

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Exact excited states of nonintegrable models

Sanjay Moudgalya, Stephan Rachel, B. Andrei Bernevig, and Nicolas Regnault Phys. Rev. B **98**, 235155 — Published 27 December 2018 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.235155](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.235155)

Exact Excited States of Non-Integrable Models

Sanjay Moudgalya,¹ Stephan Rachel,^{2, 1} B. Andrei Bernevig,^{1, 3, 4, 5} and Nicolas Regnault^{4, 1}

 1 Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

 2 Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

 3 Donostia International Physics Center, P. Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain

 4 Laboratoire Pierre Aigrain, Ecole Normale Supérieure-PSL Research University,

CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Sorbonne Universités,

Université Paris Diderot-Sorbonne Paris Cité, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

⁵Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7589, LPTHE, F-75005, Paris, France

(Dated: December 3, 2018)

We discuss a method of numerically identifying exact energy eigenstates for a finite system, whose form can then be obtained analytically. We demonstrate our method by identifying and deriving exact analytic expressions for several excited states, including an infinite tower, of the one dimensional spin-1 AKLT model, a celebrated non-integrable model. The states thus obtained for the AKLT model can be interpreted as one-to-an extensive number of quasiparticles on the ground state or on the highest excited state when written in terms of dimers. Included in these exact states is a tower of states spanning energies from the ground state to the highest excited state. To our knowledge, this is the first time that exact analytic expressions for a tower of excited states have been found in non-integrable models. Some of the states of the tower appear to be in the bulk of the energy spectrum, allowing us to make conjectures on the strong Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis (ETH). We also generalize these exact states including the tower of states to the generalized integer spin AKLT models. Furthermore, we establish a correspondence between some of our states and those of the Majumdar-Ghosh model, yet another non-integrable model, and extend our construction to the generalized integer spin AKLT models.

I. INTRODUCTION

Many-body localization^{1–9} has sparked a renewed interest in fundamental questions about thermalization in quantum systems.^{10–15} The quests to protect exotic equilibrium phenomena from thermalization $16-18$ and realize them in a non-equilibrium setting^{7,9,19} call for a deeper understanding of quantum dynamics. The dynamics of a quantum system is tied to the properties of all its energy eigenstates and not only to the ground state features. It is thus very important to have models where we know the analytical structure of the excited states in the bulk of the energy spectrum. Integrable models, including free systems, fall into this category but are unfortunately one of the two well known examples (along with the manybody localized states $4,20$) where the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis (ETH)^{12-14,21-25} breaks down.^{10,11,26} Thus having some simple non-integrable models where a partial or complete analytical description beyond the low energy states is available, would be a perfect avenue to investigate the ETH.

For generic non-integrable systems, none of the energy eigenstates can be obtained analytically. However, the ground state is known exactly for some non-integrable models with local Hamiltonians. One such model is the one dimensional spin-1 AKLT chain, $27,28$ which was first introduced as a simple model to exemplify the Haldane gap in integer spin chains. Indeed, the ground state of the AKLT chain can be explicitly built and it belongs to the same universality class as that of the spin-1 Heisenberg model. Along with its generalizations to higher integer spin values, it is representative of the Haldane phase.29,30 The simplicity of the ground state of the AKLT model makes it one of the most elegant introductory examples for various concepts in condensed matter physics, including entanglement in spin-chains,31–36 matrix product state representations of ground states, $37-39$ bosonic symmetry protected topological (SPT) phases in one dimension^{40,41} and even some aspects of the fractional quantum Hall effect.⁴² Another example of a nonintegrable model with a ground state whose expression is analytically known is the Majumdar-Ghosh model, 43 a spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain with an extra fine-tuned next nearest neighbor coupling.

Beyond the ground state, very little is known about excited states. Even more difficult is the question whether excited states with a closed-form expression, that we dub exact excited states, exist in these non-integrable quantum spin chains. Exact expressions for any of the excited states, even the ones close to the ground state or the highest excited states would help in testing predictions and conjectures made, on general grounds, about the nature of eigenstates at the edges of the energy spectrum.44–47 Caspers *et al.*⁴⁸ and Arovas⁴⁹ have derived three and two exact excited states in the Majumdar Ghosh model and the spin-1 AKLT model, respectively. An obstacle to the discovery of new exact excited states is the lack of physical intuition regarding their nature. In this article, we propose to find possible simple excited states by looking at the entanglement structure of eigenstates obtained in finite size systems by exact diagonalization. By looking at the reduced density matrix of each individual eigenstate and targeting those having a low rank, we are able to unveil new exact excited states whose analytic expressions we then obtain. Interestingly, their energy is, most of the time, an integer or a rational number, given a suitable choice of the Hamiltonian normalization.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the spin-1 AKLT model and the construction of its ground state using the dimer basis. In Sec. III we introduce the concept of exact states, i.e. eigenstates having an analytic closed-form expression. We discuss a numerical approach based on the rank of the reduced density matrix to track these states in exact diagonalization studies. We show an extensive numerical study of the spectrum of the spin-1 AKLT chain, listing all the exact states up to 16 spins. We then proceed to derive the analytical expressions for all the states. We first consider the low energy states in Sec. IV, recovering the two Arovas states. 49 In Sec. V, we derive the tower of states, a series of spin-2 magnon excitations on top of the ground state, ranging from the ground state to the highest energy state and present evidence that shows that their position in the bulk of the energy spectrum. In Sec. VI, we discuss the exact states situated close to the highest excited state. To show that our approach is valid beyond the spin-1 AKLT chain, we discuss its generalization to higher integer spin- S in Sec. VII, obtaining the analytical expression of all the exact states that we numerically observe to have a low entanglement rank. In Sec. VIII, we derive a correspondence between certain exact states of the Majumdar-Ghosh Model and the spin-1 AKLT model as well as between exact AKLT states with different spin-S.

II. THE SPIN-1 AKLT MODEL

A. Hamiltonian

The spin-1 AKLT Hamiltonian is defined as a sum projectors that projects two nearest neighbor spins onto spin $2^{27,28}$ Denoting the projector of two spin-1s on sites i and j onto total spin 2 as $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$, the AKLT Hamiltonian for a chain of length L with periodic boundary conditions $(i.e., L + 1 \equiv 1)$ simply reads

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} P_{i,i+1}^{(2,1)}.
$$
 (1)

The action of the projector on various configurations of nearest neighbor spins in given in Appendix A. The projector can also be expressed in terms of the spin operators,

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} = \frac{1}{24} (\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_j)^2 ((\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_j)^2 - 2). \tag{2}
$$

Simplifying the expression Eq. (2), the AKLT Hamiltonian Eq. (1) can be written in a more familiar form as

$$
H = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + \frac{1}{6} (\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1})^2 \right).
$$
 (3)

FIG. 1. (Color online) Energy level spacing statistics for $L = 16$ with periodic boundary conditions in a typical quantum number sector $(s, S_z, k, I, P_z) = (4, 0, 0, -1, 1)$ that has a Hilbert space dimension 26429. ΔE is the level spacing between adjacent energy levels after a mapping of the energy spectrum to produce a constant density of states. $P(\Delta E)$ is the distribution of the level spacings. The peak of the distribution at non-zero ΔE indicates level repulsion. The green curve is the GOE distribution. The mean ratio of adjacent level spacings is $\langle r \rangle \approx 0.5316$, close to the GOE value of $\langle r \rangle \approx 0.5295.^{52,53}$

The AKLT Hamiltonian Eq. (3) has many symmetries. In particular, it possesses $SU(2)$, translation and inversion (reflection about a bond $\{i, i+1\}$) symmetries. Here, we associate the following quantum numbers to all the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian: s for the total spin, S_z for the projection of the total spin along the z direction, and momentum k (quantized in integer multiples of $2\pi/L$) for the translation symmetry. Furthermore, inversion symmetry maps states with momentum k to states with momentum $-k$. Hence the eigenstates with momentum $k = 0, \pi$ can be labelled with a quantum number $I = \pm 1$ corresponding to inversion symmetry. Similarly, eigenstates with $S_z = 0$ can be labelled by another quantum number $P_z = \pm 1$ corresponding to the spin-flip $(S_z \rightarrow -S_z)$ symmetry, which is a part of the $SU(2)$ symmetry.

In spite of these symmetries, the AKLT Hamiltonian is non-integrable. Indeed the energy levels of eigenstates with a fixed set of quantum numbers corresponding to different symmetries do show level repulsion. In Fig. 1, we plot the energy level spacing statistics of a typical quantum number sector of the AKLT model. We find that the level spacing distribution is close to that of a Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE). Such a distribution is typical of non-integrable models.4,50,51

FIG. 2. AKLT Ground state. The big circles are physical FIG. 2. AKLT Ground state. The big circles are physical spin-1 s and the smaller circles within the spin-1 s are spin- $1/2$ Schwinger bosons. Symmetric combinations of the Schwinger Schwinger bosons. Symmetric combinations of the Schwinger bosons on each site form the physical spin-1. The lines joining bosons on each site form the physical spin-1. The lines joining the Schwinger bosons represent singlets. $|G\rangle$ with periodic boundary conditions boundary conditions

B. Ground state B. Ground state

The beauty of the AKLT model is that despite its The beauty of the AKLT model is that despite its lack of integrability, the ground state can be constructed lack of integrability, the ground state can be constructed explicitly.⁵⁴ To do this, we write each spin-1 as two sym-explicitly.⁵⁴ To do this, we write each spin-1 as two symmetrized spin-1/2 degrees of freedom that can either have metrized spin-1/2 degrees of freedom that can either have $S_z = +1/2$ or $S_z = -1/2$. Thus, two nearest neighbor spins consist of four spin- $1/2$ degrees of freedom. If a singlet is formed between two of them as in Fig. 2, the singlet is formed between two of them as in Fig. 2, the remaining two spin- $1/2$'s can form at most a spin-1 configuration, meaning that the projector $P_{i,i+1}^{(2,1)}$ annihilates such a configuration. The cartoon picture of the ground state $|G\rangle$ of energy $E = 0$ with periodic boundary conditions is shown in Fig. 2a. With open boundary condi-ditions is shown in Fig. 2a. With open boundary conditions, there are two spin- $1/2$ degrees of freedom at each edge that are not bound into singlets, so-called dangling edge that are not bound into singlets, so-called dangling spins. These fractionalized degrees of freedom, i.e. halfodd integer spins in a model that contained only integer spins, represent the topological nature of the spin-1 ger spins, represent the topological nature of the spin-1 Haldane phase.²⁹ The ground state for open boundary Haldane phase.²⁹ The ground state for open boundary conditions is shown in Appendix J 1. figuration, meaning that the projector $P_{i,i+1}^{(2,1)}$ annihilates such a configuration. The cartoon picture of the ground

In this paper, we mainly work with periodic boundary In this paper, we mainly work with periodic boundary conditions (PBC), although we comment on open boundary conditions (OBC) in Appendix J. In this case, it has ary conditions (OBC) in Appendix J. In this case, it has been shown that $|G\rangle$ is the unique ground state (with energy 0) of the Hamiltonian Eq. $(3).^{27,28}$ The groundstate $|G\rangle$ is separated from the excitation spectrum by an energy gap^{27} (the "Haldane gap"), which for the AKLT model can be bounded from below. The AKLT ground model can be bounded from below. The AKLT ground state shown in Fig. 2a can be described more rigorously state shown in Fig. 2a can be described more rigorously using a dimer (a singlet) basis. Since the spin- $1/2$ degrees of freedom on each site are symmetrized, it is con-grees of freedom on each site are symmetrized, it is convenient to introduce Schwinger bosons, i.e. bosonic cre-venient to introduce Schwinger bosons, i.e. bosonic creation (annihilation) operators a_i^{\dagger} (a_i) and b_i^{\dagger} (b_i) for the $S_z = +1/2$ (†) and $S_z = -1/2$ (\downarrow) spin-1/2 degrees of freedom, respectively. Any wavefunction written in terms freedom, respectively. Any wavefunction written in terms of Schwinger bosons on site i can be converted to the normalized spin-1 basis on a site $i(|1\rangle_i, |0\rangle_i, |1\rangle_i$ corresponding to $S_z = +1, 0, -1$) using the dictionary:

$$
|1\rangle_{i} = \frac{(a_i^{\dagger})^2}{\sqrt{2}} |\theta\rangle_{i}, \quad |0\rangle_{i} = a_i^{\dagger} b_i^{\dagger} |\theta\rangle_{i}, \quad |-1\rangle_{i} = \frac{(b_i^{\dagger})^2}{\sqrt{2}} |\theta\rangle_{i}
$$
\n(4)

where $|\theta\rangle_i$ is the local vacuum defined by the kernel of the local vacuum defined by the kernel of the boson annihilation operators of site i, i.e. $a_i |\theta\rangle_i =$
0. $b_1 |\theta\rangle = 0$. Since there are two opin 1/2 degrees of $(0, b_i | \theta)_i = 0$. Since there are two spin-1/2 degrees of freedom on each site, the number operator $N_i = a_i^{\dagger} a_i +$ $b_i^{\dagger} b_i$ has the constraint $N_i |\psi\rangle = 2 |\psi\rangle$ where $|\psi\rangle$ is any
configuration of gpin 10. To describe dimensions can could configuration of spin-1s. To describe dimers, one could then define a dimer creation operator that forms singlets then define a dimer creation operator that forms singlets between the bosons on different sites as

$$
c_{ij}^{\dagger} = a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} - a_j^{\dagger} b_i^{\dagger}.
$$
 (5)

The complete algebra of dimers and Schwinger bosons is The complete algebra of dimers and Schwinger bosons is given in Appendix B. given in Appendix B.

The spin-1/2 Schwinger boson creation and annihila-The spin-1/2 Schwinger boson creation and annihilation operators can be related to the spin-1 operators by tion operators can be related to the spin-1 operators by

$$
S^{z} = \frac{1}{2} (a_i^{\dagger} a_i - b_i^{\dagger} b_i)
$$

\n
$$
S^{+} = a_i^{\dagger} b_i
$$

\n
$$
S^{-} = b_i^{\dagger} a_i.
$$
\n(6)

In this notation, the operator $\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$ can be written as

$$
\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = \frac{1}{2} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+) + S_i^z S_j^z
$$

= $-\frac{1}{2} c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} (a_i^\dagger a_i + b_i^\dagger b_i) (a_j^\dagger a_j + b_j^\dagger b_j)$
= $1 - \frac{1}{2} c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij}$ (7)

where we have made use of the Schwinger boson number constraint on each site. Using Eq. (7), Eq. (3) can ber constraint on each site. Using Eq. (7), Eq. (3) can be written in terms of dimer creation and annihilation operators. In particular, $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ can be written as be written in terms of dimer creation and annihilation operators. In particular, $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ can be written as

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} = 1 - \frac{5}{12} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij} + \frac{1}{24} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij}
$$

=
$$
1 - \frac{1}{4} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij} + \frac{1}{24} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij}^2
$$
 (8)

where the expression has been normal ordered using $Eq. (B7).$

With this representation, the unnormalized AKLT state $|G\rangle$ of Fig. 2a for periodic boundary conditions can
be written as be written as be written as

$$
|G\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{L} c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger} | \theta \rangle.
$$
 (9)

Here the vacuum $|\theta\rangle$ is the global vacuum defined as the lower theorem is the set of θ . kernel of all the annihilation operators, i.e. $c_{ij} |\theta\rangle =$
 $c_1 |\theta\rangle = b_1 |\theta\rangle = 0$ $\forall i, i$ We also define the permuliant $a_i |\theta\rangle = b_i |\theta\rangle = 0, \forall i, j.$ We also define the normalized ground state²⁸ | G as

$$
\widetilde{|G\rangle} = \frac{|G\rangle}{\sqrt{3^L + 3(-1)^L}}.
$$
\n(10)

III. EXACT STATES III. EXACT STATES

The energy spectrum of the spin-1 (and, as we will see, integer spin- S) AKLT model for a finite size chain with periodic boundary conditions exhibits some remarkable periodic boundary conditions exhibits some remarkable

$L=16$						
$\bm E$	D k		\boldsymbol{s}	I	$\boldsymbol{P_z}$	$\ket{\psi}$
$\overline{0}$	1	0	$\overline{0}$	1	1	$ G\rangle$
$\frac{5}{3}$	1	π	$\overline{0}$	1	1	$ A\rangle$
$\overline{2}$	1	π	0	-1	1	$ B\rangle$
$\overline{2}$	1	π	2	-1	1	$\ket{S_2}$
4	1	0	4	1	1	$ S_4\rangle$
6	1	π	6	-1	1	$ S_6\rangle$
8	1	0	8	1	1	$ S_8\rangle$
10	1	π	10	-1	1	$ S_{10}\rangle$
12	1	π	12	-1	1	$ S_{12}\rangle$
14	7	π		$14 (-1)^n$	1	$ 2_n\rangle$, $n=1,3-8$
14	1	π	15	$\mathbf{1}$	-1	$\ket{1_{k=\pi}}$
$\frac{44}{3}$	1	π	14	1	1	$ 2_{n=0}\rangle$
16	1	0	16	1	1	$ F\rangle$

TABLE I. Table showing the highest weight states with rational energies for $L = 12$, $L = 14$ and $L = 16$ (sectors $k = 0, \pi$). E is energy, D is the degeneracy (excluding the $SU(2)$ multiplet degeneracy), k momentum, s the spin quantum number, I the eigenvalue under bond inversion symmetry, P_z is the eigenvalue under spin flips for the $S_z = 0$ state of the multiplet and $|\psi\rangle$ the state we identify it with. The states in this table with $s < L - 2$ have a very sparse entanglement spectrum compared to typical states in their quantum number sectors.

features. Beyond the unique ground state whose energy is 0 (for H of Eq. (3)), there are many other states with rational energies up to machine precision, some of them seemingly located in the bulk of the spectrum. Moreover, several of these states are even at integer energies. This observation holds for chains with a length up to $L =$ 16, the upper numerical limit where we can compute the full spectrum. In this paper, we show that states with such rational energies are not coincidences and we can derive analytical expressions for them akin to Eq. (9) for the ground state. Being exact eigenstates for particular finite system sizes with a closed analytical expression and rational energy, we dub these states "exact states".

One could argue that looking for exact states by targeting rational energies is ad-hoc. Indeed, rescaling the energy by a random positive number or shifting the ground state energy would scramble this information although simple algorithms could be devised to recover it. Moreover, in finite precision arithmetic, any number can be written as a rational number. To hunt for possible exact states, we propose another approach based on the entanglement spectrum.⁵⁵

For any eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$ of a spin-S chain, we consider the spatial partition into two continuous regions A and B with L_A spins in A and L_B spins in B. We then construct the reduced density matrix $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$. The entanglement spectrum is the eigenvalue spectrum of $-\log \rho_A$. Assuming that $L_A \leq L_B$, the rank of ρ_A (i.e. the number of levels in the entanglement spectrum) is bounded by $(2S+1)^{L_A}$. Unless $|\psi\rangle$ has a peculiar struc-

ture, this bound is usually saturated. Most eigenstates (including ground states) of local Hamiltonians saturate this bound. The fact that the entanglement entropy of the ground state of a gapped Hamiltonian is not volume law⁵⁶ merely means that the ground state of the system can be *approximated* by a state with a sparse spectrum.⁵⁷ However, states whose entanglement spectrum is truly sparse and whose number of levels in the entanglement spectrum do not saturate the bound are special. This includes the ground state of the AKLT model Eq. (9), which has exactly 4 out of $(2S+1)^{L_A}$ levels in its entanglement spectrum irrespective of the length L_A .

We propose to use the sparsity of entanglement spectrum, the ratio between the rank of ρ_A and its dimension, as a probe to search for exact states. A brute force approach is thus to numerically compute all the eigenstates for a given system size and label them with their quantum numbers. We then focus on those exhibiting an entanglement spectrum sparsity at the largest possible value of L_A (the integer part of $L/2$). For the spin-1 AKLT model, we observe that most of the states in the bulk of the full energy spectrum have a sparsity close or equal to 1, as expected. However, there are a few eigenstates that have an entanglement spectrum sparsity less than 5% for the largest system sizes we have computed. For reasons that are still not fully clear to us, most of these eigenstates coincide with those having a rational energy. Of course, some of these states are trivially exact states. An example is the highest excited state of the AKLT Hamiltonian that has all spin-1s with $S_z = 1$. It is a product

P(2,1) ij ^E s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r = ^E s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r + 1 4 ⇣ ^E c c c c c cs s s sc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r + ^E s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r + ^E s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r + E⌘ s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r + 1 12 ⇣ ^E s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - -- m n ji p r + E⌘ s s s sc c c c c cc c c c c cm m m m m m - - - m n ji p r

 $(2,1)$ FIG. 3. An example of the action of $P_{ij}^{(z_1,\tau)}$ on a dimer configuration. The configurations of the filled small circles are not relevant for the scattering equation and are the FIG. 3. An example of the action of $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ on a dimer configuration. The configurations of the filled small circles are not relevant for the scattering equation and are the same on all terms in the equation. The directions of the arrows are crucial; reversing an arrow contributes a factor of (-1).

state and its reduced density matrix has a single eigenvalue. But as we show below, many of these exact states have an interesting non-trivial structure. We list these set of exact states for system sizes $L = 12, L = 14$ and $L = 16$ for the spin-1 case with all their useful quantum numbers and degeneracies in Table I. The derivation of their analytic expressions will be detailed in the following sections.

IV. EXACT LOW ENERGY EXCITED STATES IV. EXACT LOW ENERGY EXCITED STATES OF THE SPIN-1 AKLT MODEL

 T find and give an expression for the exact e To find and give an expression for the exact excited states of the $AKLT$ model, we need to choose a convenient basis to work with. There are two bases that we use. The first is the usual spin basis. Since the Hamiltonian is simply a projector onto a particular total spin I_{tot} J, any configuration of nearest neighbors with $S_z = m_1$ and $S_z = m_2$ in a spin S chain scatters to the state with $J = S$ and $S_z = m_1 + m_2$ with an amplitude given by a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. A complete set of rules for scattering of configurations in the spin basis are pre- T_{tot} is the dimer basis that we have intervention T_{tot} sented in the Appendix A.

The second is the dimer basis that we have introduced is $\frac{1}{2}$. in Sec. II. Here, the basis states are defined as linearly more performance of the vacuum $|\theta\rangle$. Though this repreoperators acting on the vacuum $|\theta\rangle$. Though this representation allows an elegant representation of (most of) the exact states we will discuss, the set of dimer basis $\frac{1}{2}$ states is highly overcomplete and non-orthonormal (see Appendix C for an example). To study the $AKLT$ model in the dimer basis, we need to derive rules for the scattering of basis states upon the action of the Hamiltonian. Since the Hamiltonian in Eq. (8) is normal ordered, it Since the Hammonian in Eq. (8) is normal ordered, it is sufficient to compute the actions of c_{ij}^m on the basis is suitcleful to compute the actions of c_{ij} on the basis
states. The actions of c_{ij} and $P^{(2,1)}$ on various configstates. The actions of c_{ij} and $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ on various configurations on dimers along with some useful identities are $\frac{1}{2}$. specified in Appendix D and Fig. 27 . An example of such independent states of dimer or Schwinger boson creation a scattering rule is diagrammatically depicted in Fig. 3.

