

# CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

## Phenomenology of chiral Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions in strained materials Daniil A. Kitchaev, Irene J. Beyerlein, and Anton Van der Ven

Phys. Rev. B **98**, 214414 — Published 10 December 2018 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.98.214414](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.214414)

### Phenomenology of chiral Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions in strained materials

Daniil A. Kitchaev,<sup>1, 2,\*</sup> Irene J. Beyerlein,<sup>2, 3,[†](#page-1-1)</sup> and Anton Van der Ven<sup>2,[‡](#page-1-2)</sup>

 $1$ Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

<sup>2</sup>Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

We use phenomenological symmetry arguments to demonstrate that while chiral magnetic (Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya) interactions are conventionally restricted by symmetry to appear in a limited set of non-centrosymmetric materials, they may arise in a material with any symmetry when coupled to a strain field. We derive point-group specific free energy functionals that capture the relationship between an applied strain field and chiral magnetic couplings, demonstrating how strain may offer highly selective control over magnetic textures. Finally, we discuss several examples of common strain configurations that may lead to out-of-plane modulation in the magnetic moment of a quasi–2D film as required for applications in magnetic devices.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya (DM) interaction between magnetic atoms is of fundamental importance to the properties of many magnetic materials, leading to phenomena such as helimagnetism[\[1\]](#page-6-0), weak ferromagnetism in antiferromagnetic systems[\[2\]](#page-6-1), and magnetic skyrmions[\[3,](#page-6-2) [4\]](#page-6-3). The DM interaction can be described by an antisymmetric coupling between spins, conventionally expressed as a  $D_{r,r'} \cdot (S(r) \times S(r'))$  term in a spin Hamiltonian describing spins located at  $r$  and  $r'$ interacting through the DM vector  $D[5]$  $D[5]$ , or phenomenologically as an antisymmetric coupling in the magnetic order parameters [\[1,](#page-6-0) [2\]](#page-6-1). The DM interaction has been studied extensively in a range of materials, such as MnSi[\[6\]](#page-6-5), FeGe[\[7,](#page-6-6) [8\]](#page-6-7) and others [\[9–](#page-6-8)[11\]](#page-6-9). However, the antisymmetric form of this interaction restricts which components of spin may appear in the DM terms of the energy[\[2,](#page-6-1) [5\]](#page-6-4); notably the chiral Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (cDM) interaction cannot contribute to the macroscopic properties of a centrosymmetric material. The requirement that the host crystal be non-centrosymmetric greatly restricts the space of materials where the effects of the DM interaction can be studied and utilized.

To expand the space of materials where the DM interaction may arise, it is necessary to break the symmetries of the crystal which forbid antisymmetric magnetic couplings. Recent work on interfacial DM effects[\[12](#page-6-10)[–16\]](#page-6-11) has relied on symmetry breaking at a surface or in a multilayer to observe DM couplings. However, this approach cannot be generalized outside the space of thin films and generally relies on the precise control of material interfaces. One way to systematically achieve symmetry breaking in the bulk of a material is through the application of a strain field. Furthermore, unlike materials with an intrinsic cDM interaction, strain-coupled cDM effects can be in principle dynamically controlled through mechanical deformation. In this work, we demonstrate that strain gradients (flexomagnetism), and sometimes even homogeneous strain (piezomagnetism), allow for cDM couplings to arise in materials belonging to any point group. Based on symmetry arguments, we derive possible couplings between magnetic order parameters and strain within the 3D crystallographic point groups and thus reveal how strain and its gradient can lead to specific types of cDM interactions. Our analysis does not rely on a specific atomistic mechanism leading to a cDM interaction, but rather focuses on whether an effective cDM interaction can generally arise[\[17\]](#page-6-12). Finally, we provide examples of two relevant strain fields which can lead to cDM interactions in intrinsically DM-inactive materials. Our analysis expands upon previous reports of strain and geometry-coupled magnetic phenomena [\[18–](#page-6-13)[24\]](#page-6-14), and provides a general roadmap for controlling chiral magnetic interactions via strain in materials of all symmetries.

#### II. FORMALISM

To determine which magnetic and strain couplings may contribute to the free energy of a material with a particular point group, we construct a general free energy density functional in terms of symmetry–invariant polynomials of the magnetic order parameters  $\{m^{(\alpha)}, m^{(\beta)}, ...\}$ , strain  $e$  and their gradients  $[25-31]$  $[25-31]$ . The free energy density functional  $\mathcal F$  can be written as

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})=\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}_0)+\sum_{b_i\in\mathcal{B}}\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}}{\partial b_i}b_i+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{b_i,b_j\in\mathcal{B}}\frac{\partial^2\mathcal{F}}{\partial b_i\partial b_j}b_ib_j+....
$$

where  $\mathcal{B} = \{m^{(\alpha)}, \nabla m^{(\alpha)}, m^{(\beta)}, \nabla m^{(\beta)}, ..., e, \nabla e\}$  is a joint field consisting of the individual field variables for the magnetization order parameters, strain, and their gradients. The derivative terms  $\partial \mathcal{F}/\partial b_i, \partial^2 \mathcal{F}/\partial b_i \partial b_j, ...$ are evaluated in the reference state denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and thus must obey the symmetry of the point group of the

