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In as-grown bulk crystals of Fe$_{1+y}$Te$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ with $x \leq 0.3$, excess Fe ($y > 0$) is inevitable and correlates with a suppression of superconductivity. At the same time, there remains the question as to whether the character of the antiferromagnetic correlations associated with the enhanced anion height above the Fe planes in Te-rich samples is compatible with superconductivity. To test this, we have annealed as-grown crystals with $x = 0.1$ and 0.2 in Te vapor, effectively reducing the excess Fe and inducing bulk superconductivity. Inelastic neutron scattering measurements reveal low-energy magnetic excitations consistent with short-range correlations of the double-stripe type; nevertheless, cooling into the superconducting state results in a spin gap and a spin resonance, with the extra signal in the resonance being short-range with a mixed single-stripe/double-stripe character, which is different than other iron-based superconductors. The mixed magnetic character of these superconducting samples does not appear to be trivially explainable by inhomogeneity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The interplay between superconductivity and magnetism is still one of the main topics in the field of high-temperature superconductivity$^{1-5}$. While commensurate antiferromagnetic (AF) order appears to compete with superconductivity, magnetic excitations are widely believed to be important in mediating electron pairing in many high-$T_c$ superconductors$^{6-15}$. One of the most important signatures of the coupling between magnetic excitations and superconductivity is the “spin resonance”, where magnetic intensity detected by neutron scattering at the resonance energy exhibits a sharp enhancement when the system is cooled into the superconducting (SC) state$^{16-23}$.

In many Fe-based superconductors (FBS), such as the ‘122’$^{16,18,20,24}$, ‘1111’$^{25}$, and ‘111’ families$^{26,27}$, the magnetic order in the parent compound$^{28}$ corresponds to the stripe antiferromagnet (SAF), characterized by the in-plane wave vector $Q_{SAF} = (0.5, 0.5)$, and the spin-resonance in the SC compositions appears at the same location in momentum space. This is not the case in FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$, which is known as the ‘11’ system$^{29-32}$. Here the parent compound Fe$_{1+y}$Te exhibits long-range AF order made up of double stripes of parallel spins within each Fe layer. Based on a crystallographic unit cell containing two Fe atoms, the in-plane component of this double-stripe antiferromagnetic (DSAF) order is characterized by the wave vector $Q_{DSAF} = (0.5, 0)$, with spin-wave type magnetic excitations emerging from $Q_{DSAF}$, with $x = 0.1$ and 0.2 that have been annealed in Te vapor for sufficient time to yield bulk superconductivity. Our neutron scattering measurements reveal low-energy magnetic excitations with a Q dependence characteristic of short-range DSAF correlations, as seen previously in FeTe$_{0.87}$S$_{0.13}$,$^{35}$ the new feature here is that we also observed a spin gap and resonance for $T < T_c$. The increase in signal associated with the resonance has a Q dependence that appears to mix the characteristics of SAF and
FIG. 1. (Color online) Phase diagram of FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ as function of Se content ($x$) and temperature ($T$). The red circles represent the Néel temperature ($T_N$); blue circles represent the as-grown samples’ superconducting onset temperature $T_c$; purple circles represent the superconducting onset temperature in the treated samples. Data from Refs. 38 and 42 are included here.

TABLE I. List of the Fe$_{1+y}$Se$_x$Te$_{1-x}$ samples used in our measurements, with their Fe composition before and after annealing in Te vapor measured by EDX spectroscopy, and the superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$, obtained from the magnetic susceptibility measurements in Fig. 2(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>As-grown</th>
<th>Annealed</th>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.1$</td>
<td>$y=0.025$</td>
<td>$y=-0.027$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = 0.2$</td>
<td>$y=0.096$</td>
<td>$y=0.045$</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSAF correlations, which, in turn, is different than the pure SAF spin correlations observed at low temperature in other SC FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ samples$^{21,30,32,35}$. This provides an interesting test case for theoretical models that connect the magnetism and superconductivity.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The single-crystal samples used in this experiment were grown by a unidirectional solidification method$^{46}$ at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The as-grown single crystals, which contained excess Fe and were not superconducting$^{38}$, were annealed at 400 °C for 10 days in Tellurium (Te) vapor$^{43}$. The Fe excess, $y$, before and after annealing was measured by Energy-Dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy; the results listed in Table I indicate that the Te-vapor annealing caused a substantial reduction in $y$. The bulk susceptibilities, measured with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer, are shown in Fig. 2(a). They demonstrate a bulk superconducting response for each sample, though less than 100% shielding fraction.

