

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Nucleon-nucleon short-ranged correlations, math xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1998/Math/MathML">mi>β/mi> /math> decay, and the unitarity of the CKM matrix Levi Condren and Gerald A. Miller Phys. Rev. C **106**, L062501 — Published 12 December 2022

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.106.L062501

## Nucleon-Nucleon Short-Ranged Correlations, $\beta$ Decay and the Unitarity of the CKM Matrix

Levi Condren and Gerald A. Miller University of Washington, Seattle Seattle, WA 98195-1560 (Dated: November 15, 2022)

The influence of nucleon-nucleon short-ranged correlations (SRC) on nuclear super-allowed  $\beta$  decay is examined. The need for this is driven by the observed depletion of spectroscopic strength obtained in studies of (e, e') and  $(d, {}^{3}$ He) reactions on a wide variety of nuclei. We show that the influence of SRC is model-dependent, but may be very substantial. The  ${}^{46}$ V nucleus is used as an example. The resulting impact on studies of the unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element is discussed.

The dominant contribution to the unitarity test of the Standard Model (SM) CKM matrix comes from the updown quark matrix element  $V_{ud}$ . The value of  $V_{ud}$  has been extracted by Hardy and Towner (HT) [1–10] with the highest precision from  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$  decays from nuclei ranging from <sup>10</sup>C to <sup>74</sup>Rb. The remarkably consistent nature of the values of  $V_{ud}$  obtained from many different decays has led to a very small uncertainty. Their latest paper [10] states

$$V_{ud} = 0.97373 \pm 0.00031. \tag{1}$$

Despite the considerable success of the HT approach, the crucial importance of the process in testing the Standard Model has long mandated that the theory behind the analysis be continually re-examined, an especially urgent process now because a more recent evaluation [11] of an electro-weak radiative correction claims a 4 standard deviation violation of unitarity. Our focus is on the isospin-breaking correction  $\delta_C$ . A variation of this quantity,  $\Delta \delta_C$  would cause a change in  $V_{ud}$  given by

$$\frac{\Delta(V_{ud}^2)}{V_{ud}^2} \approx \Delta \delta_C.$$
 (2)

Consider the result  $\delta_C = 0.960(63)\%$  for the  $0f_{7/2}$  orbital of <sup>42</sup>Ti [10]. A 20 % change, for example, in that number is about 0.2% and  $V_{ud}$  would be changed by half that,  $10^{-3}$ , a number that is 3.5 times the uncertainty quoted in Eq. (1). The Particle Data Group [12] finds a similar central value of  $V_{ud}$  but a smaller uncertainty of  $\pm 0.00014$ . In that case the 20% change in  $\delta_C$  would be almost 8 times the uncertainty.

The purpose of this paper is to argue that the influence of short-ranged correlations between nucleons, unaccounted for by Towner & Hardy [6] (TH), may cause changes in the value  $\delta_C$ , that are large on the scale of the desired accuracy. This means that, depending on future theoretical and experimental work, either the uncertainty in the value of  $V_{ud}$  is significantly larger than that of Eq. (1), or that the value is itself changed significantly.

Superallowed  $\beta$  decays are generated by the isospin operator  $\tau$  obeying the usual commutation relations. The theoretical formalism of TH is based on using a weak interaction operator different than  $\tau$ , that does not obey these commutation rules [13, 14]. The operator of TH was designed to reduce the size of the necessary small shell model space. Corrections to the TH formalism based on the collective isovector monopole state were presented in [15, 16]. Work on the effects of short-ranged correlations appears in [17] that concludes, "we present a new set of isospin-mixing corrections  $\cdots$ , different from the values of Towner and Hardy. A more advanced study of these corrections should be performed."

The TH restriction is motivated by a shell-model picture in which radial excitations of energy  $2\hbar\omega$  and higher above the relevant orbitals can be neglected. This approach specifically eliminates the influence of short-ranged nucleon-nucleon correlations that involve nucleons in orbitals high above the given shell model space. This strong interaction effect reduces the probability that a decaying nucleon is in a valence single-particle orbital and suggests that the magnitude of  $\delta_C$  is smaller than that of previous calculations.

An exact formalism for evaluating  $\delta_C$  was presented in [13, 14]. The present effort presents an extension of that formalism focusing on the influence of short-ranged correlations, now known to be important because of recent significant experimental and theoretical work.

In the time since TH started their epic sequence of calculations many new experimental and theoretical results have obtained unambiguous evidence that nucleonnucleon short-ranged correlations do exist in an observable fashion [18–45]. The effects of short-ranged correlations between nucleons, predicted long ago, have finally been measured and are significant. Such correlations involve the excitations of nucleons to intermediate states of high energy. Consequently, radial excitations are now known to be important in nuclear physics.

Spectroscopic factors, essentially the occupation probability of a single-particle, shell-model orbital, play an important role in what follows. As reviewed in Ref. [21], electron scattering experiments typically observe only about 60-70% of the expected number of protons. This depletion of the spectroscopic factor was observed over a wide range of the periodic table at relatively lowmomentum transfer for both valence nucleon knockout using the (e, e'p) reaction [46] and stripping using the  $(d, {}^{3}He)$  reaction [47]. See also the (e, e') work of Ref. [48]. The missing strength of 30%-40% implies the existence of collective effects (long-range correlations) and short-range correlations in nuclei. Substantial theoretical analyses [49–54] used detailed many-body evaluations to find that including the effects of both long and short-range correlations must be included to reproduce the results of experiments that measure spectroscopic factors.

