

# CHCRUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

# Thermally induced coloration of KBr at high pressures

Sarah M. Arveson, Boris Kiefer, Jie Deng, Zhenxian Liu, and Kanani K. M. Lee Phys. Rev. B **97**, 094103 — Published 9 March 2018 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.094103

1	Thermally-induced coloration of KBr at high pressures
2	Sarah M. Arveson <sup>1*</sup> , Boris Kiefer <sup>2</sup> , Jie Deng <sup>1</sup> , Zhenxian Liu <sup>3</sup> and Kanani K. M. Lee <sup>1</sup>
3	<sup>1</sup> Department of Geology & Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven CT 06511
4	<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces NM 88011
5	<sup>3</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The George Washington
6	University, Washington DC 20052
7	*Corresponding author
8	(Dated February 12, 2018)
9	
10	Abstract
11	Laser-heated diamond-anvil cell (LHDAC) experiments reveal electronic changes
12	in KBr at pressures between ~13-81 GPa when heated to high temperatures that cause
13	runaway heating to temperatures in excess of $\sim$ 5000 K. The drastic changes in absorption
14	behavior of KBr are interpreted as rapid formation of high-pressure F-center defects. The
15	defects are localized to the heated region and thus do not change the long-range
16	crystalline order of KBr. The results have significant consequences for temperature
17	measurements in LHDAC experiments and extend the persistence of F-centers in alkali
18	halides to at least 81 GPa.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

# I. INTRODUCTION

25	The existence of defects in alkali halides under irradiation is well
26	documented.[1,2] High-intensity x-ray, UV, and infrared irradiation can generate defects
27	that color nominally transparent alkali halides. The most prevalent color centers in KBr
28	are F-centers, which consist of an electron trapped in a Br vacancy. Models for the
29	radiation damage process in alkali halides include formation of F-centers, aggregation of
30	defect clusters, extended defect formation, and finally annealing, when the defects decay
31	and return to their pre-irradiated condition.[2] Extensive work at 300 K and below has
32	been conducted to characterize the structure, properties, and timescales of defects during
33	these stages. However, only a few studies have explored the effect of high temperatures
34	or high pressures on color centers in alkali halides, and they have been limited to
35	pressures < 17 GPa.[3-6] In these studies, defects were introduced into the samples by
36	irradiation prior to applying pressure.
37	We report experimental evidence for reversible defect formation in
38	polycrystalline KBr at high pressures (13-81 GPa) and temperatures (>5000 K) using
39	infrared laser heating that turns the initially transparent KBr opaque. KBr is an insulator
40	with a band-gap of 7.6 eV,[3] too large for direct electronic excitations from the valence
41	band into the conduction band with infrared or visible light. However, F-centers acting as
42	electron donors cause electronic states in the band-gap that affect optical properties and,
43	in particular, absorption and emission characteristics. Defect-related electronic changes
44	are important for characterizing material performance, as KBr is used widely in optical
45	devices. F-centers in salts under planetary conditions may allow novel characterization of
46	planetary and lunar surfaces [7] Changes in absorption behavior are also crucial to

47	temperature measurements in LHDAC experiments which often use KBr and other alkali
48	halides as insulation media.[4]
49	
50	II. METHODS
51	A. Sample Preparation
52	Single crystal KBr (Intl. Crystal Laboratories, 99.95% purity) was ground up into
53	a fine polycrystalline mixture, and a 10-20 $\mu$ m thick disk was loaded into a rhenium-
54	gasketted diamond-anvil cell with a small amount of metal foil as a laser absorber. We
55	performed several sets of experiments using Pt, Fe, stoichiometric FeSi, or an Fe-Si9wt%
56	alloy to ensure independence of the KBr behavior regardless of metal laser absorber.
57	Great care was taken to prevent contamination of the samples, including drying the
58	assemblies in an oven to minimize surface hydration. Prior to applying pressure, the
59	LHDAC was placed in an oven at 400 K for at least 1 hour to minimize moisture in the
60	sample chamber. We found that runaway heating and defect formation occur regardless
61	of whether an assembly is dried under vacuum for 1 hour or 10 days. Pressure was
62	calibrated using the high-frequency edge of the diamond Raman band [8] or the equation
63	of state of KBr [9] for the x-ray diffraction experiments. See Table I for individual
64	sample details.
65	
66	<b>B.</b> Laser Heating
67	To generate defects in KBr, we performed high-pressure experiments in the
68	LHDAC using a 1070 nm (~1.16 eV) fiber CW laser. The sample is subjected to either
69	steady heating at a peak power or single-sided predefined ramp heating [10] on the KBr-

70	side, in which the sample is annealed at a low laser power and subsequently ramped to a
71	peak power until the laser is shut off (Table I). We positioned the laser on the edge of the
72	metal foil during heating and collected a two-dimensional temperature map at the peak
73	temperature using the 4-color method.[10] Details on temperature measurement and
74	accuracy can be found in Ref. 10. To avoid damage to the diamonds, once the KBr begins
75	absorbing the laser and thermally runs away, it remains at the peak temperature for no
76	more than 0.3 s before the sample is temperature quenched by shutting off the laser,
77	which takes $< 1 \ \mu$ s.
78	
79	C. Characterization Techniques
80	High-pressure optical absorption measurements between 450 nm and 1000 nm
81	were conducted at the Infrared Lab of the National Synchrotron Light Source II at
82	Brookhaven National Laboratory after temperature quenching. The visible absorption
83	spectra were collected through a customized visible microscope system together with a
84	spectrograph (SpectraPro SP-2556, Princeton Instruments) and a liquid nitrogen cooled
85	PyLoN CCD detector. A reference spectrum was taken through an adjustable IRIS
86	aperture at unheated and transparent KBr area at each pressure.
87	High-pressure synchrotron mid-IR transmission and reflection measurements
88	were performed at beamline 1.4.3 of the Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley
89	National Laboratory after temperature quenching. The IR spectra with 4 cm <sup>-1</sup> spectral
90	resolution were acquired through a Nicolet Magna 760 FTIR spectrometer and a custom
91	high-pressure IR microscope system.

