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## Complete set of bound negative-parity states in the neutron-rich ${ }^{18} \mathbf{N}$ nucleus
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High-resolution $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ is performed with the Advanced GAmma Tracking Array AGATA, following deep-inelastic processes induced by an ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ beam on a ${ }^{181} \mathrm{Ta}$ target. Six states are newly identified, which together with the three known excitations exhaust all negativeparity excited states expected in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ below the neutron threshold. Spin and parities are proposed for all located states on the basis of decay branchings and comparison with large-scale shell-model calculations performed in the $p$-sd space, with the YSOX interaction. Of particular interest is the location of the $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{2}^{-}$excitations, which provide strong constrains for cross-shell $p$-sd matrix elements based on realistic interactions, and help to simultaneously reproduce the ground and firstexcited states in ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, for the first time. Understanding the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ structure may also have significant impact on neutron-capture cross-section calculations in r-process modeling including light neutron-rich nuclei.

The structure of light nuclei can be predicted by state-of-the-art ab initio as well as large-scale shell model calculations [1-12]. Both approaches aim at probing nuclear interactions and describing nuclear properties in a wide range of nuclei, including exotic systems, i.e., those lying

[^0]far away from the stability line. Of particular interest are $p$-sd nuclei, for which the neutron dripline has been reached 13-19 and their structure also has a significant impact on nuclear astrophysics [20-24. To reach sufficient accuracy in the description of level ordering, decay branchings, etc., a validation of nuclear structure theory/model predictions is needed. In this context, moderately neutron-rich systems which can be accessed in spectroscopic studies are an ideal testing ground.

In this work, we focus on the poorly known ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ nu-
cleus, belonging to the light neutron-rich nuclei which are critical for the r-process nucleosynthesis in supernovae [20-23]. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ has one proton hole in the $p$ shell and three neutrons outside the $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Z}=8$ core, i.e., in the $s d$ shell. As such, it is a good testing ground for multi-shell $p$-sd interactions, which are employed in large-scale shell-model calculations to reproduce simultaneously the structural properties of neutron-rich light nuclei and their drip lines. For example, the YSOX interaction of Ref. [9], in which the cross-shell $<p s d|V| p s d>$ and $<p p|V| s d s d>$ matrix elements are based on the monopole-based universal interaction $V_{M U}$, while the intershell matrix elements are phenomenological, has been successful in reproducing ground-state energies, driplines and energy levels of most of $p-s d$ shell nuclei. In particular, this interaction correctly predicted the ordering of low-lying states in $\mathrm{N}=11$ isotones, including ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, where other interactions, such as WBP and WBT [25], fail. On the contrary, shell model calculations with YSOX did not solve the long-time problem of simultaneously explaining the level ordering in ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N} 9$.

In this paper, we aim at a complete $\gamma$ spectroscopy of bound states in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, which will be instrumental in constraining cross-shell interactions [26] in this region of the nuclear chart. We used deep-inelastic processes, induced by an ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ beam on a thick ${ }^{181} \mathrm{Ta}$ target [27-29], to populate states in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, and the Advanced GAmma Tracking Array (AGATA) 30 32, to detect the $\gamma$ decays from these states. Based on the analysis of the collected data, we propose the location of all negative-parity states, below the neutron-separation energy, including $0^{-}$and $1^{-}$ states arising from the coupling between a proton hole in the $p_{1 / 2}$ and a neutron in the $s_{1 / 2}$ orbitals. Such data allow to investigate further details of the proton-neutron interaction, including specific proton-neutron matrix elements which play a key role in the level ordering of both ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ nuclei. In addition, a firm location of the first-excited $1^{-}$state of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ is of high importance for the calculated neutron-capture reaction rate on ${ }^{17} \mathrm{~N}$ - it can change this cross section by up to one order of magnitude 21, 22.

