

## CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Topological phase transition in LaAs under pressure Shoaib Khalid, Fernando P. Sabino, and Anderson Janotti Phys. Rev. B **98**, 220102 — Published 19 December 2018 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.98.220102

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## Topological phase transition in LaAs under pressure

Shoaib Khalid

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

Fernando P. Sabino and Anderson Janotti<sup>\*</sup> Department of Material Science and Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

Using density functional theory (DFT) calculations with the screened hybrid functional of Heyd, Scuseria, and Ernzerhof (HSE06), we study the effects of hydrostatic pressure on the electronic properties of LaAs. We focus on the band crossing near the X point that can make LaAs a topological semimetal, discussing results of both DFT within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the HSE06 hybrid functional. We find that in DFT-GGA, under the calculated equilibrium lattice parameter, LaAs displays a crossing between the highest As p band and the lowest La d band near the X point due to the overestimated p-d band overlap. Such crossing does not occur when the band overlap is corrected in the HSE06 calculation. However, we find that the p-d crossing can be induced in LaAs under hydrostatic pressure, showing a topological phase transition at  $\sim$ 7 GPa. The rocksalt crystal structure of LaAs is predicted to be stable under applied pressure up to 20 GPa, in good agreement with experimental observations.

Rare-earth monopnictides LnX (where Ln is a rare- 56 18 earth, and X=As, Sb, Bi) have a simple rock-salt 57 19 crystal structure, yet display complex magnetic and 58 20 electrical properties,<sup>1,2</sup> including extreme magnetore- 59 21 sistance  $(XMR)^{3-5}$  and superconductivity.<sup>6,7</sup> They are  $_{60}$ 22 all reported to be semimetals and, except for LaX, 61 23 YX, and LuX, they are also antiferromagnetic at low 62 24 temperatures<sup>8-14</sup> because of the rare-earth partially filled 63 25 f orbitals. In analogy to topological insulators, with con-  $_{64}$ 26 ducting surface states due to non-trivial topology of their  $_{65}$ 27 bulk band structure,<sup>15,16</sup> some LnX compounds also dis-28 play topologically protected surface states. Topological 67 29 semimetals have been classified as Weyl, Dirac and nodal-30 line semimetals.<sup>17–19</sup> A necessary condition for the sta-31 bility of these topological phases is the presence of cer- 70 32 tain symmetries. For example, a Dirac point of a Dirac 71 33 semimetal is only stable if the material preserves time 72 34 reversal (TRS) and space inversion symmetry.<sup>20</sup> If any <sub>73</sub> 35 one of these symmetries is broken, the Dirac point splits 74 36 into two Weyl points with opposite chiralities.<sup>20</sup> There 75 37 is also a class of topological systems called  $Z_2$  topologi-  $_{76}$ 38 cal semimetals.<sup>21</sup> Even though they do not display a gap 77 39 in the bulk band structure, as in the case of LaBi, 5,2240 they are still characterized by non-trivial  $Z_2$  invariant 79 41 which requires TRS to protect their non-trivial topolog- 80 42 ical properties. The existence of a direct gap at each  $k_{81}$ 43 point in the bulk Brillouin zone enables the definition of  $_{82}$ 44 the  $Z_2$  invariant for these materials. 45

LaBi, LaSb, and LaAs have shown XMR effects, 84 46 making them promising for sensors and spintronic  $_{*5}$  devices,  $^{23-26}$  yet the cause of which remains unsettled.  $_{*6}$ 47 48 Currently proposed models are based either on the 87 49 electron-hole compensation<sup>27</sup> or on the presence of non- <sup>88</sup> 50 trivial topology in their band structures.<sup>28</sup> There is also <sup>89</sup> 51 a recent report on YSb,<sup>29</sup> a semimetal with rock salt <sup>90</sup> 52 crystal structure and lack of topologically protected sur- 91 53 face states, where XMR is observed and attributed to  $_{\rm 92}$ 54 a combination of near electron-hole compensation and 93 55

very different electron and hole mobilities. Electron-hole compensation likely plays an important role in XMR as seen in recent studies of LaSb and LaBi.<sup>22,30</sup> In the context of a topological spectrum, LaBi is on one side with non-trivial topology, whereas LaAs would be on the other side, possibly displaying trivial topology, and LaSb would be on the border line of being a topological semimetal.<sup>3,31</sup>