Neutron scattering experiments were carried out on the triple-axis spectrometers BT-7$^{47}$ at NIST Center for Neutron Research (NCNR) and HB-1 located at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). We used beam collimations of open-80′-S-80′-120′ (S = sample) with fixed final energy of 14.7 meV and two pyrolytic graphite (PG) filters after the sample to reduce higher-order neutrons at BT-7 and 48′-80′-S-80′-120′ with the same fixed final energy and one PG filter after the sample at HB-1. Except for the elastic scattering measurements in Fig. 2, which were performed in the (H0L) scattering plane, all inelastic scattering measurements were performed in the (HK0) scattering plane. The lattice constants for these samples are $a = b \approx 3.8$ Å, and $c \approx 6.1$ Å, using a unit cell containing two Fe atoms. The wave vectors are specified in reciprocal lattice units (r.l.u.) of $(a^*, b^*, c^*) = (2\pi/a, 2\pi/b, 2\pi/c)$. 

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Zero-field-cooled magnetization measurements by SQUID with a 10 Oe field perpendicular to the $a$-$b$ plane for all samples: $x = 0.1$ (green solid line) and $x = 0.2$ (purple solid line). For SI unit, 1 emu/(g Oe)=4\pi \times 10^{-3} m^3/kg. (b) Diagram of reciprocal space indicating the characteristic wave vectors $Q_{SAF}$ and $Q_{DSAF}$. (c) Elastic neutron-scattering measurements performed on $x = 0.1$ sample around magnetic order peak at (0.5, 0, 0.5) measured on BT-7. Intensity profiles along [100] direction (H scans) at temperatures below ($T = 3$ K, red) and above $T_N$ (50 K, blue). The horizontal (black) bar represents the $H$ resolution. (d) The integrated magnetic peak intensity (from fitted Gaussian peak intensity) vs temperature. The error bars represent the square root of the number of counts.
FIG. 3. (Color online) Contour intensity maps of magnetic neutron scattering intensity measured on HB-1 in \((HK0)\) plane at energy transfer \(\hbar\omega = 6.5\) meV. The maps are plotted for the \(x = 0.1\) (left column) and \(x = 0.2\) (right column) samples at sample temperatures: (a), (b) 5 K, (c), (d) 20 K and (e), (f) 100 K. The data have been folded from the first quadrant \((H > 0, K > 0)\). Intensity scale is the same in all panels and the data have been smoothed.

III. RESULTS

We have performed a series of neutron scattering measurements on the Te-vapor annealed superconductor samples of FeTe\(_{1-x}\)Se\(_x\). We started with elastic measurements to test for static magnetic order in the \(x = 0.1\) sample. In Fig. 2 (c), we plot \(H\) scans through the \(Q_{\text{AF}} \approx (0.5, 0, 0.5)\) wave vector at \(T = 3\) K and 50 K. The magnetic peak observed at low temperature is broader than experimental resolution, and the peak center is slightly incommensurate, consistent with previous results\(^{38,48}\). The integrated intensity of this peak, shown in Fig. 2(d), gradually decreases upon heating and disappears around 40 K, consistent with susceptibility measurements on air-annealed superconducting crystals with similar \(x\)\(^{42}\). As we will see next, the low-energy inelastic magnetic scattering bears no simple connection to these elastic peaks, and hence we believe that the static order occurs in a minority of the sample volume that is likely segregated from the superconducting regions. We note that a recent scanning tunneling microscopy study on an \(x = 0.1\) sample found evidence for local coexistence of AF order and pairing gaps\(^{49}\); however, that sample did not exhibit the degree of bulk superconducting order found in our crystal.

