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In this work we predict several new types of topological semimetals that exhibit a bulk quadrupole moment. These semimetals are modeled with a 3D extension of the 2D quadrupole topological insulator. One type of semimetal has bulk nodes and gapped, topological surfaces. A second type, which we may call a higher order topological semimetal, has a gapped bulk, but harbors a Dirac semimetal with an even number of nodes on one or more surfaces. The final type has a gapped bulk, but harbors half of a Dirac semimetal on multiple surfaces. Each of these semimetals gives rise to mid-gap hinge states and hinge charge, as well as surface polarization, which are all consequences of a bulk quadrupole moment. We show how the bulk quadrupole moments of these systems can be calculated from the momentum-locations of bulk or surface nodes in the energy spectrum. Finally, we illustrate that in some cases it is useful to examine nodes in the Wannier bands, instead of the energy bands, to extract the bulk quadrupole moment.

Introduction.—The recent theoretical prediction of a new class of (higher-order) topological insulators with quantized quadrupole moments[1] has opened a new direction in the field of topological phases[2–9]. The simplest quadrupole topological insulator is a 2D system with an energy gap in the bulk and on the boundaries. This is unusual for topological insulators as they conventionally have characteristic gapless surface states[10, 11]. However, the boundaries in the quadrupole insulator are not inert, and actually form lower-dimensional topological phases themselves. One manifestation of the surface topology is the existence of protected, mid-gap modes on the corners of the system where two edges intersect[1].

In this article we turn our attention to the prediction of new classes of topological semimetals (TSMs) based on an extension of the quadrupole insulator to a layered 3D system. The new classes of TSMs include a bulk quadrupolar TSM with gapless bulk nodes, but without gapless surface modes, and several types of higher-order TSMs (defined below) that are gapped in the bulk, but harbor surface TSMs. Each of these 3D TSMs has a quadrupole moment that can be determined by the geometry of the bulk or surface point-node band-crossings in the system; this is analogous to the electromagnetic response properties of 3D Weyl semimetals[12–17] and 2D/3D Dirac semimetals[17] which can be determined by the location of the Weyl/Dirac nodes in energy/momentum space. While we are primarily discussing these systems in the context of electronic solid state materials, we expect that they can also be straightforwardly engineered in meta-materials contexts. Topological semimetals have been designed and confirmed via spectroscopy in meta-material systems, such as optical lattices[18, 19], photonic crystals[20–24], and acoustic systems[25, 26]. Given that 2D quadrupole insulator has been realized experimentally in three independent meta-material contexts[7–9], our proposed 3D quadrupolar semimetals are not far separated from experimental realization, and some of the predicted phenomena, especially the spectroscopic features, should be observable.

Review of quadrupole model.—Let us begin by reviewing the model of a 2D topological quadrupole insulator[1]. A tightbinding representation of the Hamiltonian is illustrated in Fig. 1a with four spinless orbitals per unit cell, and includes inter- and intra-cell nearest neighbor hopping. The Bloch Hamiltonian is

\[ H(\mathbf{k}) = \left( \gamma_x + \lambda_x \cos k_x \Gamma_4 + \lambda_x \sin k_x \Gamma_3 \right) + \left( \gamma_y + \lambda_y \cos k_y \Gamma_2 + \lambda_y \sin k_y \Gamma_1 \right) , \]

where \( \Gamma_0 = \tau_3 \otimes I, \Gamma_k = -\tau_2 \otimes \sigma_k, \Gamma_4 = \tau_1 \otimes I \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix, and \( \tau_3, \sigma_k \) are Pauli matrices with a basis specified in Fig. 1a. \( \gamma_i \) and \( \lambda_i \) are intra- and inter-cell
tunneling strengths. There is $\pi$-flux per plaquette, and we have made a gauge choice for the relative phases of the hopping terms as shown in Fig. 1a. For all values of $\gamma_i$ and $\lambda_i$ the model has $x$ and $y$ mirror symmetries with representation matrices $\hat{m}_x = \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_3$, and $\hat{m}_y = \tau_1 \otimes \sigma_1$. Due to the $\pi$-flux, these mirror operators anticommute. If $|\gamma_x| = |\gamma_y|$, and $|\lambda_x| = |\lambda_y|$ then the model has $C_4$ rotation symmetry with matrix representation

$$\hat{r}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ -i\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(2)

where we note that $\hat{r}_4^2 = -1$ due to the $\pi$-flux.