<span id="page-1-0"></span><sup>∗</sup> [dkitch@ucsb.edu](mailto:dkitch@ucsb.edu)

<span id="page-1-1"></span><sup>†</sup> [beyerlein@ucsb.edu](mailto:beyerlein@ucsb.edu)

<span id="page-1-2"></span><sup>‡</sup> [avdv@ucsb.edu](mailto:avdv@ucsb.edu)

homogeneous unstrained, non–magnetized material. To enforce these symmetry constraints, we rewrite the free energy functional in terms of the symmetry-invariant polynomials of  $b_i$ ,

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B}) = \sum_n \sum_k J^{(n)}_k V^{(n)}_k
$$

where the  $V_k^{(n)}$  $\kappa^{(n)}$  basis function is the k<sup>th</sup> symmetryinvariant polynomial of order n consisting of the components of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $J_k^{(n)}$  $\binom{n}{k}$  is a scalar coefficient. The basis functions are defined as

$$
V_k^{(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega(\sigma_k^{(n)})} c_{\sigma} \prod_{i \in \sigma} b_i
$$

where  $\Omega$  defines the orbit of  $\sigma_k^{(n)}$  $k^{(n)}$ , the k<sup>th</sup> symmetricallyunique product of order parameters of order  $n$  in terms of products of  $b_i$  and coefficients  $c_{\sigma}$ . By this construction, each basis function groups symmetrically–equivalent terms and thereby guarantees that  $\mathcal F$  is invariant under symmetry operations in the point group. The coefficients  $J_k^{(n)}$  $k^{(n)}$  can be similarly expressed in terms of the *n*th derivatives of  $\mathcal{F}$ , but as both  $J_k^{(n)}$  $k^{(n)}$  and the derivatives of  $F$  typically appear as empirical parameters fit to experimental data or quantum mechanical calculations, their exact relationship to each other is not important.

To obtain the full basis set  $\{V_k^{(n)}\}$  $\{k^{(n)}\}$  up to order *n*, we follow the procedure described by Thomas and Van der Ven [\[30,](#page-6-17) [32\]](#page-6-18). We first derive a symmetry-adapted set of field variables for  $\beta$ , as described in Supplementary Note 1 [\[33\]](#page-6-19), which separates the components of  $\beta$  into independent subspaces whose interactions with each other can be efficiently evaluated. We then project out the symmetrically–invariant component of every nth order monomial of  $\beta$  using the Reynolds operator  $\mathcal{R}^{(P)} = \sum_{\hat{p}_i \in P} U^{\mathcal{B}, \hat{p}_i} / |P|$  for the desired point group  $P$ which contains |P| symmetry operations  $\hat{p}_i$ , where the operator  $U^{\mathcal{B},\hat{p}_i}$  describes the action of  $\hat{p}_i$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Finally, we use Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization to construct an orthonormal basis set of symmetry-invariant polynomial basis functions. Note that since any magnetic order parameter must obey time-reversal symmetry, the symmetry group must include time reversal. We restrict our analysis to systems which may undergo a second–order transition to a paramagnetic state[\[2,](#page-6-1) [34\]](#page-6-20) and work with the "grey" point groups, defined as the direct product of the crystallographic symmetry operators and the time reversal operator.

Within a free energy functional  $\mathcal{F}(m^{(\alpha)}, \nabla m^{(\alpha)}, m^{(\beta)}, \nabla m^{(\beta)}, \ldots)$ , the DM interaction appears to first order in two forms - the inhomogeneous or chiral DM (cDM) interaction and the homogeneous DM (hDM) interaction<sup>[\[1,](#page-6-0) [2,](#page-6-1) [35\]](#page-6-21)</sup>. The cDM interaction is an antisymmetric coupling between a magnetic order parameter  $m^{(\alpha)}$  and its gradient, yielding terms in the

form of a Lifshitz invariant  $w_{kn}^{(\alpha)} = \epsilon_{ijk} m_i^{(\alpha)} \partial m_j^{(\alpha)}/\partial r_n$ , where  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  is the permutation tensor and summation over repeated indices is implied. These terms impart a chirality dependence to the free energy based on a rotation of  $m^{(\alpha)}$  about the  $r_k$  direction propagating along the  $r_n$  axis of the crystal. One may refer to  $w_{kk}$ terms as "helicoid" interactions and  $w_{kn}$ ,  $n \neq k$  terms as "cycloid" interactions[\[35\]](#page-6-21), which represent magnetic textures similar to those found in Bloch and Neel domain walls respectively as shown schematically in Figure [1a](#page-4-0). The hDM interaction is an antisymmetric coupling between two different magnetic order parameters  $m^{(\alpha)}$  and  $m^{(\beta)}$ , yielding terms of the form  $h_k^{(\alpha\beta)} = \epsilon_{ijk} m_i^{(\alpha)} m_j^{(\beta)}$ . A notable example of this interaction is the emergence of "weak ferromagnetism" due to spin canting in antiferromagnetic systems in the case where  $m^{(\alpha)}$  is the total magnetization and  $m^{(\beta)} = s_1 - s_2$  is the difference in the magnetic moment vectors of the antiferromagnetic sublattices  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .[\[2\]](#page-6-1)