Previous investigations of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, performed by employing charge-exchange [33], fusion evaporation [34, (d,p) [35] reactions and beta-decay [36], located a total of 8 levels above the $1_{1}^{-}$ground state (g.s.). Three excited states were firmly identified below 1 MeV , at 115,587 and 742 keV , with spin $2_{1}^{-},\left(2_{2}^{-}\right)$and $3_{1}^{-}$, respectively. The gamma decay between them was also observed [34, 36, 37]. At higher energies, three additional levels were placed at $1.17(2), 2.21(1)$ and $2.42(1) \mathrm{MeV}$, with large energy uncertainties. The level at $1.17(2) \mathrm{MeV}$ was observed in the (d,p) reaction study of Hoffman et al., [35, and interpreted as a $\left(1_{2}^{-}\right)$state, or a doublet of unresolved $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{2}^{-}$states. Finally, two levels located at 1735 and 2614 keV , in beta-decay studies, were tentatively proposed as positive-parity $\left(1^{+}\right)$states 36 .

Within the shell-model framework, a limited number of states is expected in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ below the neutron separation energy, $\mathrm{S}_{n}=2.828(24) \mathrm{MeV}$. In particular, calculations performed with different interactions [9] predict 10 negativeparity states with spin-parity $1^{-}$(two states, including the $1_{1}^{-}$g.s.), $2^{-}$(three states), $3^{-}$(three states), $0^{-}$(one state) and $4^{-}$(one state). These lowest-lying states can be interpreted as arising from the coupling of a proton in the $p_{1 / 2}$ orbital to the lowest members of the multiplet of states originating from i) three neutrons in the $d_{5 / 2}$ orbital or $i i$ ) two neutrons (coupled to spin 0 ) in the $d_{5 / 2}$ and one neutron in the $s_{1 / 2}$ orbitals. Along this line, the $1_{1}^{-}$g.s. and the first three excited states of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ can be viewed, for example, as the coupling between a proton in the $p_{1 / 2}$ orbital with the $3 / 2^{+}$g.s. and the $5 / 2^{+}$firstexcited state in ${ }^{17} \mathrm{C}$, producing the doublets $\left(1_{1}^{-}\right.$g.s., $\left.2_{2}^{-}\right)$ and $\left(2_{1}^{-}, 3_{1}^{-}\right)$, respectively. Other negative-parity states, which are expected below $S_{n}$ in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, should arise from the coupling of the $p_{1 / 2}$ proton with higher-lying states in ${ }^{17} \mathrm{C}$, which are unbound and not fully known experimentally (in ${ }^{17} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{S}_{n}=734 \mathrm{keV}$ ). Positive-parity states in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ are instead calculated at higher energies, above $\mathrm{S}_{n}$. In this work, we perform a search for all bound states in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ by employing a non-selective reaction mechanism and an efficient experimental setup, with state-of-the-art energy resolution for $\gamma$ detection.

In our experiment, ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ was populated in deep inelastic processes 3840 induced by an ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ beam at 126 $\mathrm{MeV}(7.0 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u})$ on a ${ }^{181} \mathrm{Ta}$ target, $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick (6.64 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ). At the target center, the beam energy was $\sim 116 \mathrm{MeV}$ (i.e., $50 \%$ above the Coulomb barrier), leading to projectile-like products with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \sim 10 \%$ relative velocity. The experiment was performed at the Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL) with the $\gamma$ tracking array AGATA [30-32] (consisting of 31 highpurity Ge detectors) coupled to a scintillator array made of two large-volume $\left(3.5^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime \prime}\right) \mathrm{LaBr}_{3}$ detectors plus two clusters of the PARIS setup 41. The reaction products were detected in the VAMOS ++ magnetic spectrometer 42 placed at the reaction grazing angle (i.e., $45^{\circ} \pm 6^{\circ}$ with respect to the beam direction), and aligned with the center of AGATA. The tracking array covered the $115^{\circ}-$ $175^{\circ}$ backward angular range, with respect to the VAMOS ++ axis, while the scintillators were placed at $90^{\circ}$. A total of more than $10^{7}$ events were collected requiring the projectile-like products detected in VAMOS++, in coincidence with gamma rays in AGATA or PARIS. The VAMOS ++ spectrometer setting, optimized to detect ${ }^{20} \mathrm{O}$ [27] within a large velocity range, allowed to detect other products with charge $5 \leq Z \leq 9$ and mass number $11 \leq A \leq 21$. Figure 1 (a) shows the identification plot of the nitrogen ions $(\mathrm{Z}=7)$, corresponding to a total of $4.8 \times 10^{6}$ events, out of which $2.6 \times 10^{5}$ belonging to ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$. The inset gives the velocity distribution of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ associated with the population of the first-excited $2_{1}^{-}$state
at 115 keV : it displays a significant tail towards lower velocity, supporting the production of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ in deep-inelastic processes 3840 .


