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Geometric magnetic frustration (GMF) has attracted substantial interest due to the exotic physics and rich phase diagrams revealed by the cancellation of normally-dominant magnetic interactions, giving impetus for the search for novel frustrated systems, most often based on antiferromagnetic correlations between magnetic ions decorating triangular or tetrahedral lattices. We report here low-temperature magnetic susceptibility and muon spin relaxation results on Li$_4$MgOsO$_6$ and Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$, members of the A$_5$BO$_6$ “rock salt ordered” family of frustrated materials. In Li$_4$MgOsO$_6$ we find spin freezing below 12K. In Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$, which can crystallize into either orthorhombic $Fddd$ or monoclinic $C2/m$ crystal symmetries depending on synthesis conditions, we find magnetism consistent with glassy-like behavior dominating below 2K, with partial ordering and evidence for dynamics at somewhat higher temperatures.

I. INTRODUCTION

Antiferromagnetic (AFM) materials whose magnetic cations comprise triangular or tetrahedral sub-lattices are unable to satisfy spin correlation constraints simultaneously, resulting in a phenomenon known as geometric magnetic frustration (GMF). In GMF systems the ground states are highly degenerate, which gives rise to rich magnetic phase diagrams exquisitely sensitive to external parameters that make them interesting topics among the condensed matter physics community.\textsuperscript{1,2} In systems exhibiting GMF the degree of frustration may be quantified by the frustration index, $f = |\Theta_W|/T_N$, where $\Theta_W$ is the Weiss temperature and $T_N$ or $T_f$ are either the Néel temperatures for long-range magnetic order or the spin freezing temperatures, respectively.\textsuperscript{3}

While this phenomenon has been extensively studied in transition metal oxides with the pyrochlore structure,\textsuperscript{4} more recently a great deal of attention has been devoted to systems with face-centered cubic (fcc) coordination of magnetic ions, namely ordered NaCl structure-type systems and B-site ordered double perovskites.\textsuperscript{5,6} Among the rock-salt type oxides, materials with the A$_5$BO$_6$ general formula have been the center of attention in our research program. Here, A is a diamagnetic ion and B is a paramagnetic heavy (4$d$ or 5$d$) transition metal ion. These systems are particularly interesting as the selected B ions may appear in various oxidation states, enabling a systematic study of the nature of magnetic ground state as a function of spin quantum numbers. In addition, high-Z magnetic ions exhibit a moderate to high degree of spin-orbit coupling, which has been found to result in exotic physics in double perovskites\textsuperscript{7-13} and other frustrated systems. Furthermore, these systems crystallize in several different crystal settings, which also provide benchmark examples for the study of structure-property relationships. A$_5$BO$_6$ systems are most often found in two crystal systems, namely monoclinic ($C2/m$) and orthorhombic ($Fddd$).

We have discovered and characterized several new members of this family with B = Ru, Re, and Os. Li$_3$Mg$_2$RuO$_6$\textsuperscript{14} with Ru$^{5+}$ ($S = 3/2$) ions was the first magnetic member of the family, which was shown to crystallize in the orthorhombic space group, $Fddd$. This compound undergoes a long-range order AFM transition at $\sim$17K, which was further confirmed by a lambda-shape anomaly in the heat capacity data as well as with temperature-dependent neutron diffraction data. It showed rather mild frustration with $f \sim 6$. Subsequently, its osmate analog Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$ was synthesized and studied.\textsuperscript{15} The latter isostructural Os-base compound behaved strikingly differently from its ruthenate analogue. While a sharp peak in magnetic susceptibility data at $\sim 8K$ without any major divergence between zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) conditions was indicative of long-range order, temperature-dependent heat capacity data revealed a very broad anomaly. This compound exhibits a relatively high frustration index of $\sim$13. Furthermore, there were no magnetic neutron diffraction peaks down to 4K. Hence, the nature of the magnetic ground state of Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$ has remained uncertain.

