

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Bandwidth controlled insulator-metal transition in BaFe\_{2}S\_{3}: A Mössbauer study under pressure

Philipp Materne, Wenli Bi, Jiyong Zhao, Michael Yu Hu, Maria Lourdes Amigó, Silvia Seiro, Saicharan Aswartham, Bernd Büchner, and Esen Ercan Alp Phys. Rev. B **99**, 020505 — Published 24 January 2019 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.99.020505

## Bandwidth controlled insulator-metal transition in $BaFe_2S_3$ : A Mössbauer study under pressure.

Philipp Materne,<sup>1,\*</sup> Wenli Bi,<sup>1,2</sup> Jiyong Zhao,<sup>1</sup> Michael Yu Hu,<sup>1</sup> Maria Lourdes

Amigó,<sup>3</sup> Silvia Seiro,<sup>3</sup> Saicharan Aswartham,<sup>3</sup> Bernd Büchner,<sup>3,4</sup> and Esen Ercan Alp<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

<sup>3</sup>Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research (IFW) Dresden, D-01069, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Solid State and Materials Physics, TU Dresden, D-01069 Dresden, Germany

(Dated: December 26, 2018)

BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> is a quasi one-dimensional Mott insulator that orders antiferromagnetically below 117(5) K. The application of pressure induces a transition to a metallic state, and superconductivity emerges. The evolution of the magnetic behavior on increasing pressure has up to now been either studied indirectly by means of transport measurements, or by using local magnetic probes only in the low pressure region. Here, we investigate the magnetic properties of BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> up to 9.9 GPa by means of synchrotron <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy experiments, providing the first local magnetic phase diagram. The magnetic ordering temperature increases up to 185(5) K at 7.5 GPa, and is fully suppressed at 9.9 GPa. The low-temperature magnetic hyperfine field is continuously reduced from 12.9 to 10.3 T between 1.4 and 9.1 GPa, followed by a sudden drop to zero at 9.9 GPa indicating a first-order phase transition. The pressure dependence of the magnetic order in BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> can be qualitatively explained by a combination of a bandwidth-controlled insulator-metal transition as well as a pressure enhanced exchange interaction between Fe-atoms and Fe 3*d*-S 3*p* hybridization.

Since the discovery of superconductivity in  $LaFeAsO_{1-x}F_x$  the Fe-based superconductors have been heavily studied [1]. LaFeAsO,  $BaFe_2As_2$ , and their isostructural equivalents are semi-metals showing a spin-densitiy wave order below the magnetic phase transition temperature  $T_{\rm N}$ . Both compounds share the same structural motif: a two-dimensional Fe-As layer with a planar Fe square-lattice [2]. Superconductivity (SC) can be induced in both compounds by applying hydrostatic pressure or chemical substitution [1, 3, 4]. The discovery of pressure-induced SC in BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> added a new class to the Fe-based SC [5, 6].  $BaFe_2S_3$  is different in two aspects: it i a Mott insulator at ambient conditions and its basic structural motif are quasi one-dimensional ladders of edge-sharing  $FeS_4$  tetrahedra [5, 7, 8]. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic *Cmcm* space group and orders antiferromagnetically (AFM) with a stripe-type arrangement below  $\sim 125$ K [5, 7, 8]. It was found that nesting can be excluded as a mechanism for the AFM order, in contrast to the FeAs-based compounds [9]. At the critical pressure  $p_c$  $\sim 10\,\mathrm{GPa}$  an insulator-metal transition occurs and a non-magnetic SC phase with transition temperatures up to 24 K at 12 - 13 GPa was observed [5, 6].

So far, the evolution of magnetic order with pressure over a large pressure range has only been studied indirectly by means of resistivity measurements [6], while investigations with magnetic local probes have only been reported up to 2.6 GPa [10, 11]. In order to fill this knowledge gap we performed synchrotron <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (SMS) experiments on BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> single crystals as a function of temperature and pressure up to 9.9 GPa. SMS allows to independently measure the magnetic volume fraction and the magnetic hyperfine field, which is a measure for the magnetic moment, and thus the magnetic phase diagram can be explored with high precision. We found that the pressure dependence of the low-temperature magnetic hyperfine field and the magnetic phase transition temperature are consistent with a combination of a bandwidth-controlled insulator-metal transition as well as a pressure enhanced exchange interaction between Fe-atoms and Fe 3*d*-S 3*p* hybridization [12–14]. The critical pressure  $p_c$  of the Mott transition is ~ 9.9 GPa.