Next, we consider measurements of the low-energy magnetic excitations. Figure 3 shows color contour plots of spin excitations measured in the \((HK0)\) plane at an energy of 6.5 meV, which corresponds to the spin-resonance energy at optimal doping in this compound\(^{21}\). Panels in the left column show data from the \(x = 0.1\) sample at temperatures of 5, 20, and 100 K. The data in the right column for \(x = 0.2\) correspond to lower counting statistics, but are qualitatively similar to those for \(x = 0.1\). At \(T = 5\) K, well below \(T_c\), the data are quite different from the simple commensurate ellipse shape at \(Q = (0.5, 0.5)\) seen previously for optimal doping\(^{21,32,35}\). Instead, they closely resemble the model of short-range double-stripe correlations proposed in a study of FeTe\(_{0.87}\)Se\(_{0.13}\) by Zaliznyak et al.\(^{45}\). Note that the intensity pattern associated with the short-range correlations is not characterized by a well-defined wave vector; rather, it involves a distribution of spectral weight that is broad in \(Q\) and that, in the vicinity of \(Q_{\text{SAF}}\), appears incommensurate.

The change in the scattering pattern on warming across \(T_c\) is subtle, but the changes are larger when the temperature is increased to 100 K. To get a better view of the changes, temperature differences are plotted in Fig. 4. The difference between

FIG. 4. (Color online) Contour intensity maps of temperature difference of magnetic neutron scattering intensity measured on HB-1 in \((HK0)\) plane at energy transfer \(\hbar\omega = 6.5\) meV. The maps are plotted for the \(x = 0.1\) (left column) and \(x = 0.2\) (right column) samples at temperature differences of: (a), (b) \(I(100\text{ K})-I(20\text{ K})\), and (c), (d) \(I(5\text{ K})-I(20\text{ K})\). The data have been folded from first quadrant \((H > 0, K > 0)\). (e) Intensity calculated based on the same UDUD spin-plaquette model described in Ref. 32 and 50, with the volume ratio of interplaquette correlation being 25% SAF and 75% DSAF. Intensity scale is the same in all panels and the data have been smoothed.
FIG. 5. (Color online) Contour intensity maps of magnetic neutron scattering intensity in energy-momentum space along the transverse direction. The maps are plotted for the $x = 0.1$ (left column, measured on BT-7) and $x = 0.2$ (right column, measured on HB-1) samples at sample temperatures: (a), (b) 5 K and (c), (d) 20 K. The data have been smoothed.

5 and 20 K for the $x = 0.1$ sample shown in Fig. 4 (in contrast to the absolute signal at 5 K) is similar to measurements of the resonance in optimally-superconducting FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$.$^{21,32,35}$ However, the intensity maxima are not located at the commensurate (0.5, 0.5) positions but slightly further out in the transverse directions. One can see that the difference, which is indeed the Q-distribution of the spin resonance, appears to be highly consistent with a model calculation [Fig. 4 (e)] based on the same UDUD spin plaquette model described in Ref. 32 and 50, with the volume ratio of interplaquette correlation being 25% SAF and 75% DSAF. On the other hand, the difference between 100 and 20 K bears the signature of ferromagnetic plaquettes with short-range antiferromagnetic correlations, as previously discussed for FeTe$_{0.87}$S$_{0.13}$.87,13,45, where such a component was also found to be enhanced with increasing temperature. The data from the $x = 0.2$ sample are less informative but are qualitatively in agreement with the $x = 0.1$ data.

To characterize the energy dispersion in the vicinity of the resonance, we plot in Fig. 5 the energy dependence of the magnetic scattering along the transverse direction, $Q = (H, 1 - H, 0)$, around $H = 0.5$. As one can see, the low-energy dispersion in the $x = 0.1$ sample takes the form of two vertical columns; in the case of $x = 0.2$, the commensurate region between the columns has begun to fill in. In both cases, a comparison of the data at 5 and 20 K clearly reveals the opening of a spin gap below 5 meV and the intensity enhancement of the resonance above that.

