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Using density-functional studies, we show that a spin-orbital entangled two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) forms at the (001) LaAlO$_3$/Sr$_2$IrO$_4$ polar interface between an ordinary band insulator and a spin-orbit coupled Mott insulator, aided by the combination of the spin-orbit coupling, Coulomb interaction, and polar catastrophe. Quite remarkably, the 2DEG is found to be localized on a single IrO$_2$ monolayer, unlike other polar interfaces such as LaAlO$_3$/SrTiO$_3$, where the 2DEG is several monolayers thick. The electron gas occupies the upper $J_{\text{eff}}=1/2$ Hubbard band in the interface layer, which becomes half-filled with a simple square-like Fermi surface. If successfully grown, this would be the first candidate material to host the spin-orbital entangled 2DEG.

PACS numbers: 73.20.-r, 75.70.Tj

In a seminal paper, Ohtomo and Hwang$^3$ reported the observation of a conducting layer of 2DEG at the interface between two 3d transition metal oxides, LaAlO$_3$ (LAO) and SrTiO$_3$ (STO), that led to an explosion of research in this area and the discovery of a number of exotic phenomena at the oxide interfaces such as the giant negative magnetoresistance$^2$, interfacial magnetism, which can be controlled by charge leakage$^3$ or by gate voltage$^4$, tunable Rashba spin-orbit interaction,$^5$ and the coexistence of magnetism$^6$ and superconductivity.$^7$ It has been suggested that the interface may be a candidate material for Majorana fermions$^8$ and may even host the elusive Fulde-Ferrel-Larkin-Ovchinikov superconducting state.$^9$ In all these interfaces, the conducting layers extend several monolayers into the bulk and consist of multiple subbands,$^{10}$ making them complicated quasi-2D materials. On the other hand, there has been considerable interest$^{11}$ on the 5d materials in the last few years such as Sr$_2$IrO$_4$ (SIO) on account of the large spin-orbit coupling that controls their electronic behavior, and recently experimenters have been able to grow interfaces between 3d and 5d oxides$^{12-15}$ including those between LAO and SIO, the subject of this paper.

In this paper, based on density-functional studies, we predict a sharply localized 2DEG at the (001) LAO/SIO interface between 3d and 5d oxides, with properties fundamentally different from the 3d oxide interfaces studied to date, in the sense that: (i) The 2DEG is localized on a single IrO$_2$ monolayer at the interface, (ii) The 2DEG is spin-orbital entangled due to the strong spin-orbit coupling, and (iii) The interface electronic structure consists of a single half-filled band, leading to a simple model system for the spin-orbital entangled electron gas. We studied this interface using density-functional theory (DFT) with the all-electron full-potential linear muffin-tin orbitals (FP-LMTO) method$^{16-19}$ including both the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) and Hubbard U terms in the local spin density approximation$^{20}$ (LSDA+SO+U). We used the supercell (001) (LAO)$_{5.5}$/SIO$_{4.5}$, where the half layers in the formula signify an extra layer of LaO and IrO$_2$, so that we have two identical interfaces (LaO/IrO$_2$) present in the supercell.

The interface structure is shown in Fig. 1, where only a portion of the supercell is shown. The unit cell size in the $ab$ plane was doubled ($\sqrt{2}a_0 \times \sqrt{2}a_0$) in order to accommodate the anti-ferromagnetic (AFM) structure of SIO, where $a_0$ is the in-plane Ir-Ir distance. The in-plane lattice constant of the interface structure was matched to the lattice constant of SIO, and the out-of-plane lattice constant for the LAO part was reduced to match its bulk experimental volume. The interface distance was optimized using pseudopotential method in the local-density approximation (LDA) as implemented in the Vienna $ab$-initio simulation package (VASP)$^{21,22}$. Brillouin zone integrations were carried out by using a $8 \times 8 \times 1$ k-point mesh.

With the layers on the LAO side charged alternately...
The band alignment indicates that the added electrons reside in the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ upper Hubbard band in the SIO part, which makes up the lowest conduction band. The interface Ir layer is denoted by Ir$_0$, while Ir$_1$ and Ir$_2$ are the subsequent layers, and similar notations are adopted for the La layers as well, as indicated in the top figure.