Single crystals were grown from BaS, Fe, and S powders in a glassy carbon crucible sealed in an evacuated quartz ampule. The ampule was placed in a box furnace, heated up to 1100 °C, and cooled slowly to 750 °C. BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> mm-sized single crystals with needle morphology were then mechanically extracted from the crucible. As-grown crystals were characterized by energydispersive x-ray spectroscopy, yielding an average composition of 16.7(2)% at Ba : 34.7(4)% at Fe : 48.6(4)% at S, that agrees well with that expected for BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> within the experimental accuracy. The statistical standard deviation over several locations on samples of the same batch is indicated in parentheses. X-ray diffraction measurements performed on crushed crystals confirm the *Cmcm* structure, with lattice parameters a = 8.777(2) Å, b = 11.225(2) Å, and c = 5.282(1) Å, similar to those previously reported [5, 15-17].

The SMS experiments were conducted at the beamline 3ID-B of the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, USA. The measurements were conducted between 10 and 200 K and 1.4 and 9.9 GPa using a special He-flow cryostat and a miniature diamond anvil



FIG. 1. Synchrotron Mössbauer spectra at representative temperatures and pressures in the paramagnetic (top) and magnetically ordered phase (bottom). The outliers at  $\sim$  52.5 ns are due to an impurity in the synchrotron bunches. Red lines are fits to the data.

cell [18, 19]. Daphne oil 7575 was used as the pressure transmitting medium to ensure quasi-hydrostatic pressure conditions. The pressure was measured *in situ* via ruby fluorescence with an uncertainty of 0.1 GPa. The beam size was  $15 \times 20 \ \mu\text{m}^2$  (FWHM). The SMS spectra were analyzed using CONUSS [20].

In a SMS experiment the sample is illuminated by a radiation pulse resonantly exciting the  ${}^{57}$ Fe nuclei. The excited states coherently decay as a function of time under the emission of photons. Measuring the photon counts time-resolved results in a time spectrum containing the information about the hyperfine interactions. For more information about SMS the interested reader is referred to the review by Sturhahn [21].

SMS spectra for representative temperatures and pressures are shown in Fig. 1. In the paramagnetic temperature regime a minimum due to a quadrupole splitting QS was observed. With increasing pressure the minimum is shifted to longer times showing a reduction of QS. In the AFM temperature regime an oscillation pattern was observed showing the presence of static magnetic order. With increasing pressure the oscillation frequency is reduced showing a reduction of the magnetic hyperfine field  $B_{\rm hf}$ .

The pressure dependence of QS, which is directly proportional to the electric field gradient (EFG) at the Fe nucleus, is shown in Fig. 2. QS is temperature indepen-



FIG. 2. Pressure dependence of the quadrupole splitting QS. QS was taken in the paramagnetic temperature regime. QS is temperature independent within error bars. This is exemplarily shown in the inset for 1.4 (red) and 7.5 GPa (blue). Lines are guide to the eye only. The large error bars in the inset for 1.4 GPa at 110 and 120 K are a result of the bunch impurities.

dent in the investigated temperature regime below 180 K within error bars. Therefore QS does not change between the paramagnetic and magnetically ordered phase which is in contrast to the FeAs-based compounds where QS increases [22–25]. This indicates the absence of a charge redistribution due to the magnetic order which is most likely a result of the stronger localization of the electrons in the Mott insulator  $BaFe_2S_3$  compared to the more itinerant FeAs-based systems. QS shows a monotonic reduction from  $\sim 0.60$  to  $\sim 0.35$  mm/s at 1.4 and  $9.9\,\mathrm{GPa}$ , respectively. Between 1.4 and  $6.1\,\mathrm{GPa}~QS$  decreases with -0.014(1) mm/s / GPa which changes to -0.061(9) mm/s / GPa between 6.1 and 9.9 GPa. Room temperature x-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments observed a continuous reduction of the a-/b-/c lattice parameters with increasing pressure [17]. This continuous reduction would result in a constant slope of QS as a function of p while a change in slope indicates a change in either the electronic and/or crystallographic structure. This change in the slope above 6.1 GPa coincides with a discontinuous change in the S heights from the iron plane between 6 and 8 GPa [17]. This is consistent with the fact that the EFG is very sensitive to the anion height [26]. Another possible cause is an increased delocalization of the formerly localized electrons consistent with theoretical calculations [27, 28]. This might indicate that the fingerprint of the metallic state is already visible at pressures lower than  $p_c$ .

