

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Electron spin and momentum lifetimes in two-dimensional Si accumulation channels: Demonstration of Schottkybarrier spin metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors at room temperature Shoichi Sato, Mitsuki Ichihara, Masaaki Tanaka, and Ryosho Nakane Phys. Rev. B **99**, 165301 – Published 1 April 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.99.165301

### Electron spin lifetime and momentum lifetime in Si two-dimensional accumulation channels: Demonstration of Schottky-barrier spin metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors at room temperature

Shoichi Sato<sup>1</sup>, Mitsuki Ichihara<sup>1</sup>, Masaaki Tanaka<sup>1,2</sup>, and Ryosho Nakane<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Systems, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan <sup>2</sup>Center for Spintronics Research Network (CSRN), The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan <sup>3</sup>Institute for Innovation in International Engineering Education, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

### Abstract

We have investigated the electron spin lifetime  $\tau_{\rm S}$  and momentum lifetime  $\tau$  in a two-dimensional accumulation channel Schottky-barrier (2D) of spin metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (spin MOSFETs). The spin MOSFETs examined in this study have Fe/Mg/MgO/Si Schottky-tunnel junctions at the source/drain and a 15-nm-thick non-degenerated Si channel with a phosphorus donor doping concentration  $N_{\rm D}$  of  $1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. We estimated  $\tau$  and the electron diffusion coefficient  $D_e$  in the 2D accumulation channel from experimental results of a Hall-bar-type MOSFET device and self-consistent calculations using Poisson's and Schrödinger's equations. The spin MOSFETs with various channel lengths  $L_{ch}$  (= 0.3 – 10  $\mu$ m) exhibited transistor characteristics with a high on/off ratio of ~10<sup>6</sup> as well as clear spin-valve signals at 295 K. From the spin-valve signals measured with various gate electric fields (2 – 5 MV/cm),  $\tau_{\rm S}$  and the electron spin diffusion length  $\lambda_{\rm S}$  were estimated. We found that the spin-flip rate per one momentum scattering event  $\tau/\tau_{\rm S}$  is ~ 1/14000, which is almost unchanged by the gate electric field. The proportionality between  $\tau_S$  and  $\tau$  indicates that the Elliott-Yafet mechanism is dominant in the Si 2D electron accumulation channel, and that the spin-flip rate per one phonon scattering event and that per one surface roughness scattering event are the same. Based on the Elliott-Yafet theory, there is a possibility that the spin-orbit coupling in the Si 2D accumulation channel is almost twice as strong as that in bulk Si materials.

### I. Introduction

Recently, Si-based spin metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (spin MOSFETs) have attracted much attention, since they have a potential capability to create next-generation electronics by adding their functionalities of nonvolatile memory, storage, and reconfigurable transistor characteristics [1-3] to the well-established complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) technology. A basic spin MOSFET has an ordinary MOSFET structure with a Si channel, but its source (S) and drain (D) contacts are replaced by ferromagnetic materials or ferromagnetic multi-layered structures. The S/D contacts act as a spin injector/detector of spin-polarized electrons into/from the Si channel, respectively, to realize magnetoresistance (MR) by the spin-dependent electron transport through the two-dimensional (2D) electron inversion or accumulation channel. As a result, the transistor characteristics of a spin MOSFET can be changed by the magnetization configurations (parallel/antiparallel) between the S and D contacts. For practical use, the modulation ratio of the output current, which is characterized by the MR ratio,

should be large enough. In this respect, Si is a promising channel material, since a long electron spin lifetime  $\tau_S$  (a long electron spin diffusion length  $\lambda_S$ ) of electrons is expected due to its weak spin-orbit coupling (SOC), which is strongly required to obtain a large MR ratio.

Motivated by the background described above, there have been many experimental studies on Si-based spintronics reported so far, whose topics are mainly divided into two parts; i) spin injection/extraction into/from Si and ii) spin-dependent transport via a Si channel. Many experimental studies focused on the spin injectors/extractors using ferromagnetic metals (FMs) to optimize the FM/Si junction properties for highly-efficient spin injection/extraction into/from a Si channel [4-6]. Although some guidelines for high-performance spin injectors/extractors have been revealed, the junction resistance used in most of the studies is too high to obtain a large MR ratio when it is applied to the S and D contacts in a device with a Si channel. Thus, regarding spin injection/extraction into/from Si, we need to further clarify the physics and develop the junction process technology.

On the other hand, there have been a few experimental studies on the spin-dependent transport through a Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation channel [7-12]. In a recent successful demonstration of a Si-based spin-MOSFET with a phosphorous-doped Si 2D electron accumulation channel [11], a noteworthy result was that  $\lambda_s$  in their 2D electron accumulation channel was ~ 1 µm at room temperature, which is significantly shorter than that (~3 µm) in a bulk Si material with a similar phosphorous donor doping concentration  $N_D \sim 2 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> [11]. Another study also reported a short spin lifetime  $\tau_s \sim 1$  ns in a 2D electron inversion channel with an undoped Si [12]. However, these two studies [11, 12] did not quantitatively reveal the

reason why  $\lambda_{\rm S}$  or  $\tau_{\rm S}$  becomes shorter in the Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation channel than that in bulk Si materials. Based on the Elliott-Yafet theory [13-15], spin flip occurs in a part of electron momentum scatterings, namely, there is a relation between  $\tau_{\rm S}$  and electron momentum lifetime  $\tau$ . Theoretically, in a Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation channel,  $\tau$  is determined by three scattering mechanisms; the intra-valley acoustic phonon scattering, the inter-valley optical phonon scattering, and the surface roughness scattering [16]. Selberherr's group theoretically calculated  $\tau_{\rm S}$  in a Si thin channel taking into account the phonon scattering and surface roughness scattering, and showed that the ratio  $\tau_{\rm S}/\tau$  is almost constant in a wide temperature range (50 – 500 K) and  $\tau_{\rm S}/\tau$  can be enhanced by an in-plane biaxial strain [17,18]. To further understand the spin-dependent electron transport through a Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation channel, it is very important to clarify the relation between  $\tau_{\rm S}$ and  $\tau$ , and thus its quantitative analysis is needed by the combination of both experimental and theoretical investigations.

In the field of Si-based ordinary MOSFETs, the quantitative analysis method of a Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation channel has been almost established [19-27]. By using both experimental results and self-consistent calculations, we can obtain the momentum lifetime concerning each scattering process, from which the total  $\tau$  value can be estimated by Matthiessen's rule. A remarkable feature of a Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation channel is that the dominant momentum scattering mechanism can be changed by the gate electric field that is applied perpendicularly to the channel [16]. Thus, if this quantitative method is used for the analysis of spin MOSFETs, we can reveal the relation between  $\tau_S$  and  $\tau$  in a Si 2D electron inversion/accumulation momentum scattering mechanism dominates the spin relaxation.

