

CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Magnetic interactions in PdCrO_{2} and their effects on its magnetic structure

Manh Duc Le, Seyyoung Jeon, A. I. Kolesnikov, D. J. Voneshen, A. S. Gibbs, Jun Sung Kim, Jinwon Jeong, Han-Jin Noh, Changhwi Park, Jaejun Yu, T. G. Perring, and Je-Geun Park Phys. Rev. B 98, 024429 — Published 31 July 2018 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.98.024429

10

11

12

Magnetic interactions in PdCrO₂ and their effects on its magnetic structure

Manh Duc Le,^{1,2} Seyyoung Jeon,^{2,3} A. I. Kolesnikov,⁴ D. J. Voneshen,¹ A. S. Gibbs,¹ Jun Sung Kim,^{5,6}

Jinwon Jeong,⁷ Han-Jin Noh,⁷ Changhwi Park,³ Jaejun Yu,³ T. G. Perring,¹ and Je-Geun Park^{2,3}

¹ISIS Neutron and Muon Source, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, UK

²IBS Research Center for Correlated Electron Systems, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

⁴Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6473, USA

⁵Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673, Korea

⁷Department of Physics, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, Korea

(Dated: July 12, 2018)

We report a neutron scattering study of the metallic triangular lattice antiferromagnet PdCrO₂. Powder neutron diffraction measurements confirm that the crystalline space group symmetry remains $R\bar{3}m$ below T_N . This implies that magnetic interactions consistent with the crystal symmetry do not stabilise the non-coplanar magnetic structure which was one of two structures previously proposed on the basis of single crystal neutron diffraction measurements. Inelastic neutron scattering measurements find two gaps at low energies which can be explained as arising from a dipolar-type exchange interaction. This symmetric anisotropic interaction also stabilises a magnetic structure very similar to the coplanar magnetic structure which was also suggested by the single crystal diffraction study. The higher energy magnon dispersion can be modelled by linear spin wave theory with exchange interactions up to sixth nearest-neighbors, but discrepancies remain which hint at additional effects unexplained by the linear theory.

13

14

PACS numbers: 78.70.Nx, 75.30.Ds, 75.50.-y, 75.25.+z

I. INTRODUCTION

Geometric magnetic frustration, wherein the exchange in-15 ¹⁶ teractions between spins on particular types of lattices cannot be simultaneously satisfied, can lead to novel ground states [1] 17 and unusual excitations [2, 3]. In the case of the triangu-18 lar lattice, for example, a spin liquid ground state was fa-19 mously predicted by Anderson [4] for $S = \frac{1}{2}$. Even for larger 20 S, where a non-collinear 120° spin structure can provide a 21 non-degenerate ground state to satisfy the frustration, effects 22 such as magnon decays [5], magnon-phonon coupling [6, 7] 23 and multiferroicity have been observed. In addition, if the 24 magnetic electrons are itinerant, complex chiral magnetic or-25 dering can emerge due to Fermi surface nesting as a result of 26 the triangular geometry [8]. Similar chiral structures are also 27 obtained if the itinerant electrons, coupled by ferromagnetic 28 double-exchange interactions, compete with antiferromagnet-29 ically (superexchange) coupled local moments [9]. 30

One candidate for such a material is the metallic delafossite 31 compound PdCrO₂, where Cr^{3+} ($S = \frac{3}{2}$) spins form triangu-32 lar layers in the *ab* plane separated by Õ-Pd-O dumbbells, as 33 shown in Fig. 1(a). The triangular chromium-oxide layers are 34 insulating and host localised spins on the Cr ions, whilst the 35 Pd d-electrons are itinerant and form conducting layers sand-36 wiched by the magnetic CrO₂ layers. In common with other 37 metallic delafossites [10], the in-plane resistivity of PdCrO₂ 38 is astonishingly low $\approx 9 \,\mu\Omega$.cm at room temperature [11, 12], 39 which is of the same order of magnitude as elemental metallic 40 conductors. This has motivated studies of its electronic struc-41 ⁴² ture, through quantum oscillations [11, 13] and angle-resolved ⁴³ photoemission spectroscopy [14, 15]. These works showed ⁴⁴ that the non-magnetic Fermi surface is reconstructed into the

⁴⁵ magnetic Brillouin zone below the antiferromagnetic transi-⁴⁶ tion at $T_N = 37.5$ K, and suggest a strong coupling between ⁴⁷ the localised and conduction electrons.

One particularly interesting feature is the observation of an 49 unusual anomalous Hall effect [16], in which the Hall coef-⁵⁰ ficient is not proportional to the magnetisation. This was at-51 tributed to a non-coplanar magnetic structure of the Cr spins ⁵² which would allow a finite scalar spin chirality in the presence ⁵³ of a magnetic field [17]. The non-coplanar magnetic structure ⁵⁴ consists of spins in each triangular *ab* layers lying in a vertical ⁵⁵ plane whose orientation changes from layer to layer. The spin ⁵⁶ plane for each *ab* layer always includes the *c*-axis and makes ⁵⁷ an angle α with respect to the *a*-axis, as shown in Fig. 1(c). In ⁵⁸ the non-coplanar structure proposed by [17], there are two an-₅₉ gles $\alpha_1 = 31^\circ$ and $\alpha_2 = 44^\circ$ for consecutive layers. However, the 60 same single crystal neutron diffraction study [17] found that ⁶¹ this non-coplanar structure could barely be distinguished from ₆₂ a very similar *coplanar* structure, with a single α =35° for all 63 layers. This coplanar structure, however, has zero net scalar 64 spin chirality and cannot explain the unconventional anoma-65 lous Hall effect.

To shed further light on this matter we have used neutron scattering to elucidate the magnetic exchange interacmodel and constructed a spin Hamiltonian which can be used to model and determine the most energetically favourable magnetic structure. This is supported by density functional theory calculations of the ground state energy of the different magnetic structures. In addition, we were also motivated by the apparent strong coupling between the localised spins and conduction electrons to look for how this would modify the exconstructures.

⁶Center for Artificial Low Dimensional Electronic Systems,

Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Pohang 37673, Korea



FIG. 1. (Color online) The crystal and magnetic structure of PdCrO₂. (a) the crystal structure showing the Cr-O and Pd-O bonds. (b) A view of a single Cr layer with the in-plane magnetic interactions highlighted. Solid lines (shading-coded by the type of anisotropic dipolar interaction, labelled A_i) show nearest neighbor couplings, whilst dash-dotted, arrowed, and lighter dotted lines show further neighbor interactions up to fourth nearest neighbor. Single-headed arrows indicate the spin moment direction for each Cr^{3+} ion, with some pointing along the c axis. Double-headed arrows indicate the exchange interactions. (c) The nearest inter-layer interactions shading-coded by the type of dipolar interactions, and the angle α between the vertical spin plane and the *a*-axis. The diagonal boxes show inter-layer bonds whose exchange energy do not cancel, and indicate the preferred orientation of the spin plane as described in the text in section III B 2. The ions in a particular layer are colored the same, with the lowest layer $(z = \frac{1}{6})$ in red, the middle layer $(z = \frac{1}{2})$ in blue and the top layer $(z = \frac{5}{6})$ in green. (d) shows a side view of the couplings in (c), the ϕ angle between equivalent spins in different layers, and also illustrates the staggered (alternating) chirality in different triangular layers, where the sense of the 120° rotation between adjacent spins change in different layers.