The temperature dependence of the magnetic hyperfine field  $B_{\rm hf}(T)$ , which is shown in Fig. 3, was analyzed using the commonly used order parameter function of the type  $B_{\rm hf}(T) = B_{\rm hf}(0) \times (1 - (T/T_{\rm N})^{\alpha})^{\beta}$  [23, 29, 30]. In the vicinity of the magnetic phase transition and by setting  $\alpha = 1$  the critical exponents  $\beta$  can be estimated.



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the magnetic hyperfine field  $B_{\rm hf}$  for representative pressures. Lines are fits to the data using a order parameter like function.

 $\beta$  increases from 0.09(1) to 0.28(2) for 1.4 and 7.5 GPa, respectively. This increase suggests that the inter-ladder interaction is enhanced with increasing pressure due to a reduction of the inter-ladder Fe distances, strengthening the three-dimensional character of the magnetic order.



FIG. 4. Magnetic phase diagram of BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> as a function of pressure obtained by synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy.  $T_{\rm N}$  (black square/star/triangle, left axis) and the low-temperature magnetic hyperfine field (blue circle, right axis) are plotted. The star data point shows phase separation with ~ 72% PM signal fraction. The triangle data point at ambient pressure was obtained by magnetization measurements on single crystals of the same batch.  $T_{\rm N}$  continuously increases to 185(5) K at 7.5 GPa followed by a rapid suppression to zero at 9.9 GPa. In contrast,  $B_{\rm hf}$  is continuously reduced to 10.3(1) T at 9.1 GPa followed by an abrupt suppression to zero at 9.9 GPa.

The pressure dependence of the magnetic phase transition temperature  $T_{\rm N}$  is shown in Fig. 4. Magnetization measurements on single crystals from the same batch (not shown) at ambient pressure revealed a magnetic phase transition temperature of  $T_{\rm N}=117(5)$  K.  $T_{\rm N}$ continuously increases to 185(5) K at 7.5 GPa followed by a rapid suppression to zero at 9.9 GPa. This increase in  $T_{\rm N}$  is consistent with muon spin relaxation experiments conducted in the low pressure region [11]. Yamauchi *et al.* conducted dc resistivity measurements and observed a maximum in  $T_{\rm N}$  at ~ 3 GPa followed by a monotonic reduction with increasing pressure [6]. Reasons for the discrepancy could be anisotropies in the resistivity or slightly different stochiometries [15]. The increase of  $T_{\rm N}$  between 0 and 7.5 GPa can be explained by an increase of the exchange interaction [14]. The application of pressure compresses the unit cell volume and reduces the Fe-Fe distances [17]. As a consequence the exchange interaction between the Fe-atoms, and thus  $T_{\rm N}$ may increase.

The pressure dependence of the low-temperature magnetic hyperfine field  $B_{\rm hf}$  is shown in Fig. 4.  $B_{\rm hf}$  continuously decreases from 12.8(1) to 10.3(1) T at 1.4 and 9.1 GPa, respectively, followed by an abrupt suppression to zero at 9.9 GPa indicating a first-order phase transition. We conducted two measurements at 9.9 GPa and one shows paramagnetic (PM) behavior down to 10.3 K while in the second measurement a phase separation of  $\sim 72\%$  PM and 28% magnetic volume fraction with a magnetic hyperfine field of  $\sim 8 \,\mathrm{T}$  was observed. Taken into account that small pressure differences might occur, this result supports the first-order phase transition interpretation. An extrapolation of our data to ambient pressure yields  $B_{\rm hf} = 13.4(1) \,\mathrm{T}$  at low temperatures, in agreement with a previously reported value of  $\sim 13.5\,\mathrm{T}$ [5] that corresponded to an ordered moment of  $1.2 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ , as determined by neutron scattering. Other reported values for the ordered magnetic moment range between  $1 \mu_{\rm B}$  [10] and  $1.3 \mu_{\rm B}$  [11]. However, for the entire pressure range studied in this work,  $B_{\rm hf}$  is in good qualitative agreement with DFT calculations that show a reduction of the magnetic moment by  $\sim 20\%$  between 0 and 8 GPa followed by a suppression to zero for pressures above 10 GPa [11, 31, 32].

