

CHORUS

This is the accepted manuscript made available via CHORUS. The article has been published as:

Valley-selective optical Stark effect probed by Kerr rotation

Trevor LaMountain, Hadallia Bergeron, Itamar Balla, Teodor K. Stanev, Mark C. Hersam, and Nathaniel P. Stern Phys. Rev. B **97**, 045307 — Published 25 January 2018 DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.97.045307](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.97.045307)

Valley-selective optical Stark effect probed by Kerr rotation

Trevor LaMountain,¹ Hadallia Bergeron,² Itamar Balla,² Teodor K. Stanev,³ Mark C. Hersam,^{1, 2, 4, 5} and Nathaniel P. Stern^{1, 3, ∗}

 1 ¹Applied Physics Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

 2 Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA ⁴Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

⁵Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science,

Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

(Dated: January 10, 2018)

The ability to monitor and control distinct states is at the heart of emerging quantum technologies. The valley pseudospin in transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) monolayers is a promising degree of freedom for such control, with the optical Stark effect allowing for valley-selective manipulation of energy levels in WS² and WSe² using ultrafast optical pulses. Despite these advances, understanding of valley-sensitive optical Stark shifts in TMDCs has been limited by reflectance-based detection methods where the signal is small and prone to background effects. More sensitive polarizationbased spectroscopy is required to better probe ultrafast Stark shifts for all-optical manipulation of valley energy levels. Here, we show time-resolved Kerr rotation to be a more sensitive probe of the valley-selective optical Stark effect in monolayer TMDCs. Compared to the established time-resolved reflectance methods, Kerr rotation is less sensitive to background effects. Kerr rotation provides a five-fold improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio of the Stark effect optical signal and a more precise estimate of the energy shift. This increased sensitivity allows for observation of an optical Stark shift in monolayer $MoS₂$ that exhibits both valley- and energy-selectivity, demonstrating the promise of this method for investigating this effect in other layered materials and heterostructures.

I. INTRODUCTION

Manipulating electronic systems with light provides a controllable, high-speed, and non-destructive mechanism for coherent measurement or control of quantum states $1-6$. First observed in atomic and molecular systems⁷⁻¹⁰, sub-resonant light can cause energy levels to shift with negligible optical absorption, establishing the basic mechanism underlying neutral atom dipole $traps^{11,12}$. This light-matter interaction, known as the optical Stark effect, can also be used in solid state systems for ultrafast manipulation of semiconductor exciton levels in III-V quantum wells and quantum dots $13-16$. By harnessing the optical selection rules of materials, the Stark effect can be selectively applied to spin-polarized $excitons^{17–19}$, thereby splitting degenerate spin states without the use of external magnetic fields. This capability for all-optical state shifting can enable both classical applications such as ultrafast switches ²⁰ and modula- tors^{21} as well as quantum coherent control of spin $1-6$. The polarization-sensitive optical Stark effect can be generalized for coherent control of more exotic systems, evidenced most recently by the valley pseudospin in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides $(TMDCs)^{22}$.

In monolayer TMDC crystals, inversion asymmetry and spin-orbit coupling create two regions of momentum space, or valleys, that are degenerate in energy but possess different Berry curvature and coupling to circularly polarized light. The resulting valley pseudospin becomes an intrinsic label for the distinct electronic²³, exci- tonic^{24} , and recently, polaritonic²⁵ excitations in monolayer TMDCs that can potentially be used to carry information in analogy to \sin^{26} . Using circularly polarized light, the optical Stark effect can selectively break the valley degeneracy^{27,28}. Exploiting the polarizationselective optical Stark effect for coherent control of the valley degree of freedom²² demonstrates a key requirement for information processing in valleytronics, but remains a relatively unexplored phenomenon observed in only a handful of monolayer TMDCs.

The ability to precisely monitor the evolution of valley pseudospin is essential for development of coherent valleytronics. To date, the optical Stark shift (ΔE) of one valley has typically been monitored with reflectanceand transmission-sensitive probes^{22,27,28}, but these approaches are hindered by a variety of background effects13,14,22,27,28 that can pollute the signal and limit sensitivity. By using optical probes directly sensitive to polarization, polarization-independent background signals can be suppressed, enabling more precise measurements of the valley splitting induced by the optical Stark effect in a broader set of materials and heterostructures.

Taking advantage of polarization selection rules, timeresolved Kerr and Faraday rotation are powerful methods for probing coherent rotation of spin states caused by the optical Stark effect in semiconductors 3,6,29,30 . Similar selection rules at the K and K′ valleys of singlelayer TMDCs dictate that the band-edge optical transitions in each valley uniquely couple to left-handed (σ _−)

FIG. 1. (color online) Kerr rotation induced by the valley-selective optical Stark effect. (a) Schematic of the valley Stark shift of exciton transitions in monolayer TMDC. Due to optical selection rules, a $\sigma_-\$ below-bandgap pump only couples to the K valley, resulting in a valley-dependent optical Stark shift and corresponding change in the complex refractive index \tilde{n}_- . (b) Schematic of TR-KR on monolayer WSe₂. A detuned circularly-polarized pump induces a rotation of the linear polarization angle θ in the reflected probe when the beams are spatially and temporally overlapped $(t = 0)$. (c) Illustration of the imaginary (κ_{-}) and real (n_{-}) parts of the refractive index in WSe₂ near the exciton resonance. The sub-bandgap pump causes a blue-shift ΔE of the exciton in the K valley, which changes the $\kappa_-(\omega)$ and $n_-(\omega)$ spectra. The bottom panels show the corresponding spectra of $\Delta \kappa_-(\omega)$ and $\Delta n_-(\omega)$, which are defined as the difference between the spectra with the σ_- pump on and off. The σ – pump does not couple to the K' valley, so \tilde{n}_+ is unaffected.

or right-handed (σ_{+}) circularly polarized light, respectively²³ (Fig. 1a). Asymmetry in the response of monolayer TMDCs to $\sigma_-\$ and σ_+ light, encoded in the complex index of refraction $(\tilde{n}_{\pm} = n_{\pm} + i\kappa_{\pm})$, can be detected by the Kerr rotation angle θ of reflected linearly polarized light (Fig. 1b). Using an above-bandgap pump, timeresolved Kerr rotation (TR-KR) can be used to measure valley lifetimes of carriers in TMDCs^{31–35}. Since a valley-selective energy shift also generates an asymmetry between $\tilde{n}_{\pm}^{22,27,28}$ (Fig. 1c), TR-KR should be similarly sensitive to the valley-selective optical Stark effect caused by an off-resonant below-bandgap pump.