We have already exemplified the construction of the ground state in Sec. II B. We now focus on two exact low energy excited states, namely the Arovas states.⁴⁹

A. Arovas A state

in Eq. (11) with the case of dimers as shown picture of dimers as shown picture of dimers as shown picture of $\frac{1}{2}$ We now follow Arovas⁴⁹ to construct two exact excited $\frac{1}{2}$ in Eq. (11) with the cartoon picture of dimers as shown
in Eq. (11) $j_{\rm{th}}$ states. Consider a configuration of dimers $|A_n\rangle$ defined in Fig. 4a.

$$
|A_n\rangle = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger}\right) c_{n-1,n+2}^{\dagger} (c_{n,n+1}^{\dagger})^2
$$

$$
\left(\prod_{j=n+2}^{L} c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger}\right) |\theta\rangle.
$$
 (11)

The Arovas A state is a translation invariant linear su-
perpesition of these $|A \rangle$ with momentum $k = \pi$. Un to perposition of these $|A_n\rangle$ with momentum $k = \pi$. Up to a global normalization factor, it is given by

 $T_{\rm eff}$ system size is even, greater than 5 size and we im-

$$
|A\rangle = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |A_{n}\rangle.
$$
 (12)

The system size is even, greater than 5 sites and we im-First system size is then, greater than a state and its ring of the AKLT pose periodic boundary conditions.

For pedagogical purposes, we now show the derivation of this first exact state beyond the ground state. This exemplifies the mechanism that underlies the derivation of all these exact states of the AKLT Hamiltonian. The or an these exact states or the ANLI Transmoonan. The proof relies on several properties of the dimer basis that are given in Appendix \overrightarrow{D} . From the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) operty Eq. (D_0) , we deduce that the only terms
miltonian that give a non-vanishing contribution upon action on $|A_n\rangle$ are $P_{n-1,n}^{(2,2)}$ and $P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,2)}$. That $\text{1S},$ and the property Eq. (D6), we deduce that the only terms in the Hamiltonian that give a non-vanishing contribution upon action on $|A_n\rangle$ are $P_{n-1,n}^{(2,1)}$ and $P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)}$. That is,

$$
H | A_n \rangle = (P_{n-1,n}^{(2,1)} + P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)}) | A_n \rangle. \tag{13}
$$

FIG. 4. (a) Arovas A configuration $|A_n\rangle$ (b) Scattering term configuration $|B_n\rangle$

Using the scattering rules of Eq. $(D7)$ and the cartoon picture of $|A_n\rangle$, it is easy to see that $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
P_{n-1,n}^{(2,1)} | A_n \rangle = | A_n \rangle + \frac{1}{6} | A_{n-1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} | G \rangle + | B_n \rangle \quad (14)
$$

where $|B_n\rangle$ is shown in Fig. 4b and defined as where $|B_n\rangle$ is shown in Fig. 4b and defined as $s = |A|$ is seen the direction about inversion about A

$$
|B_n\rangle = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-3} c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger}\right) c_{n-1,n}^{\dagger} c_{n-2,n+1}^{\dagger} c_{n-1,n+2}^{\dagger} c_{n,n+1}^{\dagger}
$$

$$
\left(\prod_{j=n+2}^{L} c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger}\right) |\theta\rangle \tag{15}
$$

and $|G\rangle$ is the unnormalized ground state of Eq. (9). As seen in Fig. 4a, $|A_n\rangle$ is symmetric under inversion about
the mid bond $\{n, n+1\}$. Thus the scattering terms obseen in Fig. 4a, $|A_n\rangle$ is symmetric under inversion about $(4),$ but with all the terms inverted about the mid bond ${n, n+1}$. Under bond inversion, since $|B_n\rangle \rightarrow |B_{n+1}\rangle$, $|A_{n-1}\rangle \rightarrow |A_{n+1}\rangle$ and $|G\rangle \rightarrow |G\rangle$, we obtain tained by $P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)} |A_n\rangle$ are the same as those in Eq. (14), but with all the terms inverted about the mid bond $\mathbf{16}$ with all the terms inverted about the mid bond

$$
P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)}|A_n\rangle = |A_n\rangle + \frac{1}{6}|A_{n+1}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|G\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|B_{n+1}\rangle.
$$
\n(16)

because it is symmetric about a site n, and not about any bond. Combining Eqs. (14) and (16) with Eq. (13) , we obtain 3 | Ai. (18)
|-
|-It is important to note that $|B_n\rangle$ transforms in that way we obtain

$$
H | A_n \rangle = 2 | A_n \rangle + \frac{1}{6} (| A_{n-1} \rangle + | A_{n+1} \rangle) + | G \rangle
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{2} (| B_n \rangle + | B_{n+1} \rangle).
$$
 (17)

It follows that \mathcal{B}

$$
H |A\rangle = 2 |A\rangle - \frac{1}{3} |A\rangle = \frac{5}{3} |A\rangle.
$$
 (18)

ary conditions (because the edge scattering terms would terms would terms would term α Thus, $|A\rangle$ is an exact eigenstate of the spin-1 AKLT
Hamiltonian with energy $F = \frac{5}{2}$ and momentum $h = \pi$ Thus, $|A\rangle$ is an exact eigenstate of the spin-1 ANLI
Hamiltonian with energy $E = \frac{5}{3}$ and momentum $k = \pi$. The crucial part of the exactness of this state lies in the scattering part of the exactness of this state lies in the act that $|D_n|$ and $|D_{n+1}|$ appeared in Eq. (11) with equal
weight and they could be cancelled off by momentum suweight and they could be cancelled off by momentum su-
perposition. However, the cancellation would not hold if E were not even (since we need $n = n$) or for open bound
ary conditions (because the edge scattering terms would
not eaned). Moreover, [4] annears only for $I > 6$ be ary conditions (because the edge scattering terms would
not cancel). Moreover, $|A\rangle$ appears only for $L \ge 6$ be-L were not even (since we need $k = \pi$) or for open boundwould no longer be the same due to boundary conditions. fact that $|B_n\rangle$ and $|B_{n+1}\rangle$ appeared in Eq. (17) with equal cause for $L = 4$, the scattering equation of $|A_n\rangle$ Eq. (17) $\text{erucial part of the exactness of this state lies in the}$

^{FIG.} 5. (a) Arovas B configuration $|B_n\rangle$. (b) Scattering term $|A_n\rangle$. (c) Scattering term $|C_n\rangle$. (d) Scattering term $|D_n\rangle$. (e) FIG. 5. (a) Arovas B configuration $|B_n\rangle$. (b) Scattering term Scattering term $|E_n\rangle$.

example of the Single-Mode \sim Using Eqs. (D2) and (7), it can be seen that $|A\rangle$, the Using Eqs. (D2) and (7), it can be seen that $|A\rangle$, the normalized version of $|A\rangle$, can be rewritten as⁴⁹ example of the Single-Mode \sim sing Eqs. (D2) and (7), it can be seen that $|A\rangle$, the

$$
|\widetilde{A}\rangle = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} |\widetilde{G}\rangle.
$$
 (19)

Thus, we have an exact eigenstate with $E = \frac{5}{3}$, $k = \pi$ can be viewed as a magnon with form factor $sin(k)$ as and $s = 0$ (and $P_z = +1$, $I = +1$). This state is an exact example of the Single-Mode Approximation (SMA). This evident from the momentum space expression of the state

$$
|\widetilde{A}\rangle = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{k} \sin(k)\vec{S}_k \cdot \vec{S}_{\pi-k} |\widetilde{G}\rangle \tag{20}
$$

where \vec{S}_k is the Fourier transform of \vec{S}_n .

B. Arovas B state

 $\frac{1}{2}$ consider the continuous configuration in Eq. (15) Similar to the Arovas A state built from the $|A_n\rangle$ s, another exact state can be constructed from $|B_n\rangle$ s of Eq. (15). The Arovas B state then reads Similar to the Arovas A state built from the $|A_n\rangle$ s,

$$
|B\rangle = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |B_{n}\rangle \tag{21}
$$

up to a global normalization factor.

We consider the configuration $|B_n\rangle$ defined in Eq. (15) we consider the comiguration $|D_n\rangle$ defined in Eq. (15) and also shown in Fig. 5a. Since the only nearest neigh- $\{n-2, n-1\}$ and $\{n+1, n+2\}$, analogous to Eq. (13), $\overline{4}$ bor bonds that do not have dimers between them are we can write

$$
H | B_n \rangle = (P_{n-2,n-1}^{(2,1)} + P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)}) | B_n \rangle. \tag{22}
$$

The scattering terms of obtained upon action of $P^{(2,1)}$ $P_{n-2,n-1}^{(2,1)}$, by using rules of Eq. (D7) are

$$
P_{n-2,n-1}^{(2,1)} |B_n\rangle = |B_n\rangle + \frac{1}{4}(-|G\rangle + |A_{n-1}\rangle + |C_{n-1}\rangle + |D_{n-1}\rangle) + \frac{1}{24}(-|A_{n-2}\rangle + |E_{n-1}\rangle)
$$
 (23)

where $|A_n\rangle$, $|C_n\rangle$, $|D_n\rangle$, $|E_n\rangle$ are defined according to the cartoon pictures in Figs. 5b, 5c, 5d and 5e. A few typographical errors of Eq. (8) in Ref. $[49]$ including the omission of the scattering term $|E_{n-1}\rangle$ have been corrected sion of the scattering term $|E_{n-1}|$ have been corrected
here in Eq. (24). Since the scattering configurations are
all symmetric terms that are symmetric under inversion all symmetric terms that are symmetric under inversion about a bond $\{n, n+1\}$, whereas $|B_n\rangle$ is symmetric under inversion about site *n*, the action of $P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)}$ changes der inversion about site *n*, the action of $F_{n+1,n+2}$ changes
 $|A_{n-1}\rangle \rightarrow |A_n\rangle$, $|C_{n-1}\rangle \rightarrow |C_n\rangle$, $|D_{n-1}\rangle \rightarrow |D_n\rangle$ and $|E_{n-1}\rangle \rightarrow |E_n\rangle$. The action of the Hamiltonian on $|B_n\rangle$ thus reads \mathcal{L}

$$
H |B_n\rangle = 2 |B_n\rangle - \frac{1}{2} |G\rangle + \frac{1}{4} (|A_{n-1}\rangle + |A_n\rangle) + \frac{1}{4} (|C_{n-1}\rangle + |C_n\rangle) + \frac{1}{4} (|D_{n-1}\rangle + |D_n\rangle) \quad (24) - \frac{1}{24} (|A_{n-2}\rangle + |A_{n+1}\rangle) + \frac{1}{24} (|E_{n-1}\rangle + |E_n\rangle).
$$

With this property, for L even with periodic boundary conditions, we obtain

$$
H |B\rangle = 2 |B\rangle.
$$
 (25)

Thus, we have an exact state with $E = 2$, $k = \pi$ and $s = 0$ (and $P_z = +1$, $I = -1$). Again the key ingredient $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$ (and $\mathbf{z}_z = +1, \mathbf{z}_z = -1$). Again the key ingredient for this derivation was the fact that the scattering terms for this derivation was the fact that the stattering terms
were symmetric and have the opposite (site/bond) symwere symmetric and have the opposite (site/bond) symmetry. As for $|A\rangle$, it is not hard to see that this result would not hold for open boundary conditions or for odd L. Moreover, $|B\rangle$ appears only for $L \geq 8$: we need $L \geq 5$ Let the lower, $|B_n\rangle$ and for $L = 6$ with periodic boundary conditions, the state itself vanishes. conditions, we have an exact state with the try. As for $|A\rangle$, it is not hard to see that this result

nottions, the state itself vanishes.
To formulate $|B\rangle$ within the SMA, we need to note that we obtain $|B_n\rangle$ by acting the $c^{\dagger}c$ term of the projector in Eq. (8) on $|A_n\rangle$. With this observation, along with identities of Eq. (DS) , we obtain the normalized eigenstate⁴⁹

$$
\widetilde{|B\rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{27}{22L}} \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n \left(\vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{S}_{n-1} \cdot \vec{S}_n)(\vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1}) \right) \widetilde{|G\rangle}
$$
\n(26)

Eq. (26) can also be written as a Hermitian operator on the ground state: $\left(20\right)$ can also be written as a fiermitian operator on ne ground state.

$$
\widetilde{|B\rangle} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{27}{22L}} \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n \{\vec{S}_{n-1} \cdot \vec{S}_n, \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1}\} \widetilde{|G\rangle}
$$
\n(27)

where $\{\, , \}$ denotes the anti-commutator. $t_1 = t_1$ for the Arovas states considered here, t_1

One might wonder if the pattern of exactness might continue for other dimer configurations such as $|C_n\rangle$,

(a)
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 ① \rightarrow ① \rightarrow ① \rightarrow ⑦ \rightarrow ⑦ \rightarrow ⑦ \rightarrow ⑤ \rightarrow ⑤ \rightarrow ⑤ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ⑤ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow

FIG. 6. The two comigurations that appear in the derivation
of the spin-2 magnon state $|M_n\rangle$. of the spin-2 magnon state (a) The spin-2 magnon state $|M_n\rangle$.
b) Scattering state $|N_n\rangle$. (b) Scattering state $|N_n\rangle$. FIG. 6. The two configurations that appear in the derivation

 $|D_n\rangle$ or a combination of the two. However, in such cases, tions at $k = \pi$. For the Arovas states considered here, the scattering terms appeared in pairs due to the presence of only two non-vanishing projectors on the state, t_{total} the number of each multiplet state of each multiplet state. forcing the exact state to have a momentum $k = \pi$. the scattering terms are no longer inversion symmetric, and hence do not appear in pairs, precluding cancella-

V. MID-SPECTRUM EXACT STATES

we now move on to the staty of non-singlet states,
i.e. states with a total spin $s \neq 0$. Since the AKLT to consider the highest weight state of each multiplet the state as shown in Fig. 6. The entire multiplet of $2s + 1$ states can be is used by repeated application of $S = \nabla^L \cdot S = \infty$ obtained by repeated application of $S^- = \sum_{n=1}^L S_n^-$ on the bighest woight state ric ingricht weight beate. We now move on to the study of non-singlet states, Hamiltonian Eq. (1) is $SU(2)$ symmetric, it is sufficient the highest weight state.

n+1 Y A. Spin-2 magnon state

We start with a configuration with the cartoon picture as shown in Fig. 6a. This particular comiguration
is used because of the rule Eq. (D23) of Fig. 27, derived is used because of the Fule Eq. $(D25)$ of Fig. 27, derived
in Appendix D. It shows a fairly simply and symmet-In Appendix D. It shows a fairly simply and symmetric scattering process. We define $|M_n\rangle$ as a quasiparticle ture as shown in Fig. 6a. This particular configuration with no dimers around site n as

$$
|M_n\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger} a_{n-1}^{\dagger} (a_n^{\dagger})^2 a_{n+1}^{\dagger} \prod_{j=n+1}^{L} c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger} |\theta\rangle. \tag{28}
$$

From the cartoon picture Fig. 6a, it is clear that the only projectors in the Hamiltonian that do not vanish on $|M_n\rangle$ are $P_{n-1,n}^{(2,1)}$ and $P_{n,n+1}^{(2,1)}$. Using Eq. (D23),

$$
P_{n-1,n}^{(2,1)} |M_n\rangle = |M_n\rangle + \frac{1}{2} |N_{n-1}\rangle.
$$
 (29)

where $|N_n\rangle$ is shown in Fig. 6b. Since $|N_n\rangle$ is bond insite inversion symmetric about site n (they have opposite types of symmetries), the action of the Hamiltonian on site inversion symmetric about site n (they have opposite version symmetric under bond $\{n, n+1\}$ whereas $|M_n\rangle$ is $|M_n\rangle$ reads

$$
H \left| M_n \right\rangle = 2 \left| M_n \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} (|N_{n-1}\rangle + |N_n\rangle) \tag{30}
$$

(a)
$$
+\circled{0}+\circled{0}
$$

\n(b) $+\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(c) $+\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(d) $+\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(e) $+\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(f) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(g) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(h) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(i) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(j) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(k) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(l) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(m) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(m) $\circled{0}+\circled{0}$
\n(m) $\circled{0}+\circled$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ FIG. 7. (a) Two magnon configuraton $|M_n, M_{n+4}\rangle$. (b) Scattering state $|N_n, M_{n+4}\rangle$. (c) Two magnon configuration $|M_n, M_{n+2}\rangle$. (d) Two magnon scattering configuration $|M_n, N_{n+2}\rangle$

and one can use the $k = \pi$ superposition to remove the $|N_n\rangle$ states. The translation invariant state is thus

$$
|S_2\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n |M_n\rangle.
$$
 (31)

With L even and periodic boundary conditions,

$$
H |S_2\rangle = 2 |S_2\rangle.
$$
 (32)

Thus we have an exact multiplet of states with $s = 2$ (4) a_i^{\dagger} s in the state), $\boldsymbol{E} = 2, \, \boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{\pi}$.

on. From Fig. oa, we immediately see that
 $\frac{1}{k}$ $\binom{2}{2}$ $|G\rangle$, that is, the spin on site *n* is force This state can again be written as an SMA with a
spin 2 magnon. From Fig. 6a, we immediately see that the full state can be written as This state can again be written as an SMA with a $|M_n\rangle = -(S_n^{+2}/2)|G\rangle$, that is, the spin on site *n* is forced to have $S_z = 1$. Thus, including the normalization factor,

$$
\widetilde{|S_2\rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4L}} \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n S_n^{+2} \widetilde{|G\rangle}.
$$
 (33)

momentum space operators, the state has the expression This state exists for all L even and $L \geq 4$. In terms of

$$
\widetilde{|S_2\rangle} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4L}} \sum_{k} S_k^+ S_{\pi-k}^+ \widetilde{|G\rangle}.
$$
 (34)

 τ The entire multiplet of states with different S_z can be obtained from $|S_2\rangle$ by applying the S^- operator.

An exact spin-2 magnon state can be constructed sim-
An exact spin-2 magnon state can be constructed sim-The exact spin-2 inagnon state can be constructed similarly for the AKLT Hamiltonian with open boundary conditions too, see Appendix J 2. n + m denoting the magnons. Similarly, the magnons. Similarly, the magnons. Similarly, the magnons. Similarly,

B. Tower of states

We now denote the spin-2 magnon "creation" operator as $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} (-1)^{n} S^{+2}$. The state $\mathcal{P}^{2}(G)$ is ator as $P = \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n S_n^{+2}$. The state $P^2 |G\rangle$ is a

8

state with two or the $\kappa = \pi$ spin-2 magnons dispersing on the chain. The correct basis state is proportional to $S+2S+2$ $|C|$ with $n+m$ defined modulo L corrections to $S_n^{+2}S_{n+m}^{+}|G\rangle$, with $n+m$ defined modulo L, containing two magnons $(S_n^{+4}|G\rangle = 0$ for $m = 0$). It is $m + m$ denoting the position of the magnons. Similarly, $n + m$ denoting the position of the magnons. Similarly,
basis states $|M_n, N_{n+m}\rangle$ can be defined as the configuration with the spin-2 magnon at position m and the scattering magnon of Fig. 6b at position $n + m$. Since S_n |O/ and its scattering computations of $|S|$ and do not have $S_z = -1$ on site n, it follows that $S_z \neq -1$ on site $n + 1$ because the sites n and $n + 1$ share a singlet in $|G\rangle$. Thus, $(S_n^+)^2(S_{n+1}^+)^2 |G\rangle = 0$. For other m, there are two possibilities. If $3 \le m \le L-3$, we can write down the action of the Hamiltonian on the two magnon basis states using Eq. (30) as state with two of the $k = \pi$ spin-2 magnons dispersconvenient to denote this basis state $|M_n, M_{n+m}\rangle$, n and S_n^{+2} $|G\rangle$ annihilates all spin configurations of $|G\rangle$ that do

$$
H | M_n, M_{n+m} \rangle = 4 | M_n, M_{n+m} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (| N_{n-1}, M_{n+m} \rangle + | N_n, M_{n+m} \rangle + | M_n, N_{n+m-1} \rangle + | M_n, N_{n+m} \rangle).
$$
\n(35)

chain is Fation are shown in Fig. *(a)* and Fig. *(b)* respectively. If $m = 2$ or $m = L - 2$, the two magnons fuse to form one ration are shown in Fig. 7a and Fig. 7b respectively. If spin-4 magnon, as shown in Fig. 7c. Using Eq. (D23), the action of the Hamiltonian can be written as An example of such a state and its scattering configu-

$$
H | M_n, M_{n+2} \rangle = 4 | M_n, M_{n+2} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (| N_{n-1}, M_{n+2} \rangle + | M_n, N_{n+2} \rangle).
$$
 (36)

In terms of these basis states, the translation invariant state comprising of two spin-2 magnons on the AKLT $\frac{1}{\pi}$ magnons are separated, $\frac{1}{\pi}$ and $\frac{1}{\pi}$ chain is

$$
|S_4\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{m=2}^{L-2} (-1)^m |M_n, M_{n+m}\rangle.
$$
 (37)

 $|S_4\rangle$ can be written as Using Eqs. (35) and (36) the action of the Hamiltonian

$$
H |S_4\rangle = 4 |S_4\rangle + \frac{1}{2} (|C_s\rangle + |C_t\rangle). \tag{38}
$$

where we group the scattering terms into two: $|C_s\rangle$ aris-
ing from boys states in Eq. (37) of the type Fig. 7a (i.e. ing from basis states in Eq. (37) of the type Fig. 7a, (i.e. $\frac{1}{2}$ magnons are separated, $3 \le m \le L - 3$ and $|C_t|$ arising from basis states of the type Fig. 7c (i.e. magnons are next to each other, $m = 2, L - 2$. Using Eqs. (35), (36) and (37), $|C_s\rangle$ and $|C_t\rangle$ can be simplified to magnons are separated, $3 \leq m \leq L-3$) and $|C_t\rangle$ arising

$$
|C_s\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{m=3}^{L-3} (-1)^m (|N_{n-1}, M_{n+m}\rangle + |N_n, M_{n+m}\rangle + |M_n, N_{n+m-1}\rangle + |M_n, N_{n+m}\rangle)
$$
(39)

$$
|C_{t}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L} (|N_{n}, M_{n+L-2}\rangle + |N_{n}, M_{n+3}\rangle + |M_{n}, N_{n+2}\rangle + |M_{n}, N_{n+L-3}\rangle). \tag{40}
$$

(a)
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 ① \rightarrow ① \rightarrow ① \rightarrow ① \rightarrow ① \rightarrow ② \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ④ \rightarrow ⑤ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ⑤ \rightarrow ⑨ \rightarrow ④ \rightarrow ⑨ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ③ \rightarrow ⑧ \rightarrow ⑧ \rightarrow ⑧ \rightarrow ⑧ \rightarrow ⑧ \rightarrow

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and sites n and sites $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\$ FIG. 8. (a) A configuration with magnons on sites n and $n+2$ (b) A configuration with magnons on sites n and $n+3$ (c) Common scattering configuration

 $A = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\mathbf{x}} \right| \, d\mathbf{x}$ scattering term and relabelling the summation considering L even, we obtain scattering term and relabelling the summation indices In Eq. (39), considering the summation over just the first

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{m=3}^{L-3} (-1)^m |N_{n-1}, M_{n+m}\rangle
$$

= $-\sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{m=4}^{L-2} (-1)^m |N_n, M_{n+m}\rangle.$ (41)

Similarly for the third scattering term in Eq. (39) , we This is an exact state with state with s $\frac{1}{2}$ obtain

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{m=3}^{L-3} (-1)^m |M_n, N_{n+m-1}\rangle
$$

= $-\sum_{n=1}^{L} \sum_{m=2}^{L-4} (-1)^m |M_n, N_{n+m}\rangle.$ (42)