The pressure dependence of  $B_{\rm hf}$  is in contrast to the observed increase of  $T_{\rm N}$ . XRD measurements at room temperature have shown that the Fe-S distance decreases with increasing pressure [17]. This will most likely enhance the Fe 3d-S 3p hybridization and thus decrease the ordered Fe magnetic moment similarly to the FeAs-based compounds [33–35]. Moreover, DFT calculations have shown that a shorter Fe-S distance weakens the magnetic moment [11]. However, the strong suppression between 9.1 and 9.9 GPa indicates that the Fe 3d-S 3p hybridization cannot be the only reason for the reduction of  $B_{\rm hf}$ .

The first-order phase transition of  $B_{\rm hf}$  can be explained by an increase of the electronic band width W, as the insulator-metal transition in BaFe<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was identified to be bandwidth-controlled [5]. A renormalization-group analysis has shown that, starting from the superconducting phase, the Mott insulating phase might be obtained via a bandwidth-tuning due to pressure [36]. Calculations have shown that W increases by ~ 25% between 0 and 12.4 GPa while the Coulomb repulsion U decreases

by 6–7% [37]. Therefore, by increasing pressure, W/U increases, giving rise to an abrupt insulator-metal transition at the critical pressure, and reducing the magnetic moment and thus  $B_{\rm hf}$  to zero.

In summary, we have studied the local magnetic phase diagram of  $BaFe_2S_3$  as a function of pressure up to 9.9 GPa by means of synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy. We observed upon increasing pressure a continuous increase of the magnetic ordering temperature, that reaches a maximum value of 185(5) K at 7.5 GPa, and decreases abruptly to zero at 9.9 GPa. In contrast, the low-temperature hyperfine field decreases by  $\sim 20\%$ between 1.4 and 9.1 GPa, followed by a sharp suppression to zero at 9.9 GPa indicating first-order phase transition. Additionally, the magnetic order appears to acquire an increasingly three-dimensional character between 1.4 and 7.5 GPa, in line with an increase of the inter-ladder interaction. As a result of this study, the pressure dependence of the magnetic order in  $BaFe_2S_3$  can be qualitatively explained by a combination of a bandwidth-controlled insulator-metal transition, a pressure enhanced exchange interaction between Fe-atoms, and Fe 3d-S 3p hybridization.

## Acknowledgments

Part of this work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – MA 7362/1-1 and BU 887/15-1. M.L.A. acknowledges support from the DFG within GRK 1621. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Support from COMPRES under NSF Cooperative Agreement EAR-1606856 is acknowledged for partial support of W. Bi.

\* philipp.materne@gmx.de, phm@anl.gov

- Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).
- [2] D. C. Johnston, Adv. Phys. 59, 803 (2010).
- [3] P. L. Alireza, Y. T. C. Ko, J. Gillett, C. M. Petrone, J. M. Cole, G. G. Lonzarich, and S. E. Sebastian, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21, 012208 (2009).
- [4] H. Luetkens, H.-H. Klauss, M. Kraken, F. J. Litterst, T. Dellmann, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, C. Baines, M. Kosmala, O. J. Schumann, M. Braden, J. Hamann-Borrero, N. Leps, A. Kondrat, G. Behr, J. Werner, and B. Büchner, Nat. Mater. 8, 305 (2009).
- [5] H. Takahashi, A. Sugimoto, Y. Nambu, T. Yamauchi, Y. Hirata, T. Kawakami, M. Avdeev, K. Matsubayashi, F. Du, C. Kawashima, H. Soeda, S. Nakano, Y. Uwatoko, Y. Ueda, T. J. Sato, and K. Ohgushi, Nat. Mater. 14, 1008 (2015).
- [6] T. Yamauchi, Y. Hirata, Y. Ueda, and K. Ohgushi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 246402 (2015).