In this paper, we demonstrate the use of time-resolved Kerr rotation to measure the valley-selective optical Stark effect in TMDC monolayers. The established timeresolved reflectance $(TR-R)^{22,27,28}$ measurement is performed on the same sample under the same pump and probe conditions to provide quantitative comparison of the two methods. ΔE extracted from TR-R and TR-KR for $WSe₂$ agree, confirming the accuracy of the analysis. TR-KR is free from the background signals present in TR-R, allowing for more direct and precise measurement of the valley-selective Stark shift. With a nominal rotation angle sensitivity of 3 µrad, we use TR-KR to effectively measure a Stark shift of $4 \mu eV$ – the smallest reported shift in current literature. We exploit the increased sensitivity and background signal suppression of TR-KR to observe the valley- and energy-selective optical Stark effect in $MoS₂$.

II. PUMP-PROBE MEASUREMENTS OF THE OPTICAL STARK EFFECT

Both time-resolved reflectance and Kerr rotation measurements of the valley-selective optical Stark effect use ultrafast pulses to induce an excitonic energy shift and to detect the pump-induced changes in \tilde{n}_{\pm} as a function of pump-probe delay time t . TR-R measures the change in reflectance of one particular valley using a circularly-polarized probe. In contrast, TR-KR measures the induced phase difference between circular polarization components of a linearly polarized probe. TR-R and TR-KR measurements predominantly probe different parts of the refractive index: for transparent substrates, TR-R is primarily sensitive to changes in the imaginary part $(\Delta \kappa)^{13,14,22,27,28}$, while TR-KR is primarily sensitive to changes in the real part $(\Delta n)^{36}$. While the exact relationship between the TR-R/TR-KR spectra and the complex refractive index is more complicated with reflective substrates due to thin film effects, the complementary nature of the two measurements persists (see Supplementary Material $(SM)^{37}$). Regardless of substrate, Kerr rotation probes a part of the $\Delta \tilde{n}$ spectrum induced by the valley-selective optical Stark effect that was previously unmeasured using reflecance- and transmission-based methods.

The magnitude of the Stark shift in the case of a

continuous-wave pumping field is given by

$$
\Delta E_{\rm cw} = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2 \mathcal{E}^2}{2\Delta_{\rm pump}}\tag{1}
$$

where $\mathcal M$ is the transition dipole moment of the exciton transition, $\mathcal E$ is the amplitude of the pump electric field, and $\Delta_{\text{pump}} = E_0 - E_{\text{pump}}$ is the red-detuning of the pump energy $\dot{E_{\text{pump}}}$ from the exciton resonance E_0^{28} . In ultrafast experiments, the observed Stark shift depends on the pump-probe delay t and has a maximum value when the pump and probe pulses overlap in time $(t = 0)$. The comparable duration of the pump and probe pulses means that the probe samples a range of pump field amplitudes, so the observed maximum Stark shift is actually a convolution of the temporal profile of the Stark shift and the probe pulse. Moreover, the competing timescales of pump pulse width and exciton formation can significantly reduce the magnitude of the observed Stark shift²². Hence, Eq. (1) is not directly applicable to ΔE measured by pump-probe techniques. Instead, the magnitude of the observed Stark shift is characterized by

$$
\Delta E = C \frac{I_{\text{peak}}}{\Delta_{\text{pump}}} \tag{2}
$$

where $I_{\text{peak}} \propto \mathcal{E}_{\text{peak}}^2$ is the peak intensity of the pump pulse, and C is a phenomenological proportionality constant. C accounts for deviations from Eq. (1) due to exciton formation time and pulse width, and also absorbs $|\mathcal{M}|^2$.

III. INCREASED SENSITIVITY TO STARK SHIFT USING KERR ROTATION

The optical Stark effect has previously been observed in $WSe₂$ and $WS₂$ using TR-R, but a significant background signal is present in all reported measurements^{22,27,28}. Here, we measure the optical Stark effect in WSe_2 on a Si/SiO_2 substrate using both TR-R and TR-KR to demonstrate not only the suppression of these background signals when using TR-KR, but also increased sensitivity to the Stark shift ΔE . Conditions for TR-R and TR-KR experiments are identical for accurate comparisons. All measurements are performed under vacuum at 20 K. Pump and probe pulses have an effective full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of $\Delta t \sim 375$ fs estimated from the observed temporal response (see SM^{37}). The pump has center energy $E_{\text{pump}} = 1.49 \text{ eV}$. A peak pump intensity $I_{\text{peak}} = \text{fluence}/\Delta t = 320 \text{ MW/cm}^2$ is used for all measurements unless otherwise noted. Probe pulses have peak intensity $I_{\text{probe}} \sim 4 \text{ MW/cm}^2$. At 20 K, the lowest-energy exciton in $WSe₂$ has energy $E₀$ $= 1.73$ eV, and $\Delta_{\text{pump}} = 0.24$ eV is small enough that the Bloch-Seigert shift can be ignored^{38,39}. The probe is tuned over a range of energies near E_0 from 1.68 – 1.8 eV to obtain the TR-R and TR-KR spectra, with uncertainty estimated by repeating the measurements three times at each probe energy.

FIG. 2. (color online) Optical Stark effect in WSe₂ probed by TR-R. (a) Differential reflectance as a function of probe delay t for monolayer WSe₂ at 20 K using both $\sigma_-\$ and $\sigma_+\$ polarized probes. The background signal at $t > 0$ is present for both probe polarizations, while the optical Stark effect signal near $t = 0$ only occurs when the pump and probe polarizations have the same helicity. (b) Spectral dependence of the TR-R optical Stark signal after background subtraction. The fit (red) allows extraction of the Stark shift ΔE .