Most recently, we were able to synthesize the $S = 1$ members of the family (Li$_4$MgOsO$_6$) in two differ-
ent crystal settings, monoclinic \( C2/m \) and orthorhombic \( Fddd \).\(^1\) The crystal structures of the two polymorphs are presented in Figures 1(a) and 1(b). The major difference between the arrangement of magnetic ions in these two systems lies in their dimensionality. While the orthorhombic lattice is composed of both 2D edge-sharing triangles and 3D structures resembling wedges (Figure 1(c)), the monoclinic phase comprises an edge-sharing triangular sub-lattice in a 2D fashion (Figure 2(d)). Nevertheless, the static magnetism in these two polymorphs were shown to be very similar. Both compounds showed no evidence of magnetic transition in temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility data down to 2K. The Curie-Weiss fits to the paramagnetic regime resulted in large, negative, and very similar Weiss constants (−115K and −122K for monoclinic and orthorhombic phases, respectively) indicating predominant AFM exchange correlations; the lack of a transition down to 2K indicates that both systems are highly frustrated (\( f > 50 \)).

However, it should be noted that \( \Theta_W \) can be sensitive to the temperature range over which it is measured, and ordering temperature can be suppressed through mechanisms other than frustration, thus \( f \) can be influenced by factors besides geometric frustration. Nonetheless, it is a useful rough metric for comparison of closely related specimens, although further study is required to confirm magnetic behavior. Therefore, to better understand the ground state magnetic properties of these specimens, we report on low-temperature magnetic susceptibility and magnetic relaxation data for both phases of \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) as well as \( \mu \)SR data for \( \text{Li}_3\text{Mg}_2\text{OsO}_6 \) and both \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) phases.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Synthesis. Nearly one gram samples of orthorhombic \( \text{Li}_3\text{Mg}_2\text{OsO}_6 \), orthorhombic \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) and monoclinic \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) were prepared by high-temperature solid state techniques. Details of these syntheses were explained in our previous reports.\(^15\),\(^17\)

Phase analyses. To examine the formation and ensure the purity of produced phases, powder X-ray diffraction was employed. Data were collected using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro MPD diffractometer, equipped with a linear X’Celerator detector, with Cu-K\( \alpha_1 \) radiation.

Magnetic susceptibility measurements. ZFC and FC low-temperature susceptibility data were collected for both \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) phases using a Quantum Design MPMS SQUID magnetometer equipped with an IQuantum \(^3\)He insert with a base temperature of 0.48K, under applied magnetic fields of 100 and 1000 Oe.

Magnetization relaxation measurements. Since the previously-studied static magnetic properties were almost identical for both \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) phases, temperature-dependent magnetic relaxation data collections were performed with a Quantum Design PPMS vibrating sample magnetometer. For this purpose, samples were cooled down under zero field to the measurement temperature. A magnetic field of 0.1 T was then applied and the time-dependent magnetization was measured for 5 to 30 ks at \( T = 5K, 10K, 20K, \) and 30K for both samples.

\( \mu \)SR spin relaxation spectroscopy. To further characterize the magnetic ground state, \( \mu \)SR measurements were performed. \( \mu \)SR spin relaxation is particularly useful in elucidating magnetic behavior in specimens with low-moment and/or spatially disordered magnetism, as are frequently encountered in geometrically frustrated systems, and can distinguish the effects of static order from dynamically fluctuating spins in systems exhibiting spin freezing. In a \( \mu \)SR experiment, spin-polarized muons are implanted one at a time into a sample, within which each undergoes Larmor precession due to local magnetic fields at the implantation site. The muons decay with a characteristic timescale of 2.2 \( \mu \)s, and emit positrons preferentially along the instantaneous spin axis of the muon at time of decay. The time and directional dependence of the positron emission can thus be used to reconstruct the time-dependent muon spin polarization function \( G_z(t) \), from which the internal field distribution may be deduced. The decay positrons are detected by a pair of counters on opposite sides of the sample, with the Asymmetry defined as the difference between the count rates in the two detectors.

