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The interplay between electronic orders and superconductivity is central to the physics of unconventional superconductors, and is particularly pronounced in the iron-based superconductors. Motivated by recent experiments on FeSe, we study the superconducting pairing in its nematic phase in a multiorbital model with frustrated spin-exchange interactions. The electron correlations in the presence of the nematic order give rise to an enhanced orbital selectivity in the superconducting pairing amplitudes. This orbital-selective pairing produces a large gap anisotropy on the Fermi surface. Our results naturally explain the striking experimental observations, and shed new light on the unconventional superconductivity of correlated electron systems in general.

Introduction. High temperature superconductivity in the iron-based superconductors (FeSCs) is a major frontier of condensed matter physics [1–3]. New phenomena and insights continue to arise in this area, giving hope for deep understandings of the ingredients that are central to the mechanism of superconductivity. One such ingredient is the orbital-selective Mott physics [2, 4]. It has been advanced for multi-orbital models of the FeSCs [5–7], in which the lattice symmetry dictates the presence of interorbital kinetic hybridizations, and has been observed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [4, 8–10]. The orbital-selective Mott physics connects well with the bad-metal normal state [11, 12], as implicated by the room-temperature electrical resistivity reaching the Mott-Ioffe-Regel limit and the Drude weight having a large correlation-induced reduction [13]. Another closely related ingredient is the orbital-selective superconducting pairing (OSSP), which was initially advanced for the purpose of understanding the gap anisotropy of iron-pnictide superconductors [14].

Among the FeSCs, the bulk FeSe system is of particular interest. It is the structural basis of the single-layer FeSe on an SrTiO3 substrate, which holds the record for the superconducting transition temperature Tc in the FeSCs [15–18]. It has a nematic ground state, which reduces the C4 rotational symmetry of a tetragonal lattice to C2 and in turn lifts the degeneracy between the dzz and dxy orbitals.

More generally, FeSe provides a setting to study the interplay between the orbital selectivity and electronic orders. Indeed, recent scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements in the nematic phase of FeSe have uncovered a surprisingly large difference between the quasiparticle weights of the dzz and dxy orbitals, suggesting the proximity to orbital-selective Mott phase [19]. Moreover, they suggest a strongly orbital-selective superconducting state, as reflected in an unusually large anisotropy of the superconducting gap [20]: the ratio of the maximum to the minimum of the gap, \( \Delta_{\text{max}} / \Delta_{\text{min}} \), is at least about 4. Recently, several of us have suggested a microscopic picture for the orbital-selective Mott physics in the nematic but normal (i.e., non-superconducting) state [21]. Within a slave-spin approach, the electron correlations in the presence of the nematic order are found to yield a large difference in the quasiparticle weights of the dzz and dxy orbitals while the associated band-splittings as seen in ARPES are relatively small [22, 23].

In this Letter, we study the pairing structure in the nematic phase of FeSe using this theoretical picture. We show that the orbital selectivity in the normal state naturally leads to an orbital-selective pairing, which in turn produces a large gap anisotropy that is consistent with the STM results. Our work not only provides a natural understanding of the experimental observations, but also sheds new light on the interplay between the orbital-selective pairing/Mott physics and electronic orders, all of which appear to be important ingredients for the unconventional superconductivity in FeSCs and beyond.