Ref. [30] made a quantitative effort to analyze the separate long (LRC) and short range (SRC) contributions to the quenching of the spectroscopic factors. Their result is the SRC contribution amounts to  $22\% \pm 8\%$ and the LRC contribution to  $\delta = 14\% \pm 10\%$ . This is in accordance with expectations [18–21, 29] and with the results of [40, 49–52, 55]. In the following we argue that, in analogy with the (e, e'p) and  $(d, {}^{3}He)$  reactions, the superallowed beta decay measurements are impacted by the short-ranged correlations that reduce the spectroscopic strength by about 20%.

It is well-known that that short-range physics, at low energy, can be embedded into effective operators. The standard shell model has been doing this for more than 70 years through fitting phenomenological interactions, effective charges and empirical quenching  $q_A$ . It successfully reproduces a large body of experimental data. However, recent work shows that it is possible to understand the quenching of  $g_A$  by using modern techniques such as the in-medium similarity renormalization group, as explained, for example, in Ref. [56]. This is necessary to understand other processes such as neutrino-less double beta decay. The problem here is that modern techniques have not yet been applied to the analysis. of super-allowed beta decays. The work of TH is no longer consistent with modern nuclear theory, yet is still used to limit the effects of possible non-standard model interactions.

Therefore we re-examine the calculations of superallowed beta decay rates with an eye toward including the effects of short-ranged correlations absent in the TH formalism. Doing this precisely requires separating the long range correlations inherent in the shell model of TH from the missing short range correlations. This challenging task leads to the goal of first providing a plausibility argument, rather than a detailed evaluation. We rely on simple arguments, starting from the basics.

The shell model is the starting point for nuclear physics. In its simplest form, the nucleons are in single particle orbitals and the  $\beta$  decay matrix element is simply an overlap between neutron and proton wave functions. If the Hamiltonian commutes with all components of the isospin operator, the spatial overlap would be unity. But the non-commuting interactions, such as Coulomb interaction and the nucleon mass difference cause the overlap to be less than than unity. This leads to a non-zero value of the isospin correction known as  $\delta_C$ .

There must be a further modification of the value of the matrix element because there is no fundamental single-

nucleon, mean-field potential in the nucleus. The mean field that binds the orbitals is only a first approximation to nuclear binding. The mean-field arises from the average of two- (or more) body interactions, but residual two- (or more) nucleon effects must remain. There are residual interactions that cause long-range correlations, such as particle-vibration coupling and those that cause the short-ranged correlations mentioned above.

The fundamental theory for the Fermi interaction of proton beta decay involves the isospin operator  $\tau_+$ and the Fermi matrix element is then given by  $M_F = \langle f | \tau_+ | i \rangle$ ,  $| i \rangle$  and  $| f \rangle$  the exact initial and final eigenstates of the full Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + V_C$ , with energy  $E_i$ and  $E_f$ , respectively and  $V_C$  denotes the sum of all interactions that do not commute with the vector isospin operator.

Here we extend the formalism of Refs. [13, 14] by first developing an effective  $\beta$ -decay one-body operator that includes the dominant isospin-violating effects and then evaluating its matrix element in a strongly-correlated system. Consider single-particle proton p and neutron n orbitals denoted by  $|v, p\rangle$  and  $|v, n\rangle$ , in which the index v denotes the space-spin quantum numbers. These are eigenstate of a Hamiltonian,  $h = h_0 + U_C(p)$  with a Coulomb potential,  $U_C(p)$  that acts only on protons. The eigenkets of  $h_0$  are denoted with rounded brackets and those of h with the usual Dirac notation. Then using Wigner-Brillouin perturbation theory in  $U_C$  one has:

$$v, p\rangle = \sqrt{Z_C} |v, p\rangle + \frac{1}{E_v - \Lambda_v h_0 \Lambda_v} \Lambda_v U_C |v, p\rangle \quad (3)$$

with  $Z_C = 1 - \langle v, p | U_C \frac{1}{(E_v - \Lambda_v h_0 \Lambda_v)^2} U_C | v, p \rangle$  and  $(v, (n, p) | \Lambda_v = 0 \text{ with } | v, n \rangle = | v, n ).$ 

The single-particle super-allowed beta decay matrix element,  $M_{\rm sp} \equiv \langle v, n | \tau_+ | v, p \rangle$  is given by the overlap  $\langle v | v \rangle$ :

$$M_{\rm sp} = \sqrt{Z_C},\tag{4}$$

with the proton to neutron matrix element of  $\tau_+$  evaluated as unity. Evaluating  $\sqrt{Z_C}$  to second-order in  $U_C$  leads to

$$M_{\rm sp} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( v | U_C \frac{1}{(E_v - \Lambda_v h_0 \Lambda_v)^2} \Lambda_v U_C | v \right), \quad (5)$$

with the second term as the isospin correction. This result repeats the well-known results that the electromagnetic corrections are of second-order [13, 14, 57, 58]. The dominant isospin correction of TH is twice the second term.