Whether LaSb is a topological semimetal has been somewhat debated in the literature.<sup>22,31,32</sup> Guo *et al.*<sup>22</sup> performed DFT-GGA and meta-GGA (MBJ) calculations for the band structure of LaSb, finding different results for the two functionals. While DFT-GGA calculations indicate that LaSb is a topological semimetal, MBJ calculations, where the overlap of the La d-band and Sb p-band is supposedly corrected, indicate that LaSb is a trivial semimetal. More recently, Guo et al.<sup>33</sup> performed HSE06 hybrid functional calculations, finding that LaSb is a trivial semimetal. Experimental results have also been controversial. Niu et al.<sup>32</sup> reported the observation of linear-dispersion states near the Fermi level in LaSb using ARPES, yet their measurements could not identify whether an odd or even number band crossings lie below the Fermi level due to the proximity to the bulk bands. On the other hand, ARPES measurements by Nummy et al.<sup>31</sup> indicate that LaSb shows a trivial band structure, vet it is on the verge of becoming a topological semimetal, in disagreement with their own DFT-GGA calculations.

In this paper we show that LaSb and LaAs are indeed topologically trivial semimetals, with LaSb being very close to become a topological semimetal, in agreement with angle resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements<sup>3,31</sup> and recent calculations.<sup>33</sup> We also predict that applying hydrostatic pressure leads to nontrivial topology in LaAs. We find that LaAs becomes topologically non-trivial at around 7 GPa, while preserving the electron-hole compensation and crystal structure undisturbed, making it an interesting testing case for the two competing models to understand XMR effects <sup>94</sup> in these materials.

The calculations are based on the density func-95 tional theory  $(DFT)^{34,35}$  with the projector augmented-96 wave (PAW) method<sup>36,37</sup> as implemented in the VASP 97 code.<sup>38,39</sup> We carry out calculations using DFT within 98 the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of 99 Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)<sup>40</sup> as well as the screened 100 hybrid functional of Hevd, Scuseria, and Ernzerhof 101 (HSE06).<sup>41,42</sup> In the HSE06, the exchange potential is di-102 vided into long range and short range parts, separated by 103 a screening parameter ( $\omega = 0.20 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ ). In the short-range 104 part, the Hartree-Fock exchange is mixed with PBE ex-105 change, with a ratio of 25:75.43 The long-range part and 106 the correlation is described according to the PBE func-107 tional. The PAW potential for As contains five valence 108 electrons with  $4s^24p^3$  configuration, whereas for La there 109 are nine valence electrons, i.e.,  $5p^{6}6s^{2}5d^{1}$  configuration. 110 We used a 300 eV kinetic-energy cutoff for the plane-111 wave basis set. The rock-salt crystal structure has two 112

atoms in the primitive cell, located at (0,0,0) and at (0.5,0.5,0.5). For the Brillouin-zone sampling, we use a  $8 \times 8 \times 8$   $\Gamma$ -centered k-point mesh. In the calculations of the crystal under pressure, we use a variable cell relaxation at different applied pressures, in the range of 0-28 GPa.

The effects of spin-orbit coupling (SOC) were included<sub>152</sub> only in the band structure calculations, not in the cell op- $_{153}$ timization. Since LaAs in the rock-salt crystal structure<sub>154</sub> has both time-reversal symmetry and inversion symme- $_{155}$ try, the  $Z_2$  topological invariant is calculated from the $_{156}$ parity of the occupied bands at the eight time-reversal $_{157}$ invariant momentum (TRIM) points.<sup>44</sup>

