

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

### Nuclear temperature and its dependence on the source neutron-proton asymmetry deduced using the Albergo thermometer

Y. Huang ([]]), H. Zheng ([]]), R. Wada, X. Liu ([]]]), W. Lin ([]]]), G. Qu ([]]]), M. Huang ([]]), P. Ren ([]]]), J. Han ([]]]), A. Bonasera, K. Hagel, M. R. D. Rodrigues, S. Kowalski, T. Keutgen, M. Barbui, and J. B. Natowitz
Phys. Rev. C **103**, 014601 — Published 5 January 2021
DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.103.014601

## Nuclear temperature and its dependence on the source neutron-proton asymmetry deduced using Albergo thermometer

Y. Huang(黄宇)<sup>a</sup>, H. Zheng(郑华)<sup>b</sup>, R. Wada<sup>c,d</sup>, X. Liu(刘星泉)<sup>a,\*</sup>, W. Lin(林炜平)<sup>a,\*\*</sup>, G. Qu(曲国峰)<sup>a</sup>, M. Huang(黄美容)<sup>e</sup>, P. Ren(任培培)<sup>a</sup>, J. Han(韩纪锋)<sup>a</sup>, A. Bonasera<sup>c,f</sup>, K. Hagel<sup>c</sup>, M.R.D. Rodrigues<sup>g</sup>, S. Kowalski<sup>h</sup>, T. Keutgen<sup>i</sup>, M. Barbui<sup>c</sup>, J.B. Natowitz<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Radiation Physics and Technology of the Ministry of Education, Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China

<sup>b</sup>School of Physics and Information Technology, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710119, China

<sup>c</sup>Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843

<sup>d</sup>School of Physics, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, China

<sup>e</sup> College of Physics and Electronics information, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao, 028000, China

<sup>f</sup>Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, INFN, via Santa Sofia, 62, 95123 Catania, Italy

<sup>8</sup>Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66318, CEP 05389-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

<sup>h</sup>Institute of Physics, Silesia University, Katowice, Poland

<sup>i</sup>FNRS and IPN, Université Catholique de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-Neuve, Belgium

#### Abstract

Albergo thermometers with double isotope, isotone and isobar yield ratio pairs with one proton or/and neutron difference are investigated. Without any extra sequential decay correction, a real temperature value of  $4.9\pm0.5$  MeV is deduced from the yields of the experimentally reconstructed primary hot intermediate mass fragments (IMFs) from  $^{64}$ Zn+ $^{112}$ Sn collisions at 40 MeV/nucleon using the Albergo thermometer for the first time. An experimental sequential decay correction from the apparent temperatures to the real ones for twelve other reaction systems with different neutron-proton (N/Z) asymmetries in the same experiment,  $^{70}$ Zn,  $^{64}$ Ni on  $^{112,124}$ Sn,  $^{58,64}$ Ni,  $^{197}$ Au,  $^{232}$ Th at 40 MeV/nucleon, is performed using an empirical correction factor approach of Tsang *et al.* [Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3836 (1997)] with the deduced 4.9 MeV temperature value. The dependence of nuclear temperature on the source N/Z asymmetry is further investigated using these deduced real source temperature values from the present thirteen systems. It is found that the deduced real source temperatures at the present source N/Z range show a rather weak dependence on the source N/Z asymmetry. By comparison between our previous results and those from other independent experiments, a consistent description for the N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature is addressed.

#### 1 1. Introduction

Nuclear temperature was first introduced to describe the formation and decay of a compound nucleus in the 2 1930s [1, 2], and later extended to nuclear reactions to gain insights into the characteristics of the fragmenting source, 3 and the reaction dynamics [3, 4]. To extract temperature information experimentally, several nuclear "thermometers" 4 have been proposed based on various experimental observables, i.e, energy spectra [5, 6], momentum fluctuations [7], 5 double isotope yield ratios [8] and excited state populations [9], etc. Among them, the double isotope yield ratio ther-6 mometer, which is often referred as the Albergo thermometer, has a wide application for different reactions at different incident energies. When deducing the temperature using the Albergo thermometer (as well as other thermometers), 8 one of the significant complications in nuclear reactions is the sequential decay processes. That is, as the fragments 9 produced in the reactions at freeze-out are generally highly excited, they will undergo sequential decays. Thus the 10 measured isotope yields are often significantly perturbed by the sequential decays, resulting in a serious inaccuracy in 11

<sup>\*</sup>Email address: liuxingquan@scu.edu.cn

<sup>\*\*</sup>Email address: linwp1204@scu.edu.cn

the temperature determination. The temperature deduced from the experimentally measured isotope yields is therefore 12 called "apparent temperature", whereas the temperature before the sequential decays is called "real (source) temper-13 ature" (similarly hereinafter). To take into account the sequential decay effect, two general approaches [10, 11] have 14 been developed to achieve the sequential decay correction from the apparent temperatures to the real ones. The for-15 mer is based on the theoretical calculations [10], whereas the latter uses the empirical correction factor deduced from 16 experiments [11]. In our previous work [12], a kinematical focusing technique has been proposed and employed to experimentally reconstruct the yields of primary hot intermediate mass fragments (IMFs, i.e.,  $Z \ge 3$ ) from <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn 18 collisions at 40 MeV/nucleon. The available reconstructed IMF yields may provide another opportunity to deduce the 19 real source temperature using the Albergo thermometer, without extra sequential decay corrections. 20