FIG. 1: (a): identification plots, ion charge $Q$ versus ratio of mass number A to Q , as measured by VAMOS ++ for the selection of nitrogen $(Z=7)$ isotopes. Inset: velocity distribution measured at the VAMOS ++ focal plane, in coincidence with the $2_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 1_{1}^{-}, 115-\mathrm{keV}$, ground-state transition of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$. (b): Doppler-corrected $\gamma$-energy spectrum of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, as measured by the AGATA array. Energies of already known transitions are shown in black [34, 36, 37, those of newly observed gamma rays in red. Contaminant lines from ${ }^{180,181} \mathrm{~W}$ binary-reaction partners are marked by green stars. (c): $\gamma$ ray spectrum in coincidence with the $1663-\mathrm{keV}$ line, showing the coincidences with the $115-$ and $627-\mathrm{keV}$ transitions.

The $\gamma$-ray spectrum obtained with AGATA by gating on ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ ions, Doppler-corrected event-by-event by considering the product velocity vector measured in VAMOS ++ , is shown in Fig. 1 (b) [28]. Previously known $114.6-$, 155-, 472.7 - and $627-\mathrm{keV}$ transitions are marked in black [34, 36, 37, while newly observed $\gamma$ rays at 1091(1), 1147(1), 1205(1), 1566(1), 1663.0(8), 1721(1), 2073.4(8), 2301.0(8), and $2416(2) \mathrm{keV}$ are indicated by red labels. Green stars mark lines from ${ }^{180,181} \mathrm{~W}$ binaryreaction partners, which are broadened and shifted due to the Doppler-shift correction for the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ product, applied to this spectrum. Spectra constructed by gating on the $114.6-\mathrm{keV}\left(2_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 1_{1}^{-}\right)$and $627-\mathrm{keV}\left(3_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{-}\right)$transitions confirmed the coincidence relationships between the $114.6-$, $472.7-$, $155-$ and $627-\mathrm{keV} \gamma$ rays, reported ear-
lier [34. They also showed the presence of the newly found $1663.0-\mathrm{keV}$ line (see Fig. 1(c)). Such a transition was therefore placed in cascade with the $627.0-\mathrm{keV}$ and $114.6-\mathrm{keV}$ gamma rays, depopulating a state at 2404.6 keV , as shown in Fig. 2 (a). None of the other new lines could be seen in the coincidence spectra, either due to the limited statistics or their possible direct feeding to the $1^{-}$ground state. Next, by inspecting energy differences between gamma rays, three new levels were identified. First, we considered the 1566 - and $1721-\mathrm{keV}$ transitions which differ by 155 keV , what equals the energy difference between the $3_{1}^{-}$and $2_{2}^{-}$states, at 741.6 and 587.3 keV . One may then assume that they deexcite a state at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {exc }}=2308 \mathrm{keV}$, with relative branchings of $57(11)$ and 43(11), respectively. Similarly, the 2301- and the weak $2416-\mathrm{keV}$ gamma rays could deexcite a level placed at 2416 keV , feeding the first-excited $2_{1}^{-}$and the $1_{1}^{-}$ground states, with relative branchings of $78(11)$ and $22(11)$, respectively. The group of three weak transitions observed at 1091,1147 and 1205 keV required special attention, as they could be related to the existence of a $1^{-}$state or a doublet of unresolved $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{2}^{-}$states, reported by Hoffman et al. 35] at $1.17(2) \mathrm{MeV}$. It is very likely that the 1205- and $1091-\mathrm{keV}$ gamma rays, having an energy difference of $\sim 115 \mathrm{keV}$, feed the $1_{1}^{-}$g.s. and the $2_{1}^{-}$state (at 115 keV ) from a level at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {exc }}=1205 \mathrm{keV}$, with relative branchings of $47(16)$ and $53(16)$, respectively. The other $1147-\mathrm{keV}$ line could instead populate directly the $1_{1}^{-}$g.s. from a level at $\mathrm{E}_{\text {exc }}=1147 \mathrm{keV}$. This placement is consistent with the existence of a doublet of unresolved states with $1.17-\mathrm{MeV}$ average energy. Finally, the remaining newly observed $2073-\mathrm{keV}$ line was proposed to feed the $2_{1}^{-}$state from a level at 2188 keV , in agreement with both the indication of a presence of a state at $\sim 2.2 \mathrm{MeV}$, as reported in charge-exchange and ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) studies [35, 36], and theory considerations discussed below. The resulting level scheme is presented on the left of Fig. 2 (a). In this figure, lifetime information is also given for the $114.6-\mathrm{keV}$ state $(\tau=0.58(16) \mathrm{ns}$, from Wiedeking et al. [34), and for the state at 2404.6 keV . In the latter case, the lifetime value $\tau=160_{-100}^{+740}$ fs was extracted from a lineshape analysis of the intense $1663-\mathrm{keV}$ transition, by employing the Monte Carlo procedure described in Refs. [27, 28]. As shown in Fig. 3, the procedure also yielded the most precise transition energy value $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=1663.0$ (8) keV .