76

II. METHODS

A 22 g powder sample was synthesised through an ion-77 exchange reaction [18], and used in inelastic neutron scat-78 tering measurements on the Sequoia [19] (Spallation Neutron 79 80 Source, Oak Ridge) and LET [20] (ISIS facility) spectrom⁸² performed on HRPD [21] (ISIS).

The Sequoia measurements used higher incident energies 83 ⁸⁴ (8-120 meV) to observe the overall magnon dispersion over a ⁸⁵ wide temperature range from 5 to 200 K, whilst the LET mease surements concentrated on the low energy gaps at 5 K using E_i ⁸⁷ from 1.8 to 7 meV. The inelastic data were reduced using the et eters, whilst neutron powder diffraction measurements were 🐭 Mantid [22] program, and analysed using the SpinW [23] lin-



FIG. 2. The measured (red cross) and refined (black line) powder diffraction pattern of PdCrO2 from by the backscattering detectors of HRPD at 286 K. Ticks below the pattern indicate, in order from top to bottom, the positions of nuclear reflections of $PdCrO_2$ and the impurity phases Cr_2O_3 (0.63 wt%), PdO (0.84 wt%) and LiCl (0.13 wt%) respectively. The small peak at ≈ 2.14 Å is from the vanadium sample container. The inset shows the measured patterns at 286 K and 4.3 K indicating that there is little change in the diffraction pattern below $T_N = 37.5$ K.

113

⁸⁹ ear spin-wave theory and McPhase [24] mean-field modelling ¹¹² packages. The calculated spin wave spectrum was convoluted 90 with a Gaussian lineshape whose width in energy transfer was 91 obtained from an analytical calculation of the chopper open-92 ing times [25, 26], and whose width in momentum transfer 93 was obtained from the angular widths of the sample as seen 94 from the moderator and detectors combined with the calcu-95 lated divergence of the neutron guides at the incident ener-96 gies used. We used the coplanar 120° magnetic structure 97 found in section III B 2 for the spin wave calculations, but also 98 found that using either of the magnetic structures proposed in 99 ref [17] produced negligible differences in the calculated con-100 voluted spectra. 101

Diffraction patterns at 4.3 and 286 K were acquired on 102 HRPD. Data from the backscattering detector banks in the 103 time-of-flight range from 30-130 ms, and additionally 10-104 10ms in the case of the 286 K data, were analysed by the 105 Rietveld method as implemented in the general structure anal-106 ysis system (GSAS) [27] using the EXPGUI interface [28]. 107

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations of PdCrO₂ 108 were carried out to determine the stability of several mag-109 ¹¹⁰ netic structure models was carried out using the OpenMX ¹³² 111 code [29, 30] within the LDA+U framework [31].

III. RESULTS

A. Neutron Powder Diffraction

Figure 2 shows the measured powder neutron diffraction 115 data at 4.3 and 286 K. Very little difference was observed be-¹¹⁶ tween the patterns measured above and below $T_N = 37.5$ K, 117 as indicated by the inset. Rietveld refinements were car-¹¹⁸ ried out using the literature reported $R\bar{3}m$ and a distorted ¹¹⁹ C2/m crystal structure. The effects of anisotropic strain, af-120 ter Stephens [32], and small [33] amounts of impurities were 121 accounted for in the refinement. We found that at 286 K ¹²² the $R\bar{3}m$ structure (R_{wp} =3.34 %, χ^2 =6.38 with isotropic dis-¹²³ placement parameters) fitted better than the C2/m structure $(R_{wp}=5.05 \%, \chi^2=14.56 \text{ with isotropic displacement parame-}$ 125 ters), whilst at 4.3 K, the two structures had similar R-factors with the $R\bar{3}m$ structure very marginally smaller ($R_{wp}=2.46\%$), $_{127} \chi^2 = 9.47$ for $R\bar{3}m$ compared to $R_{wp} = 2.48$ %, $\chi^2 = 9.63$ for $_{128}$ C2/m, both with isotropic displacement parameters). We thus ¹²⁹ conclude that the space group of PdCrO₂ remains $R\bar{3}m$ be- $_{130}$ low T_N , and that no symmetry lowering distortions could be observed. The refined parameters are given in Table I. 131

The $R\bar{3}m$ crystal structure imposes several strong con-¹³³ straints on the terms of the spin Hamiltonian. The first is

Atom	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
Pd	0.673(6)	0.673(6)	0.426(10)	0.3364(28)	0.0	0.0
Cr	0.483(8)	0.483(8)	0.498(14)	0.242(4)	0.0	0.0
0	0.586(4)	0.586(4)	0.469(7)	0.2933(21)	0.0	0.0

TABLE I. Anisotropic displacement parameters of PdCrO₂ in units of (100 Å²) obtained from refinement of powder neutron diffraction data at room temperature, using the data from both the 10 to 110 ms and 30 to 130 ms time-of-flight windows. The space group is $R\bar{3}m$, with lattice parameters a = 2.922692(15) Å and c = 18.08691(11) Å. The Pd atoms are at the 3a Wyckoff sites (0, 0, 0), the Cr atoms occupy the 3b sites (0, 0, 0.5) and the O atoms the 6c sites (0, 0, z)with z=0.110511(8). The weighted R_{wp} =3.07 %, with χ^2 =5.383.

that the exchange interactions must agree with the point group 134 symmetry of the mid-point of the Cr-Cr bond (Wyckoff d or e sites, for intra- or inter-layer interactions respectively, both 136 having point group 2/m or C_{2h}). The second is that singleion anisotropy terms must be invariant under the operations of 138 the point group symmetry of the magnetic Cr sites (Wyckoff 139 site, point group $\bar{3}m$ or D_{3d}). The three-fold rotation axis 140 b parallel to c of D_{3d} implies that the only allowed quadratic 141 anisotropy term is the KS_{τ}^{2} term, which may produce either an 142 easy-axis along the *c*-axis (K < 0) or an easy-plane perpendic-143 ular to the *c*-axis (K > 0). An easy-axis single-ion anisotropy 144 would favour a spin plane which includes the *c*-axis as re-145 ported in [17], but the azimuth angle of the plane, α , would 146 still be undetermined, as the ground state magnetic energy for 147 all α would be degenerate. 148

In the context of the proposed non-coplanar structure of 149 ¹⁵⁰ PdCrO₂, however, the first point is more important, as the Wyckoff d and e sites, mid-points of all Cr-Cr pairs, are cen-151 152 ters of symmetry. This implies that Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interactions are forbidden [34] for all pairs of Cr spins. 153 Since the alternating rotations of α in consecutive *ab*-layers 154 in the non-coplanar magnetic structure proposed by [17] may 155 only be stabilised by an inter-layer DM interaction, this con-156 straint implies that the non-coplanar structure cannot be re-157 alised. This is in agreement with DFT calculations which 158 show that the coplanar magnetic structure has the lowest elec-159 tronic ground state energy, as explained in more detail in sec-160 tion III C. Nonetheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that 161 there exist very small distortions which cannot be detected by 162 the current experiments and which break the inversion sym-163 metry of the system and hence allow a DM interaction. In-164 deed, we note that any vertical 120° magnetic structure in this 165 lattice breaks inversion symmetry, and will discuss these is-166 sues in greater detail in the conclusions. 167