In this study, we experimentally investigate the spin injection/extraction and spin transport in a Si 2D electron accumulation channel in Schottky-barrier (SB) spin-MOSFETs at room temperature, and clarify the relation between  $\tau_S$  and  $\tau$  in the channel. In section II, we prepare a Hall-bar-type MOSFET and reveal important physical parameters as a function of the effective gate electric field from both experiments and self-consistent calculations, in which the parameters to be estimated are the electron mobility  $\mu$ , the channel sheet resistance  $R_{ch}$ , the electron diffusion coefficient De, and the electron momentum lifetimes for the intra-valley acoustic phonon scattering  $\tau_{ac}$ , the inter-valley optical phonon scattering  $\tau_{f+g}$ , and the surface roughness scattering  $\tau_{sr}$ . Although  $\tau_{sr}$  is caused by the roughness of the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> interface, we call it "surface" roughness scattering following the previous papers. In section III, we prepare spin-MOSFETs with various channel lengths  $L_{ch}$  (= 0.3 - 10 µm) and measure the spin-dependent transport. In section IV, we obtain an almost constant  $\tau/\tau_{\rm S}$  ratio (~1/14000), which is independent of the dominant scattering process by analyzing the magnetoresistance for various gate electric fields and  $L_{ch}$ . In section V, we discuss the origin of the constant  $\tau/\tau_{\rm S}$  ratio. In section VI, we make concluding remarks and address future issues.

### II. Electron transport properties in a Si two-dimensional accumulation channel

To investigate the relation between the electron momentum lifetime  $\tau$  and electron spin lifetime  $\tau_s$ , at first we characterize the electron transport properties through a Si two-dimensional (2D) electron accumulation channel. The results obtained in this

section will be used for the characterizations and analyses of spin MOSFETs in the later sections. Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of a back-gated Hall-bar-type device with a channel length/width = 600  $\mu$ m/50  $\mu$ m, which was prepared by the following procedure. We used a (001)-oriented silicon-on-insulator (SOI) substrate consisting of (from top to bottom) a 100-nm-thick Si layer, a buried silicon-dioxide (BOX) layer with a thickness  $t_{BOX} = 200$  nm, and a lightly doped *p*-type Si substrate with a boron accepter doping concentration of  $\sim 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. First, the top 100 nm-thick Si layer was doped with phosphorous donor atoms using a spin-coated phosphorous glass and thermal diffusion at 750°C. The phosphorous donor doping profile was uniform and the concentration  $N_{\rm D}$  was  $1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> that was calibrated by secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS) analysis. After removal of the phosphorous glass by buffered HF (BHF), the substrate was thermally oxidized at 1050°C with dry oxygen to form a 210-nm-thick surface  $SiO_2$  layer and a 15-nm-thick Si channel layer. Hereafter, this substrate is called "SiO<sub>2</sub>/SOI substrate". Next, electrode contact areas were defined by opening contact holes in a photoresist layer on the top, and then the surface  $SiO_2$  was etched with BHF so that the SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness of these areas becomes  $\sim 20$  nm. This thinned  $SiO_2$  layer can avoid contamination of the Si surface by the photoresist during removal. After removal of the photoresist, the substrate was cleaned by  $H_2SO_4 + H_2O_2$ solution, followed by flowing de-ionized pure (DI) water. Then, the Si surface of the contact areas were opened by dipping the whole substrate into BHF, and a 100-nm-thick Al layer was formed on the top by thermal evaporation. At this stage, a 120 nm-thick SiO<sub>2</sub> layer remained except the contact areas and it will be used for the field isolation between contacts. Contact pads were formed by photolithography and etching with  $H_3PO_4 + H_2O_1$ , and the Hall bar structure was defined by photolithography and etching of the  $SiO_2$  surface layer and the 15-nm-thick Si channel layer with Ar ion milling. After removal of the surface photoresist, finally a 100-nm-thick Al layer was deposited on the backside of the Si substrate for the back gate contact.

In the electrical measurements at 295 K, a constant bias current  $I_{DS}$  and a constant source-gate voltage  $V_{GS}$  were applied, and the longitudinal voltage  $V_L$  and transverse voltages  $V_{\rm T}$  were measured while a magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the substrate plane. The measurement configuration is also shown in Fig. 1. We estimated the channel sheet resistance  $R_{ch}$  and the sheet electron density  $N_S$  from  $V_L$  and  $V_{\rm T}$  (Hall voltage), respectively. We applied the gate voltage  $V_{\rm GS}$  from the backside of the p-Si substrate, and estimated the gate electric field  $E_{ox}$  by  $V_{GS}/t_{BOX}$ . Figure 2(a) shows  $R_{ch}$  and  $N_S$  as a function of  $E_{ox}$ , where red and blue circles are  $R_{ch}$  and  $N_S$ , respectively. In the same figure, the broken line denoted by "theory" is the electron carrier density calculated by  $N_{\rm S} = C_{\rm OX} (V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm fb})/q$ , where  $C_{\rm OX} = \varepsilon_{\rm ox}/t_{\rm BOX}$  is the gate capacitance,  $\varepsilon_{ox}$  is the permittivity of the BOX (SiO<sub>2</sub>) layer,  $V_{fb} = qN_D t_{Si} / C_{OX} \sim$ 1.3 V is the flat-band voltage, and  $t_{Si} = 15$  nm is the Si channel thickness. Figure 1(a) shows a good agreement in  $N_{\rm S}$  between the experimental results and theoretical calculation (broken line), confirming the reliability of the Hall measurement. Then, we estimated the electron mobility  $\mu$  by the relation  $\mu = \gamma_{\rm H} / (q N_{\rm S} R_{\rm ch})$  under the assumption that the Hall factor  $\gamma_{\rm H} = 1$  [28], and also estimated the effective gate electric field  $E_{\rm eff} = q / \varepsilon_{\rm Si} (N_{\rm S} / 2 - N_{\rm D} t_{\rm Si})$  acting on the  $V_{\rm GS}$ -induced electrons, where  $\varepsilon_{\rm Si}$  is the permittivity of Si [16]. Figure 2(b) shows  $\mu$  plotted as a function of  $E_{\rm eff}$ , where blue squares and a red curve represent the experimentally-estimated mobility values and theoretical fitting that will be described in the next paragraph, respectively.

7

The experimentally-estimated values were 90% and 76% of the universal mobility [16] at  $E_{\text{eff}} = 0.84$  and 0.19, respectively, in which a large mobility reduction in the lower field range probably comes from enhancement of both the acoustic and optical phonon scattering in the 15-nm-thick Si channel [26].

To estimate  $D_e$  and  $\tau$  from the above-mentioned experimental results, we numerically calculated the 2D subband structure of the Si accumulation channel by solving the Poisson's and Schrödinger's equations self-consistently [19-27]. (Detailed procedure is described in section S1 in Supplemental Material (S.M.) [29]). We used 10 subbands from the lowest energy level for the 2-fold (and 4-fold) degenerated valleys at the conduction band minimum of Si (the total number of subbands is 20), and calculated the carrier distribution in each subband. In the calculation of  $\tau$ , we took into account both the phonon scattering and surface roughness scattering. Followings are the equations used to calculate  $D_e$  and  $\tau$  [19-27,30-32]

$$\tau = \sum_{\nu=2,4} \sum_{i=0-9} N_i^{(\nu)} \tau_i^{(\nu)} / N_{\rm S} , \qquad (1a)$$

$$\mu_i^{(v)} = \frac{q\tau_i^{(v)}}{m_c^{(v)}},\tag{1b}$$

$$\mu = \sum_{\nu=2,4} \sum_{i=0-9} N_i^{(\nu)} \mu_i^{(\nu)} / N_{\rm S} , \qquad (1c)$$

$$D_{\rm e} = \sum_{\nu=2,4} \sum_{i=0-9} N_i^{(\nu)} D_i^{(\nu)} / N_{\rm S} , \qquad (1d)$$

$$D_i^{(\nu)} = kT\mu_i^{(\nu)} \left[ 1 + \exp(-\frac{E_{\rm F} - E_i^{(\nu)}}{kT}) \right] \ln(1 + \exp(\frac{E_{\rm F} - E_i^{(\nu)}}{kT})), \qquad (1e)$$

$$\frac{1}{\tau_i^{(\nu)}(E)} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{ac},i}^{(\nu)}(E)} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{f},i}^{(\nu)}(E)} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{g},i}^{(\nu)}(E)} + \frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{sr},i}^{(\nu)}(E)},$$
(1f)

where i = 0 - 9 is the index for each subband, v = 2 and 4 express the 2-fold and 4-fold degenerated valleys, respectively,  $E_i^{(v)}$  is the energy,  $N_i^{(v)}$  is the sheet carrier density,