In previous works, the structure of the bound states in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ was calculated by using a shell-model approach with various interactions: the WBP and WBT interactions [25], and the YSOX interaction [9] mentioned above. In this work, we interpret our experimental findings with the help of the YSOX shell-model calculations. In Fig. 2 (b), the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ level and decay scheme calculated with the YSOX interaction are displayed. As discussed in earlier works, the ordering of the first four states $\left(1_{1}^{-}, 2_{1}^{-}, 2_{2}^{-}\right.$ and $3_{1}^{-}$) is well reproduced (contrary to the case of WBP


FIG. 2: (a): experimental decay scheme of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, as obtained in the present AGATA experiment. In black, previously-known levels and transitions [34, 36, 37]; in red, newly found ones (dashed lines for tentative). Positive-parity states observed in beta-decay studies [36 are marked in blue. (b): decay schemes of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ predicted by shell-model calculations using the YSOX interaction of Ref. [9. (c)-(d): ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ shell-model predictions with YSOX, with modified matrix elements involving proton- $p_{1 / 2^{-}}$ neutron- $s_{1 / 2}(c)$ and $s d(\mathrm{~d})$ orbitals. (e): experimental bound states of ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ 37. (f)-(h): shell-model predictions of ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ obtained with the original [9 (f) and modified YSOX interactions (g)-(h), as in panels (c) and (d) (see text for details).
and WBT), as well as the decay pattern. However, the second- and third-excited states are predicted at lower energies, approximately at half the excitation energies observed in the experiment. A few hundred keV above the third excited state, $1^{-}$and $0^{-}$excitations are predicted, lying $\sim 200 \mathrm{keV}$ apart from each other. They correspond to the experimental doublet located at 1147 and 1205 keV . On the basis of the comparison between calculated and observed decay patterns (i.e., two branches from the level at 1205 keV to the $2_{1}^{-}$and $1_{1}^{-}$g.s., and a decay from the level at 1147 keV exclusively to the $1_{1}^{-}$ g.s.), we tentatively assign spin-parity $0^{-}$to the 1147 and $1^{-}$to the $1205-\mathrm{keV}$ states. Above 2 MeV , calculations predict four negative-parity states. They can be related to the four states located experimentally. The level at 2405 keV is the most strongly populated. Based on the fact that deep-inelastic reactions populate preferentially yrast states, we assign to it spin-parity $4^{-}$. In this case, the experimental lifetime value could be determined (see Fig. 3), yielding the value $\tau=160_{-100}^{+740}$ fs , which is in line with the calculated value of 93.5 fs . Further, the decay pattern of the experimental 2308- and $2416-\mathrm{keV}$ levels are consistent with the calculated decay schemes of the $3_{3}^{-}$and $2_{3}^{-}$states at 2389 and 2034 keV .