Next we turn to nuclear super-allowed  $\beta$ -decay. It is useful to define the one-body Coulomb-correction operator that appears in Eq. (5) as  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v) \equiv$  $U_C \frac{1}{(E_v - \Lambda_v h_0 \Lambda_v)^2} \Lambda_v U_C$ . Consider, as a first step, a simplified situation in which the initial nucleus *i* consisting of a proton in a valence orbital *v* outside an isospin-0 core state of *A* nucleons beta decays to a neutron outside the same state, *f*. Then the  $p \to n$  matrix of  $\tau_+$  is still unity and is not mentioned below. The core of the state *f* is taken to be the same as that of the state i, so that their overlap does not influence the  $\beta$  decay matrix element. This is an accurate treatment because the only isospin-violating influence on the wave function is caused by the external valence proton, a negligible  $\mathcal{O}(1/A)$  effect.

Then the largest Coulomb correction is obtained by taking the matrix element  $\delta_C(v)$  of the operator  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)$ . In coordinate-space and suppressing spin indices, this quantity is given by:

$$\delta_{C0}(v) = \int d^3r d^3r' \phi_v^*(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{O}_C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \phi_v(\mathbf{r}').$$
(6)

The simple single-particle state leading to Eq. (6) is only a first, mean-field approximation to the nuclear wave function. This is because the valence proton (neutron) undergoes strong interactions with the core nucleons that involve both long- and short-ranged correlations. Focussing on short-ranged, two-nucleon aspects, we need to compute the two-nucleon wave function given by

$$|v,\alpha\rangle = \sqrt{Z_S(v,\alpha)}|v,\alpha) + Q\frac{G}{e}|v,\alpha), \qquad (7)$$

with  $\alpha$  being one of the occupied orbitals of the T = 0core state. Here  $G^{-1}$  is the anti-symmetrized [59] reaction matrix operator that sums ladder diagrams involving two-nucleon interactions. The factor  $Z_S$  insures the normalization, e represents an energy denominator and iterations of the potential that correct the state  $|i_0\rangle$  are included in the schematic factor  $Q\frac{G}{e}$ . The Hermitian projection operator Q obeys  $Q|v, \alpha\rangle = 0$ . and is constructed to exclude the long-ranged correlations so that *only* the short-ranged correlations are included in the correction we study. Eq. (7) includes only the leading-order term in the linked-cluster expansion of Refs. [59–63]. Defining an operator  $\Omega \equiv Q\frac{G}{e}$ , one has  $Z_S(v, \alpha) = 1 - (v, \alpha |\Omega^{\dagger} \Omega | v, \alpha)$ . Then

$$\delta_C(v) = Z_S(v)(v|\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)|v) + \sum_{\alpha} [(v,\alpha|\sqrt{Z_S(v,\alpha)}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)\Omega + \Omega^{\dagger}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)) + \Omega^{\dagger}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)\Omega|v,\alpha)].$$
(8)

with  $Z_S(v) \equiv 1 - \sum_{\alpha} (v, \alpha | \Omega^{\dagger} \Omega | v, \alpha) \equiv 1 - \kappa(v)$ . This is the occupation probability, known always to be < 1.

In this first analysis of the effect of SRC on superallowed  $\beta$ -decay we rely on the existing literature that indicates that  $Z_S(v) \approx 0.8$  for many states v, although with dependence on the specific state, nucleus and interactions. This number comes from many experimental measurements and theoretical calculations cited above. To be specific, consider the case of a single proton in a  $0f_{7/2}$  state outside an inert core of charge Z = 22, schematically representing the calculation for  ${}^{46}V$ . A search of the literature finds a review [40] for  $Z_S(0f_{7/2})$ reveals a value of  $\kappa(0f_{7/2}) = 0.14$  for that state in <sup>55</sup>Ni. This is for a Hamiltonian with "mild short-range repulsion effects". The original paper [64] shows that  $\kappa(0f_{7/2}) = 0.05$ , obtained by including 10 harmonic oscillator shells. However, the shell model space of TH is just 2  $\hbar\omega$ . Thus 0.14 is used here as a reasonable number to motivate an estimate. This value is consistent with the results of the independent phenomenological analysis of [30]. In the following we use  $\kappa = 1 - Z_S$  to simplify the notation.

Next turn to an evaluation of the matrix element  $(v|\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)|v)$  that provides a proof of the validity of Eq. (6). Our numerical results and Hartree-Fock calculations [65] show that the valence radial wave function is very well approximated by that of a three-dimensional

harmonic oscillator. We therefore use harmonic-oscillator single-particle wave functions with the parameters of [65]. The overlap between the realstic wave functions of [65] is about 0.998.

The nuclear Coulomb potential arises from the convolution of  $Z\alpha/(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)$  with the charge density  $\rho_C(r')$ . If we take the latter to be a constant within  $r \leq R_C$ , the one-body Coulomb potential takes the form used by TH. The value of  $R_C$  is chosen to match the Coulomb potential obtained with a Fermi shape using  $R_A = 1.1A^{1/3}$ fm and a = 0.54 fm. Our estimate takes the state  $|v\rangle$  to be in the single-particle orbit with radial quantum number n = 0 and angular momentum l = 3 appropriate for the state appearing in the first line of Table I for <sup>46</sup>V of Ref. [6]. The matrix element of  $U_C$  between the valence state and the state with n, l is  $(0l|U_c|nl)$  so that using Eq. (6), we find

$$\delta_{C0}(l) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{\left| (0l|U_c|nl) \right|^2}{4n^2 \omega^2}.$$
 (9)

Using this yields  $\delta_{C0} = 0.267 \%$  in agreement with the result in Table I for  ${}^{46}V$  in Ref. [6].