Model and Method. As a starting point, we consider the five-orbital Hubbard model for FeSe. The Hamiltonian reads as \( H = H_F + H_{\text{int}} \). Here, \( H_F = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \alpha \beta} t_{ij}^\alpha c^\dagger_{i,\alpha} c_{j,\beta} \), where \( c^\dagger_{i,\alpha} \) creates an electron in orbital \( \alpha \) at site \( i \) of an Fe-square lattice. The tight-binding parameters are obtained by fitting \textit{ab initio} density-functional-theory (DFT) bandstructure of FeSe, and \( H_{\text{int}} \) describes the on-site interactions, which include the intra- and inter-orbital Coulomb repulsions and the Hund’s coupling (see Suppl. Mater. [24]). We use the \( U(1) \) slave spin method [25, 26] to study the correlation effects of this model. In this representation, the electron creation operator is expressed as \( c^\dagger_{i,\alpha,\sigma} = S^+_{i,\alpha,\sigma} f^\dagger_{i,\alpha,\sigma} \), where \( S^+_{i,\alpha,\sigma} \) is the ladder operator of a quantum \( S = 1/2 \) slave spin and \( f^\dagger_{i,\alpha,\sigma} \) is the creation operator of a fermionic spinon. The effective strength of the correlation effect in orbital \( \alpha \) is characterized by the quasiparticle spectral weight \( Z_\alpha \sim |\langle S^+_\alpha \rangle|^2 \) (here we have dropped the site and spin indices). \( Z_\alpha > 0 \) describes the spectral weight for the coherent itinerant electrons, while \( Z_\alpha = 0 \) refers to a Mott localization of the corresponding orbital. We obtain \( Z_\alpha \) for each orbital in
the nematic normal (i.e., non-superconducting) state via solving the slave-spin saddle-point equations detailed in Refs. 25 and 26. Calculations in Ref. [21] for the nematic normal state yield strongly orbital-dependent spectral weight of the order $Z_{xy} : Z_y : Z_x = 1 : 4 : 0.5$, which is consistent with the values extracted from the STM measurements [19, 20, 27]. We will adopt this ratio for our calculation. An important advantage of the $U(1)$ slave-spin approach in comparison with, for instance, the $Z_2$ counterpart [28–30], is that the slave-spin operators can carry all the charge degree of freedom and the $f$-fermions are left with carrying all the spin degrees of freedom. Consequently, in the bad-metal regime, we can get a low-energy effective model by integrating out the incoherent part of the electron spectrum (via the quantum fluctuation of the slave spins) [2, 31, 32]. The resulting effective model can be written in terms of the $f$-fermion operators as follows:

$$H_{eff} = \sum_{ij,\alpha} (\sqrt{Z_{ij}}(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ij}^{\alpha \beta})J_{i,\alpha,\sigma}^\dagger J_{j,\beta,\sigma} + \text{H.c.})$$

(1)

It takes the form of a multiorbital $t$-$J$ model with the spin-exchange couplings $J_{ij}^{\alpha \beta}$ coming from the integrating-out procedure. The slave-spin calculations for the renormalization factors, $Z_{ij}$, for orbital $\alpha$, is similar to those for the normal nematic state of FeSe as described in Ref. 21, with a bare Coulomb interaction being about 3.5 eV. The intraorbital components $J_{1}^{\alpha}$ and $J_{2}^{\alpha}$, for the nearest neighbor $<ij>$ and next nearest neighbor $<ij>, will be used.

To study the superconductivity, we define the pairing amplitude of the $f$-fermions to be $\Delta_{ij}^{\alpha \beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_{ij}Z_{ij}}} A_{ij}^{\alpha \beta}$, where $e \in \{x, y, x+y, x-y\}$ refers to a unit vector connecting nearest and next nearest neighboring sites. We treat the four-fermion $J$ terms through a Hubbard-Stratonovich decoupling, and self-consistently solve the pairing amplitudes $\Delta_{ij}^{\alpha \beta}$ in the resulting effective model. The pairing amplitude of the physical electrons $\Delta^{\alpha \beta}$ is

$$\Delta^{\alpha \beta} = \sqrt{Z_{ij}Z_{ij}} \Delta_{ij}^{\alpha \beta}.$$

(2)

**Nematic order.** In the nematic phase, the breaking of the $C_4$ symmetry induces additional anisotropies to both the kinetic energy and exchange interactions. To take this effect into account in a simple way, we introduce an anisotropy parameter $\eta$ in the nearest-neighbor hopping parameters and the exchange couplings of the $d_{xz}/yz$ orbitals as follows:

$$t_{x/y} = t(1 \mp \eta); \quad J_{x/y} = J(1 \mp \eta)^2.$$

(3)

For example, the nearest-neighbor hopping terms of the $d_{yz}^{\alpha \beta}/yz$ orbitals contains the following in the nematic phase:

$$\eta \left[ t_1 c_{\alpha i,\pi}^\dagger c_{\beta i,\pi} + t_2 \left( c_{\alpha i,\pi}^\dagger c_{\beta i,\pi} + c_{\beta i,\pi}^\dagger c_{\alpha i,\pi} \right) \right].$$

The latter corresponds to a combination of the $s$- and $d$-wave bond nematic orders [33]

$$\eta \left[ \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2} \left( \cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y) \right)(n_{\alpha,\pi} - n_{\beta,\pi}) + \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} \left( \cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y) \right)(n_{\alpha,\pi} + n_{\beta,\pi}) \right].$$