During the heavy ion collisions at intermediate energies, IMFs are copiously produced in multifragmentation 21 processes [13, 14, 15, 16]. It is generally expected that the overlap region of the composite system of projectile and 22 target nuclei is first compressed and excited in the early stage of the reaction for central or simi-central collisions, and 23 then the hot-dense nuclear system expands and breaks up. At the early rapid expansion stages many light particles are 24 emitted from rather hot regions of the system at high temperatures, whereas the IMF emissions are with a tendency of 25 coming from cold regions of the system at late stages. This scenario finds support from the experimental observation 26 of Tsang and Xi et al. [11, 17], that temperatures involving heavier isotopes are lower than those with lighter ones. 27 In a series of our works [18, 19, 20, 21], we established a method, so called a self-consistent method, to extract 28 consistently the temperature, density and symmetry energy at the same time, making the use of the nature that the 29 isotope distribution widths of IMFs are mainly governed by the symmetry energy at given density and temperature 30 during the fragment formation. In these studies, a low temperature of around 5-6 MeV and a low density of  $\rho/\rho_0 \sim 0.6$ 31 were obtained, indicating that IMF isotope distributions are attained at subsaturation densities, as well as supporting a 32 IMF formation at late stages. This scenario was further confirmed the theoretical study with the events of  ${}^{40}Ca + {}^{40}Ca$ 33 central collsions at 35 to 300 MeV/nucleon using the antisymmetrized molecular dynamics (AMD) [22, 23]. The 34 Albergo thermometers use the isotope yields, and therefore those involving IMF yields can probe the temperatures at 35 late stages when the nuclear matter reaches at an expanding freezeout volume. 36

Of broader interest, the study on the dependence of nuclear temperature on the source neutron-proton (N/Z) asym-37 metry provides crucial information on the N/Z asymmetry dependence of the nuclear forces, the nuclear equation of 38 state and the postulated nuclear liquid-gas phase transition [4, 24, 25, 26, 27]. However, up to now large uncertainties 39 in the nuclear temperature N/Z asymmetry dependence still remain. On one hand, sequential decay process signifi-40 cantly influences the performance of nuclear thermometers [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 28], and on the other hand, the applications 41 of different thermometers in the experimental temperature determination [7, 29, 30] and the different modeling as-42 sumptions in the calculations [31, 32, 33, 34] also result in the conflicting conclusions in both experiment and theory. 43 Recently, we studied the source N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature with measured light charged 44 particles (LCPs) and IMFs from thirteen reaction systems with different N/Z asymmetries, <sup>64</sup>Zn on <sup>112</sup>Sn, and <sup>70</sup>Zn, 45 <sup>64</sup>Ni on <sup>112,124</sup>Sn, <sup>58,64</sup>Ni, <sup>197</sup>Au, <sup>232</sup>Th at 40 MeV/nucleon [30, 35]. In those works, the Albergo thermometer was 46 used to deduce the temperature values. To further isolate the reaction mechanisms involved in the reaction products, 47 the fragmenting sources were characterized using a moving source fit [36]. An "indirect" method used by Sfienti et 48 al. in Ref. [37] was adopted to take into account the sequential decay effect. That is, instead of using the Albergo 49 thermometer as an absolute thermometer, we used it as a relative thermometer. A rather weak N/Z asymmetry de-50 pendence of the source temperature for both LCPs and IMFs was qualitatively inferred at the measured source N/Z51 range from the extracted weak N/Z asymmetry dependence of the apparent temperature and the weak N/Z asymmetry 52 dependence of the relative temperature change by the sequential decay effects predicted by the models [23, 38, 39]. 53

In this article, we deduce real temperature from the experimentally reconstructed primary hot IMF yields from 54 the collisions of <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn at 40 MeV/nucleon using the Albergo thermometer for the first time. Not only double 55 isotope yield ratio pairs, but also double isotone and isobar yield ratio pairs are examined and used in this work. We 56 then explore the N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature using the Albergo thermometer as an absolute 57 thermometer. For comparison with our previous results, the same IMF yield data from <sup>64</sup>Zn on <sup>112</sup>Sn, and <sup>70</sup>Zn, 58 <sup>64</sup>Ni on <sup>112,124</sup>Sn, <sup>58,64</sup>Ni, <sup>197</sup>Au, <sup>232</sup>Th at 40 MeV/nucleon [30, 35] are used. For the twelve systems (excluding 59 the <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn system) in which the experimentally reconstructed primary hot IMFs are not available, the empirical 60 correction factor approach of Tsang et al. [11] is applied to achieve the sequential decay correction from the apparent 61 temperatures to the real ones. This strategy, comparing with that adopted in our previous works, is direct, and the N/Z62 asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature can be deduced quantitatively. This article is organized as follows. In 63



Figure 1: (Color online) Yield distributions of the experimentally measured secondary cold fragments (dots), and the reconstructed primary hot IMFs (squares) determined from the collisions of  $^{64}$ Zn+ $^{112}$ Sn at 40 MeV/nucleon. The AMD results are plotted by circles for comparison. The figure is taken from Ref. [43] with permission.

<sup>64</sup> Sec.2, the experiment and data analysis are briefly introduced. In Sec.3, the Albergo thermometer is investigated; the

N/Z asymmetry dependence of the real temperature is deduced and discussed. In Sec.4, a summary is given.

#### 66 2. Experiment and Data Analysis

Even though detailed descriptions were given elsewhere [12, 30, 35], the experimental details and the data analysis 67 are briefly introduced in this section, since they closely relate to the analysis and results presented in the following 68 sections. The experiment was performed at the K-500 superconducting cyclotron facility at Texas A&M University. 69 <sup>64,70</sup>Zn and <sup>64</sup>Ni beams irradiated on <sup>58,64</sup>Ni, <sup>112,124</sup>Sn, <sup>197</sup>Au and <sup>232</sup>Th targets at 40 MeV/nucleon. Only certain 70 selected targets were used for each beam due to the limited beam time. During the experiment, IMFs were detected 71 by a detector telescope placed at 20°. The telescope was consisted of four Si detectors. Each Si detector was 5 cm 72  $\times$  5 cm. The nominal thicknesses were 129, 300, 1000, 1000  $\mu$ m, respectively. All four Si detectors were segmented 73 into four sections and each quadrant had a  $5^{\circ}$  opening in polar angle. The telescope provided the main trigger for 74 all detected events. Typically  $6 \sim 8$  isotopes for atomic numbers Z up to Z = 18 were clearly identified with the 75 energy threshold of  $4 \sim 10$  MeV/nucleon, using the  $\Delta E - E$  technique for any two consecutive detectors. The LCPs in 76 coincidence with IMFs were measured using 16 single-crystal CsI(Tl) detectors of 3 cm length set around the target at 77 angles between  $\theta_{Lab} = 27^{\circ}$  and  $\theta_{Lab} = 155^{\circ}$ . Sixteen detectors of the Belgian-French neutron detector array DEMON 78 (Detecteur Modulaire de Neutrons) [40] outside the target chamber were used to measure neutrons, covering polar 79 angles of  $15^{\circ} \le \theta_{IMF-n} \le 160^{\circ}$  between the telescope and the neutron detectors, where  $\theta_{IMF-n}$  was the opening angle 80 between the IMF telescope and each neutron detector. 81

Since the IMFs were taken inclusively, the angle of the IMF telescope was set carefully to optimize the IMF yields. The consideration was that the angle should be small enough to ensure that sufficient IMF yields were obtained above the detector energy threshold, as well as that the angle should be large enough to minimize contributions from peripheral collisions. For this purpose, simulations of the AMD incorporating with GEMINI [39] were performed.

