

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Structural and electronic phase transitions of Co_{2}Te_{3}O_{8} spiroffite under high pressure

Nana Li, Bouchaib Manoun, Youssef Tamraoui, Qian Zhang, Haini Dong, Yuming Xiao, Paul Chow, Peter Lazor, Xujie Lü, Yonggang Wang, and Wenge Yang Phys. Rev. B **99**, 245125 — Published 12 June 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.99.245125

Structural and electronic phase transitions of Co₂Te₃O₈

spiroffite under high pressure

Nana Li¹, Bouchaib Manoun^{2, 3}, Youssef Tamraoui³, Qian Zhang¹, Haini Dong¹, Yuming Xiao⁴, Paul Chow⁴, Peter Lazor⁵, Xujie Lü¹, Yonggang Wang¹, Wenge Yang¹,

¹Center for High Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research, Shanghai 201203, China

² Université Hassan 1er, Laboratoire des Sciences des Matériaux, des Milieux et de la modélisation (LS3M), FST Settat, Morocco

³Materials Science and Nano-engineering, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Lot 660 Hay Moulay Rachid, Ben Guerir, Morocco

⁴High Pressure Collaborative Access Team (HPCAT), Advanced Photon Source,

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, United States

⁵Department of Earth Sciences, Uppsala University, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden

*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to yangwg@hpstar.ac.cn (W.Y.)

Abstract

The structural and electronic phase transitions of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ spiroffite have been studied with a suite of in situ high-pressure characterization techniques including synchrotron X-ray diffraction, Raman, X-ray emission spectroscopy, UV-Vis absorption, and electrical transport measurement. Two pressure-induced phase transitions were observed at about 6.9 GPa and 14.4 GPa. The first transition is attributed to a small spin transition of Co along with discontinuity in unit cell volume change, while the second one represents a first order phase transition with a volume collapse of 4.5%. The latter transition is accompanied by the relaxation of distortion in CoO₆ octahedron, which enhances the crystal-field strength inhibiting the occurrence of spin transition. What's more, the competition between contributions of electrons and oxygen ion to the overall conductivity is observed and affected by the phase transition under high pressure. This demonstration provides new insights into the relationship between the lattice-structural and spin degrees of freedom, and highlights the impact of pressure on the control of structural and electronic states of a given material for optimized functionalities.

PACS numbers: 61.50.Ks, 75.30.Wx, 71.35.Cc

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin crossover (SCO) materials offer a fascinating route towards the realization of molecular spintronics due to their bistability in their spin states [1–7], which can be manipulated or switched reversibly with external stimuli like pressure, temperature, light, electric or magnetic fields [8–12]. Among them, application of external pressure is considered as an effective tool for tuning their crystalline structures, and electronic configurations specifically on the SCO metal site and thus their performances [13-23]. SCO correlates with several degrees of freedom including charge, orbital, lattice, and close proximity of different energy scales among them and then leads to strong modification on magnetic, electronic, optical and other properties in the corresponding systems [24-28].

The widespread acceptance of ligand field theory in coordination chemistry promotes the fundamental understanding on the structural, spectral and electronic properties of SCO materials [29, 30]. Since the *d*-*d* optical absorption spectra are very sensitive to the detailed geometry at the metal atom, interest in the ligand field splitting naturally accompanies structural studies of transition metal compounds [31]. For example, the absorption *d*-*d* spectra can give evidence of the Co²⁺ ions occupying the D_{2h} anatase cation substitution sites with a ${}^{4}E ({}^{4}T_{1g})$ low-symmetry ground state in Co²⁺- doped TiO₂ [32]. Optical absorption spectroscopy at high pressure shows that the Mn³⁺ occurs the spin transition in CsMnF₄ when the high-spin ${}^{5}E$ free energy surpasses the low-spin ${}^{3}T_{1}$ free energy [33]. Therefore, the study on optical properties of SCO materials can provide guides for a better understanding of the electronic or spin state based on the ligand field splitting.