The magnetic order parameters  $m^{(\alpha)}, m^{(\beta)}, \dots$  are generally defined as  $m^{(\alpha)} = \sum c_i^{(\alpha)} s_i$  where  $\{s_i\}$  are the local magnetic moments  $\overline{of}$  the sublattices in the material and the coefficients  $c_i$  are chosen such that the order parameters are orthogonal and span irreducible subspaces of the point group of the material. [\[2,](#page-6-1) [31,](#page-6-16) [36\]](#page-6-22) In the special case of total magnetization  $m (c_i = 1)$ , permutation of  $\{s_i\}$  leaves m invariant, meaning that total magnetization transforms as an axial vector, with  $U_{ij}^{m,\hat{p}} = (\det \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{R}_{ij}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  is the Cartesian rotation matrix associated with symmetry operation  $\hat{p}$ . Correspondingly, the gradient of total magnetization  $\nabla m$  transforms as a rank-2 pseudotensor with  $\bm{U}^{\nabla m,\hat{p}}_{(i',i')\hat{p}}$  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{v},m,p}_{(i'j')(ij)} = (\det \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{R}_{i'i} \mathbf{R}_{j'j},$  where  $(ij)$  represents the  $m_{i,j} = \partial m_i / \partial r_j$  component of  $\nabla m$ .

In this work, we consider the simplest case of a DM interaction: the emergence of cDM couplings  $w_{kn} = \epsilon_{ijk} m_i \partial m_j / \partial r_n$  in the total magnetization m, where we drop the superscripts  $(\alpha)$  for the sake of clarity. In this case, the coefficients multiplying the  $w_{kn}$ terms are the components  $D_{kn}$  of the Dzyaloshinskii tensor, and the various couplings between strain and  $w_{kn}$  yield the possible contributions of strain to this micromagnetic term. This situation where  $m$  is a good order parameter is relevant to materials which are low–temperature ferromagnets or helimagnets and for practical applications in magnetic materials and skyrmionics.[\[37–](#page-6-23)[41\]](#page-6-24) More generally, this case provides an informative example of how strain and strain gradients can give rise to antisymmetric couplings in high-symmetry materials. Because total magnetization is a universal magnetic order parameter whose symmetry behavior depends on only the point group of a material, these couplings provide a sufficient condition for the possibility of strain-coupled cDM based on point-group symmetry alone.

The free energy associated with the reversible mechanical deformation of a solid defined by the vector field  $u$  are characterized by strains and strain gradients. Strain is a measure of the symmetric component of the first derivative of u, parametrized by  $E_{ab} =$  $1/\sqrt{|P(ab)|}\sum_{ij=P(ab)}\partial u_i/\partial r_j$  for  $a\leq b$  where  $P(ab)$  is the set of unique permutations of  $\{a, b\}$ . We choose to normalize the strain terms using the Frobenius norm, equivalent to conventional Kelvin notation[\[30\]](#page-6-17). Strain gradient can then be defined in terms of the Cartesian derivatives of E, with  $E_{ab,k} = \partial E_{ab}/\partial r_k$ . While alternative formulations of strain gradient exist, they are formally equivalent to  $E_{ab,k}[27, 42]$  $E_{ab,k}[27, 42]$  $E_{ab,k}[27, 42]$  and can be related to each other using identities given in Supplementary Note 1 [\[33\]](#page-6-19). The symmetry operators for strain and strain gradient are similar to those of 2nd and 3rd rank tensors:

$$
U_{(a'b')(ab)}^{E,\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{|P(ab)|}{|P(a'b')|}} \sum_{i'j' = \atop P(a'b')} \mathbf{R}_{i'a} \mathbf{R}_{j'b}
$$

$$
U_{(a'b',k')(ab,k)}^{\nabla E,\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{|P(ab)|}{|P(a'b')|}} \sum_{\substack{i'j' = \atop P(a'b')}} \mathbf{R}_{i'a} \mathbf{R}_{j'b} \mathbf{R}_{k'k}
$$

as derived in Supplementary Note 2 [\[33\]](#page-6-19). Finally, while we work with infinitesimal strain, our results rely solely on symmetry arguments and directly generalize to any other strain metric.

#### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now proceed to evaluate the form of the cDM interaction in  $m$  in the free energy density across all point groups. This portion of the energy functional,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cDM}}$ , is an addition to the traditional terms appearing in the total magnetic free energy functional  $\mathcal{F}[4]$  $\mathcal{F}[4]$  - at minimum, direct exchange  $A\sum_{i,j} \left(\partial m_i/\partial r_j\right)^2$  for some exchange constant A, and magnetocrystalline anisotropy  $\mathcal{F}_{\rm ani}$ , which is symmetry dependent and enumerated for all points groups in Supplementary Note 3 [\[33\]](#page-6-19). For the cDM interaction, while we focus on a limited number of examples in this discussion, complete tables of symmetry–invariant basis functions governing the cDM and strain–coupled cDM interactions are available in Supplementary Notes 4 and 5 respectively[\[33\]](#page-6-19). Note that while the basis sets given here and in the appendices are equivalent, those presented in the main text are reformatted for clarity.

In most non-centrosymmetric point groups,  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cDM}}$ can be non-zero without any additional symmetry breaking from strain. For example in the 432 and 23 point groups, the allowed cDM interaction consists of helicoids described by a single term,

$$
V_1^c = \sqrt{3}/3\epsilon_{ijk}w_{ij}E_{kk,k}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_2^c = \sqrt{6}/6\epsilon_{ijk}w_{ij} (E_{ii,k} + E_{jj,k})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_3^c = \sqrt{6}/6\epsilon_{ijk}w_{ij} (E_{ki,i} + E_{kj,j})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_4^c = \sqrt{6}/6\epsilon_{ijk} (w_{ij} + w_{ji}) E_{ii,k}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_5^c = \sqrt{6}/6\epsilon_{ijk} (w_{ij} + w_{ji}) E_{ki,i}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_6^c = \sqrt{3}/3\epsilon_{ijk}w_{ii}E_{ij,k}
$$

<span id="page-3-0"></span>TABLE I. Basis functions coupling cDM interactions to strain gradients within the  $m\overline{3}m$  point group

$$
V_1^h = \epsilon_{ij3} w_{i3} E_{j3,3}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_2^h = \epsilon_{ij3} w_{3i} E_{j3,3}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_3^h = \epsilon_{ij3} w_{3i} E_{33,j}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_4^h = \epsilon_{ij3} w_{3i} E_{33,j}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_5^h = \sqrt{3/3} \epsilon_{ij3} w_{i3} \left( \sqrt{2} E_{jj,j} + E_{ij,i} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_6^h = \sqrt{3/3} \epsilon_{ij3} w_{3i} \left( \sqrt{2} E_{jj,j} + E_{ij,i} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_7^h = \sqrt{3/6} \epsilon_{ij3} w_{i3} \left( E_{j,j,j} + 3 E_{ii,j} - \sqrt{2} E_{ij,i} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_8^h = \sqrt{3/6} \epsilon_{ij3} w_{3i} \left( E_{j,j,j} + 3 E_{ii,j} - \sqrt{2} E_{ij,i} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
V_9^h = (w_{12} - w_{21}) E_{33,3}
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{10}^h = \sqrt{2/2} (w_{12} - w_{21}) (E_{22,3} + E_{13,1})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{11}^h = \sqrt{2/2} (w_{12} - w_{21}) (E_{23,1} - E_{13,2})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{12}^h = \sqrt{2/2} (w_{11} + w_{22}) (E_{23,1} - E_{13,2})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{13}^h = w_{33} (E_{23,1} - E_{13,2})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{14}^h = \sqrt{2/2} (w_{11} - w_{22}) E_{12,3}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 1/2 (w_{12} + w_{21}) (E_{22,3} - E_{11,3})
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{15}^h = 1/2 (w_{11} - w_{22}) (E_{23,1} + E_{13,2})
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>TABLE II. Basis functions coupling cDM interactions to strain gradients within the  $6/mmm$  point group, where we assign the 6-fold axis to be oriented along  $r_3$ .

.

 $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cDM}}^{432} = J_{\text{cDM}}^{cDM} (w_{11} + w_{22} + w_{33})$  for some scalar parameter  $J^{\text{cDM}}$ , equivalent to the previously proposed expression for this point group,  $J^{\text{cDM}}(m \cdot \nabla \times m)$  [\[43\]](#page-6-27). The cDM interaction is similarly limited to helicoids in 622, 32,  $\bar{4}2m$ , 422 and 222. In 6mm, 3m, 4mm and mm2 the allowed cDM interactions are of purely cycloid type, and finally, in 6, 3,  $\overline{4}$ , 4, m and 2 both interaction types are possible. In these materials, although the behavior of the cDM interaction is generally determined by the structure of the material itself, strain couplings provide a route to introducing anisotropies in the Dzyaloshinskii tensor which have been recently discussed as a route towards controlling emergent phase behavior, such as skyrmion vs. antiskyrmion formation[\[44\]](#page-6-28). We refer the reader to the Supplementary Note 5 [\[33\]](#page-6-19) for a complete list of these couplings.