<sup>86</sup> The comparison between the experiment and AMD+GEMINI simulations suggested that the events selected by the

 $_{87}$  IMF triggers at the polar angles within 15°-25° are corresponding to semi-violent collisions (see details in Refs. [30,  $_{88}$  35]). In order to characterize the fragmenting source to isolate the reaction mechanisms involved in the reaction

products, a moving source fit [36] was employed. In the moving source fit for IMFs, the sources were classified as

projectile-like (PLF), intermediate-velocity (IV), and target-like (TLF) sources according to the source velocity. For

<sup>91</sup> neutrons and LCPs, since the measured angles were greater than  $\theta_{lab} > 20^{\circ}$  where the PLF source component had <sup>92</sup> negligible contributions to the spectra, two sources, IV source and TLF source, were used in the moving-source fit. The <sup>93</sup> Minuit in the Cern library was used to optimize the four parameters for each source, isotope yield, slope parameter, <sup>94</sup> Coulomb energy, and source velocity. The errors of the isotope yields from the moving source fits were evaluated by <sup>95</sup> performing different optimizations with different initial values within a wide range, including source velocity, energy <sup>96</sup> slope and among others, rather than the errors given by the Minuit from the fits, since there were many local minima <sup>97</sup> for the multiple parameter fits. The source characterization enables us to isolate the emitting source and eliminate <sup>98</sup> the interference from the source property (isospin, temperature, density and among others) deviations [41, 42], and

<sup>99</sup> therefore, only the neutron, LCP and IMF yields from the IV source were considered.

For further investigating the Albergo thermometer and its sequential decay correction, a kinematical focusing 100 technique was employed to evaluate the neutron and LCP yields associated with each isotopically identified IMF, to 101 reconstruct the yields of hot primary isotopes with the charge number of 3 - 14 from the IV source of the  ${}^{64}$ Zn+ ${}^{112}$ Sn 102 system. Following the kinematical focusing technique, the particles emitted from a precursor IMF were designated 103 "correlated" particles, whereas those not emitted from the precursor IMF were designated as "uncorrelated" particles. 104 When correlated particles were emitted from a moving parent of an IMF, whose velocity  $v_{IMF}$  was approximated by 105 the velocity of the detected trigger IMF, the particles isotropically emitted in the frame of the IMF tended to be kine-106 matically focused into a cone centered along the  $v_{IMF}$  vector of the detected IMF, differing the case for uncorrelated 107 particles emitted in the same event. The contribution of the correlated particles was determined by the use of a moving 108 source parametrization and the shape of the uncorrelated spectrum was obtained from the particle velocity spectrum 109 observed in coincidence with Li isotopes which were accompanied by the least number of correlated particles. Since 110 a part of the light particle emissions in coincidence with the Li isotopes was from the decays of heavier isotopes into 111 light particles and the Li isotopes, and leaded to an overestimation of the uncorrelated light particle emissions, the 112 correlated particle yields extracted for a given isotope were required to be corrected by the addition of an amount 113 corresponding to the correlated emission of that particle from the Li isotopes evaluated from the AMD-GEMINI 114 simulations [23, 39]. The correlated yields were extracted for n, p, d, t and  $\alpha$  particles. For the mother nucleus 115 reconstruction, neutron and LCP yields,  $M_i$  (*i* is n, p, d, t and  $\alpha$ ), were generated for a given cold daughter nucleus 116 on an event by event basis, assuming Gaussian distributions with a width evaluated by the GEMINI simulation, and 117 their centroid was adjusted to give the same average yield as that of the experiment. Then the mass and charge of the 118 primary isotope,  $A_{hot}$ ,  $Z_{hot}$  was calculated as  $A_{hot} = \sum_i M_i A_i + A_{cold}$  and  $Z_{hot} = \sum_i M_i Z_i + Z_{cold}$ , where  $A_i$  and  $Z_i$  are the 119 mass and charge of correlated the particle i, and Acold and Zcold are those of the detected cold IMFs. The final results of 120 the measured (dots) and reconstructed primary hot (squares) isotope distributions are compared in Fig. 1. The errors 12 of the reconstructed yields consisted of the errors on the associated neutron and LCP yields from the moving source fit 122 and the errors added for the correction for the emission from the Li isotopes [12]. For some of very neutron or proton 123 rich isotopes, a larger contribution of the additional error in the reconstructed isotope yield was made from the choice 124 of the input excitation energy for the shape of the neutron and LCP yield distribution calculation with GEMINI [39]. 125 One can see clearly wider isotope distributions for the primary hot IMFs except for Z = 3, whereas those of the mea-126 sured IMFs appear much narrower. This demonstrates the significant modification of the yield distributions between 127 the primary hot and the observed cold IMFs caused by the sequential decay processes. For comparison, the isotope 128 yield distributions from the AMD calculations (see details in Ref. [43]) are also plotted in Fig. 1. It can be observed 129 that the reconstructed primary hot isotope distributions are in close agreement with those from the AMD calculations, 130 suggesting a good performance for constraining the primary hot fragment distributions using kinematical focusing 131

132 technique for this work.