92	We collected in-situ x-ray diffraction spectra of a 68 GPa KBr sample as defect
93	formation occurred. Spectra were taken at Sector 16-ID-B of the Advanced Photon
94	Source at Argonne National Lab using an x-ray wavelength 0.4066 Å.[11] Samples were
95	laser heated to a peak power of $\sim 100$ W and diffraction spectra were taken before, during,
96	and after heating while at pressure and upon decompression.
97	Raman spectra were taken with a Horiba-Jobin Yvon HR-800 Raman microscope
98	equipped with a 50-mW green laser (532 nm) with an 1800 lines/mm grating. In order to
99	avoid signal from the diamond anvils, spectra were taken between $100 - 1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .
100	
101	<b>D. Density Functional Theory</b>
102	Three-dimensional periodic density functional theory (DFT) calculations were
103	performed using Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [12,13] The electronic
104	exchange and correlation effects were described in the GGA-PBE.[14] The interactions
105	between atoms were described within the PAW method.[15,16] The results of this
106	approach have been shown to be of comparable accuracy to all electron calculations for a
107	wide range of different materials.[15] The core region cut-off radii (1 $a_B = 0.529$ Å) of
108	the PAW potentials were 2.8 $a_B$ (core configuration $3s^23p^64s^1$ ) and 2.1 $a_B$ (core
109	configuration $4s^24p^5$ ), for K and Br, respectively. A plane-wave cutoff energy $E_{cut}$ =500
110	eV was applied throughout all calculations. F-center formation energies were computed
111	for the B1 phase at equilibrium and for the B2 phase at equilibrium (0 GPa) and 50 GPa.
112	Following previous work,[17] F-centers in B1 phase were modeled as 2x2x2 supercells
113	of the conventional unit cell (64 ions: $K_{32}Br_{32}$ ) on a 2x2x2 $\Gamma$ -centered k-point grid.
114	Similarly, F-center formation energies for the B2 phase were obtained from 3x3x3

115 supercells (54 ions,  $K_{27}Br_{27}$ ) also on a 2x2x2  $\Gamma$ -centered k-point grid. All structural 116 optimizations were performed at constant pressure, and any energy contributions from 117 zero-point motion and thermal vibrations were neglected. F-centers were generated by 118 removing one Br per supercell, and explored through spin polarized calculations were 119 performed. Possible symmetry lowering due to F-center defect formation was allowed. 120 However, we find that during F-center formation, the symmetry of the stoichiometric 121 parent phase is preserved and local relaxation is minute. This finding is consistent with 122 our XRD observations, which do not show any evidence for structural deviations from 123 the defect-free B1 and B2 phases.

124 We use density functional theory (DFT) calculations to calculate predicted F-125 center formation energies. As has been noted before, [18] the formation of F-centers is 126 favorable in an atmosphere of excess potassium. In order to take the chemical potential(s) 127 of the surrounding atmosphere (metal-rich) into account, we follow previous work:[17] 128 bromine was modeled in phase I (12x12x12  $\Gamma$ -centered k-point grid) which is stable at 129 ambient pressure to at least 65 GPa [19] and potassium was modeled in its stable body-130 centered cubic (bcc) phase (16x16x16 Γ-centered k-point grid). F-center crystals do not 131 carry a net charge, and their formation energy can be written as in Eqn. 1

$$E_f = E_D - E_H + \mu_{Br} \tag{1}$$

133 where  $E_D$  is the total energy (enthalpy) of the defective structure,  $E_H$  is the total energy 134 (enthalpy) of the defect free host supercell. The chemical potential of Br is given by  $\mu_{Br}$ . 135 The conditions of thermodynamic equilibrium impose limits for the accessible range of 136  $\mu_{Br}$ : In order for KBr to be stable against decomposition we must satisfy Eqn. 2

$$\mu_K + \mu_{Br} \ge E_{KBr(s)} \tag{2}$$

138	where $E_{KBr(s)}$ is the energy (enthalpy) of the defect free host phase. Thus, the lower limit
139	of $\mu_{Br}$ is given by $\mu_{Br} \ge E_{KBr(s)}$ - $\mu_K$ and $\mu_K$ is the total energy/enthalpy per atom of bcc
140	potassium. Following the same logic, we can obtain the corresponding expression for the
141	K-poor case. Using the total energies/enthalpies from our DFT computations we
142	computed defect formation energies (Table II). The results strongly suggest that the
143	formation of an F-center is endothermic but more favorable than K vacancy formation,
144	especially at high pressures. These results advocate for F-centers as the dominant defect
145	in KBr, especially at pressures that are representative of the pressure conditions of our
146	experiments. The energy source for F-center formation is provided by the high
147	temperatures (thermal energy) in our experiments.
148	The analysis of the electronic band structure shows that KBr is a wide direct-gap
149	insulator with $E_g = 4.3 \text{ eV}$ , similar to previous computations, $E_g = 4.0 \text{ eV}$ [20] and
150	significantly lower than experiment, $E_g = 7.4 \text{ eV} [21]$ to 7.6 eV.[22] However, we note
151	that even at the DFT level, energy differences between occupied and unoccupied states
152	are larger than the energy of visible light. Our GW0 computational setup follows
153	previous work [23] and improves the comparison between theory and experiment
154	significantly, KBr in B1 phase remains a direct gap insulator with $E_g = 7.3$ eV. In
155	contrast, we find that KBr in B2 phase is an indirect-gap insulator with a DFT gap of $E_g =$
156	3.9 eV at equilibrium and $E_g = 3.7$ eV at 50 GPa. The GW0 increases $E_g$ to 6.6 eV and
157	6.2 eV at equilibrium and 50 GPa, respectively and confirms that the B2 phase is an
158	indirect gap insulator. Thus, KBr is expected to be optically transparent for visible light
159	in both the B1 and the B2 phases, as observed experimentally.