 $\tau_i^{(v)}$  is the energy-averaged momentum lifetime,  $\mu_i^{(v)}$  is the mobility, and  $m_e^{(v)}$  is the conduction effective mass of electrons in the *i*th subband of the *v*-fold valley. Equation (1e) is Einstein's relation for the 2D electron system [30-32]. For the electron scattering process, Eq. (1f) expresses Matthiessen's rule of the momentum lifetime for each scattering process; acoustic phonon intra-valley scattering ( $\tau_{ac}$ ), optical phonon inter-valley scattering ( $\tau_{op}$ ) including both *f*-process ( $\tau_{f,i}$ ) and *g*-process ( $\tau_{g,i}$ ), and surface roughness scattering ( $\tau_{sr}$ ). The scattering parameters used in the calculation of each lifetime, such as deformation potentials and a root-mean-square value of the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> interface roughness, were determined so that the experimental mobility values (blue squares in Fig. 2(b)) are reproduced by Eq. (1c) (red line in Fig. 2(b)) [26]. These scattering parameters are listed in Table S2 in S.M [29].

Figure 2(c) shows the electron momentum lifetimes plotted as a function of  $E_{\text{eff}}$ , where black, blue, red, and green lines are the calculated results of  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_{\text{ac}}$ ,  $\tau_{\text{op}}$ , and  $\tau_{\text{sr}}$ , respectively. We can see that  $\tau_{\text{op}}$  dominates  $\tau$  in the lower field region ( $E_{\text{eff}} \leq 0.8$  MV/cm), whereas  $\tau_{\text{sr}}$  dominates  $\tau$  in the higher field region ( $E_{\text{eff}} > 0.8$  MV/cm). This result is consistent with the previous theoretical calculation on a 2D Si channel in a MOS structure [16]. Figure 2(d) shows  $D_{\text{e}}$  plotted as a function of  $E_{\text{eff}}$ , where the red line is the calculated result from Eq. (1d). We found that  $D_{\text{e}}$  is nearly independent of  $E_{\text{eff}}$ ; as  $E_{\text{eff}}$  increases,  $D_{\text{e}}$  slightly decreases in the lower filed range ( $E_{\text{eff}} < 0.5$  MV/cm) and slightly increases in the higher field range ( $E_{\text{eff}} > 0.7$  MV/cm). To clarify this trend, Einstein's relation for non-degenerated semiconductors  $D_{\text{e}}^{\text{ND}} = kT\mu$  and that for 2D degenerated semiconductors  $D_{\text{e}}^{\text{D}} = (E_{\text{F}} - E_{0}^{(2)})\mu$  are plotted in the same figure by

the green line and the blue line, respectively, where  $(E_{\rm F} - E_0^{(2)})$  is the energy difference between the Fermi level and the 0th subband of the 2-fold valley that is located at the lowest energy level [30-32]. As  $E_{\rm eff}$  increases,  $D_{\rm e}^{\rm ND}$  decreases due to the decrease in the mobility  $\mu$  but  $D_{\rm e}^{\rm D}$  increases due to the increase in the electron's Fermi energy. Thus, the accumulation channel is non-degenerated in the lower field range ( $E_{\rm eff} < 0.5$  MV/cm) and degenerated in the higher field range ( $E_{\rm eff} > 0.7$  MV/cm). In the later analysis,  $R_{\rm ch}(V_{\rm GS})$  in Fig. 2(a) and  $D_{\rm e}(V_{\rm GS})$  in Fig. 2(d) are used.

### III. Spin transport in Schottky-barrier (SB) spin-MOSFETs

### A. Device structure and measurement setup

Figures 3(a) and (b) show the side and top views of our SB spin-MOSFET structure, respectively, which has ferromagnetic source/drain (S/D) electrodes and reference electrodes (R1 and R2) located outside of the S and D electrodes, respectively. The device process is as follows. First, an SiO<sub>2</sub>/SOI substrate was prepared using the same procedure as that for the Hall-bar-type MOSFET described in section II. Using electron beam (EB) lithography, S, D, R1, and R2 contact areas on the SiO<sub>2</sub>/SOI substrate were defined by opening contact holes on the EB resist on the top, and then the surface SiO<sub>2</sub> was etched with BHF so that the SiO<sub>2</sub> thickness of these areas becomes ~20 nm. After removing the EB resist, the substrate was cleaned by H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution, followed by flowing DI water. Then, the Si surface of the contact areas was opened by dipping the whole substrate into BHF. Immediately after drying with N<sub>2</sub> gas blow, the substrate was installed into an ultra-high vacuum system, and (from top to

bottom) an Al(15 nm)/Mg(1 nm)/Fe(4 nm)/Mg(0.88 nm)/MgO(0.8 nm) layered structure was successively deposited at room temperature by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) and EB evaporation. Immediately after being exposed to air, a Pt(12 nm)/Ta(5 nm) cap layer was deposited on the surface. Then, the lateral structures of S, D, R1, and R2 electrodes were defined by Ar ion etching with an EB resist mask on the top of each electrode. After removing the EB resist, electrode extensions attached to the top of S, D, R1, and R2 electrodes were fabricated using a sputter-deposited Pt(90 nm)/Ta(5 nm) layer, EB lithography, and Ar ion etching, and then a 100-nm-thick Al pad was formed on each electrode extension using photolithography, thermal evaporation, and lift-off process. Then, a 50-nm-thick Al layer was deposited on the backside of the substrate for the back gate contact after removing the surface native oxide. Finally, each device was isolated by a square-shaped island structure using photolithography, BHF etching of the surface SiO<sub>2</sub> layer, and Ar ion etching of the 15-nm-thick Si channel layer. The structural parameters defined in Figs. 3(a) and (b) are as follows: The channel length  $L_{ch}$  along the y axis are 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, and 10  $\mu$ m, the channel width  $W_{ch}$  along the x axis is 180 µm, the distance between the S and R1 (D and R2) electrodes along the y axis is ~100  $\mu$ m, the lengths of the S electrode  $l_{\rm S}$  and the D electrode  $l_{\rm D}$  along the y axis are 2 µm and 1 µm, respectively, and the lengths of the R1 and R2 electrodes along the y axis are 40  $\mu$ m. Our device structure has a Si channel with a constant  $N_{\rm D} = 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, which is different from the previously reported spin-MOSFET that has highly-doped Si regions ( $N_D \sim 1 \times 10^{20}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) under S/D contacts [11]. One advantage of our device structure is to eliminate strong spin scattering in such highly-doped Si region.