#### 133 3. Results and discussion

#### 134 a. Albergo thermometer

<sup>135</sup> Under the assumption that equilibrium may be established between free nucleons and composite fragments con-<sup>136</sup> tained within a certain freezeout volume V and a temperature T, the density of an isotope with A nucleons and Z <sup>137</sup> protons (A, Z) may be expressed as

$$\rho(A,Z) = \frac{N(A,Z)}{V} = \frac{A^{3/2} \cdot \omega(A,Z)}{\lambda_T^3} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{\mu(A,Z) + B(A,Z)}{T}\right],\tag{1}$$

where N(A, Z) is the number of isotope (A, Z) within the volume V;  $\lambda_T = h/(2\pi m_0 T)^{1/2}$  is the thermal nucleon wavelength, where  $m_0$  is the nucleon mass; B(A, Z) is the binding energy;  $\omega(A, Z)$  is the internal partition function of the isotope (A, Z) and related to the ground- and excited-state spins as

$$\omega(A, Z) = \sum_{j} [2s_j(A, Z) + 1] \cdot \exp[-E_j(A, Z)/T],$$
(2)

where  $s_j(A, Z)$  are ground- and excited-state spins and  $E_j(A, Z)$  are the excitation energies of these states.  $\mu(A, Z)$  in Eq. 1 is the chemical potential of the isotope (A, Z). In chemical equilibrium,  $\mu(A, Z)$  is expressed as

$$\mu(A,Z) = Z\mu_p + (A-Z)\mu_n,\tag{3}$$

where  $\mu_p$  and  $\mu_n$  are the chemical potentials of free protons and free neutrons, respectively. Calculating the densities of free protons and neutrons,  $\rho_p$  and  $\rho_n$ , in the same volume using Eqs. 1 and 3, performing transforms to obtain  $\mu_p$ and  $\mu_n$ , and then inserting  $\mu_p$  and  $\mu_n$  back into Eq. 1, one obtains,

$$\rho(A,Z) = \frac{N(A,Z)}{V} = \frac{A^{3/2} \cdot \omega(A,Z) \cdot \lambda_T^{3(A-1)}}{(2s_p+1)^Z \cdot (2s_n+1)^{A-Z}} \cdot \rho_p^Z \cdot \rho_n^{A-Z} \exp\left[\frac{B(A,Z)}{T}\right],\tag{4}$$

where  $s_p$  and  $s_n$  are the spins of the free proton and neutron, respectively. The ratio between the measured yields of two different nuclei is then

$$\frac{Y(A,Z)}{Y(A',Z')} = \frac{\rho(A,Z)}{\rho(A',Z')} = \left(\frac{A}{A'}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\lambda_T^3}{2}\right)^{A-A'} \frac{\omega(A,Z)}{\omega(A',Z')} \rho_p^{(Z-Z')} \rho_n^{(A-Z)-(A'-Z')} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{B(A,Z) - B(A',Z')}{T}\right].$$
(5)

The free neutron density can be calculated from the yield ratio of two isotopes with only one neutron difference, such as (A, Z) and (A + 1, Z),

$$\rho_n = C \cdot \left(\frac{A}{A+1} \cdot T\right)^{3/2} \frac{\omega(A,Z)}{\omega(A+1,Z)} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{B(A,Z) - B(A+1,Z)}{T}\right] \cdot \frac{Y(A+1,Z)}{Y(A,Z)},\tag{6}$$

where *C* is the constant related to the unit conversion. Analogously, the free proton density is calculated from the yield ratio of two isotones with only one proton difference, such as (A, Z) and (A + 1, Z + 1),

$$\rho_p = C \cdot \left(\frac{A}{A+1} \cdot T\right)^{3/2} \frac{\omega(A,Z)}{\omega(A+1,Z+1)} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{B(A,Z) - B(A+1,Z+1)}{T}\right] \cdot \frac{Y(A+1,Z+1)}{Y(A,Z)}.$$
(7)

The ratio of free proton and neutron densities is calculated from the yield ratio of two isobars with one proton and one neutron difference, such as (A, Z) and (A, Z + 1),

$$\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_n} = C \cdot T^{3/2} \frac{\omega(A,Z)}{\omega(A,Z+1)} \cdot \exp\left[\frac{B(A,Z) - B(A,Z+1)}{T}\right] \cdot \frac{Y(A,Z+1)}{Y(A,Z)}.$$
(8)

For a nuclear system with a given temperature T, the same free neutron and proton density, and free proton and

neutron density ratio must be evaluated from Eqs. 6, 7 and 8. Choosing two isotope, isotone or isobar ratios with one proton or/and neutron difference, one can deduce the relation between T and the fragment yield ratios as

proton of and neuron difference, one can deduce the relation between 1 and the magnetic yield ratios as

$$T = \frac{B}{\ln(aR)},\tag{9}$$

and the relative error of T,  $\delta T/T$ , is deduced as

$$\frac{\delta T}{T} = \frac{1}{\ln(aR)} \cdot \frac{\delta R}{R},\tag{10}$$

where  $R = (Y_1/Y_2)/(Y_3/Y_4)$  is the double yield ratio for (1, 2), and (3, 4) ratio pairs and  $\delta R$  is the error of *R*. *B* is the binding energy difference given by  $B = (B_1 - B_2) - (B_3 - B_4)$ , and *a* is the statistical weight factor

$$a = \frac{\omega_3/\omega_4}{\omega_1/\omega_2} \left[ \frac{A_3/A_4}{A_1/A_2} \right]^{1.5}.$$
 (11)

In this work,  $\omega$  is determined with Eq. 2 using all available experimentally measured nuclear levels for a given nucleus. The experimental level scheme for the given nucleus is cited from National Nuclear Data Center (NNDC)-NuDat 2.8 [44].