At ambient conditions, $Co_2Te_3O_8$ is a colored spiroffite with transition metal ions in their unusual oxidation states (Co^{2+} and Te^{4+}) and crystallizes in a monoclinic C2/c symmetry [34, 35]. This structure type is based on slabs containing Te_2O_6 groups joined by Co^{2+} cations. These Te_2O_6 groups are made up of two edge-sharing TeO_{3+1} polyhedra. The shift of the lone-pair cation Te^{4+} (5*s*¹) out of the center of its

coordination polyhedron results in an asymmetric coordination environment and a structural distortion, which can lead to a variety of interesting physical properties such as nonlinear optical second harmonic generation, piezoelectricity and ferroelectricity [36, 37]. Pressure as an external stimulus can cause the shift of Te⁴⁺ ions. Also, the pressure-induced spin reconfiguration of the Co cation has a significant effect on the property of materials [38]. In the case of $Co_2Te_3O_8$, both Co^{2+} and Te^{4+} ions could undergo a pressure-induced spin-state transition or shift, which may also lead to structural instability and an electronic phase transition. Moreover, for Co^{2^+} with an octahedral coordination environment in Co2Te3O8, there are three distinct band systems which are assumed to originate from the three spin-allowed d-d transition of $\operatorname{Co}^{2+}: {}^{4}T_{1g} \to {}^{4}T_{2g}, {}^{4}T_{1g} \to {}^{4}A_{2g}, \text{ and } {}^{4}T_{1g} \to {}^{4}T_{1g}({}^{4}P)$ [32, 34]. The absorption spectra resulting from these spin-allowed d-d transitions can give a better understanding of the electronic state of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ spiroffite at high pressure. In this study, we used optical absorption probe (UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy), crystal structural probe (Raman, synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD)), electronic spin state probe (X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES)), and transport measurements (alternating current (AC) impedance spectroscopy and direct-current (DC) resistance) to explore the interplay between the spin and lattice under pressure effect on the crystal field splitting in the CoO_6 ligand in $Co_2Te_3O_8$ spiroffite phase. Two structure phase transitions displaying changes of the spin state of Co and the corresponding crystalline structures were observed under high pressure. The competition between contributions of electrons and oxygen ion to the overall conductivity is also observed under high pressure.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Sample preparation

Single-phase Co₂Te₃O₈ powder was prepared by the standard solid-state reaction method. According to the following chemical reaction:

 $2CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O + 3TeO_2 \rightarrow Co_2Te_3O_8 + 10H_2O\uparrow + 4HCl\uparrow$

stoichiometric amounts of a high purity powder of CoCl₂·6H₂O, and TeO₂ mixed in an

agate mortar, placed in an alumina crucible and calcined at 500°C for 24 h, 650°C for 24 h, and 750 °C for 24h. The samples were reground in each step to insure the homogeneity. X-ray diffraction (XRD) on the pristine sample confirmed a pure $Co_2Te_3O_8$ spiroffite phase with space group C2/c symmetry and lattice parameters of a=12.6998 Å, b=5.2145 Å, c=11.6350 Å, $\beta=99.02^\circ$, consistent with the literature report [34].

B. In-situ high pressure characterizations

A suite of *in-situ* high pressure characterization tools were utilized to probe the crystalline and electronic structure, and physical properties. For studying the structural evolution under pressure, *in-situ* high-pressure XRD in angle-dispersive geometry was performed at the beamline 16BM-D of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) with a wavelength $\lambda = 0.4246$ Å. Symmetric diamond anvil cells (DAC) with an anvil culet size of 300 μ m and rhenium gaskets were used. Neon was used as the pressure medium and pressure was determined by the ruby luminescence method [39]. Rietveld refinements of crystal structures at various pressures were performed using the General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) and graphical user interface EXPGUI package [40]. The high pressure Raman spectra were measured by a Raman spectrometer using a 532 nm excitation laser with 3 mW of power. The preparation of sample and DAC for the Raman study was the same as for the XRD measurement.

To monitor the spin state and the crystal field-splitting energy Δ_o at various high pressures, X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) of Co- K_β and the high pressure UV-vis absorption measurements were conducted at 16ID-D beamline of APS, ANL and Center for High Pressure Science & Technology Advanced Research (HPSTAR) with Ocean Optics QE65000 scientific-grade spectrometer, respectively. In XES experiments, helium pipes were used at both incident and emission X-ray paths to minimize the air scattering and absorption. Symmetric diamond anvil cells with 300 μ m culet sized anvils were used with neon pressure medium. Beryllium gaskets were pre-indented to 40 μ m thick and drilled with a 150 μ m diameter hole as sample chambers. The pressure was calibrated by the ruby luminescence method [39]. For the UV-vis absorption measurements, the pre-compressed rhenium gasket, loading sample, and pressure calibration are the same as the high pressure XRD experiments. Silicone oil was used as the pressure-transmitting medium.