LaAs is stable in rock-salt structure at ambient pres-159 126 sure. The calculated equilibrium lattice parameter us-160 127 ing DFT-GGA is 6.187 Å, and 6.173 Å using HSE06, in<sub>161</sub> 128 good agreement with the experimental value of 6.137 Å.<sup>45</sup><sub>162</sub> 129 The calculated electronic band structure of LaAs using<sub>163</sub> 130 DFT-GGA and HSE06 are shown Figure 1. We focus on<sub>164</sub> 131 the bands within 2 eV of the Fermi level. The partially<sub>165</sub> 132 occupied bands at  $\Gamma$  (hole pockets) are derived mainly<sub>166</sub> 133 from As 4p orbitals, and the partially occupied bands at<sub>167</sub> 134 the X point (electron pockets) are derived mainly from  $_{168}$ 135 La 5d orbitals. The band inversion near the X point<sub>169</sub> 136 would be a sign of topologically non-trivial band struc-170 137 ture, as in the case of LaBi, a similar material for which<sub>171</sub> 138 such band inversion has been established theoretically  $_{172}$ 139 and experimentally.<sup>46</sup> 173 140

Previous calculations have reported qualitatively dif-174 141 ferent results for the electronic structure of LaAs,<sup>3,47</sup><sub>175</sub> 142 depending on the exchange-correlation functional em-176 143 ployed. In standard DFT-GGA calculations,<sup>3</sup> LaAs is<sub>177</sub> 144 a semimetal with the As p and La d bands crossing near<sub>178</sub> 145 the X point. By applying an external repulsive potential 179146 U=1.63 eV to the La d in the DFT-GGA+U method, 180 147 the overlap between the As p-La d is reduced to 0.20 eV.<sup>181</sup> 148 and the crossing disappears.<sup>3</sup> By employing the modified<sub>182</sub> 149 Becke-Johnson meta-GGA for the exchange potential,<sup>48</sup><sub>183</sub> 150 LaAs is a semiconductor with an indirect band gap of 0.20184 151



FIG. 1. (color online) Electronic Band structure of LaAs in rock-salt structure using (a) DFT-GGA functional and (b) the HSE06 hybrid functional with spin-orbit coupling. The Fermi level is set to zero.

eV.<sup>3</sup> In recent HSE06 hybrid functional calculations, it was found that LaAs is a semiconductor with a small indirect band gap of 0.12 eV.<sup>47</sup> However, these HSE06 results did not include the effects of spin-orbit coupling. We performed test calculations using HSE06 without spin-orbit coupling, and find a gap of 0.01 eV.

In our calculations, both DFT-GGA and HSE06 show an overlap between the La d band and As p bands indicating that LaAs is a semimetal, in agreement with ARPES measurements.<sup>3,31</sup> In the DFT-GGA calculations we find that the La d band touches the As p band near the Xpoint, in agreement with previous results, while in the HSE06 this band inversion does not occur, with a separation of ~0.3 eV between the As p band and La d band near the X point.

Therefore, LaAs is predicted to show different behavior, depending on the functional used in the calculations. In DFT-GGA, it is predicted to be topological semimetal, while in HSE06, LaAs is predicted to be a normal, topologically trivial semimetal. We note that ARPES measurements<sup>3,31</sup> in LaAs bulk shows the absence of any band crossing in the band structure, in agreement our with HSE06 calculations, and in contrast to DFT-GGA which overestimates the overlap between the As p and La d bands.

For comparison, we show in Figure 2 the band structures of LaAs, LaSb, and LaBi calculated using HSE06. LaBi, LaSb and LaAs are quite similar materials, in the sense that they share the same crystal structure and are non-magnetic members of the rare-earth monopnictide family. Thus, we expect their band structure to be similar. However, the spin-orbit coupling is much stronger in LaBi than in LaSb and LaAs, and the Bi p band is

TABLE I. Calculated carrier concentration n for the La-<sup>231</sup> V compounds using the HSE06 hybrid functional, includ-<sup>232</sup> ing spin-orbit coupling (SOC). Experimental results are also<sup>233</sup> listed for comparison..<sup>3–5,45,49,50</sup> <sup>234</sup>