160	F-centers create paramagnetic centers with defect levels deep within the
161	fundamental gap of the host crystal.[17] The same work for NaCl in the B1 phase shows
162	that hybrid functionals increase the band-gap and shift the defect level deeper into the
163	band-gap (relative to the conduction band minimum). We find qualitatively similar
164	behavior in KBr: F-center separation from the conduction band minimum is 0.8 eV, 0.7
165	eV, 1.0 eV for B1 at equilibrium, B2 at equilibrium, and B2 at 50 GPa, respectively. Our
166	PBE0 computations show a similar pressure dependence (the amount of exact exchange
167	was adjusted such that the PBE0 [24] computations matched the GW0 computed
168	electronic structure: 38.7%, 36.0%, and 33.0% for B1 at equilibrium, B2 at equilibrium,
169	and B2 at 50 GPa, respectively). The respective F-center induced defect states with these
170	parameters are located 2.6 eV, 2.3 eV, and 3.2 eV below the conduction band minimum.
171	However, the exact location of the defect level and the inferred optical properties from
172	DFT and post-DFT computations must be considered uncertain even at equilibrium.[17]
173	
174	<b>III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>
175	A. Onset of Defect Formation
176	Below a critical temperature, KBr insulates the metal and is transparent to the
177	infrared laser as in previous studies. At or above the critical temperature, near the
178	projected melting temperature of KBr,[25] the KBr absorbed the laser radiation directly,
179	leading to runaway temperatures in excess of 5500 K. Once runaway absorption from the
180	KBr began, the laser was shut off to allow rapid (< $1\mu$ s) temperature quenching [26]
181	(Fig. 1).

182 Upon temperature quenching, we found that the heated KBr had become opaque 183 to visible light when heated above 20 GPa (Fig. 2a-b). Between 13 GPa and 20 GPa, the 184 heated KBr turned a semi-transparent orange color. Owing to efficient thermal 185 conductivity of diamond, temperature gradients in the LHDAC are necessarily large.[27] 186 The absorption decreases radially outward from the laser focal spot, indicating strong 187 temperature dependence, consistent with experiments at ambient pressure that showed 188 higher F-center concentrations with increasing temperatures.[28] The resulting thickness 189 of the defect-damaged KBr was 1-2 microns, determined by interference fringes in 190 infrared reflectivity measurements. The temperature required to initiate coloration in KBr 191 increases with increasing pressure (Fig. 3). The increase in temperature required for 192 defect formation with pressure can be attributed to a greater amount of thermal energy 193 required to liberate atoms from their equilibrium positions at higher density. Because 194 there is a short (order 10 picoseconds) but finite time range between onset of defect 195 formation,[29] F-centers develop in KBr before thermal runaway is complete. Two data 196 points (Fig. 3 filled grey diamonds) demonstrate the case that defect formation began but 197 did not reach the saturation limit. This is evidenced by a smaller change of opacity than 198 samples of the same pressure that underwent complete thermal runaway and a thermal 199 emission profile that did not saturate.

- 200
- 201

#### **B.** Pressure-Dependent Absorption

While no in-situ absorption measurements were made at high temperature due to technical unfeasibility, fast laser shutoff rates ( $\sim 1 \ \mu s$ ) and a rapid change in thermal emission in <1 ms strongly suggest that the coloration observed after temperature

205	quenching is inherited from defects formed at high temperatures. Absorption
206	measurements were taken in the visible and infrared wavelengths after temperature
207	quenching while the samples were still at pressure. Absorbance is found to increase with
208	increasing synthesis pressure (Fig. 4), approaching a grey body by 81 GPa.
209	The lack of absorption edges rules out the possibility of an insulator to metal
210	transition occurring in the KBr. A shift and broadening of the F absorption band is in
211	accordance with the Mollwo-Ivey relationship that predicts the peak position of the F
212	band depends primarily on the lattice constant of the host crystal.[6,30,31] The pressure
213	effect can be qualitatively explained by a decreasing energy difference between
214	electronic F-center level and conduction band with pressure, consistent with a pressure
215	induced broadening of the conduction band.
216	
217	C. Crystal Structure and The Melting Curve of KBr
218	This rapid change in absorption and emission during laser heating has been
219	previously observed and attributed to the melting of KBr.[25] Given that the optical
220	change was the sole criterion to identify the melting point in that study, our observations
221	suggest that melting is not a prerequisite for thermal runaway. Either defect formation
222	occurs before melting, or melting and defect formation begin concurrently. Therefore, the
223	only current definitive point on the high-pressure KBr melting curve is given by shock
224	compression experiments [32] that reveal melting along the Hugoniot at 33 GPa.
225	Transparent KBr is expected to remain in the B2 cubic phase in the pressure range
226	we consider [9] and is expected to be molten at the runaway temperatures ( $\sim$ 5000+ K) we
227	measure on the absorbing KBr according to the existing high-pressure melting curve.[25]

228	The structure of defect-damaged KBr has not been characterized at these high pressures
229	or high temperatures. In addition, tetragonal and orthorhombic phases have been
230	predicted for KCl, another potassium halide, at extreme conditions exceeding 200
231	GPa.[33] Our in-situ x-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements on KBr show no evidence of
232	phase transitions or any other unexplained diffraction peaks, indicating that the KBr
233	remained in the B2 phase or a new phase was produced in too small amounts to be
234	detected by XRD (Fig. 5). Diffraction patterns rule out the possibility of chemical
235	reactions between the KBr and the metal absorber, or dissociation of KBr as observed
236	with CsI at high pressure and high temperature.[34] No diffuse melt signal was observed,
237	suggesting that the KBr became absorbing in the solid state, or potentially as a premelting
238	effect as previously suggested.[35] In KCl, for instance, the F-center concentration
239	increases rapidly just below the melting temperature.[29] However, strong
240	recrystallization texture of B2 KBr after quenching temperature suggests that KBr did
241	melt after thermal runaway in regions that became opaque, but likely only in a small and
242	localized region (Fig. S1). To bound the temperature difference between when defect
243	formation occurs and when melting occurs would require a technique with simultaneous
244	ultrafast temperature measurement and ultrafast structural characterization.
245	
246	D. Activation of Raman Modes