B. Powder Inelastic Neutron Scattering 168

169 170 171 172 tensity map (a) and as cuts (d) along neutron energy transfer at 222 their next-nearest neighbors whilst in anti-phase with their

173 constant |Q| around the antiferromagnetic ordering wavevec- $_{174}$ tor $(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2})$ at |Q| = 1.5 Å. Two peaks at $E_1 = 15.4$ meV and $_{175}$ $E_2 = 7.7$ meV are seen. Their momentum dependence follows ¹⁷⁶ the magnetic form factor of Cr^{3+} and we attribute them to van 177 Hove singularities corresponding to the maxima of two different branches of the magnon dispersion. Panel (b) shows data 178 measured at E_i =60 meV as a function of temperature. The two magnon peaks disappear above $T_N = 37.5$ K but a signif-180 ¹⁸¹ icant amount of low energy inelastic scattering remains up to 200 K, indicating that magnetic fluctuations persist up to at 182 least $5T_N$. These are likely to be associated with correlations ¹⁸⁴ within the triangular *ab* layers where the exchange interac-185 tion are strong, whilst the magnetic ordering results from the weaker inter-layer exchange interaction coupling each layer. 186 This is consistent with the large difference between the Curie-187 Weiss temperature ($\theta_{CW} \approx 500$ K [35]) compared to T_N in this 188 189 compound, indicating that the inter-layer interactions which ¹⁹⁰ are responsible for the Nèel ordering is significantly smaller 191 than other interactions in the system.

Figure 4 shows the data measured with $E_i=7$ and 3 meV 192 ¹⁹³ using the LET spectrometer. Two clear energy edges, at $_{194} E_3 = 2.2$ and $E_4 = 0.4$ meV, can be seen in the energy cuts, ¹⁹⁵ which are likely to be from energy gaps caused by the mag-¹⁹⁶ netic anisotropy. However, as noted in section III A, only the $_{197}$ KS²₇ single-ion anisotropy term is allowed by the crystalline ¹⁹⁸ symmetry of PdCrO₂, and this term only results in a single ¹⁹⁹ anisotropy energy gap, rather than the two observed edges E_3 $_{200}$ and E_4 . This suggests that an additional interaction must be 201 included, and this, together with its effect on the magnetic ²⁰² structure, is considered in section III B 2. However, we will ²⁰³ first discuss how the Heisenberg exchange interactions can be ²⁰⁴ obtained from the high energy data.

1. High energy spectrum

The dominant magnetic interaction between Cr³⁺ ions, with $S = \frac{3}{2}$, is expected to be the superexchange, which gen-207 erally results in a Heisenberg Hamiltonian: 208

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{Heisenberg}} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + \sum_i K(S_z^{(i)})^2, \qquad (1)$$

where the exchange interactions J_{ii} between ion pairs i and j ²¹⁰ up to sixth-nearest-neighbor are considered in this work, and K > 0 is the easy plane anisotropy constant. The peak energies $E_1 = 15.4$ meV and $E_2 = 7.7$ meV may be modelled 213 by the above spin Hamiltonian in linear spin wave theory, ²¹⁴ and correspond to the energy of modes at the magnetic Bril-²¹⁵ louin zone edges where next-nearest neighbor spins (which ²¹⁶ are ferromagnetically aligned) precess either in-phase (E_2) or ²¹⁷ in anti-phase (E_1) . Note that for both modes, nearest neigh- $_{218}$ bor spins (aligned at 120° with respect to each other) precess Figure 3 shows the high energy part of magnon spectrum $_{219}$ in anti-phase. This means that for the E_2 mode one third of obtained using the Sequoia spectrometer. Panel (a) and (d) 220 next-nearest spins cannot be satisfied and thus remain stationshows the data measured at 5 K with $E_i=25$ meV as a 2D in- 221 ary. That is, they cannot simultaneously precess in-phase with

205



FIG. 3. (Color online) Measured powder-averaged magnon spectra (a) from Sequoia with $E_i=25$ meV, compared with (c) calculated spectra using SpinW. (b) and (d) Constant momentum transfer cuts around the magnetic Bragg peak at $Q = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ with (b) E_i =60 meV, integrated over the range 1.4 < |O| < 1.6 Å⁻¹ and (d) $E_i=25$ meV. Lines in (b) shows the fitted elastic scattering of the 5 K dataset, scaled to fit the elastic intensities of the other datasets, to emphasise the extensive low energy inelastic scattering which persists to the highest temperatures measured. Lines in (d) are the sum of the fitted elastic peak and resolution convoluted calculations from linear spin-wave theory. In both (b) and (d) a peak shape defined by the convolution of an Ikeda-Carpenter and a pseudo-Voigt function was used to fit the elastic line.

224 225 E_1 is determined by $E_1 \approx 5J_1$. 226

227 228 229 230 231 232 233 in the opposite way to decrease the energy of E_2 with respect ²⁵⁶ the E_2 mode as noted above. 234 $_{235}$ to E_1 . This suggests that to match the observed ratio of the $_{257}$ 236 237 238 239 240 241 analysis. 242

243 $_{244}$ of the two remaining in-plane exchange interactions from the $_{266}$ spects to the E_1/E_2 ratio as described above. As can be seen

nearest neighbors. Thus a spin-wave model with only nearest- $_{245}$ two observed energies E_1 and E_2 . However, previous analneighbor interactions implies a ratio $E_1 = 1.5E_2$ [36] because 246 yses [37, 38] of data for CuCrO₂, which also adopts the deonly two thirds as many spins contribute. The actual value of 247 lafossite structure and has a similar magnetic structure, have ²⁴⁸ retained up to third nearest neighbor interactions, which were That we observe a ratio closer to $E_1 \approx 2E_2$ thus requires ²⁴⁹ determined to be still significant $(J_2/J_1 \approx 18\%$ and $J_3/J_1 \approx$ non-zero further neighbor interactions. A ferromagnetic next- 250 3% [38]). Furthermore, for two non-zero interactions (either nearest neighbor interaction, J_2 , pushes E_2 higher in energy $_{251}$ J_1 and J_2 or J_1 and J_3), the E_1 and E_2 peak intensities are calelative to E_1 , because it favours the in-phase precession of 252 culated to be approximately equal, or with the E_1 peak slightly next-nearest neighbor spins and thus allows more than two 253 more intense than the E_2 peak, in clear contrast to the data, thirds of spins to participate in the E_2 mode. A ferromagnetic ²⁵⁴ where the ratio of the peaks is $I_2/I_1 \approx 2$. This can be rectified third-nearest neighbor interaction, J_3 , on the other hand, acts 255 by increasing $|J_2|$ as this favours the in-phase precession of