The localization region of the accumulated electrons is shown in Fig. 2. The band offset results clearly show that the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ states, constituting the valence and conduction bands in SIO, lie well within the fundamental gap of LAO. This in turn indicates that the accumulated electrons at the interface would then reside in the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ upper Hubbard band.

The band structure in the 2D interface Brillouin zone is shown in Fig. 3, which can be easily understood in terms of the bulk band structure of SIO. As already anticipated from the band offset results Fig. 2, the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ orbitals form the bands around $E_F$, with the extra electrons at the interface (half per interface Ir atom) partially occupying the interface UHB, leading to a metallic interface. What is remarkable is that unlike the well-studied LAO/STO and many other 3d oxide interfaces, (i) the extra electron at the interface is confined to a single IrO$_2$ layer and (ii) it occupies a single interfacial subband as opposed to multiple d bands. The spin-orbital entanglement of the bulk SIO continues to remain an interesting feature of the 2DEG as well. The electron states around the SIO bulk,$^{24}$ the band offset results clearly show that the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ states, constituting the valence and conduction bands in SIO, lie well within the fundamental gap of LAO. This in turn indicates that the accumulated electrons at the interface would then reside in the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ upper Hubbard band.
TABLE I: Calculated net electron charge (units of electron) on the Ir layers as well as the spin ($\mu_s$) and orbital ($\mu_l$) magnetic moments (units of $\mu_B$). $\text{Ir}_0$ is the interface layer and $\text{Ir}_1$ and $\text{Ir}_2$ are the subsequent layers. The bulk behavior is obtained already for $\text{Ir}_1$, just one layer from the interface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>atom</th>
<th>$\text{Ir}_0$</th>
<th>$\text{Ir}_1$</th>
<th>$\text{Ir}_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>charge</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_s$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_l$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$E_F$ are schematically shown in the bottom panel of Fig. (3), which also shows the square-like Fermi surface made up of the UHB of the interface Ir layer.

The magnetic moments calculated with DFT are sensitive to the choice of the Hubbard U parameter for Ir, yielding $m = 0.76\mu_B$ for $U = 3.4$ eV and $J = 0.7$ eV (present calculation), while $m = 0.36\mu_B$ for $U = 2$ eV. The measured value of $m = 0.5\mu_B$ from magnetic susceptibility.45 While SIO is a canted AFM in the bulk, our calculations show that the interface IrO$_2$ layer is nearly non-magnetic, with only a very small net AFM magnetic moment of about $0.04\mu_B$ (Table I). Note that in the Dubarev approach26, the electron states in the latter more or less confined to a single IrO$_2$ layer at the interface. The energy dispersion of the bulk-like bands is nearly identical with the band structure of the constituent SIO bulk, while the interface bands are substantially different, with the LHB and UHB nearly collapsing along the M-X line of the Brillouin zone, which is due to a reduced staggered field $\Delta$ for the interface layer as discussed below.

The interface bands can be described by the 2D Hubbard model for the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ bands on the square lattice, corresponding to a single anti-ferromagnetic IrO$_2$ layer since these layers are well separated. This model has been successfully used to describe the band structure of bulk SIO.27,28 With $c_{i\alpha}^\dagger$ being the creation operator at site $i$ (sublattice A or B) for the two $J_{\text{eff}} = m$ states, $|e_1\rangle \equiv |\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle = (|xy\uparrow\rangle + |yz\downarrow\rangle + i|xz\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$, $|e_2\rangle \equiv |\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle = (|yz\uparrow\rangle - i|xz\uparrow\rangle - |xy\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$, the Hubbard model is

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{(ij)\alpha} t_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^\dagger c_{j\alpha} + h.c. + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i\alpha} n_{i\alpha} n_{i\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (1)$$

where the hopping integral $t_{ij}$ (in general complex due to the staggered rotations of the Ir octahedra) can be made real by a gauge transformation29, $U$ is the on-site Coulomb interaction, and the summation $\langle ij \rangle$ is over distinct pairs of neighbors.