Figure 3(c) shows our measurement setup for the two-terminal (2T) spin

transport signals, where the voltages ( $V_{\rm DS}$ ,  $V_{\rm D}$ , and  $V_{\rm S}$ ) between the two electrodes are measured while a constant current  $I_{DS}$  is driven from the D to S electrodes through the Si channel and a magnetic field H is applied along an in-plain direction ( $\theta = 0^\circ$ , the -xdirection) or the perpendicular direction ( $\theta = 90^\circ$ , the -z direction).  $V_{\rm DS}$  is the voltage between the D and S electrodes, which is the total voltage drop through the Si channel, and  $V_D(V_S)$  is the voltage between D and R2 (between S and R1), which is the junction voltage drop at the drain (source) junction including the MgO tunnel barrier and the Schottky barrier at the surface of the Si channel. Figures 4(a) and (b) show  $I_{DS}$  -  $V_{DS}$ and  $I_{\rm DS}$  -  $V_{\rm GS}$  characteristics measured at 295 K for a device with  $L_{\rm ch}$  = 0.4  $\mu$ m, respectively. We observed clear transistor operations with a high on-off ratio  $\sim 10^6$ . Figure 4(c) shows  $V_{\rm S}$  -  $I_{\rm DS}$  (black solid curve) and  $V_{\rm D}$  -  $I_{\rm DS}$  (red solid curve) plots of the same device at 295 K, where  $V_{GS} = 100$  V. Here,  $V_S$  at  $I_{DS} = 5$  mA is larger than that at  $I_{\rm DS} = -5$  mA because the reverse-biased Schottky barrier is formed under the S electrode when  $I_{\text{DS}}$  is positive. On the contrary,  $V_{\text{D}}$  at  $I_{\text{DS}} = 5$  mA is smaller than that at  $I_{\text{DS}} = -5$ mA because the forward-biased Schottky barrier is formed under the D electrode when  $I_{\text{DS}}$  is positive. When  $I_{\text{DS}} = 5$  mA and  $V_{\text{GS}} = 100$  V,  $V_{\text{S}}$ ,  $V_{\text{D}}$ , and  $V_{\text{SD}}$  are 0.45, 0.23, and 0.93 V, respectively.

### **B.** Spin-valve signals measured with $V_{GS} = 100$ V

Figures 5(a), (b), and (c) show the voltage change  $\Delta V_{\rm D}$ ,  $\Delta V_{\rm S}$ , and  $\Delta V_{\rm DS}$ , respectively, as a function of magnetic field, measured at 295 K for a device with  $L_{\rm ch} =$ 0.4 µm, where the measurement parameters are  $I_{\rm DS} = 5$  mA,  $V_{\rm GS} = 100$  V, and the magnetic field is applied in the film plane along the x direction ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ). In Fig. 5(a), the major loop of  $\Delta V_{\rm D}$  represented by solid and broken red curves shows a clear spin-valve signal with two peaks at -30 and +20 Oe, which originates from the parallel and antiparallel magnetization configurations of the Fe layers in the S and D electrodes. The minor loop represented by solid and broken blue curves also indicates that the result of Fig. 5(a) is caused by the spin-valve effect, which reflects the magnetization reversal of the Fe layer in the S electrode. Thus, this means that spin-polarized electrons injected from the S electrode transport through the Si 2D electron accumulation channel and finally they are detected by the D electrode, namely, the spin-dependent transport in the spin MOSFET was demonstrated at 295 K. Since the total resistance between the S and D electrodes is 186  $\Omega$  and the change in resistance is 2.9 m $\Omega$ , the MR ratio of the device is 0.003%, which is smaller by a factor of 10 than that in a previous report by another group [11]. This comes from the fact that the total resistance of our device is higher than that in the previous report, since the uniform donor doping with  $N_D = 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> in the channel leads to high Schottky barrier resistances under the S and D electrodes.

In Fig. 5(c), the major loop of  $\Delta V_{\rm DS}$  represented by solid and broken red curves is noisy compared with that of  $\Delta V_{\rm D}$  in Fig. 5(a), but it has clear two peaks at -30 and +20 Oe which are denoted by vertical arrows. Since these peak positions are identical with those in the clear spin-valve signal of  $\Delta V_{\rm D}$  in Fig. 5(a), the major loop of  $\Delta V_{\rm DS}$ reflects the spin-valve signal. On the other hand, in Fig. 5(b), the major loop of  $\Delta V_{\rm S}$ represented by solid and broken red curves does not have apparent peaks as those in Figs. 5(a) and (c). This is because the spin-valve signal is not detectable in  $\Delta V_{\rm S}$  due to the high electrical field of the reverse-biased Schottky junction under the S electrode [4,11,33,34]. (Details are shown in section S4 in S.M. [29]). For the same reason, so-called non-local signal [5] is neither detectable in our device (Details are shown in section S5 in S.M. [29]). Note that the signal fluctuation in  $\Delta V_{\rm S}$  and  $\Delta V_{\rm DS}$  probably comes from the thermal noise of the reverse-biased Schottky junction under the S electrode.

We also measured the Hanle signal with a perpendicular magnetic field ( $\theta$  = 90°) to confirm the spin transport through the 2D accumulation channel (Details are shown in sections S2 and S3 in S.M.[29]). We found that  $\Delta V_D$  is composed of a negative Hanle signal at around zero magnetic field and a positive broader back ground signal in the whole magnetic field range [4,35-37]. Since the amplitude of the Hanle signal was almost half of the spin-valve signal amplitude, we confirmed again that the spin-valve signal in Fig. 5(a) originates from the spin transport between the S and D electrodes through the Si channel in our device. Hence, the SB spin MOSFET operation at room temperature is demonstrated.

## C. Analysis of the $V_{GS}$ -dependent spin diffusion length $\lambda_s$ obtained from the spin-valve signals measured for various channel lengths $L_{ch}$

To obtain the electron spin diffusion length  $\lambda_{\rm s}$  at various gate electric fields  $E_{\rm ox} = V_{\rm GS} / t_{\rm BOX}$ , we measured  $\Delta V_{\rm D}$  for devices with various  $L_{\rm ch}$  (= 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, and 10 µm) under various  $V_{\rm GS}$  (= 40, 50, 60, 80, and 100 V) and a sweeping in-plane magnetic field ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ), and analyzed the amplitude of the spin-valve signal  $\Delta V^{2T}$  defined in Fig. 5(a). In the measurements,  $I_{\rm DS}$  values were not exactly the same in all the devices and in all the  $V_{\rm GS}$  values, because both the channel resistance and S/D junction resistances are dramatically changed by  $V_{\rm GS}$ . Since  $\Delta V^{2T}$  was almost proportional to  $I_{\rm DS}$ , resistance change  $\Delta R = \Delta V^{2T} / I_{\rm DS}$  was used in our analysis.