**Fermi surface in the nematic phase.** We use the notation of the 1-Fe Brillouin zone (BZ). In Fig. 1, we show the Fermi surface in the nematic phase for $\eta = 0.07$. An atomic spin-orbit coupling (SOC), of the form $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{S}$, is included in the calculation for Fig. 1. The superconductivity considered here is mainly driven by the magnetic interactions. Because the SOC is much smaller than the magnetic bandwidth, its effect on the pairing will be neglected. With increasing $\eta$, the inner hole pocket near the $\Gamma$ point quickly disappears; this evolution is shown in Fig. S1 of the Suppl. Mater. The electron pocket near the $\Gamma$ point is elongated along the $k_y$ direction. The electron pocket near the $M_k [(\pi, 0)]$ point is also elongated along the $k_x$ direction. The electron pocket is dominated by the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals, whereas the hole pocket mainly comprises the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{xy}$ orbitals (Fig. S2 [24]). The hole pocket near the $(\pi, \pi)$ point, which appears in our model as a result of the known artefact of the DFT calculations [34–36], does not come into play in our main result.
pairing in the tetragonal phase [37], we introduce two ratios \(\Delta_{\text{opt}} \) and \(\eta\) according to the irreducible representations of the point group. As illustrated in the bottom panel of Fig. 2, we find strong orbital-selective pairing with \(|\Delta_{yz}|/|\Delta_{xz/xy}| > 2\). Such an orbital-selective pairing is quite robust within a wide range of \(r_L\) and \(r_O\) values.

The strong orbital selectivity in the superconducting pairing is connected with that of the normal state. To see this, note that from Eq. (2) we have the ratio of the pairing amplitudes

\[
\frac{|\Delta_{yz}|}{|\Delta_{xz/xy}|} = \frac{Z_{yz}}{Z_{xz/xy}} \frac{|\Delta_{yz}|}{|\Delta_{xz/xy}|}. \tag{4}
\]

In other words, the orbital selectivity of the pairing amplitudes is magnified by \(Z_{yz}/Z_{xz/xy}\), the ratio of the quasiparticle spectral weights in the normal state.

**Gap anisotropy.** We now calculate the superconducting gap on the normal-state Fermi surface. In Fig. 3 we plot the gap variation on the hole (near \(\Gamma\)) and electron (near \(M_e\)) Fermi pockets. Along each Fermi pocket, the gap values are normalized by its corresponding maximal value, and the angle \(\phi\) is defined in Fig. 1. For the Fermi pocket near \(\Gamma\), the gap maximum appears at \(\phi = 0\), \(\pi\), \(\frac{\pi}{2}\), and \(\frac{\pi}{4}\), and the minimum is at \(\phi = \pi\), \(\frac{\pi}{4}\), and \(\frac{3\pi}{4}\). For the pocket near \(M_e\), the maximum is at \(\phi = \frac{\pi}{4}\) and \(\frac{3\pi}{4}\), and the minimum is close to \(\phi = 0\). These positions of the gap maximum/minimum, as well as the large gap anisotropy on both Fermi pockets, are consistent with the experimental results [20]. More specifically: i) The ratio of the maximum gap of the hole pocket to that of the electron pocket is of order unity,
about 1.01 in our calculation. Experimentally, the ratio is comparable to this: it is 1.5 (1.0) when the maximal gap on the hole pocket is inferred from the STM [20] (laser-ARPES [38]) measurements; ii) The calculated ratio of the gap minimum to gap maximum for the electron pocket (∼5%) is comparable to its experimental counterpart (in the range 5%-30%) [20]; iii) Likewise, the calculated ratio for the hole pocket (∼25%) is comparable to its experimental counterpart (4%-25%) [20].