Therefore, we assign to them spin-parity $3^{-}$and $2^{-}$, respectively. Consequently, the level at 2188 keV will have spin-parity assignment of $3^{-}$, as it is associated with the calculated $3_{2}^{-}$state at 2155 keV .

As shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), the shell-model predictions with the YSOX interaction, although providing a rather satisfactory description of the excitation spectrum and decay scheme of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, do not reproduce the ordering, in particular, of the $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{2}^{-}$states around 1.17 MeV - these states arise from the coupling between a proton hole in the $p_{1 / 2}$ and a neutron in the $s_{1 / 2}$ orbitals. The ordering of higher-lying states, above 2 MeV , is more difficult to interpret, since the location of such states may be affected by being in the vicinity of the neutron threshold.

An attempt was made to improve the agreement between data and shell-model predictions, by adjusting selected cross-shell $p$-sd two-body matrix elements by about $20-30 \%$. At first, to reverse the order of the $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{2}^{-}$levels in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ and become consistent with the experimental data, the matrix elements for the $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu s_{1 / 2}$ orbits were adjusted: $<\pi p_{1 / 2}, \nu s_{1 / 2}(J)|V| \pi p_{1 / 2}, \nu s_{1 / 2}(J)>$ were varied by $-0.3(+0.2) \mathrm{MeV}$ for $\mathrm{J}^{\pi}=0^{-}\left(1^{-}\right)$. Next, the spacing among calculated levels was improved by varying the matrix element involving the $\pi p_{1 / 2^{-}}$


FIG. 3: Gamma-ray energy spectrum of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ in the region of the $1663-\mathrm{keV} \gamma$ ray, as measured with AGATA over the entire angular range (black histogram). The red-shaded band is the result of the lineshape simulation with the method of Refs. [27, 28], performed by varying $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}$ and $\tau$ within the uncertainty region of the corresponding two-dimensional $\chi^{2}$ lifetime-energy surface shown in the inset (the white cross and white contour line indicate the minimum and the uncertainty region, corresponding to $80 \%$ confidence level), e.g., $\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=$ $1663.0(8) \mathrm{keV}$ and $\tau=160_{-100}^{+740} \mathrm{fs}$.
$\nu d_{5 / 2}$ orbitals: $<\pi p_{1 / 2}, \nu d_{5 / 2}(J)|V| \pi p_{1 / 2}, \nu d_{5 / 2}(J)>$ was modified by -0.25 MeV for $\mathrm{J}=2^{-}$. Such calculations are labelled as "pd25" in Fig. 2 Further, within the $s d$-shell part, the two-body matrix element $<\nu d_{5 / 2}^{2}(J)|V| \nu d_{5 / 2}^{2}(J)>$ for $\mathrm{J}=2^{+}$was also varied by -0.23 MeV . Results are labelled as "pd25dd23" in Fig. 2. This last change leads to an increase of the spacing among the average energies of the ( $1_{1}^{-}$g.s., $2_{2}^{-}$), $\left(2_{1}^{-}, 3_{1}^{-}\right)$ and $\left(0_{1}^{-}, 1_{2}^{-}\right)$pairs (as mentioned in the introduction, in the weak-coupling scheme, these pairs correspond to a proton in the $\pi p_{1 / 2}$ orbit coupled to the $3 / 2_{1}^{+}, 5 / 2_{1}^{+}$ and $1 / 2_{1}^{+}$states of ${ }^{17} \mathrm{C}$, with dominant configurations $\nu d_{5 / 2}^{3}, \nu d_{5 / 2}^{3}$ and $\nu d_{5 / 2}^{2}\left(0^{+}\right) s_{1 / 2}$, respectively). In particular, states with $\nu d_{5 / 2}^{2}\left(2^{+}\right) d_{5 / 2}$ components are lowered, therefore the energies of the $\left(1_{1}^{-}\right.$g.s., $\left.2_{2}^{-}\right)$and $\left(2_{1}^{-}, 3_{1}^{-}\right)$ pairs (whose main components are $\pi p_{1 / 2} \nu d_{5 / 2}^{3}$ configurations with appreciable $\pi p_{1 / 2} \nu d_{5 / 2}^{2}\left(2^{+}\right) d_{5 / 2}$ contributions) are decreased relative to the pair $\left(0_{1}^{-}, 1_{2}^{-}\right)$(which is dominantly of $\pi p_{1 / 2} \nu d_{5 / 2}^{2}\left(0^{+}\right) s_{1 / 2}$ origin) (see Fig. 22 (d)). Moreover, an improvement in the transition probabilities is obtained. In particular, for the decay from the $3_{1}^{-}$ state, two branches leading to $2_{1}^{-}$and $2_{2}^{-}$with similar intensities are calculated, in better agreement with the experiment.