A. Time-resolved reflectance

We first measure the transient differential reflectance $\Delta R/R$ to quantify the influence of background signals and to establish the precision of TR-R using our experimental setup. Fig. 2a shows the probe polarization dependence of TR-R at $E_0 = 1.74$ eV for a σ_- pump. Since the exciton energy only blue-shifts during the pump pulse, the signal of interest only occurs when the pump and probe pulses overlap. This manifests as a peak in $\Delta R/R$ of width ~ 500 fs near $t = 0$ only when probe and pump are co-polarized (σ_-) , indicative of the valleyselective optical Stark effect. There is also a signal that is independent of probe polarization that persists beyond $t \approx 0$. This background can be attributed to two-photon absorption of the pump and subsequent carrier relaxation^{15,28,40}. The two-photon excitation energy (2.99 eV) is significantly larger than the exciton bandgap (1.73 eV). The fast relaxation of high-energy carriers creates equal exciton populations in both valleys uncorrelated with the original pump polarization^{41–43}, resulting in a longerlived TR-R background signal near the exciton resonance that is independent of the probe polarization

The TR-R spectrum shown in Fig. 2b is the difference between the $t = 0$ signals for the $\sigma_-\$ and $\sigma_+\$ probe polarizations as a function of probe energy. This represents the circular dichroism spectrum induced by the Stark shift. The TR-R spectrum does not exhibit the "Lorentzianderivative" lineshape reported for $WSe₂$ on sapphire²⁷ due to the reflective substrate. ΔE is extracted from the TR-R spectrum following the analysis of Ref. 22 by modeling the exciton resonance as a single Lorentz oscillator. From the TR-R analysis, we find $\Delta E = 62 \pm 11$ µeV, where the range represents the 95% confidence interval of the estimate (see SM^{37}).

B. Time-resolved Kerr rotation

We use the same pump-probe apparatus with modified polarization and detection optics to measure the valleyselective Stark shift using Kerr rotation. Fig. 3a shows the pump polarization dependence of the TR-KR signal $\theta(t)$. Once again, there is a signal near $t = 0$, but now the background signal that was present in TR-R is suppressed below noise levels for all pump powers available to our system. Upon switching pump polarization from σ to σ_{+} , the Kerr rotation angle has comparable magnitude but inverted sign. There is no appreciable signal for a linearly polarized pump. This is in contrast to TR-R with a linearly polarized pump, which shows a signal in both valleys with half the magnitude as that observed with the circularly polarized pump²⁸. This distinction is because Kerr rotation probes the difference in the refractive index of the WSe₂ for σ_+ and σ_- polarized light, $\tilde{n}_\pm(\omega)$. The linearly polarized pump, which is a combination of σ_+ and σ_- polarization, couples to both valleys equally. The Stark shift of both valleys is identical, and so the difference in $\tilde{n}_{\pm}(\omega)$ is zero. This also explains why Kerr rotation is not sensitive to the polarization-independent background effects observed in the TR-R measurement.

The TR-KR spectrum shown in Fig. 3b has a signal-tonoise ratio (defined as the ratio between the peak signal magnitude and the average uncertainty of each point) of 59, which is 5 times greater than the signal-to-noise ratio for the TR-R spectrum measured under the same pump and probe conditions. This improved sensitivity is due to the suppression of background effects and the common mode noise rejection of the balanced photodetectors in TR-KR (Appendix A). With the parameters and collection times used in this experiment, the rotation angle sensitivity is about 3 µrad. While this limit is not fundamental and can be improved with signal averaging, the collection parameters here are kept the same as for TR-R, in which sensitivity is more limited due to the background signal.

The Kerr spectrum is fit with a reflection model similar to the one used in Ref. 22 in which the $WSe₂$ dielectric function is modeled with Lorentz oscillators (Appendix B). The analysis accounts for the multi-interface thin film effects from the $Si/SiO₂$ substrate that cause the TR-KR spectrum to vary non-negligibly from the $\Delta \kappa$ spectrum of WSe₂. By comparing the measured TR-KR spectrum (Fig. 3b) to the $\Delta \kappa$ spectrum extracted

FIG. 3. (color online) Optical Stark effect in $WSe₂$ probed by TR-KR. (a) Kerr rotation angle as a function of delay time t in monolayer WSe₂ at 20 K for different pump polarizations. The instantaneous signal near $t = 0$ for circularly polarized pump light is caused by the optical Stark effect. (b) Spectral dependence of TR-KR for σ - pump. (c) $\Delta \kappa$ - spectra extracted from fits to the TR-KR and TR-R spectra for the same pump conditions.

from this fit (Fig. 3c), we see qualitatively that the TR-KR measurement is primarily sensitive to changes in the imaginary part of the refractive index. This is in contrast to the TR-R measurement, which is primarily sensitive to changes in the real part (see SM³⁷). The $\Delta \kappa$ spectrum extracted from both the TR-R and TR-KR fits are plotted in Fig. 3c. Both show the characteristic "Lorentzianderivative" spectral dependence $27,28,44$ with larger weight given to the low-energy side of the feature. This asymmetry has been observed previously $22,28,39$, and was accounted for in our model by allowing the exciton resonance to broaden while preserving the oscillator strength of the transition^{15,16,22,45,46}. This broadening could be caused by inhomogeneous broadening due to the finite bandwidth ($\sim 14 \text{ meV}$ FWHM) of the pump pulse^{16,47,48}, or from exciton-exciton interactions⁴⁹ between excitons generated by two-photon absorption. From the fit to the Kerr spectrum, we find $\Delta E = 72 \pm 5$ µeV. The ΔE extracted from TR-KR and TR-R agree reasonably well, with overlapping 95% confidence intervals. While a significant portion of the uncertainty in ΔE extracted from

TR-R is due to measurement error in the TR-R spectrum, this is not the case for ΔE extracted from TR-KR. The TR-KR confidence interval is limited by the uncertainty in the initial Lorentz oscillator model parameters, and an even more precise result is achieved if these are well-known (more details of the fitting and analysis are in SM³⁷). The agreement between the TR-R and TR-KR fits using a simple two parameter model suggests that the salient features of the Stark shift phenomenon are detected by both methods. The improved sensitivity demonstrates that Kerr rotation is an effective probe of the valley-selective optical Stark effect in WSe₂.