While XRD captures the long-range order that remains virtually unaffected,
Raman spectroscopy reveals symmetry breaking in the local structure. Our defectdamaged KBr exhibits strong Raman-active modes at high frequencies (Fig. 6). The
Raman peaks become more intense and shift to lower frequencies as the sample is

251	decompressed in the B2 phase. Vibrational frequencies increase during the phase
252	transition below 2 GPa in accordance with the elastic response of the B2 to B1
253	transition.[36] At ambient pressure, the Raman signal is lost and cannot be recovered
254	upon recompression. Given that Raman spectra are robust among samples heated with
255	different metal couplers Fe, FeSi, Fe-Si9wt%, and Pt (Fig. S2), we infer that this is an
256	intrinsic KBr defect rather than due to diffusion of metal impurities into the KBr, which
257	can also break translational invariance of the lattice and induce first order Raman
258	modes.[37] For any given pressure, the Raman spectra were identical regardless of the
259	metal used. Examples of defect-damaged KBr using Pt or FeSi9wt% are shown in Fig. S2
260	for ~56 GPa and on decompression to ~4.5 GPa. The similarity in spectra, especially on
261	decompression when hysteresis effects can be large, provides compelling evidence that
262	the defect is intrinsic to KBr. This is reinforced by the observation that no new phases
263	appear in the in-situ diffraction spectra (Fig. 5). Indeed, a quick comparison between our
264	Raman spectra and that of impurity-induced Raman spectra of KBr [37] show little
265	similarities, in large part due to the broad peaks and the small frequencies (most < 100
266	cm <sup>-1</sup> ) they measure and our inability to measure frequencies less than 100 cm <sup>-1</sup> .
267	
268	E. Stability of F-Centers
269	Upon decompression to room pressure, KBr reverts to the B1 structure with no
270	memory of damage (Fig. 5). The color centers in KBr are annealed during
271	decompression, which is apparent throughout the Raman spectra (Fig. 6), optical images
272	(Fig. 2e), and absorbance spectra (Fig. S3). The KBr becomes progressively less opaque,
273	evolving from black above 20 GPa to a bright blue color below ~2 GPa (Fig. 2),

274	consistent with the color centers observed in lower pressure studies of KBr.[38,39] For
275	this reason, we attribute this defect state to high-pressure F-centers in KBr. This is in
276	contrast with early work that observed an increase in absorption energy of the F-center in
277	KBr with pressures to $\sim 15$ GPa and predicted a continual increase in the absence of a
278	phase transition.[3,4] These studies generated F-centers at room pressure and temperature
279	conditions using x-irradiation, and defected samples were subsequently taken to pressures
280	much lower than those achieved in our study. The thermal energies of these LHDAC
281	experiments exceed 0.43 eV (nominal $T = 5000$ K). This is comparable to the energy
282	range used in recent short-lived F-center studies using other defect-forming
283	techniques.[1,2,40,41] The absence of an XRD signal from the defects and the presence
284	of Raman peaks indicate that the defects are localized to the heated region. In our DFT
285	calculations, we relax KBr F-centers in the B1 and B2 phases and confirm that structural
286	distortions are very small, consistent with previous work.[17] Furthermore, symmetry
287	analysis of the computationally relaxed structures shows that the resulting structures can
288	be described within the same space group as B2, consistent with our XRD observations.
289	The number of Raman modes observed in our study is consistent with previous
290	observations in the B1 KBr phase that have been attributed to F-centers.[37] Previous
291	work finds that optical F-band absorption energy, attributed to the 1s-to-2p transition,[42]
292	increases with decreasing volume (increasing pressure).[6] With increasing pressure, the
293	conduction bandwidth and F-center transition energies increase which suggests that
294	during relaxation after the optical excitation, a larger amount of energy can be converted
295	to phonons, consistent with the runaway heating observed experimentally.

296 While F-centers in KBr have shown to be stable in the range of microseconds 297 [40,43] to a year, [39] we have observed the stability of the defects through sustained 298 coloration for greater than 1 month prior to decompression. If left under pressure, 299 lifetimes may be much longer. A recent study that observed comparatively long stability 300 timescales of one year for color centers in KBr required femtosecond laser pulse energies 301 of 31-156 GeV [39] and observed increasing defect density with increasing energy, in 302 concordance with our observations. At 68 GPa, a combined pressure-volume and thermal 303 energy of ~30 eV was sufficient to stabilize defects for a minimum of one month.[44] 304 The Raman vibrational spectra suggest a strong pressure dependence of the stability and 305 optical properties of F-centers and leave the aspect of time as an open area of future 306 research.

307

# 308 IV. APPLICATION TO TEMPERATURE CORRECTION

309 Accurate temperature measurements at high pressure have been a difficult task 310 throughout the history of laser heating.[45] While progress has been made in careful 311 temperature measurements, the contribution of wavelength-dependent absorption has 312 only recently been considered. [46] KBr and other salts are frequently used as insulation 313 in LHDAC experiments under the assumption that they remain transparent in the visible 314 wavelength range. Noble gases are used as insulation for similar reasons, but they also 315 become opaque at high pressures and temperatures.[47] Most laser heating is performed 316 in a hutch at a synchrotron, so temporal evolution of heating is difficult to monitor. 317 Additionally, heating is typically performed with KBr overlying opaque materials so that 318 a change in KBr color is not distinguishable from sample color or changes in sample