Adding all the terms in Eq. (39) back, we obtain

$$
|C_s\rangle = -\sum_{n=1}^{L} (|N_n, M_{n+L-2}\rangle + |N_n, M_{n+3}\rangle
$$

$$
+ |M_n, N_{n+2}\rangle + |M_n, N_{n+L-3}\rangle). \tag{43}
$$
Using Eqs. (42) and (40) $|C_1\rangle + |C_2\rangle = 0$. Thus

Using Eqs. (43) and (40), $|C_s\rangle + |C_t\rangle = 0$. Thus,

$$
H | S_4 \rangle = 4 | S_4 \rangle. \tag{44}
$$

This is an exact state with $s = 4$, $k = 0$ and $E = 4$. As with all the states we have presented, the cancellation $\frac{1}{N}$ while all the states we have presented, the cancellation here works only if L is even.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ is even.
This construction can be easily generalized by noting that the spin-2 magnons on the spin-chain behave as solitons. A state with $N k = \pi$ spin-2 magnons reads solitons. A state with N $k = \pi$ spin-2 magnons reads

$$
|S_{2N}\rangle = \sum_{\{l_j\}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} l_j} |M_{l_1}, M_{l_2} \dots M_{l_N}\rangle.
$$
 (45)

except for $\alpha + 3 \alpha + 3$ (Fig. 8b), share a sh As we have seen earlier, $S \big|_{n} S' \big|_{n+1} |G\rangle = 0$. Hence in Eq. (45), all configurations $\langle ..., M_n, M_{n+1}, ... \rangle$ values the constraints 1 ISD. Thus the set $\{l_j\}$ satisfies the constraints 1 $\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma \leq N, & \ell_{j+1} > \ell_j + 1, & 1 \leq \ell_j \leq L \end{array}$ where additionally is defined modulo L. Upon the action of the Hamilton nian on Eq. (45), a term $|M_{l_1}, \ldots, M_{l_k} \ldots, M_{l_N}\rangle$ scatters to $|M_{l_1},...,N_{p_k},...,M_{l_N}\rangle$ where $p_k = l_k - 1$ or $p_k = l_k$. From Eqs. (30), (35) and (36), observe that for each such scattering term, there always is a unique different term in Eq. (45) $|M_{l_1}, \ldots, M_{q_k} \ldots, M_{l_N}\rangle$ where As we have seen earlier, $S^{+2}_{n}S^{+2}_{n+1}$ $|G\rangle = 0$. Hence, in Eq. (45), all configurations $\langle \ldots, M_n, M_{n+1}, \ldots \rangle$ vanish. Thus the set $\{l_j\}$ satisfies the constraints $1 \leq$ $j \leq N, l_{j+1} > l_j + 1, 1 \leq l_j \leq L$ where addition is defined modulo L. Upon the action of the Hamilto $q_k = l_k - 1$ or $q_k = l_k + 1$ that scatters to the same the ground state to the highest excited state. In terms of the spin operators, the highest weight states of this tower can be written as term. For example, a state with spin-2 magnons on several sites, including n and $n + 2$ (Fig. 8a) and another state with spin-2 magnons on the same set of sites, except for $n + 2$ replaced by $n + 3$ (Fig. 8b), share a scattering term (Fig. 8c). However, for the scattering terms to cancel, the terms $|M_{l_1}, \ldots, M_{q_k}, \ldots, M_{l_N}\rangle$ and $|M_{l_1}, \ldots, M_{l_k}, \ldots, M_{l_N}\rangle$ should have the opposite sign in Eq. (45). This is true for the case when $l_k = l_1 = 1$ and $q_k = l_N = L$ only if L is even. Thus, all the scattering terms arising from Eq. (45) cancel out and we have an exact state. $|S_{2N}\rangle$ can also be written as $|S_{2N}\rangle = \mathcal{P}^N |G\rangle$ and has a momentum $k = 0$ or π depending on whether N is even or odd. Its total spin is $s = 2N$, and its energy is $E = 2N$. Since each spin-2 magnon annihilates two dimers from the ground state and L is even, $|S_L\rangle$ is a state with $E = L$, $s = L$, the highest excited state of the model. Thus, $\{ |S_{2N}\rangle \}$ is a tower of exact states from

$$
\widetilde{|S_{2N}\rangle} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{\{l_j\}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} l_j} \prod_{j=1}^{N} (S_{l_j}^+)^2 |\widetilde{G}\rangle \qquad (46)
$$

(s, Sz, k, I, Pz) = (8, 0, 0, 1, 1). The vertical green line in the where N is a normalization factor. Similar to the spin-2 Appendix J 3. magnon, the entire tower of states can be extended to the AKLT chain with open boundary conditions, see

σ . Desition in the exact spectrum C. Position in the energy spectrum

In this section we study the positions of the tower beneved⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻ that *all* energy eigenstates of non-
integrable models that lie in a region of finite density of states satisfy ETH. This is commonly known strong believed^{12,13,22} that *all* energy eigenstates of nonways be changed by tuning the Hamiltonian to allow level crossings from states with different quantum numbers. To avoid this possibility, we study the position of the tower of states in the density of states of their own of states $\{ |S_{2N} \rangle \}$ in the energy spectrum. It is ETH.^{22,23} However, the local density of states can alquantum number sector defined by (s, S_z, k, I, P_z) .

density of states for the spin-1 AKLT chain for periodic and the sector defined by the set of quantum numbers $(s, S_z, k, I, P_z) = (8, 0, 0, -1, 1).$ The quantum numbers are defined in Table I. In this sector lies, for example, the state of the tower with $N = L/4$ magnons, i.e. $|S_{2N}\rangle =$ $|S_8\rangle$. As can be observed, this state is located in a region with finite density of states. Since any given state of the tower of states has a fixed energy as the thermodynamic limit $(L \to \infty)$ is taken, it is natural to expect that such a state would eventually lie in a region of zero density of states. However, for a fixed L , we have a tower of states of $E = 2N, s = 2N, N \in [0, L/2]$, and hence the number The inset of Fig. 9, we show a typical example of the boundary conditions. We focus on system size $L = 16$ of states and their energies increase as the system size

FIG. 9. (Color online) Positions of the $S_z = 0$ states of the tower of states within the energy spectrum of their own quantum number sector plotted against the energy density $\epsilon = E/L$ for $L = 8, 10, 12, 14, 16$ with periodic boundary conditions. Each dot corresponds to a state with N spin-2 magnons with $N = 0, 1, \ldots, L/2 - 2$. The inset shows the density of states for $L = 16$ in the quantum number sector $(s, S_z, k, I, P_z) = (8, 0, 0, -1, 1)$. The vertical green line in the inset indicates the position of $|S_8\rangle$.

increases. One could look at the states at a finite energy density $\epsilon = E/L$ and then take the thermodynamic limit $(E \to \infty, L \to \infty)$. In this limit, we conjecture that some states of the tower lie in the bulk of the energy spectrum in the thermodynamic limit.

VI. EXACT HIGH ENERGY STATES

For completeness, we now focus on the exact states in the upper part of the energy spectrum shown in Ta- $\frac{1}{2}$ is the state of the states presented here are specific to the AKLT model. Some are eigenstates merely as a consequence of having $SU(2)$ symmetry and translation invariance. Moreover, it has been shown that all the states with quantum numbers $S_z = L - 1$ and $S_z = L - 2$ (and hence $s = L - 1$ and $s = L - 2$) can be analytically obtained for a general $SU(2)$ symmetric spin-1 Hamiltonian in the thermodynamic limit⁵⁸ and for any even system size, 59 in spite of the fact that a general $SU(2)$ symmetric spin-1 Hamiltonian is non-integrable. This is due to the fact that the scattering equations of states with $S_z = L - 1$ and $S_z = L - 2$ correspond to one and two-body scatter- $\frac{1}{2}$ is a correspond to the line of $\frac{1}{2}$ states in $\frac{1}{2}$ states in $\frac{1}{2}$ states in $\frac{1}{2}$ states in $\frac{1}{2}$ states in these quantum number sectors do not exhibit level repulsion. However, for $S_z = L - 2$, in most cases it is impossible to obtain a closed-form expression of the eigenstates for a finite system size. The states presented in this section are thus examples of high energy eigenstates with $s = L - 1$ and $s = L - 2$ that have a simple

 $F_{10}(s)$ Ferromagnetic State $|F_{1}(b)|$ One dimer configuration FIG. 10. (a) Ferromagnetic State $|F\rangle$ (b) One dimer configuration $|n\rangle$ ration $|n\rangle$.

analytical expression for any finite system in an otherwise completely solvable quantum number sector. The scattering equation of states with $S_z = L - 3$ similarly corresponds to a three-magnon scattering problem, that has been solved partially.⁵⁸ However, we have not found any exact states with $s = L - 3$.

A. Ferromagnetic and $\mathbb{1}_k$ states

As we have seen in Sec. VB, the highest excited state of the spin-1 AKLT model with periodic boundary conditions is the ferromagnetic multiplet that has $s = L$ and $E = L$. In the highest weight state, all of the spin-1s are ferromagnetic and are in the $S_z = 1$ state (Fig. 10a). find the projectors in Eq. (1) contribute 1 unit to the energy of the state. In terms of Schwinger bosons, e state can be written as $|F\rangle = |S_L\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^L a_i^{\dagger} |{\theta}\rangle$. $\text{sing Eq. (4), the normalized state is given by}$ Since nearest neighbors already form a spin-2 configurathe state can be written as $|F\rangle = |S_L\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^L a_i^{\dagger}$ ² $|\theta\rangle$. Using Eq. (4), the normalized state is given by

$$
\widetilde{|F\rangle} = \frac{|F\rangle}{2^{L/2}}.\tag{47}
$$

 $U = \begin{pmatrix} P & P \\ P & P \end{pmatrix}$ As also seen from Eq. (D22), the total energy E of the state is L state is L.

 ${x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n}$ are states that are exact for peri-
Another trivial series of states that are exact for peri-Another trivial series of states that are exact for per-
ic boundary conditions are those with spin $s = L - 1$ odic boundary conditions are those with spin $s = L - 1$. There are $L-1$ of them and they can be expressed by
the action of S^- Indeed the permulised states read in $L-1$ or them and they can be expressed by
on of S_n^- . Indeed the normalized states read the action of S_n^- . Indeed the normalized states read

$$
\widetilde{|1_k\rangle} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} S_n^{-} \widetilde{|F\rangle}.
$$
 (48)

with momentum $k = 2\pi l/L$, where $l = 1, 2, \ldots, L - 1$ $(l = 0$ belongs to the multiplet of $|F\rangle$). In the dimer basis, $|1_k\rangle$ is the translation invariant superposition of single
dimon configurations $|\mathbf{n}\rangle = (S_{\perp} - S_{\perp})|E\rangle$ deniated in dimer configurations $|n\rangle \equiv (S_{n+1}^- - S_n^-) |F\rangle$ depicted in Fig. 10b.

g. 100.
Using Eq. (D22), projectors of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) on all the bonds except $\{n-1,n\}$, $\{n, n+1\}$ and ${n+1, n+2}$ contribute a total energy of $E = L-3$ to the state $|n\rangle$. Since the projector on the bond $\{n, n+1\}$ vanishes $(Eq. (D27),$ the only non-trivial projector actions ing equation for $|n\rangle$ can be written as are $P_{n-1,n}^{(2,1)}$ and $P_{n+1,n+2}^{(2,1)}$. Using Eq. (D23) the scatter-

$$
H|n\rangle = (L-1)|n\rangle + \frac{1}{2}(|n-1\rangle + |n+1\rangle). \tag{49}
$$

FIG. 11. Several configurations relevant for the $|2_n\rangle$ states (a) Two dimer configuration $|m, m + 4\rangle$ (b) Scattering term $|m + 1, m + 4\rangle$ (c) Two dimer configuration $|m, m + 1\rangle$ (d) Scattering term $|m, m+2\rangle$. (e) Two dimer configuration $|m, m\rangle$

background. The approximation is an exact state with periodic Thus $|1_k\rangle = \sum_{n} e^{ikn} |n\rangle$ is an exact state with periodic boundary conditions for all L

$$
H |1_k\rangle = (L - 1) |1_k\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} (|n - 1\rangle + |n + 1\rangle)
$$

$$
= (L - 1 + \cos(k)) |1_k\rangle.
$$
 (50)

We thus have states for all momenta $k \neq 0$ with $s = L-$ 1 and $E = L - 1 + \cos(k)$. Note that these states, while τ and $E = E \cdot \tau$ rose (*ii*). Note that these states, while rather thrum, have a very simple structure (observed in the entanglement spectrum) but non-rational energies.

$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{m}$ because B. 2_n states

We now move on to the next simplest set of exact high background. The appropriate basis states are $|m, m + n\rangle$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, L/2$, that denote the configuration with dimers on the bonds $\{m, m+1\}$ and $\{m+n, m+n+1\}$, examples of which are shown in Fig. 11. Here, we deal with the cases $n > 2$ (e.g. Fig. 11a), $n = 1$ (Fig. 11c) and $n = 2$ (Fig. 11e) separately because the scattering $t_{\alpha} = 2$ (1.15. 110) separately because the seattering rules in Appendix D (Eqs. (D23) and (D24)) are applied
differently energy states, those with two dimers on a ferromagnetic differently.

fierently.
If $n > 2$, the terms in the Hamiltonian that contribute $\ln n > 2$, the terms in the Hammonian that contribute
to the scattering are the four projectors around the two
dimers Δ ccording to Eq. (D23), the states scatter as dimers. According to Eq. $(D23)$, the states scatter as

$$
H | m, m + n \rangle = (L - 2) | m, m + n \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (|m - 1, m + n \rangle + |m + 1, m + n \rangle + |m, m + n - 1 \rangle)
$$
\n(51)

An example of a configuration $|m, m + 4\rangle$ and a scat-11b respectively. In Eq. (51), if $|m, m + n\rangle$ is site 1 (bond) inversion symmetric, all the scattering terms (i), m $\frac{1}{2}$, m $\frac{1}{2}$, m $\frac{1}{2}$, m $\frac{1}{2}$, m $\frac{1}{2}$ tering term $|m + 1, m + 4\rangle$ are shown in Figs. 11a and

 α ifferent bonds (sites). For periodic boundary conditions and even L , they can thus be cancelled with a $k = \pi$ superposition. The exact state for $n > 2$ is then $|2_n\rangle = \sum_m (-1)^m |m, m + n\rangle$. However, note that if $L/2$ is odd, $\ket{2_{L/2}} = \sum_m (-1)^m |m, m + L/2\rangle =$ $a_m (-1)^{m+L/2}$ |m vanishes if $n = L/2$ and $L/2$ is odd. There are thus a set of $L/2 - 2$ states if $L/2$ is even and $L/2 - 3$ states with $E = L - 2$, $s = L - 2$ and $k = \pi$. if $L/2$ is odd (we are treating $n = 0, 1, 2$ separately), all are bond (site) inversion symmetric, possibly around that if $L/2$ is odd, $|2_{L/2}\rangle = \sum_m (-1)^m |m, m + L/2\rangle =$
 $\sum_m (-1)^{m+L/2} |m + L/2, m + L\rangle = -|2_{L/2}\rangle$. $|2_{L/2}\rangle$ thus vanishes if $n = L/2$ and $L/2$ is odd. There are thus

and only two projectors of the Hamiltonian contribute in When $n = 1$ (Fig. 11c), the two dimers share a site \mathcal{S} by \mathcal{S} in the inversion symmetric sym scattering. Using Eq. (D23), we obtain

$$
H | m, m + 1 \rangle = (L - 2) | m, m + 1 \rangle
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} (|m - 1, m + 1 \rangle + |m, m + 2 \rangle). \tag{52}
$$

Since $|m, m + 1\rangle$ is site inversion symmetric (about site $(m, m + 1)$ and the scattering terms are bond inversion symetric (*e.g.* Fig. 11d), $|2_{n=1}\rangle = \sum_m (-1)^m |m, m+1\rangle$ an exact state with periodic boundary condit even L with the quantum numbers $s = L - 2$, $k = \pi$ and $E = L - 2$. metric (*e.g.* Fig. 11d), $|2_{n=1}\rangle = \sum_m (-1)^m |m, m+1\rangle$ is an exact state with periodic boundary conditions and

If $n = 0$ (Fig. 11e), the appropriate rule for scattering is given by Eq. (D25). Hence, $|m, m\rangle$ scatters to

$$
H | m, m \rangle = (L - 1) | m, m \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (| m, m + 1 \rangle + | m - 1, m \rangle)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{6} (| m - 1, m - 1 \rangle + | m + 1, m + 1 \rangle). \quad (53)
$$

ric whereas $|m, m\rangle$ is bond inversion symmetric, $|2_0\rangle =$ $\binom{m}{n}$ $\sum_m (-1)^m |m, m\rangle$ would give rise to the action Since $|m, m+1\rangle$ (Fig. 11c) is site inversion symmet-

$$
H |2_0\rangle = (L - 1) |2_{n=0}\rangle - \frac{1}{3} |2_{n=0}\rangle
$$

$$
= (L - \frac{4}{3}) |2_{n=0}\rangle.
$$
(54)

Thus we have an exact state with with energy $E = L -$ 4/3, momentum $k = \pi$ and spin $s = L - 2$.

If $n = 2$ (Fig. 11d), from Eq. (D24) we see that $P_{m+1,m+2}^{(2,1)}$ would act non-trivially on the configuration. This scatters $|m, m+2\rangle$ into bond symmetric terms that l v
act
to: .
. cannot be cancelled with a $k = \pi$ superposition. Hence, $|2_{n=2}\rangle$ is not an exact state. \mathbb{R}^n

To derive operator expressions for the $|z_n\rangle$ states,
we note that $|m, m+n\rangle$ can be expressed in terms of we note that $|m, m+n\rangle$ can be expressed in terms of spin variables by writing down its expression in terms vriting

18 and
 $= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}}$ Noting that $S^{-} |1\rangle = \sqrt{2} |0\rangle$ and $S^{-} |0\rangle = \sqrt{2} |1\rangle$, this To derive operator expressions for the $|2_n\rangle$ states, of Schwinger bosons and using the dictionary Eq. (4).

FIG. 12. Typical configurations involved in the derivation of FIG. 12. Typical configurations involved in the derivation of
the $|2 \rangle$ states. Two dimer configurations (a) $|n_n + 1 \rangle$ (b) the $|2_k\rangle$ states. Two dimer configurations (a) $|n, n+1\rangle$ (b)
 $|n, n+2\rangle$ (c) $|n, n+5\rangle$. Softening terms (d) $|n, n+2\rangle$ (c) $|n, n+3\rangle$ (c) $|n, n+5\rangle$. Scattering terms (d) $|n, n+2\rangle$ (e) $|n, n + 4\rangle$

straightforward calculation leads to

$$
|m, m + n\rangle = \begin{cases} \left((S_{m+1}^{-} - S_m^{-}) \right) & \text{if } n \neq 0, 1, 2. \\ \left(S_{m+n+1}^{-} - S_{m+n}^{-} \right) \right) |F\rangle & \text{if } n \neq 0, 1, 2. \\ \left(S_{m+1}^{-} S_{m+2}^{-} + S_m^{-} S_{m+1}^{-} \right) & \text{if } n = 1. \\ -S_m^{-} S_{m+2}^{-} - 2(S_{m+1}^{-})^2 \right) |F\rangle & \text{if } n = 0. \\ 2 \left((S_{m+1}^{-})^2 + (S_m^{-})^2 \right) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Using Eq. (55) , after simplification, the normalized states. can be written as

$$
\widetilde{|2_n\rangle} = \sum_{m} (-1)^m \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2L}} \left(S_m^- \\ \left(S_{m+n+1}^- - S_{m+n-1}^- \right) \right) \widetilde{|F\rangle} & \text{if } n \neq 0, 1\\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5L}} \left(S_m^- \left(S_{m+2}^- - 2S_m^- \right) \right) \widetilde{|F\rangle} & \text{if } n = 1\\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{L}} S_m^- S_{m+1}^- \widetilde{|F\rangle} & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \tag{56}
$$

$\overline{}$ ² ei⇡(^L C. 2_k states

other set of exact states that can be constructed with two dimers on a ferromagnetic background are the $|2_k\rangle$ states. Consider Using the scattering Eqs. (51) and (52), we obtain an-

$$
|2_{k}\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} e^{i(k+\pi)m} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} |n, n+2m+1\rangle.
$$
 (57)

In Eq. (57) , the choice of superpositions have been chosen such that scattering terms of a particular two dimer ba-

example, $|n, n + 1\rangle$ (Fig. 12a) and $|n, n + 3\rangle$ (Fig. 12b) scattering term $|n, n + 4\rangle$ (Fig. 12e) obtained from both cording to Eqs. (52) and (51) respectively. Similarly the sectoring to $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{(1)}$ respectively. Similarly the $(n, n+3)$ (Fig. 12b) and $(n, n+5)$ (Fig. 12c) but with 2 opposite signs and hence vanishes. A detailed proof of the $|2_k\rangle$ state being exact can be found in Appendix E. sis state are cancelled by those of other basis states. For produce the same scattering term $|n, n+2\rangle$ (Fig. 12d) ac-We also show that these states have energy $E = L - 2$ and L has to be even.

While the state $|2_k\rangle$ can be proven to be exact for momenta (see Eqs. $(E5)$ and $(E6)$ in Appendix E) any momentum k , the state itself vanishes for certain

$$
|2_k\rangle = e^{ik\frac{L}{2}}e^{i\pi\left(\frac{L}{2}-1\right)}|2_k\rangle.
$$
 (58)

 $\frac{1}{2}$ the state not to vanish the momenta should satisfy For the state not to vanish, the momenta should satisfy e relation $e^{i\kappa} \overline{z} e^{i\kappa} (\overline{z}^{-1}) = 1$. the relation $e^{ik\frac{L}{2}}e^{i\pi(\frac{L}{2}-1)} = 1$.

If $L = 4p$, p integer, $e^{ikL/2} = -1$. Since $k = 2\pi j/L$, where j is an integer, the previous condition can be satisfied only for j odd. So, for $L = 4p$, there is a state $|2_k\rangle$ at any odd momentum, a total of 2p states. If $L = 4p+2$, we need $e^{ikL/2} = +1$, restricting to even momenta, a total of $2p + 1$ states. Thus the $|2_k\rangle$ states lead to a total operator expression for the normalized s
obtained using Eqs. (57) and (55) reads L operator expression for the normalized state $|2_k\rangle$ directly obtained using Eqs. (57) and (55) reads of $L/2$ exact states with $s = L-2$ and $E = L-2$. The

$$
\widetilde{\left|2_{k}\right>} = \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} \left(e^{i(k+\pi)m} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} S_{n}^{-} S_{n+2m+1}^{-}\right) - 2e^{-i\frac{k}{2}} \operatorname{secant}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \sum_{n} e^{ikn} \left(S_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) \left|F\right> \tag{59}
$$

 \mathcal{N} is the normalization constant where $\mathcal N$ is the normalization constant.

D. Special states

the state $\frac{1}{2}$ Apart from all the states above, we numerically observe values of L. The state $|6_0\rangle$ appears only for $L = 6p$, p integer with energy $E = L - 1$. In this section, we examplify a mathod to obtain such "repositing states", i.e. emplify a method to obtain such "repeating states", i.e.
stoc with an operator $E = L - \zeta$ that appear periodically states with an energy $E = L - \xi$ that appear periodically in I a state that is at $s = L-2$ that repeats only for particular exemplify a method to obtain such "repeating states", i.e. in L.