![Figure 1](image)

**FIG. 1.** (a-b) Crystal structures of (a) orthorhombic \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \), and (b) monoclinic \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \). The OsO\(^6^-\) octahedra are represented in purple, and the yellow spheres represent the diamagnetic ions (\( \text{Li}^+ / \text{Mg}^{2+} \)). The red spheres are O\(^2-\) ions. (c-d) The magnetic sublattices of orthorhombic (c) and that of monoclinic \( \text{Li}_4\text{MgOsO}_6 \) (d). The purple spheres represent Os\(^6+\) and the black lines indicate magnetic exchange pathways between the nearest neighbors.
divided by the sum. Note that due to differing detector efficiencies and geometry, the baseline raw asymmetry (corresponding to zero net muon spin polarization) can be different from zero. Measurements were conducted at TRIUMF (Vancouver, BC) using the M20 beamline with 4.2 MeV surface muons and the LAMPF spectrometer at temperatures from 2 to 125K, in both zero field (ZF) and longitudinal field (LF) configurations.

III. RESULTS / DISCUSSION

Low temperature ZFC and FC SQUID data are shown for the monoclinic and orthorhombic Li₄MgOsO₆ phases in Figures 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. Clear AFM-type transitions are observed for both C2/m and Fddd phases at 1.5K and 1.3K, respectively, corresponding to frustration indices f = 77 and 94. Such divergence is indicative of spin-glass behavior for both samples, with a slightly lower transition temperature in the orthorhombic phase as compared to the monoclinic. The spin-glass magnetic ground state is also consistent with the cationic occupancy disorder between Li and Mg ions in crystallographic cationic position.¹⁸

Both phases of Li₄MgOsO₆ show slow magnetic relaxation, albeit with different long-term behavior, as shown in Figure 3. The magnetization is measured after a magnetic field of 0.1 T is established and increases in magnitude for lower temperatures. For easier comparison, the magnetization M(t) is normalized to the asymptotic magnetization value M∞, which is obtained from a single exponential fit to the experimental data. In the case of the monoclinic phase, the magnetic relaxation is more pronounced. While at 5, 10 and 20K the magnetization is still increasing over time, at 30K the opposite trend is observed. At the lowest temperatures, the sweep field speed of 100 Oe per second is faster than the sample response time, so that the magnetization is increasing in response to the applied field, whereas it is likely an aging effect gives rise to the magnetization decrease at higher temperatures. All data can be fit to a single exponential with a typical time constant of around 3000 s. These fits are shown as solid lines in Figure 3. The magnetization is still increasing over time, at 30K the opposite trend is observed. At the lowest temperatures, the sweep field speed of 100 Oe per second is faster than the sample response time, so that the magnetization is increasing in response to the applied field, whereas it is likely an aging effect gives rise to the magnetization decrease at higher temperatures. All data can be fit to a single exponential with a typical time constant of around 3000 s. These fits are shown as solid lines in Figure 3. In the case of the orthorhombic phase, there is a decrease for all temperatures, except at the lowest measured temperature of 5K, in which case a double exponential is used to capture both the initial increase that is then followed by the relaxation of the magnetization. This slow magnetic relaxation is characteristic of glassy systems.

The zero-field μSR data for Li₃Mg₂OsO₆ are shown in Figure 4(a), evidencing an onset of relaxation below 12K, consistent with glassy-type ordering. These data were fitted to the Uemura spin glass function¹⁹ which was developed for dilute magnetic alloys but has been used more generally for frustrated small-moment systems exhibiting glassy or spatially complex magnetism:

\[
G_z(t) = \frac{1}{3} \exp \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_d t} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{a_s^2 t^2}{\sqrt{\lambda_d t + a_s^2 t^2}} \right) \exp \left( -\sqrt{\lambda_d t + a_s^2 t^2} \right) \tag{1}
\]

Here, \(a_s\) represents the statically ordered moment, while \(\lambda_d\) represents relaxation due to dynamically fluctuating moments. The 2/3 term corresponds to the component of magnetic moments perpendicular to the initial muon spin asymmetry, which are relaxed by both the static and dynamic components of magnetism, whereas the 1/3 term represents the component parallel to the initial muon spin asymmetry, which is only relaxed by dynamically fluctuating moments. Fits to the Uemura spin glass function are shown in Figure 4b, exhibiting an onset of static relaxation starting just above 12K, with dynamical fluctuations peaking somewhat below 10K. The rise in \(a_s\) and the peak in \(\lambda_d\) are consistent with a progressive slowing down of spin fluctuations, resulting in static order at base temperature. Since the 1/3 and 2/3 terms
FIG. 3. The time-dependent magnetization $M(t)$ measured at four different temperatures in an applied field of 0.1 T for (a) the monoclinic phase, and (b) the orthorhombic phase, using a vibrating sample magnetometer. The data is normalized to the asymptotic magnetization value $M_\infty$ for comparison at different temperatures. The solid lines are fits to a single exponential function, and a double exponential for $T=5$K.