For the related transport characterization, we performed AC impedance spectroscopy and DC resistance under high pressure. AC impedance spectroscopy in the frequency range of 0.01 Hz-10 MHz under high pressure was conducted using Zahner Impedance Analyzers. DC resistance was conducted using a Keithley 6517 electrometer. Two-probe AC impedance spectroscopy and DC resistance measurements were performed by arranging two Pt electrodes on the diamond culet in the DAC loaded with the Co₂Te₃O₈ sample. Pressure medium was not used in these two experiments.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS A. Structure evolution

The ambient crystal structure of spiroffite $Co_2Te_3O_8$ with C2/c space group consists of divalent Co^{2+} and tetravalent Te^{4+} [34, 35]. The edge shared octahedral Co_2O_{10} dimmers are interlinked through corners, and Te^{4+} ions occupy the irregular 4-fold coordination sites, as shown in Fig. 1(a). In situ high pressure XRD measurements on $Co_2Te_3O_8$ were carried out up to 35.1 GPa and selected patterns are displayed in Fig. 1(b). At 6.9 GPa, the relative intensity between the lattice planes (20-2) and (11-1) showed a sharp change. Besides, a new peak around 7.5° as shaded with grey color emerged, while the low pressure lattice planes (-311) and (-204) disappeared around 14.4 GPa. These features indicate a possible phase transition in $Co_2Te_3O_8$ under high pressure. We carried out the Rietveld refinements for all the XRD patterns. Although the crystalline symmetry remains the same, the obtained unit cell volumes and lattice parameters at different pressures present discontinuities at 6.9 GPa and 14.4 GPa as shown in Fig. 1(c) and Fig. 1(d). Two typical refinement results at 0.8 GPa and 20.0 GPa are shown in Supplemental Material (Figure S1 [41]). We fitted the experimental *P-V* points by a third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (EOS) [42]. Below 6.9 GPa, we obtained zero-pressure volume $V_0 = 767.94$ Å³, bulk modulus $B_0 = 40(2)$ GPa and its pressure derivative $B'_0 = 6.4$. From the P-V curve in Fig. 1(c), it is obvious that the compressibility becomes lower above 6.9 GPa indicating a possible electronic phase transition. The EOS fitting between 6.9 GPa and 14.4 GPa yields $B_0 = 88(5)$ GPa and $B'_0 = 7.0$, with $V_0 = 719.57$ Å³. We also obtained the coefficient of compressibility β by $\beta = -\frac{1}{V}(\frac{\partial V}{\partial P})_T$ at 6.9 GPa and the values are 0.011 for low pressure phase and 0.007 for high pressure phase. The crystal structure of spiroffite Co₂Te₃O₈ remains the same space group up to 35.1 GPa, but with a substantial volume collapse around 4.5% at 14.4 GPa, a typical first order isostructural transition. For the high pressure monoclinic phase, the bulk modulus B_0 is 89(12) GPa and its pressure derivative $B'_0 = 4.2$ with $V_0 = 687.32$ Å³. This structural phase transition is reversible upon pressure release.



FIG. 1. Structural evolution of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ under high pressure probed by synchrotron X-ray diffraction. (a) The atomic arrangement of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ with C2/c space group. (b) X-ray diffraction spectra at room temperature and high pressure; incident X-ray wavelength $\lambda = 0.4246$ Å. (c) and (d) are the unit cell volume and lattice parameters as a function of pressure. In (b), the grey shadow areas indicate changes in the XRD curves. In (c), the inset picture shows the angle β as a function of pressure. In (c), the lines represent fitting results of the

third-order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state for three pressure regions.