The changes of matrix elements introduced above lead also to the correct reproduction of the spin and parity of the ground state and first-excited state in ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$, as shown in Fig. 2(e), (g) and (h). The $2^{-}$level in ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$, which
is dominantly of $\pi p_{1 / 2} \nu d_{5 / 2}$ nature, is lowered by the more attractive $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu d_{5 / 2}$ interaction, and becomes the ground state in agreement with the experiment. The $0^{-}$ excitation becomes the first-excited state, after the adjustment of the $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu s_{1 / 2}$ cross-shell matrix elements, which reverses the order of the $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{1}^{-}$states. Altogether, the improved description of the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ data is related to the adjustments of the matrix elements for $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu s_{1 / 2}, \pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu d_{5 / 2}$ and $\nu d_{5 / 2}-\nu d_{5 / 2}$ (described earlier), which can be translated in variations of the monopole terms by $0.075 \mathrm{MeV},-0.104 \mathrm{MeV}$ and 0.077 MeV , respectively. In particular, the change in the $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu d_{5 / 2}$ monopole term makes it more attractive than in the original YSOX interaction [9]. Related modifications in the corresponding multipole terms are also necessary to obtain a better agreement with the experimental data. The "pd25" calculation, which takes into account a modification of the $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu d_{5 / 2}$ matrix element in addition to those of $\pi p_{1 / 2}-\nu s_{1 / 2}$, improves the order of the energy levels. The "pd25dd23", with further modification of the $\nu d_{5 / 2}-\nu d_{5 / 2}$ matrix element, additionally improves the energy level spacings. We note that the dripline of the N isotopes remains at ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ for both the modified YSOX interactions.

In summary, in this work we have performed a highresolution $\gamma$-spectroscopy investigation, with the AGATA array, of the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ nucleus populated in deep-inelastic processes induced by an ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ beam on a ${ }^{181} \mathrm{Ta}$ target. A total of six states have been newly identified, which together with three already known excitations exhaust all negative-parity excited states expected in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$ below the neutron threshold. Large-scale shell-model calculations performed in the $p$-sd space, with the YSOX interaction, reasonably reproduce the experimental data, apart from the ordering of the $0_{1}^{-}$and $1_{2}^{-}$states, which originate from the coupling between a $p_{1 / 2}$ proton and a $s_{1 / 2}$ neutron. Selective variations of two-body $p$-sd cross-shell and $s d$ inter-shell matrix elements restore the level ordering in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, simultaneously reproducing the ground and first-excited state in ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$, for the first time. These results help constraining cross-shell proton-neutron effective interactions in the $p-s d$ space, so far little explored in comparison with similar investigations in the $s d-p f$ shell 43 . Of particular interest is also the location of the $1_{2}^{-}$excitation in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~N}$, which has strong impact on neutron-capture cross-section calculations in r-process modeling including light neutron-rich nuclei [20 22].

The work demonstrates the power of state-of-the-art instruments, such as the AGATA [30-32] and GRETINA [44, 45] tracking arrays and complementary detectors, in performing complete spectroscopy of hard-to-reach nuclei lying on the neutron-rich side of the stability valley.
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