In all the devices, clear spin-valve signals similar to those in Fig. 5(a) was observed under  $V_{GS} = 100$  V, which allows us to obtain  $\Delta V^{2T}$ . Figure 6(a) shows  $\Delta R$ plotted as a function of  $L_{ch}$ , where red open circles are experimental values and a red curve is the fitting curve that will be described later. As  $L_{ch}$  increases from  $L_{ch} = 0.3$  $\mu$ m,  $\Delta R$  exponentially decreases and then shows a constant value  $\Delta R_{offset}$  at  $L_{ch} \ge 5 \mu$ m, which is denoted by a black dashed line. Considering that  $\lambda_s$  is likely to be smaller than 5  $\mu$ m, the constant  $\Delta R$  (= $\Delta R_{offset}$ ) at  $L_{ch} \ge 5 \mu$ m is the signal change in a parasitic magnetoresistance which probably originates from tunneling anisotropic magnetoresistance (TAMR) [4,38] in the Fe/Mg/MgO/Si junction at the D electrode.

To estimate the spin injection/detection polarization  $P_{\rm S}$  and  $\lambda_{\rm S}$ , we derived an analytical expression for the spin-valve signals through a Si 2D accumulation channel. The following equation is the analytical expression of the spin-valve signal in a lateral device having a thin channel ( $t_{\rm ch} \ll \lambda_{\rm S}$ ) and the short electrode lengths of the S/D electrodes ( $l_{\rm S}$ ,  $l_{\rm D} \ll \lambda_{\rm S}$ ) [4];

$$\Delta V^{2T} = P_{\rm S}^2 \frac{\rho_{\rm ch}}{W_{\rm ch} t_{\rm ch}} I_{\rm DS} \lambda_{\rm S} \exp\left(-\frac{L_{\rm ch}}{\lambda_{\rm S}}\right),\tag{2}$$

where  $t_{\rm ch}$  is the channel thickness, and  $\rho_{\rm ch}$  is the channel resistivity. Here, we assume that the lateral electric field in the channel is small and the spin drift effect [39,40] is negligible. The term  $\rho_{\rm ch}/(W_{\rm ch}t_{\rm ch})$  represents the channel resistivity per unit length along the spin transport direction. In the case of a 2D electron accumulation channel,  $\rho_{\rm ch}/(W_{\rm ch}t_{\rm ch})$  should be replaced by  $R_{\rm ch}/W_{\rm ch}$ ;

$$\Delta V^{2T} = P_{\rm S}^2 \frac{R_{\rm ch}}{W_{\rm ch}} I_{\rm DS} \lambda_{\rm S} \exp\left(-\frac{L_{\rm ch}}{\lambda_{\rm S}}\right),\tag{3}$$

where  $R_{ch}$  is the sheet resistance  $[\Omega/\Box]$  of the accumulation channel.

Based on Eq. (3), we fit the following formula to the experimental values;

$$\Delta R = R_0^{\text{spin}} \exp\left(-\frac{L_{\text{ch}}}{\lambda_{\text{s}}}\right) + \Delta R_{\text{offset}} , \qquad (4a)$$

$$R_0^{\rm spin} = P_{\rm S}^2 \, \frac{R_{\rm ch}}{W_{\rm ch}} \, \lambda_{\rm S} \,, \tag{4b}$$

where  $R_0^{\text{spin}}$  is the effective spin resistance in the accumulation channel taking into account  $P_S$  and  $\Delta R_{\text{offset}}$  is the amplitude of the parasitic MR independent of  $L_{\text{ch}}$ . From the fitting curve in Fig. 6(a), we obtained  $R_0^{\text{spin}} = 5.2 \text{ m}\Omega$ ,  $\lambda_s = 0.8 \text{ µm}$ , and  $\Delta R_{\text{ofset}} =$ 0.35 m $\Omega$  at  $V_{\text{GS}} = 100 \text{ V}$ . It should be noted that the condition  $l_s$ ,  $l_D <<\lambda_s$  assumed in Eq. (2) is not satisfied in our device structure ( $l_s = 2 \text{ µm}$  and  $l_D = 1 \text{ µm}$ ). Thus, the  $R_0^{\text{spin}}$  value estimated with Eq. (4b) is the lower bound value, since the spin-valve signal becomes smaller with increasing  $l_s$ ,  $l_D(>\lambda_s)$  by the electrode averaging effect (EAE) [4,41]. On the other hand,  $\lambda_s$  estimated by this procedure is accurate, since the term  $\exp(-L_{\text{ch}}/\lambda_s)$  in Eq. (4a) remains unchanged even in the condition of  $l_s$ ,  $l_D > \lambda_s$  [4].

In the same manner, we estimated  $\Delta R$  for the devices with  $L_{ch}$  (= 0.4, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0 and 10 µm) under various  $V_{GS}$  (= 40, 50, 60, and 80 V), and plotted them as a function of  $L_{ch}$ , and finally estimated  $R_0^{spin}$ ,  $\lambda_s$ , and  $\Delta R_{ofset}$  for each  $V_{GS}$  by fitting Eq. (4a). Figure 6(b) shows  $\Delta R$  plotted as a function of  $L_{ch}$ , where blue, dark green, light green, orange, and red open circles are experimental values for  $V_{GS}$  = 40, 50, 60, 80, and 100 V, respectively, and blue, dark green, light green, orange, and red curves are the fitting curves for the experimental values with the same color. In all the plots, the experimental  $\Delta R$  values for each  $V_{GS}$  show an exponential decrease with increasing  $L_{ch}$ 

and a constant value in the higher  $L_{ch}$  range. We see very good agreement between the experimental values and fitting curves. Figure 6(c) shows  $\lambda_{s}$  plotted as a function of  $E_{ox} = V_{GS} / t_{BOX}$ , in which  $\lambda_{s}$  is 0.95 µm at  $E_{eff} = 0.35$  MV/cm and slightly decreases with increasing  $V_{GS}$ , and it is 0.80 at  $E_{eff} = 0.88$  MV/cm. This result is comparable to the value in a previous report:  $\lambda_{s} = 0.85$  µm at  $E_{ox} = 2.5$  MV/cm [11]. Figure 6(d) shows  $R_{0}^{spin}$  plotted as a function of  $E_{ox}$ , in which  $R_{0}^{spin}$  rapidly decreases with increasing  $E_{ox}$ . This feature probably comes from the decrease in  $R_{ch}$  with increasing  $E_{ox}$  as shown in Fig. 2(a) and almost constant  $\lambda_{s}$  as shown in Fig. 6(c), since  $R_{0}^{spin}$  is proportional to  $R_{ch}$  and  $\lambda_{s}$  in Eq. (4b).

### IV. Relation between the electron spin lifetime $\tau_s$ and momentum lifetime $\tau$

We estimated  $\tau_s$  at each  $V_{GS}$  using the relationship  $\lambda_s^2 = D_e \tau_s$ ,  $D_e$  in Fig. 2(d), and  $\lambda_s$  in Fig. 6(c). Figure 7(a) shows  $\tau_s$  plotted as a function of the effective gate electric field  $E_{eff} = q/\varepsilon_{si}(N_s/2 - N_D t_{si})$ , where blue squares are  $\tau_s$  values estimated here. The  $\tau_s$  value at each  $E_{eff}$  is consistent with the linewidth of the Hanle signal measured with the same  $E_{eff}$  (see, sections S2 and S3 in S.M. [29]), and all the values are comparable to ~1 ns that was obtained in a Si 2D electron inversion channel [12]. From the semi-log plot in Fig. 7(a),  $\tau_s$  is 0.71 ns at  $E_{eff} = 0.35$  MV/cm, it decreases monotonically with increasing  $E_{eff}$ , and it is 0.47 ns at  $E_{eff} = 0.88$  MV/cm, which is very similar to the feature of  $\tau$  vs.  $E_{eff}$  in Fig. 2(c). Based on this result, the  $\tau$ 

values were multiplied by 14000 and plotted as a red line in Fig. 7(a). We found that the value  $\tau \times 14,000$  and  $\tau_s$  are almost identical in the  $E_{\rm eff}$  range examined in this study (0.35 - 0.88 MV/cm). This result means that the spin-flip rate per one momentum scattering event  $\tau / \tau_s \sim 1/14000$  is almost constant.