Along with the above formalism of the Albergo thermometer, we deduce the real source temperature and the 163 apparent temperature using yields of the experimentally reconstructed primary hot and measured cold fragments from 164 the  $^{64}$ Zn+ $^{112}$ Sn system. Note that, T is used twice in Eqs. 2 and 9, and therefore their values should be deduced 165 consistently. In order to achieve that, an iterative technique is employed. That is, in the first round,  $T = T_1$  MeV is 166 initialized to be 1 MeV in Eq. 2 to calculate the statistical weight factor a. The resulting a value is plugged into Eq. 9 167 to calculate the temperature value  $T'_1$ . In the second round, setting  $T = T_2 = (T_1 + T'_1)/2$  in Eq. 2 to recalculate a and 168 plugging the new a into Eq. 9,  $T'_2$  can be then obtained. The iteration continues until  $|T_n - T'_n|/T_n < 1\%$ , where the 169 subscript *n* represents the iteration round order. In contrast, if experimentally measured cold fragment yields are used 170 to deduce the apparent temperature, only the ground-state spins of nuclei are taken into account without the iteration 171 procedure practically, following Refs. [11, 30, 34, 35, 45]. For a clarity, the real source temperature and the apparent 172 temperature are, respectively, denoted as T and  $T_{app}$  hereinafter. 173

In previous works [11, 30, 34, 35, 45], double isotope yield ratio pairs were used to construct the Albergo ther-174 mometer. In the present study, all available pairs of double isotope, isotone and isobar yield ratios with one proton 175 or/and neutron difference within the available primary hot and secondary cold fragment yields of the <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn sys-176 tem (see Fig. 1) are used to construct the thermometers following the Albergo thermometer formalism. It should be 177 mentioned that the LCP-related thermometers are absent, since the minimum charge number of the reconstructed hot 178 fragments is 3. In Fig. 2 (a), the obtained T values using the constructed thermometers are plotted as a function of 179 the  $T_{app}$  values. Here the results with the relative errors of T and  $T_{app}$  given by Eq. 10 both smaller than 20% are 180 presented. One may see from the figure that the deduced values of T and  $T_{app}$  both distribute in a wide region. This 181 wide distribution may originate from two factors. One is the B value in Eq. 9. When Tsang et al. studied the Albergo 182 thermometers using many isotope combinations from the reactions of p+Xe ranging from 80 to 350 GeV/c, they 183 realized that the Albergo temperature values with B > 10 MeV show a rather narrow distribution around the average 184 values, whereas those with B < 10 MeV show a much wider distribution [11]. Here we select only the results from 185 thermometers with B > 10 MeV. These results are shown in Fig. 2 (b). Indeed, most of the points with T < 4 MeV 186 or  $T_{app} < 2$  MeV are eliminated, and both T and  $T_{app}$  are distributed in a narrower region. However, the T values 187 still spread significantly from  $\sim 3.5$  MeV to  $\sim 7.5$  MeV. This may originate from the second factor, the statistical 188 weight factor a in Eq. 9. When the a value is calculated for deducing the T values, the experimental nuclear level 189 schemes are taken into account. However, the level information is sufficient only for relatively light and stable nuclei. 190 For some heavy nuclei or those slightly far away from the  $\beta$ -stability line, the high excitation levels have not been 191 well determined experimentally, i.e., the excitation level information for <sup>25</sup>Na in NNDC-NuDat 2.8 library [44], for 192 example, is only available up to  $\sim 8$  MeV ( $\leq 0.3$  MeV/nucleon). On the other side, following the Fermi-gas assump-193 tion, a nuclear temperature of 5 MeV, for example, corresponds to an excitation energy of  $\sim 2$  MeV/nucleon even 194 with a large level density parameter of 13 MeV<sup>-1</sup> [46]. The value of ~ 2 MeV/nucleon is around seven times larger 195



Figure 2:  $T-T_{app}$  correlation determined from both primary hot and secondary cold fragment yields from the <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn system using different Albergo thermometers. (a) the results are deduced from the thermometers constructed using all available pairs of double isotope, isotone and isobar yield ratios with one proton or/and neutron difference within the present fragment determination region (see Fig. 1) and with a selection of the relative errors of T and  $T_{app}$  both smaller than 20%. (b) same as (a), but with a limitation of B > 10 MeV to the thermometers. (c) same as (a), but with both limitations of B > 10 MeV and involved nuclei with the measured maximum excitation levels greater than 1 MeV/nucleon to the thermometers. For comparison, the real temperature values deduced in our two previous works [21, 48] are also plotted by shaded areas (see the text).

- than the excitation energy of the measured maximum level for <sup>25</sup>Na. This significant lack of the high excitation level
- information may result in the inaccuracy of the T determination, and therefore nuclei with sufficiently well-known
- high excitation level schemes are demanded to construct the thermometers to ensure their accuracy. Here, the results
- <sup>199</sup> from the thermometers with the four nuclei in the two sets of ratio pairs all with the measured maximum excitation
- levels greater than 1 MeV/nucleon are selected out from Fig. 2 (b) and shown in Fig. 2 (c). In the figure, only nine data
- points (around half number of that of Fig. 2 (b)) remain. The ratio pair combinations of the nine thermometers, their
- associated parameters and the resulting T and  $T_{app}$  values are summarized in the first to the sixth columns of TABLE
- I. The T values from these nine thermometers distribute in a much narrower region than that of Fig. 2 (b) evidenced
- <sup>204</sup> by a  $\chi^2$  analysis [47], that the reduced  $\chi^2$  value,  $\chi^2/N_{point}$ , significantly decreases from 1.06 for Fig. 2 (b) to 0.26 for <sup>205</sup> Fig. 2 (c), where  $N_{point}$  represents the number of data points in each figure. This fact demonstrates a crucial role of
- high excitation level information in the T determination.
- For comparison, the real temperature values deduced from our two previous works [21, 48] are also plotted in Fig. 2 (c) by the shaded areas. The temperature of  $5.2 \pm 0.6$  MeV, deduced from the same reconstructed hot IMF
- yields using a self-consistent method [21], is indicated by the red shaded area. The blue shaded area of  $4.6 \pm 0.4$  MeV
- is deduced using a chemical potential analysis with a quantum statistical model correction, based on the same set of
- the data used in this article [48]. Rather good agreement is obtained for the results from the three individual analyses
- in the data used in this article [46]. Raticl good agreement is obtained for the results from the three motividual analyse

Table 1: List of the nine thermometers used in Fig. 2 (c) and their associated parameters (Columns 1-4), the *T* and  $T_{app}$  values deduced from the reconstructed hot and measured cold fragment yields of the  $^{64}$ Zn+ $^{112}$ Sn system (Columns 5-6), and the deduced ln  $\kappa/B$  values (Column 7) using Eq. 12.  $a_{hot}$  represents the statistical weight factor calculated from all available experimentally measured nuclear levels for a given nucleus, and  $a_{rel}$  represents the statistical weight factor calculated from the ground-state spins for a given nucleus (see the text).