At this stage the result is that the leading Coulomb correction of TH is multiplied by the factor  $Z_S$ , potentially a very substantial reduction in terms of present accuracy requirements

Now we turn towards the remaining terms of Eq. (8). First note that the operator  $\Omega$  contains the projection operator Q that projects away from the initial state. The mean-field state  $|v\alpha\rangle$  is changed by the action of QG to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For two-nucleon interactions G is the two-nucleon T-matrix evaluated at negative energy and modified by Pauli blocking effects.

one in which one or mainly both nucleons are above the Fermi sea. Then the one-body operator  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)$  cannot connect the intermediate state to the mean-field state. Thus the terms of Eq. (8) that are linear in the operator  $\Omega$  vanish and one has:

$$\delta_C(v) = Z_S(v)(v|\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)|v) + \sum_{\alpha} (v, \alpha |\Omega^{\dagger}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_C(v)\Omega|v, \alpha).$$
(10)

Next we estimate the matrix elements of  $\Omega^{\dagger} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C}(v) \Omega$ , the second-order terms. This is implemented here by modeling the operator  $\Omega$  using the Jastrow correlation [62, 63, 66-73] approximation to the nuclear wave function. The most important and best-measured SRC involve two nucleons, in which the correlated wave function,  $\psi^{(2)}$  is related to the mean field approximation  $\phi^{(2)}$ with  $\psi^{(2)} = (1+f)\phi^{(2)}$ . In the present situation one of the nucleons is the decaying proton in orbital v and the other is any nucleon in the occupied orbital  $\alpha$ , so  $\phi^{(2)}$  represents the product state  $|v\alpha\rangle$ . The correlations (including Pauli) are represented by a function f(s), in which s is the separation distance. A schematic notation, in which various quantum numbers of the twonucleon wave function are not explicitly specified, simplifies the presentation. Then, the operator  $\Omega$  and f(s)are related by  $f(s) = \langle s | \Omega | \phi^{(2)} \rangle$  [62, 63]. The function f(s) is meant to represent only the short-ranged correlations, as mandated by the proper construction of the operator Q. The operator  $\Omega$  acts only in two-nucleon states allowed by the Pauli principle. A first-principles calculation would explicitly state angular momentumdependence LJST dependence of the functions f. Indeed several partial-wave contributions enter in the computation of  $\kappa = \sum_{v} \kappa(v) / A$  [74].

The nuclear force is repulsive at small separations and attractive at large separations. This means that, in all existing models, f is negative for small values of s, rises to 0 or slightly above as values of s increase towards the region of attraction and then falls to within 1 fm or so [69, 73, 75–79]. The details of f(s) are model dependent, but the previous sentence holds. The function f(s) is substantial only for small values of s.

Next we use the short-ranged nature of f(s) to compute the second-order terms of Eq. (10). Defining that term as  $\Delta \delta_{C0}(v)$ , and evaluating in coordinate-space leads to the expression:

$$\Delta \delta_{C0}(v) = \int d^3r d^3r' \phi_v(\mathbf{r}) I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \mathcal{O}_C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \phi_v(\mathbf{r}'), \quad (11)$$

with

$$I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv \int d^3 r_2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_2) f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_2|) f(|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_2|). \quad (12)$$

An examination of the integrals shows that  $\Delta \delta_{C0}(v) > 0$ , and tends to compensate for the reduction caused by using Z(v) < 1. A simple general analysis that focuses on the short-distance repulsion is used. Let us first suppose that the short ranged correlations are captured by using

 $f(s) = -\lambda g(s)$ , with  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$  and g(0) = 1, and g(s) is vanishing for values of s larger than a reasonable range,  $r_0$ , of order 1 fm or less. This form is a reasonable and flexible representation of the short distance properties all of the models [69, 76–82] that allows us to study the model dependence. The values of  $\lambda$  and the function g(s)are chosen to reproduce the value of  $\kappa$  via

$$\kappa = \rho_0 \lambda^2 \int d^3 s \, g^2(s), \tag{13}$$

where  $\rho_0$  is the density of nuclear matter  $\approx 0.167 \,\mathrm{fm}^3$ . Our philosophy is to take the value of  $\kappa$  as determined by experimentally measured spectroscopic factors and independent theory.

For the <sup>46</sup>V example used here,  $\kappa = 0.14$ . Then using a Gaussian form,  $g(s) = e^{-s^2/2r_0^2}$ , leads to  $r_0 = 0.532/\lambda^2$ fm, and using a square shape,  $g(s) = \Theta(r_0 - s)$ , leads to  $r_0 = 0.585/\lambda^2$  fm.

Now turn to the evaluation of  $I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ . Note that because of the short-ranged nature of the correlations the integrand of  $I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  is substantial only when both  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$ are close to  $\mathbf{r}_2$ , and therefore close to each other. Because  $r_0$  is much less than the nuclear radius we approximate as a three-dimensional delta function via:

$$g(s) = \delta(\mathbf{s}) \int d^3 s g(s) \,. \tag{14}$$

Numerical analysis shows that using this simplification provides an excellent approximation to the exact calculation. The ratio

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{\int d^3 s \, g(s)}{\int d^3 s \, g^2(s)} \tag{15}$$

is an important parameter in the following treatment.