Using these two constraints, $E_1/E_2 = 2$ and $I_2/I_1 = 2$, and peak energies $E_1/E_2 \approx 2$, an antiferromagnetic $J_2 > 0$ or a 258 the absolute energies of the peaks E_1 and E_2 , we obtained ferromagnetic $J_3 < 0$ is needed. An in-plane fourth nearest 259 the intra-layer exchange interactions J_1 , J_2 and J_3 listed in neighbor interaction, J₄, on the other hand does not change 260 Table II. These exchange constants give a mean-field Curiethe ratio E_1/E_2 but serves to scale the overall bandwidth of 261 Weiss temperature $\theta_{CW}^{\text{mean-field}} = -725$ K which somewhat the magnon excitations in a similar way to J_1 , so we will not $_{262}$ overestimates the observed $\theta_{CW}^{\text{measured}} = -500$ K [35]. This is consider it or further neighbor interactions in the following 263 because the $I_2/I_1 = 2$ ratio implies a large $|J_2|$ which in turn ²⁶⁴ demands a larger $|J_1|$ and a larger $|J_3|$ of the same sign as J_2 Setting either J_2 or J_3 to zero would yield unique values 265 to keep the $E_1/E_2 = 2$ ratio, as J_3 acts opposite to J_2 with re²⁶⁷ in Fig. 3, however, the width of peak E_2 at 7.7 meV is not resolution limited and it has a low energy shoulder which can-268 269 not be fitted by our linear spin wave theory model, regardless of the number of parameters included. There is thus some 270 uncertainty in the integrated intensity I_2 and hence in the ra-271 ²⁷² tio I_2/I_1 which may well be smaller than we have used here, 273 thus resulting in an overall reduction of the estimates of the ²⁷⁴ exchange interactions. In any case, the exchange interactions 275 given here should be considered an approximate estimate, and more detailed single-crystal study of the magnon dispersion 276 277 will be needed to obtain more accurate values. Nonetheless, we note that the exchange interactions obtained here are con-278 sistent with PdCrO₂ having a coplanar 120° magnetic struc-279 ture according to the theoretical phase diagrams of Messio et 280 al. [39]. On this phase diagram, a larger J_2 or smaller (or fer-281 romagnetic) J₃ would stabilise a non-coplanar magnetic structure, but this structure would have magnetic Bragg reflections 283 at $(\frac{1}{2}00)$ and equivalent points in contrast to observations. 284

Whilst the intra-layer interactions determine the energies 285 ₂₈₆ of the two peaks seen in the constant |Q| cuts, the main effect of the *inter*-layer exchange is to broaden the peak widths 287 by lifting the degeneracy of the magnon modes at the zone 288 boundary. However, large values of this inter-layer interaction 289 would stabilise an incommensurate magnetic structure [37], 290 in contrast to observations which showed that the propagation 322 nism, and has a coupling strength which scales with the square 291 292 293 294 295 that the spin wave eigenvalues at the Γ point should be real $_{327}$ bond symmetry. 296 which would otherwise indicate an incommensurate structure 328 297 is more favourable), and the width of the $E_1 = 15.4$ meV peak 298 to determine the three nearest-neighbor inter-layer exchange 299 interactions J_{c1} , J_{c2} and J_{c3} as shown in Table II. As with 300 the intra-layer interaction, however, there is a degree of un-301 certainty in these parameters because the major feature of the 302 data sensitive to them, the widths of the magnon peaks, may 303 also have contributions from other processes, such as magnon 304 305 decay. A single crystal measurement of the dispersion along 330 $_{306}$ Q_L is thus needed to accurately determine these parameters.

307

2. Low energy spectrum

308 $_{309} E_3 = 2.2 \text{ meV}$ and $E_4 = 0.4 \text{ meV}$, whilst only one single-ion $_{337}$ in Fig. 1(b) and (c). 310 anisotropy term yielding one anisotropy gap is permitted by 338 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 the spin-orbit coupling. This latter case is often referred as a 348 z-component, this term also has no z-component, which means $_{321}$ pseudo-dipolar interaction, in contrast to the classical mecha- $_{349}$ that there is a net Ising-like $-S_i^z S_i^z$ easy-axis anisotropy from

		Bond dist (Å)			
$J_1 \text{ (meV)}$	6	2.9			
$J_2 \text{ (meV)}$	1.2	5.1			
$J_3 \text{ (meV)}$	0.6	5.8			
J_{c1} (meV)	0.3	6.2			
J_{c2} (meV)	0.13	6.9			
J_{c3} (meV)	0.048	7.5			
<i>K</i> (meV) -0.02					
χ^2_{red}	43.5				
$T_N^{\rm MF}$ (K)	39				
$\theta_{CW}^{\mathrm{MF}}$ (K)	-725				

TABLE II. Spin wave exchange parameters fitted to data in meV. Positive values indicate antiferromagnetic exchange. Also shown is the reduced χ^2 calculated from the cuts shown in figures 3 and 4, and the mean-field calculated Néel and Curie-Weiss temperatures from the stated exchange constants (T_N is calculated from only the interlayer interactions, whilst θ_{CW} is calculated from all exchanges). For comparison, the measured values are $T_N = 37.5$ K and $\theta_{CW} =$ -500 K [35].

vector, $q = (\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{2})$, is commensurate. In addition, the long- 323 of the spin-orbit coupling constant [34, 40, 41]. The diporange 3D Néel order depends strongly on the inter-layer in- 324 lar interaction is symmetric, and thus is not forbidden by the teraction, such that they are the main determinant of T_N . We 325 C_{2h} Cr-Cr bond symmetry, in contrast to the Dzyaloshinskiihave thus used mean-field calculations of T_N , the requirement $_{326}$ Moriya interactionis anti-symmetric and does not satisfy the

The form of the dipolar interaction is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij}^{\text{dipolar}} = -\frac{\mu_0 g^2 \mu_B^2}{4\pi} \frac{3(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij})(\mathbf{S}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) - \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j}{r_{ij}^3} \quad , \qquad (2)$$

which may also be expressed as $\mathcal{H}_{ij}^{\text{dip}} = \mathbf{S}_i \overline{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}_i \mathbf{S}_j$ where $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{A}}}_{ij} =$ $-\frac{\mu_{0}g^{2}\mu_{B}^{2}}{4\pi r_{ij}^{3}}\left(3\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}^{\top}-\delta_{ij}\right). \text{ The } \mathbf{S}_{i}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} \text{ and } \mathbf{S}_{j}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} \text{ terms couple}$ ³³¹ only the components of the spins along the bond direction $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ii}$, ³³² which makes the dipolar (or pseudo-dipolar) interaction bond-³³³ and magnetic-structure dependent. In addition, these terms also result in the \mathbf{r}_{ii} direction being a local easy direction. ³³⁵ For PdCrO₂, there are three inequivalent bonds for the nearest As noted in section IIIB, we observe two anisotropy gaps, 336 neighbor intra- and inter-plane interactions which are shown

Let us consider first the nearest neighbors within a trianthe D_{3d} point symmetry of the Cr site. Thus in addition to the 339 gular *ab*-plane. Figure 1(b) shows that each type of dipo-Heisenberg term Eq. (1), we turn to an anisotropic exchange 340 lar bond (denoted by different colored solid lines) connects interaction as the mechanism behind the second gap. How- 341 a spin to neighboring spins which are rotated at 120° and ever, the measured magnetic susceptibility along the c-axis $_{342} - 120^{\circ}$ with respect to it (note that as the figure shows the and in the *ab* plane is very similar [35] which implies that any 343 projection onto the *ab* plane, the spins appear to be aligned anisotropic exchange interaction is small. One possible in- 344 anti-parallel). This means that the $(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1)(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}_1)$ term (for teraction is the dipolar coupling, which may arise classically $_{345}$ nearest neighbors linked by \mathbf{r}_1) cancels for each type of bond, from interactions between local Cr spin moments, or from a 346 so that no particular in-plane spin direction is favoured by the modification of the direct or super-exchange interactions by $_{347}$ nearest-neighbor dipolar interaction. However, since $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_1$ has no



FIG. 4. (Color online) Measured powder-averaged magnon spectra from LET with (a) $E_i=7$ meV and (b) $E_i=3$ meV, compared with calculated spectra using SpinW (white contour lines). (c) Constant |Q|cuts integrating over the range [1.4, 1.5] Å⁻¹ of the E_i =7 meV (red squares) and $E_i=3$ meV (black circles) data with linear spin-wave in the text.