Results from high-pressure Raman measurements were consistent with the aforementioned discovery from the XRD measurements, as shown in Fig. 2. Major peaks are assigned as follows [43]: 640 cm⁻¹ (v_{11}) and 715 cm⁻¹ (v_{13}) are attributed to the Te-O antisymmetric stretching and $(Te_2O_5)^{2-}$ symmetric stretching vibration, respectively; two Raman bands at 320 cm⁻¹ (v_8) and 360 cm⁻¹ (v_9) are attributed to the Te-O bending vibrations. Two shoulder peaks are also noted at 743 cm⁻¹ (v_{14}) and 756 cm⁻¹ (v_{15}). As shown in Fig. 2(a), a new small peak at 520 cm⁻¹ (v_{16}) appeared at around 7 GPa, indicating a minute change of the crystal structure. Besides, four new peaks at 106 cm⁻¹ (v_{17}), 220 cm⁻¹ (v_{18}), 249 cm⁻¹ (v_{19}) and 500 cm⁻¹ (v_{20}) appeared along with the disappearance of other Raman modes around 15.6 GPa as shown in Fig. 2(a). These observations concur with two phase transitions at around 7 GPa and 15 GPa from the XRD results. Figure 2(b) summarizes the Raman shift changes with pressure. After 27 GPa as shown in Fig. 2 (a) and (b), the intensity of all Raman band decrease and some of the Raman band disappeared caused by the non-hydrostatic stresses and other factors involving grain size reduction and the increased local stains [44, 45].



FIG. 2. Changes of Raman bands in Co₂Te₃O₈ under high pressure. (a) Selected Raman

spectra under high pressure. The dotted lines indicate new peaks in the spectra. (b) Raman mode frequencies at different pressures.

B. Spin transition of Co under high pressure

The structural transition in Co₂Te₃O₈ will induce distortions in the CoO₆ octahedra, thus affect the crystal field splitting of the Co²⁺ 3*d*⁷ orbital in CoO₆ ligand field under high pressure. A pressure-induced increase in the crystal field splitting can largely affect the spin configuration to minimize the total energy [46]. XES has been utilized as a powerful tool to probe the spin states of transition metals. We conducted XES measurements on the Co K_{β} emission of Co₂Te₃O₈ under high pressure. The pressure dependent $K_{\beta 1,3}$ and $K_{\beta'}$ emission spectra of Co are shown in Fig. 3(a). All spectra are normalized to the integrated area. The K_{β} emission originates from the transition of 1*s* core hole from a 3*p* level [47, 48]. Due to the net magnetic moment (μ) effect on the 3*d* valence shell [49, 50], the K_{β} emission spectrum is split into the main line $K_{\beta 1,3}$ and a satellite line $K_{\beta'}$. The satellite intensity of $K_{\beta'}$ is proportional to the net spin of the 3*d* shell of the transition metal [51, 52].

The starting materials have Co^{2+} (*S*=3/2) at its high spin (HS) state. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the $K_{\beta 1,3}$ peak shifts to the lower energy and the intensity of the $K_{\beta'}$ decreases upon pressure increase. We applied integrated relative difference (IRD) method to analyze the XES spectra [53]. Fig. 3(b) shows the IRD values for Co at different pressures. The decrease in IRD with pressure in the range 5 to 12 GPa indicates a significant loss of magnetic moment in Co₂Te₃O₈. Above 12 GPa, the spin values remain constant until pressure reaching 16 GPa, when their sharp decrease implies a spin transition in Co. Even so, a net spin of the 3*d* shell for Co persists, as evidenced by small K_{β} -peaks shoulders in the XES spectra at the highest pressures.

At ambient pressure, Co^{2+} in $\text{Co}_2\text{Te}_3\text{O}_8$ has a $3d^7$ configuration, seven 3d electrons occupy the d_{xy} , d_{xz} , d_{yz} , $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2} orbitals in a HS configuration ($t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$) based on Hund's rule. Five electrons in the t_{2g} orbitals exhibit an asymmetric configuration distorting the CoO₆ octahedra and then t_{2g} splits into double degenerate

and nondegenerate orbitals as shown in Fig. 3(c). The net spin magnetic moment is mainly controlled by the competition between the crystal-field splitting Δ_o (favoring the low spin (LS) state and the intra-atomic exchange term *J* (favoring the HS state), but only Δ_o is sensitive to external pressure. In an octahedral coordination environment the optical spectrum of Co^{2+} is expected to consist of three spin-allowed optical absorption bands arising from the ${}^4T_{1g} \rightarrow {}^4T_{2g}$, ${}^4T_{1g} \rightarrow {}^4A_{2g}$, and ${}^4T_{1g} \rightarrow {}^4T_{1g}({}^4P)$ transitions as shown in Fig. 3(d). Δ_o can be obtained by the splitting energy of ${}^4T_{1g}(F)$ $\rightarrow {}^4T_{1g}(P)$ ligand-field. Therefore, to understand the spin changes under high pressure, we performed high-pressure UV-vis absorption measurements on Co₂Te₃O₈.