In centrosymmetric point groups such as  $m3m = 432 \otimes i$ , the presence of inversion symmetry forbids the cDM interaction from arising in the absence of a secondary source of symmetry breaking. A strain field may lift this restriction, offering precise control over the character of the cDM interaction



<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIG. 1. (a.) Helicoid and cycloid spin textures comprising the two variants of cDM interactions in total magnetization  $m$ . (b.) A map of cDM interactions in materials across all 32 point groups. Point groups where cDM interactions are intrinsically allowed are denoted by ovals and color-coded by whether symmetry allows for helicoidal (green) or cycloidal (purple) cDM terms in the free energy, or both (black). Point groups where cDM interactions are enabled by a strain field are denoted by rectangles and color-coded by whether cDM interactions couple to homogeneous strain (blue) or only strain gradients (red). The connections between point groups indicate group-subgroup relationships and illustrate which point groups can be reached by symmetry-breaking operations.  $(c,d)$  The couplings between elastic strains and strain gradients and cDM interactions which yield a modulation in  $m_z$  (the out-of-plane component of total magnetization) of a  $m\overline{3}m$  or  $6/mmm$  material, for out-of-plane and in-plane gradients in strain respectively. (e.) Couplings between homogeneous strain and cDM interactions yielding a modulation in  $m_z$  for a  $\overline{4}3m$  material.

through strain engineering. To understand how strain fields couple to magnetic interactions, it is informative to examine the free energy functionals of the highest symmetry  $m\overline{3}m$  and  $6/mmm$  point groups as all other point groups are subgroups of  $m\overline{3}m$  or  $6/mmm$ and thus allow any couplings seen here. Generating the basis set of symmetry–invariant polynomials of  ${m, \nabla m, e, \nabla e}$ , we find that while homogeneous strain does not break inversion symmetry, strain gradients give rise to cDM terms in the free energy functional allowing for  $\mathcal{F}_{cDM}$  to be non-zero under all symmetries. The cDM component of the free energy density has the form  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cDM}} = \sum_i J_i^{\text{cDM}} V_i$  where  $J_i^{\text{cDM}}$  are scalar parameters. For the  $m\overline{3}m$  and  $6/mmm$  point groups, abbreviated as  $c$  and  $h$ , the basis functions  $V_i$  are defined in Tables [I](#page-3-0) and [II](#page-3-1) respectively. In both cases, any gradient of axial strain and gradients of shear strain in the plane of shear  $(V_{1...5}^c, V_{1...11}^h)$  give rise to cycloid terms. Gradients of

shear strain orthogonal to the shear plane  $(V_6^c, V_{12...15}^h)$ lead to helicoid terms. As inversion symmetry is lifted by any strain gradient, these remaining restrictions on the cDM interactions arise from the rotation and rotoinversion axes left unperturbed by the strain field.

While strain gradients are necessary for cDM interactions in centrosymmetric materials, homogeneous strain can still be used to activate and control these magnetic couplings in materials belonging to the  $\overline{4}3m$ ,  $\overline{6}m2$  and  $\bar{6}$  point groups. These point groups are not centrosymmetric, but the combination of rotation and rotoinversion axes nonetheless forbids the cDM interaction without external symmetry breaking. Thus, since it is not necessary to break inversion symmetry in these point groups, the cDM interaction may arise due to strain alone. For example,  $\mathcal{F}_{cDM}^{\bar{4}3m}$  contains the following two pure-strain terms

$$
V_1^{\bar{4}3m} = \sum_k \epsilon_{ijk} w_{ij} E_{ij}
$$
  

$$
V_2^{\bar{4}3m} = \sum_k \epsilon_{ijk} w_{ii} E_{jj}
$$

in addition to the six  $V^c$  polynomials which depend on strain gradients. Here, shear strains break rotational symmetry and lead to cycloid interactions in the plane of shear, while anisotropic biaxial strains can disrupt mirror planes and thus lead to helicoid terms propagating normal to the plane of strain. In the hexagonal  $\bar{6}m2$  and  $\bar{6}$  point groups, anisotropic biaxial strain in the basal plane  $E_{11} - E_{22}$  and any shear which lifts a rotational symmetry lead to cycloids, while  $E_{13}$  or  $E_{23}$ shear which breaks the basal plane mirror symmetry can lead to helicoids. This situation where homogeneous strain determines the form of the cDM interaction is of particular interest as homogeneous strain is likely to be easier to control experimentally than a strain gradient. Furthermore, this coupling is likely to readily yield a large response by analogy to the significant effect of strain on magnetic textures in chiral helimagnets[\[45\]](#page-7-0).

The implication of these couplings is that highsymmetry materials currently considered to be cDMinactive may indeed exhibit cDM interactions if strained in a particular way. Figure [1b](#page-4-0) provides a summary of the cDM interactions which arise intrinsically in each point group, or whether the magnetism is piezomagnetically of flexomagnetically controlled for point-groups where symmetry-breaking is necessary. The group-subgroup relationships indicated by connections between higher and lower-symmetry point groups illustrate which symmetries need to be lifted to allow a desired type of chiral magnetic behavior.