Matarial	6	$\iota(Å)$	$n(\mathrm{cm}^{-3})$		
Material	HSE06	Expt.	HSE06	Expt.	
LaAs	6.173	6.137	$2.49 \mathrm{x} 10^{19}$	$4.60 \mathrm{x} 10^{19}$	
LaSb	6.514	6.488	$1.44 \mathrm{x} 10^{20}$	$1.10 \mathrm{x} 10^{20}$	
LaBi	6.625	6.570	$3.72 \mathrm{x} 10^{20}$	$3.78 \mathrm{x} 10^{20}$	

241

242

<sup>185</sup> much higher in energy at  $\Gamma$  point than the Sb and As <sup>186</sup> p bands. As consequence, the LaBi is predicted to be a <sup>187</sup> topological semimetal with a crossing of the La d and Bi <sup>188</sup> p bands near the X point, in agreement with previous <sup>189</sup> calculations<sup>22,31</sup> which clearly show the presence of three <sup>190</sup> Dirac cones<sup>21,46</sup> in the surface band structure, and also <sup>191</sup> verified by ARPES measurements.<sup>21,31,32</sup>

The calculated carrier densities in LaAs, LaSb and  $^{245}_{245}$ 192 LaBi are listed in Table I. The results are in  $good_{246}^{240}$  agreement with experimental values.<sup>3-5,45,49,50</sup> For LaSb,<sub>247</sub> 193 194 which experimentally is found on the verge of  $\operatorname{being}_{_{248}}$ 195 a topological semimetal, we find a small separation of 196 ~0.17 eV between the Sb p and the La d bands. For 250 197 LaBi, our calculated band structure is in quantitative 198 agreement with the reported ARPES results.<sup>31</sup> 199 252

In general, applying pressure to a material will  $\operatorname{change}_{253}$ 200 its bond lengths and, consequently, band width and band<sub>254</sub> 201 gap, without any sort of chemical doping or stoichiometry  $_{255}$ 202 modification. At ambient conditions, LaAs is stable  $in_{256}$ 203 the rock-salt structure, shown in Figure 3(a), but it goes<sub>257</sub> 204 a structural phase transition under hydrostatic pressure,  $_{258}$ 205 transforming to a body-centered tetragonal (bct) struc-259 206 ture, shown in Figure 3(b). 207 260

We calculate the enthalpy of LaAs in these two crystal<sub>261</sub> 208 structures for a wide range of pressures. The structure<sub>262</sub> 209 with minimum enthalpy for a given pressure will be the<sub>263</sub> 210 most stable structure at that pressure. Enthalpy is de-264 211 fined as H = E + PV, where E is the total energy,  $P_{265}$ 212 is pressure and V is volume of unit cell. The enthalpy $_{266}$ 213 of both structures increases with increase in pressure, 267 214 but the enthalpy of the rock-salt structure rises  $faster_{268}$ 215 than that of the *bct* structure. We find that at around  $20_{269}$ 216 GPa, the rock-salt structure becomes less stable than the<sub>270</sub> 217 (bct) structure, as shown in Figure 3(c). This result is  $in_{273}$ 218 good agreement with experimental observations.  $^{45}$   $\mathrm{The}_{\scriptscriptstyle 274}$ 219 change in relative volume of LaAs under applied pres-275 220 sure is shown in Figure 3(d) along with the experimental<sub>276</sub> 221 data. $^{45}$ 222 277

We also compute the band structure of LaAs under278 223 different pressure conditions, for up to 10 GPa, focusing279 224 on the behavior of La d and As p bands near the Fermi-280 225 level. For the band structure calculations we limited our<sub>281</sub> 226 attention to the rock-salt structure as this is the stable<sub>282</sub> 227 crystal structure up to 20 GPa. We find that for up<sub>283</sub> 228 to 6 GPa, there is no sign of band inversion, and that<sub>284</sub> 229 starting at 7 GPa, the La d and As p bands cross near<sub>285</sub> 230

the X point. Therefore, we expect a topological phase transition in LaAs to occur at about 7 GPa. The band structure of LaAs along  $\Gamma$ -X direction for hydrostatic pressures of 6 GPa and 7 GPa are shown in Figure 4.