For our discussion of TSM phases it is important to understand the phase diagram of this model, shown in Fig. 2a, as a function of the $\gamma_i$ and $\lambda_i$. To simplify the discussion let us fix $\lambda_x = \lambda_y = \lambda$ from now on. The model exhibits a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ set of topological classes specified by the polarizations (Berry-Zak phases) $(p_x, p_y)$ of the hybrid Wannier bands $\nu_y(k_z)$ and $\nu_x(k_z)$ respectively. Throughout the phase diagram, the $(p_x, p_y)$ Berry phases are quantized by the pair of $x$, $y$ mirror symmetries, and take values of either 0 or 1/2 in units of $2\pi$. The interior (purple) square of the phase diagram represents the topological quadrupole phase having $(p_x, p_y) = (1/2, 1/2)$ which is the only region of the phase diagram with a non-vanishing quadrupole moment $q_{xy}$. In addition to the mirror symmetries, the system also has $C_4$ symmetry along the diagonal and anti-diagonal of the phase diagram. If $C_4$ symmetry is enforced, then there is only one type of phase transition: from the quadrupole $(1/2, 1/2)$ phase to the fully trivial phase $(0, 0)$. The transition occurs when $|\gamma| = |\lambda|$, which is accompanied by a gap-closing in the bulk energy spectrum. When $C_4$ symmetry is relaxed, two other types of transitions are available. When one passes from the $(1/2, 1/2)$ phase to the $(0, 1/2)$ phase there will be a gap-closing in the edge energy spectrum parallel to $\hat{x}$ ($\hat{y}$) rather than the bulk energy band, which is accompanied by a gap-closing in the $\nu_y(k_z)$ ($\nu_x(k_z)$) Wannier bands at a value of $\nu_y = 1/2$ ($\nu_x = 1/2$) [1, 3]. In contrast, transitions from the $(0, 0)$ phase to the $(1/2, 0)$ phase have a gap-closing in the hybrid-Wannier bands at a value of $\nu_y = 0$ ($\nu_x = 0$), but there is not a generic gap-closing transition in the bulk or edge energy spectrum.

Classification of topological quadrupolar semimetals—Now let us stack the 2D quadrupole model and couple the layers to generate a Bloch Hamiltonian (see Fig. 1b):

$$H(k) = (\gamma_x + \chi_x(k_z)) + \lambda \cos k_x) \Gamma_4 + \lambda \sin k_x \Gamma_3 + (\gamma_y + \chi_y(k_z)) + \lambda \cos k_y) \Gamma_2 + \lambda \sin k_y \Gamma_1,$$

(3)