As an example of these couplings, consider a quasi– 2D film with a magnetic configuration that exhibits some modulation in the out-of-plane component of magnetization. Defining the film to lie in the  $xy$  plane, the magnetic configurations giving modulations in  $m<sub>z</sub>$ are the helicoid interactions  $w_{xx}$  and  $w_{yy}$  and cycloid interactions  $w_{yx}$  and  $w_{xy}$ . The impact of strain gradients on these interactions can be summarized by two cases: the strain gradient lies in the out-of-plane z direction, or the in-plane  $y$  direction. The first case may arise in an epitaxial thin film due to a mismatch in lattice constant, modulus, or thermal expansion coefficient with the substrate, where the strain decays exponentially over a characteristic length  $\delta$  ( $E_{ij,z} \propto E_{ij} \propto e^{-z/\delta}$ ) [\[46–](#page-7-1)[48\]](#page-7-2). The second case is representative of a biphasic material with a composition fluctuation along the y direction and an elastic strain linear with composition order parameter  $\nu (E_{ij,y} \propto \nu \cos (y/\delta))$ [\[49\]](#page-7-3). For a centrosymmetric material belonging to  $m3m$  or  $6/mmm$ , helicoid interactions require a gradient in shear strain orthogonal to the plane of shear. Thus,  $w_{xx}$  and  $w_{yy}$  couple to  $E_{xy,z}$  in the first case and  $E_{xz,y}$  in the second case. Cycloid interactions  $w_{xy}$  and  $w_{yx}$  couple to in-plane gradients of axial strains

and shear gradients lying in the plane of shear:  $E_{xx,z}$ ,  $E_{yy,z}$ , and  $E_{zz,z}$  in the first case and  $E_{yz,y}$  in the second. For a non-centrosymmetric material belonging to the  $\overline{43m}$  point group, helicoid interactions may additionally form due to a biaxial strain, essentially scaling with Poisson's ratio, while cycloid interactions may arise due to in-plane shear. These couplings are summarized in Figure [1c](#page-4-0) and d for  $m\bar{3}m$  and  $6/mmm$ , and Figure [1e](#page-4-0) for  $\bar{4}3m$ .

While the above examples demonstrate that strain and strain gradients may in principle give rise to cDM interactions, an outstanding question is the strength of this coupling. In the case of a coupling to homogeneous strain, there is direct experimental evidence that the magnitude of the induced interaction can be significant. It has been reported that small applied strains  $(\approx 0.3\%)$  can distort skyrmion lattices by up to 20% in FeGe, a well-known chiral helimagnet [\[45\]](#page-7-0). The period of these magnetic modulations is roughly proportional to  $A/D$ , the ratio of micromagnetic exchange strength to cDM, implying that the effect of strain can be significant even on the scale of intrinsic cDM. Much less data is available regarding the strength of potential couplings between cDM and strain-gradients. Beck and Fähnle report that in epitaxial Fe on W, the gradient in misfit strain yields a cDM interaction approximately 1-2 orders of magnitude weaker than the cDM induced by the Fe/W interface[\[50\]](#page-7-4). However, the Fe/W interface yields a very strong cDM interaction  $(A/D_{\text{interface}} \approx 8 \text{ nm})[51]$  $(A/D_{\text{interface}} \approx 8 \text{ nm})[51]$ , meaning that  $A/D_{\text{strain-gradient}} \approx 400 \text{ nm}$ , which is within an order of magnitude of the strength of intrinsic cDM in bulk chiral helimagnets such as FeGe[\[52\]](#page-7-6). Thus, we anticipate that in certain materials, strain-gradient induced cDM may yield significant changes in magnetic structure, especially if no other antisymmetric magnetic interactions are present.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have used phenomenological arguments to demonstrate that the chiral Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in the total magnetization may arise in materials belonging to any point group as a result of even a relatively simple strain field. We have argued that (1) gradients in strain lift inversion symmetry and allow antisymmetric couplings to form in otherwise centrosymmetric materials, and (2) identified three non–centrosymmetric point groups where homogeneous strain alone can control chiral magnetic interactions. Our results also give a complete representation of the strain-dependence of the Dzyaloshinskii tensor for all point groups, which illustrates how strain may be used to induce anisotropic cDM interactions. To illustrate how these couplings may arise in real materials, we presented two practically–relevant examples of strained materials where, despite the high symmetry of the underlying crystal, the strain field can give rise to relevant cDM interactions. Our discussion highlights how chiral magnetism may be controlled through mechanical forces, especially in situations when large strains and strain gradients can be expected, such as in piezoelectrics, polycrystals, polyphasic materials, nanomaterials, and electrochemical systems[\[53](#page-7-7)[–59\]](#page-7-8). and we hope will inspire targeted characterization work via phase-field simulations and mechanomagnetic experiments.