L.
We work in the orthonormal, complete, spin basis. The We work in the of thohormal, complete, spin basis. The highest weight state in the $s = L-2$ can be obtained from the two the state in the $s = \frac{L}{2}$ can be obtained from $|F\rangle$, either by flipping one spin to $S_z = -1$ or flipping two has to $D_z = 0$. Thus basis states of a fixed momentum
can be labelled by $|n_1\rangle$, $n \in [0, 1, 1]$, where k can be labelled by $|n_k\rangle$, $n \in \{0, 1, ..., L/2\}$, where spins to $S_z = 0$. Thus basis states of a fixed momentum

$$
|n_k\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{j=1}^{L} e^{ikj} S_j^- S_{j+n}^- |F\rangle \tag{60}
$$

The Hamiltonian matrix in this basis constructed using solved by a "transfer" matrix method, as demonstrated of the form $L - \xi$ that are periodic in L can then be Eqs. (A2) - (A7) and (60) is tridiagonal. Eigenvalues for $k = 0$ in Appendix F. For $k = 0$, obtaining repeating

FIG. 13. The only two possible dimer configurations up to FIG. 13. The only two possible dimer configurations up to translations in $|S_4\rangle$ for $L = 6$

states can be shown to reduce to solving the equation $($ see Eq. $(F18))$

$$
\frac{3}{2}\tan\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = -\frac{\sin((r+2)y)}{\cos((r+3)y)}
$$
(61)

 $w_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ runs over all the momenta runs over a r with $r \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and $y = 2\pi \frac{p}{q}$. As shown in Appendix F, a solution to Eq. (61) with integer p, q, r , with $p \leq q/2$ and q even corresponds to a state with energy $E = L 4\sin^2(\pi \frac{p}{q})$ that appears for every $L = 6 + 2r + qm$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It $|z_n\rangle$ and $|z_k\rangle$ states that we have already discussed.
example a solution of \mathbf{F}_{α} (61) is $a = 4$ $n = 1$ $r = 0$. For example, a solution of Eq. (61) is $q = 4, p = 1, r = 0$ has $E = L - 2$ and is the $|2_{k=0}\rangle$ that appears for every $\epsilon = 4m + 2.$
For n g $r \le 1000$, we sould find one now solution to Most of the states obtained by this method correspond to the $|2_n\rangle$ and $|2_k\rangle$ states that we have already discussed. $L = 4m + 2.$

For $p, q, r \leq 1000$, we could find one new solution to Eq. (61). It is $q = 6, p = 1, r = 0$. This state thus has energy $E = L - 1$, and since q is even, it appears for $L = \mathcal{E}_R$, where n is an integer. The expect state can be $L = 6p$, where p is an integer. The exact state can be written as dimers and the ground state configuration. The ground state configuration α written as

$$
\widetilde{|6_0\rangle} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{n=0}^{L/2} \cos(\frac{n\pi}{3}) S_i^- S_{i+n}^- | F \rangle \tag{62}
$$

where $\mathcal N$ is a normalization constant. We thus have an exact state with $E = L - 1$, $k = 0$ and $s = L - 2$. In momentum space operators, the state can be written in a more elegant form. σ states can be written as σ

$$
|6_0\rangle = (S_{\frac{\pi}{3}} S_{-\frac{\pi}{3}}^{-} + \sum_{k} S_k^{-} S_{-k}^{-}) |F\rangle
$$
 (63)

where the sum over k runs over all the momenta. The $\frac{1}{64}$ same exercise for a
to any new states. same exercise for any other momentum k does not lead to any new states. and ${\bf u}$ in Eq. (64), the dimers on ${\bf u}$.

\mathbf{F} . Open law with the tensor of the tensor E. Overlap with the tower of states

It is important to note that the tower of states $\{|S_{2n}\rangle\}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ bigh energy states we have presented. As we have seen earlier, $|S_L\rangle = |F\rangle$. Moreover, the $L/2 - 1$ magnon state $|S_{L-2}\rangle$ has a total spin $s = L-2$. As inustrated in Fig. 0a,
the operator $(S_n^+)^2$ always annihilates two dimers around the state is a superposition of configurations with $L - 2$
dimensions paraibileted from the ground state configuration a site *n*. Since $N = L/2 - 1$ in Eq. (46) for $|S_{L-2}\rangle$, dimers annihilated from the ground state configuration. obtained in Sec. V B Eq. (46) has some overlap with the $|S_{L-2}\rangle$ has a total spin $s = L-2$. As illustrated in Fig. 6a,

boring bonds $\{n-1, n\}$ and $\{n, n+1\}$, for even L, the $\frac{1}{2}$ two remaining dimers always have an even (odd) number of bonds (sites) between them. For example, the only possible configurations of $|S_4\rangle$ for $L = 6$ up to translation $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Is shown in Fig. 15. Thus, up to an overall constant, the appropriate two dimer basis state to describe the tower appropriate two dimer bas
of states can be written as Moreover, since $(S_n^+)^2$ annihilates the dimers on neighis shown in Fig. 13. Thus, up to an overall constant, the

$$
|n, n+2m+1\rangle \sim \prod_{j=1}^{m} (S_{n+2j}^{+})^2 \prod_{j=m+1}^{L/2-1} (S_{n+2j+1}^{+})^2 |G\rangle
$$
\n(64)

and $\{i, i + 1\}$. Thus, in Eq. (64), the dimers on bonds remaining. From Eq. (46) , the exponent of (-1) in front of the configuration $|n, n + 2m + 1\rangle$ can be written as where each $(S_i^+)^2$ annihilates dimers on bonds $\{i-1, i\}$ ${n, n+1}$ and ${n+2m+1, n+2m+2}$ are the only ones

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} (n+2j) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{L/2-1} (n+2j+1)
$$

= $(\frac{L}{2} - 1)(\frac{L}{2} + 1 + n) - m.$ (65)

Using Eqs. (64) and (65) , up to an overall phase, the state $|S_{L-2}\rangle$ can thus be written as

$$
|S_{L-2}\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} \sum_{n} (-1)^{(L/2-1)n+m} |n, n+2m+1\rangle
$$
\n(66)

The two cases $L = 4p$ and $L = 4p + 2$ are considered parately, p being an integer. When $L = 4p + 2$, $|5L-2\rangle$
as $k = 0$. $(L/2 - 1)$ is even and when $L = 4n$. $|S_{L-2}\rangle$ has $k = 0$, $(L/2 - 1)$ is even and when $L = 4p$, $|S_{L-2}\rangle$ has $k = \pi$, $L/2 - 1$ is odd. From Eq. (66), we obtain separately, p being an integer. When $L = 4p + 2$, $|S_{L-2}\rangle$

$$
|S_{L-2}\rangle = \begin{cases} |2_{k=0}\rangle & \text{if } L = 4p + 2. \\ \sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} (-1)^m |2_{n=2m+1}\rangle & \text{if } L = 4p. \end{cases}
$$
(67)

VII. 1D SPIN-S AKLT MODELS WITH $S > 1$

AKLT models can be straightforwardly generalized all dimensions and also to spins with di-
bras.^{42,60–62} In this section, we consider the tion to spin-*S* with *S* being a positive integ to all dimensions and also to spins with different Lie Easton to spin B with B being a positive integer. Buth a model has been studied to explore the Haldane conjecture algebras.^{42,60–62} In this section, we consider the generalmoder has been studied to explore the Haldahe conjecture
for $S = 2.63-66$ Particularly, it has been observed that
odd integer spin chains are topological (due to the presence of half-integer dangling spins at the edge) whereas for $S = 2$. Tatitually, it has been observed that odd integer spin chains are topological (due to the preseven integer spin chains are not.^{64,66} The generalization of the AKLT Hamiltonian that was used^{64,65} is ization to spin- S with S being a positive integer. Such a

$$
H^{(S)} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{J=S+1}^{2S} \alpha_J P_{i,i+1}^{(J,S)} \tag{68}
$$

with $\alpha_J \geq 0$ $\forall J$. As we will see later, the ground state is the same for all the Hamiltonians of the form Eq. (68) .

$$
\alpha_{2S} \ge \sum_{J=S+1}^{2S-1} \alpha_J. \tag{69}
$$

As we did for the spin-1 AKLT model, we can write Hamiltonian Eq. (68) in terms of spin operators. The most general expression for $P_{i,j}^{(J,S)}$, is the projector onto total spin J for two spin- S on sites i and j and can be written in terms of the spin operators as

$$
P_{i,j}^{(J,S)} = \prod_{s=0, s \neq J}^{2S} \left(\frac{(\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_j)^2 - s(s+1)}{J(J+1) - s(s+1)} \right)
$$
(70)
=
$$
\prod_{s=0, s \neq J}^{2S} \left(\frac{2\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + 2S(S+1) - s(s+1)}{J(J+1) - s(s+1)} \right).
$$

Since we are working with spin- S , each spin can be thought to be composed of $2S \text{ spin-1}/2$ Schwinger bosons, with the same algebra described in Appendix B. However, since the number of Schwinger bosons per site changes, Eq. (7) also changes to

$$
\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j = -\frac{1}{2} c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} (a_i^\dagger a_i + b_i^\dagger b_i)(a_j^\dagger a_j + b_j^\dagger b_j) \n= S^2 - \frac{1}{2} c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij}
$$
\n(71)

Using Eq. (71) and Eq. (B7) for normal ordering, we obtain an expression for the spin-S AKLT Hamiltonian,

$$
H^{(S)} = \sum_{i} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{2S} \gamma_j (c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger})^j (c_{i,i+1})^j \right) \tag{72}
$$

where the coefficients γ_j depend on the coefficients α_j in the projectors and the spin S , a closed form of which we could not obtain for a general S. We have the freedom to choose $(S-1)$ α _J coefficients while retaining the standard ground state but changing the excitation spectrum. By choosing $\alpha_J = 1$ for all J, we observe that it is possible to set $\gamma_i = 0, 1 \leq j \leq S-1$. This is the only choice of $\{\alpha_j\}$ that satisfies the required condition. The Hamiltonian is then,

$$
H^{(S)} = \sum_{i} \left(1 + \sum_{j=S}^{2S} \beta_j (c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger})^j (c_{i,i+1})^j \right) \tag{73}
$$

As we will show later in this section, this choice of coefficients is crucial for us to have non-trivial exact states (including a tower of states) in the bulk of the spectrum. Since the algebra of dimers described in Appendix B is independent of the spin model we are working with, it holds here as well.

FIG. 14. $S = 2$ AKLT ground state with two dimers between FIG. 14. $S = 2$ AKLI ground state with two dimers between nearest neighbors. Spin-S AKLT would have S dimers. $|2G\rangle$ with periodic boundary conditions.

U sing E and E A. Lower spectrum states

We start our analysis of the spin S TINET moder with its ground state. Working in the spin basis, if S dimers are formed between two spin-S $(i \text{ and } i + 1)$ that have We start our analysis of the spin- S AKLT model with spin of both spin- S combined cannot exceed S. Such a configuration must therefore be annihilated by all $P_{i,i+1}^{(J,S)}$ for $J > S$, and is thus the unique ground state $|SG\rangle$ of or $J > D$, and is thus the unique ground state $|DT|$ or the Hamiltonian F_{α} (68). This can be written as the Hamiltonian Eq. (68) . This can be written as a total of 4S spin-1/2 Schwinger bosons, the maximum

$$
|SG\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{L} (c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger})^S | \theta \rangle. \tag{74}
$$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ is shown in Fig. 14. The ground state with open boundary conditions is computed in Appendix J4. AKLT model is shown in Fig. 14. The ground state with The cartoon picture for the ground state of the spin-2

Show larger worker in this correlation, the choice of containing in this choice of containing to the two Arovas magnon states discussed in f_{sec} IV exist for the spin- S AKLT models. We have used the method described in Sec. III to detect exact eigenstates for $S = 2$ with $L \le 10$ and $S = 3$ with $L \le 8$. In both the systems, we find only one singlet exact state. m both the systems, we find only one singlet ended state a. Lower spectrum states in the same sector, we need a similar configuration an analogue of the Arovas A state for higher spin $AKLT$ models with the chosen Hamiltonian given by Eq. (73) (i.e. with $\alpha_J = 1 \forall J$ in Eq. (68)). At the end of this section, we provide an intuitive explanation as to why this is the case. In Appendix H 2, we prove that for $S = 2$, an Arovas A state cannot be obtained for the Hamiltonian Eq. (73) but an analogue can be constructed with nian Eq. (73) but an analogue can be constructed with
another suitable choice of coefficients $\{\alpha_J\}$ in Eq. (68). One might wonder if any low energy $s = 0$ magnons number sector $s = 0$, $k = \pi$, $I = -1$ for even L, and has an energy $E = 2$. Since the Arovas B state in Sec. IV B with short-ranged dimers. Numerically, we do not find

B state, we first focus on the spin-2 AKLI model. The
corresponding Hamiltonian Eq. (73) reduces to L B state, we first focus on the spin-2 AKLT model. The To exemplify the derivation of the generalized Arovas

$$
H^{(2)} = \sum_{i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{84} c^{\dagger}_{i,i+1} c_{i,i+1}^2 + \frac{1}{630} c^{\dagger}_{i,i+1} c_{i,i+1}^3 - \frac{1}{6720} c^{\dagger}_{i,i+1} c_{i,i+1}^4 \right).
$$
\nOne could form spin-2 basis states by gluing together

two copies of spin-1 basis states, along with completely symmetrizing the spin-1s of the two copies. The spin-2 configuration $|BG_n\rangle$ (Fig. 15a) is formed by gluing one

FIG. 15. The spin-2 AKLT configurations (a) $|BG_n\rangle$ and (b) $|B_n^2\rangle$. Such configurations form the generalization of the Arovas B state in the spin-S AKLT model. (c) An example of a symmetric configuration that appears only in $\mathcal{C}_{B^2}^S$. (d) An example of a symmetric configuration that appears in both \mathcal{L}_s^S \mathcal{C}_{BG}^S and $\mathcal{C}_{B^2}^S$. (e) An example of a non-symmetric scattering configuration $|\zeta\rangle$.

one spin-1 Arovas B configuration $|B_n\rangle$ (Fig. 5a) to the spin-1 ground state $|G\rangle$ (Fig. 2) and $|BG_n\rangle$ (Fig. 15b) by gluing two $|B_n\rangle$ s together. The scattering equations for the configurations $|BG_n\rangle$ and $|B_n^2\rangle$ with the choice of our Hamiltonian Eq. (73) are shown in Appendix H Eqs. $(H1)$ and $(H2)$ respectively. We find that the scattering terms arising from $|BG_n\rangle$ and $|B_n^2\rangle$ fall into two types of configurations–symmetric with bond inversion symmetry and non-symmetric. While the bond inversion symmetric scattering terms are different for $|BG_n\rangle$ (set $\check{\mathcal{C}}_{BG}^S$) and $|B_n^2\rangle$ (set $\check{\mathcal{C}}_{B^2}^S$), the set of non-symmetric scattering terms are the same for both (set \mathcal{C}^N). The scattering equations assume the form (see Eqs. (H1) and (35) . $(2))$ (H2))

$$
H^{(2)} |BG_n\rangle = 2 |BG_n\rangle + \sum_{\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}_{BG}^S\}} \lambda_{\eta}^{BG} |\eta\rangle + x \sum_{\{\zeta \in \mathcal{C}^N\}} \lambda_{\zeta} | \zeta \rangle,
$$

$$
H^{(2)} |B_n^2\rangle = 2 |B_n^2\rangle + \sum_{\{\eta \in C_{B^2}^S\}} \lambda_{\eta}^{B^2} |\eta\rangle
$$

$$
- 4 \sum_{\{\zeta \in C^N\}} \lambda_{\zeta} \mathcal{T}^{\pm 1} |\zeta\rangle + (1 - x) \sum_{\{\zeta \in C^N\}} \lambda_{\zeta} |\zeta\rangle (76)
$$

In Eq. (76), \mathcal{T} is a translation operator that translates by one site to the right and λ_n^{BG} , $\lambda_n^{B^2}$ and λ_c are the scatterng coefficients. We show an example of a configuration rom each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_{B^2}^S$, \mathcal{C}_{BG}^S and \mathcal{C}^N in Figs. 15c, 15d and 15e respectively. In Eq. (76) , since non-vanishing projectors of the Hamiltonian Eq. (73) act on on configurations $|BG_n\rangle$ and $|B_n^2\rangle$ symmetrically about site n (on bonds $\{n - 2, n - 1\}$ and $\{n + 1, n + 2\}$ in Figs. 15a one site to the right and λ_{η}^{BG} , $\lambda_{\eta}^{B^2}$ and λ_{ζ} are the scattering coefficients. We show an example of a configuration from each of the sets $\mathcal{C}_{B^2}^S$, \mathcal{C}_{BG}^S and \mathcal{C}^N in Figs. 15c, 15d and 15e respectively. In Eq. (76), since non-vanishing

and 15b), all the scattering terms that are bond inversion symmetric (e.g. Fig. 15c) appear in pairs that are related by a translation of an odd number of sites. Hence, they cancel with a momentum π superposition of the configurations $|BG_n\rangle$ and $|B_n^2\rangle$ for an even system size L. Moreover, $|B_n^2\rangle$ and $|BG_n\rangle$ can be combined into

$$
|2B_n\rangle = 2|BG_n\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|B_n^2\rangle, \qquad (77)
$$

such that the scattering equation for $|2B_n\rangle$ reads

$$
H^{(2)} |2B_n\rangle = 2 |2B_n\rangle + \sum_{\{\eta \in \mathcal{C}^S\}} \lambda_{\eta} |\eta\rangle
$$

+2
$$
\sum_{\{\zeta \in \mathcal{C}^N\}} \lambda_{\zeta} (|\zeta\rangle + \mathcal{T}^{\pm 1} |\zeta\rangle).
$$
 (78)

where $C^S = C_{BG}^S \cup C_{B^2}^S$. In Eq. (78), the non-symmetric configurations also admit a momentum π cancellation for L even. Thus, $|2B\rangle = \sum_n (-1)^n |2B_n\rangle$ is an exact state for L even with $s = 0, \vec{k} = \pi$, and $\vec{E} = 2$. In terms of spin operators, using Eq. (71), we find that the normalized state $|2B\rangle$ can be written as

$$
\widetilde{\left|2B\right>} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{n} (-1)^n \left(-5 + \vec{S}_{n-1} \cdot \vec{S}_n + \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} + \frac{1}{3} \{ \vec{S}_{n-1} \cdot \vec{S}_n, \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} \} \right)^2 \widetilde{\left|2G\right>} \tag{79}
$$

where $\{ , \}$ denotes the anti-commutator, $|2G\rangle$ is the normalized ground state of the spin-2 AKLT Hamiltonian and $\mathcal N$ a normalization factor.

The $j = 1$ term in general Hamiltonian Eq. (72) scatters the state $|B_n^2\rangle$ into non-symmetric configurations (e.g. Fig. 15d) whereas it scatters $|BG_n\rangle$ into symmetric configurations; this precludes the possibility of cancellation of non-symmetric terms as earlier. We thus set $\beta_1 = 0$, justifying our choice of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (73).

Moving on to the spin- S AKLT model, the set of configurations that can be derived from the Arovas B state $|B_n\rangle$ and the spin-1 ground state $|G\rangle$ is $S =$ $\{|B^m G^{S-m}\rangle\}, 1 \leq m \leq S$, which is obtained by gluing m spin-1 $|B_n\rangle$ s with $S - m$ spin-1 $|G\rangle$ s and completely symmetrizing the corresponding spins. The derivation of the generalized exact states proceeds in a way similar to that of $S = 2$. Eq. (73) scatters the configurations in the set S into two types of configurations: symmetric under bond inversion symmetry and non-symmetric. Similar to the $S = 2$ case, we find that the non-symmetric scattering terms of $|B^m G^{S-m}\rangle$ are the same as the nonsymmetric scattering terms of either of $\left| B^{m+1}G^{S-m-1}\right\rangle$ or $|B^{m-1}G^{S-m+1}\rangle$. For $S\leq 5$, we find that a $|SB_n\rangle$ can always be constructed from the configurations in the set S such that the scattering equation of $|SB_n\rangle$ reads

$$
H^{(S)} |SB_n\rangle = 2 |SB_n\rangle + \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{C}^S} \lambda_{\eta} | \eta \rangle
$$

+
$$
\sum_{\zeta \in \mathcal{C}^N} \lambda_{\zeta} (|\zeta\rangle + \mathcal{T}^{2x_{\zeta}+1} |\zeta\rangle)
$$
 (80)

where \mathcal{C}^S and \mathcal{C}^N are the sets of bond inversion symmetric and non-symmetric configurations of all the configurations in S and x_{ζ} is an integer. In Eq. (80), the bond inversion symmetric configurations appear in pairs and vanish for even L under a momentum- π superposition of $|SB_n\rangle$. The non-symmetric terms too appear in pairs, and hence vanish under the same conditions. We have analytically derived the generalized Arovas B state up to $S = 5$. For generic S, we conjecture the following expression for $|SB_n\rangle$,

$$
|SB_n\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{S} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} {S \choose m} |B^m G^{S-m}\rangle.
$$
 (81)

This reduces to $|B_n\rangle$ and $|2B_n\rangle$ of Eq. (77) for $S = 1$ and $S = 2$ respectively. The normalized exact state is then

$$
\widetilde{\ket{SB}} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{n} (-1)^n \ket{SB_n},\tag{82}
$$

where N is a normalization factor. This state has $s = 0$, $k = \pi$, $E = 2$. In spite of the elegant form of Eq. (81) in terms of dimers, we could not easily find a nice expression such as Eq. (79) for the state $|SB\rangle$ in terms of the spin operators.

As mentioned earlier, for our choice of the Hamiltonian Eq. (73), we do not find an analogue of the Arovas A state numerically for $S = 2$ or $S = 3$. Analytically, an obstacle encountered is that some of the scattering terms of $\left|A^m G^{S-m}\right\rangle$ (the state obtained by gluing m spin-1) Arovas A configurations with $S-m$ spin-1 ground states) are of the form of $\left| A^n G^{S-n} \right\rangle$. Such terms are bond inversion symmetric (for example, $\ket{A^2}$ shown in Fig. 15d), thus precluding a cancellation with momentum π (since the Arovas A configuration is also bond inversion symmetric). Moreover, for any superposition of the configurations $\{ |A^m G^{S-m} \rangle \}$, the scattering terms $\{ |A^n G^{S-n} \rangle \}$ appear in superposition with a different set of coefficients, thus precluding the construction of an exact state. However, in Appendix H2, we show that for $S = 2$, a finetuning of the Hamiltonian yields an Arovas A configuration with a scattering equation similar to Eq. (80), and hence an Arovas A exact excited state.

B. Mid-spectrum states

In this section, we derive the generalization of the tower of states described in Sec. V B for the spin-S AKLT model. We start with a configuration gluing S spin-1 $|M_n\rangle$ states to obtain a spin-2S magnon $|SM_n\rangle$.

$$
|SM_n\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger})^S (a_{n-1}^{\dagger})^S (a_n^{\dagger})^{2S} (a_{n+1}^{\dagger})^S
$$

$$
\prod_{j=n+1}^{L} (c_{j,j+1}^{\dagger})^S |\theta\rangle.
$$
 (83)

For example, $|2M_n\rangle$ for $S = 2$ is shown in Fig. 16a. With $\beta_i = 0$ for $0 < j < S$, the Hamiltonian Eq. (73) does not have any terms $(c^{\dagger})^s c^s$ for $s < S$. Due to Eq. (G2), $|2M_n\rangle$

FIG. 16. (a) Spin-4 Magnon $|2M_n\rangle$ and (b) Scattering state $|2N_n\rangle$ for spin-2 AKLT model. A similar picture holds for spin-S AKLT model.