where the $\chi_j(k_z)$ are periodic functions on the $k_z$ Brillouin zone (BZ) determined by the choice of inter-layer tunneling terms. To understand why this model can generate a TSM, consider the quantities $\gamma_i(k_z) \equiv \gamma_i + \chi_i(k_z)$, which represent maps from the $k_z$ BZ to closed paths in the 2D phase diagram in Fig. 2a with base point $(\gamma_x/|\lambda|, \gamma_y/|\lambda|)$. In Fig. 2a we have illustrated four different types of paths, each of which has some portion of the path within the topological quadrupole phase, and each having a discrete set of $k_z$ at which the path is at a transition point between different topological classes. This is precisely what is needed for a TSM, and how we define a TSM, i.e., there are transition points, as a function of momentum, between different topological classes. Furthermore, for each value of $k_z$ the system has mirror symmetries, and thus the Bloch Hamiltonian at a fixed $k_z$ has a quantized quadrupole moment, if it is not at a transition point. Hence, the bulk quadrupole moment of each path can be straightforwardly calculated by summing up all of the values of $k_z$ that are mapped, via the $\gamma_i(k_z)$, into the topological quadrupole region of the phase diagram. We will see below how, for each path, this calculation can be recast in terms of the momentum space locations of the transition points, analogous to, say, the calculation of the anomalous Hall coefficient in Weyl semimetals which is proportional to the momentum separation of the Weyl nodes[12–17]. Let us move on to describe the phenomenology of each path in turn.
In order to generate path 1 we need a $C_4$ invariant parameterization such as $\gamma_i(k_z) = -1 + 1/2 \cos(k_z)$, for $i = x, y$, and $\lambda = 1$. This path passes through the $C_4$ invariant phase transition point when $\gamma_i(k_z) = -1$, i.e., when $k_z = \pm \pi/2$. The two bulk nodes are represented by the black cones in Fig. 2b. The nodes represent a transition, as a function of $k_z$, between regions of the $k_z$ BZ in a topological quadrupole phase, and in regions in the trivial phase. We can expand around one of the nodal points, say $k = (0, 0, \pi/2)$, to find the continuum Hamiltonian $H_{\text{node}} = \delta k_x \Gamma_3 + \delta k_y \Gamma_1 + (1/2) \delta k_z (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_4)$. This is a gapless Dirac Hamiltonian with a four-fold degenerate Dirac point when all $\delta k_i = 0$. Adding terms proportional to $\Gamma_0$ or $(\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_4)$ will open a gap, but these terms are forbidden by a combination of mirror and $C_4$ symmetries. Hence, this TSM phase is protected by both mirror and $C_4$. Interestingly, preserving mirror but not $C_4$, e.g., adding $im\gamma_3 \Gamma_1 \Gamma_3$, allows for a coexisting quadrupole TSM and Weyl TSM with anomalous Hall coefficient.

While path 1 has a similar bulk-node structure to conventional TSMs, paths 2, 3, and 4 represent a completely new type of TSM, though all paths generate gapless hinge states and hence are higher-order TSMs. Paths 2, 3, and 4 are formed in regions of the phase diagram where only the mirror symmetries are generically preserved. Let us treat paths 2 and 3 first. We can parameterize path 2 via $\gamma_x = 1 + 1/2 \cos k_z, \gamma_y = 1/4 + 1/2 \sin k_z$, and path 3 via $\gamma_x = 3/4 + 1/2 \cos k_z, \gamma_y = -3/4 + 1/2 \cos k_z$. Neither path hits a transition point where the bulk band gap closes, however there are two and four points, for path 2 and 3 respectively, where the Wannier bands have a gap closing when leaving the (1/2, 1/2) class (see Fig. 4a,b for path 2). Consequently, for path 2, when the system has open boundaries there will be two values of $k_z$ at which the surface energy spectrum has a gap closing for surfaces normal to $\hat{y}$. Alternatively we could have oriented path 2 so it hit one of the orange phase boundaries in Fig. 2a, and subsequently we would find gapless nodes on surfaces normal to $\hat{x}$. Path 3 is similar to two copies of path 2, one copy with each orientation, and it will have gapless points on surfaces normal to $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ since it intersects both types of Wannier transition points as $k_z$ traverses the BZ. Hence, these systems are gapped in the bulk, but have TSMs on their surfaces. Indeed these systems have surface Dirac semimetals with an even number of nodes (possibly zero) on each surface normal to $\hat{x}$ and/or $\hat{y}$. The gapless nodes are protected by mirror symmetries and lie on mirror-invariant lines in the surface BZ. As an example, we take path 2 and extract the low-energy surface Hamiltonian on the surface normal to $\hat{x}$[32]. The resulting continuum Hamiltonian, when expanded around a surface Dirac node, reads $H_{\text{surf-node}(k_y, k_z)} = \sigma_1 v(k_z) + \lambda \sigma_2$, where $v$ depends on the particular $\gamma_i$ and $\lambda$. If we project the mirror symmetries onto the surface we find the effective representation $\tilde{m}_{y,\text{eff}} = \sigma_1$, which forbids any mass terms.