An undistorted CoO_6 octahedron typically shows a broad absorption in the visible range, spreading over 2 to 3 eV with a maximum value around 2.5 eV, which corresponds to ${}^{4}T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}(P)$ and ${}^{4}T_{1g}(F) \rightarrow {}^{4}A_{2g}$ transitions [32, 34]. In Co₂Te₃O₈, it has one main absorption peak at around 2.3 eV (EP1) with a shoulder at 2.4 eV (EP2), which indicates a distortion of the CoO_6 octahedron, as shown in Fig. 4(a) [31, 34]. Upon pressure increase, absorption energies E_{P1} and E_{P2} shift to higher values as shown in Fig. 4(b). This indicates that the crystal-field-splitting energy Δ_o increases upon compression. Around 7.6 GPa, the larger Δ_{ρ} makes Co undergo the first spin transition, as corroborated by the sharp decrease of IRD values between 5.2 and 12.8 GPa. This electronic phase transition was also observed in the XRD experiments around 6.9 GPa through discontinuous changes in both unit cell volume and lattice parameters as shown in Figs. 1(c) and (d). From 7.6 GPa to 14 GPa, absorption energies E_{P1} and E_{P2} remain nearly constant. Thus the spin values do not change as the IRD value of Co still remains constant in this pressure range. From 15.8 and 23 GPa, the absorption energy E_{P1} shows a sharp decrease, while the band corresponding to absorption energy E_{P2} disappears. This indicates a big change occurs in the ligand field of Co in $Co_2Te_3O_8$. According to the XRD results, a first order structural transition with a sharp volume collapse of 4.5% occurs at around 14.4 GPa. In order to get a further insight into the ligancy changes of Co in Co₂Te₃O₈, detailed structural parameters at 0.8 GPa and 20.0 GPa are provided in the Supplemental Material (Table

S1 [41]). The refined bond lengths of CoO_6 octahedron at these two pressures are shown in Fig. 4(c). Zhao et al. introduced a standard infinitesimal strain tensor to describe the distortion of the octahedron in NaMgF₃ perovskites [54]. Here, we

introduce a scale factor $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\sum_{i=1,6} \left(\frac{d_{Co-O}^i - \langle d_{Co-O}^i \rangle}{d_{Co-O}^i}\right)^2}$ to describe the distortion from the regular octahedron ($\delta = 0$) with all bonding distance between Co and O in CoO₆ octahedron. The larger δ is, the more severe distortion occurs. In Co₂Te₃O₈, δ actually decreases from 0.046 at 0.8 GPa to 0.033 at 20.0 GPa, which relaxes the CoO₆ octahedron distortion and induces large changes in the ligancy of Co. This, in turn, influences the *d*-orbital splitting and causes a major decrease in the crystal-field splitting Δ_0 . When Co₂Te₃O₈ has been completely transformed into the high pressure monoclinic phase above 25 GPa, the crystal-field splitting Δ_0 in the new ligand field begins to increase again at still higher compressions, possibly inducing a new spin transition of Co at some point.



FIG. 3. Spin states of Co under high pressure. (a) The XES spectrum of Co at different pressures. The inset in (a) magnified is the enlarge of the K $\beta_{1,3}$ peaks at 2.3 GPa, 5.2 GPa and 12.8 GPa. (b) The K $\beta_{1,3}$ position and IRD values of Co under high pressure. (c) A schematic *d*-orbital splitting diagram for Co²⁺ in the CoO₆ octahedra. (d) Term diagram shows the splitting of the Co²⁺ free ion terms in the CoO₆ octahedral ligand fields.



FIG. 4. The crystal-splitting energy changes under high pressure. (a) Absorption spectra of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ under high pressure. The guiding lines indicate the evolution of the E_{P1} and E_{P2} peak positions. (b) Pressure dependence of the absorption peaks E_{P1} and E_{P2} . (c) Comparison of CoO_6 octahedron distortions at 0.8 GPa and 20.0 GPa.