<i>a<sub>cold</sub></i> represents the statistical weight factor calculated from the glound-state spins for a given fuelcus (see the text).						
Isotope Ratio	B (MeV)	a <sub>hot</sub>	$a_{cold}$	T (MeV)	$T_{app}$ (MeV)	$\ln \kappa / B ({\rm MeV})^{-1}$
${}^{10}\text{Be}{}^{11}\text{Be}{}^{10}\text{B}{}^{11}\text{B}$	10.95	3.26	3.50	$4.4 \pm 0.7$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	0.264
<sup>12</sup> C <sup>13</sup> N/ <sup>11</sup> Be <sup>12</sup> B	12.17	1.10	1.32	$5.3 \pm 1.0$	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	0.265
<sup>10</sup> Be <sup>11</sup> Be/ <sup>14</sup> N <sup>15</sup> N	10.33	2.81	3.12	$4.7\pm0.9$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	0.282
<sup>7</sup> Li <sup>7</sup> Be/ <sup>11</sup> Be <sup>11</sup> B	12.37	1.15	0.50	$4.5\pm0.7$	$2.4 \pm 0.2$	0.217
<sup>11</sup> B <sup>11</sup> C/ <sup>11</sup> Be <sup>11</sup> B	13.49	1.05	0.50	$4.7\pm0.7$	$2.6 \pm 0.3$	0.178
<sup>13</sup> C <sup>13</sup> N/ <sup>11</sup> Be <sup>11</sup> B	13.73	0.98	0.50	$4.8\pm0.7$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	0.263
<sup>15</sup> N <sup>19</sup> O/ <sup>11</sup> Be <sup>11</sup> B	14.27	0.96	0.50	$4.9\pm0.7$	$2.4 \pm 0.3$	0.212
<sup>17</sup> O <sup>17</sup> F/ <sup>11</sup> Be <sup>11</sup> B	14.26	0.68	0.50	$5.9 \pm 1.0$	$2.2 \pm 0.3$	0.247
${}^{14}C^{14}N/{}^{12}B^{12}C$	13.22	11.93	9.00	$5.0 \pm 0.8$	$3.7 \pm 0.4$	0.066
Avg.				$4.9 \pm 0.5$	$2.4 \pm 0.5$	

as shown in the figure. The present analysis provides an real temperature of  $4.9 \pm 0.5$  MeV by averaging the real temperature values from the nine thermometers, where the error is evaluated as the standard deviation. In heavy-ion collsions at intermediate energies, shortly after the projectile and target make contact, the hottest region of the system reaches high temperatures in excess of 5 MeV, and as time evolves the system cools down to zero by particle emission and by spatial expansion. It is worthy mentioning again that the obtained real temperature of  $4.9 \pm 0.5$  MeV from IMFs probed using the Albergo thermometers here corresponds to late stages when the IMFs become thermally decoupled from the remaining system.

#### **b.** N/Z asymmetry dependence of temperature

In order to study of the N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature, the above nine Albergo thermometers 220 are used as absolute thermometer to deduce the real temperature values using the measured IMF yield data from the 221 other twelve reaction systems, <sup>70</sup>Zn, <sup>64</sup>Ni on <sup>112,124</sup>Sn, <sup>58,64</sup>Ni, <sup>197</sup>Au, <sup>232</sup>Th. To achieve the sequential decay correction 222 from the apparent temperatures to the real ones for these twelve systems, for which no reconstructed primary hot IMF 223 yields are available, the empirical correction factor (denoted as " $\ln \kappa / B$ ") approach of Tsang *et al.* [11] is adopted 224 with the following considerations: one is to avoid extra assumptions and uncertainties introduced by models. The 225 other is to avoid the dependence of the empirical correction factor on specific reaction systems, incident energies and 22 fragment pairs used. The above deduced real temperature from the yields of the experimentally reconstructed primary 227 hot fragments from the  ${}^{64}$ Zn+ ${}^{112}$ Sn system [12] provides such an opportunity to deduce the certain ln  $\kappa/B$  values for 228 the reaction systems involved in this work. According to Ref. [45], Xi et al. found that the  $\ln \kappa/B$  value for a given 220 thermometer at temperatures around 4.5 MeV (similar to that of the present work,  $4.9 \pm 0.5$  MeV) is independent 230 of the projectile-target combination of reactions, providing us a justification for the application of the  $\ln \kappa / B$  values 23 obtained from one system of  ${}^{64}Zn + {}^{112}Sn$  to the other twelve systems with different N/Z asymmetries. The average 232 temperature value of  $4.9 \pm 0.5$  MeV for the system of  ${}^{64}\text{Zn} + {}^{112}\text{Sn}$  is therefore taken to evaluate the ln  $\kappa/B$  values for 233 the nine thermometers, based on the relation between the real temperature and the apparent temperature [11], 23

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{T_{app}} - \frac{\ln \kappa}{B}.$$
(12)

<sup>235</sup> The resultant  $\ln \kappa / B$  values are listed in the seventh column of TABLE 1.

The sequential decay corrections for the apparent temperatures deduced from the twelve systems, <sup>70</sup>Zn, <sup>64</sup>Ni on <sup>112,124</sup>Sn, <sup>58,64</sup>Ni, <sup>197</sup>Au, <sup>232</sup>Th, are performed using the obtained  $\ln \kappa/B$  values from the <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn system. For each given system, the average real source temperature value,  $\langle T \rangle$ , is calculated as an average value over the real



Figure 3: Average temperature  $\langle T \rangle$  as a function of source N/Z asymmetry  $\delta_{IV}$ . Solid line is the linear fit of the data points.