 τ precluding a cancellation with momentum τ

vanishes under the action of $(c^{\dagger})^m c^m$ for $m > S$. Thus, using Eqs. $(G3)$, the only term in the Hamiltonian that contributes to scattering is $(c^{\dagger})^S c^S$. Thus, from Eq. (G3), the only scatterings term are those with S dimers on the bonds $\{n-1, n\}$ or $\{n, n+1\}$, denoted by $|SN_{n-1}\rangle$ and $|SN_n\rangle$ respectively, and shown for $S = 2$ in Fig. 16b. With this, we find the scattering equation of $|SM_n\rangle$,

$$
H^{(S)} | SM_n \rangle = 2 | SM_n \rangle + \lambda_S (| SN_{n-1} \rangle + | SN_n \rangle). \quad (84)
$$

hard to obtain a closed form for λ in terms of S since it From Eq. (84) , the exact state is In the above equation, the precise value of the coefficient λ_S does not matter. For $S = 2$, $\lambda_{S=2} = -2/7$ but it is involves the normal ordering recursion relations Eq. (B8).

$$
|SS_2\rangle = \sum_{n} (-1)^n |SM_n\rangle. \tag{85}
$$

In terms of spins, this can be expressed as

$$
\widetilde{|SS_2\rangle} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n (S_n^+)^{2S} |\widetilde{SG}\rangle \tag{86}
$$

where $\mathcal N$ is a normalization factor. Thus, we have an exact state for the spin-S AKLT model that closely resembles the spin-2 magnon of the spin-1 AKLT model. This state has $E = 2, k = \pi$ and $s = 2S$. Similar to the spin-2 magnon of the spin-1 AKLT model, the spin- $2S$ magnon generalizes for open boundary conditions as well, see Appendix J 5.

As for the spin-1 AKLT model tower of states, the state with N spin-2S magnons on the ground state is also magnon creation operator as $\mathcal{P} = \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n (S_n^+)^{2S}$. In the collection of the coefficient value of t exact for the spin- S AKLT model. We denote the spin- $2S$ The tower of exact states is then

$$
|SS_{2N}\rangle = \mathcal{P}^N \, |SG\rangle \,. \tag{87}
$$

 $h = 0$ for \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{r} is terms of S since its sinc where $0 \leq N \leq L/2$. $|S_{2N}\rangle$ has $k = 0$ or π depending on whether N is odd or even, a total spin $s = 2SN$, and the ground state to the ferromagnetic state. The proof
proceeds exactly in the same way as for the spin-1.4 KLT n tower of states. As with the spin-1 AKLT tower of states in Sec. V C, we conjecture that the tower of states for any botain any stro it is hard to obtain any strong numerical evidence of this for $S > 1$. an energy of $E = 2N$. This tower of states connects proceeds exactly in the same way as for the spin-1 AKLT spin S lies in the bulk of the energy spectrum, although for $S > 1$.

FIG. 17. Upper spectrum state configurations for $S = 2$ (a) The ferromagnetic state with $s = 2L$ and $E = L$. (b) An example of a non-scattering configuration with $s = 2L - 1$, $E = L$. (c) A configuration that forms an exact state for $S = 2$ with $E = L - 2$, $s = 2L - 4$. (d) A dressed configuration that forms an exact state with $E = L - 2$, $s = 2L - 5$.

C. Upper spectrum states sembles the spin-2 magnon of the spin-1 AKLT model.

In this section, we briefly comment on the structure of the simple upper spectrum excited states of the spin-S AKLT model. For the spin-S AKLT model, there is $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ finder. To the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ model, there is that apart from a constant, the Hamiltonian Eq. (73) $\frac{1}{2}$ space hold a constant, the Hammonian Eq. (10) contains terms $(c_{ij}^{\dagger})^m(c_{ij})^m$ for $m \geq S$. According to Eq. $(G2)$, all such terms vanish on any configuration with $s < S$ dimers on one bond. For example, the configura $s < S$ dimers on one bond. For example, the configuraone unit of energy, same as the fully ferromagnetic state
 $(E_{\text{S}} 172)$. We dub such enformations "per easttering" Non-scattering configurations at least two bonds apart from each other lead to several excited states, all of which have $E = L$ using the specific set of α_J in the Hamiltonian Eq. (68) described previously. However, these nonscattering configurations have different total spins. tion shown in Fig. 17b does not scatter and contributes (Fig. 17a). We dub such configurations "non-scattering".

In the previous section, we saw that the spin- S AKLT tower of states can be obtained by replacing each dimer in spin-1 AKLT by S dimers. The construction worked because of the similarity of Eqs. $(D23)$ and $(G3)$ and the structure of the Hamiltonian Eq. (73) . Since Eq. $(D23)$ of spin- S AKLT models can be obtained similarly with AKLT model that used Eq. (D23) in the scattering $(|1_k\rangle,$ $|2_n\rangle$ and $|2_k\rangle$) have an analogue in the spin-S AKLT model, replacing each dimer of the spin-1 model by S dimers in the spin-S model and spins $L - 1$ and $L - 2$ by $SL - S$ and $SL - 2S$ respectively. For example, the configuration in Fig. 17c forms the $S = 2$ analogue of Fig. 17c forms the $S = 2$ analogue of the symbols of this rule are $\frac{12}{3}$ the $|2_{n=6}\rangle$ state. The exceptions to this rule are $|2_{n=0}\rangle$, that uses a different scattering rule (Eq. (D25) instead of Eq. (Dz.) used for the other $|z_n\rangle$ states) and has not analogue in spin-S and the special state $|6_0\rangle$. Special was crucial in obtaining the upper spectrum excited states for the spin-1 AKLT model, upper spectrum states Eq. (G3). Thus, all the upper spectrum states of spin-1 of Eq. (D23) used for the other $|2_n\rangle$ states) and has no states could be obtained for the $s = LS - 2$ sector the spin- S AKLT too, where the Hamiltonian would be tridiagonal. In addition to the generalized versions of the spin-1 upper spectrum excited states, such states could always be dressed symmetrically with non-scattering configurations at least two bonds away from the scattering ones. For example, the configuration shown in Fig. 17d is simply Fig. 17c dressed with a non-scattering configuration. Such a dressed configuration has the same energy as the parent configuration but a lower spin. Thus, the upper spectrum of the spin- S AKLT models are massively degenerate. The states described above account for all of the rational energy states we observe numerically for $S = 2$. As mentioned earlier for spin-1 models, the quantum number sectors $(L-2) \leq s \leq L$ sectors are fully integrable.⁵⁸ Similarly, for spin- S models, it might be the case that all the spin sectors $S(L-2) \leq s \leq SL$ are fully integrable. In such a case, the states we have described are some examples of states that have a simple analytical expression for a finite system. This is an interesting question for future work.

VIII. PROJECTION PRINCIPLE

Spin-S AKLT exact states have intriguing connections to the spin-1 AKLT exact states $(e.g. \text{ see Eq. (81)}).$ Some of the exact spin- S eigenstates can be constructed from other exact spin- S' eigenstates with $S' < S$. This notion can be formalized via the projection principle (PP). Originally introduced for spin singlet groundstate wavefunctions $62,67,68$, here we show that the PP can be applied to excited states as well. In particular, it can be applied to states with non-zero magnetization, i.e. non-singlet states. In the following, we demonstrate that the PP also holds beyond integer spin models. We briefly introduce the Majumdar–Ghosh model and its ground states, which we use to demonstrate the PP by constructing the AKLT ground state. Subsequently we discuss how it can be applied to exact excited eigenstates.

A. Majumdar–Ghosh Model

Majumdar and Ghosh⁴³ (MG) noticed in 1969 that on a spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ chain, the two valence bond solid or dimer states

 MG even (odd) = Y i even (i odd) c † i,i+1 |θi (88) = (| ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ i "even" | ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ ❝ i "odd" (89)

where the product runs over all even sites i for one state and over all odd sites for the other, are exact zero-energy

ground states of the Hamiltonian

$$
H_{\text{MG}} = \sum_{i} \left(\vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{i+2} + \frac{3}{8} \right). \tag{90}
$$

Note that Eq. (88) implies that only one Schwinger boson operator $(a_i^{\dagger}|\theta)_i = |\uparrow\rangle_i$ or $b_i^{\dagger}|\theta\rangle_i = |\downarrow\rangle_i$ where $|\theta\rangle_i$ is the local vacuum of site i) is applied per lattice site i leading to spin-1/2 states, unlike the spin-1 (spin-S) AKLT case where two $(2S)$ Schwinger bosons act on each lattice site. The proof is simple. We rewrite $H_{\text{MG}} = \frac{3}{4} \sum_i H_i$ with

$$
H_i = P_{i,i+1,i+2}^{\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{3} \left[\left(\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_{i+1} + \vec{S}_{i+2} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{4} \right], \quad (91)
$$

where $P_{i,i+1,i+2}^{(\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2})}$ is the spin-1/2 projector onto total spin $3/2$ of the three sites i, $i + 1$, and $i + 2$. Hence, any state in which the total spin of three adjacent spins $\frac{1}{2}$ is annihilated by H_i . (The total spin can only be $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$, as $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2}$.) For the dimer states above, this is guaranteed as two of the three neighboring spins are in a singlet configuration, and $\mathbf{0} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Graphically, we may express this as

$$
H_i | \circ \hspace{-5.5mm} \bullet \hspace{-5.5mm} \circ \hspace{2.5mm} \rangle = H_i | \circ \hspace{2.5mm} \circ \hspace{-5.5mm} \bullet \rangle = 0. \hspace{1cm} (92)
$$

As H_i is positive definite, the two zero-energy eigenstates of H_{MG} , shown in Eq. (89) are also ground states. Note that the two ground states can be written as two translation invariant states with $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$ as

$$
|MG^{+}\rangle = |MG^{even}\rangle + |MG^{odd}\rangle,
$$

$$
|MG^{-}\rangle = |MG^{even}\rangle - |MG^{odd}\rangle.
$$
 (93)

B. AKLT Ground state from the Projection Principle

In the following, we slightly modify our cartoon pictures used previously for the AKLT states:

$$
\text{C} \rightarrow \text{C
$$

Note that their physical interpretation remains unchanged. From the figure, note that the two spin 1/2 MG ground states of Eq. (88) can be glued together to form the spin-1 AKLT ground state Eq. (9),

$$
|G\rangle = \prod_{i \text{ even}} c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger} \cdot \prod_{i \text{ odd}} c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger} | \theta \rangle
$$

$$
= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \qquad (94)
$$
projection onto spin 1

$$
\equiv |MG^{\text{even}}\rangle \odot |MG^{\text{odd}}\rangle. \tag{95}
$$

In terms of Schwinger bosons the projection onto the fully symmetric spin 1 subspace in Eq. (94) is accomplished automatically due to their bosonic character. We can immediately generalize this construction to higher spin-S AKLT ground states,

$$
|SG\rangle = |MG^{\text{even}}\rangle^{\odot S} \odot |MG^{\text{odd}}\rangle^{\odot S} \tag{96}
$$

where we introduced

$$
|\psi\rangle^{\odot n} \equiv \underbrace{|\psi\rangle \odot \ldots \odot |\psi\rangle}_{n-\text{times}}.
$$
 (97)

Applying Eq. (95) to Eq. (96) , we find that we can glue S spin-1 AKLT ground states together to obtain one spin- S AKLT ground state, $|SG\rangle = |G\rangle^{\odot S}$. The PP can thus be applied to obtain arbitrary spin-S AKLT ground states.

C. Projection Principle for Excited Singlet States

We now establish that it is possible to combine MG states via PP to obtain the AKLT exact eigenstates that are obtained by a single-mode approximation, viz. the Arovas states and the spin-2S magnon state. To be more precise, for the AKLT exact states that are of the form $|\Xi_k\rangle = \sum_n e^{ikn} |\xi_n\rangle$ (e.g. for the Arovas A state of Eq. (12), $|\xi_n\rangle = |A_n\rangle$, $k = \pi$ and $|\Xi_k\rangle = |A\rangle$, we apply the projection principle to the configurations $|\xi_n\rangle$ but not directly to the eigenstates $|\Xi_k\rangle$.

Certain exact excited states for the MG model have been obtained previously.^{48,69} For instance, the state $|\phi\rangle$ of Eq. (9) in Ref. [48] can be re-written as

$$
|X_k\rangle = \sum_n e^{ikn} |x_n\rangle \quad k = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \tag{98}
$$

where $|x_n\rangle$ is defined as (see Appendix I, Eq. (I5))

$$
|x_n\rangle = \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5.5em} \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \left| \circ \hspace{-5.5em} \bullet \hspace{-5.5em} \right| \hspace{-5
$$

Careful analysis reveals that $|A_n\rangle = |x_n\rangle \odot |MG^{\text{Parity}(n)}\rangle$ resulting in

$$
\left| \begin{array}{ccccc} \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \text{n} & \text{n+1} & \text{1} & \text{1} \\ \text{projection onto spin 1} & \end{array} \right\rangle_{(100)}
$$

is identical to the Arovas A configuration $|A_n\rangle$ shown in Fig. 4a. Thus the PP can also be used for excited states.

In the following, we show that all Arovas-type eigenstates can be constructed via projection principle. For instance, the Arovas B state configuration reads $|B_n\rangle =$ $|x_{n-1}\rangle \odot |x_n\rangle,$

$$
\left| \begin{array}{ccccc} \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \hline n-1 & n & n+1 & \bullet & \circ \\ & & \text{projection onto spin 1} & \end{array} \right\rangle_{(101)}
$$

As discussed before, the spin-2 Arovas configuration can be written as $|2B_n\rangle = 2|BG_n\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|B_n^2\rangle$, see Eq. (77). In terms of the PP for spin-1 configuration, this can be formulated as

$$
|2B_n\rangle = 2|G\rangle \odot |B_n\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|B_n\rangle \odot |B_n\rangle \tag{102}
$$

where $|G\rangle$ and $|B_n\rangle$ are the spin-1 AKLT ground state and the Arovas B state respectively. This can be further generalized to express the spin- S Arovas state configuration in terms of the PP as Eq. (81),

$$
|SB_n\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{S} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} {S \choose m} \left[|G\rangle^{\odot (S-m)} \odot |B_n\rangle^{\odot m} \right].
$$
\n(81)

D. Projection Principle for Non-Singlet States

So far, we have applied the PP only to ground states and excited states which were spin singlets. Now we consider the simplest type of non-singlet states, and express them through the PP. Another MG eigenstate which was found to be exact in Eq. (8) of Ref. [48] can be rewritten as

$$
|T_k\rangle = \sum_n e^{ikn} |t_n\rangle \quad k = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2} \tag{103}
$$

where (see Appendix I, Eq. (I8))

$$
|t_n\rangle = |\circ \qquad \circ \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \circ \qquad \circ \qquad \circ \qquad (104)
$$

Using the PP we can readily express the magnon configuration $|M_n\rangle$ of Eq. (28) for the spin-1 AKLT model as $|M_n\rangle = |t_{n-1}\rangle \odot |t_n\rangle,$

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\circ & \circ & \bullet & \phi & \circ & \circ \\
\hline\n\circ & \circ & \phi & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\hline\nn-1 & n & n+1 & \circ & \circ\n\end{array} \tag{105}
$$

projection onto spin 1

leading to the spin-2 magnon state under momentum superpositions, $|S_2\rangle = \sum_n (-1)^n |M_n\rangle$. For higher spin-S AKLT chains, magnon states with spin- $2S$ can be constructed from the PP. For instance, in the spin-2 AKLT chain we obtain the spin-4 magnon state via

$$
|M_n\rangle \odot |M_n\rangle = \begin{vmatrix} \sqrt{2\pi} & \sqrt{2\pi} & \sqrt{2\pi} \\ \sqrt{2\pi} & \sqrt{2\pi} & \sqrt{2\pi} \\ \sqrt{2\pi} & \sqrt{2\pi} & \sqrt{2\pi} \end{vmatrix} . (106)
$$

Since the MG model is $SU(2)$ symmetric, the ferromagnetic state (state with all spins having $S_z = +1/2$) is an exact eigenstate. The same symmetry dictates that the exact quasiparticle excitations around the ferromagnet are one-dimer configurations analogous to the $|1_k\rangle$ states of the spin-1 AKLT model. With these upper spectrum exact states of the MG model, the ferromagnetic and the one dimer configurations of the AKLT model (Fig. 10), and the two dimer configurations $(e.g.$ Fig. 11) can be trivially constructed via PP.

IX. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have for the first time derived a tower exact eigenstates with a closed-form expression in nonintegrable models, the spin-S AKLT models. For that purpose, we first used finite size exact diagonalizations to look for states with a low rank of their reduced density matrix. These turned out to usually coincide with a rational or even an integer energy. For each of them, we have then derived an analytical formula. Apart from the tower of states from the ground state to the highest excited state, we have obtained several exact excited states in the low energy and the high energy spectrum.

Our approach could potentially be applied to any nonintegrable model, irrespective of its dimensionality. Numerically, for certain system sizes, we do see exact states of the Majumdar-Ghosh model (including the ones obtained by Caspers *et al.*⁴⁸), spin-3/2 model⁷⁰ and of the spin-1 Heisenberg model. However, the exact states in those models do not include an infinite tower of states, as the AKLT models do. In the context of the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis, some of the exact states we have obtained seem to be located in the bulk of the spectrum but still have non-generic entanglement properties, 71 which questions the strong ETH. As we discuss in another article, 71 those states have a low entanglement entropy, and it is unlikely that any of them would be thermal. Our results pave the way for the search of nonintegrable models that provide some analytical insight on the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis. They also suggest that a special class of "semi-solvable" but nonintegrable spin models could exist.58,72,73 These models would contain thermal and non-thermal eigenstates mixed together, $74-76$ something that is usually thought to be impossible in non-integrable models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Paul Fendley for encouragement and initial discussions on this work, Elliot Lieb and David Huse for useful discussions. B. A. Bernevig wishes to thank Ecole Normale Superieure, UPMC Paris, and Donostia International Physics Center for their generous sabbatical hosting during some of the stages of this work. SR acknowledges funding from the DFG through SFB 1143. BAB acknowledges support for the analytic work Department of Energy de-sc0016239, Simons Investigator Award, the Packard Foundation, and the Schmidt Fund for Innovative Research. The computational part of the Princeton work was performed under NSF EAGER grant DMR-

1643312, ONR-N00014-14-1-0330, ARO MURI W911NF-12-1-0461, NSF-MRSEC DMR-1420541.

Appendix A: Spin basis

If all the spins are represented in the S_z basis, and $|S m_1, S m_2\rangle \equiv |m_1, m_2\rangle$ represents the spins i and j having $S_z = m_1, m_2$, the rules for scattering by the action of the projector of two spin S onto a spin- J state (defined as $|J J_z\rangle_{JM}$ can be succinctly written as

$$
P_{ij}^{(J,S)} |m_1, m_2\rangle = \langle J m_1 + m_2 | m_1, m_2 \rangle | J m_1 + m_2 \rangle_{JM}
$$

= $(\langle J m_1 + m_2 | m_1, m_2 \rangle)$

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{m_1 + m_2} (\langle m, m_1 + m_2 - m | J m_1 + m_2 \rangle)
$$

$$
|m, m_1 + m_2 - m \rangle))
$$
 (A1)

where

 $\langle J m_1 + m_2 | m_1, m_2 \rangle \ (\langle m, m_1 + m_2 - m | J m_1 + m_2 \rangle)$ is the Clebsh-Gordan coefficient for adding two spin- S with $S_z = m_1$ and $S_z = m_2$ $(S_z = m$ and $S_z = m_1 + m_2 - m)$ to obtain a spin-*J* state with $S_z = m_1 + m_2$ ($|J, m_1 + m_2\rangle$). When Eq. (A1) is written down explicitly for $J = 2$ and $S = 1$ (spin-1 AKLT model), these yield

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |1 1\rangle = |1 1\rangle \tag{A2}
$$

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |1\ 0\rangle = P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |0\ 1\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|1\ 0\rangle + |0\ 1\rangle) \tag{A3}
$$

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |1 - 1\rangle = P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |1 1\rangle
$$

= $\frac{1}{6}(|1 - 1\rangle + |1 1\rangle) + \frac{1}{3} |0 0\rangle$ (A4)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |0 0\rangle = \frac{2}{3} |0 0\rangle + \frac{1}{3} (|1 0 1\rangle + |0 1 1\rangle)
$$
 (A5)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |0 \text{ -}1\rangle = P_{ij}^{(2,1)} |0 \text{ -}1 \text{ 0}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|0 \text{ -}1 \rangle + |0 \text{ -}1 \text{ 0}\rangle) \text{ (A6)}
$$

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}\left|\text{-1-1}\right\rangle = \left|\text{-1-1}\right\rangle\tag{A7}
$$

Appendix B: Fundamental algebra of dimers

1. Commutation relations

We start by defining operators that create and annihilate up (a^{\dagger}, a) or down (b^{\dagger}, b) spin-1/2 Schiwinger bosons. By virtue of being bosons, they obey the boson commutation relations as

$$
[a_i, a_j^{\dagger}] = \delta_{ij}
$$

\n
$$
[a_i, a_j] = 0
$$

\n
$$
[b_i, b_j^{\dagger}] = \delta_{ij}
$$

\n
$$
[b_i, b_j] = 0
$$

\n
$$
[a_i^{\dagger}, b_j] = 0
$$

\n
$$
[a_i, b_j] = 0
$$

\n(B1)

where i is the site index. We can then define dimer (singlet) annihilation and creation operators between sites i and i as

$$
c_{ij} = a_i b_j - a_j b_i
$$

\n
$$
c_{ij}^{\dagger} = a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} - a_j^{\dagger} b_i^{\dagger}.
$$
 (B2)

Since bosons on each site are identical, note that a singlet (antisymmetric) state within each site vanishes $(c_{ii}^{\dagger} = 0)$. Using Eq. (B1), one can derive the algebra for the dimer operators as

$$
[c_{mn}, c_{ij}^{\dagger}] = 2\delta_{mi}\delta_{nj} - 2\delta_{ni}\delta_{mj} + (a_i^{\dagger}a_m + b_i^{\dagger}b_m)\delta_{nj} + (a_j^{\dagger}a_n + b_j^{\dagger}b_n)\delta_{mi} - (a_i^{\dagger}a_n + b_i^{\dagger}b_n)\delta_{mj} - (a_j^{\dagger}a_m + b_j^{\dagger}b_m)\delta_{ni} [c_{mn}, c_{ij}] = 0.
$$
 (B3)

For $m = i$ and $n = j$, Eq. (B3) can be written as

$$
[c_{ij}, c_{ij}^{\dagger}] = 2 + N_i + N_j \tag{B4}
$$

where $N_i = a_i^{\dagger} a_i + b_i^{\dagger} b_i$, the total number operator of the Schwinger bosons on site i . Commutation relations between the remaining operators can also be computed as

$$
[a_i^{\dagger}, c_{mn}] = -\delta_{im}b_n + \delta_{in}b_m
$$

\n
$$
[b_i^{\dagger}, c_{mn}] = -\delta_{in}a_m + \delta_{im}a_n
$$

\n
$$
[a_i, c_{mn}] = 0
$$

\n
$$
[b_i, c_{mn}] = (\delta_{in} + \delta_{im})c_{mn}^{\dagger}
$$

\n
$$
[N_i, a_m^{\dagger}] = \delta_{im}a_m^{\dagger}
$$

\n
$$
[N_i, b_m^{\dagger}] = \delta_{im}b_m^{\dagger}
$$

\n(B5)

Eqs. $(B1)$, $(B3)$, $(B4)$ and $(B5)$ along with their Hermitian conjugates specify the entire algebra of all the objects we are working with.