319 color. For these reasons, the absorbing behavior in salts at extreme conditions is not well 320 documented despite potential issues in LHDAC experiments. Wavelength-dependent 321 absorption (Fig. 4) due to defects may cause temperature deviations of hundreds to 322 thousands of degrees, resulting in incorrectly interpreted temperatures [46](Fig. 7, 8). 323 We measure temperature using 4-color multi-wavelength imaging 324 radiometry.[10] Intensity of light from the sample is measured at 4 wavelengths: 580 nm, 325 640 nm, 766 nm, and 905 nm in the area of the hotspot, and we use a Wien fit to obtain a 326 two-dimensional map of the apparent temperature  $(T_a)$  as shown in Fig. 7. In the case of a 327 transparent insulation medium overlying a blackbody laser absorber, the apparent 328 temperature is nearly equal to the real temperature  $(T_r)$ . In the case that either the 329 insulation medium or the laser absorber has variable wavelength-dependent absorption, 330  $T_a \neq T_r$ , and a temperature correction is required [46] as shown for 4 specific samples of 331 color center-defected KBr in Fig. 8. Fig. 7 shows a hotspot measured while KBr began to 332 absorb the laser. While the laser is ramped to a peak power and held steady, the thermal 333 emission begins to rapidly increase once defect formation begins (Fig. 1). Lineout 334 intensity profiles measured at four different wavelengths reveal intensities that decrease 335 radially outward so that temperature should also decrease radially outward. Upon 336 inspection of the temperature map, this does not hold throughout the hotspot when fit to a 337 uniform blackbody spectrum. At 22 GPa, the absorption profile in the center of the 338 hotspot absorbs more at shorter wavelengths (Fig. 4), causing a higher apparent 339 temperature than the sample truly experiences. Moving outward from the center of the 340 hotspot in Fig. 7, large temperature gradients correspond to drastic changes in defect 341 concentration, or opacity. The wavelength-dependent absorption profile changes

significantly moving outward from the hotspot, leading to apparent higher temperatures
at the edge of the hotspot, which is unphysical and inconsistent with the monotonically
decreasing intensities.

345 Even with the temperature correction shown, it is noted that  $T_r$  is representative of 346 the temperature of the KBr and not the temperature of the laser absorber. Once the KBr 347 forms an absorbing layer, the metal becomes poorly insulated. To obtain the temperature 348 of the laser absorber in order to correct previous melting curves, for instance, the KBr can 349 not be opaque. If the KBr is semitransparent, then extensive thermal modeling based on 350 sample geometry is required to determine the temperature of the laser absorber and is left 351 for future work. Else, if the KBr becomes opaque, the temperature measured will be 352 approximately the temperature of KBr with minimal temperature correction. Fig. 8 shows 353 the deviation of apparent temperature  $(T_a)$  from real temperature  $(T_r)$  in optical thickness 354 space, with T<sub>r</sub> being the maximum temperature reached by the 22 GPa sample in Fig. 4. The optical thickness  $\tau_{\lambda}$  is given by  $\tau_{\lambda} = \int_{0}^{d} k_{\lambda} dz$  where  $k_{\lambda}$  is the absorption coefficient 355 356 at wavelength  $\lambda$  and d is the thickness of the material that participates in radiative heat 357 transfer at wavelength  $\lambda$ . For this scenario, d is the thickness of the resulting defect-358 damaged KBr. At 22 GPa, the correction is drastic. The temperature map collected prior 359 to any temperature correction due to wavelength-dependent absorption (Fig. 4), yields a 360 peak temperature of  $\sim$ 8100 K (Fig. 7), which is  $\sim$ 2600 K greater than the real temperature 361 of 5500 K (Fig. 8). When defects are generated at higher pressures such as at 81 GPa, the 362 grey body assumption becomes valid once more (Fig. 4), so that the measured 363 temperature of the defected KBr itself is valid, noting that it is the defected KBr 364 temperature that is being measured rather than the underlying sample. Characterizing

high-pressure, high-temperature defect properties may offer an explanation for highly
contested data sets such as the high-pressure melting curve of iron. The experimental
melting curve of iron, though studied for decades, still shows discrepancies of ~1000 K
when extrapolated to Earth's inner-core boundary pressure of ~330 GPa [48-50]. Defectrelated optical changes in the alkali halides used to insulate the iron may provide an
explanation for these observed large discrepancies and directly affect our understanding
of the evolution of our planet.

- 372
- 373

#### V. CONCLUSIONS

374 We observe a wide range of thermally-induced coloration in KBr in the LHDAC 375 based on synthesis pressure. While in-situ XRD reveals no significant change in bulk 376 structure, emergence of strong Raman modes and wavelength-dependent absorption in 377 the initially transparent KBr indicate the generation of F-centers at high pressure and 378 temperature. When held at pressure, annealing of defects is stalled compared to room 379 pressure studies, with coloration persisting for at least one month. Since diamonds are 380 opaque to UV radiation and defects are annealed on decompression, the ability to probe 381 excitonic features in the LHDAC is limited. Otherwise, photoluminescence spectroscopy 382 and UV absorption would aid in confirming this phenomenon and determining the 383 electronic signature of the defect state. While it is not possible to determine if KBr 384 melting occurs just prior to, concurrent with, or just after thermal runaway with our 385 measurements, it is possible to conclude the simultaneity of defect formation with 386 thermal runaway. While the observation of thermal runaway was previously used to infer 387 onset of melting of KBr at high pressures, [25] this study concludes that defect formation

is the physical cause of observed thermal runaway but is still a good indicator of melting
within high-pressure temperature measurement errors. If amorphous melt diffraction can
be identified while monitoring finely time-resolved thermal emission, this would provide
a diagnostic to determine whether the defect formation occurs before, during, or after
melting of KBr.

While we direct our attention to the coloration of KBr at high pressures and temperatures, we note that the runaway temperature effect due to defect formation is likely a robust phenomenon for all alkali halides. In a few separate experiments (Table I), we observed similar color changes in NaCl, KCl, and CsCl at high pressures. Because of the ubiquitous use of KBr and other alkali halides in LHDAC experiments as insulation and/or as a pressure medium/calibration standard [51], its coloration at high pressures and temperatures is of special concern to our understanding of high-energy density physics.