temperature values corrected for the nine thermometers. In Fig. 3, the resulting  $\langle T \rangle$  values for the thirteen systems are 239 shown as a function of the IV source N/Z asymmetry,  $\delta_{IV} = (N_{IV} - Z_{IV})/A_{IV}$ , where  $N_{IV}$ ,  $Z_{IV}$  and  $A_{IV}$  are the neutron, 240 proton and mass of the fragmenting source calculated from summing over the experimentally measured IV component 24 yields of neutrons, LCPs and IMFs with Z up to 18. The errors shown in the figure are the standard deviations only. 242 A linear fit is performed for the  $\langle T \rangle$  versus  $\delta_{IV}$  plot, and a slope of 3 MeV is obtained. An change in source N/Z 243 asymmetry of 0.1 unit corresponds to a absolute change in temperature on the order of 0.3 MeV, indicating a rather 244 negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence of the real temperature at the present source N/Z range. It should be mentioned 24 that the source mass has a negligible contribution to the present observation, since no significant size dependence was 246 experimentally observed for the reactions with system sizes and incident energies similar to those of this work [49]. 247 This conclusion is in a close agreement with those of our previous works [30, 35], in which the Albergo thermometer 248 was used as a relative thermometer, and an "indirect" method of Sfienti et al. [37] was adopted to consider the 249 sequential decay effect. This consistency suggests that the resulting negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear 250 temperature is insensitive to the selection of sequential decay correction. The negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence 251 of nuclear temperature from IMFs is in close agreement with the theoretical predictions by Kolomietz et al. [50, 51] 252 and Hoel et al. [31]. Kolomietz et al. studied the dependence of the plateau temperature in caloric curves on pressure 253 within the thermal Thomas-Fermi approximation, and found that a weak N/Z asymmetry dependence of temperature 254 close to the phase transition appears under an equilibrium at a low pressure of  $p = 10^{-2} \text{ MeV/fm}^3$  for systems with 255 asymmetries of 0-0.3 (covering the present source asymmetry region). Later, Hoel et al. studied the asymmetry 256 dependence of caloric curve for mononucleus with asymmetries of 0.1-0.4 using a model with specific consideration 257 for independent variation of the neutron and proton surface diffusenesses. They found that the asymmetry dependence 258 of caloric curve could be removed while using the unique boundary condition with equilibrated surface and no external 259 pressure. Is spite of being in completely different frameworks, both theoretical predictions reflect that the apparent 260 asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature is related to the pressure of system. In actual heavy-ion collisions, 26 the low-pressure condition can be more or less satisfied in the IMF formation scenario at late stages and under low 262 densities. It is therefore reasonable to infer that the negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature 263 from IMFs originates from a process that occurs at a low pressure via a "soft" expansion. 264

We have also made detailed comparisons between the available experimental results and ours deduced from LCP and IMF yields in Refs. [30, 35]. Those comparisons show that a weak N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature is commonly observed in different reactions and with different thermometers at a wide N/Z range [7, 37, 52], except for the result reported by McIntosh *et al.* [29]. We noticed that differing from others, Wuenschel *et al.* [7] and McIntosh *et al.* [29] both used the same proton quadrupole momentum fluctuation thermometer as a probe. With close examination of the experimental details of Wuenschel *et al.* and McIntosh *et al.* and combining with

the statistical multifragmentation model simulations [38], we concluded that the significant N/Z dependence of the 271 source temperature observed by McIntosh et al. originates from different Coulomb contributions in the reconstructed 272 quasi-projectiles with different charges under the quasi-projectile mass constraint. After properly taking into account 273 the Coulomb effect, the N/Z dependence of the source temperature again becomes insignificant. Therefore, it can 274 be concluded that nuclear temperature has a negligible dependence on the source N/Z asymmetry in this asymmetry 275 range, and the negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence is also independent of the selections of the thermometers. The consistent description for the N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature provides evidence supporting the 277 basic assumption of N/Z asymmetry independence of the source temperature in the symmetry energy extraction using 278 isoscaling in the heavy-ion collisions at Fermi energies [41, 53]. Although good consistency of the dependence of 279 nuclear temperature on the source N/Z asymmetry has been experimentally addressed using different thermometers in 280 a wide incident energy region, the origin of the common negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature 281 from LCPs and those deduced using fluctuation thermometers is still not addressed for the present work. Difficulties 282 comes from the complicated reaction dynamics and different application limitations of various thermometers. For 283 instance, in contrast to IMFs, the emissions of LCPs starts to occur shortly after the projectile and target make contact and lasts in the overall dynamical process. The negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature is 285 not able to be elucidated using simply using the "low-pressure" assumption [50, 51, 31]. In addition, the Albergo 286 thermometers probe the temperatures at the chemical freeze-out, whereas the fluctuation thermometers are for those 287 at thermal freeze-out, while it has been found that chemical freeze-out prior to thermal freeze-out during source 288 fragmentations [10]. Therefore, for deeper understanding the mechanism resulting in the consistent N/Z dependence 289 of nuclear temperature, specific considerations for the reaction dynamics and the thermometer limitations are required 290 in future experimental and theoretical works. 29

#### 292 4. Summary

In this article, the Albergo thermometer is investigated using the yields of the experimentally measured and re-293 constructed primary hot IMFs from <sup>64</sup>Zn+<sup>112</sup>Sn collisions at 40 MeV/nucleon for the first time. A real temperature 294 value of 4.9±0.5 MeV characterizing the IMF formation at late stages is deduced. This temperature value is in good 295 agreement with those obtained in our two previous works, i.e.,  $5.2 \pm 0.6$  MeV deduced from the same reconstructed 296 hot IMF yields using a self-consistent method [21], and  $4.6 \pm 0.4$  MeV deduced using a chemical potential analysis 297 with a quantum statistical model correction [48]. Using the center temperature value, 4.9 MeV of the present work, 29 an experimental sequential decay correction from the apparent temperatures to the real ones for other twelve reaction 299 systems with different N/Z asymmetries, <sup>70</sup>Zn, <sup>64</sup>Ni on <sup>112,124</sup>Sn, <sup>58,64</sup>Ni, <sup>197</sup>Au, <sup>232</sup>Th at 40 MeV/nucleon in the same 300 experiment, is performed with an empirical correction factor approach of Tsang et al. [11], and the dependence of 301 nuclear temperature on the source N/Z asymmetry is further investigated. It is found that the deduced real source tem-302 peratures show a rather weak dependence on the source N/Z asymmetry at the present source N/Z range. Combining 303 the theoretical predictions by Kolomietz et al. [50, 51] and Hoel et al. [31], the negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence 304 of nuclear temperature from IMFs is inferred to originate from a process that occurs at a low pressure via a "soft" expansion. From comparisons with our previous results and those from other independent experiments, a consistent 306 description for the N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature is obtained. That is, nuclear temperature has a 307 negligible dependence on the source N/Z asymmetry, and this negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence is independent 308 of the selections of the thermometers and the sequential decay correction approaches. This supports the assumption 309 of N/Z asymmetry independence of the source temperature in the symmetry energy extraction using isoscaling in 310 heavy-ion collisions at Fermi energies [41, 53]. In spite of good consistency of the dependence of nuclear tempera-311 ture on the source N/Z asymmetry, the origin of the negligible N/Z asymmetry dependence of nuclear temperature 312 from LCPs and those deduced using fluctuation thermometers is still open question for this work. For fully clarifying this issue, the reaction dynamics and the thermometer limitations are required in future experimental and theoretical 314 investigation on the N/Z asymmetry of nuclear temperature. 315