2. Normal ordering

For our calculations, it is useful to know the expressions for the normal ordering of the operator $(c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij})^n$. To compute this, we first need to know the commutation relation $[c_{ij}, (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^n]$. Using the commutation relations in Eqs. $(B4)$ and $(B5)$, this can be easily computed to be

$$
[c_{ij}, (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^n] = (n(N_i + N_j) + n(3 - n))(c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{n-1}
$$
 (B6)

We now work in a subspace of spin-S AKLT Hamiltonian basis states $|\psi_S\rangle$ that satisfy $N_i |\psi_S\rangle = 2S |\psi_S\rangle$ for any site i . Using this fact and Eq. $(B6)$, we can expand $(c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij})^n$ into a normal ordered form as

$$
(c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{ij})^n = \sum_{m=0}^n f(m,n) (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^m (c_{ij})^m.
$$
 (B7)

$$
f(n, n) = f(n - 1, n - 1)
$$

\n
$$
f(m, n) = f(m - 1, n - 1)
$$

\n
$$
+ ((4S + 1)m - m^{2})f(m, n - 1)
$$

\n
$$
f(0, n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \ge 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (B8)

Appendix C: Overcompleteness of the dimer basis

In Sec. IV, we mentioned that that dimer basis is overcomplete. We illustrate this property here with a few examples. For example, the following three different dimer configurations are not linearly independent, as shown in the following relation, where i, j and k are distinct:

$$
(c_{ij}^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} c_{jk}^{\dagger}) |\theta\rangle = (a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} - b_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} - a_i^{\dagger} b_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger}) |\theta\rangle = (a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} b_k^{\dagger} - b_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} a_k^{\dagger}) |\theta\rangle = c_{ik}^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} |\theta\rangle.
$$
 (C1)

Written diagrammatically, Eq. (C1) reads

$$
|\circ \bullet \circ \phi \rangle + |\phi \circ \bullet \circ \rangle = |\circ \phi \rangle.
$$
 (C2)

Another example of linear dependence for dimer configurations on four sites is, where i, j, k and l are distinct,

$$
(c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{kl}^{\dagger} + c_{il}^{\dagger}c_{jk}^{\dagger})|\theta\rangle = ((a_{i}^{\dagger}b_{j}^{\dagger} - b_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger})(a_{k}^{\dagger}b_{l}^{\dagger} - b_{k}^{\dagger}a_{l}^{\dagger})
$$

+
$$
(a_{i}^{\dagger}b_{l}^{\dagger} - b_{i}^{\dagger}a_{l}^{\dagger})(a_{j}^{\dagger}b_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{j}^{\dagger}a_{k}^{\dagger}))|\theta\rangle
$$

=
$$
(a_{i}^{\dagger}b_{k}^{\dagger} - b_{i}^{\dagger}a_{k}^{\dagger})(a_{j}^{\dagger}b_{l}^{\dagger} - b_{j}^{\dagger}a_{l}^{\dagger})|\theta\rangle
$$

=
$$
c_{ik}^{\dagger}c_{jl}^{\dagger}|\theta\rangle.
$$
 (C3)

Written diagrammatically, Eq. (C3) reads

$$
|\circ \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \circ \rangle + |\circ \circ \bullet \circ \circ \rangle = |\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \rangle.
$$

i j k l (C4)

Appendix D: Dimer basis states and scattering rules for the spin-1 AKLT model

In this section, we derive rules for action of the projector $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ Eq. (8) on various configurations of dimers around sites i and j . Since the projector is normal ordered, it is sufficient to determine the action of $(c_{ij})^m$ on the dimer configurations. A useful identity in simplifying dimer expressions is

$$
c_{ij}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = -c_{mn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D1)

where i, j, m, n are assumed to be distinct and $|\Theta\rangle$, referred to as the local vacuum, is a state annihilated by any of annihilation operators involving sites i, j, m, n .

FIG. 18. Two types of singlet configurations around a bond $\{i, j\}.$

$1.$ 1. Singlet basis states

Since each dimer has $s = 0$ and $S_z = 0$, any basis state expressed in terms of only dimer creation operators $(c_{i,j}^{\dagger}s)$ is a singlet state. For the spin-1 AKLT model, there two sites i and j. They are $c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{mi}^{\dagger} c_{jn}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 18a) and $c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ (Fig. 18b) where $\{m, n, p, r\}$ are distinct from $\{i, j\}$. Using Eq. (B3), we can derive the action of are two different possible configurations of dimers around c_{ij} on the two different kinds of dimer configurations.

$$
c_{ij}c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = -c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle + 4c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle \quad \text{(D2)}
$$

$$
c_{ij}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = -c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{np}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle - c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{mp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$

$$
-c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{nr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle - c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{mr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle \qquad \text{(D3)}
$$

Similarly, the expressions for the action of $(c_{ij})^2$ on these \mathbf{u} the spin-1 \mathbf{u} configurations are

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{mi}^{\dagger} c_{jn}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = -6 c_{mn}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle \tag{D4}
$$

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{mi}^{\dagger} c_{ni}^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = 2(c_{mp}^{\dagger} c_{nr}^{\dagger} + c_{mr}^{\dagger} c_{np}^{\dagger}) |\Theta\rangle \text{ (D5)}
$$

Using Eqs. $(D2)$ - $(D5)$, and the expression for the probeing Eqs. (D_2) (D_3), and the expression for the pro- $\frac{1}{1}$ (c), $\frac{1}{1}$ is simplifying in simplifying in simplifying $\frac{1}{1}$ is simplifying in sim dimer expressions is

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D6)
\n
$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{4}\left(c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{np}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger} + c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{mp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}
$$

\n
$$
+ c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{nr}^{\dagger} + c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{ni}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{mr}^{\dagger}\right)|\Theta\rangle
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{12}\left((c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{2}c_{mr}^{\dagger}c_{np}^{\dagger} + (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{2}c_{nr}^{\dagger}c_{mp}^{\dagger}\right)|\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D7)

From Eq. (D6), the projector vanishes on any singlet state that contains a c_{ij}^{\dagger} . This is heavily used in our α calculations. As shown in Fig. 27, these can be written as a set of diagrammatic rules for obtaining a set of configurations into which an initial configuration of dimers
conttons scatters.

2. Non-singlet basis states

In the previous section we worked with singlet states, the the presence of the configurations could be written only

FIG. 19. Types of non-singlet configurations around a bond $\{i, j\}$

in terms of dimers. States with $s \neq 0$, because $2s + 1$ states. As mentioned in the main text, it is sufficient to focus on the highest weight states of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the expression in the μ is the projector μ of the comigurations in the projector of the projector of the projector on the configuration of the projector on the projector highest weight multiplet would have free spin-↑ (a_i^{\dagger}) eral new configurations are possible. The distinct ones are $a_i^{\dagger a_j^{\dagger}}|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19a), $c_{ni}^{\dagger a_i^{\dagger a_j^{\dagger}}|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19a), $c_{ni}^{\dagger a_i^{\dagger a_j^{\dagger}}|\Theta\rangle}$ (Fig. $c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_i^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19e), $c_{ij}^{\dagger}a_i^{\dagger}a_j^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19f), and $c_{ni}^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19c), $(a_i^{\dagger})^2 c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19d), $c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19g), where $\{m, n, p, r\}$ are distinct $\lim_{t \to \infty} \{c, f\}$. That is configurated by Eqs. (D2) and (D9), we derive of $SU(2)$ symmetry, would appear in multiplets of each multiplet. If $s \neq 0$, the configurations in the on the chain. Once this possibility is allowed, sev a_j^{\dagger} ² $|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19a), $c_{ni}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}$ ² $|\Theta\rangle$ (Fig. 19b), from $\{i, j\}$. Analogous to Eqs. (D2) and (D3), we derive tions.

$$
c_{ij}a_i^{\dagger^2}a_j^{\dagger^2}|\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D8)

$$
c_{ij}c_{ni}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}^2|\Theta\rangle = -2a_{n}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D9)

$$
c_{ij}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = -(c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{n}^{\dagger} + a_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger} + a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}c_{mn}^{\dagger})|\Theta\rangle
$$
(D10)

$$
c_{ij}(a_i^{\dagger})^2 c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = -2(a_i^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_p^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger}) |\Theta\rangle\text{(D11)}
$$

$$
c_{ij} c_{mi}^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = -(c_{mi}^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} + c_{mi}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} a_p^{\dagger})
$$

$$
+ c_{mr}^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger} + c_{mp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} a_{i}^{\dagger}) |\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D12)

$$
c_{ij}c_{ij}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = 4a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D13)

$$
c_{ij}c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}\left|\Theta\right\rangle = -a_{m}^{\dagger}c_{ij}^{\dagger}\left|\Theta\right\rangle + 4a_{j}^{\dagger}c_{ij}^{\dagger}\left|\Theta\right\rangle. (D14)
$$

Similarly, the actions of $(c_{ij})^2$ are given by

$$
(c_{ij})^2 a_i^{\dagger^2} a_j^{\dagger^2} |\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D15)

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{ni}^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger}^2 |\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D16)

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{mi}^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger c_{jn}^\dagger |\Theta\rangle = 2 a_m^\dagger a_n^\dagger |\Theta\rangle \tag{D17}
$$

$$
(c_{ij})^2 (a_i^{\dagger})^2 c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = 4 a_p^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle \tag{D18}
$$

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{mi}^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = 2(c_{mp}^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} + c_{mr}^{\dagger} a_p^{\dagger}) |\Theta\rangle \text{ (D19)}
$$

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{ij}^\dagger a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger |\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D20)

$$
(c_{ij})^2 c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{mi}^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = -6 a_m^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle \tag{D21}
$$

Again, using the expression of the projector in Eq. (8) and Eqs. (D8) - (D21), we derive the expression for the action of the projector $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ on each of the configurations.

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} a_i^{\dagger^2} a_j^{\dagger^2} |\Theta\rangle = a_i^{\dagger^2} a_j^{\dagger^2} |\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D22)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}c_{ni}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}^2|\Theta\rangle = c_{ni}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}(a_{j}^{\dagger})^2|\Theta\rangle
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2}a_{n}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}c_{ij}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D23)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{4}\left(c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{n}^{\dagger} + c_{ij}^{\dagger}a_{m}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}c_{jn}^{\dagger} + c_{ij}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}c_{mn}^{\dagger}\right)|\Theta\rangle
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{12}\left((c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{2}a_{n}^{\dagger}a_{m}^{\dagger}\right)|\Theta\rangle
$$
(D24)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}(a_i^{\dagger})^2 c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle = (a_i^{\dagger})^2 c_{jp}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} |\Theta\rangle
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{2} \left(a_i^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{jp}^{\dagger} + a_i^{\dagger} a_p^{\dagger} c_{ij}^{\dagger} c_{jr}^{\dagger} \right) |\Theta\rangle
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{6} \left(a_p^{\dagger} a_r^{\dagger} (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^2 \right) |\Theta\rangle
$$
 (D25)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\left(c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jp}^{\dagger}a_{r}^{\dagger} + c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}a_{r}^{\dagger} + c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mp}^{\dagger}c_{jr}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}\right)|\Theta\rangle
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{12}\left((c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{2}c_{mp}^{\dagger}a_{r}^{\dagger} + (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{2}c_{mr}^{\dagger}a_{p}^{\dagger}\right)|\Theta\rangle \qquad (D26)
$$

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}a_i^{\dagger}c_{ij}^{\dagger}a_j^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D27)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)}c_{ij}^{\dagger}c_{mi}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}|\Theta\rangle = 0
$$
 (D28)

Eqs. (D27) and (D28) along with Eq. (D6) state that the projector $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ vanishes on all configurations that have a dimer on bond $\{i, j\}$. The actions of $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ on the various configurations are summarized diagrammatically in Fig. 27.

Appendix E: Derivation of the 2_k states

We show that the $|2_k\rangle$ states given in Eq. (57) are eigenstates of the spin-1 AKLT Hamiltonian.

$$
|2_{k}\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} e^{i(k+\pi)m} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} |n, n+2m+1\rangle.
$$
 (57)

Splitting Eq. (57) into three parts, the $m = 0$, $m =$ $L/2 - 1$ and $m \in [1, L/2 - 2]$, we obtain

$$
|2_{k}\rangle = \sum_{n} e^{ikn} |n, n+1\rangle + e^{i(k+\pi)(L/2-1)} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} |n, n-1\rangle
$$

+
$$
\sum_{m=1}^{L/2-2} e^{i(k+\pi)m} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} |n, n+2m+1\rangle.
$$
 (E1)

The action of the Hamiltonian on $|2_k\rangle$ can be obtained using Eq. (52) for the $m = 0, L/2 - 1$ terms in Eq. (57) and Eq. (51) for the rest of the terms.

$$
H | 2_k \rangle = (L - 2) | 2_k \rangle
$$

+ $\sum_n e^{ikn} (|n - 1, n + 1\rangle + |n, n + 2\rangle)$
+ $e^{i(k+\pi)(L/2-1)} \sum_n e^{ikn} (|n - 1, n + 1\rangle + |n, n - 2\rangle)$
+ $\sum_{m=1}^{L/2-2} e^{i(k+\pi)m} \sum_n e^{ikn}$
($|n, n + 2m \rangle + |n + 1, n + 2m + 1\rangle$
+ $|n, n + 2m + 2\rangle + |n - 1, n + 2m + 1\rangle$ (E2)

Simplifying the sum over *n* corresponding to $m \in$ $[1, L/2 - 2]$ in Eq. (E2), one arrives at (by successively shifting *n* and *m*),

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{L/2-2} e^{i(k+\pi)m} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} (|n, n+2m) (1+e^{-ik})
$$

+ |n, n+2m+2) (1+e^{ik})
=
$$
\sum_{n} e^{ikn} \sum_{m=2}^{L/2-2} e^{i(k+\pi)m} |n, n+2m) (1+e^{-ik})
$$

+
$$
e^{-i(k+\pi)} (1+e^{ik}) + e^{i(k+\pi)} (1+e^{-ik}) |n, n+2)
$$

+
$$
e^{i(k+\pi)} (L/2-2) (1+e^{ik}) |n, n-2)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n} e^{ikn} (-(1+e^{ik}) |n, n+2)
$$

-
$$
e^{i(k+\pi)} (L/2-1) (1+e^{-ik}) |n, n-2\rangle)
$$
 (E3)

The sums in Eq. (E2) corresponding to $m = 0$ and $m =$ $L/2 - 1$ can be written as

$$
\sum_{n} e^{ikn} (|n-1, n+1\rangle + |n, n+2\rangle)
$$

+ $e^{i(k+\pi)(L/2-1)} \sum_{n} e^{ikn} (|n-1, n+1\rangle + |n, n-2\rangle)$
= $\sum_{n} e^{ikn} ((1+e^{ik}) |n, n+2\rangle$
+ $e^{i(k+\pi)(L/2-1)} (1+e^{-ik}) |n, n-2\rangle)$ (E4)

Eq. (E3) and (E4) cancel with each other, thus showing that $|2_k\rangle$ is an exact state with energy $E = L - 2$.

However, as discussed earlier, the states vanish for certain momenta. We prove the relation

$$
|2_k\rangle = e^{ik\frac{L}{2}} e^{i\pi \left(\frac{L}{2}-1\right)} |2_k\rangle. \tag{58}
$$

To derive Eq. (58), we make the variable substitution $n = n' - 2m - 1$. Eq. (57) reduces to

$$
|2_{k}\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} e^{i(k+\pi)m} e^{-ik(2m+1)} \sum_{n'} e^{ikn'} |n'-2m-1,n'\rangle.
$$

=
$$
\sum_{m=0}^{L/2-1} e^{i\pi m - km - k} \sum_{n'} e^{ikn'} |n'-2m-1,n'\rangle.
$$
 (E5)

In Eq. $(E5)$, the sum over *n* was converted to a sum over n' because it is over all the possible values of n (for any given m). Furthermore, making the variable substitution $m = L/2 - 1 - m'$, we arrive at

$$
|2_{k}\rangle = \sum_{m'=0}^{L/2-1} e^{i\pi(\frac{L}{2}-1-m')-ik(\frac{L}{2}-1-m')-ik}
$$

$$
\sum_{n'} e^{ikn'} |n'-L+2m'+1,n'\rangle
$$

$$
= e^{i\pi\frac{L}{2}} e^{-ik(\frac{L}{2}-1)} \sum_{m'=0}^{L/2-1} e^{i(k-\pi)m'}
$$

$$
\sum_{n'} e^{ikn'} |n'+2m'+1,n'\rangle
$$

$$
= e^{ik\frac{L}{2}} e^{i\pi(\frac{L}{2}-1)} |2_{k}\rangle
$$
 (E6)

where in the last step, expressions have been simplified using the fact that $L/2$ and m' are integers, and that the system is periodic.

Appendix F: Special states in the high energy spectrum

We discuss the construction of the special state $|6_0\rangle$, defined in Eq. (62); and in particular derive Eq. (61). As discussed in Eq. (60), we define the basis in the sector $s = L - 2, k = 0$ as $|n\rangle \equiv |n_{k=0}\rangle, n \in [0, 1, ..., L/2]$, where

$$
|n\rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} S_i^{-} S_{i+n}^{-} |F\rangle & \text{if } n \le L/2 - 1\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{L/2}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} S_i^{-} S_{i+n}^{-} |F\rangle & \text{if } n = L/2 \end{cases}
$$
(F1)

Note that this basis is orthonormal. In Eq. (F1), each $|n\rangle$, $n \geq 1$ is a momentum superposition of spin configurations of the form

$$
|d_n\rangle = \left|1 \dots 1 \underbrace{0 \ 1 \dots 1}_{n} 0 \ 1 \dots 1 \right\rangle \qquad \text{(F2)}
$$

and $|0\rangle$ is a momentum superposition of the spin configuration

$$
|d_0\rangle = |1 \ldots 1 - 1 1 \ldots 1\rangle. \tag{F3}
$$

The action of $P_{i,i+1}^{(2,1)}$ on each pair of neighboring spins in the configuration Eq. $(F2)$ is computed using Eqs. $(A2)$ - (A7). For the configuration shown in Eq. (F2), the scattering equation for $n \geq 2$ reads (using Eqs. (A2) and (A3))

$$
H |d_n\rangle = (L - 2) |d_n\rangle + |d_{n-1}\rangle + |d_{n+1}\rangle.
$$
 (F4)

Hence,

$$
H|n\rangle = (L-2)|n\rangle + |n-1\rangle + |n+1\rangle \quad 2 \le n \le L/2-2.
$$
\n(F5)

Using Eqs. (A2), (A3) and (A5), the action of H on $|d_1\rangle$ is given by

$$
H |d_1\rangle = (L - 2) |d_1\rangle + |d_2\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |d_1\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |d_0\rangle
$$

= $(L - \frac{4}{3}) |d_1\rangle + |d_2\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |d_0\rangle.$ (F6)

The scattering equation of $|1\rangle$ thus reads

$$
H |1\rangle = (L - \frac{4}{3}) |1\rangle + |2\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |0\rangle.
$$
 (F7)

Similarly, using Eqs. (A2) and (A4), the scattering equation of $|d_0\rangle$ reads

$$
H |d_0\rangle = (L - 2) |d_0\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |d_0\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |d_1\rangle
$$

= $(L - \frac{4}{3}) |d_0\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |d_1\rangle$. (F8)

The scattering equation of $|0\rangle$ thus reads

$$
H |0\rangle = (L - \frac{4}{3}) |0\rangle + \frac{2}{3} |1\rangle.
$$
 (F9)

The representation of the spin-1 AKLT Hamiltonian in the basis of $\{|n\rangle\}$ can thus be computed to be

$$
H = \begin{pmatrix} L - \frac{4}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} & L - \frac{4}{3} & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & L - 2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & L - 2 & 1 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & L - 2 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \sqrt{2} & L - 2\sqrt{L/2} \\ \end{pmatrix}
$$

Any eigenstate with $E = L - \xi$ in this subspace decomposes as

$$
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{L/2} x_n |n\rangle
$$
 (F11)

Acting the Hamiltonian on $|\psi\rangle$, we arrive at a set of equations

$$
x_1 = (2 - \frac{3}{2}\xi)x_0
$$

\n
$$
x_2 = (\frac{3}{2}\xi^2 - 4\xi + 2)x_0
$$

\n
$$
x_3 = (2 - \xi)x_2 - x_1
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
x_l = (2 - \xi)x_{l-1} - x_{l-2}
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
x_{L/2-1} = (\sqrt{2}x_{L/2} + x_{L/2-2})/(2 - \xi)
$$

\n
$$
x_{L/2} = \sqrt{2}x_{L/2-1}/(2 - \xi)
$$
 (F12)

Eqs. (F12) can be written with a "transfer matrix" as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_{l+1} \\ x_l \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2-\xi & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_l \\ x_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (F13)

for $2 \leq l \leq L/2-2$. Here the 2×2 matrix is the transfer matrix M . The last two equations in Eq. (F12) which signal the end of the transfer matrix, give

$$
(2 - \xi)x_{L/2 - 2} = (\xi^2 - 4\xi + 2)x_{L/2 - 1}.
$$
 (F14)

Since we are only looking for states that appear when the system size L is a multiple of some integer q , we want the matrix M to be idempotent. If $M^q = 1$, we arrive at the constraint that both the eigenvalues of M are q -th roots of unity. Since M has real entries, the determinant of the matrix must be real, which imposes the constraint on the two eigenvalues to be conjugates of each other. Thus, $\lambda_{\pm} = e^{\pm i2\pi \frac{p}{q}}$ for some p, q such that $gcd(p, q) = 1$. This also means that $\text{Tr}(M)(=2-\xi) = 2\cos(2\pi\frac{p}{q})$. This imposes a constraint on the energies $L-\xi$ of the repeating states with the condition

$$
\xi = 4\sin^2\left(\pi \frac{p}{q}\right) \text{ for } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+\tag{F15}
$$

Using Eq. (F15), the identity with $y = 2\pi \frac{p}{q}$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2\cos(y) & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n = \frac{1}{\sin(y)} \begin{pmatrix} \sin((n+1)y) & -\sin(ny) \\ \sin(ny) & -\sin((n-1)y) \end{pmatrix},
$$

(F16)

the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_{L/2-1} \\ x_{L/2-2} \end{pmatrix} = M^{L/2-3} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{(F17)}
$$

and the expressions for x_1 and x_2 in Eq. (F12), the constraint Eq. (F14) reduces to the equation

$$
\frac{3}{2}\tan\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) = -\frac{\sin((r+2)y)}{\cos((r+3)y)}
$$
 (F18)

where $r = L/2 - 3 \mod q$. If there is a solution to Eq. (F18) for integer p, q, r , we obtain a state with energy

FIG. 20. Scattering examples of $S = 2$ dimer configurations under the action of c_{ij} . Configurations of type (a) scatter to α configurations of the type (b). Configurations such as (c) and \int are annihilated by $(c_i)^4$. Configuration (e) scatters to (d) are annihilated by $(c_{ij})^4$. Configuration (e) scatters to (f) under the action of $(c_{ij})^2$. The configurations of the filled (f) under the action of $(c_{ij})^2$. The configurations of the filled small circles are irrelevant for the scattering due to c_{ij} .