have similar functional forms and can exhibit significant interplay in fitting, a total relaxation equal to $\sqrt{\lambda_d^2 + a_s^2}$ is also shown and exhibits an order-parameter-like dependence with onset at 12K with the relaxation at base temperature approaching $31 \mu s^{-1}$. It should be noted the slow relaxation evident at higher temperatures (e.g. 25K) is due to nuclear dipolar relaxation from the large Li nuclear moments.

$\mu$SR data for both crystal settings of Li$_4$MgOsO$_6$ are shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). Both specimens exhibit onset of low-temperature relaxation, albeit at a temperature scale approximately half as high as in Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$, and with low-temperature relaxation rates about twenty times smaller, corresponding to a commensurately smaller ordered moment size. These data were also fitted to the Uemura spin-glass function, as shown in Fig. 5(c-d). In both cases the static moment $a_s$ exhibits order-parameter-like dependence commencing at around 5K, while dynamical relaxation $\lambda_d$ exhibits a peak and then declines toward zero as the fluctuations slow down through the muon’s characteristic time window.

In both specimens, relaxation becomes apparent at around 5K, although full order does not appear to set in until 2K or below, consistent with the low-temperature susceptibility measurements. As with Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$, the high-temperature relaxation is due to Li nuclear dipolar moments. The relaxation rates at base temperature are $1.6 \mu s^{-1}$ in the monoclinic specimen and $1.3 \mu s^{-1}$ in the orthorhombic setting. This corresponds to a 20-25% larger ordered moment size in the monoclinic specimen, commensurate with the observed ratio of ordering temperatures.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the rock-salt ordered antiferromagnets Li$_3$Mg$_2$OsO$_6$ and Li$_4$MgOsO$_6$ in the context of geometric magnetic frustration. These systems most often crystallize into either orthorhombic $Fddd$ or monoclinic $C2/m$ crystal settings; Li$_4$MgOsO$_6$ in particular can crystallize into either of these crystal settings de-
FIG. 5. (a-b) Muon spin relaxation data for Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$, fitted to the Uemura spin glass function as described in the text; the monoclinic specimen is shown at left (a), with the orthorhombic specimen at right (b). For clarity, the highest and lowest temperature traces are labeled individually. (c-d) Fits to the Uemura spin-glass function for monoclinic (c) and orthorhombic (d) Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$. Both exhibit onset of relaxation just above 5K, and become fully ordered at a temperature below 2K.

depending on synthesis conditions, making its study especially valuable in elucidating ground state determination in rock-salt ordered systems. Li$_3$I$_{MgOsO}_6$ and both crystal settings of Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$ are observed to exhibit magnetic ordering consistent with spin freezing, with dynamical slowing down of fluctuations observed while crossing through the transition. In Li$_3$I$_{MgOsO}_6$, the spin-freezing temperature indicated by muon spin relaxation is 12K. While in both specimens of Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$ the onset of relaxation in µSR data occurs around 5K, low-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements indicate an ordering temperature in both of 1.5K in the monoclinic specimen and 1.3K in the orthorhombic specimen, corresponding to high frustration indices of 77 and 94, respectively. The ordered moment size and the ordering temperature in monoclinic Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$ is about 20% larger than in its orthorhombic polymorph, highlighting the significance to ground state determination of subtle structural distortions and differing magnetic pathways, as depicted in Figure 1. The ordered moment size in the 5$d^0$ Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$ is about 20 times larger than the moment size in either specimen of 5$d^2$ Li$_4$I$_{MgOsO}_6$, although the fully ordered moment size may not be achieved by the lowest temperature (1.8K) accessible to the muon spin relaxation experiments.
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