralities 0-5%, 40-50% and 70-80% according to a blast wave model fit to the measured particle transverse momentum spectra by the ALICE Collaboration [18].

In Fig. 5, we show the yield ratio K_1/K^* from the solutions of the kinetic equations. Results including both the effect of non-unity pion and kaon fugacities as well as the temperature-dependent K_1 mass are shown by the solid red line with K_1/K^* having values of 0.357 for peripheral collisions, 0.158 for mid-central collisions, and 0.08

for central collisions. As explained in Ref. [8], the increasing K_1/K^* ratio with increasing collision centrality is due to the shorter lifetime and higher kinetic freeze-out temperature in more peripheral collisions, resulting in a smaller hadronic scattering effect on its initial large value at T_C when the K_1 and K^* have a small mass difference because of partial chiral symmetry restoration. Compared to the results of Ref. [8], shown by the gray dashed line, in which both pion and kaon fugacities are taken to be one and the K_1 has a mass equal to the K^* mass at T_C and free-space mass below T_C , the final K_1/K^* ratio from present study is a factor of 2.5 smaller for 70-80% collision centrality, a factor of 1.7 smaller for 40-50% collision centrality and a factor of 1.4 larger for 0-5% collisions centrality. Although the collision centrality dependence of the K_1/K^* ratio from the present study is thus weaker than that in Ref. [8], it still shows an enhancement in peripheral and mid-central collisions compared to the case without including the chiral symmetry restoration effect shown by the black line, indicating that an enhanced K_1/K^* yield ratio in relativistic heavy ion collisions at these collision centralities remains a good signature for the chiral symmetry restoration. We note that the reduced K_1/K^* ratio in peripheral collisions in the present study compared to that in Ref. [8] is mainly due to the use of more realistic temperature-dependent K_1 mass. As shown by the solid blue line, without the latter effect, the non-unity pion and kaon fugacities gives a K_1/K^* ratio that is only about 13% smaller in peripheral collisions compared to the results from Ref. [8]. Also shown in Fig. 5 by the dashed cyan line is the K_1/K^* ratio from the statistical model, which is determined at T_C and has a value of about 0.14 independent of the collision centrality.

VII. DISCUSSIONS

The temperature dependence of the K_1 mass is determined by the change of the quark condensate with temperature given in Eq.(1). Results presented in the above are obtained by using the temperature-dependent quark condensate from the PNJL model, which decreases quickly with increasing temperature around $T_C = 156$ MeV and vanishes already at $T = 180$ MeV. If we use instead the quark condensate from the lattice-QCD calculations such as that from the HotQCD collaboration [19], which goes to zero less dramatically with temperature, the K_1 mass at $T_C = 156$ MeV increases slightly from 1.08 GeV to 1.12 GeV, leading to a small change of the K_1/K^* ratio at the kinetic freeze-out from 0.357 to 0.305, from 0.158 to 0.211, and from 0.08 to 0.115 in peripheral, mid-central, and central Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, respectively.

Also, besides their masses, the K_1 and K^* widths at finite temperature is expected to be broadened and become degenerate when chiral symmetry is restored [5], like those of a_1 and ρ mesons [20]. Indeed, calculations

based on the finite temperature chiral perturbation theory show an increase of the K^* width with increasing temperature [21]. This effect is not considered in the present study as the K^* width at T_C used in our study actually decreases slightly from its value in free space by the time dilation effect [16], while the K_1 width at T_C is reduced to a few MeV due to its decreasing mass at T_C as shown in Fig. 3.

To see the effect of larger K_1 and K^* widths at finite temperature than their vacuum values, we repeat the above kinetic equation calculations by using the vacuum K_1 decay width without any medium effects and letting the K^* decay width to have the same value as that of K_1 . For the scenario of non-unity fugacities and temperature-dependent K_1 mass considered in the present study, the K_1/K^* ratio in this case is 0.334 in peripheral collisions, 0.177 in mid-central collisions, and 0.098 in central collisions. Because of the interplay between the faster decrease of the K_1 number due to the larger K_1 decay width and the delayed equilibration of the K_1/K^* ratio due to the larger K^* width, the K_1/K^* ratio in this case is smaller in peripheral collisions and larger in mid-central and central collisions than corresponding values shown in Fig. 5 using the K_1 decay width in Fig. 3 and the vacuum K^* decay width. With even larger and degenerate K_1 and K^* decay widths, the K_1/K^* ratio would approach its value in the thermal limit given by the kinetic freeze-out temperature T_K , which is 0.182 for peripheral collisions, 0.084 for mid-central collisions, and 0.053 for central collisions. Compared to the case without the chiral symmetry restoration effect, which is similar to the statistical model prediction as shown in Fig. 5, with the K_1/K^* ratio of 0.151, 0.124, and 0.081 for peripheral, mid-central and central collisions, respectively, the K_1/K^* ratio in this limit of fast chemical equilibration is still somewhat enhanced in peripheral collisions. The enhanced K_1/K^* ratio is therefore a robust signature of the chiral symmetry restoration effect in hot dense matter produced in peripheral collisions of relativistic heavy

ions.