Using Eq. (14) and Eq. (13) to evaluate  $I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$  leads to the result

$$I(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \approx \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\rho_0}\right)^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}').$$
(16)

Using this expression to compute  $\Delta \delta_{C0}(v)$  of Eq. (11) leads to:

$$\delta_C(v) = Z_S(v)\delta_{C0}(v) + \frac{\gamma^2 \kappa^2}{\lambda^2 \rho_0^2} \int d^3r \phi_v^*(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{O}_C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \phi_v(\mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r})$$
(17)

showing that the second-order term tends to compensate for the depletion caused by the factor  $Z_S(v) < 1$ . Furthermore, there is strong sensitivity to the value of  $\gamma \kappa / \lambda$ .

Next use Eq. (16), to compute  $\Delta \delta_{C0}(v)$  of Eq. (11) that is also the second term of Eq. (17). The <sup>46</sup>V model and parameters used to compute  $\delta_{C_0}$ . is again used. Then

$$\Delta \delta_{C0}(v) = \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\rho_0}\right)^2 \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1} \frac{\int r^2 dr R_{0l}^2(r) R_{nl}^2(r) U_C^2(r) \rho(r)}{4n^2 \omega^2}$$
$$= \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\rho_0}\right)^2 \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} 0.087 \delta_{C0}, \tag{18}$$

with numerical evaluation and the results of using Eq. (9). For the case of interest  $(l = 3, \kappa = 0.14)$  we find

$$\Delta \delta_{C0}(v) = \frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2} 0.034 \,\delta_{C0}.\tag{19}$$

The value of  $\gamma$  is determined by the shape of g(s). Using a square shape yields  $\gamma^2 = 1$  and using a Gaussian yields  $\gamma^2 = 8$ . With the former (and  $\lambda = 1$ ) one finds that  $\Delta \delta_{C0}(v)$  is negligible, but with the latter the correction is  $0.27\delta_{C0}$  and the effects of short-ranged correlations is to provide an overall increase of about 13%. One may use a Fermi function  $g(s) = 1/(1 + \exp(s - r_0)/a)$ . In that case varying the value of a from small values to about 1.9 fm smoothly interpolates the values of  $q^2$  between 1 and 8. For the specific example, one obtains either an 11 % decrease with  $\gamma^2 = 1$  or a 13% increase with  $\gamma^2 = 8$ . If the value of  $\kappa$  is taken to be 0.2 for stronger shortrange repulsion this spread goes from a 20% decrease to a 30 % increase. More generally: the resulting electromagnetic corrections to super allowed beta decay can be increased or decreased substantially by the influence of short-ranged correlations.

Our considerations are limited to one state. The nuclear dependence of  $\delta_C$  is important as established by

- I.S. Towner and J.C. Hardy, "Superallowed nuclear betadecays," Nuclear Physics A 205, 33–55 (1973).
- [2] J.C. Hardy and I.S. Towner, "Superallowed nuclear betadecays and cabibbo universality," Nuclear Physics A 254, 221–240 (1975).
- [3] J.C. Hardy, I.S. Towner, V.T. Koslowsky, E. Hagberg, and H. Schmeing, "Superallowed nuclear beta-decays: A critical survey with tests of cvc and the standard model," Nuclear Physics A 509, 429–460 (1990).
- [4] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, "Superallowed 0<sup>+</sup> → 0<sup>+</sup> nuclear β decays: A critical survey with tests of the conserved vector current hypothesis and the standard model," Phys. Rev. C 71, 055501 (2005).
- [5] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, "New limits on fundamental weak-interaction parameters from superallowed  $\beta$  decay," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 092502 (2005).
- [6] I. S. Towner, and J. C. Hardy, "An Improved calculation of the isospin-symmetry-breaking corrections to superallowed Fermi beta decay," Phys. Rev. C 77, 025501 (2008), arXiv:0710.3181 [nucl-th].
- [7] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, "Superallowed nuclear  $\beta$  decays: A new survey with precision tests of the conserved vector current hypothesis and the standard model," Phys. Rev. C **79**, 055502 (2009).
- [8] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, "Superallowed  $0^+ \to 0^+$  nuclear  $\beta$  decays: 2014 critical survey, with precise results for  $V_{ud}$  and ckm unitarity," Phys. Rev. C **91**, 025501 (2015).
- [9] I. S. Towner and J. C. Hardy, "Theoretical corrections and world data for the superallowed ft values in the  $\beta$ decays of <sup>42</sup>Ti,<sup>46</sup> Cr,<sup>50</sup> Fe, and <sup>54</sup>Ni," Phys. Rev. C **92**,

TH. Roughly speaking, our trend is very similar to theirs because the driving effect is the increase of the Coulomb interaction with increasing nuclear size. The cited theory and measurement references on the A-dependence of spectroscopic factors indicate that the influence of SRC is likely to have little A-dependence. Thus trends similar

to that of TH are to be expected. We summarize. The key result is that computations of the isospin correction are strongly sensitive to the effects of short-ranged correlations. The detailed features of the short-ranged correlations determine whether the influence is an increase, decrease or no change. This is true despite the schematic nature of the present calculations. The correct evaluation of this effect can only be assessed precisely by doing detailed calculations with different models that account for the experimentally measured spectroscopic factors. This is important because tests of the unitarity of the CKM matrix demands very high accuracy. Doing more detailed state-of-art nuclear calculations of superallowed  $\beta$  decay is a high priority for nuclear theorists.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics under Award No. DE-FG02-97ER-41014. We thank A. Garcia, O. Hen, A. Schwenk, S. R. Stroberg and U. van Kolck for useful discussions.