#### 316 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the operational staff in the cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, for their support during the experiment. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11705242,

- <sup>319</sup> U1632138, 11805138, 11905120), the Fundamental Research Funds For the Central Universities (No. YJ201954,
- <sup>320</sup> YJ201820 and GK201903022) in China. This work is also supported by the US Department of Energy under Grant
- No. DE-FG02-93ER40773 and the Robert A. Welch Foundation under Grant A330.
- 322 [1] H.A. Bethe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 9, 69 (1937).
- 323 [2] V.F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. 52, 295 (1937).
- <sup>324</sup> [3] A. Kelić, J.B. Natowitz and K.-H. Schmidt, Eur. Phys. J. A **30**, 203 (2006).
- <sup>325</sup> [4] E. Suraud, Ch. Grégoire, B. Tamain, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 23, 357 (1989).
- <sup>326</sup> [5] G. D. Westfall *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 116** 118, (1982).
- <sup>327</sup> [6] B.V. Jacak *et al.*. Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1846 (1983).
- <sup>328</sup> [7] S. Wuenschel *et al.*, Nucl Phys A **843**, 1, (2010).
- <sup>329</sup> [8] S. Albergo *et al.* Nuovo Cimento **A 89**, 1, (1985).
- <sup>330</sup> [9] D.J. Morrissey *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 148**, 423 (1984).
- <sup>331</sup> [10] W. Trautmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **76**, 064606 (2007).
- <sup>332</sup> [11] M.B. Tsang, W.G. Lynch, H. Xi and W.A. Friedman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3836 (1997).
- 333 [12] M.R.D. Rodrigues et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 034605 (2013).
- <sup>334</sup> [13] K. Hagel *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2141 (1992).
- 335 [14] A. Ono et al., Phys. Rev. C 53, 2958 (1996).
- 336 [15] C. Schwarz *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A 681, 279c (2001).
- 337 [16] B. Borderie and M. F. Rivet, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 61, 551 (2008).
- <sup>338</sup> [17] H. Xi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 1567 (1999).
- 339 [18] W. Lin et al., Phys. Rev. C 89, 021601(R) (2014).
- <sup>340</sup> [19] X. Liu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 014605 (2014).
- 341 [20] X. Liu et al., Nucl. Phys. A 933, 290 (2015).
- 342 [21] X. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. C 97, 014613 (2018).
- 343 [22] X. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. C 92, 014623 (2015).
- <sup>344</sup> [23] A. Ono, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 853 (1999).
- 345 [24] W.A. Friedman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2125 (1988).
- 346 [25] D. Gross et al., Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 30, 155 (1993).
- <sup>347</sup> [26] Bao-An Li, Lie-Wen Chen, and Che Ming Ko, Phys. Rep. 464, 113 (2008).
- <sup>348</sup> [27] G. Giuliani, H. Zheng, A. Bonasera, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **76**, 116 (2014).
- <sup>349</sup> [28] C. Guo, J. Su and F. Zhang, Nucl. Sci. and Tech. **24**, 050513 (2013).
- <sup>350</sup> [29] A.B. McIntosh *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 719**, 337 (2013).
- 351 [30] Y. Huang et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 064603 (2020).
- 352 [31] C. Hoel, L.G. Sobotka, R.J. Charity, Phys. Rev. C 75, 017601 (2007).
- 353 [32] J. Besprosvany, S. Levit, Phys. Lett. B 217, 1 (1989).
- 354 [33] R. Ogul, A.S. Botvina, Phys. Rev. C 66, 051601(R) (2002).
- <sup>355</sup> [34] J. Su, F.S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C 84, 037601 (2011).
- 356 [35] X. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. C 100, 064601 (2019).
- 357 [36] T.C. Awes, G. Poggi, C.K. Gelbke et al., Phys. Rev. C 24, 89 (1981).
- 358 [37] C. Sfienti et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 152701 (2009).
- [38] J. Bondorf, A. S. Botvina, A. S. Iljinov, I. N. Mishutin, and K. Sneppen, Phys. Rep. 257, 133 (1995).
- <sup>360</sup> [39] R.J. Charity *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A **483**, 371 (1988).
- 361 [40] I. Tilquin et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 365, 446 (1995).
- 362 [41] H.S. Xu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 716 (2000).
- 363 [42] M.B. Tsang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 062701 (2004).
- <sup>364</sup> [43] W. Lin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 90, 044603 (2014).
- 365 [44] URL: https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2.
- 366 [45] H. Xi et al., Phys. Lett. B 431, 8 (1998).
- 367 [46] J.B. Natowitz et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 034618 (2002).
- <sup>368</sup> [47] F. Zhu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 784 (1995).
- 369 [48] X. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. C 95, 044601 (2017).
- <sup>370</sup> [49] J. Wang et al. (NIMROD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 72, 024603 (2005).
- <sup>371</sup> [50] V.M. Kolomietz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **64**, 024315 (2001).
- <sup>372</sup> [51] S. Shlomo and V.M. Kolomietz, Rep. Prog. Phys. 68, 1 (2005).
- <sup>373</sup> [52] G.J. Kunde *et al.*, Phys. Lett **B 416**, 56 (1998).
- 374 [53] M.B. Tsang et al., Phys. Rev. C 64, 054615 (2001).