To verify the non-trivial topology of the band structure of LaAs under pressure we also calculate the  $Z_2$  invariant. There are four  $Z_2$  invariant in the case of three dimensional materials. For a material with both time-reversal and inversion symmetry, such as LaAs in the rock-salt structure, the  $Z_2$  invariant can be calculated from the parities of all the occupied bands at the TRIM points,<sup>44</sup> through the relation:

$$(-1)^{v_{o}} = \prod_{m=1}^{8} \delta_{m} \tag{1}$$

where the index  $\nu_0$  defines the topological class of the material and  $\delta_m$  is the parity product of all the occupied bands at the *m*-th TRIM point. The parity of a band can be determined by a symmetry analysis of the orbitals that compose it.

For up to 6 GPa, the valence band of LaAs near the X point is derived from As p orbitals while the conduction band is derived from La  $d(t_{2g})$  orbitals. At the X point, the parity of the As p band is  $X_7^-$  (odd), while the parity of the La d band is  $X_7^+$  (even). When the two bands cross at 7 Gpa, Figure 4(b), the parity is also switched at the X point. The parities of all the relevant bands at eight TRIM points just before the topological phase transition (6 GPa) and just after the phase transition (7 GPa) are shown in Tables II and III. Hence, due to the inversion of the As p and La d bands at the X point, the  $Z_2$  topological invariant  $v_0$  changes from 0 to 1 making LaAs a non-trivial topological semimetal at applied pressure of 7 GPa. Since the As p and La d $t_{2q}$  bands belong to the same irreducible representation of the  $C_{4v}$  double group, the band crossing opens up a gap when spin-orbit coupling is included, as shown in the inset of Figure 4(b). These results indicate that LaAs is not a Dirac semimetal, but due to the inversion of the two bands at X with opposite parities it can be classified simply as a non-trivial topological semimetal. The calculated  $\nu_0$  as a function of pressure, shown in Figure 4(d), switches from 0 to 1 at 7 GPa due to the band crossing near the X point.

Magnetotransport measurements in LaAs bulk samples show XMR effects,<sup>3</sup> although reduced in magnitude compared to LaSb and LaBi.<sup>31</sup> In LaAs, the XMR is clearly unrelated to non-trivial band topology, as LaAs is not a topological semimetal at ambient pressure. This is similar to YSb, another rock-salt structure monopnictide, where XMR has been observed without any sign of nontrivial band topology.<sup>29</sup> It was argued that XMR in YSb is caused by the difference in electron and hole mobilities, yet this conclusion relies on the simple semi classical twoband model.<sup>51,52</sup> In topological semimetals such as LaBi, the observed XMR could be induced by the breaking of



FIG. 2. (color online) Electronic band structures of (a) LaAs (b) LaSb (c) LaBi calculated using the HSE06 hybrid functional with spin-orbit coupling. The Fermi level is set to zero.



FIG. 3. (color online) Crystal structures of LaAs: (a) groundstate rock-salt structure and (b) body-centered tetragonal (*bct*) structure. (c) Enthalpies of LaAs in rock-salt and tetragonal structures as a function of pressure showing a transition from rock-salt to *bct* at 20 GPa.(d) Relative changes in volume as a function of pressure in LaAs. The experimental data were extracted from Ref. 45.

time reversal symmetry in the presence of magnetic field,
yet a direct relationship is still missing since LaBi also
shows electron-hole compensation and possibly large differences in electron and hole mobilities. Here, we find
that LaAs is a topological semimetal under hydrostatic



FIG. 4. (color online) Electronic band structure along  $\Gamma$ -X- $\Gamma$  direction of LaAs under (a) 6 GPa and (b) 7 GPa hydrostatic pressure. (c) Zoomed in view at 7 GPa near the crossing of the La *d* and As *p* bands. The symmetries and parities of the two bands that cross near the *X* point are indicated. The Fermi level is set to zero. (d) (color online)  $Z_2$  topological invariant ( $\nu_0$ ) plotted as a function of hydrostatic pressure for LaAs in the rock-salt structure, calculated using the HSE06 hybrid functional.

TABLE II. Parities at time reversal invariant momenta (TRIM) points in the first Brillouin zone of LaAs in the rock-<sup>292</sup> salt crystal structure for all the occupied bands just before<sup>293</sup> the topological phase transition (6 GPa).