- [7] H. Hong and H. Steinfink, J. Solid State Chem. 5, 93 (1972).
- [8] Z. S. Gönen, P. Fournier, V. Smolyaninova, R. Greene, F. M. Araujo-Moreira, and B. Eichhorn, Chem. Mater. 12, 3331 (2000).
- [9] J. M. Pizarro and E. Bascones, ArXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1803.00282.
- [10] S. Chi, Y. Uwatoko, H. Cao, Y. Hirata, K. Hashizume, T. Aoyama, and K. Ohgushi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 047003 (2016).
- [11] L. Zheng, B. A. Frandsen, C. Wu, M. Yi, S. Wu, Q. Huang, E. Bourret-Courchesne, G. Simutis, R. Khasanov, D.-X. Yao, M. Wang, and R. J. Birgeneau, ArXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1807.10703.
- [12] N. F. Mott, Can. J. Phys. 34, 1356 (1956)
- [13] N. Mott, Metal-Insulator Transitions (Taylor & Francis, 1990).
- [14] D. Bloch, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 27, 881 (1966).
- [15] Y. Hirata, S. Maki, J.-i. Yamaura, T. Yamauchi, and K. Ohgushi, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 205109 (2015).
- [16] M. Reissner, W. Steiner, J. Wernisch, and H. Boller, Hyperfine Interact. 169, 1301 (2006).
- [17] K. Kobayashi, S. Maki, Y. Murakami, Y. Hirata, K. Ohgushi, and J. ichi Yamaura, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 31, 105002 (2018).
- [18] W. Bi, J. Zhao, J.-F. Lin, Q. Jia, M. Y. Hu, C. Jin, R. Ferry, W. Yang, V. Struzhkin, and E. E. Alp, J. Synch. Radiat. 22, 760 (2015).
- [19] J. Y. Zhao, W. Bi, S. Sinogeikin, M. Y. Hu, E. E. Alp, X. C. Wang, C. Q. Jin, and J. F. Lin, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 88, 125109 (2017).
- [20] W. Sturhahn, Hyperfine Interact. **125**, 149 (2000).
- [21] W. Sturhahn, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, S497 (2004).
- [22] P. Materne, W. Bi, E. E. Alp, J. Zhao, M. Y. Hu, A. Jesche, C. Geibel, R. Kappenberger, S. Aswartham, S. Wurmehl, B. Büchner, D. Zhang, T. Goltz, J. Spehling, and H.-H. Klauss, Phys. Rev. B 98, 014517 (2018).
- [23] P. Materne, S. Kamusella, R. Sarkar, T. Goltz, J. Spehling, H. Maeter, L. Harnagea, S. Wurmehl, B. Büchner, H. Luetkens, C. Timm, and H.-H. Klauss, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 134511 (2015).
- [24] M. Rotter, M. Tegel, I. Schellenberg, F. M. Schappacher, R. Pöttgen, J. Deisenhofer, A. Günther, F. Schrettle, A. Loidl, and D. Johrendt, New J. Phys. **11**, 025014 (2009).
- [25] A. Błachowski, K. Ruebenbauer, J. Zukrowski, K. Rogacki, Z. Bukowski, and J. Karpinski, Phys. Rev. B 83, 134410 (2011).
- [26] H.-J. Grafe, G. Lang, F. Hammerath, D. Paar, K. Manthey, K. Koch, H. Rosner, N. J. Curro, G. Behr, J. Werner, N. Leps, R. Klingeler, H.-H. Klauss, F. J. Litterst, and B. Büchner, N. J. Phys. 11, 035002 (2009).
- [27] Y. Nishihara and S. Ogawa, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 3796 (1979).
- [28] L. Craco and S. Leoni, Phys. Rev. B 98, 195107 (2018).
- [29] H. Maeter, H. Luetkens, Y. G. Pashkevich, A. Kwadrin, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, A. A. Gusev, K. V. Lamonova, D. A. Chervinskii, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, G. Behr, B. Büchner, and H.-H. Klauss, Phys. Rev. B 80, 094524 (2009).
- [30] G. Prando, O. Vakaliuk, S. Sanna, G. Lamura, T. Shiroka, P. Bonfà, P. Carretta, R. De Renzi, H.-H. Klauss,

C. G. F. Blum, S. Wurmehl, C. Hess, and B. Büchner, Phys. Rev. B 87, 174519 (2013).

- [31] M.-T. Suzuki, R. Arita, and H. Ikeda, Phys. Rev. B 92, 085116 (2015).
- [32] Y. Zhang, L. Lin, J.-J. Zhang, E. Dagotto, and S. Dong, Phys. Rev. B 95, 115154 (2017).
- [33] S. Mirbt, B. Sanyal, C. Isheden, and B. Johansson, Phys. Rev. B 67, 155421 (2003).
- [34] T. M. McQueen, M. Regulacio, A. J. Williams, Q. Huang, J. W. Lynn, Y. S. Hor, D. V. West, M. A. Green, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B 78, 024521 (2008).
- [35] J. Wu, P. Phillips, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 126401 (2008).
- [36] E. J. König, A. M. Tsvelik, and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. B 98, 184517 (2018).
- [37] R. Arita, H. Ikeda, S. Sakai, and M.-T. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. B 92, 054515 (2015).