 $E = L - 4 \sin^2(\pi \frac{p}{q}), s = L - 2$ that appears for every $L = 6 + 2r + 2qm$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, if q is even, and r is a solution, $r + q/2$ is also a solution. This means that the repeating state would appear for $L = 6+2r+qm$,
where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if p is a solution, $q - p$ is also a where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if p is a solution, $q - p$ is also a solution that would give rise to the same transfer matrix (because the eigenvalues are the same). So, we restrict rselves to $p \leq q/2$.
From Eqs. (F11) and (F1), the operator expression for ourselves to $p \leq q/2$.

From Eqs. $(F11)$ and $(F1)$, the operator expression for the unnormalized $|\psi\rangle$ is

$$
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{L/2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} x_n S_i^- S_{i+n}^- |F\rangle.
$$
 (F19)

Appendix G: Dimer basis scattering rules for spin-S singlet states states and \overline{X} and \overline{X} , \overline{X}

In this section, we give a brief overview on how terms in the Hamiltonian Eq. (73) act on basis states of a spin- S chain. To achieve this, since the Hamiltonian is normal ordered, it is sufficient to compute the actions of $(c_{ij})^m$ for $m = S, \ldots, 2S$ on various configurations that can appear in a spin- S chain. However, such expressions are from the dilumited ways to an interesting the different ways to annihilate metal and here we merely note the structure of the scattering terms. Note that the results in Appenor the scattering terms. Note that the results in Appendices A and B are valid for any value of S .

First we derive singlet scattering rules, analogous to the results in Appendix D 1. If the basis element does

of c_{ij} on any basis state results in a sum of all possible configurations with one dimer annihilated on each site i and j, and the resulting vacant sites on sites different from i and j connected. For example, the spin-2 singlet iniguration shown in Fig. 20a scatters to connigurations
chase the one shown in Fig. 20b. If the basis state has such as the one shown in Fig. 20b. If the basis state has $\frac{1}{2}$ the basis state has many dimers $(c_{ij}^{\dagger})^n$, using Eqs. (B6) and (D2), the action of c_{ij} gives rise to an additional term where the dimer c_{ij}^{\dagger} is annihilated. not contain any c_{ij}^{\dagger} , from Eqs. (D1) and (D3), the action configuration shown in Fig. 20a scatters to configurations

With these observations, the action of c_{ij} on a singlet basis state with no dimers c_{ij}^{\dagger} and N dimers connecting each of the sites i and j to other sites $\{p_l\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ respectively, can be written as

= −

$$
c_{ij} \prod_{l=1}^{N} c_{p_l, i}^{\dagger} \prod_{n=1}^{N} c_{j, r_n}^{\dagger} | \Theta \rangle =
$$

=
$$
- \sum_{l', n'=1}^{N} \prod_{l=1, l \neq l'}^{N} c_{p_l, i}^{\dagger} \prod_{n=1, n \neq n'}^{N} c_{j, r_n}^{\dagger} c_{l', n'}^{\dagger} | \Theta \rangle
$$
 (G1)

In Eq. (G1), on the first application of c_{ij} on the spin-S singlet state, $N = 2S$. Upon each application of c_{ij} , N decreases by 1 (one dimer is annihilated on each of the sites i and j). The action of $(c_{ij})^m$ can be computed by consecutive applications of Eq. $(G1)$. The scattering configuration of $(c_{ij})^m$ acting on the original spin-S singlet of dimers, each pair with one connecting site i and one connecting site j , and reconnecting the vacant sites in different possible ways. The different ways to annihilate m of dimers, each pair with one connecting site i and one dimer pairs that lead to the same scattering term result pears because of the negative sign in Eq. (G1). Each c_{ij}^{\dagger} in the original basis state gives rise to an additional scat- $\frac{1}{2}$ is annihilated. Though todays tering term where the c_{ij}^{\dagger} is annihilated. Though tedious to prove in general, we recover that $P_{ij}^{(J,S)}$, for $J > S$ vanishes on any configuration containing $(c_{ij}^{\dagger})^S$, similar to Eq. (D6). state would then be a sum of terms annihilating m pairs in an overall m! factor. Morever, a factor of $(-1)^m$ ap-

To derive the non-singlet scattering rules, we need to consider basis elements that consist of some free spin- \uparrow Schwinger bosons (a^{\dagger}) . Firstly, c_{ij} annihilates any basis gous to Eq. (D8). From Eqs. (D8) to (D14), observe that the action of $c_{\mathcal{C}}$ on other basis states results in a sum of $\frac{ij}{c}$ the action of c_{ij} on other basis states results in a sum of $\frac{1}{2}$ annihilated on each site i and j and the resulting vacant
site i and j and the resulting vacant $\frac{1}{\text{site(s)}}$ populated with a free spin (dimer). As earlier, terms with one free spin (or dimer, but not both spins) each c_{ij}^{\dagger} in the original basis state gives rise to an adaction for $(c_{ij})^m$ can then be derived following the same procedure by repeated applications of c_{ij} s and account-Ing for the overcounting factors. state that does not have dimers on sites i or j , analoditional scattering term with the c_{ij}^{\dagger} annihilated. The

The important conclusion from the above observations is that $(c_{ij})^m$ annihilates all configurations on bonds $\{i, j\}$ with a total of less than m dimers on it or surrounding it, analogous to Eqs. (D8), (D15), (D16) and (D20). For example, if a configuration has N_i dimers connecting site i to a site different from j, N_i dimers connecting site j to a site different from i and N_{ij} dimers connecting sites i and j, the action of $(c_{ij})^m$ can be written as

$$
(c_{ij})^{m} \prod_{l=1}^{N_i} c_{p_l,i}^{\dagger} \prod_{n=1}^{N_j} c_{j,r_n}^{\dagger} (a_i^{\dagger})^{2S-N_i} (c_{ij}^{\dagger})^{N_{ij}} (a_j^{\dagger})^{2S-N_j} | \Theta \rangle = 0
$$

if $N_i + N_j + N_{ij} < m$ (G2)

where the sites $\{p_l\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are assumed to be distinct from j and i respectively. For example, the spin-2 configurations shown in Figs. 20c and 20d are annilated by $(c_{ij})^4$ since they have a total of three dimers on and around the bond $\{i, j\}.$

Another useful configuration that we have used in our calculations is if $N_i + N_j = m$ and $N_{ij} = 0$. As discussed above, since each c_{ij} annilates a dimer connected to either of the sites i or j , up to an overall constant, the scattering equation reads

$$
(c_{ij})^{m} \prod_{l=1}^{N_i} c_{p_l, i}^{\dagger} \prod_{n=1}^{N_j} c_{j, r_n}^{\dagger} (a_i^{\dagger})^{2S - N_i} (a_j^{\dagger})^{2S - N_j} | \Theta \rangle
$$

$$
\sim (a_i^{\dagger} a_j^{\dagger})^{2S - m} \prod_{l=1}^{N_i} a_{p_l}^{\dagger} \prod_{n=1}^{N_j} a_{r_n}^{\dagger} | \Theta \rangle \text{ if } N_i + N_j = m.
$$
 (G3)

This is analogous to Eqs. (D9), (D17) and (D18). In Eq. (G3), all the dimers connected to sites i and j are annihilated. For example, the spin-2 configuration shown in Fig. 20e scatters to the one shown in Fig. 20f under the action of $(c_{ij})^2$. Thus the term $(c_{ij}^{\dagger})^m (c_{ij})^m$ in a Hamiltonian acting on such a configuration results in a configuration where the m dimers around bond $\{i, j\}$ move on to bond $\{i, j\}$.

Appendix H: Scattering equations of the $S = 2$ Arovas configurations

1. Arovas B configurations

We start with the Arovas B configurations $|BG_n\rangle$ (Fig. 15a) and $\left|B_n^2\right\rangle$ (Fig. 15b). Applying the $S = 2$ AKLT Hamiltonian Eq. (75) on $|BG_n\rangle$ and $|B_n^2\rangle$ generates non-trivial scattering terms due to the action of the projectors on the bonds $\{n-2, n-1\}$ and $\{n+1, n+2\}.$

$$
H^{(2)} |BG_n\rangle = 2 |BG_n\rangle - \frac{1}{3} |2G\rangle + \frac{1}{3} (|AG_{n-1}\rangle + |AG_n\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{2}{15} (|AG_{n-2}\rangle + |AG_{n+1}\rangle) + \frac{2}{15} (|AA_{n-1}\rangle + |AA_n\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{2}{3} (|CG_{n-1}\rangle + |CG_n\rangle) + \frac{2}{15} (|EG_{n-1}\rangle + |EG_n\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{3} (|DG_{n-1}\rangle + |DG_n\rangle) - \frac{1}{60} (|A_{n-2}^2\rangle + |A_{n+1}^2\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{15} (|\zeta_n^1\rangle + |\tilde{\zeta}^1{}_n\rangle) + \frac{1}{30} (|\zeta_n^2\rangle + |\tilde{\zeta}^2{}_n\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{2}{15} (|\zeta_n^3\rangle + |\tilde{\zeta}^3{}_n\rangle)
$$
 (H1)

$$
H^{(2)} |B_{n}^{2}\rangle = 2 |B_{n}^{2}\rangle - \frac{2}{21} |2G\rangle + \frac{4}{21} (|AG_{n-1}\rangle + |AG_{n}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{21} (|A_{n-1}^{2}\rangle + |A_{n}^{2}\rangle) + \frac{16}{105} (|AA_{n-1}\rangle + |AA_{n}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{8}{105} (|AG_{n-2}\rangle + |AG_{n+1}\rangle) + \frac{8}{21} (|CG_{n-1}\rangle + |CG_{n}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{16}{105} (|EG_{n-1}\rangle + |EG_{n}\rangle) - \frac{4}{21} (|DG_{n-1}\rangle + |DG_{n}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{70} (|A_{n-2}^{2}\rangle + |A_{n+1}^{2}\rangle) - \frac{1}{21} (|C_{n-1}^{2}\rangle + |C_{n}^{2}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{16}{105} (|CE_{n-1}\rangle + |CE_{n}\rangle) - \frac{2}{105} (|CD_{n-1}\rangle + |CD_{n}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{70} (|E_{n-1}^{2}\rangle + |E_{n}^{2}\rangle) - \frac{8}{105} (|DE_{n-1}\rangle + |DE_{n}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{21} (|D_{n-1}^{2}\rangle + |D_{n}^{2}\rangle) - \frac{4}{21} (|C_{n-1}^{1}\rangle + |C_{n+1}^{1}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{8}{105} (|C_{n-1}^{2}\rangle + |C_{n+1}^{2}\rangle) - \frac{8}{21} (|C_{n-1}^{3}\rangle + |C_{n+1}^{3}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{8}{105} (|C_{n}^{1}\rangle + |C_{n}^{1}\rangle) + \frac{2}{35} (|C_{n}^{2}\rangle + |C_{n}^{2}\rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{16}{105} (|C_{n}^{3}\rangle + |C_{n}^{3}\rangle)
$$

\n<

where the symmetric and non-symmetric scattering configurations are defined in Figs. 21 and 22 respectively. The scattering equation for $|2B_n\rangle = 2|BG_n\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|B_n^2\rangle$ (see Eq. (77)) is thus

$$
H^{(2)} |2B_n\rangle = 2|2B_n\rangle - \frac{13}{21}|2G\rangle + \frac{4}{7}(|AG_{n-1}\rangle + |AG_n\rangle)
$$

+ $\frac{1}{42}(|A_{n-1}^2\rangle + |A_n^2\rangle) + \frac{4}{21}(|AA_{n-1}\rangle + |AA_n\rangle)$
- $\frac{8}{35}(|AG_{n-2}\rangle + |AG_{n+1}\rangle) + \frac{8}{7}(|CG_{n-1}\rangle + |CG_n\rangle)$
+ $\frac{4}{21}(|EG_{n-1}\rangle + |EG_n\rangle) + \frac{16}{21}(|DG_{n-1}\rangle + |DG_n\rangle)$
- $\frac{11}{420}(|A_{n-2}^2\rangle + |A_{n+1}^2\rangle) + \frac{1}{42}(|C_{n-1}^2\rangle + |C_n^2\rangle)$
+ $\frac{8}{105}(|CE_{n-1}\rangle + |CE_n\rangle) + \frac{1}{105}(|CD_{n-1}\rangle + |CD_n\rangle)$
+ $\frac{1}{140}(|E_{n-1}^2\rangle + |E_n^2\rangle) + \frac{4}{105}(|DE_{n-1}\rangle + |DE_n\rangle)$
+ $\frac{1}{42}(|D_{n-1}^2\rangle + |D_n^2\rangle) + \frac{2}{21}(|\zeta_{n-1}^1\rangle + |\zeta_n^1\rangle)$
+ $\frac{4}{105}(|\zeta_{n-1}^2\rangle + |\zeta_n^2\rangle) + \frac{4}{21}(|\zeta_{n-1}^3\rangle + |\zeta_n^3\rangle)$
+ $\frac{2}{21}(|\zeta_{n}^1\rangle + |\zeta_{n}^1\rangle) + \frac{4}{105}(|\zeta_{n}^2\rangle + |\zeta_{n}^3\rangle)$
+ $\frac{4}{21}(|\zeta_{n}^3\rangle + |\zeta_{n}^3\rangle) + |\zeta_n^4\rangle$ (H3)

Note that Eq. (H3) is of the form Eq. (78) and all the scattering terms can be cancelled by a momentum π superposition.

2. Arovas A configurations

In this section, we show that an exact state constructed from the spin-1 Arovas A configuration does not exist for our choice of Hamiltonian Eq. (75). However, we construct two spin-2 Hamiltonians, that have such an exact excited state.

The Arovas A configurations that can be obtained for $S = 2$ are $\left| \frac{A_n^2}{B_n} \right\rangle$ (Fig. 21a) and $\left| \frac{AG_n}{B_n} \right\rangle$ (Fig. 21b). A general $S = 2$ AKLT Hamiltonian that has the ground state shown in Fig. 14 reads

$$
H_x^{(2)} = \sum_i \left(P_{i,i+1}^{(4,2)} + x P_{i,i+1}^{(3,2)} \right) \tag{H4}
$$

where the projectors $P_{ij}^{(J,S)}$ are defined in Eq. (71). In terms of the dimers, $H_x^{(2)}$ can be written as

$$
H_x^{(2)} = \sum_{i} \left(1 + \frac{x-1}{8} c_{i,i+1}^\dagger c_{i,i+1} + \frac{3-7x}{336} c_{i,i+1}^\dagger c_{i,i+1}^2 + \frac{21x-5}{10080} c_{i,i+1}^\dagger c_{i,i+1}^3 + \frac{1-7x}{40320} c_{i,i+1}^\dagger c_{i,i+1}^4 \right). \tag{H5}
$$

Note that our choice of Hamiltonian in Eq. (75) to obtain the tower of states corresponds to $H_{x=1}^{(2)}$. The scattering equations of $|A_n^2\rangle$ and $|AG_n\rangle$ under $H_x^{(2)}$ read

$$
H_x^{(2)} | A_n^2 \rangle = 2 | A_n^2 \rangle + \frac{3 - 7x}{7} | G \rangle + 2(1 - x) | A G_n \rangle
$$

+
$$
\frac{5 - 21x}{35} (| A G_{n-1} \rangle + | A G_{n+1} \rangle) + \frac{6 - 14x}{7} (| B G_n \rangle + | B G_{n+1} \rangle)
$$

+
$$
\frac{1 - 7x}{70} (| A_{n-1}^2 \rangle + | A_{n+1}^2 \rangle) + \frac{3 - 7x}{14} (| B_n^2 \rangle + | B_{n+1}^2 \rangle)
$$

+
$$
\frac{5 - 21x}{35} (| \zeta_n^4 \rangle + | \tilde{\zeta}_n^4 \rangle) + (1 - x) (| \zeta_{n-1}^4 \rangle + | \tilde{\zeta}_n^4 \rangle)
$$
(H6)

$$
H_x^{(2)} |AG_n\rangle = 2 |AG_n\rangle + x |G\rangle - 2(1 - x) |AG_n\rangle
$$

+ $\frac{2x}{5} (|AG_{n-1}\rangle + |AG_{n+1}\rangle) + x (|BG_n\rangle + |BG_{n+1}\rangle)$
+ $\frac{x}{20} (|A_{n-1}^2\rangle + |A_{n+1}^2\rangle) + \frac{x}{5} (|\zeta_n^4\rangle + |\tilde{\zeta}_n^4\rangle)$ (H7)

where the symmetric and non-symmetric scattering configurations are defined in Fig. 21 and Fig. 22 respectively. An exact state similar to the Arovas A state of the spin-1 AKLT model can be constructed from a superposition $|2A_n(y)\rangle$ of $\left|A_n^2\right\rangle$ and $|AG_n\rangle,$ defined as

$$
|2A_n(y)\rangle = |A_n^2\rangle + y |AG_n\rangle \tag{H8}
$$

only if non-symmetric configurations $\left|\zeta_{n-1}^4\right\rangle$ and $\left|\zeta_{n}^4\right\rangle$ appear in the scattering equation with the same coefficient, thus enabling their cancellation at momentum π . Hence, we want

$$
\frac{yx}{5} + \frac{5 - 21x}{35} = 1 - x,\tag{H9}
$$

leading to

$$
y = \frac{30 - 14x}{7x}.
$$
 (H10)

The scattering equation of $\left|2A_n(y=\frac{30-14x}{7x})\right\rangle$ then reads (2)

$$
H_x^{(2)} \left| 2A_n(y = \frac{30 - 14x}{7x}) \right\rangle = 2 \left| 2A_n(y = \frac{30 - 14x}{7x}) \right\rangle + \frac{33 - 21x}{7} \left| G \right\rangle
$$

-
$$
\frac{42x^2 - 102x + 60}{7x} \left| AG_n \right\rangle + \frac{65 - 49x}{35} \left(\left| AG_{n-1} \right\rangle + \left| AG_{n+1} \right\rangle \right)
$$

+
$$
\frac{36 - 28x}{7} \left(\left| BG_n \right\rangle + \left| BG_{n+1} \right\rangle \right)
$$

+
$$
\frac{8 - 7x}{35} \left(\left| A_{n-1}^2 \right\rangle + \left| A_{n+1}^2 \right\rangle \right) + \frac{3 - 7x}{14} \left(\left| B_n^2 \right\rangle + \left| B_{n+1}^2 \right\rangle \right).
$$

+
$$
(1 - x) \left(\left| \zeta_{n-1}^4 \right\rangle + \left| \zeta_n^4 \right\rangle \right) + (1 - x) \left(\left| \tilde{\zeta}_n^4 \right\rangle + \left| \tilde{\zeta}_{n+1}^4 \right\rangle \right).
$$

(H11)

With a momentum π superposition of $\left|2A_n(y=\frac{30-14x}{7x})\right\rangle$ and an even system size L , all the scattering terms except $|A_n\rangle$ and $|AG_n\rangle$ cancel. The scattering equation for the state $|2A_x\rangle$, defined as

$$
|2A_x\rangle = \sum_n (-1)^n \left| 2A_n(y = \frac{30 - 14x}{7x}) \right\rangle \qquad (H12)
$$

thus reads

$$
H_x^{(2)} |2A_x\rangle = 2 |2A_x\rangle - \frac{112x^2 - 380x + 300}{35x} |AG\rangle + \frac{14x - 16}{35} |A^2\rangle
$$
 (H13)

where

$$
|AG\rangle = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |AG_{n}\rangle, \quad |A^{2}\rangle = \sum_{n} (-1)^{n} |A_{n}^{2}\rangle.
$$
\n(H14)

(H6) determined in Eq. (H10). That is, For $|2A_x\rangle$ to be an exact excited state, the coefficients of $|AG\rangle$ and $|A^2\rangle$ in Eq. (H13) should be in the ratio y,

$$
\frac{112x^2 - 380x + 300}{35x} = \frac{30 - 14x}{7x} \times \frac{14x - 16}{35}.
$$
 (H15)

Solving Eq. (H15), we obtain

$$
x = \frac{9}{7}
$$
 or $x = \frac{15}{7}$. (H16)

With these values of x , the Hamiltonians read

$$
H_{x=9/7}^{(2)} |2A_{x=9/7}\rangle = \frac{72}{35} |2A_{x=9/7}\rangle
$$

\n
$$
H_{x=15/7}^{(2)} |2A_{x=15/7}\rangle = \frac{12}{5} |2A_{x=15/7}\rangle.
$$
 (H17)

The exact Arovas A eigenstates of the Hamiltonian Eq. (H4) with $x = 9/7$ and $x = 15/7$ are

$$
\left|2A_{x=9/7}\right\rangle = \left|A^2\right\rangle + \frac{4}{3}\left|AG\right\rangle \text{ and } \left|2A_{x=15/7}\right\rangle = \left|A^2\right\rangle \tag{H18}
$$

with $s = 0$, $k = \pi$ and energies $E_{x=9/7} = 72/35$ and $E_{x=15/7} = 12/5$ respectively.

As expected, in both the Hamiltonians $H_{x=9/7}$ and $H_{x=15/7}$, we do not find an exact state analogous to the Arovas B state or a tower of states. It is likely that the Arovas A state can be generalized for a spin-S Hamiltonian of the form of Eq. (68) by enforcing consistency conditions similar to Eqs. (H10) and (H15) on the coefficients $\{\alpha_J\}$ in Eq. (68).

Appendix I: Representing Majumdar-Ghosh exact states in terms of dimers

Ref. [48] introduces the Majumdar-Ghosh model in terms of the basic unit of a cell, a set of neighboring sites (Fig. 23). Since each site has a spin-1/2, each cell can either be a singlet or a triplet. The ground state is the state where all the cells are singlets. The two equivalent choices of cells, shown in Fig. 23a and Fig. 23b, accounts for its doubly degenerate ground state Eq. (89). We label the cells from 1 to N and the sites from 1 to L . Thus, the cell p comprises of sites $2p - 1$ and $2p$.

A singlet exact state $|\phi\rangle$ was first introduced in Eq. (9) in Ref. [48]. If N is the number of cells $(L = 2N$ number of sites), the exact state is defined as

$$
|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (-1)^p |00\rangle_{p,p+1}
$$
 (I1)

where $|00\rangle_{p,p+1}$ is a state with total spin 0 formed by the spin triplets of neighboring cells p and $p+1$. $|00\rangle_{p,p+1}$ is defined as

$$
|0\,0\rangle_{p,p+1} = \frac{|t_1\,t_{-1}\rangle_{p,p+1} + |t_{-1}\,t_1\rangle_{p,p+1} - |t_0\,t_0\rangle_{p,p+1}}{\sqrt{3}}\tag{I2}
$$

where $|t_a t_b\rangle_{p,p+1}$ is the state where the cells p and $p+1$ are comprised of spin triplet configurations with $S_z = a$ and $S_z = b$ and the rest of the cells are spin singlets. Thus,

$$
|t_1\rangle_p = a_{2p-1}^{\dagger} a_{2p}^{\dagger} | \theta \rangle
$$

\n
$$
|t_0\rangle_p = \frac{(a_{2p-1}^{\dagger} b_{2p}^{\dagger} + b_{2p-1}^{\dagger} a_{2p}^{\dagger})}{\sqrt{2}} | \theta \rangle_p
$$

\n
$$
|t_{-1}\rangle_p = b_{2p-1}^{\dagger} b_{2p}^{\dagger} | \theta \rangle_p.
$$
 (I3)

where $|\theta\rangle_p$ is the local vacuum of the cell p (sites $2p-1$ and $2p$, $a_i^{\dagger} |\theta\rangle_i = |\uparrow\rangle_i$ and $b_i^{\dagger} |\theta\rangle_i = |\downarrow\rangle_i$. Expanding Eq. (12) in terms of spin-1/2s using Eq. (13) , we obtain

$$
|00\rangle_{p,p+1} = \frac{|x_{2p}\rangle - |MG^{\text{odd}}\rangle}{\sqrt{3}}\tag{I4}
$$

where $|x_n\rangle$ and $|MG^{odd}\rangle$ are defined in Eqs. (99) and (89) respectively. Note that a momentum π over the cells in Eq. (I1) corresponds to a momentum $\pi/2$ or $3\pi/2$ over the sites. Thus, up to an overall normalization factor, the singlet exact state $|\phi\rangle$ of Eq. (I1) can be written as $|X_k\rangle$ of Eq. (98) as

$$
|X_k\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L} e^{ikn} (|x_n\rangle - |MG^{\text{Parity}(n)}\rangle)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{L} e^{ikn} |x_n\rangle, \quad k = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}
$$
 (I5)

where $L = 2N$. In the last equality we have used the fact that the sum over ground states vanishes with momentum $k = \pi/2, 3\pi/2$.