400

401

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

402 This work was funded by an NSF CAREER grant to K.K.M.L. (EAR-0955824) 403 and a CT Space Grant to S.M.A. XRD experiments were performed at HPCAT (Sector 404 16), Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne National Laboratory. HPCAT operations 405 are supported by DOE-NNSA under Award No. DE-NA0001974, with partial 406 instrumentation funding by NSF. The Advanced Photon Source is a U.S. Department of 407 Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by 408 Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Absorption 409 measurements were made at the Infrared Laboratory at the National Synchrotron Light 410 Source II (NSLS-II). The Infrared Laboratory is supported by COMPRES, the

- 411 Consortium for Materials Properties Research in Earth Sciences under NSF Cooperative
- 412 Agreement EAR 1606856 and the DOE/National Nuclear Security Administration under
- 413 Grant DE-NA-0002006, Carnegie DOE Alliance Center (CDAC). NSLS-II is supported
- 414 by the DOE Office of Science under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. High-pressure
- 415 synchrotron mid-IR transmission and reflection measurements were performed at
- 416 beamline 1.4.3 of the Advanced Light Source, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- 417 The Advanced Light Source, which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility under
- 418 contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Computing resources were provided through the
- 419 National Science Foundation (XSEDE) under grant number DMR TG-110093.

421



FIG. 1. A representative evolution of laser power (dark grey curve), thermal emission
while heating versus time for a sample without defect formation (light grey thin curve),
and thermal emission while heating versus time for a saturated defect formation sample
e.g., FeSi954s2 (black thick curve). For the defect-saturated sample, runaway heating
begins at ~3700 ms, and goes from relatively steady heating to runaway in <1 ms (the</li>
time resolution of the photodiode). For the defect-free sample, emission plateaus at the
peak power.



431

**FIG. 2**. Optical images of defect-damaged KBr02s1 during decompression. Images were

taken while at pressure in a LHDAC using both reflected and transmitted light. (a) Before

434 heating, only the metal foil, used as a laser absorber, is visible in the gasket hole,

435 surrounded by transparent KBr. (b) When heated on the tip of the metal foil, the KBr

436 becomes absorbing, and the entire heated region of KBr darkens. (c-f) Upon

437 decompression, the defect-damaged KBr becomes less absorbing, progressing from black

- 438 to orange, to blue after the B2 to B1 phase transition at ~1.8 GPa, and eventually
- 439 returning to transparent at room pressure.



441 FIG. 3. Estimated temperatures required for the onset of rapid F-center formation in KBr 442 at high pressures. At the curve, runaway heating begins, and above the curve, F-centers 443 are present in KBr. Diamond symbols are temperature measurements from this study. 444 Error bars for open diamonds are of the same size or smaller than the symbols ( $\leq \pm 100$ 445 K). Circles [25] represent the last temperature measured before thermal runaway. The 446 grey triangle [32] from a shock study pins down the melting temperature of KBr. Open 447 symbols represent measurements of KBr in which no defects were observed, and filled 448 symbols represent defect formation underway (grey symbols) and defect-saturated (black 449 symbols) samples. The curve is speculative and fit using previous data [25] as a tight 450 lower bound to onset of defect formation up to ~36 GPa together with our measurements 451 at 17 GPa and 55 GPa as upper bounds to the onset of defect formation.



453 **FIG. 4**. High-pressure visible absorbance spectra for defect-damaged KBr samples

454 (KBr07s1, FeSi923s1, KBr08s2, KBr10s1) after quenching from high temperature. The

455 thickness of the defect-damaged region is 1 µm. Unheated and un-defected KBr,

456 regardless of the pressure, remains transparent in the wavelength range considered.



458 **FIG. 5. (Top)** XRD pattern taken at 68.1 ( $\pm$  0.1) GPa before heating, 70.9 ( $\pm$  0.1) GPa

during runaway heating to  $\sim$ 8000 K, and after heating at 67.6 (±0.1) GPa on the darkened

defect-damaged sample KBr11s1. Grey peaks with asterisks and labeled M correspond to

461 hcp, B2, and fcc Fe-Si9wt% solid phases of the metal coupler. KBr remains structurally

462 closed with respect to the B2 phase during defect formation. Despite the high

463 temperatures while in thermal runaway, the FeSi9wt% metal coupler remains in its solid

state. (Bottom) XRD pattern of KBr quenched to near room pressure that has reverted

465 back to the B1 phase. See also Figure S1 for raw data.





467 FIG. 6 (Left) Raman spectra of defect-damaged sample KBr01s3 synthesized at 13 GPa

468 on decompression. Horizontal dashed line corresponds to the  $B1 \rightarrow B2$  phase boundary,

469 which occurs at pressures < 2 GPa. (**Right**) Evolution of peak frequencies with pressure

470 during decompression.



472 FIG. 7. Uncorrected 2D temperature map for a defect-damaged sample KBr07s1 at 22

```
473 GPa. Upper left and lower right: Horizontal and vertical intensity profiles along the
```

- 474 dashed transects marked on the temperature map. Upper right: optical image showing two
- 475 heated and defect-damaged spots using both reflected and transmitted light.