055505 (2015).

- [10] J. C. Hardy and I. S. Towner, "Superallowed  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$  nuclear  $\beta$  decays: 2020 critical survey, with implications for V<sub>ud</sub> and CKM unitarity," Phys. Rev. C **102**, 045501 (2020).
- [11] K. Shiells, P. G. Blunden, and W. Melnitchouk, "Electroweak axial structure functions and improved extraction of the Vud CKM matrix element," Phys. Rev. D 104, 033003 (2021), arXiv:2012.01580 [hep-ph].
- [12] P.A. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group), "Review of Particle Physics," PTEP **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
- [13] G. A. Miller, and A. Schwenk, "Isospin-symmetrybreaking corrections to superallowed Fermi beta decay: Formalism and schematic models," Phys. Rev. C 78, 035501 (2008), arXiv:0805.0603 [nucl-th].
- [14] G. A. Miller, and A. Schwenk, "Isospin-symmetrybreaking corrections to superallowed Fermi beta decay: Radial excitations," Phys. Rev. C 80, 064319 (2009), arXiv:0910.2790 [nucl-th].
- [15] N. Auerbach, "Coulomb corrections to superallowed beta decay in nuclei," Phys. Rev. C 79, 035502 (2009), arXiv:0811.4742 [nucl-th].
- [16] Naftali Auerbach and Minh-Loc Bui, "Coulomb corrections to Fermi beta decay in nuclei," (2021), arXiv:2101.06199 [nucl-th].
- [17] Yi Hua Lam, Nadezda A. Smirnova, and Etienne Caurier, "Isospin nonconservation in *sd*-shell nuclei," Phys. Rev. C 87, 054304 (2013).
- [18] R. Subedi *et al.*, "Probing Cold Dense Nuclear Matter," Science **320**, 1476–1478 (2008), arXiv:0908.1514 [nuclex].

- [19] N. Fomin *et al.*, "New measurements of high-momentum nucleons and short-range structures in nuclei," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 092502 (2012), arXiv:1107.3583 [nucl-ex].
- [20] O. Hen et al., "Momentum sharing in imbalanced Fermi systems," Science 346, 614–617 (2014), arXiv:1412.0138 [nucl-ex].
- [21] O. Hen, G. A. Miller, E. Piasetzky, and L. B. Weinstein, "Nucleon-Nucleon Correlations, Short-lived Excitations, and the Quarks Within," Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 045002 (2017), arXiv:1611.09748 [nucl-ex].
- [22] R. Weiss, R. Cruz-Torres, N. Barnea, E. Piasetzky, and O. Hen, "The nuclear contacts and short range correlations in nuclei," Phys. Lett. B 780, 211–215 (2018), arXiv:1612.00923 [nucl-th].
- [23] Sam Stevens, Jan Ryckebusch, Wim Cosyn, and Andreas Waets, "Probing short-range correlations in asymmetric nuclei with quasi-free pair knockout reactions," Phys. Lett. B 777, 374–380 (2018), arXiv:1707.05542 [nucl-th].
- [24] Nadia Fomin, Douglas Higinbotham, Misak Sargsian, and Patricia Solvignon, "New Results on Short-Range Correlations in Nuclei," Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 67, 129–159 (2017), arXiv:1708.08581 [nucl-th].
- [25] Claudio Ciofi degli Atti and Hiko Morita, "Universality of many-body two-nucleon momentum distributions: Correlated nucleon spectral function of complex nuclei," Phys. Rev. C 96, 064317 (2017), arXiv:1708.05168 [nuclth].
- [26] Zhi Wang, Chang Xu, Zhongzhou Ren, and Chao Gao, "Probing the high-momentum component in the nucleon momentum distribution by nucleon emission from intermediate-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions," Phys. Rev. C 96, 054603 (2017).
- [27] Ronen Weiss, Igor Korover, Eliezer Piasetzky, Or Hen, and Nir Barnea, "Energy and momentum dependence of nuclear short-range correlations - Spectral function, exclusive scattering experiments and the contact formalism," Phys. Lett. B **791**, 242–248 (2019), arXiv:1806.10217 [nucl-th].
- [28] M. Duer et al. (CLAS), "Direct Observation of Proton-Neutron Short-Range Correlation Dominance in Heavy Nuclei," Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 172502 (2019), arXiv:1810.05343 [nucl-ex].
- [29] M. Duer *et al.* (CLAS), "Probing high-momentum protons and neutrons in neutron-rich nuclei," Nature 560, 617–621 (2018).
- [30] S. Paschalis, M. Petri, A. O. Macchiavelli, O. Hen, and E. Piasetzky, "Nucleon-nucleon correlations and the single-particle strength in atomic nuclei," Phys. Lett. B 800, 135110 (2020), arXiv:1812.08051 [nucl-ex].
- [31] J. E. Lynn, D. Lonardoni, J. Carlson, J. W. Chen, W. Detmold, S. Gandolfi, and A. Schwenk, "Ab initio short-range-correlation scaling factors from light to medium-mass nuclei," J. Phys. G 47, 045109 (2020), arXiv:1903.12587 [nucl-th].
- [32] Jan Ryckebusch, Wim Cosyn, Tom Vieijra, and Corneel Casert, "Isospin composition of the highmomentum fluctuations in nuclei from asymptotic momentum distributions," Phys. Rev. C 100, 054620 (2019), arXiv:1907.07259 [nucl-th].
- [33] Ji Xu and Feng Yuan, "Gluonic Probe for the Short Range Correlation in Nucleus," Phys. Lett. B 801, 135187 (2020), arXiv:1908.10413 [hep-ph].
- [34] Mengjiao Lyu, Takayuki Myo, Hiroshi Toki, Hisashi Horiuchi, Chang Xu, and Niu Wan, "High-momentum

components in the <sup>4</sup>He nucleus caused by internucleon correlations," Phys. Lett. B **805**, 135421 (2020), arXiv:1912.10288 [nucl-th].