C. Electrical property at High Pressure

AC impedance spectroscopy and DC resistance measurements were conducted up to 49 GPa to investigate the electrical property of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ under high pressure. The impedance data are modeled by one equivalent series circuit consisting of a resistor (R) and constant phase element (CPE) [55]. By fitting the data using the ZView impedance analysis software [56], we obtain the grain contribution to the AC resistance as shown in Fig. 5. With increasing pressure, the grain AC resistance increases. The electronic property measured by the impedance method originates mainly from the oxygen ion conduction. Upon compression, the decreased interatomic distance reduced the oxygen ion conductivity, thus increasing the resistance. From 10 to 20 GPa, where the structural phase transition takes place, the grain resistance remains almost constant. This wide pressure range (about 10 GPa) where the phase transition occurred in this experiment is caused by the non- hydrostatic. Above 20 GPa, after the transition to the high pressure monoclinic phase is completed, the resistance values based on the AC impedance measurements show a sharp drop. Due to the changes of the Co ligancy, the ionic conduction is taken over by the electronic conduction. This picture agrees well with the results of the DC resistance measurements, as shown in Fig. 5. With pressure increase, the electronic resistance decreases and shows a small discontinuous change at around 20 GPa caused by the structural phase transition. The typical Nyquist plots for the polycrystalline $Co_2Te_3O_8$ under high pressure are shown in the Supplemental Material (Figure S2 [41]).



FIG. 5. The electric transport property of $Co_2Te_3O_8$ under high pressure. Resistance measured from AC impedance spectroscopy and direct-current (DC) measurements.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, by using multiple *in-situ* high-pressure techniques, we investigated the structural and electronic properties of $Co_2Te_3O_8$. Two pressure-induced phase transitions were observed. First, $Co_2Te_3O_8$ displays an electronic phase transition caused by the spin transition of Co at around 6.9 GPa. Upon further compression, $Co_2Te_3O_8$ goes through another first order phase transition with a volume collapse of 4.5%, accompanied by a major change in the ligand field of Co at about 14 GPa. It results in a sharp decrease in the crystal-field energy. So the IRD values are almost constant from 12 to 16 GPa. When $Co_2Te_3O_8$ transforms into the high pressure monoclinic phase completely, the crystal-field splitting energy Δ_0 in the new ligand field begins to increase again above 25 GPa and a spin transition of Co

to the low spin state takes place. Furthermore, the electronic conduction replaces the oxygen ion conduction as a leading conduction mechanism following the first order structural phase transition around 20 GPa. Our study demonstrates the great versatility of spiroffites and their potential to unravel the exciting interplay of different degrees of freedom in SCO compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51527801, U1530402). HPCAT operations are supported by DOE-NNSA under Award No. DE-NA0001974 and DOE-BES under Award No. DE-FG02-99ER45775, with partial instrumentation funding by NSF. The gas loading was performed at GeoSoilEnviroCARS, APS, ANL, supported by EAR-1128799 and DE-FG02-94ER14466. APS is supported by DOE-BES, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. E. Figgins and D. H. Busch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82, 820-824 (1960).
- [2] M. A. Robinson, J. D. Curry, and D. H. Busch, Inorg. Chem, 2, 1178-1181 (1963).
- [3] R. C. Stoufer, D. H. Busch, and W. B. Hadley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 3732-3734 (1961).
- [4] K. Madeja and E. König, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 25, 377-385 (1963).
- [5] A. Fert, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1517–1530 (2008).
- [6] K. Moth-Poulsen and T. Bjornholm, Nat. Nanotechnol. 4, 551–556 (2009).
- [7] H. Song, M. A. Reed, and T. Lee, Adv. Mater. 23, 1583–1608 (2011).
- [8] L. Cambi, L. and L. Szegö, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 64, 2591–2598 (1931).
- [9] S. Decurtins, P. Gütlich, C. Köhler, H. Spiering, and A. Hauser, Chem. Phys. Lett. 105, 1–4 (1984).
- [10]M. A. Halcrow, Spin-Crossover Materials: Properties and Applications. (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., New York, 2013).