486	<b>Table I.</b> Experiment parameters for all samples in which defect formation was observed.
487	Sample details include the alkali halide sample, metal coupler used for laser heating as
488	well as cook time in oven at $\sim$ 400 K to minimize any moisture in the sample. Pressure
489	listed is based on the high-frequency edge of the diamond Raman band [8] and is the
490	pressure measured after heating. Uncertainties in pressure are determined by the
491	measured pressure gradients [51]. The sample is single-sided laser heated subjected to
492	either steady heating or predefined ramp heating [10], in which the sample is annealed at
493	a low laser power (first power listed below) for a set amount of time (first heating
494	duration value listed below) and subsequently ramped to a peak power (second power
495	listed below) for one second and held constant for the remainder of the heating cycle (last
496	time listed). For example, FeSi936 is annealed at a low laser power of 30 W for 2
497	seconds, then ramped to 100 W between 2-3 seconds and then held at 100 W from 3-4
498	seconds. If only one parameter is listed, ramped heating was not used. Not all samples
499	listed here appear in Fig. 3 due to either saturation of the charge-coupled detector (CCD),
500	which collected the light for temperature measurement, or lack of absorption data for a
501	temperature correction. For these saturated or uncorrected samples, the temperature
502	listed is NA (not available). Sample names with asterisks are those with temperatures

Sample	Sample Material	Metal	Pressure	Temperature of	Laser	Heating	Cook Time in
Spot	Wateriai	Couplei	(Of a)	formation (K)	(W)	(s)	Oven
Number							
KBr01s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$13 \pm 1$	NA	30	6	1 hour
KBr01s3	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$13 \pm 1$	NA	30	6	1 hour
KBr02s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$60 \pm 2$	NA	70	6	1 hour
KBr03s1	KBr	Pt	55 ± 2	NA	50	6	1 hour
KBr04s1	KBr	Fe	$37 \pm 2$	$7000\pm700$	40	6	1 hour
KBr04s2	KBr	Fe	$37\pm3$	$7000 \pm 700$	43	6	1 hour

KBr04s3	KBr	Fe	$37 \pm 2$	$7000 \pm 700$	45	6	1 hour
KBr05s1	KBr	FeSi	$66 \pm 2$	$7300 \pm 730$	90	6	3.5 hours
KBr07s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$22 \pm 1$	$5500 \pm 550$	60	6	1 hour
KBr07s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$22 \pm 1$	$5500 \pm 550$	60	6	1 hour
KBr08s2	KBr	FeSi	$68 \pm 2$	$7800 \pm 100$	75	6	1 hour
KBr09s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$32 \pm 1$	NA	50	6	1 hour
KBr09s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$32 \pm 1$	NA	50	6	1 hour
KBr10s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$81 \pm 2$	$8100 \pm 100$	85	6	1 hour
KBr11s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$68 \pm 3$	NA	100	2	none
FeSi921s1*	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$55 \pm 2$	$4500\pm450$	50	6	1 hour
FeSi922s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$54 \pm 2$	NA	65	6	1.5 hours
FeSi922s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$54 \pm 2$	NA	72	6	1.5 hours
FeSi923s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$53 \pm 2$	NA	70	2-3-4	1 hour
						ramped	
FeSi935s1	NaCl	Fe-Si9wt%	$15 \pm 1$	NA	27-39	2-3-4	10 days
					ramped	ramped	5
FeSi935s2	NaCl	Fe-Si9wt%	$15 \pm 1$	NA	27-38	2-3-4	10 days
					ramped	ramped	2
FeSi936s1	NaCl	Fe-Si9wt%	$58 \pm 2$	NA	60-90	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi938s4	CsCl	Fe-Si9wt%	$50 \pm 2$	NA	17-45	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi939s2	KCl	Fe-Si9wt%	$45 \pm 2$	NA	30-50	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi942s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$13 \pm 1$	NA	13-26	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi948s1	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$22 \pm 1$	NA	11-22	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi05/102	KBr	Fe-Sigurt%	$32 \pm 2$	NΛ	22-46	2_3_1	1 hour
103193452	KDI	1°C-519wt70	52 - 2		ramped	ramped	1 noui
<b>D G</b> <sup>1</sup> <b>G f f h</b>				2.7.4	Tampeu	Tamped	
FeS1954s3	KBr	Fe-S19wt%	$32 \pm 2$	NA	22-45	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
E 0:055 14	WD		15.1	2000 - 100	15.04		1.1
FeS1955s1*	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$17 \pm 1$	$3000 \pm 100$	15-26	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi064s1	KBr	Fe-Sigurt%	18 + 3	NΛ	21-61	2_3_1	1 hour
103190451	KDI	1°C-519wt70	40 ± 5		ramped	z-J-4	1 noui
					rampeu	ramped	
FeSi964s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$48 \pm 3$	NA	21-48	2-3-4	1 hour
					ramped	ramped	
<b>P C C C C</b>			• • • •	2.7.4			
FeS1966s4	KBr	Fe-S19wt%	$20 \pm 1$	NA	10-26	2-3-4	14 hours
					ramped	ramped	
FeSi968e2	KBr	Fe-Sigurt%	36 + 2	NA	17-36	2_3_4	1 hour
1 001/0052	KDI	10-01/wt/0	50 - 2	1171	ramped	ramped	1 11001
					Tumpou	Tumpeu	
FeSi974s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	$30 \pm 1$	NA	20-42	2-3-4	1 hour
1 - 51 / 102		1 - 517 (11/0			ramped	ramped	
					······································		

FeSi974s3	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	30 ± 1	NA	20-41 ramped	2-3-4 ramped	1 hour
FeSi982s2	KBr	Fe-Si9wt%	65 ± 3	NA	32-79 ramped	2-3-4 ramped	14 hours

505

## 506 **Table II**: DFT computed F-center formation energies for single atom defects in KBr.

Phase	Pressure (GPa)	Br-poor (F-center) (eV)	K-poor
			(eV)
B1	0	0.4	1.2
B2	0	0.5	0.5
B2	50	1.9	3.6