IV. OPTICAL STARK EFFECT IN $MoS₂$ PROBED BY KERR ROTATION

The improved sensitivity of Kerr rotation to the valleyselective Stark effect is attractive for studying this phenomenon more broadly in TMDCs. In contrast to $WSe₂$, the exciton resonances in $MoS₂$ have significantly broader linewidths and lower oscillator strengths⁵⁰. This lessens the magnitude of the $\Delta R/R$ signal induced by the optical Stark effect, which is generally proportional to the slope of the exciton absorption feature²⁷ (Fig. 1c). Consequently, $\Delta R/R$ is reduced in MoS₂ and the background artifacts in TR-R are comparatively more significant, which may account for the lack of reports of valley Stark shift in $MoS₂$ so far. The increased sensitivity of the TR-KR measurement allows us to definitively observe and quantify the valley-selective optical Stark effect in $MoS₂$.

Fig. 4a shows the TR-KR spectrum induced by the optical Stark effect in $MoS₂$, which exhibits a more complicated energy dependence compared to the straightforward WSe₂ TR-KR spectrum. This complexity originates from simultaneous significance of both the A and B excitons. Previous work in WS_2 and WSe_2 reports the valley-selective Stark shift for only the A exciton22,27,28,39. In these materials, the A and B excitons are spectrally distinct due to large spin-splitting in the valence band ($\sim 450 \text{ meV}$)^{51,52}; the spectral response near the lower-energy A exciton can be analyzed without considering the B exciton. In $MoS₂$, however, the spin splitting is much smaller (~ 150 meV), and both excitons must be included in the analysis. Because of the A and B exciton selection rules (Fig. 4a inset), the σ _− below-bandgap pump induces a shift in both the A and B excitons in the same K valley. While the optical Stark shift of two energetically distinct exciton states has been observed in few-layer $\mathrm{ReS}_2{}^{40}$, those excitons are not valley-sensitive⁵³. The simultaneous shift of the A and B excitons in $MoS₂$ represents the first report of the energyand valley-selective optical Stark effect in a single material system.

Demonstrated in Fig. $4a$, the MoS₂ Kerr spectrum can be understood using the same methods as in $WSe₂$ extended to include the blue-shift of both the A and the B excitons (Appendix B). Fig. 4b shows the expected

FIG. 4. (color online) Optical Stark effect in $MoS₂$ probed by TR-KR. (a) Kerr rotation angle as a function of probe energy in MoS₂ on Si/SiO₂ at 295 K using a σ ₋ pump with $I_{\text{peak}} = 320 \text{ MW/cm}^2$, demonstrating optical Stark effect for both the A and B excitons. Inset: Schematic of the optical selection rules for $MoS₂$, including the spin-splitting that gives rise to the A and B excitons. (b) Fit to the measured Kerr spectrum (red) and the expected $\Delta R/R$ spectrum (blue) calculated from the ΔE extracted from the Kerr spectrum. The representative noise levels for the experiment are shown as shaded regions.

 $\Delta R/R$ spectrum that corresponds with the fit to the Kerr spectrum. The expected $\Delta R/R$ signal for MoS₂ is comparable to the noise, whereas the Kerr spectral features are significantly larger than the noise floor. While additional signal averaging could reveal the TR-R spectrum, the TR-KR measurement for the same pump and probe conditions is a significantly more effective measurement. For $MoS₂$ at 295 K, the pump is red-shifted from the A and B excitons by $\Delta_{\text{pump}}^{\text{A}} = 0.36$ eV and $\Delta_{\text{pump}}^{\text{B}}$ = 0.51 eV, respectively. We extract a Stark shift of $\Delta E_A = 8.4 \pm 1.3$ µeV for the A exciton and $\Delta E_{\rm B} = 4.3 \pm 2.4$ µeV for the B exciton. If we assume that the proportionality constant C is similar for the A and B excitons, then the inverse scaling with Δ_{pump} predicts that $\Delta E_{\rm B} \Delta_{\rm pump}^{\rm B} = \Delta E_{\rm A} \Delta_{\rm pump}^{\rm A}$. We find that $\Delta E_{\rm A} \Delta_{\rm pump}^{\rm A} = 3.0 \pm 0.5 \text{~peV} \cdot \text{eV} \text{ and } \Delta E_{\rm B} \Delta_{\rm pump}^{\rm B} =$ 2.2 ± 1.2 µeV · eV agree within uncertainty, supporting this assumption.

This new observation in $MoS₂$ is compared to other TMDC monolayers in Table I by considering the pro-

Material	Substrate	Ref.		$C~(eV^2cm^2/GW)$
WS2	Sapphire	28	2.22	3.7×10^{-3}
WSe2	Sapphire	27	0.35	3.6×10^{-4}
WSe ₂	Si/SiO ₂	22	0.71	3.2×10^{-5}
WSe ₂	Si/SiO ₂		0.63	5.3×10^{-5}
MoS ₂	Si/SiO ₂		0.29	9.4×10^{-6}

TABLE I. Proportionality constant C for optical Stark shift of A exciton in TMDCs estimated from literature. Our values, presented in the bottom two rows, calculate C from the fits to Kerr rotation spectra. The magnitude of C follows the same trend as the A exciton oscillator strength f when holding substrate constant.

portionality constant C extracted from available measurements of the A exciton valley-selective optical Stark shift in TMDCs. While a direct comparison is not possible due to limited information on the pulse characterization, general trends are evident. There are large variations in C (up to 10^3) depending on both the material and the substrate, which is unsurprising since excitons in TMDC monolayers are very sensitive to dielectric environment^{54–56}. Table I also lists the oscillator strengths $(f \propto |\mathcal{M}|^2)$ extracted by fitting the reflectance contrast or absorption spectra. Since C depends on exciton formation time and pulse width, which vary between materials and experimental setups, we do not necessarily expect C to correspond numerically to f . However, when holding substrate constant, some correspondence does appear. For sapphire substrates in Table I, we see that $f_{\text{WSe}_2} < f_{\text{WS}_2}$, and correspondingly $C_{\text{WSe}_2} < C_{\text{WS}_2}$. Similarly, for $\mathrm{Si}/\mathrm{SiO}_2$ substrates $f_{\mathrm{MoS}_2} < f_{\mathrm{WSe}_2}$ and $C_{\text{MoS}_2} < C_{\text{WSe}_2}$. This trend $C_{\text{MoS}_2} < C_{\text{WSe}_2} < C_{\text{WSe}_2}$ also agrees with previous measurements of f for the A exciton transition in these materials on fused silica $(f_{\text{MoS}_2} = 0.301 < f_{\text{WSe}_2} = 0.312 < f_{\text{WS}_2} = 0.554)^{50}.$