Similarly, a triplet exact state $|\psi_{1M}\rangle$ was first introduced in Eq. (8) of Ref. [48], as

$$
|\psi_{1M}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p=1}^{N} (-1)^p |\Phi_p(M)\rangle.
$$
 (I6)

where $|\Phi_p(M)\rangle$ is the configuration where the cell p comprises of a spin triplet with $S_z = M$ whereas the other cells are spin singlets. Using Eq. (I3) to express $|\Phi_p(M)\rangle$ in terms of spin-1/2s, we obtain

$$
|\Phi_p(M=1)\rangle = |t_{2p-1}\rangle. \tag{I7}
$$

The exact state up to an overall normalization factor can be written as

$$
|T_k\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{L} e^{ikn} |t_n\rangle \quad k = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2} \tag{I8}
$$

where $|t_n\rangle$ is defined in Eq. (104).

Appendix J: Exact excited states with Open Boundary Conditions

We briefly discuss the extension of some of the exact states we have obtained to spin chains with Open Boundary Conditions (OBC).

1. Spin-1 AKLT Ground state

We start with the spin-1 AKLT chain. The spin-1 AKLT Hamiltonian for open boundary conditions H^O excludes the term $P_{L,1}^{(2,1)}$ $L_{1,1}^{(2,1)}$ from the Hamiltonian H defined for periodic boundary conditions in Eq. (1). H^O then reads

$$
H^{O} = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} P_{i,i+1}^{(2,1)}.
$$
 (J1)

The symmetries of the Hamiltonian H^O are the same as that of H except for the momentum quantum number k since translation symmetry is explicitly broken. As discussed in Sec. II B, with open boundary conditions, the ground state comprises of dangling spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the edge (Fig. 24). There are four degenerate ground states: three with $s = 1$, where the two dangling spin-1/2s form a triplet state with $S_z = 1, 0, -1$ and one with $s = 0$, where the dangling spin-1/2s form a singlet. The $s = 0$ ground state is thus identical to the ground state of the AKLT Hamiltonian with PBC, i.e., $|G\rangle$ of Eq. (9) (Fig. 2). In the Schwinger boson notation, the

 $S_z = 1$ (highest weight) state of the triplet ground state is written as

$$
|G^{O}\rangle = a_{1}^{\dagger} a_{L}^{\dagger} \prod_{i=1}^{L-1} c_{i,i+1}^{\dagger} | \theta \rangle.
$$
 (J2)

2. Spin-2 Magnon

In an AKLT spin chain with OBC, spin-2 magnons $\left| M_n^O \right\rangle \equiv \left(-S_n^{+2}/2 \right) \left| G^O \right\rangle$ (similar to $\left| M_n \right\rangle$ in Eq. (28) for PBC) can be defined on all the spins except the two edge spins. However, $\left|M_{1}^{O}\right\rangle =\left|M_{L}^{O}\right\rangle =0$ since $(S_{n}^{+})^{2}$ annihilates all states with $S_z \neq -1$ on spin n and $S_z \geq 0$ on the edge spins in the ground state $|\tilde{G}^O\rangle$ (see Fig. 24). Moreover, since the projector $P_{L,1}^{(2,1)}$ $L_{1,1}^{(2,1)}$ is absent in the Hamiltonian H_O Eq. (J1), from Eq. (D23) we obtain that the configurations $\ket{M_2^{\mathcal{O}}}$ (Fig. 25a) and $\ket{M_{L-1}^{\mathcal{O}}}$ scatter only to magnons $|N_2^O\rangle$ (Fig. 25b) and $|N_{L-2}^O\rangle$ respectively. The scattering equations of the spin-2 magnons $\vert M_n^O \rangle$, $2 \leq n \leq L-1$ thus read

$$
H^{O} | M_{n}^{O} \rangle = 2 | M_{n}^{O} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} | N_{2}^{O} \rangle & \text{if } n = 2 \\ | N_{L-2}^{O} \rangle & \text{if } n = L - 1 \\ (| N_{n-1}^{O} \rangle + | N_{n}^{O} \rangle) & \text{if } n \neq 2, L - 2 \end{cases}
$$
(J3)

The scattering equation of the state $|S_2^O\rangle$ = $\sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^n \left| M_n^O \right\rangle$ is then given by

$$
H^{O} |S_{2}^{O}\rangle = 2 |S_{2}^{O}\rangle + \frac{1}{2} (|N_{2}^{O}\rangle + (-1)^{L-1} |N_{L-2}^{O}\rangle)
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=3}^{L-2} (-1)^{n} (|N_{n-1}^{O}\rangle + |N_{n}^{O}\rangle)
$$

$$
= 2 |S_{2}^{O}\rangle.
$$
(J4)

Thus, $|S_2^O\rangle$ is an exact excited state of the AKLT chain with triplet open boundary conditions for all system sizes L. This state has an energy $E = 2$ and spin $s = 3$. The operator expression for the state is given by

$$
\widetilde{\left|S_{2}^{O}\right>} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{n=1}^{L} (-1)^{n} S_{n}^{+2} \left| G^{O} \right> \tag{J5}
$$

where $\mathcal N$ is a normalization constant.

3. Spin-1 AKLT Tower of states

A tower of states similar to the one discussed in Sec. VB can be constructed from the OBC spin-2 magnons. The state with N spin-2 magnons with triplet open boundary conditions reads

$$
|S_{2N}^{O}\rangle = \sum_{\{l_{j}\}} (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{N} l_{j}} |M_{l_{1}}^{O}, M_{l_{2}}^{O} \dots M_{l_{N}}^{O}\rangle. \tag{J6}
$$

Here $\{l_j\}$ satisfies the constaints $1 \leq j \leq N$, l_{j+1} $l_j + 1$, $1 < l_j < L$ because the spin-2 magnons vanish on the edge spins with OBC. As shown for PBC in Sec. VB, the configurations $|M_{l_1}^O, \ldots, M_{l_k}^O \ldots, M_{l_N}^O\rangle$ and $|M_{l_1}^O, \ldots, M_{q_k}^O \ldots, M_{l_N}^O \rangle$ where $q_k = l_k \pm 1$ share a unique scattering configuration $\left|M_{l_1}^O, \ldots, N_{p_k}^O, \ldots, M_{l_N}^O\right\rangle$ where $p_k = l_k - 1$ or $p_k = l_k$. In the case of OBC, all configurations that share a scattering configuration have opposite signs in Eq. (J6). Thus, all the scattering terms of $|S_{2N}^O\rangle$ vanish. Moreover, unlike PBC, there is no constraint on the system size L in OBC since configurations with $q_k = l_1 = 1$ do not share a scattering term with $q_k = l_N = L$. Thus for OBC, $|S_{2N}^O\rangle$ is an exact state for all L with $E = 2N$, $s = 2N + 1$. For odd L, $|S_{L-1}^{O}\rangle$ is a state with no dimers, and hence the highest excited ferromagnetic state with $E = L - 1$ and $s = L$.

4. Spin-S AKLT ground state

Similar to the spin-1 AKLT Hamiltonian for OBC in Eq. $(J1)$, the spin-S AKLT Hamiltonian for OBC is defined as

$$
H^{(S)}^O = \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \sum_{J=S+1}^{2S} P_{i,i+1}^{(J,S)} \tag{J7}
$$

For OBC, the ground state of the spin-S AKLT model has S free Schwinger bosons on the edge spins, or, equivalently, one dangling spin- $S/2$. The highest weight ground state with $s = S$ (shown for $S = 2$ in Fig. 26) can be written as

$$
|SG^O\rangle = (a_1^\dagger)^S (a_L^\dagger)^S \prod_{i=1}^{L-1} (c_{i,i+1}^\dagger)^S | \theta \rangle. \tag{J8}
$$

5. Spin-S AKLT Tower of states

For OBC with the edge spins having $s = S$, the spin-2S magnon $|SM_n^O\rangle$ is proportional to $(S_n^+)^{2S} |SG^O\rangle$, similar to the magnon $|SM_n\rangle$ defined for PBC in Eq. (83). As discussed in Sec. VII B, the scattering equation of the spin-2S magnon Eq. (84) is similar to that of the spin-2 magnon described in Eq. (30). This similarity holds for OBC too.

$$
H^{(S)}^O | SM_n^O \rangle = 2 | SM_n^O \rangle
$$

+ $\lambda_S \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} |SN_2^O\rangle & \text{if } n = 2\\ |SN_{L-2}^O\rangle & \text{if } n = L - 1\\ (|SN_{n-1}^O\rangle + |SN_n^O\rangle) & \text{if } n \neq 2, L - 2 \end{array} \right. (J9)$

where λ_S is the same proportionality constant defined in Eq. (83) . The generalization of the OBC spin-S tower of states then follows by the same arguments as those in Sec. J 3. The spin-S tower of states thus exists for all L has a spin $s = (2N + 1)S$ and energy $E = 2N$.

FIG. 21. Symmetric scattering configurations that appear in FIG. 21. Symmetric scattering configurations that appear in
the scattering equations of the $S = 2$ Arovas configurations. All these configurations of the $5 - 2$ filolated gluing two $S = 1$ Arovas
scattering configurations are obtained gluing two $S = 1$ Arovas All these configurations are obtained gluing two S
scattering configurations, shown in Figs. 4 and 5. configurations, shown in Figs. 4 and $\frac{1}{2}$ \overline{a}

FIG. 22. Non-symmetric scattering configurations that ap-FIG. 22. Non-symmetric scattering configurations that appear in the scattering equation of the $S = 2$ Arovas configurations. rations.

FIG. 23. Cells in the Majumdar-Ghosh model (a) "Even" FIG. 25. Cens in the majumdai-Gnosn me
ground state (b) "Odd" ground state

FIG. 24. Triplet ground state $|G^O\rangle$ with open boundary con- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 24 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ found state $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ / which open boundary conditions. spin- $1/2s$ when $\sigma_z = 1/2$ each. can either the spins at the eige represent the two danging
spin-1/2s with $S = 1/2$ each ditions. The spins at the edge represent the two dangling ditions. The spins at the edge represent the two dangling spin-1/2s with $S_z = 1/2$ each.

- (a) \oint \oint \oint \oint Θ \leftrightarrow Θ \leftrightarrow Θ \leftrightarrow Θ \leftrightarrow Θ
- (b) $\left(\begin{matrix} 6 & 6 \end{matrix}\right)$ $\left(\begin{matrix} 6 & 6 \end{matrix}\right)$

FIG. 25. (a) Spin-2 magnon state $\vert M_2^O \rangle$ for the chain with en boundary conditions. (b) $\vert N_2^O \rangle$, the only scattering state of $|M_2^O\rangle$. open boundary conditions. (b) $|N_2^O\rangle$, the only scattering

G. 26. The ground state $|2G^O\rangle$ of the spin-2 AKLT Hamiltonian with open boundary conditions. The two free spin- $1/2s$ at each edge depict the dangling spin-1s. The ground state of the spin-S AKLT model would have S free Schwinger bosons
on the edge spins, equivalent to one spin- $S/2$. on the edge spins, equivalent to one spin- $S/2$. FIG. 26. The ground state $|000\rangle$ of the spin-2 AKLT Hamil-FIG. 26. The ground state $|2G^O\rangle$ of the spin-2 AKLT Hamil-

- ¹ D. Basko, I. Aleiner, and B. Altshuler, Annals of Physics 321, 1126 (2006).
- ² A. Pal and D. A. Huse, Physical Review B 82, 174411 (2010).
- ³ J. Z. Imbrie, Journal of Statistical Physics 163, 998 (2016).
- ⁴ R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 6, 15 (2015).
- ⁵ D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Physical Review B 91, 081103 (2015).
- ⁶ R. Vosk and E. Altman, Physical Review Letters 110, 067204 (2013).
- ⁷ D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, V. Oganesyan, A. Pal, and S. Sondhi, Physical Review B 88, 014206 (2013).
- ⁸ E. Canovi, D. Rossini, R. Fazio, G. E. Santoro, and A. Silva, Physical Review B 83, 094431 (2011).
- ⁹ A. Chandran, V. Khemani, C. Laumann, and S. Sondhi, Physical Review B 89, 144201 (2014).
- 10 C. Gogolin, M. P. Müller, and J. Eisert, Physical Review Letters 106, 040401 (2011).
- ¹¹ M. Rigol, Physical Review Letters **103**, 100403 (2009).
- 12 J. Deutsch, Physical Review A $\bf{43},$ 2046 (1991).
- ¹³ M. Srednicki, Physical Review E **50**, 888 (1994).
- M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature 452, 854 (2008).
- ¹⁵ A. De Luca and A. Scardicchio, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 101, 37003 (2013).
- ¹⁶ M. Gring, M. Kuhnert, T. Langen, T. Kitagawa, B. Rauer, M. Schreitl, I. Mazets, D. A. Smith, E. Demler, and J. Schmiedmayer, Science 337, 1318 (2012).
- ¹⁷ J. Kemp, N. Y. Yao, C. R. Laumann, and P. Fendley, Journal of Statistical Mechanics : Theory and Experiment , 063105 (2017).
- ¹⁸ D. V. Else, P. Fendley, J. Kemp, and C. Nayak, Physical Review X 7, 041062 (2017).
- ¹⁹ Y. Bahri, R. Vosk, E. Altman, and A. Vishwanath, Nature Communications 6 (2015).
- ²⁰ B. Bauer and C. Nayak, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment , P09005 (2013).
- ²¹ W. Beugeling, R. Moessner, and M. Haque, Physical Review E 89, 042112 (2014).
- ²² H. Kim, T. N. Ikeda, and D. A. Huse, Physical Review E 90, 052105 (2014).
- 23 J. R. Garrison and T. Grover, Physical Review X 8, 021026 (2018).
- 24 R. Steinigeweg, J. Herbrych, and P. Prelovšek, Physical Review E 87, 012118 (2013).
- ²⁵ T. N. Ikeda, Y. Watanabe, and M. Ueda, Physical Review E 87, 012125 (2013).
- ²⁶ B. Pozsgay, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment , P09026 (2014).
- ²⁷ I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, Physical Review Letters 59, 799 (1987).
- ²⁸ I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, in Condensed Matter Physics and Exactly Soluble Models (Springer, 1988) pp. 253–304.
- ²⁹ F. Haldane, Physical Review Letters 50, 1153 (1983).
- 30 F. D. M. Haldane, Physics Letters A 93, 464 (1983).
- ³¹ H. Fan, V. Korepin, and V. Roychowdhury, Physical Review Letters 93, 227203 (2004).
- ³² H. Katsura, T. Hirano, and Y. Hatsugai, Physical Review B 76, 012401 (2007).
- ³³ V. E. Korepin and Y. Xu, International Journal of Modern Physics B 24, 1361 (2010).
- ³⁴ Y. Xu, H. Katsura, T. Hirano, and V. E. Korepin, Journal of Statistical Physics 133, 347 (2008).
- 35 R. A. Santos, V. Korepin, and S. Bose, Physical Review A 84, 062307 (2011).
- 36 R. A. Santos and V. E. Korepin, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 45, 125307 (2012).
- ³⁷ U. Schollwöck, Annals of Physics **326**, 96 (2011).
- ³⁸ D. Perez-Garcia, F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, and J. I. Cirac, arXiv preprint quant-ph/0608197 (2006).
- ³⁹ R. Orús, Annals of Physics $\frac{349}{40}$, 117 (2014).
- ⁴⁰ F. Pollmann, A. M. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, Physical Review B 81, 064439 (2010).
- ⁴¹ X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Physical Review B 83, 035107 (2011).
- ⁴² D. P. Arovas, A. Auerbach, and F. Haldane, Physical Review Letters 60, 531 (1988).
- 43 C. K. Majumdar and D. K. Ghosh, Journal of Mathematical Physics 10, 1388 (1969).
- ⁴⁴ I. Pizorn, arXiv preprint arXiv:1202.3336 (2012).
- ⁴⁵ R. Thomale, S. Rachel, B. A. Bernevig, and D. P. Arovas, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2015, P07017 (2015).
- ⁴⁶ V. Zauner, D. Draxler, L. Vanderstraeten, M. Degroote, J. Haegeman, M. M. Rams, V. Stojevic, N. Schuch, and F. Verstraete, New Journal of Physics 17, 053002 (2015).
- ⁴⁷ V. Zauner-Stauber, L. Vanderstraeten, J. Haegeman, I. McCulloch, and F. Verstraete, Physical Review B 97, 235155 (2018).
- ⁴⁸ W. Caspers and W. Magnus, Physics Letters A 88, 103 (1982).
- ⁴⁹ D. P. Arovas, Physics Letters A **137**, 431 (1989).
- ⁵⁰ D. Poilblanc, T. Ziman, J. Bellissard, F. Mila, and G. Montambaux, Europhysics Letters 22, 537 (1993).
- ⁵¹ E. Brézin and A. Zee, Nuclear Physics B **402**, 613 (1993).
⁵² V. Oranosyan, and D. A. Huso, Physical Boyiow B **75**.
- ⁵² V. Oganesyan and D. A. Huse, Physical Review B 75, 155111 (2007).
- ⁵³ Y. Atas, E. Bogomolny, O. Giraud, and G. Roux, Physical Review Letters 110, 084101 (2013).
- ⁵⁴ I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, Physical Review Letters 59, 799 (1987).
- ⁵⁵ H. Li and F. D. M. Haldane, Physical Review Letters 101, 010504 (2008).
- ⁵⁶ M. B. Hastings, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment , P08024 (2007).
- ⁵⁷ F. Verstraete and J. I. Cirac, Physical Review B 73, 094423 (2006).
- ⁵⁸ P. Bibikov, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment , 033109 (2016).
- ⁵⁹ H. Kiwata and Y. Akutsu, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 63, 3598 (1994).
- 60 T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, Journal of Statistical Physics 53, 383 (1988).
- 61 M. Greiter, S. Rachel, and D. Schuricht, Physical Review B 75, 060401 (2007).
- ⁶² M. Greiter and S. Rachel, Physical Review B 75, 184441 (2007).
- 63 U. Schollwöck, O. Golinelli, and T. Jolicœur, Physical Review B 54, 4038 (1996).
- ⁶⁴ J. Zang, H.-C. Jiang, Z.-Y. Weng, and S.-C. Zhang, Physical Review B 81, 224430 (2010).
- ⁶⁵ H.-C. Jiang, S. Rachel, Z.-Y. Weng, S.-C. Zhang, and Z. Wang, Physical Review B 82, 220403 (2010).
- ⁶⁶ F. Pollmann, E. Berg, A. M. Turner, and M. Oshikawa, Physical Review B 85, 075125 (2012).
- 67 M. Greiter, Journal of Low Temperature Physics 126, 1029 (2002).
- 68 S. Rachel, R. Thomale, M. Führinger, P. Schmitteckert, and M. Greiter, Physical Review B $\overline{80}$, 180420(R) (2009).
- ⁶⁹ W. Caspers, K. Emmett, and W. Magnus, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 17, 2687 (1984).
- ⁷⁰ S. Rachel, Europhysics Letters **86**, 37005 (2009).
⁷¹ S. Moudgalva, N. Regnault, and B. Berneyi
- ⁷¹ S. Moudgalya, N. Regnault, and B. Bernevig, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.09624 (2018).
- 72 A. V. Turbiner, Physics Reports 642 , 1 (2016).
 73 B. Bibliou: Journal of Statistical Mochanics: T
- P. Bibikov, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2018, 043108 (2018).
- ⁷⁴ N. Shiraishi and T. Mori, Physical Review Letters 119, 030601 (2017).
- ⁷⁵ C. Turner, A. Michailidis, D. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Nature Physics (2018).
- ⁷⁶ C. Turner, A. Michailidis, D. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.10933 (2018).

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\} = 0
$$
\n
$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\} = 0
$$
\n
$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\} = 0
$$
\n
$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\} = 0
$$
\n
$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{4} \Big(\Big| \bigodot \Big\{ \bigodot \Big\} \Big\}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{12} \Big(\Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodod \Big(\bigodod \Big(\bigodot \Big(\bigodod \Big(\bigodod \Big(\
$$

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j}} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j}} \Big\rangle = \Big| \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j}} \Big\rangle \tag{D22}
$$

P(2,1) ij ^E sc c cc c cm m m - ⁶ ⁶⁶ jin = ^E sc c cc c cm m m - ⁶ ⁶⁶ jin + 1 2 ^E sc c cc c cm m m ⁶ 6- ⁶ jin (D23)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \qquad \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) = \Big| \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \qquad \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) + \frac{1}{12} \Big| \bigodot \qquad \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big|
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{4} \Big(\Big| \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \oplus \Big| \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \bigodot \bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big(\bigodot \rightarrow \bigodot \Big) \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big| \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big| \bigodot \Big| \Big| + \Big| \bigodot \Big| \Big|
$$

P(2,1) ij ^E s sc c c cc c c cm m m m - 66 ji p r = ^E s sc c c cc c c cm m m m - 66 ji p r + 1 6 ^E - ⁶s sc c c cc c c cm m m m⁶ ji p r + 1 2 ⇣ E 6- - s sc c c cc c c cm m m m6 + E⌘ s sc c c cc c c cm m m m 6- 6 - (D25)

ji p r ji p r P(2,1) ij ^E s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m - - - 6 m ji p r = ^E s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m - - - 6 m ji p r + 1 4 ⇣ ^E s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m --- ⁶ m ji p r + ^E s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m - - - 6 m ji p r + ^E s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m 6- - m ji p r + E⌘ s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m - 6- m ji p r + 1 24 ⇣ ^E s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m - ⁶ - m ji p r + E⌘ s s sc c c c cc c c c cm m m m m - ⁶ - m ji p r (D26) P(2,1) ij ^E c cc cm m ⁶- ⁶ ji = 0 (D27)

$$
P_{ij}^{(2,1)} \Big| \bigoplus_{m} \bigoplus_{i} \bigoplus_{j} = 0
$$
 (D28)

FIG. 27. Diagrammatic representation of the action of the projector $P_{ii}^{(2,1)}$ on various configurations of dimers arou ${i, j}$. The configurations of the filled small circles are not relevant to the scattering equation and are the same (in term
Schwinger bosons) on both sides of a given equation. The directions of the arrows are crucial; r a factor of (-1) . FIG. 27. Diagrammatic representation of the action of the projector $P_{ij}^{(2,1)}$ on various configurations of dimers around bond $\{i, j\}$. The configurations of the filled small circles are not relevant to the scattering equation and are the same (in terms of the Schwinger bosons) on both sides of a given equation. The directions of the arrows are