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] I. Dzyaloshinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 19, 17 (1964).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[2] I. Dzyaloshinskii, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4 (1958).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[3] A. N. Bogdanov and D. Yablonskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 95, 178 (1989).
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[4] H.-B. Braun, Advances in Physics **61**, 1 (2012).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[5] T. Moriya, Physical Review 120, 91 (1960).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[6] S. Mühlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfleiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, and P. Böni, Science 323, 915 (2009).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[7] H. Wilhelm, M. Baenitz, M. Schmidt, U. K. Rößler, A. A. Leonov, and A. N. Bogdanov, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127203) 107, [127203 \(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127203)
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[8] H. Du, R. Che, L. Kong, X. Zhao, C. Jin, C. Wang, J. Yang, W. Ning, R. Li, C. Jin, X. Chen, J. Zang, Y. Zhang, and M. Tian, Nature communications 6, 8504 (2015).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[9] X. Yu, Y. Onose, N. Kanazawa, J. Park, J. Han, Y. Matsui, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, Nature 465, 901 (2010).
- [10] T. Yokouchi, N. Kanazawa, A. Tsukazaki, Y. Kozuka, M. Kawasaki, M. Ichikawa, F. Kagawa, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 89[, 064416 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.89.064416)
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[11] O. Janson, I. Rousochatzakis, A. A. Tsirlin, M. Belesi, A. A. Leonov, U. K. Rossler, J. Van Den Brink, and H. Rosner, Nature communications 5, 5376 (2014).
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[12] A. Crpieux and C. Lacroix, [Journal of Magnetism and](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-8853(97)01044-5) [Magnetic Materials](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-8853(97)01044-5) 182, 341 (1998).
- [13] A. N. Bogdanov and U. K. Rößler, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.037203) 87, [037203 \(2001\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.037203)
- [14] A. Thiaville, S. Rohart, E. Jue, V. Cros, and A. Fert, EPL (Europhysics Letters) 100, 57002 (2012).
- [15] N. Romming, C. Hanneken, M. Menzel, J. E. Bickel, B. Wolter, K. von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger, Science 341[, 636 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1240573)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[16] B. Dupe, G. Bihlmayer, M. Bottcher, S. Blugel, and S. Heinze, Nature communications 7, 11779 (2016).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[17] A. Manchon, H. C. Koo, J. Nitta, S. Frolov, and R. Duine, Nature materials 14, 871 (2015).
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[18] P. Lukashev, R. F. Sabirianov, and K. Belashchenko, Phys. Rev. B 78[, 184414 \(2008\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.184414)
- [19] E. A. Eliseev, A. N. Morozovska, M. D. Glinchuk, and R. Blinc, Phys. Rev. B 79[, 165433 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.79.165433)
- [20] P. Lukashev and R. F. Sabirianov, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.094417) 82, [094417 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.094417)
- [21] R. Hertel, Spin 03[, 1340009 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S2010324713400092)
- [22] N. Pattanayak, A. Bhattacharyya, A. K. Nigam, S.-W. Cheong, and A. Bajpai, Phys. Rev. B 96[, 104422 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.104422)
- $[23]$  J. H. Lee, K.-E. Kim, B.-K. Jang, A. A.  $\ddot{\text{U}}$ nal, S. Valencia, F. Kronast, K.-T. Ko, S. Kowarik, J. Seidel, and C.-H. Yang, Phys. Rev. B 96[, 064402 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.064402)

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank John C. Thomas and Stephen Wilson for discussions and advice on this work. The research reported here was supported by the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center at UCSB (MR-SEC NSF DMR 1720256) through IRG-1.