V. CONCLUSIONS

This work demonstrates the use of Kerr rotation to measure the valley-selective optical Stark effect in monolayer TMDCs. By probing a different component of the complex refractive index, Kerr rotation dramatically improves the sensitivity to valley Stark shifts compared to reflectance-based techniques. The enhanced sensitivity of Kerr rotation allows detection of smaller Stark shifts with higher precision, enabling exploration of valley-selective optical Stark effects in other monolayer TMDCs and heterostructures. To this end, we exploit the improved precision to measure the previously unobserved optical Stark effect in $MoS₂$. The Stark shift of both the A and B excitons in $MoS₂$ are separable, demonstrating that energyand valley-selective manipulation of excitonic states can be achieved simultaneously in a single material system. The measured Stark shift of 4 µeV represents the smallest reported exciton energy shift in a TMDC. These results

establish Kerr rotation as an accurate, high-precision probe of spin-valley degeneracy breaking and an attractive tool for exploring valley-selective differential energy shifts in monolayer and heterostructure devices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was primarily supported by the Office of Naval Research under grant number N00014-16-1-3055 (valley manipulation). Sample preparation, characterization, and spectroscopy were supported by the National Science Foundations MRSEC program (DMR-1720139) at the Materials Research Center of Northwestern University. Chemical vapor deposition of monolayer $MoS₂$ was supported by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST CHiMaD 70NANB14H012). This work utilized Northwestern University Micro/Nano Fabrication Facility (NUFAB), which is partially supported by Soft and Hybrid Nanotechnology Experimental (SHyNE) Resource (NSF ECCS-1542205), the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (NSF DMR-1720139), the State of Illinois, and Northwestern University. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship. H.B. acknowledges support from the NSERC Postgraduate Scholarship-Doctoral Program. N.P.S. gratefully acknowledges support as an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow.

Appendix A: Experimental methods

1. Sample Preparation

WSe² flakes are prepared from a commercial crystal by mechanical exfoliation onto a Si substrate topped with 285 nm of $SiO₂$. Samples are then annealed at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 3 hours in an Ar-rich environment. Monolayers are confirmed by PL measurements. All monolayer flakes have dimensions exceeding $30\times80~\mathrm{\upmu m^2}$. Monolayer MoS₂ samples are grown by chemical vapor deposition directly on a $Si/SiO₂$ substrate⁵⁷ (see SM³⁷). Ti/Au alignment windows are added to all samples using optical lithography and thermal gold evaporation to facilitate alignment to the area of interest.

2. Time-Resolved Measurements

All time-resolved measurements are made using a Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent Mira 900-F) with a repetition rate of 76 MHz and a nominal pulse width of \sim 150 fs. The beam is split into a pump and a probe arm. For the pump, the 1.49 eV output from the Ti:sapphire laser is passed through a delay line and is chopped at 100 kHz using two crossed linear polarizers and a photoelastic modulator set to half-wave retardance. The

probe arm pumps an optical parametric oscillator (Mira-OPO) which provides tunable output from 1.65 – 2.48 eV. The probe is mechanically chopped at 1.3 kHz. For the TR-R measurements, both the pump and probe beams are circularly polarized using a linear polarizer followed by a quarter-wave plate. The pump and probe beams are focused onto the sample using a $20\times$ objective to achieve overlapping spot sizes of 15 µm and 10 µm, respectively. The reflected probe is sent to an amplified Si photodetector (Thorlabs PDA10A) for lock-in detection using a time constant of 200 ms. Both the pump induced ΔR and the equilibrium reflectance R are simultaneously measured for each probe energy. For the TR-KR measurements, the pump is circularly polarized while the probe is linearly polarized. The beams are again combined and focused onto the sample using a 20× objective. The reflected probe is sent through a Glan-Thompson polarizing beam splitter, and the outputs are focused onto a balanced photodiode bridge (Thorlabs PDB450A) for lock-in detection. A half-wave plate just before the polarizing beam splitter is used to balance the photodiode signals. The photodiodes used for the TR-R and TR-KR measurements have comparable responsivity and transimpedance gain. The noise floor in the TR-R and TR-KR measurements are 2×10^{-3} %/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ and $0.63 \text{ } \mu\text{rad}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, respectively.

Appendix B: Fit to Kerr rotation spectrum

The complex Kerr rotation angle can be written as

$$
\tilde{\theta} = \theta + i\xi \tag{B1}
$$

where θ is the Kerr rotation angle and ξ is the Kerr ellipticity. Assuming incident light is linearly polarized along the x-axis, and assuming that θ and ξ are small, the Kerr angle can be written in terms of the reflection coefficients³⁶:

$$
\tilde{\theta} \approx \frac{r_y}{r_x} \implies \theta = \text{Re}(\tilde{\theta}) = \text{Re}\left(\frac{r_y}{r_x}\right) \tag{B2}
$$

The reflection coefficients can be expressed in the circularly polarized basis:

$$
r_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r_- + r_+), \quad r_y = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}(r_- - r_+) \tag{B3}
$$

and plugging (B3) into (B2) we have:

$$
\theta = \text{Re}\left(-i\frac{r_{-} - r_{+}}{r_{-} + r_{+}}\right) = \text{Im}\left(\frac{r_{-} - r_{+}}{r_{-} + r_{+}}\right) \tag{B4}
$$