The AFM lattice structure leads to a staggered field for the $|e_1\rangle$ and $|e_2\rangle$ orbitals, which do not mix. This leads to two identical $2 \times 2$ TB Hamiltonian in the momentum space for the $|e_1\rangle$ or $|e_2\rangle$ bands, viz.,

$$\mathcal{H}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta + h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{12}^\dagger & \Delta + h_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $h_{11} = 4t_2 \cos k_x \cos k_y + 2t_3(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y)$, $h_{12} = 2t_1(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + 4t_4(\cos 2k_x \cos k_y + \cos 2k_y \cos k_x)$, $a_0 = 1$ is the lattice constant, and $\Delta = U/2 \times (n_2 - n_1)$ is the Hartree-Fock staggered field, $n_\alpha$ being the occupancy of the $|e_\alpha\rangle$ orbitals. Diagonalization yields the TB band structure

$$\varepsilon_{\pm}(k) = h_{11}(k) \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + h_{12}^2(k)}. \quad (3)$$

For bulk SIO, the TB parameters are $U = 0.65$, $t_1 = -0.095$, $t_2 = 0.015$, $t_3 = 0.035$, and $t_4 = 0.01$, all in units of eV. For the interface bands, a better fitting to the DFT bands is obtained with a significantly reduced value $U \approx 0.2$ eV, which may be due to enhanced electron screening because of the presence of the 2DEG. The condition for the conduction bottom to occur at the M point, $t_3 > t_2/2 > -t_4^2/(2\Delta)$, is easily satisfied, and the axis ratio of the elliptical contours around the M point is $r = ((2t_3 - t_2)/(t_2 + 2t_3 + 3\Delta^{-1}(t_1 - 2t_4)^2))^{1/2} \approx 0.3$ for the interface bands. This explains the strongly anisotropic energy surface around M in Fig. (3).

The TB bands (Eq.3) originating from the interface layer are shown by the dotted lines in Fig. (3). Because of the reduced $U$ and the fact that the interface $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ states are three-quarters full, while the bulk states are only half full (LHB is full and UHB is empty), which reduces the staggered field $\Delta = (U/2)(n_2 - n_1)$, the interface bands have somewhat different dispersions from the bulk bands and they occur in the middle of the bulk band gap. In Fig. (3), there are two bands crossing $E_F$, which correspond to the two interfacial $\text{Ir}_0$ atoms in the unit cell. These atoms are not identical due to their slightly different magnetic moments in the calculation, leading to two bands which are slightly separated in energy. For a truly AFM structure, these two bands would be degenerate.

The Fermi surface is nearly square as shown in the bottom part of Fig. (3) with significant nesting, which could lead to charge or spin density instabilities, and possibly to the recently predicted nematic phases.31 Study of these instabilities remains an open question both theoretically and experimentally.

To visualize the nature of the interface bands at the Fermi surface, we have plotted in Fig. 4 the charge and spin densities of the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ states crossing $E_F$. As the figure shows, the extra electrons are sharply localized on the single interfacial $\text{Ir}_0$ layer and are spin-mixed as expected for the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ band. The calculated total layer
The layer projected partial densities of states (PDOS) are shown in Fig. 5, which are again consistent with the band offset results. The LAO states lie away from $E_F$ except for a small admixture from the LaO layer adjacent to the interface. The small band gap between the UHB and the LHB within the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ manifold of bulk SIO is apparent in the Ir$_0$, Ir$_1$, and Ir$_2$ PDOS, which have already reached the bulk limit. Integrating the $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ hole state in the Ir$_0$ panel above $E_F$, we find that there are 0.5 holes in this layer, consistent with the total layer charge density shown in Table I.

In summary, we have proposed a spin-orbital entangled 2DEG at the polar interface between a 5d and a 3d oxide material, a structure that is experimentally accessible. A very interesting property of the 2DEG is that it is sharply localized to a single 2D IrO$_2$ layer unlike the oxide interfaces studied to date such as the (001) LAO/SrTiO$_3$ interface, where the electron gas extends several layers into the bulk. Additionally, the interface has a simple band structure devoid of multiple subbands, which leads to a nested square-like Fermi surface. Our work suggests a novel, spin-orbital entangled 2DEG system that is experimentally accessible and may serve as a host for potentially exotic phases hitherto unknown, such as the recently predicted nematic phase.
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