- <span id="page-6-14"></span>[24] O. M. Volkov, D. D. Sheka, Y. Gaididei, V. P. Kravchuk, U. K. Rößler, J. Fassbender, and D. Makarov, Scientific reports 8, 866 (2018).
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>[25] J. W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, The Journal of chemical physics 28, 258 (1958).
- [26] R. A. Toupin, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 11, 385 (1962).
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>[27] R. Mindlin and N. N. Eshel, [International Journal of](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7683(68)90036-X) [Solids and Structures](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7683(68)90036-X) 4, 109 (1968).
- [28] S. Rudraraju, A. Van der Ven, and K. Garikipati, [Com](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2014.06.015)[puter Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2014.06.015) 278[, 705 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2014.06.015)
- [29] S. Rudraraju, A. Van der Ven, and K. Garikipati, npj Computational Materials 2, 16012 (2016).
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>[30] J. C. Thomas and A. Van der Ven, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 107, 76 (2017).
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>[31] A. Van der Ven, J. Thomas, B. Puchala, and A. Natarajan, Annual Review of Materials Research (2018).
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>[32] J. C. Thomas and A. Van der Ven, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.214111) 88, [214111 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.214111)
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>[33] See Supplemental Material at [URL to be inserted by publisher] for symmetrized cDM basis functions for all point groups and derivation details.
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>[34] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, "Statistical physics, part 1: Volume 5 (course of theoretical physics, volume 5)," (1980).
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>[35] A. N. Bogdanov, U. K. Rößler, M. Wolf, and K.-H. Müller, Physical Review B **66**, 214410 (2002).
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>[36] A. R. Natarajan, J. C. Thomas, B. Puchala, and A. Van der Ven, Phys. Rev. B 96[, 134204 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.134204)
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>[37] F. Jonietz, S. Mühlbauer, C. Pfleiderer, A. Neubauer, W. Münzer, A. Bauer, T. Adams, R. Georgii, P. Böni, R. A. Duine, et al., Science 330, 1648 (2010).
- [38] J. Iwasaki, M. Mochizuki, and N. Nagaosa, Nature nanotechnology 8, 742 (2013).
- [39] S.-Z. Lin, C. Reichhardt, and A. Saxena, Applied Physics Letters 102, 222405 (2013).
- [40] K. Litzius, I. Lemesh, B. Krüger, P. Bassirian, L. Caretta, K. Richter, F. Büttner, K. Sato, O. A. Tretiakov, J. Förster, et al., Nature Physics 13, 170 (2017).
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>[41] W. Jiang, X. Zhang, G. Yu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, M. B. Jungfleisch, J. E. Pearson, X. Cheng, O. Heinonen, K. L. Wang, et al., Nature Physics 13, 162 (2017).
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>[42] A. A. Gusev and S. A. Lurie, [Mathematics and Mechanics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1081286515606960) of Solids 22[, 683 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1081286515606960)
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>[43] P. Bak and M. H. Jensen, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 13, L881 (1980).
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>[44] M. Hoffmann, B. Zimmermann, G. P. Müller, D. Schürhoff, N. S. Kiselev, C. Melcher, and S. Blügel,

Nature Communications 8, 308 (2017).

- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[45] K. Shibata, J. Iwasaki, N. Kanazawa, S. Aizawa, T. Tanigaki, M. Shirai, T. Nakajima, M. Kubota, M. Kawasaki, H. Park, et al., Nature nanotechnology 10, 589 (2015).
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[46] H. Siegle, A. Hoffmann, L. Eckey, C. Thomsen, J. Christen, F. Bertram, D. Schmidt, D. Rudloff, and K. Hiramatsu, [Applied Physics Letters](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.120097) 71, 2490 (1997).
- [47] H. J. Kim, S. H. Oh, and H. M. Jang, Applied physics letters 75, 3195 (1999).
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[48] G. Catalan, B. Noheda, J. McAneney, L. J. Sinnamon, and J. M. Gregg, Phys. Rev. B 72[, 020102 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.72.020102)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[49] J. W. Cahn, [Acta Metallurgica](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(61)90182-1) 9, 795 (1961).
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[50] P. Beck and M. Fähnle, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 322, 3701 (2010).
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[51] M. Heide, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, Physical Review B 78, 140403 (2008).
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[52] E. Turgut, A. Park, K. Nguyen, A. Moehle, D. A. Muller, and G. D. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. B 95[, 134416 \(2017\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.95.134416)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>[53] R. J. Zeches, M. D. Rossell, J. X. Zhang, A. J. Hatt, Q. He, C.-H. Yang, A. Kumar, C. H. Wang, A. Melville,

C. Adamo, G. Sheng, Y.-H. Chu, J. F. Ihlefeld, R. Erni, C. Ederer, V. Gopalan, L. Q. Chen, D. G. Schlom, N. A. Spaldin, L. W. Martin, and R. Ramesh, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1177046) 326, [977 \(2009\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1177046)

- [54] N. Fleck, G. Muller, M. Ashby, and J. Hutchinson, [Acta](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/0956-7151(94)90502-9) [Metallurgica et Materialia](http://dx.doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/0956-7151(94)90502-9) 42, 475 (1994).
- [55] A. Lai, Z. Du, C. L. Gan, and C. A. Schuh, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1239745) 341, [1505 \(2013\).](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1239745)
- [56] H.-J. Jin, X.-L. Wang, S. Parida, K. Wang, M. Seo, and J. Weissmuller, [Nano Letters](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/nl903262b) 10, 187 (2010).
- [57] A. N. Morozovska, E. A. Eliseev, N. Balke, and S. V. Kalinin, [Journal of Applied Physics](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3460637) 108, 053712 (2010).
- [58] J. Lee, D. A. Kitchaev, D.-H. Kwon, C. Lee, J. K. Papp, Y.-S. Liu, Z. Lun, , R. J. Clément, T. Shi, B. D. Mc-Closkey, J. Guo, M. Balasubramanian, and G. Ceder, Nature 556, 185 (2018).
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[59] Z. Morgan Chan, D. A. Kitchaev, J. Nelson Weker, C. Schnedermann, K. Lim, G. Ceder, W. Tumas, M. F. Toney, and D. G. Nocera, [Proceedings of the National](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1722235115) [Academy of Sciences \(2018\), 10.1073/pnas.1722235115.](http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1722235115)