- [11] T. G. Gopakumar, F. Matino, H.r Naggert, A. Bannwarth, F. Tuczek, and R. Berndt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51, 6262–6266 (2012).
- [12] D. Collison, C. D. Gaener, C. M. Mcgrath, J. F. W. Mosselmans, M. D. Roper, J. M. W. Seddon, E. Sinn, and N. A. Young, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 22, 4371–4376 (1997).
- [13]A. B. Gaspar, G. Molnárb, A. Rotaruc, and H. J. Shepherdd, C. R. Chimie 21, 1095-1120 (2018)
- [14] H. G. Drickamer, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2, 171-196 (1982).
- [15]E. Konig, Struct. Bond. 76, 51-152 (1991).
- [16]G. J. Long, L. W. Becker, W. Laurie, and B. B. Hutchinson, Adv. Chem. Ser. 194, 453-462 (1981).
- [17]C. P. Kohler, R. Jakobi, E. Meissner, L. Wiehl, H. Spiering, and P. Gütlich, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 51, 239-247 (1990).
- [18]G. J. Long and B. B. Hutchinson, Inorg. Chem. 26, 608-613 (1987).
- [19] J. Pebler, Inorg. Chem. 22, 4125-4128 (1983).
- [20] J. C. Crowhurst, J. M. Brown, A. F. Goncharov, and S. D. Jacobsen, Science 319, 451-453 (2008).
- [21]J. Y. Yang, C. Terakura, M. Medarde, J. S. White, D. Sheptyakov, X. Z. Yan, N. N. Li, W. G. Yang, H. L. Xia, J. H. Dai, Y. Y. Yin, Y. Y. Jiao, J. G. Cheng, Y. L. Bu, Q. F. Zhang, X. D. Li, C. Q. Jin, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, and Y. W. Long, Phys. Rev. B 92, 195147 (2015).
- [22]A. F. Goncharov, V. V. Struzhkin, S. D. Jacobsen, Science 312, 1205-1208 (2006).
- [23]S. A. S. Kimber, A. Kreyssig, Y. Z. Zhang, H. O. Jeschke, R. Valentí, F. Yokaichiya, E. Colombier, J. Yan, T. C. Hasen, T. Chatterji, R. J. McQueeney, P. C. Canfield, A. I. Goldman, and D. N. Argyriou, Nature Mater. 8, 471-475 (2009).
- [24] O. Kahn and C. M. Jay, Science 279, 44-48 (1998).
- [25]F. Wasser, A. Schneidewind, Y. Sidis, S. Wurmehl, S. Aswartham, B. Buchner, and M. Braden, Phys. Rev. B. 91, 060505(R) (2015).

- [26] M. Mikolasek, M. D. Manrique-Juarez, H. J. Shepherd, K. Ridier, S. Rat, V. Shalabaeva, A. C. Bas, I. E. Collings, F. Mathieu, J. Cacheux, T. Leichle, L. Nicu, W. Nicolazzi, L. Salmon, G. Molnar, and T. Leichlé, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 8970–8979 (2018).
- [27]C. Lochenie, K. Schotz, F. Panzer, H. Kurz, B. Maier, F. Puchtler, S. Agarwal, A. Kohler, and B. Weber, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 700–709 (2018).
- [28]D. A. Reed, D. J. Xiao, M. I. Gonzalez, L. E. Darago, Z. R. Herm, F. Grandjean, and J. R. Lomh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 5594–5602 (2016).
- [29] P. Gütlich and H. A. Goodwin, H. A. (Eds.). Spin crossover in transition metal compounds III. Springer Science & Business Media (2004).
- [30] J. Antonio Barreda-Argüeso, F. Aguado, J. González, R. Valiente, L. Nataf, M. N. Sanz-Ortiz, and F. Rodríguez, J. Phys. Chem. C 120, 18788-18793 (2016).
- [31]A. B. P. Lever, Inorganic electronic spectroscopy. Elsvier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands (1968).
- [32] F. Aguado, F. Rodriguez, and P. Nunez, Phys. Rev. B. 76, 094417 (2007).
- [33] J. D. Bryan, S. M. Heald, S. A. Chambers, and D. R. Gamelin, J. Am. Chem. Soc.126, 11640-11647 (2004)
- [34]S. Tamilarasan, D. Sarma, S. Bhattacharjee, U. V. Waghmare, S. Natarajan, and J. Gopalakrishnan, Inorg. Chem. 52, 5757-5763 (2013)
- [35]C. R. Feger, G. L. Schimek, and J. W. Kolis, J. Solid State Chem. 143, 246-253 (1999).
- [36] Y. Porter, K. M. Ok, N. S. P. Bhuvanesh, and P. S. Halasyamani, Chem. Mater. 13, 1910 (2001).
- [37]E. O. Chi, K. M. Ok, Y. Porter, and P. S. Halasyamani, Chem. Mater. 18, 2070 (2006).
- [38]K. Oka, M. Azuma, W. Chen, H. Yusa, A. A. Belik, E. Takayama-Muromachi, M. Mizumaki, N. Ishimatsu, N. Hiraoka, M. Tsujimoto, M. G. Tucker, J. P. Attfield, and Y. Shimakawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 9438-9443 (2010).
- [39]H. K. Mao and P. M. Bell, Design and varieties of the megabar cell. Year