Figure 7(b) shows  $P_{\rm S}$  plotted as a function of  $E_{\rm eff}$ , which were estimated using  $R_{\rm ch}$  in Fig. 2(a),  $R_0^{\rm spin}$  in Fig. 6(d), and Eq. (4b) in section III. As  $E_{\rm eff}$  increases from 0.35 to 0.88 MV/cm,  $P_S$  decreases from 3.5% to 2.5%. The almost constant  $P_{\rm S}$  indicates that  $E_{\rm ox}$  dependences of  $R_0^{\rm spin}$  and  $R_{\rm ch}$  are similar to each other, as mentioned in section III.

### V. Discussion

In the preceding sections, we obtained the result that  $\tau / \tau_s$  is almost constant at ~ 1/14000 in the Si 2D electron accumulation channel in our SB spin MOSFETs, even when the dominant scattering process in the total electron momentum scattering is changed from the phonon scattering to the surface roughness scattering by increasing  $E_{\text{eff}}$ , as analyzed in section II. Spin relaxation in the semiconductor with an inversion symmetry is discussed by the Elliott-Yafet (EY) mechanism, that predicts a proportional relation between  $\tau$  and  $\tau_s$  [13-15];

$$\frac{\tau}{\tau_{\rm S}} \sim (\Delta g)^2,\tag{5}$$

where  $\Delta g = 2.0023 - g$  is the shift of the g-factor which is associated with the strength of the spin-orbit coupling (SOC). The almost constant  $\tau/\tau_s$  indicates that the EY

18

mechanism dominates the spin relaxation in the accumulation channel, and that the strength of SOC during both phonon scattering and surface roughness scattering are the same. On the other hand, the spin-orbit interaction can arise at a Si/oxide interface due to the break of the structural inversion symmetry [42], and its strength theoretically increases with increasing the gate electric field. Since the  $\tau/\tau_s$  value is almost independent of  $E_{\text{eff}}$  examined in this study (0.35 - 0.88 MV/cm), such influence of the spin-orbit interaction was not observed in our spin MOSFETs.

In the following, we discuss the  $\tau/\tau_s$  value assuming that the spin relaxation is dominated by the EY mechanism. From the value obtained in the previous ESR measurement on a bulk Si material [43,44] and Eq. (5),  $\tau/\tau_s = 1/45000 - 1/77000$  is estimated for the phosphorus doping concentration  $N_D = 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> which is the same as that of the channel in our spin MOSFETs. These  $\tau/\tau_s$  values are smaller by a factor of 4 than our result  $\tau/\tau_s \sim 1/14000$ . This leads to the conclusion that the SOC in the 2D accumulation channel is almost twice as strong as that in the bulk Si material when we assumes  $\Delta g$  in Eq.(5) is simply proportional to the SOC strength. Considering the absence of  $E_{eff}$  dependence in  $\tau/\tau_s$ , this increase is possibly caused by fixed charges near the Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> interface, such as charged interface defects.

To realize large spin-valve signals, it is required to reduce the number of the spin scattering events during the electron transport through a 2D accumulation channel of Si. There are two candidate methods for this purpose. The first method is to enhance  $\tau$ . Under the condition that  $\tau/\tau_s$  is unchanged, the number of spin scattering events decreases as  $\tau$  increases. The second method is to reduce the spin-flip rate per one momentum scattering event,  $\tau/\tau_s$ . To increase  $\tau$ , the technologies established in ordinary MOSFETs can be applied. In ref. [17], a theoretical study predicts that both

methods are possible when an in-plane biaxial tensile strain is introduced into a Si channel that is thin enough to generate a subband structure; by introducing 1% in-plane biaxial strain,  $\tau$  is increased by a factor of 2, whereas  $\tau/\tau_s$  is reduced by three orders of magnitude due to the increase of the energy difference between the lowest subband energy of 2-fold valley  $E_0^{(2)}$  and 4-fold valley  $E_0^{(4)}$ . At present, however, it is unclear whether the reduction of  $\tau/\tau_s$  is possible or not, since underlying physics for the relation between  $\tau_s$  and  $\tau$  has not been experimentally clarified. This is a open question to be studied in the future. To deeply understand the spin scattering mechanism in a 2D accumulation/inversion channel of Si, further experimental investigations with different channel properties are strongly needed.

### VI. Conclusion

We have investigated Schottky-barrier (SB) spin-MOSFETs having Fe/Mg/MgO/Si Schottky-tunnel junctions at the source/drain contacts and a 15-nm-thick non-degenerated Si channel with a phosphorus donor doping concentration  $N_{\rm D}$  of 1×10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>. The spin-MOSFETs with various channel lengths ( $L_{\rm ch} = 0.3 - 10$  µm) exhibit accumulation-mode transistor characteristics with the high on/off ratio of ~10<sup>6</sup> as well as the clear spin-valve signals at 295 K. Although the spin-valve signal (MR ratio) is small, we showed spin MOSFET operation at room temperature.

In preparation for the quantitative analyses of the spin-dependent electron transport properties through the Si 2-demensional (2D) electron accumulation channel, we first estimated the electron momentum lifetime  $\tau$  and diffusion coefficient  $D_e$  in the Si 2D electron accumulation channel with various gate electric fields. We used the

experimental results obtained from a Hall-bar-type device and self-consistent calculations with Poisson's and Schrödinger's equations. Then, we observed spin-valve signals measured in an effective gate electric field  $E_{\text{eff}}$  range of 0.35 – 0.88 MV/cm for various channel lengths. By analyzing the experimental data with the theoretical model, we have obtained the following important results:

- (I) The spin diffusion length  $\lambda_s$  is 0.95 µm at  $E_{eff} = 0.35$  MV/cm, it decreases monotonically with increasing  $E_{eff}$ , and it is 0.80 at  $E_{eff} = 0.88$  MV/cm.
- (II) The spin lifetime  $\tau_{\rm S}$  is 0.71 ns at  $E_{\rm eff} = 0.35$  MV/cm, it decreases monotonically with increasing  $E_{\rm eff}$ , and it is 0.47 ns at  $E_{\rm eff} = 0.88$  MV/cm.
- (III) The spin-flip rate per one momentum scattering event  $\tau / \tau_s$  is ~1/14000 and it is almost unchanged by  $E_{eff}$ , while the dominant momentum scattering process is changed from the phonon scattering to the surface roughness scattering with increasing  $E_{eff}$ .

From the result (III), we concluded that the Elliott-Yafet mechanism [13-15] dominates the spin relaxation in the Si 2D electron accumulation channel, and that the spin-flip rate per one phonon scattering event and surface roughness scattering event are the same. Based on the Elliott-Yafet mechanism, however, the  $\tau/\tau_s$  values estimated from the previous ESR measurements [43,44] for the bulk Si material with the same doping concentration  $N_D \sim 1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> are smaller by a factor of 4 than our result  $\tau/\tau_s \sim 1/14000$ . Therefore, there is a possibility that the spin-orbit coupling in the Si 2D electron accumulation channel is almost twice as strong as that in bulk Si materials.