 $_{350}$ the final $-\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i$ term in equation (2). The cancellation of the in-plane exchange components leaving a net c-axis anisotropy 351 also holds true for the other in-plane dipolar interactions. This 353 anisotropy energy gaps. 354

354

356 rection serves to lift the degeneracy of the spin waves with precession axes that include a component in the ab-plane, and yields the required second energy gap observed in the data. 358 Using the combined Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\hat{H}eisenberg} + \mathcal{H}^{dipolar}$ and 359 values of $\overline{A}_{1,n}$ obtained from the classical dipolar interaction $_{361}$ ($|\overline{\overline{A}}_{1.n}| \approx 0.02$ meV), we found splittings of $E_3^{\text{calc}} \approx 1.6$ meV $_{362}$ and $E_4^{\text{calc}} \approx 0.5$ meV. Thus, the calculated E_4 is slightly higher 363 than that measured, as illustrated in Fig. 4. The larger energy gap E_3 is from the Ising-like *c*-axis anisotropy term which 364 acts on all spins, whilst the smaller energy gap E_4 is from the in-plane dipolar anisotropy where each type of dipolar interac-366 tion acts only on one third of spins. In order to better fit the ob-367 served $E_3 = 2.2$ meV, a small easy axis single-ion anisotropy term K = -0.02 meV needs to be added. The lower observed 369 value of $E_4 = 0.4$ meV compared to $E_4^{\text{calc}} \approx 0.5$ meV may be 370 due to screening of the moments. 37

Because of the rhombohedral symmetry, successive trian-372 gular layers along the c-axis are offset by $\frac{1}{2}$ of a unit cell in the 373 [110]-direction, which means that the symmetry of the inter-374 layer dipolar interactions is different to that of the in-plane in-375 teractions. The three inequivalent bonds are shown in Fig. 1(c)376 377 by different colored lines. Unlike for the in-plane interactions, 378 the nearest neighbor spins connected by a given dipolar bond do not necessarily form pairs whose energy cancel. Instead, 380 as highlighted by the light blue rectangles in the figure, one set of dipolar bonds will connect next-neighbor (inter-layer) 381 spins which are parallel. The energy of these bonds will be 382 lower than the other two bonds, so that its preferred direction 383 (along the bond direction) is also the globally preferred spin 384 direction. 385

In Fig. 1 we chose one particular stacking of the *ab* layer 386 120° magnetic structure which favours the blue $A_{c1.2}$ bonds 387 and hence $\alpha=30^{\circ}$. This choice was made for consistency with the single crystal diffraction work of Ref. [17]. However, 389 there are other stacking arrangements which would favour ei-390 ther of the other two types of bonds, and hence either $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ 391 or $\alpha = 150^{\circ}$, which are energetically equivalent to the case we 392 have illustrated. Thus, in a real crystal there would be dif-393 ferent domains where the easy plane is in these other directions. Finally, we note that the planes defined by $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$. 395 90° and 150° are the vertical mirror planes of the $R\bar{3}m$ struc-396 ture. Choosing one of these mirror planes to be the spin plane breaks the three-fold symmetry of the crystalline structure so 398 the symmetry of the magnetic structure becomes C2'. This symmetry breaking arises from the combination of the in-400 plane magnetic structure, which has a three-fold periodicity, 401 402 and the inter-layer dipolar interaction which has a two-fold petheory calculations (solid and dashed lines) for the model discussed 403 riodicity but depends on the relative orientations of the spins. 404 Thus, whilst each interaction individually obeys the crystal 405 symmetry, together they act to break it in the magnetic struc-406 ture, without necessarily requiring a symmetry breaking crys-407 tal distortion.

The angle $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ is close to that reported ($\alpha = 35^{\circ}$) for the 408 409 coplanar structure of PdCrO₂ [17]. Moreover, equivalent val-410 ues have also been reported in similar Cr-based delafossite net c-axis anisotropy provides one of the two observed spin 411 compounds which have vertical 120° spin structures. In par-412 ticular, CuCrO₂ has $\alpha = 150^{\circ}$ [42] (spins in the [110] – [001] In addition, the local easy axis defined by each \mathbf{r}_{ij} bond di- 413 plane, and equivalent to $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$), and LiCrO₂ has $\alpha = 158^{\circ}$ [43]

₄₁₄ (equivalent to α =38°). Thus, both these cases can also be explained by a dipolar interaction. 415

In addition to favouring a particular spin plane orientation 416 α , the inter-layer dipolar interaction also forces the spins in al-417 ternating planes to be rotated by an additional angle, denoted 418 ϕ , around the plane normal. Unlike for α , where the preferred 419 angle is determined by geometry, ϕ is very sensitive to the 420 relative magnitude of the off- and on-diagonal components of 421 the dipolar interaction tensor. Whilst the geometry will fix a 422 particular value for this ratio, and hence ϕ , for a set of neigh-423 oring spins at a particular distance, this will be different for 424 set of further neighbors. Thus, we observed that varying the 425 ange of the dipolar interaction in the calculations can change 426 drastically across the full range of angles. This implies that 427 ϕ will be particularly sensitive to defects or stacking faults 428 in the material which will modify the dipolar interaction at 429 longer ranges, and the physically observed ϕ cannot be pre-430 dicted with any degree of confidence from the dipolar model. 431 The energy splittings between the spin-wave branches at Γ 432 varies approximately as $\sqrt{|\overline{A}_{ij}|}$, so due to the $\frac{1}{r^3}$ dependence 433 434 of the dipolar interaction, one would expect that the additional 435 splittings of the spin-wave branches caused by the inter-layer 436 interaction is $\approx \frac{1}{3}$ that of the nearest-neighbor intra-layer in-437 teraction, since $r_1 = 2.9$ Å and $r_{c1} = 6.2$ Å. This serves to 438 smear out the edges E_3 and E_4 but does not significantly shift their energies. However, because of the small magnitudes 440 of the further neighbor dipolar interactions, this smearing is minimal. In particular, it cannot explain the relatively smooth 441 $_{442}$ edge seen in the data in Fig. 4(c) compared to the sharper cal-⁴⁴³ culated edge. The observed width of the edge is much broader than the instrument energy resolution, suggesting that it is 444 caused by some other interaction which lifts the degeneracy 445 of the magnon modes at the zone centre thus giving a range 446 of gap energies. Large inter-layer interactions J_{cn} would have 447 this result and can give better fit to this low temperature data, 448 but will also give a much broader $E_1=15.4$ meV peak in dis-449 agreement with the high energy data. 450

Despite this, the close agreement between the α angles im-451 plied by the dipolar interaction with that measured strongly 452 453 suggests that this interaction plays a large role in determining the magnetic structure of PdCrO₂. Moreover, the nearest 454 455 neighbor dipolar interaction can also explain the presence of 456 two energy edges in the low energy magnetic excitation spectrum, whereas the symmetry allowed single-ion anisotropy 457 term can only yield one energy gap. That the energies of the 458 459 edges predicted by the nearest-neighbor classical dipolar in-460 teraction (1.6 and 0.5 meV) and those measured ($E_3 \approx 2 \text{ meV}$ ⁴⁶¹ and $E_4 \approx 0.4$ meV) agree relatively well also reinforce the im-⁴⁶² portance of the dipolar interaction.