For a more detailed look at the resonance, Fig. 6 shows constant-energy scans along the transverse direction at 6.5 meV obtained at 3 and 20 K. By subtracting the 20 K data from the 3 K data, the $Q$ dependence of the intensity enhancements is displayed in Fig. 6(c) and (d). The response is strongly peaked at incommensurate positions with incommensurability $\sim 0.08$. One can clearly see the discrepancy between model calculations based on a phase with 100% SAF correlations [green dashed lines in panels (c) and (d)] and the measured $q$-distribution of the resonance. Instead, only when we consider a phase with mixed SAF and DSAF correlations, can the incommensurate response be reproduced. As shown in Fig. 6(e) and (f), the spin resonance intensity starts to rise on cooling below 12 K in the $x = 0.1$ sample and below 13 K in the $x = 0.2$ sample, consistent with the $T_c$ values obtained from the susceptibility measurements in Fig. 2(a).
**IV. DISCUSSION**

In our Te-rich crystals of FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$, we have observed low-energy magnetic excitations consistent with short-range double-stripe spin correlations coexisting with bulk superconductivity. In evaluating this coexistence, we must certainly take account of inhomogeneity. For example, we also see elastic magnetic scattering consistent with intermediate-range DSAF order as shown in Fig. 2, which we expect is in a limited volume of each sample, spatially segregated from the superconductivity. It is possible that the Te-vapor annealing was not done for a sufficiently long time to homogeneously modify all regions of our large crystals. Of course, there is always the intrinsic inhomogeneity associated with the difference in local Fe-Te and Fe-Se bond lengths$^{51}$ and the tendency to spatial segregation$^{52}$. The key observation, however, is that the magnetic scattering changes across $T_c$, developing both a spin gap and resonance peak. The resonance intensity, which is not sensitive to any possible nonsuperconducting portion of the sample, appears at incommensurate positions, slightly away from (0,0,5). Measuring the resonance provides a direct probe of the SC portion of the sample(s) even with a nonsuperconducting portion present. Our results imply that the spin correlations from the SC portion of our Te-vapor treated samples exhibit a mixed DSAF and SAF character, distinct from the typical behavior in SC FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ systems at low temperature. This provides a clear indication of superconductivity developing locally within regions where the spin correlations have substantial DSAF character.

The low-temperature two-column dispersion along $(H, 1-H, 0)$ has been observed previously, but in association with the suppression of superconductivity in Cu-doped FeTe$_{0.5}$Se$_{0.5}$.$^{53}$ The same dispersion is also seen at high temperatures in samples with optimal superconductivity.$^{32,33,34}$ It was previously pointed out that the thermal evolution of the spin correlations is connected to the change in the tetrahedral bond angles$^{32,36}$ which results in changes in hybridization between Fe 3$d$ orbitals and ligand $p$ orbitals.$^{55}$ Of course, the average bond angles also change with Se concentration. It appears that we can roughly correlate the pattern of low-energy magnetic scattering in reciprocal space with the ratio of lattice parameters, $a/c$.

The interesting point is that, while the $Q$ dependence of the low-energy magnetic scattering may vary significantly with composition, the resonance always appears in the vicinity of (0.5, 0.5, 0). The general pattern of the magnetic scattering in our samples is not compatible with simple Fermi-surface nesting arguments$^{56}$; nevertheless, the wave vectors at which the resonance occurs connect Fermi surface pockets about the $\Gamma$ and $M$ points of the Brillouin zone where the superconducting gap appears$^{4,57,58}$. The magnetic excitations certainly appear to interact with the superconducting electrons; however, the general relationship between the magnetism and superconductivity in these samples is less clear. Analyzing this relationship, taking account of the present results, could lead to new insights into the pairing mechanism in iron chalcogenides.

**V. SUMMARY**

We have used Te-vapor annealing to induce bulk superconductivity in crystals of Fe$_{1+y}$Te$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ with $x = 0.1$ and 0.2. Neutron scattering measurements reveal low-energy magnetic excitations with a wave vector dependence characteristic of short-range DSAF spin correlations. While the presence of such correlations at low temperature has previously been associated with suppressed superconductivity, we find that the excitations in the vicinity of, but not exactly at, (0.5, 0.5, 0) develop a spin gap and resonance peak. Thus, it appears that superconductivity can coexist with magnetic correlations different from the common stripe form. These results provide an interesting test case for understanding the relationship between magnetism and superconductivity in the iron chalcogenides.
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