To realize large spin-valve signals, it is required to reduce the number of spin scattering events during the electron transport through a 2D accumulation channel of Si. For this purpose, we addressed two possible methods to change the scattering parameters  $\tau$  and  $\tau/\tau_s$ . To establish such channel engineering, it is mandatory to deeply understand the spin scattering mechanism in Si 2D accumulation/inversion channels, and further experimental investigations with different channel properties are strongly needed.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 16H02095, 18H05345), CREST of JST (No. JPMJCR1777), Yazaki Science and Technology Foundation, and Spintronics Research Network of Japan.

### References

- [1] S. Sugahara and M. Tanaka, Appl. Phys. Lett. 84, 13 (2004).
- [2] S. Sugahara and M. Tanaka, ACM Transactions on Storage 2, 197 (2006).
- [3] M. Tanaka and S. Sugahara, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 54, 961 (2007).
- [4] S. Sato, R. Nakane, T. Hada, and M. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B 96, 235204 (2017).
- [5] T. Suzuki, T. Sasaki, T. Oikawa1, M. Shiraishi, Y. Suzuki, and K. Noguchi, Appl. Phys. Express 4, 023003 (2011).
- [6] M. Ishikawa, H. Sugiyama, T. Inokuchi, T. Tanamoto, K. Hamaya, N. Tezuka, and Y. Saito, J. Appl. Phys. 114, 243904 (2013).
- [7] R. Nakane, T. Harada, K. Sugiura, and M. Tanaka, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 49, 113001 (2010).
- [8] H.-J. Jang and I. Appelbaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 117202 (2009).
- [9] T. Tahara, H. Koike, M. Kameno, S. Sasaki, Y. Ando, K. Tanaka, S. Miwa, Y. Suzuki, and M. Shiraishi, Appl. Phys. Express 8, 113004 (2015).
- [10] M. Kameno, Y. Ando, T. Shinjo, H. Koike, T. Sasaki, T. Oikawa, T. Suzuki, and M. Shiraishi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 104, 092409 (2016).
- [11] T. Sasaki, Y. Ando, M. Kameno, T. Tahara, H. Koike, T. Oikawa, T. Suzuki, and M. Shiraishi, Phys. Rev. Applied 2, 034005 (2014).
- [12] J. Li and I. Appelbaum, Phys. Rev. B 84, 165318 (2011).
- [13] R. J. Elliott, Phys. Rev. 96, 266 (1954).
- [14] G. Lancaster, J. A. van Wyk, and E. E. Schneider, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) 84, 19(1964).
- [15] P. Boross, B. Dóra, A. Kiss, and F. Simon, Sci. Rep. 3, 3233 (2013).
- [16] S. Takagi, A. Toriumi, M. Iwase, and H. Tango, IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 41, 2363(1994).
- [17] D. Osintsev, V. Sverdlov, and S. Selberherr, *Progress in Industrial Mathematics at ECMI 2014*, edited by G. Russo, V. Capasso, and V. Romano (Springer International Publishing AG, Gewerbestrasse, Switzerland, 2016) p. 695.
- [18] V. Sverdlov and S. Selberherr, Physics Reports 585, 1 (2015).
- [19] F. Stern, J. Comp. Phys. 6, 56 (1970).
- [20] F. Stern, Phys. Rev. B 5, 4891 (1972).
- [21] T. Ando, A. B. Fowler, and F. Stern, Rev. Mod. Phys 54, 437 (1982).
- [22] B. K. Ridley, J. Phys. C: Solid State Physics 15, 5899 (1982).
- [23] K. Masaki, C. Hamaguchi, K. Taniguchi, and M. Iwase, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 28, 1856 (1989).
- [24] K. Masaki, Ph.D. thesis, Osaka University, 1992.
- [25] S. Takagi, J. L. Hoyt, J. J. Weiser, and J. F. Gibbons, J. Appl. Phys. 80, 1567 (1996).

- [26] S. Takagi, J. Koga, and A. Toriumi, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 37, 1289 (1998).
- [27] C. Hamaguchi, Basic Semiconductor Physics 2nd edition (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2010) p. 340.
- [28] S. Kobayashi, M. Saitoh, Y. Nakabayshi, T. Ishihara, T. Numata, and K. Uchida, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 49, 04DC23 (2010).
- [29] See Supplemental Material at http://\*\*\* for (S1) Self-consistent calculation of a two-dimensional electron accumulation channel in the Si layer in the vicinity of the BOX (SiO<sub>2</sub>) layer, (S2) Hanle signals observed in the SB spin MOSFET, (S3) Spin extraction/detection via a forward-biased Schottky barrier, (S4) Spin injection/detection via a reverse-biased Schottky barrier, and (S5) Spin detection via a Schottky barrier in the non-local measurement setup.
- [30] D. Tjapkin, V. Milanović, and Ž. Spasojaveć, Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) 63, 737 (1981).
- [31] D. R. Choudhury, P. K. Bash, and A. N. Chakravariti, Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) 38, K85 (1976).
- [32] A. H. Marshak and D. Assaf III, Solid-State Electronics 16, 675 (1973).
- [33] M. Kameno, Y. Ando, E. Shikoh, T. Shinjo, T. Sasaki, T. Oikawa, Y. Suzuki, T. Suzuki, and M. Shiraishi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 122413 (2012).
- [34] S. Sato, R. Nakane, T. Hada, and M. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B 97, 199901(E) (2018).
- [35] Y. Aoki, M. Kameno, Y. Ando, E. Shikoh, Y. Suzuki, T. Shinjo, M. Shiraishi, T. Sasaki, T. Oikawa, and T. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 86, 081201 (2012).
- [36] S. Sato, R. Nakane, and M. Tanaka, Appl. Phys. Lett. 107, 032407 (2016).
- [37] O. Txoperena and F. Casanova, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 49, 133001 (2016).
- [38] S. Sharma, S. P. Dash, H. Saito, S. Yuasa, B. J. van Wees, and R. Jansen, Phys. Rev. B 86, 165308 (2012).
- [39] Z. G. Yu and M. E. Flatté, Phys. Rev. B 66, 235302 (2002).
- [40] M. Kameno, Y. Ando, E. Shikoh, T. Shinjo, T. Sasaki, T. Oikawa, Y. Suzuki, T. Suzuki, and M. Shiraishi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 122413 (2012).
- [31] Y. Takamura, T. Akushichi, Y. Shuto, and S. Sugahara, J. Appl. Phys. 117, 17D919 (2015).
- [42] M. Prada, G. Klimeck, and R. Joynt, New Journal of Physics 13, 013009 (2011).
- [43] I. Gränacher and W. Czaja, J. Phys. Chem. Sol. 28, 231 (1967).
- [44] H. Kodera, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 21, 1040 (1966).
- [45] T. Valet and A. Fert, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7099 (1993).
- [46] A. Fert and H. Jaffrès, Phys. Rev. B 64, 184420 (2001).
- [47] M. Julliere, Phys. Lett. A 54, 225 (1975).
- [48] E. H. Rhoderick, *Metal-Semiconductor Contacts*, edited by P. Hammond and D. Walsh (Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1978).
- [49] F. J. Jedema, M. V. Costache, H. B. Heersche, J. J. A. Baselmans, and B. J. van Wees, Appl.