For a sub-resonant pump with $\sigma_-\$ circular polarization, only the K valley will shift from the valley-selective Stark effect. Hence, the $\sigma_-\$ component of the linearly polarized probe will probe the Stark-shifted K exciton, while the σ_+ component will probe the unperturbed K' exciton. At normal incidence, the polarized reflection coefficients r_{+} and r_{-} can be found from the Fresnel equations:

$$
\begin{array}{l} r_+ = \frac{{r_1}{e^{ - i(\beta _1 + \beta _2)} + {r_2}{e^{i(\beta _1 - \beta _2)}} + {r_3}{e^{i(\beta _1 + \beta _2)}} + {r_1}{r_2}{r_3}{e^{ - i(\beta _1 - \beta _2)}}}}{{e^{ - i(\beta _1 + \beta _2)} + {r_2}{r_3}{e^{i(\beta _1 - \beta _2)}} + {r_1}{r_3}{e^{i(\beta _1' + \beta _2)}} + {r_2}{r_3}{e^{ - i(\beta _1' + \beta _2)}}}} \end{array}
$$

with

$$
r_1 = \frac{\tilde{n}_{\text{air}} - \tilde{n}_{\text{WSe}_2}}{\tilde{n}_{\text{air}} + \tilde{n}_{\text{WSe}_2}}, r_2 = \frac{\tilde{n}_{\text{WSe}_2} - \tilde{n}_{\text{SiO}_2}}{\tilde{n}_{\text{WSe}_2} + \tilde{n}_{\text{SiO}_2}}, r_3 = \frac{\tilde{n}_{\text{SiO}_2} - \tilde{n}_{\text{Si}}}{\tilde{n}_{\text{SiO}_2} + \tilde{n}_{\text{Si}}}
$$

and

$$
\beta_1 = 2\pi \frac{\tilde{n}_{\text{WSe}_2} d_{\text{WSe}_2}}{\lambda}, \qquad \beta_2 = 2\pi \frac{\tilde{n}_{\text{SiO}_2} d_{\text{SiO}_2}}{\lambda}
$$

where λ is the wavelength of the light in vacuum, d_{WSe_2} = 0.65 nm and $d_{\text{SiO}_2} = 285$ nm are the material thicknesses, and $\tilde{n}_j = n_j + i\kappa_j$ is the complex index of refraction for material j. The primed r'_1 , r'_2 , and β'_1 are found by replacing the index \tilde{n}_{WSe_2} by the pump-modified $\tilde{n}'_{\text{WSe}_2}$ index. We use literature values for the refractive index of Si and SiO_2 ^{58,59} and the 500 µm Si substrate is approximated as semi-infinite.

The refractive index of $WSe₂$ in the region of the A exciton is modeled by a single Lorentz oscillator at the exciton resonance plus four additional oscillators centered at higher energies to account for off-resonance contributions. We note that this simple model does not account for the influence of lower-energy trions, which are valley-sensitive^{60,61} and could therefore also exhibit a stark shift. Initial parameters of the Lorentz oscillators are found from a fit to the unperturbed reflectance contrast spectrum (see SM^{37}). To model the Stark shift in $\tilde{n}'_{\mathrm{WSe}_2}$ the energy and width of the oscillator associated with the A exciton is allowed to vary while preserving the oscillator strength^{15,16,22,45,46}. The finite width of the probe is accounted for by convoluting a Gaussian (FWHM= 10 meV) with the Kerr angle predicted by (B2) when fitting the measured data. This Gaussian convolution has only minor impact on the extracted ΔE . For the MoS_2 analysis, $d_{SiO_2} = 325$ nm, and an additional oscillator is used to fit the B exciton resonance. The $MoS₂$ Kerr spectrum is fit by allowing the energy and width of both the A and B exciton oscillators to vary independently, again while preserving oscillator strength.

- ∗ n-stern@northwestern.edu
- ¹ Thomas Unold, Kerstin Mueller, Christoph Lienau, Thomas Elsaesser, and Andreas D Wieck, "Optical Stark effect in a quantum dot: Ultrafast control of single exciton polarizations," Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 157401 (2004).
- ² David D Awschalom and Nitin Samarth, "Optical manipulation, transport and storage of spin coherence in semiconductors," in Semiconductor Spintronics and Quantum Computation (Springer, 2002) pp. 147–193.
- 3 JA Gupta, R Knobel, N Samarth, and DD Awschalom, "Ultrafast manipulation of electron spin coherence," Science 292, 2458–2461 (2001).
- ⁴ David Press, Thaddeus D Ladd, Bingyang Zhang, and Yoshihisa Yamamoto, "Complete quantum control of a single quantum dot spin using ultrafast optical pulses," Nature 456, 218–221 (2008).
- 5 J Berezovsky, MH Mikkelsen, NG Stoltz, LA Coldren, and DD Awschalom, "Picosecond coherent optical manipulation of a single electron spin in a quantum dot," Science 320, 349–352 (2008).
- ⁶ MH Mikkelsen, J Berezovsky, and DD Awschalom, "Ultrafast optical manipulation of single electron spins in quantum dots," Solid State Commun. 149, 1451–1457 (2009).
- 7 Stanley H Autler and Charles H Townes, "Stark effect in rapidly varying fields," Phys. Rev. 100, 703 (1955).
- ⁸ AM Bonch-Bruevich and Khodovo VA, "Current methods for the study of the Stark effect in atoms," Sov. Phys. Usp. 10, 651–653 (1968).
- ⁹ PF Liao and JE Bjorkholm, "Direct observation of atomic energy level shifts in two-photon absorption," Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1 (1975).
- ¹⁰ P Verkerk, M Pinard, and G Grynberg, "Doppler-free spectroscopy of neon atoms dressed by optical photons in nearly-degenerate four-wave mixing," Opt. Commun. 55, 215–218 (1985).
- ¹¹ Steven Chu, J. E. Bjorkholm, A. Ashkin, and A. Cable, "Experimental observation of optically trapped atoms," Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 314–317 (1986).
- ¹² E. L. Raab, M. Prentiss, Alex Cable, Steven Chu, and D. E. Pritchard, "Trapping of neutral sodium atoms with radiation pressure," Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2631–2634 (1987).
- ¹³ A. Mysyrowicz, D. Hulin, A. Antonetti, A. Migus, W. T. Masselink, and H. Morkoç, ""Dressed excitons" in a multiple-quantum-well structure: Evidence for an optical Stark effect with femtosecond response time," Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2748–2751 (1986).
- ¹⁴ A Von Lehmen, Daniel S Chemla, JP Heritage, and JE Zucker, "Optical Stark effect on excitons in GaAs quantum wells," Opt. Lett. 11, 609–611 (1986).
- ¹⁵ W. H. Knox, D. S. Chemla, D. A. B. Miller, J. B. Stark, and S. Schmitt-Rink, "Femtosecond ac Stark effect in semiconductor quantum wells: Extreme low- and high-intensity limits," Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1189–1192 (1989).
- ¹⁶ DS Chemla, WH Knox, DAB Miller, S Schmitt-Rink, JB Stark, and R Zimmermann, "The excitonic optical Stark effect in semiconductor quantum wells probed with femtosecond optical pulses," J. Lumen. 44, 233–246 (1989).
- ¹⁷ M Joffre, D Hulin, A Migus, and M Combescot, "Laserinduced exciton splitting," Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 74 (1989).
- ¹⁸ C Sieh, T Meier, F Jahnke, A Knorr, SW Koch, P Brick,