507

508

## 509 **References**

- 510 [1] F. Seitz, Rev Mod Phys **18**, 384 (1946).
- 511 [2] C. R. A. Catlow, K. M. Diller, and L. W. Hobbs, Philos Mag A 42, 123 (1980).
- 512 [3] W. G. Maisch and H. G. Drickamer, J Phys Chem Solids 5, 328 (1958).
- 513 [4] R. A. Eppler and H. G. Drickamer, J Chem Phys **32**, 1418 (1960).
- 514 [5] I. S. Jacobs, Phys Rev **93**, 993 (1954).
- 515 [6] C. J. Buchenauer and D. B. Fitchen, Phys Rev 167, 846 (1968).
- 516 [7] M. J. Poston, R. W. Carlson, and K. P. Hand, Journal of Geophysical Research: 517 Planets 122, 2017)
- 517 Planets , 122, 2017).
- 518 [8] Y. Akahama and H. Kawamura, International Conference on High Pressure 519 Science and Technology, Joint Airapt-22 and Hpcj-50 **215** (2010).
- 520 [9] A. Dewaele, A. B. Belonoshko, G. Garbarino, F. Occelli, P. Bouvier, M.
- 521 Hanfland, and M. Mezouar, Phys Rev B **85** (2012).
- 522 [10] Z. X. Du, G. Amulele, L. R. Benedetti, and K. K. M. Lee, Rev Sci Instrum **84** 523 (2013).
- 524 [11] Y. Meng, G. Shen, and H. K. Mao, J Phys-Condens Mat 18, S1097 (2006).
- 525 [12] G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Comp Mater Sci 6, 15 (1996).
- 526 [13] G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, Phys Rev B 54, 11169 (1996).
- 527 [14] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys Rev Lett 77, 3865 (1996).
- 528 [15] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys Rev B **59**, 1758 (1999).
- 529 [16] P. E. Blochl, Phys Rev B 50, 17953 (1994).
- 530 [17] W. Chen, C. Tegenkamp, H. Pfnur, and T. Bredow, Phys Rev B 82 (2010).
- 531 [18] F. SEITZ, Rev Mod Phys 26, 7 (1954).
- 532 [19] A. San-Miguel, H. Libotte, M. Gauthier, G. Aquilanti, S. Pascarelli, and J. P.
- 533 Gaspard, Phys Rev Lett **99** (2007).
- 534 [20] P. K. de Boer and R. A. de Groot, Eur Phys J B 4, 25 (1998).

- 535 [21] F. C. Brown, C. Gahwiller, H. Fujita, A. B. Kunz, W. Scheifley, and N. Carrera,
- 536 Phys Rev B-Solid St 2, 2126 (1970).
- 537 [22] D. Grojo, S. Leyder, P. Delaporte, W. Marine, M. Sentis, and O. Uteza, Phys Rev 538 B **88** (2013).
- 539 [23] M. Shishkin and G. Kresse, Phys Rev B 75 (2007).
- 540 [24] C. Adamo and V. Barone, J Chem Phys 110, 6158 (1999).
- 541 [25] R. Boehler, M. Ross, and D. B. Boercker, Phys Rev B 53, 556 (1996).
- 542 [26] Z. Du, J. Deng, and K. K. M. Lee, under
- 543 review at Geophysical Research Letters (2017).
- 544 [27] W. Panero and R. Jeanloz, J Geophys Res 106, 6493 (2001).
- 545 [28] J. D. Comins, Phys Status Solidi B **43**, 101 (1971).
- 546 [29] R. Williams, J. Bradford, and W. Faust, Phys Rev B 18, 7038 (1978).
- 547 [30] H. F. Ivey, Phys Rev 72, 341 (1947).
- 548 [31] P. Tiwald, F. Karsai, R. Laskowski, S. Grafe, P. Blaha, J. Burgdorfer, and L.
- 549 Wirtz, Phys Rev B 92 (2015).
- 550 [32] D. A. Boness and J. M. Brown, Phys Rev Lett 71, 2931 (1993).
- 551 [33] A. Shamp, P. Saitta, and E. Zurek, Phys Chem Chem Phys 17, 12265 (2015).
- 552 [34] Q. Williams and R. Jeanloz, Phys Rev Lett **59**, 1132 (1987).
- 553 [35] J. L. Tallon, W. H. Robinson, and S. I. Smedley, J Phys Chem-Us 82, 1277
- 554 (1978).
- 555 [36] A. M. Hofmeister, Phys Rev B 56, 5835 (1997).
- 556 [37] B. N. Ganguly and M. Nicol, J Appl Phys 47, 2467 (1976).
- 557 [38] H. Sothe, J. M. Spaeth, and F. Luty, J Phys-Condens Mat 5, 1957 (1993).
- 558 [39] X. W. Wang, R. Buividas, F. Funabiki, P. R. Stoddart, H. Hosono, and S.
- 559 Juodkazis, Appl Phys a-Mater 122 (2016).
- 560 [40] J. T. Dickinson, S. C. Langford, S. M. Avanesyan, and S. Orlando, Appl Surf Sci
   561 253, 7874 (2007).
- 562 [41] D. W. Lynch and D. A. Robinson, Phys Rev 174, 1050 (1968).
- 563 [42] J. H. Simpson, Proc R Soc Lon Ser-A 197, 269 (1949).
- 564 [43] R. K. Swank and F. C. Brown, Phys Rev 130, 34 (1963).
- 565 [44] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for
- 566 experimental details and suppemental figures.
- 567 [45] R. Jeanloz and A. Kavner, Philos T R Soc A 354, 1279 (1996).
- 568 [46] J. Deng, Z. Du, L. R. Benedetti, and K. K. M. Lee, J Appl Phys **121**, 025901 569 (2017).
- 570 [47] R. S. McWilliams, D. A. Dalton, Z. Konopkova, M. F. Mahmood, and A. F.
- 571 Goncharov, P Natl Acad Sci USA 112, 7925 (2015).
- 572 [48] R. Boehler, D. Santamaria-Perez, D. Errandonea, and M. Mezouar, J Phys Conf 573 Ser **121** (2008).
- 574 [49] S. Anzellini, A. Dewaele, M. Mezouar, P. Loubeyre, and G. Morard, Science **340**, 575 464 (2013).
- 576 [50] J. M. Jackson *et al.*, Earth Planet Sc Lett **362**, 143 (2013).
- 577 [51] I. Uts, K. Glazyrin, and K. K. M. Lee, Rev Sci Instrum 84 (2013).
- 578