-	-	-								
No.	Γ	L	L	L	L	X	X	X	Total	- 29
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	- 29
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	29
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	299
7	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	30
9	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	30
11	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	30
13	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	30
Total	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	304
										= 30!

306 307

322

323

347

TABLE III. Parities at time reversal invariant momenta (TRIM) points in the first Brillouin zone of LaAs in the rocksalt crystal structure for all the occupied bands after the topological phase transition (7 GPa).

					· ·					- 311
No.	Γ	L	L	L	L	X	X	X	Total	
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	313
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	314
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	315
7	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	316
9	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	317
11	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	318
13	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	319
Total	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	320
										= 321

324 \* janotti@udel.edu

327

328

- <sup>1</sup> L. Petit, Z. Szotek, M. Lüders, and A. Svane, J. Phys.:348
   <sup>226</sup> Condens. Matter 28, 223001 (2016).
   <sup>349</sup>
  - <sup>2</sup> M. S. S. Brooks, L. Nordstrom, and B. Johansson, J.<sub>350</sub>
     Phys.: Condens. Matter 3, 2357 (1991).
- <sup>3</sup> H. Y. Yang, T. Nummy, H. Li, S. Jaszewski, M. Abram-352
   chuk, D. S. Dessau, and F. Tafti, Phys. Rev. B 96, 235128353
   (2017). 354
- <sup>4</sup> F. F. Tafti, Q. D. Gibson, S. K. Kushwaha, N. Hal-355
   dolaarachchige, and R. J. Cava, Nat. Phys. **12**, 272 (2016).356
- <sup>5</sup> S. Sun, Q. Wang, P. J. Guo, K. Liu, and H. Lei, New J.357
   Phys. 18, 082002 (2016).
- <sup>6</sup> F. F. Tafti, M. S. Torikachvili, R. L. Stillwell, B. Baer, 359
   E. Stavrou, S. T. Weir, Y. K. Vohra, H.-Y. Yang, E. F. 360
   McDonnell, S. K. Kushwaha, Q. D. Gibson, R. J. Cava, 361
   and J. R. Jeffries, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 014507 (2017). 362
- <sup>7</sup> F. Hulliger and H. Ott, J. Less-Common Metals 55, 103<sub>363</sub>
   (1977). 364
- <sup>8</sup> N. Wakeham, E. D. Bauer, M. Neupane, and F. Ronning, 365
   Phys. Rev. B 93, 205152 (2016). 366
- <sup>9</sup> T. Tsuchida and W. E. Wallace, J. Chem. Phys. 43, 2087<sub>367</sub>
   (1965).
- <sup>346</sup> <sup>10</sup> T. Chattopadhyay, P. Burlet, J. Rossat-Mignod, <sup>369</sup>

pressure of about 7 GPa. Studying the XMR effect as a function of pressure in LaAs could shed light on the evolution of the XMR with carrier concentration (which tends to increase with applied pressure) and the emergence of non-trivial band topology at 7 GPa, revealing the role of non-trivial topology in XMR.

We investigated the electronic structure of LaAs using DFT-GGA and the screened hybrid functional HSE06. We showed that HSE06 calculations corrects the overestimated overlap between valence and conduction bands compared to DFT-GGA. HSE06 correctly predicts no band inversion at the X, which makes LaAs a topologically trivial semimetal, in agreement with the experiments under ambient pressure. The calculated charge carrier concentration is also in good agreement with experiments. The electronic band structure of LaAs can be tuned by applying pressure, and it becomes a topologically non-trivial semimetal under hydrostatic pressure of  $\sim 7$  GPa. This pressure is well below the structural phase transition to a *bct* crystal structure which is predicted to occur at  $\sim 20$  GPa. Therefore, LaAs can be a test material to find the relationship between electronhole compensation and non-trivial topology as competing models to explain the observed XMR in rare-earth monopnictides.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Award No. DE-SC0014388. It made use of the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) which is supported by National Science Foundation grant number ACI-1053575, and the Information Technologies (IT) resources at the University of Delaware, specifically the high-performance computing resources.