- [35] A. Schmidt *et al.* (CLAS), "Probing the core of the strong nuclear interaction," Nature **578**, 540–544 (2020), arXiv:2004.11221 [nucl-ex].
- [36] I. Korover *et al.* (CLAS), "12C(e,e'pN) measurements of short range correlations in the tensor-to-scalar interaction transition region," Phys. Lett. B 820, 136523 (2021), arXiv:2004.07304 [nucl-ex].
- [37] R. Weiss, A. W. Denniston, J. R. Pybus, O. Hen, E. Piasetzky, A. Schmidt, L. B. Weinstein, and N. Barnea, "Extracting the number of short-range correlated nucleon pairs from inclusive electron scattering data," Phys. Rev. C 103, L031301 (2021), arXiv:2005.01621 [nucl-th].
- [38] E. P. Segarra, J. R. Pybus, F. Hauenstein, D. W. Higinbotham, G. A. Miller, E. Piasetzky, A. Schmidt, M. Strikman, L. B. Weinstein, and O. Hen, "Shortrange correlations and the nuclear EMC effect in deuterium and helium-3," Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 023240 (2021), arXiv:2006.10249 [hep-ph].
- [39] X. L. Shang, P. Wang, W. Zuo, and J. M. Dong, "Role of nucleon-nucleon correlation in transport coefficients and gravitational-wave-driven r -mode instability of neutron stars," Phys. Lett. B 811, 135963 (2020), arXiv:2101.07551 [nucl-th].
- [40] T. Aumann *et al.*, "Quenching of single-particle strength from direct reactions with stable and rare-isotope beams," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **118**, 103847 (2021), arXiv:2012.12553 [nucl-th].
- [41] A. J. Tropiano, S. K. Bogner, and R. J. Furnstahl, "Short-range correlation physics at low renormalization group resolution," Phys. Rev. C 104, 034311 (2021), arXiv:2105.13936 [nucl-th].
- [42] Wen-Mei Guo, Bao-An Li, and Gao-Chan Yong, "Imprints of high-momentum nucleons in nuclei on hard photons from heavy-ion collisions near the Fermi energy," Phys. Rev. C 104, 034603 (2021), arXiv:2106.08242 [nucl-th].
- [43] Hao Lu, Zhongzhou Ren, and Dong Bai, "Impacts of nucleon-nucleon short-range correlations on neutron stars," Nucl. Phys. A 1011, 122200 (2021).
- [44] Emanuel Ydrefors and Tobias Frederico, "Proton image and momentum distributions from light-front dynamics," (2021), arXiv:2108.02146 [hep-ph].
- [45] S. M. Wang, W. Nazarewicz, R. J. Charity, and L. G. Sobotka, "Nucleon-nucleon correlations in the extreme oxygen isotopes," (2021), arXiv:2108.08007 [nucl-th].
- [46] Louk Lapikas, "Quasi-elastic electron scattering off nuclei," Nucl. Phys. A 553, 297c–308c (1993).
- [47] G. J. Kramer, H. P. Blok, and Louk Lapikas, "A Consistent analysis of (e,e-prime p) and (d,He-3) experiments," Nucl. Phys. A 679, 267–286 (2001), arXiv:nuclex/0007014.
- [48] J. Lichtenstadt, J. Heisenberg, C. N. Papanicolas, C. P. Sargent, A. N. Courtemanche, and J. S. McCarthy, "High-spin states of  $J^{\pi} = 12^{-}, 14^{-}$  in <sup>208</sup>Pb studied by  $(e,e^{'})$ ," Phys. Rev. C **20**, 497–503 (1979).
- [49] M. C. Atkinson and W. H. Dickhoff, "Investigating the link between proton reaction cross sections and the quenching of proton spectroscopic factors in <sup>48</sup>Ca," Phys. Lett. B **798**, 135027 (2019), arXiv:1909.05911 [nucl-th].
- [50] C. Barbieri and W. H. Dickhoff, "Spectroscopic Factors

in O-16 and Nucleon Asymmetry," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24, 2060–2068 (2009), arXiv:0901.1920 [nucl-th].