463

C. Ab initio calculations

464 465 $_{466}$ code [29–31] to obtain the total energies for a series of differ- $_{495}$ possibilities of having variations of ϕ_i angles within the copla-⁴⁶⁷ ent non-collinear magnetic structures and determine the low-⁴⁹⁶ nar plane. Hence, we have examined total energies with vary-



FIG. 5. DFT calculated total energy of different magnetic structures. (a) shows the variation between the coplanar (with $\alpha_2=31^\circ$) and noncoplanar (other values of α_2) with other angles fixed as described in the text. (b) shows the total energy as a function α for a coplanar structure and (c) shows the variation of the total energy with the difference between the ϕ angles of consecutive layers. In all cases, $\zeta_1 = +1$ and $\zeta_2 = -1$ applies, which means that the sense of the 120° rotation between neighboring spins in the same layer alternates in consecutive layers, which is the staggered chirality case described in the text.

 $_{468}$ est energy structure. We adopt the LDA+U framework with an 469 effective U parameter of U = 3.7 eV for the description of on- $_{470}$ site Coulomb interactions for the Cr d orbitals. This value is ⁴⁷¹ consistent with the Materials Project database [44] and is close ⁴⁷² to the one used in the study of iso-structural LiCrO₂ [45]. Cer- $_{473}$ tainly, the choice of U can affect the calculated total energies, 474 but we confirmed that the relative energy differences among 475 the spin configurations under consideration remain robust for the range of U values between 3 and 4 eV. 476

We investigate the energies of various spin configurations 478 starting from the proposed non-coplanar magnetic structure 479 with the angles: $\alpha_1 = 31^\circ$, $\alpha_2 = 44^\circ$, $\phi_1 = 17^\circ$, $\phi_2 = 16^\circ$, ₄₈₀ $\zeta_1 = +1$ and $\zeta_2 = -1$, as suggested in Ref. [17]. Here the α_i 481 angles define the vertical spin planes across the consecutive Cr ⁴⁸² layers, the ϕ_i angles stand for the relative phase of the spins within the plane, and ζ_i 's represent the handedness, i.e., chi- $_{484}$ rality, of the 120° rotation among neighboring spins within the same Cr ab-layers. To examine the energetics near this non-485 486 coplanar spin configuration, for the sake of clarity, we first calculate total energies by varying α_2 with all the other angles 487 ⁴⁸⁸ fixed at the proposed values. Fig. 5(a) illustrates the calculated $_{489}$ total energies as a function of α_2 and shows its minimum close ⁴⁹⁰ to $\alpha_2 = 31^\circ$, which is different from the proposed structure of ⁴⁹¹ Ref. [17]. Fig. 5(b) confirms that $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 = 31^\circ$ is the min-⁴⁹² imum for the fixed ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 , albeit the variation in the total To gain further insights into the magnetic structures of $_{433}$ energies is very small, of the order 10 μ eV/Cr-atom. Although PdCrO₂, we perform DFT calculations using the OpenMX ⁴⁹⁴ the magnetic ordering with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ is coplanar, there are still $_{497}$ ing the ϕ_i angles and found that the energy dependence on $_{552}$ needs to be considered. In particular, it noted that if there is ϕ_i is extremely small in order of dozens of $\mu eV/Cr$ -atom but 553 a 2-fold rotation axis through the magnetic ion, then only the ⁴⁹⁹ its relative difference is still meaningful within the computa- ⁵⁵⁴ component of the tensor which is parallel to this axis will be 500 tional precision. As shown in Fig. 5(c), the energy curve for 555 non-zero. The coplanar magnetic structure stabilised by the $_{502}$ at $\phi_2 \approx 60^{\circ}$ [46]. Thus, from DFT calculations, we conclude $_{557}$ dent with a mirror plane of the structural $R\bar{3}m$ space group that the coplanar structure (with $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 = 31^\circ$) is energeti- 558 (with $\alpha = 30$, 90 or 150°), adopts the C2' magnetic space 504 cally favoured over the non-coplanar ordering. Further, it is 559 group, which has a 2-fold rotation axis perpendicular to the $_{505}$ interesting to note that there is a large energy difference be- $_{500}$ spin plane (parallel to the *a*, *b*, or [110] axes), passing through so tween the $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ and $|\alpha_2 - \alpha_1| = 180^\circ$ configurations. The so the Cr sites. Thus, depending on the magnetic domain, ei-507 so the same chirality of $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 + 1$ between the alternating lay- 563 findings of Ref. [16], where a nonzero σ_{xy} was measured. $_{\scriptscriptstyle 509}$ ers, while the $\alpha_2=\alpha_1$ configuration has the staggered chiral- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 564}$ ⁵¹⁰ ity of $\zeta_1 = +1$ and $\zeta_2 = -1$. The configuration of $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ is observed Hall resistivity. First, there may be some other indeed consistent with the observed staggered chirality in ex- $_{566}$ anisotropy which modifies the magnetic structure of PdCrO₂ periments [17]. However, this contrasts with the classical spin $_{567}$ so as to move the spin plane off an $R\bar{3}m$ mirror plane, and ⁵¹³ energy calculations used in section III B 2 with the dipolar in- $_{^{514}}$ teraction, where both straight ($\zeta_1=\zeta_2=+1)$ and staggered 515 $(\zeta_1 = +1, \zeta_2 = -1)$ chirality yields the same energy. The sta-516 bility of the staggered chirality may reflect the influence of 571 the coplanar structure is energetically favourable, small devi-⁵¹⁷ the conduction electrons on the magnetic ordering, which is ₅₇₂ ations from this only marginally raises the total energy (by ⁵¹⁸ ignored by the dipolar calculations.

519

IV. DISCUSSIONS

We have carried out a neutron scattering investigation of 520 ⁵²¹ the metallic triangular lattice antiferromagnet PdCrO₂. Neu-522 tron powder diffraction shows no evidence of symmetry lowering in the magnetically ordered phase. This implies that 523 the antisymmetric anisotropic Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interac-525 tion is forbidden for all pairs of Cr spins, which means that 583 528 metry of the space group of PdCrO₂. On the other hand, the 586 magnetic ordering and tunnelling between the reconstructed s29 allowed symmetric anisotropic dipolar interaction was found 587 bands as suggested in Ref. [13]. In this case, a non-coplanar 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 ⁵³⁹ ture, with spin planes canted by 13° with respect to each other, ⁵⁹⁷ bility and would accord well with the neutron diffraction and 540 however. In any case, such an interaction would produce split- 598 inelastic data, which suggests that the magnetic structure retings of the magnon modes which would be too small to be 599 mains coplanar. It may also offer a more robust explanation of experimentally measured. 542

served in Ref. [16] was attributed to an unconventional 544 anomalous Hall effect arising from the effect of a non-545 coplanar magnetic structure on the Berry curvature. How- 604 be needed to properly decided between these explanations. 546 ever, a recent theoretical work [47] suggests that a non- 605 549 anomalous Hall effect in the absence of spin-orbit coupling. 607 from two peaks in the inelastic neutron spectrum. While they 550 Ref. [47] showed that, as the Berry curvature is not affected 608 account for gross features of the data, there remains many dis-⁵⁵¹ by translational symmetry, only the magnetic point symmetry ⁶⁰⁹ crepancies. These are, principally, the extra scattering below

given values of $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 = 31^\circ$ and $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$ has a minimum 556 dipolar interactions, where the vertical spin plane is coinciconfiguration with $|\alpha_2 - \alpha_1| = 180^\circ$ is also coplanar but has 562 ther σ_{xz} or σ_{yz} is non-zero. This contradicts the experimental