H. Bartholin, C. Vettier, and O. Vogt, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 15096 (1994).

- <sup>11</sup> A. G. Petukhov, W. R. L. Lambrecht, and B. Segall, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 4324 (1996).
- <sup>12</sup> M. E. Mullen, B. Lüthi, P. S. Wang, E. Bucher, L. D. Longinotti, J. P. Maita, and H. R. Ott, Phys. Rev. B 10, 186 (1974).
- <sup>13</sup> D. X. Li, Y. Haga, H. Shida, T. Suzuki, Y. S. Kwon, and G. Kido, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **9**, 10777 (1997).
- <sup>14</sup> A. G. Petukhov, W. R. L. Lambrecht, and B. Segall, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 7800 (1994).
- <sup>15</sup> M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys 82, 3045 (2010).
- <sup>16</sup> X. L. Qi and S. C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys 83, 1057 (2011).
- <sup>17</sup> X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).
- <sup>18</sup> H. Weng, C. Fang, Z. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and X. Dai, Phys. Rev. X 5, 011029 (2015).
- <sup>19</sup> Z. K. Liu, L. X. Yang, Y. Sun, T. Zhang, H. Peng, H. Yang, C. Chen, Y. Zhang, Y. Guo, D. Prabhakaran, M. Schmidt, Z. Hussain, S. k. Mo, C. Felser, B. Yan, and Y. L. Chenothers, Nat. Mater. **15**, 27 (2016).
- <sup>20</sup> M. Hirayama, R. Okugawa, and S. Murakami, J. Phys.

Soc. Jpn. 87, 041002 (2018).

370

<sup>371</sup> <sup>21</sup> J. Nayak, S. C. Wu, N. Kumar, C. Shekhar, S. Singh,<sup>435</sup>
 <sup>372</sup> J. Fink, E. E. D. Rienks, G. H. Fecher, S. S. P. Parkin,<sup>436</sup>
 <sup>373</sup> B. Yan, and C. Felser, Nat. Commun. 8, 13942 (2017). <sup>437</sup>