M Hübner, C Ell, J Prineas, G Khitrova, et al., "Coulomb memory signatures in the excitonic optical Stark effect," Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3112 (1999).

- ¹⁹ Monique Combescot, "Optical Stark effect of the exciton. ii. polarization effects and exciton splitting," Phys. Rev. B 41, 3517 (1990).
- ²⁰ Eric J Gansen, K Jarasiunas, and Arthur L Smirl, "Femtosecond all-optical polarization switching based on the virtual excitation of spin-polarized excitons in quantum wells," Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 971–973 (2002).
- 21 R Jin, JP Sokoloff, PA Harten, CL Chuang, SG Lee, M Warren, HM Gibbs, N Peyghambarian, JN Polky, and GA Pubanz, "Ultrafast modulation with subpicosecond recovery time in a GaAs/AlGaAs nonlinear directional coupler," Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 993–995 (1990).
- 22 Ziliang Ye, Dezheng Sun, and Tony F. Heinz, "Optical manipulation of valley pseudospin," Nat. Phys. 13, 26 EP – (2016).
- ²³ Di Xiao, Gui-Bin Liu, Wanxiang Feng, Xiaodong Xu, and Wang Yao, "Coupled spin and valley physics in monolayers of MoS² and other group-VI dichalcogenides," Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 196802 (2012).
- ²⁴ Xiaodong Xu, Wang Yao, Di Xiao, and Tony F. Heinz, "Spin and pseudospins in layered transition metal dichalcogenides," Nat. Phys. 10, 343–350 (2014).
- ²⁵ Yen-Jung Chen, Jeffrey D. Cain, Teodor K. Stanev, Vinayak P. Dravid, and Nathaniel P. Stern, "Valleypolarized exciton-polaritons in a monolayer semiconductor," Nat. Photon. 11, 431–435 (2017).
- ²⁶ John R Schaibley, Hongyi Yu, Genevieve Clark, Pasqual Rivera, Jason S Ross, Kyle L Seyler, Wang Yao, and Xiaodong Xu, "Valleytronics in 2d materials," Nature Rev. Mater. 1, 16055 (2016).
- ²⁷ Jonghwan Kim, Xiaoping Hong, Chenhao Jin, Su-Fei Shi, Chih-Yuan S Chang, Ming-Hui Chiu, Lain-Jong Li, and Feng Wang, "Ultrafast generation of pseudo-magnetic field for valley excitons in WSe₂ monolayers," Science 346, 1205–1208 (2014).
- ²⁸ Edbert J Sie, James W McIver, Yi-Hsien Lee, Liang Fu, Jing Kong, and Nuh Gedik, "Valley-selective optical Stark effect in monolayer WS2," Nat. Mater. 14, 290–294 (2015).
- 29 J. A. Gupta and D. D. Awschalom, "Spin precession and the optical Stark effect in a semiconductor-doped glass," Phys. Rev. B 63, 085303 (2001).
- ³⁰ Jiatao Zhang, Yun Tang, Kwan Lee, and Min Ouyang, "Tailoring light-matter-spin interactions in colloidal hetero-nanostructures," Nature (London) 466, 91 (2010) .
- ³¹ CR Zhu, K Zhang, M Glazov, B Urbaszek, T Amand, ZW Ji, BL Liu, and X Marie, "Exciton valley dynamics probed by kerr rotation in WSe_2 monolayers," Phys. Rev. B 90, 161302 (2014).
- ³² G Plechinger, P Nagler, and T Korn, "Time-resolved kerr rotation spectroscopy of valley dynamics in single-layer MoS2," Preprint arXiv:1404.7674 (2014).
- ³³ Xinlin Song, Saien Xie, Kibum Kang, Jiwoong Park, and Vanessa Sih, "Long-lived hole spin/valley polarization probed by kerr rotation in monolayer WSe2," Nano Lett. 16, 5010–5014 (2016).
- ³⁴ Jiani Huang, Thang B Hoang, Tian Ming, Jing Kong, and Maiken H Mikkelsen, "Temporal and spatial valley dynam-

ics in two-dimensional semiconductors probed via kerr rotation," Phys. Rev. B 95, 075428 (2017).