> This suggests a number of possibilities to explain the 568 hence break the 2-fold rotation symmetry allowing a nonzero 569 σ_{xy} , as measured. Alternatively there could be a small non-570 coplanarity, since our *ab initio* calculations shows that whilst s73 around 1 μ eV/Cr for $\approx 10^{\circ}$), as does a small shift of the spin ⁵⁷⁴ plane off the $R\bar{3}m$ mirror planes. Furthermore, the mecha-575 nism by which the dipolar interaction stabilises the coplanar 576 structure depends on the fact that a Cr layer above a particu-577 lar layer is exactly equivalent to that below. So, it is possible 578 that small lattice imperfections, such as vacancies or stack-579 ing faults, may introduce an additional single-ion anisotropy 580 or modify the dipolar interaction so that in reality PdCrO₂ would not adopt the idealised magnetic structure favoured by 581 582 the model Hamiltonian.

Another possibility is that the observed nonlinear field dethe non-coplanar magnetic structure posited by [17] cannot 584 pendence of the Hall resistivity at low temperatures is the rebe stabilised by a spin Hamiltonian consistent with the sym- 585 sult of the Fermi surface reconstruction due to the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$ to adequately explain the measured low energy inelastic neu- 588 magnetic structure (non-zero scalar spin chirality) is not tron spectrum and also explains the observed easy spin plane 500 needed at zero magnetic field. Instead the complex behavwhich includes the c-axis. Nonetheless, we note that this 590 ior of the Hall conductivity at low magnetic fields may be oplanar magnetic structure (or, indeed, any 120° magnetic 591 qualitatively explained by competition between the transport structure with a vertical spin plane) has spacegroup P2' and 592 in different hole and electron bands, and tunnelling between thus itself breaks inversion symmetry. This may, in turn lead 593 them. The true unconventional anomalous Hall effect in this to a non-zero DM interaction and a non-coplanar magnetic 594 scenario only manifests at high magnetic fields where the spin structure. It is uncertain whether the magnitude of such a 595 moments may cant out of plane to give a non-zero scalar spin DM interaction may produce the reported non-coplanar struc- 596 chirality. In many respects this is the most attractive possi-⁶⁰⁰ the observed nonlinear field dependence of the Hall resistivity, The nonlinear field dependence of the Hall resistivity ob- 601 than relying on a small non-coplanarity of the spin planes in ⁶⁰² alternating *ab*-layers. However, numerical calculations of the 603 Hall conductivity as a function of the non-coplanarity would

Finally, we turn to the high energy magnon excitations. The coplanar structure is only a prerequisite for the unconventional 606 exchange parameters listed in Table II were deduced entirely

 $_{610}$ the E_2 peak around 6 meV, and an apparent minimum in the $_{622}$ despite PdCrO₂ being a metal, and CuCrO₂ and LiCrO₂ insu-611 ⁶¹² important to note here that we have assumed that the single- ⁶³⁴ the local chromium moments is subtle. Teasing out such effect 613 sis. This is in contrast to the published spin Hamiltonian for 636 ing neutron and X-ray scattering measurements. 614 isostructural CuCrO₂ [37, 38], where $K \approx 0.5$ meV was re-615 616 ported. In fact such a large K set of parameters also fits the 617 high energy PdCrO₂ data, and would explain better the low energy shoulder on the E_2 peak around 6 meV. However, this ⁶¹⁹ is because the large K pushes the E_3 and E_4 anisotropy gaps high in energy to near E_2 , so the two low energy gaps we 620 observed could not be explained by this large K model. In- 638 621 622 623 624 625 626 627 628 629 eso and magnetic structures of these compounds strongly suggest 647 Neutron and Muon Source were supported by a beamtime al-

- [1] J. S. Gardner, M. J. P. Gingras, and J. E. Greedan, Rev. Mod. 683 [15] H.-J. Noh, J. Jeong, B. Chang, D. Jeong, H. S. Moon, E.-J. Cho, 649 Phys. 82, 53 (2010). 650
- D. J. P. Morris, D. A. Tennant, S. A. Grigera, B. Klemke, [2] 651 C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, C. Czternasty, M. Meissner, K. C. 652 Rule, J.-U. Hoffmann, K. Kiefer, S. Gerischer, D. Slobinsky, 653 and R. S. Perry, Science 326, 411 (2009). 654
- [3] S. T. Bramwell, S. R. Giblin, S. Calder, R. Aldus, D. Prab-655 hakaran, and T. Fennell, Nature 461, 956 (2009). 656
- 657 [4] P. Anderson, Materials Research Bulletin 8, 153 (1973).
- [5] M. E. Zhitomirsky and A. L. Chernyshev, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 658 219 (2013). 659
- J. Oh, M. D. Le, J. Jeong, J.-h. Lee, H. Woo, W.-Y. Song, T. G. [6] 660 Perring, W. J. L. Buyers, S.-W. Cheong, and J.-G. Park, Phys. 661 Rev. Lett. 111, 257202 (2013). 662
- J. Oh, M. D. Le, H.-H. Nahm, H. Sim, J. Jeong, T. G. Per- 697 663 [7] ring, H. Woo, K. Nakajima, S. Ohira-Kawamura, Z. Yamani, 698 664
- Y. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, S.-W. Cheong, and J.-G. Park, Nat. Com-665 mun. 7, 13146 (2016). 666
- [8] I. Martin and C. D. Batista, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 156402 667 (2008).668
- [9] S. Kumar and J. van den Brink, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 216405 669 (2010).670
- 671 [10] A. P. Mackenzie, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 032501 (2017).
- [11] C. W. Hicks, A. S. Gibbs, L. Zhao, P. Kushwaha, H. Borrmann, 706 672 A. P. Mackenzie, H. Takatsu, S. Yonezawa, Y. Maeno, and E. A. 673 Yelland, Phys. Rev. B 92, 014425 (2015). 674
- 12] H. Takatsu, S. Yonezawa, C. Michioka, K. Yoshimura, and 675 Y. Maeno, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 200, 012198 (2010). 676
- [13] J. M. Ok, Y. J. Jo, K. Kim, T. Shishidou, E. S. Choi, H.-J. 711 677 Noh, T. Oguchi, B. I. Min, and J. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 712 678 111, 176405 (2013). 679
- [14] J. A. Sobota, K. Kim, H. Takatsu, M. Hashimoto, S.-K. Mo, 714 680 681 Z. Hussain, T. Oguchi, T. Shishidou, Y. Maeno, B. I. Min, and
- Z.-X. Shen, Phys. Rev. B 88, 125109 (2013). 682