434

442

- <sup>374</sup> <sup>22</sup> P. J. Guo, H.-C. Yang, B. J. Zhang, K. Liu, and Z. Y. Lu,<sup>438</sup>
   <sup>375</sup> Phys. Rev. B **93**, 235142 (2016).
- <sup>23</sup> J. M. Daughton, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **192**, 334 (1999).440
- <sup>24</sup> G. A. Prinz, Science. **282**, 1660 (1998). <sup>441</sup>
- <sup>25</sup> W. Thomson, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. 8, 546 (1857).
- <sup>379</sup> <sup>26</sup> D. Wu, J. Liao, W. Yi, X. Wang, P. Li, H. Weng, Y. Shi,<sup>443</sup>
   <sup>380</sup> Y. Li, J. Luo, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**,
- Y. Li, J. Luo, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 108, 042105 (2016).
   <sup>27</sup> M. N. Ali, I. Xiong, S. Flynn, I. Tao, O. D. Cibson, I. M.
- <sup>27</sup> M. N. Ali, J. Xiong, S. Flynn, J. Tao, Q. D. Gibson, L. M.
   Schoop, T. Liang, N. Haldolaarachchige, M. Hirschberger,
   N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, Nature 514, 205 (2014).
- <sup>28</sup> J. Jiang, F. Tang, X. C. Pan, H. M. Liu, X. H. Niu, Y. X.
  <sup>385</sup> Wang, D. F. Xu, H. F. Yang, B. P. Xie, F. Q. Song,
  <sup>387</sup> P. Dudin, T. K. Kim, M. Hoesch, P. K. Das, I. Vobornik,
  <sup>388</sup> X. G. Wan, and D. L. Feng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 166601
  <sup>389</sup> (2015).
- <sup>29</sup> J. He, C. Zhang, N. J. Ghimire, T. Liang, C. Jia, J. Jiang, S. Tang, S. Chen, Y. He, S. K. Mo, C. C. Hwang, M. Hashimoto, D. H. Lu, B. Moritz, T. P. Devereaux, Y. L. Chen, J. F. Mitchell, and Z. X. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 267201 (2016).
- <sup>30</sup> L. K. Zeng, R. Lou, D. S. Wu, Q. N. Xu, P. J. Guo,
   L. Y. Kong, Y. G. Zhong, J. Z. Ma, B. B. Fu, P. Richard,
   P. Wang, G. T. Liu, L. Lu, Y. B. Huang, C. Fang, S. S.
   Sun, Q. Wang, L. Wang, Y. G. Shi, H. M. Weng, H. C.
   Lei, K. Liu, S. C. Wang, T. Qian, J. L. Luo, and H. Ding,
- <sup>400</sup> Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 127204 (2016).
- <sup>401</sup> <sup>31</sup> T. J. Nummy, J. A. Waugh, S. P. Parham, Q. Liu, H. Y.
  <sup>402</sup> Yang, H. Li, X. Zhou, N. C. Plumb, F. F. Tafti, and D. S.
  <sup>403</sup> Dessau, npi Quantum Materials **3**, 24 (2018).
- <sup>32</sup> X. H. Niu, D. F. Xu, Y. H. Bai, Q. Song, X. P. Shen, B. P.
   Xie, Z. Sun, Y. B. Huang, D. C. Peets, and D. L. Feng,
   Phys. Rev. B **94**, 165163 (2016).
- <sup>33</sup> P.-J. Guo, H.-C. Yang, K. Liu, and Z.-Y. Lu, Phys. Rev.
   B 96, 081112 (2017).
- <sup>409</sup> <sup>34</sup> P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964).
- <sup>410</sup> <sup>35</sup> W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).
- <sup>411</sup> <sup>36</sup> P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- <sup>412</sup> <sup>37</sup> G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1758 (1999).
- <sup>413</sup> <sup>38</sup> G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558 (1993).
- <sup>414</sup> <sup>39</sup> G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 14251 (1994).
- <sup>40</sup> J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev.
   Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- 417
   41 J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria, and M. Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys.
   418
   418, 8207 (2003).
- <sup>419</sup>
   <sup>42</sup> J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria, and M. Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys.
   **124**, 219906 (2006).
- <sup>43</sup> J. P. Perdew, M. Ernzerhof, and K. Burke, J. Chem. Phys.
   **105**, 9982 (1996).
- <sup>423</sup> <sup>44</sup> L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 045302 (2007).
- <sup>424</sup>
  <sup>45</sup> I. Shirotani, K. Yamanashi, J. Hayashi, N. Ishimatsu,
  O. Shimomura, and T. Kikegawa, Solid State Commun.
  127, 573 (2003).
- <sup>46</sup> R. Lou, B. B. Fu, Q. N. Xu, P. J. Guo, L. Y. Kong, L. K.
   <sup>28</sup> Zeng, J. Z. Ma, P. Richard, C. Fang, Y. B. Huang, S. S.
- <sup>429</sup> Sun, Q. Wang, L. Wang, Y. G. Shi, H. C. Lei, K. Liu,
  <sup>430</sup> H. M. Weng, T. Qian, H. Ding, and S. C. Wang, Phys.
  <sup>431</sup> Rev. B **95**, 115140 (2017).
- <sup>431</sup> Rev. B **95**, 115140 (2017).
   <sup>432</sup> <sup>47</sup> X. Z. Yan, Y. M. Chen, X. Y. Kuang, and S. K. Xiang, J.
   <sup>433</sup> Appl. Phys. **116**, 083707 (2014).

- <sup>48</sup> A. D. Becke and E. R. Johnson, J. Chem. Phys. **124**, 221101 (2006).
- <sup>49</sup> R. Singha, B. Satpati, and P. Mandal, Sci. Rep. 7, 6321 (2017).
- <sup>50</sup> K. S. Chua and J. N. Pratt, Thermochim Acta 8, 409 (1974).
- <sup>51</sup> N. W. Ashcroft, N. D. Mermin, and S. Rodriguez, *Solid State Physics* (Saunder College, Philadelphia, 1976).
- <sup>52</sup> L. J. Sham and J. M. Ziman, *Solid State Physics* (Elsevier, 1963).