- ³⁵ P. Dey, Luyi Yang, C. Robert, G. Wang, B. Urbaszek, X. Marie, and S. A. Crooker, "Gate-controlled spin-valley locking of resident carriers in WSe₂ monolayers," Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 137401 (2017).
- ³⁶ Wolfgang Kuch, Rudolf Schäfer, Peter Fischer, and Franz Ulrich Hillebrecht, "Magnetic microscopy of layered structures," (Springer, 2015) pp. 54–63.
- ³⁷ See Supplemental Material for additional experimental details and an in-depth discussion of the fitting models.
- ³⁸ C Cohen-Tannoudji, J Dupont-Roc, and C Fabre, "A quantum calculation of the higher order terms in the blochsiegert shift," J. Phys. B **6**, L214 (1973).
- ³⁹ Edbert J Sie, Chun Hung Lui, Yi-Hsien Lee, Liang Fu, Jing Kong, and Nuh Gedik, "Large, valley-exclusive blochsiegert shift in monolayer WS2," Science 355, 1066–1069 (2017).
- ⁴⁰ Sangwan Sim, Doeon Lee, Minji Noh, Soonyoung Cha, Chan Ho Soh, Ji Ho Sung, Moon-Ho Jo, and Hyunyong Choi, "Selectively tunable optical Stark effect of anisotropic excitons in atomically thin ReS_2 ," Nat. Commun. 7, 13569 (2016).
- ⁴¹ Soonyoung Cha, Ji Ho Sung, Sangwan Sim, Jun Park, Hoseok Heo, Moon-Ho Jo, and Hyunyong Choi, "1sintraexcitonic dynamics in monolayer MoS₂ probed by ultrafast mid-infrared spectroscopy," Nat. Commun. 7, 10768 (2016).
- ⁴² Kin Fai Mak, Keliang He, Jie Shan, and Tony F Heinz, "Control of valley polarization in monolayer $MoS₂$ by optical helicity," Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 494–498 (2012).
- ⁴³ Cong Mai, Andrew Barrette, Yifei Yu, Yuriy G Semenov, Ki Wook Kim, Linyou Cao, and Kenan Gundogdu, "Many-body effects in valleytronics: Direct measurement of valley lifetimes in single-layer $MoS₂$," Nano Lett. 14, 202–206 (2013).
- ⁴⁴ Edbert J Sie, Chun Hung Lui, Yi-Hsien Lee, Jing Kong, and Nuh Gedik, "Observation of intervalley biexcitonic optical Stark effect in monolayer WS2," Nano Lett. 16, 7421– 7426 (2016).
- 45 R Zimmermann, "On the dynamical Stark effect of excitons. the low-field limit," Phys. Status Solidi B 146, 545– 554 (1988).
- ⁴⁶ C. Ell, J. F. Müller, K. El Sayed, and H. Haug, "Influence of many-body interactions on the excitonic optical Stark effect," Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 304–307 (1989).
- 47 W Schäfer, K-H Schuldt, and R Binder, "Theory of the optical Stark effect in semiconductors," Phys. Status Solidi B 150, 407–412 (1988).
- ⁴⁸ I Balslev, R Zimmermann, and A Stahl, "Two-band density-matrix approach to nonlinear optics of excitons," Phys. Rev. B 40, 4095 (1989).
- ⁴⁹ Sangwan Sim, Jusang Park, Jeong-Gyu Song, Chihun In, Yun-Shik Lee, Hyungjun Kim, and Hyunyong Choi, "Exciton dynamics in atomically thin MoS2: interexcitonic

interaction and broadening kinetics," Phys. Rev. B 88, 075434 (2013).

- ⁵⁰ Yilei Li, Alexey Chernikov, Xian Zhang, Albert Rigosi, Heather M Hill, Arend M van der Zande, Daniel A Chenet, En-Min Shih, James Hone, and Tony F Heinz, "Measurement of the optical dielectric function of monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides: $MoS₂$, $MoSe₂$, $WS₂$, and WSe2," Phys. Rev. B 90, 205422 (2014).
- 51 ZY Zhu, YC Cheng, and Udo Schwingenschlögl, "Giant spin-orbit-induced spin splitting in two-dimensional transition-metal dichalcogenide semiconductors," Phys. Rev. B 84, 153402 (2011).
- ⁵² YC Cheng, ZY Zhu, Muhammad Tahir, and Udo Schwingenschlögl, "Spin-orbit–induced spin splittings in polar transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers," Europhysics Letters 102, 57001 (2013).
- ⁵³ Hong-Xia Zhong, Shiyuan Gao, Jun-Jie Shi, and Li Yang, "Quasiparticle band gaps, excitonic effects, and anisotropic optical properties of the monolayer distorted 1 t diamondchain structures ReS_2 and ReSe_2 ," Phys. Rev. B 92, 115438 (2015).
- ⁵⁴ Andreas V Stier, Nathan P Wilson, Genevieve Clark, Xiaodong Xu, and Scott A Crooker, "Probing the influence of dielectric environment on excitons in monolayer WSe2: Insight from high magnetic fields," Nano Lett. 16, 7054– 7060 (2016).
- ⁵⁵ Michele Buscema, Gary A Steele, Herre SJ van der Zant, and Andres Castellanos-Gomez, "The effect of the substrate on the raman and photoluminescence emission of single-layer $MoS₂$," Nano Research 7, 561–571 (2014).
- ⁵⁶ Simone Latini, Thomas Olsen, and Kristian Sommer Thygesen, "Excitons in van der waals heterostructures: The important role of dielectric screening," Phys. Rev. B 92, 245123 (2015).
- ⁵⁷ Tejas A Shastry, Itamar Balla, Hadallia Bergeron, Samuel H Amsterdam, Tobin J Marks, and Mark C Hersam, "Mutual photoluminescence quenching and photovoltaic effect in large-area single-layer MoS_2 -polymer heterojunctions," ACS Nano 10, 10573–10579 (2016).
- ⁵⁸ IH Malitson, "Interspecimen comparison of the refractive index of fused silica," Josa 55, 1205–1209 (1965).
- $59\,$ G Vuye, S Fisson, V Nguyen Van, Y Wang, J Rivory, and F Abeles, "Temperature dependence of the dielectric function of silicon using in situ spectroscopic ellipsometry," Thin Solid Films 233, 166–170 (1993).
- ⁶⁰ G Wang, L Bouet, D Lagarde, M Vidal, A Balocchi, T Amand, X Marie, and B Urbaszek, "Valley dynamics probed through charged and neutral exciton emission in monolayer WSe2," Phys. Rev. B 90, 075413 (2014).
- ⁶¹ Aaron M Jones, Hongyi Yu, Nirmal J Ghimire, Sanfeng Wu, Grant Aivazian, Jason S Ross, Bo Zhao, Jiaqiang Yan, David G Mandrus, Di Xiao, et al., "Optical generation of excitonic valley coherence in monolayer WSe2," Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 634–638 (2013).