dispersion at the same energy around $|Q| \approx 0.8$ Å⁻¹. It is ₆₃₃ lators, suggests that the effect of the conduction electrons on ion anisotropy is small ($K \ll 0.1$ meV) throughout our analy- 655 will thus require further investigations with a single crystal us-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Young-June Kim for insightful discusstead, perhaps recent work on the magnon-phonon coupling 609 sions. The work at the IBS CCES was supported by the rein LiCrO₂ [45] and CuCrO₂ [48] where a low |Q| dispersion ₆₄₀ search program of Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R009-G1). minimum similar to that observed here was seen could ex- 641 JY, JJ and HJN acknowledges the support by the National Replain the unexpectedly large broadening of the magnons at E_{1} search Foundation of Korea (NRF), no. 2012M2B2A4029607 and E2. However, due to the powder averaging of the data, 643 (JJ and HJN) and no. 2017R1A2B4007100 (JY). This reand lack of detailed information on the phonon dispersion, we 644 search used resources at the Spallation Neutron Source, a could not model such a magnon-phonon coupling for PdCrO2. 645 DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the Oak Nonetheless, the similarities of the magnetic excitations 646 Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Experiments at the ISIS ⁶³¹ a uniform mechanism behind their behaviour. This similarity, ⁶⁴⁸ location from the Science and Technology Facilities Council.

- J. M. Ok, J. S. Kim, K. Kim, B. I. Min, H.-K. Lee, J.-Y. Kim, 684 B.-G. Park, H.-D. Kim, and S. Lee, Sci. Rep. 4, 3680 (2014). 685
- 686 [16] H. Takatsu, S. Yonezawa, S. Fujimoto, and Y. Maeno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 137201 (2010). 687
- H. Takatsu, G. Nénert, H. Kadowaki, H. Yoshizawa, M. En-688 [17] derle, S. Yonezawa, Y. Maeno, J. Kim, N. Tsuji, M. Takata, 689 Y. Zhao, M. Green, and C. Broholm, Phys. Rev. B 89, 104408 690 691 (2014)
- 692 [18] H. Takatsu and Y. Maeno, J. Cryst. Growth 312, 3461 (2010).
- [19] G. E. Granroth, A. I. Kolesnikov, T. E. Sherline, J. P. Clancy, 693 K. A. Ross, J. P. C. Ruff, B. D. Gaulin, and S. E. Nagler, J. 694 Phys.: Conf. Ser. 251, 012058 (2010). 695
- R. Bewley, J. Taylor, and S. Bennington., Nucl. Instr. Meth. [20] 696 Phys. Res. A 637, 128 (2011).
 - R. M. Ibberson, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A 600, 47 (2009). [21]
- O. Arnold, J. Bilheux, J. Borreguero, A. Buts, S. Camp-[22] 699 bell, L. Chapon, M. Doucet, N. Draper, R. F. Leal, M. Gigg, 700 701 V. Lynch, A. Markvardsen, D. Mikkelson, R. Mikkelson, R. Miller, K. Palmen, P. Parker, G. Passos, T. Perring, P. Pe-702 terson, S. Ren, M. Reuter, A. Savici, J. Taylor, R. Taylor, 703 R. Tolchenov, W. Zhou, and J. Zikovsky, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 704 Phys. Res. A 764, 156 (2014). 705
- [23] S. Toth and B. Lake, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27, 166002 (2015). 707
- 708 [24] M. Rotter, J. Magn. Mag. Mat. 272-276, E481 (2004).
- [25] T. G. Perring, High energy magnetic excitations in hexagonal 709 cobalt, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge (1991). 710
 - [26] http://docs.mantidproject.org/interfaces/PyChop. html.
- A. C. Larson and R. B. V. Dreele, General Structure Analysis 713 [27] System (GSAS), Tech. Rep. (Los Alamos National Laboratory 715 Report LAUR 86-748, 1994) http://llbm.xray.aps.anl. gov/downloads/gsas. 716
- 717 [28] B. H. Toby, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 34, 210 (2001).

- 718 [29] T. Ozaki, Phys. Rev. B 67, 155108 (2003).
- 719 [30] T. Ozaki and H. Kino, Phys. Rev. B 69, 195113 (2004).
- 720 [31] M. J. Han, T. Ozaki, and J. Yu, Phys. Rev. B 73, 045110 (2006).
- ⁷²¹ [32] P. W. Stephens, J. Appl. Cryst. **32**, 281 (1999).
- ⁷²² [33] The impurities in our samples were determined to be PdO $(0.84 \text{ wt\%}), \text{ Cr}_2\text{O}_3 (0.63 \text{ wt\%}) \text{ and LiCl } (0.13 \text{ wt\%}).$
- 724 [34] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. 120, 91 (1960).
- [35] H. Takatsu, H. Yoshizawa, S. Yonezawa, and Y. Maeno, Phys.
 Rev. B **79**, 104424 (2009).
- ⁷²⁷ [36] The E_1/E_2 ratio also has a weak quadratic dependence on the single-ion anisotropy *K* which is not considered here.
- 729 [37] M. Poienar, F. Damay, C. Martin, J. Robert, and S. Petit, Phys.
 730 Rev. B 81, 104411 (2010).
- [38] M. Frontzek, J. T. Haraldsen, A. Podlesnyak, M. Matsuda, A. D.
 Christianson, R. S. Fishman, A. S. Sefat, Y. Qiu, J. R. D. Cop-
- ley, S. Barilo, S. V. Shiryaev, and G. Ehlers, Phys. Rev. B 84, 094448 (2011).
- [39] L. Messio, C. Lhuillier, and G. Misguich, Phys. Rev. B 83, 184401 (2011).
- [40] L. Shekhtman, O. Entin-Wohlman, and A. Aharony, Phys. Rev.
 Lett. 69, 836 (1992).
- 739 [41] W. Koshibae, Y. Ohta, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B 50, 3767
- 740 (1994).

- ⁷⁴¹ [42] M. Frontzek, G. Ehlers, A. Podlesnyak, H. Cao, M. Matsuda,
 O. Zaharko, N. Aliouane, S. Barilo, and S. V. Shiryaev, J. Phys.:
 ⁷⁴³ Condens. Matter 24, 016004 (2012).
- 744 [43] H. Kadowaki, H. Takei, and K. Motoya, J. Phys.: Condens.
 745 Matter 7, 6869 (1995).
- 746 [44] A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards,
 747 S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder,
 748 and K. A. Persson, APL Materials 1, 011002 (2013),
 749 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812323.
- ⁷⁵⁰ [45] S. Tóth, B. Wehinger, K. Rolfs, T. Birol, U. Stuhr, H. Takatsu,
 ⁷⁵¹ K. Kimura, T. Kimura, H. M. Rønnow, and C. Rüegg, Nat.
 ⁷⁵² Commun. 7, 13547 (2016).
- ⁷⁵³ [46] The ϕ angle in section III B 2 is actually the absolute difference ⁷⁵⁴ between the ϕ of consecutive layers and effectively corresponds ⁷⁵⁵ to ϕ_2 in Fig. 5(c) with $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$.
- ⁷⁵⁶ [47] M.-T. Suzuki, T. Koretsune, M. Ochi, and R. Arita, Phys. Rev.
 ⁷⁵⁷ B **95**, 094406 (2017).
- ⁷⁵⁸ [48] K. Park, J. Oh, J. C. Leiner, J. Jeong, K. C. Rule, M. D. Le, and
 ⁷⁵⁹ J.-G. Park, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 104421 (2016).