VIII. SUMMARY

In the present study, we have extended the study of Ref. [8] on the use of enhanced yield ratio K_1/K^* in relativistic heavy ion collisions as a probe for chiral symmetry restoration by including non-unity pion and kaon fugacities as well as the temperature-dependent K_1 mass in the expanding hadronic matter. Our results show that, although including non-unity pion and kaon fugacities only slightly reduces the K_1/K^* enhancement found in Ref. [8] due to chiral symmetry restoration, the inclusion of the temperature-dependent K_1 mass leads to a substantial reduction in the K_1/K^* enhancement. However, the final K_1/K^* ratio in peripheral collisions still shows a factor of 2.4 enhancement compared to the case without chiral symmetry restoration. The present study thus confirms the conclusion of Ref. [8] that the enhanced K_1/K^* ratio can be used as a signature for chiral symmetry restoration in the hot dense matter produced in relativistic heavy-ion collisions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Korea National Research Foundation under Grant No. RS-2023-00280831 (S.C.), No. 2023R1A2C300302311 (S.H.L.) and Project No. NRF-2008-00458 (S.L.), and the U.S. Department of Energy under Award No. DE-SC0015266 (C.M.K.). S. H. Lee also acknowledges the support from the Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project No. SSTF-BA1901-04. H. Sung thanks the Cyclotron Institute of Texas A&M University for its hospitality during her stay as a visiting scholar supported by a graduate fellowship from the National Research Foundation of Korea under Award No. NRF-2022K1A3A1A12097807.

-
- [1] A. Bazavov *et al.* (HotQCD), Phys. Lett. B **795**, 15 (2019), arXiv:1812.08235 [hep-lat].
 - [2] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A **772**, 167 (2006), arXiv:nucl-th/0511071.
 - [3] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Nucl. Phys. A **904-905**, 535c (2013), arXiv:1210.7724 [nucl-th].
 - [4] J. Stachel, A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, and K. Redlich, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **509**, 012019 (2014), arXiv:1311.4662 [nucl-th].
 - [5] S. H. Lee, Symmetry **15**, 799 (2023), arXiv:2303.14415 [hep-ph].
 - [6] J.-I. Skullerud *et al.*, EPJ Web Conf. **274**, 05011 (2022), arXiv:2211.13717 [hep-lat].
 - [7] C. Jung, F. Rennecke, R.-A. Tripolt, L. von Smekal, and J. Wambach, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 036020 (2017), arXiv:1610.08754 [hep-ph].
 - [8] H. Sung, S. Cho, J. Hong, S. H. Lee, S. Lim, and T. Song, Phys. Lett. B **819**, 136388 (2021), arXiv:2102.11665 [nucl-th].
 - [9] J. Kim and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **103**, L051501 (2021), arXiv:2012.06463 [nucl-th].
 - [10] T. Song, K. C. Han, and C. M. Ko, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 024904 (2011), arXiv:1012.0798 [nucl-th].
 - [11] J. Xu and C. M. Ko, Phys. Lett. B **772**, 290 (2017), arXiv:1704.04934 [nucl-th].
 - [12] R. Rapp and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2980 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0008326.

- [13] W. Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **67**, 299 (2012), arXiv:1201.0950 [nucl-th].
- [14] K. Fukushima, Phys. Lett. B **591**, 277 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0310121.
- [15] U. G. Meissner, Phys. Rept. **161**, 213 (1988).
- [16] S. Cho and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 034908 (2018), arXiv:1509.04092 [nucl-th].
- [17] S. Borsanyi, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, A. Jakovac, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, C. Ratti, and K. K. Szabo, JHEP **11**, 077 (2010), arXiv:1007.2580 [hep-lat].
- [18] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE), Phys. Rev. C **101**, 044907 (2020), arXiv:1910.07678 [nucl-ex].
- [19] P. Petreczky, J. Phys. G **39**, 093002 (2012), arXiv:1203.5320 [hep-lat].
- [20] R. Rapp and J. Wambach, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **25**, 1 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9909229.
- [21] A. Gómez Nicola, J. R. de Elvira, and A. Vioque-Rodríguez, JHEP **08**, 148 (2023), arXiv:2304.08786 [hep-ph].