Phys. Lett. 81, 5162 (2002).



Figure 1

Schematic illustration of a Hall-bar-type metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor (MOSFET) prepared on a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) substrate, where the channel thickness  $t_{Si}$  is 15 nm, the channel length and width are 600 µm and 50 µm, respectively, the phosphorus donor doping concentration  $N_D$  in the channel is  $1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and the thickness of a buried oxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>) layer  $t_{BOX}$  for the back gate is 200 nm. The measurement setup is also shown, where a constant drain-source current  $I_{DS}$  and a constant gate-source voltage  $V_{GS}$  were applied and the longitudinal and transverse voltages  $V_L$  and  $V_T$ , respectively, were measured while a sweeping magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the substrate plane.





(a) Channel sheet resistance  $R_{ch}$  (left axis) and sheet carrier (electron) density  $N_S$  (right axis) in the accumulation channel of Si plotted as a function of the gate electric field  $E_{\rm ox} = V_{\rm GS} / t_{\rm BOX}$ , which were estimated from a Hall measurement at 295 K. The red and blue circles are experimental  $R_{ch}$  and  $N_{s}$  results, respectively, and a blue dotted line represents a theoretical line of  $N_{\rm S}$  estimated by  $N_{\rm S} = C_{\rm ox} (V_{\rm GS} - V_{\rm fb})$ . (b) Electron mobility plotted as а function of effective gate electric field μ  $E_{\rm eff} = q / \varepsilon_{\rm Si} (N_{\rm S} / 2 - N_{\rm D} t_{\rm Si})$ , which was estimated from Hall measurements at 295 K. The blue diamonds are the experimental results and the red solid curve is the fitting curve of a self-consistent calculation. In the figure, the  $N_{\rm S}$  values are also shown in the upper axis. (c) Electron momentum lifetime  $\tau$  as a function of  $E_{\text{eff}}$ , which were estimated by the self-consistent calculation: Total electron momentum lifetime  $\tau$  (black line), momentum lifetime considering only optical phonon intervalley scattering both *f*and *g*-process  $\tau_{op}$  (red line), acoustic phonon intravalley scattering  $\tau_{ac}$  (blue line), and surface roughness scattering  $\tau_{sr}$  (green line). In the figure, the N<sub>S</sub> values are also shown in the upper axis. (d) Diffusion coefficient  $D_e$  plotted as a function of  $E_{eff}$ . The red, green, and blue curves are the curve estimated by Eq. 1(d),  $D_e^{ND} = kT\mu$ , and  $D_e^{D} = (E_F - E_0^{(2)})\mu$ , respectively.



### Figure 3

(a) Side view and (b) top view of a SB spin MOSFET having Fe(4 nm)/Mg(0.88 nm)/MgO(0.8 nm)/Si Schottky-tunnel junctions prepared on a silicon-on-insulator (SOI) substrate, in which the thickness of the buried oxide (BOX) SiO<sub>2</sub> layer is 200 nm and the phosphorus donor doping concentration  $N_D$  of the 15 nm-thick Si channel is  $1 \times 10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The Cartesian coordinate is defined as follows; *x* and *y* are parallel to the long and short sides of the electrode, respectively, and *z* is normal to the substrate plane.

The channel length  $L_{ch}$  along the y direction and width  $W_{ch}$  along the x direction are defined, and the short side lengths along the y direction of the source (S) and drain (D) electrodes are  $l_S = 2 \ \mu m$  and  $l_D = 1 \ \mu m$ , respectively. R1and R2 are located at 100  $\mu m$ away from the S and D electrodes, respectively, and their short side lengths along the y direction is 40  $\mu m$ . (c) Local measurement setup of the SB spin MOSFET, where the voltage between the R1/S, S/D, and D/R2 electrodes are measured simultaneously by voltage meters  $V_S$ ,  $V_{DS}$ , and  $V_D$ , respectively, while a constant current  $I_{DS}$  is driven between the D and S electrodes and a constant gate voltage  $V_{GS}$  is applied between the back contact and the S electrode. In the measurements, an external magnetic field is applied along the plane ( $\theta = 0^\circ$ , along the -x direction) or perpendicular to the plane ( $\theta$ = 90°, along the -z direction) and it is swept between -3000 and 3000 Oe.





(a)  $I_{DS} - V_{DS}$  characteristics measured at 295 K for a SB spin MOSFET with  $L_{ch} = 0.4$  µm, where  $V_{GS}$  was varied from 0 to 100 V in 20 V steps. (b)  $I_{DS} - V_{GS}$  characteristics measured at 295 K for the same device, where  $V_{DS} = 1$  V. (c)  $V_{S} - I_{DS}$  (black solid curve) and  $V_{D} - I_{DS}$  (red solid curve) plots measured at 295 K for the same device, where  $V_{GS} = 100$  V.





(a)(b)(c) Voltage change (a)  $\Delta V_{\rm D}$ , (b)  $\Delta V_{\rm SD}$ , and (c)  $\Delta V_{\rm S}$  measured at 295 K with  $I_{\rm DS} = 5$  mA and  $V_{\rm GS} = 100$  V for a SB spin MOSFET with  $L_{\rm ch} = 0.4$  µm, while an in-plane magnetic field ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ) is swept. The red solid (dashed) curves are major loops measured with a magnetic field swept from the positive to negative direction (from the negative to positive direction). In (a), the blue solid (dashed) curve is a minor loop measured with a magnetic field swept from the positive to negative direction (from the negative to positive direction).  $\Delta V^{2T}$  represents the amplitude of the spin-valve signal. In (c), the two vertical arrows indicate the signal peaks at -30 and 20 Oe.



Figure 6

(a) Resistance change  $\Delta R = \Delta V^{2T} / I_{DS}$  plotted as a function of channel length  $L_{ch}$ , where measurements were performed at 295 K with  $V_{GS} = 100$  V. The open circles are experimental values, the solid line is the fitting result by Eq. 4(a), and the dashed line is the offset resistance change  $\Delta R_{offset}$ . (b)  $\Delta R$  plotted as a function of  $L_{ch}$ , where blue, dark green, light green, orange, and red open circles are experimental values for  $V_{GS} =$ 40, 50, 60, 80, and 100 V, respectively, and blue, dark green, light green, orange, and red curves are the fitting curves for the experimental values with the same color. (c) Spin diffusion length  $\lambda_S$  and (d)  $R_0^{spin}$  plotted by blue diamonds as a function of gate electric field  $E_{ox} = V_{GS}/t_{BOX}$ , where those values were estimated from the fitting results in (b). The  $V_{GS}$  values are also shown in the upper axis.



### Figure 7

(a) Spin lifetime  $\tau_s$  in the 2D accumulation channel plotted as a function of effective gate electric field  $E_{\text{eff}}$ , where blue diamonds are estimated values. The red line is  $\tau$  in Fig. 2(c) multiplied by 14000. The  $N_{\text{S}}$  values are also shown in the upper axis. (b) Spin polarization  $P_{\text{S}}$  plotted as a function of  $E_{\text{eff}}$ , where blue diamonds are estimated values by Eq. (4b). The  $N_{\text{S}}$  values are also shown in the upper axis.