Our results are understood as follows. At any given point of the Fermi surface \( k \), the overall gap \( \Delta(k) = \sum \Delta_{\alpha}(k)W_{\alpha}(k) \). Here, \( W_{\alpha} \) is the orbital weight, and \( \Delta_{\alpha}(k) = \sum_{e\in\{x,y,z\}} J_{e}^{\alpha} \Delta_{\alpha}(e) \cos(k \cdot e) \) is the orbital-resolved gap. As an illustration, we show the distributions of the orbital-resolved gap and the corresponding orbital weight on the electron pocket near \( M_x \) in Fig. 4 (and for the hole pocket in the Suppl. Mater.[24]). Along the electron pocket, near \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \), the \( yz \) orbital has the largest orbital weight. Thus, the gap there is dominated by the pairing in the \( yz \) orbital, namely, \( \Delta(\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}) \approx \Delta_{yz} \). Similarly, near \( \phi = 0 \), the \( xy \) orbital has the largest orbital weight and then \( \Delta(\phi = 0) \approx \Delta_{xy} \). The strong orbital-selectivity in the pairing amplitude \( \Delta_{yz}(e) \gg \Delta_{xy}(e) \) gives rise to a large gap anisotropy \( \Delta(\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}) \approx \Delta_{yz} \gg \Delta_{xy} \approx \Delta(\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}) \). A similar argument applies to the hole pocket, where \( \Delta(\phi = 0) \approx \Delta_{yz} \gg \Delta_{xz} \approx \Delta(\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}) \), as seen in the Suppl. Mater. [24].

**Discussions.** In principle, additional factors may influence the gap anisotropy. For instance, it has been shown that the magnetic frustration \( r_L \) can tune the relative strength of nearest-neighbor and next nearest-neighbor pairings, and gives rise to a moderate level of gap anisotropy along the electron pocket in NaFeAs [14]. For FeSe, we have focused on the regime \( r_L \approx 1 \): The absence of antiferromagnetic order in the nematic state suggests a strong magnetic frustration with \( r_L \approx 1 \), where the nearest-neighbor and next nearest-neighbor pairings are quasi-degenerate.

In the calculations we have carried out, the nematicity has multiple effects on the pairing structure. First, it enhances the orbital selectivity in the spectral weight of the coherent itinerant electrons, leading to strong orbital-selective pairing amplitudes, as shown in Eq. (4). Second, the orbital weights are largely redistributed along the distorted Fermi surface as a combined effect of the additional anisotropy and orbital dependent band structure renormalization in the nematic phase. On each Fermi pocket, the dominant orbital character has a large variation. Third, the nematicity induces additional magnetic anisotropy, which enhances the pairing in the \( e_{yz} \) direction but reduces the pairing in the \( e_{xy} \) direction. While this last effect also contributes to the gap anisotropy, it is not the dominant source in our case. In other words, the gap anisotropy primarily originates from the first two effects, which dictate the orbital-selective nature of the pairing amplitudes.

The orbital-selective pairing concerns superconductivity driven by short-range spin-exchange interactions between the electrons associated with the multiple 3d orbitals. For FeSe, direct evidence exists that the local Coulomb (Hubbard and Hund’s) interactions are strong [39, 40], and the orbitals thus represent a natural basis to consider superconducting pairing.

We now discuss the broader implications of the orbital selective pairing. There is accumulating evidence that superconductivity in the FeSCs is mainly driven by magnetic correlations. Yet, it remains an open question about the precise role of the nematicity on the superconductivity. Our study raises the possibility that the main influence of the nematicity on the magnetically driven superconductivity is through its influence on the orbital selectivity.

Finally, the correlation effects provide intuition on how to control low-energy physics by tuning local degrees of freedom. For instance, the multi-orbital nature affords a new handle for engineering the low-energy electronic states and raising \( T_c \). Even when the superconductivity is primarily driven by magnetic correlations, tuning the orbital levels and orbital-dependent couplings may optimize superconductivity. This notion is consistent with experiments in the single-layer FeSe [41], which indicate a further increased \( T_c \) by varying the weight of particular 3d-orbitals near the Fermi energy.

**Conclusions.** We have studied the superconductivity in the nematic phase of FeSe through a multiorbital model using a \( U(1) \) slave-spin approach. The enhanced orbital selectivity in the normal state by the nematic order is shown to yield a strong orbital-selective superconducting pairing. The latter produces sizable gap anisotropy on both the hole and electron pockets, which naturally explains the recent experimental observations. The orbital-selective pairing raises the prospect of harnessing the orbital degrees of freedom to realize still higher...
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$T_c$, even when superconductivity is magnetically driven, and provides new insights into the interplay between electronic orders and superconductivity. As such, our results shed new light not only on the physics of the iron-based compounds but also on the unconventional superconductivity in a variety of other strongly correlated systems.