Finally, let us examine path 4. This path is topologically distinct from paths 2 and 3 because it encloses a bulk critical point; hence paths 2 and 3 cannot be smoothly deformed to path 4 without closing the bulk gap. We can parameterize this path using $\gamma_x = -1 + 1/2 \cos k_z, \gamma_y = 1 + 1/2 \sin k_z$. The TSM generated on this path is perhaps the most unusual because each surface normal to $\hat{x}$ or $\hat{y}$ harbors half of a Dirac semimetal. This occurs because the path intersects the phase boundaries between the (1/2, 1/2) class and the (1/2, 0) and (0, 1/2) classes just a single time each. Hence, on each surface there will only be a single node in the energy spectrum, protected by mirror symmetry, and with a similar continuum Hamiltonian to $H_{\text{surf-node}(k)}$. 
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However, because the path intersects the phase boundaries between (1/2, 0) and (0, 0), and (0, 1/2) and (0,0), there will be additional crossings in the Wannier bands not expressed in the energy spectrum. The structure of the Wannier band crossings is shown in Fig. 4d,e, and interestingly this case shows spectral flow through the Wannier band values.

**Phenomenology for topological quadrupolar semimetals.**—To calculate the quadrupole moment of topological quadrupolar semimetals we consider the portion of the paths that lie within the topological quadrupole phase. Path 1 is similar to the usual Dirac and Weyl TSMs in that there are point nodes in the bulk spectrum. Unlike the Dirac/Weyl system, however, this TSM does not have gapless Fermi-arc surface states. Instead, the surfaces are generically gapped unless they intersect another surface at a hinge. This is a consequence of the gapped, but topological nature of the quadrupole insulator edge states which have been stacked to form the surface states of the TSM. From our discussion above, we expect this system to have a bulk quadrupole moment \( q_{xy} = \frac{\pi}{\hbar} q_{v_x} \), where \( 2B_z \) is the momentum space separation between the bulk Dirac nodes, on the same surface (path 2) or neighboring surfaces (paths 3,4). In Fig. 2b we have illustrated the hinge nodes for these configurations, and how they are cutoff by the nodal positions.

We confirm all the above results in numerical calculations in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3a-d, we show the surface polarization resolved over the \( k_z \) BZ, which is quantized to 1/2 in the region between the bulk nodes (path 1) or surface nodes (path 2, 3, 4). In Fig. 3e, we shift path 1 by tuning \( \gamma_x \in [-1.5, -0.5] \), while constraining \( \gamma_y = \gamma_x \) and calculate the surface polarizations[3, 33], hinge charge, and quadrupole moment \( q_{xy} \). We similarly calculate the quadrupole moment \( q_{xy} \) for path 2, 3, 4 in Fig. 3f-h. We find that they all agree with the nodal separation formula above.

**Wannier band calculation.**—So far we have evaluated the physical properties of these systems based on the locations of nodal points in the energy spectra to make contact with the extensive previous literature. However, from the argument above it is clear that one could tune the surface properties of paths 2 and 3 such that all of the surfaces are gapped, and yet there could still be a non-vanishing bulk quadrupole moment with the same magnitude [See Supplementary]. Hence, in non-ideal cases where there have been modifications to the surface, and even for an ideal scenario with path 4, it may not be obvious how to evaluate the bulk quadrupole moment using the conventional technique based on the momentum separation of energy nodes. In these cases it may be more natural to calculate the Wannier bands and use the Wannier nodal points to calculate the quadrupole moment. As a proof of concept, we performed this type of calculation for paths 2 and 4 in Fig. 4, and the results match the calculations based on the nodes in the energy spectra from Fig. 3. Specifically, we locate band crossings in the Wannier bands that occur at a value of \( \nu = 1/2 \), as it is precisely these crossings that are associated to band-crossings in the surface energy spectra for ideal surfaces. We shifted these paths by tuning the \( \gamma_x \) exactly as in Fig. 3, and we find that the quadrupole moment, and the momentum differences between Wannier nodal points match.
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