- [51] W. J. W. Geurts, K. Allaart, W. H. Dickhoff, and H. Muther, "Spectroscopic factors for nucleon knock-out from O-16 at small missing energy," Phys. Rev. C 53, 2207–2212 (1996).
- [52] Marco Radici, Andrea Meucci, and W. H. Dickhoff, "Spectroscopic information from different theoretical descriptions of (un)polarized (e,e'p) reactions," Eur. Phys. J. A 17, 65–69 (2003).
- [53] V. R. Pandharipande, C. N. Papanicolas, and J. Wambach, "Occupation probabilities of shell-model orbits in the lead region," Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1133–1136 (1984).
- [54] W. H. Dickhoff and C. Barbieri, "Selfconsistent Green's function method for nuclei and nuclear matter," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 52, 377–496 (2004), arXiv:nuclth/0402034.
- [55] Omar Benhar, Adelchi Fabrocini, and Stefano Fantoni, "Occupation probabilities and hole-state strengths in nuclear matter," Phys. Rev. C 41, R24–R27 (1990).
- [56] Steven Ragnar Stroberg, "Beta Decay in Medium-Mass Nuclei with the In-Medium Similarity Renormalization Group," Particles 4, 521–535 (2021), arXiv:2109.13462 [nucl-th].
- [57] R. E. Behrends and A. Sirlin, "Effect of mass splittings on the conserved vector current," Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 186–187 (1960).
- [58] M. Ademollo and R. Gatto, "Nonrenormalization theorem for the strangeness-violating vector currents," Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 264–266 (1964).
- [59] N. M. Hugenholtz, "Perturbation theory of large quantum systems," Physica 23, 481–532 (1957).
- [60] J. Goldstone, "Derivation of the Brueckner Many-Body Theory," Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 239, 267–279 (1957).
- [61] Baird H. Brandow, "Linked-Cluster Expansions for the Nuclear Many-Body Problem," Rev. Mod. Phys. 39, 771– 828 (1967).
- [62] C. M. Shakin, "Cluster Expansions for Correlated Wave Functions in Nuclear Physics," Phys. Rev. C 4, 684–692 (1971).
- [63] Joao da Providenica and C. M. Shakin, "Cluster Expansions for Correlated Wave Functions of Finite Systems," Phys. Rev. C 4, 1560–1563 (1971).
- [64] C. Barbieri, "Role of long-range correlations in the quenching of spectroscopic factors," Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 202502 (2009).
- [65] J. W. Negele, "Structure of finite nuclei in the localdensity approximation," Phys. Rev. C 1, 1260–1321 (1970).
- [66] Robert Jastrow, "Many-body problem with strong forces," Phys. Rev. 98, 1479–1484 (1955).
- [67] Herman Feshbach, "Unified theory of nuclear reactions,"

Annals Phys. 5, 357–390 (1958).

- [68] W. Czyz and L. C. Maximon, "High-energy, small angle elastic scattering of strongly interacting composite particles," Annals Phys. 52, 59–121 (1969).
- [69] Gerald A. Miller and James E. Spencer, "A Survey of Pion Charge-Exchange Reactions with Nuclei," Annals Phys. 100, 562 (1976).
- [70] V. R. Pandharipande and Robert B. Wiringa, "Variations on a theme of nuclear matter," Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 821– 859 (1979).
- [71] C. Mahaux, P. F. Bortignon, R. A. Broglia, and C. H. Dasso, "Dynamics of the shell model," Phys. Rept. 120, 1–274 (1985).
- [72] R. Brockmann and R. Machleidt, "Relativistic nuclear structure. 1: Nuclear matter," Phys. Rev. C 42, 1965– 1980 (1990).
- [73] J. Engel, J. Carlson, and R. B. Wiringa, "Jastrow functions in double-beta decay," Phys. Rev. C 83, 034317 (2011), arXiv:1101.0554 [nucl-th].
- [74] M. A. Preston and R. K. Bhaduri, *Structure Of The Nucleus* (Addison-Wesley, Reading Mass., 1975).
- [75] Jiunn-Wei Chen, William Detmold, Joel E. Lynn, and Achim Schwenk, "Short Range Correlations and the EMC Effect in Effective Field Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 262502 (2017), arXiv:1607.03065 [hep-ph].
- [76] M. Alvioli, C. Ciofi degli Atti, and H. Morita, "Groundstate energies, densities and momentum distributions in closed-shell nuclei calculated within a cluster expansion approach and realistic interactions," Phys. Rev. C 72, 054310 (2005).
- [77] Fedor Šimkovic, Amand Faessler, Herbert Müther, Vadim Rodin, and Markus Stauf, " $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay nuclear matrix elements with self-consistent short-range correlations," Phys. Rev. C **79**, 055501 (2009).
- [78] R. Roth, H. Hergert, P. Papakonstantinou, T. Neff, and H. Feldmeier, "Matrix elements and few-body calculations within the unitary correlation operator method," Phys. Rev. C 72, 034002 (2005).
- [79] Reynier Cruz-Torres, Axel Schmidt, Gerald A. Miller, Lawrence B. Weinstein, Nir Barnea, Ronen Weiss, Eliezer Piasetzky, and O. Hen, "Short range correlations and the isospin dependence of nuclear correlation functions," Phys. Lett. B 785, 304–308 (2018), arXiv:1710.07966 [nucl-th].
- [80] A. Akmal and V. R. Pandharipande, "Spin isospin structure and pion condensation in nucleon matter," Phys. Rev. C 56, 2261–2279 (1997), arXiv:nuclth/9705013.
- [81] R. B. Wiringa, V. G. J. Stoks, and R. Schiavilla, "Accurate nucleon-nucleon potential with charge-independence breaking," Phys. Rev. C 51, 38–51 (1995).
- [82] R. Machleidt, "High-precision, charge-dependent bonn nucleon-nucleon potential," Phys. Rev. C 63, 024001 (2001).