Book-Carnegie Institution of Washington 77, 904-908 (1978).

- [40] A. C. Larson and R. B. Von Dreele, General Structure Analysis System (GSAS).Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR 86, 748 (2004).
- [41]See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/PhysRevB for the analysis of XRD and impedance spectroscopy at high pressure.
- [42]H. K. Mao, T. Takahashi, W. A. Bassett, G. L. Kinsland, and L. Merrill, J. Geophys. Res. 79, 1165-1170 (1974).
- [43]R. L. Frost, M. J. Dickfos, and E. C. Keeffe, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 71, 1663-1666 (1994).
- [44] V. S. Bhadram, L. Krishna, E. S. Toberer, R. Hrubiak, E. Greenberg, V. B. Prakapenka, and T. A. Strobel, Appl. Phys. Lett. 110, 182106 (2017).
- [45] X. Lü, W. Yang, Q. Jia, H. Xu, Chem. Sci. 8, 6764-6776 (2017).
- [46]G. K. Rozenberg, M. P. Pasternak, W. M. Xu, L. S. Dubrovinsky, S. Carlson, and R. D. Taylor, Europhys. Lett. 71, 228-234 (2005).
- [47]K. Hämäläinen, C. C. Kao, J. B. Hastings, D. P. Siddons, L. E. Berman, V. Stojanoff, and S. P. Cramer, Phys. Rev. B 46, 14274 (1992).
- [48]G. Peng, X. Wang, C. R. Randall, J. A. Moore, and S. P. Cramer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 65, 2527 (1994).
- [49] K. Tsutsumi, H. Nakamori, and K. Ichikawa, Phys. Rev. B 13, 929 (1976).
- [50]G. Peng, F. M. F. Degroot, K. Haemaelaeinen, J. A. Moore, X. Wang, M. M. Grush, J. B. Hastings, D. P. Siddons, and W. H. Armstrong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 2914-2920 (1994).
- [51]J. M. Chen, S. C. Haw, J. M. Lee, S. A. Chen, K. T. Lu, S. W. Chen, M. J. Deng, Y. –F. Liao, J. M. Lin, B. H. Chen, F. C. Chou, N. Hiraoka, H. Ishii, K. D. Tsuei, and E. Huang, Phys. Rev. B 86, 045103 (2012).
- [52] J. P. Rueff, C. C. Kao, V. V. Struzhkin, J. Badro, J. Shu, R. J. Hemley, and H. K. Mao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3284 (1999).
- [53]C. S. Yoo, B. Maddox, J. H. P. Klepeis, V. Iota, W. Evans, A. McMahan, M. Y. Hu,
 P. Chow, M. Somayazulu, D. H⁻⁻ausermann, R. T. Scalettar, and W. E. Pickett,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 115502 (2005).

- [54] Y. S. Zhao, D. J. Weidner, J. B. Parise, and D. E. Cox, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 76, 1-16 (1993).
- [55] D. C. Sinclair, and A. R. West, J. Appl. Phys. 66, 3850 (1989).
- [56]D. Johnson, Zview: A Software Program for Ies Analysis, Version 2.8; Scribner Associates, Inc: Southern Pines, NC; Vol. 200 (2008).